

Components	Description	Mark	Areas for Improvement
Abstract	Short (<400 words); Presents a concise summary of what's to come including: background/rationale, objectives/questions, methods, expected results/significance	9/10	clear & thorough good overview.
Rationale/Motivating Problem	Describes the clinical or practical problem; Convincing rationale noting the social implications and/or need	8/10	yes - good motivation - maybe include cost of neuroblastoma and how common it is (give a number)
Background/Literature Review	Provides the reader with the knowledge needed to understand the proposed research; synthesizes previous research and knowledge in the field	6/10	good overview of Lit. seems thorough as it has a good flow ^{leading to} the proposed problem - doesn't leave reader with unanswered questions.
Objectives/Research question & hypotheses	Specific and focused; Quantitative and precise	10/10	but ensure that you link them back to your objectives to clarify why each piece is relevant. good - clear, concise
Methods	Sufficient detail to convey what and how you plan to address your objectives/questions; descriptions of special equipment, design, & protocols; description of planned data analyses	10/15	good organization - try to use simpler language so someone who is unfamiliar to this research would understand.
Expected Results/Outcomes	Preliminary results (if applicable); Expected results; Timeline; Dissemination plan	7/10	explain voxels and why the size is good or bad. 2.5x2.5x7
Significance/Conclusions	Description of the contributions your proposed research will make; What are the implications of your research?	7/10	elaborate on significance - is this significant on other diseases or if only for N.B., re-iterate how common it is and what the cost-saving would be or increase improved therapeutic effect.
Citations/References	Appropriate formatting; key papers referenced; appropriate number to support proposal; mixture of types (e.g. journals and conference papers)	5/5	used books, thesis, and papers ✓
Itemized response to peer reviews	Provides complete and thoughtful responses to peer review feedback; justifies choices and/or makes revisions as appropriate	5/5	
Overall			
Organization	Use of sub-headings; logical flow	5/5	
Presentation	Use of graphs, charts and figures; formatting	3/5	need better clearer explanation of figures and sentence
Readability/grammar	Language, spelling, grammar	4/5	minor style edits (see doc. w/ notes)
	Total	79/100	/100