

Lab 09

Name- Arush Gupta

Roll No-210123008

Note: To assess the error, I computed the definite integral using MATLAB's built-in `int(f, a, b)` function and compared it with the estimated integral.

For question 3, we were tasked with implementing Gaussian Quadrature, so I employed both Gauss-Legendre and Gauss-Lobatto Quadrature method.

Question 1

1. Approximate the following integrals using Gaussian quadrature with $n = 2$, and compare your results to the exact values of the integrals:

$$a. \int_1^{1.5} x^2 \ln x dx \quad b. \int_0^{0.35} \frac{2}{x^2 - 4} dx$$

```
>> Lab9Q1
```

```
Part A
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is 0.228074
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.035815
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 0.228074
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.035815
```

```
Part B
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is -0.177764
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000944
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is -0.177764
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000944
```

```
>>
```

Question 2

2. Approximate the following integrals using Gaussian quadrature with $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$, uniformly spaced data points of the respective intervals:

$$a. \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{3x} \sin 2x dx \quad b. \int_1^{1.6} \frac{2x}{x^2 - 4} dx$$

```
>> Lab9Q2
```

```
Part A
```

```
For N= 2
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is 4.143260
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 1.554631
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 4.143260
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 1.554631
```

```
For N= 3
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is 2.583696
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.004932
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 2.583696
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.004932
```

```
For N= 4
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is 2.585789
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.002840
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 2.587786
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000843
```

```
For N= 5
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is 2.587968
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000660
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 2.588623
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000005
```

We observe that the approximations by Newton-Cotes and Gauss-Lobatto Quadrature are same for $n = 2, 3$ and after that, Gauss-Lobatto Quadrature is giving a better approximation.

Part B

For N= 2

Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is -0.866667

Absolute Error comes out to be 0.132697

Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is -0.866667

Absolute Error comes out to be 0.132697

For N= 3

Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is -0.739105

Absolute Error comes out to be 0.005136

Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is -0.739105

Absolute Error comes out to be 0.005136

For N= 4

Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is -0.736428

Absolute Error comes out to be 0.002459

Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is -0.734204

Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000235

For N= 5

Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lagrange Method is -0.734157

Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000187

Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is -0.733980

Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000011

>>

We observe that the approximations by Newton-Cotes and Gauss-Lobatto Quadrature are same for $n = 2, 3$ and after that, Gauss-Lobatto Quadrature is giving a better approximation.

Question 3

3. Approximate $\int_{-1}^1 e^x \sin x dx$ and $\int_{-1}^1 e^x \cos x dx$ using Gaussian quadrature with $n = 2$ and $n = 4$.

```
>> Lab9Q3
```

Part A

```
For N= 2
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 0.665844
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.002350
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 1.977795
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 1.314302
```

```
For N= 4
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 0.663493
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000000
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 0.662818
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000676
```

Part B

```
For N= 2
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 1.962973
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.029551
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 1.667460
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.265961
```

```
For N= 4
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 1.933417
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000005
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Lobatto Method is 1.933467
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.000045
```

```
>>
```

Here, we observe that Gauss-Legendre Quadrature is giving better approximations than Gauss-Lobatto Quadrature.

Question 4

4. Consider using Gauss-Legendre quadrature to integrate

$$a. \int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx \quad b. \int_{-4}^4 \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx$$

with $n = 2, 4, 6$ node-point formulas.

```
>> Lab9Q4
```

Part A

```
For N= 2
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 0.74659469
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.00022944
```

```
For N= 4
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 0.74682447
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.00000034
```

```
For N= 6
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 0.74682413
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.00000000
```

Part B

```
For N= 2
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 1.26315789
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 1.38847743
```

```
For N= 4
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 2.04728501
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.60435032
```

```
For N= 6
```

```
Estimate of Integral using Gaussian Legendre Method is 2.41168893
```

```
Absolute Error comes out to be 0.23994640
```

For Part A there is less variation for increasing N, but for part B there is large variation for increasing N.

This is due to behaviour of the function itself

For part A when N=6 error is very small in $1e-11$ range.