#### **Executive Summary**

This report analyzes trader performance by segmenting traders into distinct behavioral clusters and examining the impact of market sentiment and leverage on profitability. Our analysis reveals three statistically significant trader profiles, highlighting a vast disparity in success.

#### Key Findings:

- 1. **Sentiment is a Major Driver:** Market sentiment has a highly significant impact on profitability. The most profitable condition is "Extreme Greed" (average profit \$67.89, 46.5% win rate), while "Neutral" and "Extreme Fear" markets are the least profitable (approx. \$34 average profit).
- 2. Three Trader Segments: We identified three distinct trader clusters:
- Cluster 1: "The High-Profit Whales" (6 traders): These traders trade infrequently but achieve an exceptional average profit of \$324.25 per trade, resulting in the highest total profit. They have a low win rate (34.4%).
- O Cluster 0: "The Active Grinders" (15 traders): This is the most active group, with the highest average win rate (48.2%) and solid profitability (average profit \$62.68).
- Cluster 2: "The Struggling Scalpers" (11 traders): This group is active but ineffective, with the lowest win rate (32.7%) and the lowest average profit (\$19.25).
- 3. Leverage is a Double-Edged Sword: High leverage yields the highest average profit (\$67.81) but also the lowest win rate (38.6%). Medium leverage offers the best balance, providing the highest win rate (43.4%) and strong profitability (\$44.81).

### Strategic Recommendation:

A "one-size-fits-all" strategy is ineffective. Profitability can be maximized by aligning trading strategy to both trader profile and market sentiment.

- "Whales" should continue their high-risk, high-reward strategy, focusing trades during "Extreme Greed" periods.
- "Grinders" should leverage their high win rate by using Medium Leverage and avoiding low-profit "Neutral" or "Extreme Fear" markets.
- "Strugglers" must pivot, abandoning high-risk trades, adopting Medium/Low Leverage, and waiting for high-probability "Extreme Greed" market conditions.

### Part 1: Trader Segmentation Analysis

To uncover hidden patterns, we applied K-Means clustering to segment traders based on their total\_profit\_loss, average\_profit\_loss, and win\_rate.

### Methodology

The "Elbow Method" plot was used to determine the optimal number of clusters. The distinct "elbow" at k=3 clearly indicates that the trader population is best divided into three groups.

The ANOVA test for the resulting clusters (from cluster\_anova\_table.csv) yielded an F-statistic of 35.59 and a p-value of \$1.56 \times 10^{-8}\$. This extremely low p-value confirms that the differences in profitability between these three clusters are highly statistically significant and the groupings are meaningful.

## Trader Cluster Profiles

The analysis of cluster\_summary.csv reveals three distinct personas:

Cluster ID	Trader Count	Avg. Total Trades	Avg. Total P&L	Avg. P&L per Trade	Avg. Win Rate	Proposed Persona
Cluster 1	6	3,864	\$858,259.16	\$324.25	34.42%	The High- Profit Whales
Cluster O	15	8,079	\$288,774.83	\$62.68	48.20%	The Active Grinders
Cluster 2	11	6,077	\$70,300.87	\$19.25	32.72%	The Struggling Scalpers

- Cluster 1: The High-Profit Whales: This elite group wins big or loses small. They trade the least but are exceptionally profitable, with an average profit per trade over 5x higher than the next best group. Their low win rate suggests a high-risk, high-reward strategy.
- Cluster 0: The Active Grinders: These are consistent, high-volume traders. They have the best win rate of all groups, indicating a more cautious or effective strategy that generates steady, reliable returns.

• Cluster 2: The Struggling Scalpers: This group trades frequently but struggles to find an edge. Their win rate is the lowest, and their average profit per trade is minimal, resulting in the poorest overall performance.

The pairplot visually confirms these segments. Cluster 1 (green) is clearly separated from the others on the average\_profit\_loss and total\_profit\_loss axes.

# Part 2: The Impact of Market Sentiment on Profitability

We analyzed profitability across five market sentiment classifications. The ANOVA test (sentiment\_anova\_table.csv) confirms that the observed differences are **statistically significant** (F=9.06, p-value=\$2.57 \times 10^{-7}\$).

Sentiment Classification	Avg. P&L per Trade	Win Rate	Number of Trades
Extreme Greed	\$67.89	46.49%	39,992
Fear	\$54.29	42.08%	61,837
Greed	\$42.74	38.48%	50,303
Extreme Fear	\$34.54	37.06%	21,400
Neutral	\$34.31	39.70%	37,686

# Key Insights:

- Follow the Greed: Contrary to the popular maxim "be fearful when others are greedy," this data shows that the most profitable time to trade is during "Extreme Greed." This period has both the highest average profit and the highest win rate.
- Avoid Indecision: The "Neutral" market is the least profitable, performing even worse than "Extreme Fear." This suggests that trading in a market without clear direction is a losing proposition. "Extreme Fear" also shows poor performance, indicating that "buying the dip" in these conditions is not an effective strategy for this dataset.

### Part 3: The Role of Leverage in Risk and Reward

Leverage choice shows a classic risk-reward trade-off. The differences in profitability between leverage groups are **highly statistically significant** (F=27.11, p-value=\$1.69 \times  $10^{-12}$ ).

Leverage Group	Avg. P&L per Trade	Win Rate	Number of Trades
High Leverage	\$67.81	38.56%	71,813
Medium Leverage	\$44.81	43.37%	69,703
Low Leverage	\$32.45	41.52%	69,702

### Key Insights:

- High Risk, High Reward: High Leverage produces the highest average profit per trade, but at
  the cost of the lowest win rate. This strategy is only effective if the few wins are large enough
  to cover the many losses.
- The "Sweet Spot": Medium Leverage appears to be the optimal balance. It boasts the highest win rate of all groups, coupled with a strong average profit. This suggests a more sustainable strategy than the all-or-nothing High Leverage approach.

#### Part 4: Synthesis & Strategic Recommendations

By combining these three analyses, we can develop data-driven strategies for each trader persona.

Connecting the Data:

There is a powerful connection between our findings.

- The "Extreme Greed" market has an average profit of \$67.89.
- The "High Leverage" group has an average profit of \$67.81.
- The "High-Profit Whales" (Cluster 1) have a low win rate (34.4%), which is very similar to the "High Leverage" group's win rate (38.6%).

This strongly suggests that the "High-Profit Whales" (Cluster 1) are successfully deploying a High Leverage strategy specifically during "Extreme Greed" markets to achieve their outsized returns.

### Actionable Strategies for Each Trader Segment:

- 1. For "The High-Profit Whales" (Cluster 1):
- Recommendation: Your high-risk strategy is working. Continue to use High Leverage to maximize profit on winning trades.
- Refinement: Focus your activity during "Extreme Greed" markets. This condition offers the
  highest win rate (46.5%), which will help mitigate the inherent risk of your low-win-rate (34.4%)
  strategy.
- 2. For "The Active Grinders" (Cluster 0):
- Recommendation: Your strength is your high win rate (48.2%). Avoid High Leverage, as its low win rate (38.6%) will undermine your consistency.
- Refinement: Adopt Medium Leverage. It offers the best win rate (43.4%) and will complement your existing skill. Focus your trades during "Extreme Greed" (highest P&L) and "Fear" (second highest P&L) markets. Avoid "Neutral" markets entirely.
- 3. For "The Struggling Scalpers" (Cluster 2):
- o Recommendation: Your current strategy is not working. You must pivot to survive.
- Refinement: Immediately stop using High Leverage. Your low win rate (32.7%) is being amplified by this approach. Switch to Medium or Low Leverage to improve your win rate. Be patient and stop trading in "Neutral" and "Extreme Fear" conditions. Wait for "Extreme Greed" markets, which provide the easiest conditions for success (highest win rate and P&L).