# Poetry Framework: Recognize Generate and UNDERSTAND Poetry

Arvind Krishnaa Jagannathan, Greg Cobb, Michelle Scott, Sonal Danak

# Computational Creativity/Modeling and Design Deliverable #3:

### Description of the current system

Our current working system essentially consists of 3 parts:

- 1. Two parsers one for parsing each line of the CMU pronunciation dictionary (CMUDict) [1] and storing it to a database, and the other for parsing the poems entered or typed in by the user interacting with our current system.
- 2. Utility functions Simple functions such as checking if two words rhyme with each other, getting the number of syllables in a word, generating a list of words rhyming with a given word and many more to be implemented in the future.
- 3. Recognition Element to recognize if the text input is a haiku, limerick or a couplet.
- 4. User Interface for the purpose of the demo.

#### Parsers

#### **CMU Dictionary Parser**

The CMU Dictionary Parser is a one time pre-processor which reads each line of the CMUDict and stores the following information into a table in our MySQL database:

- 1. The word
- 2. The pronunciation symbols (phonemes and syllables) corresponding to the word
- 3. The last syllable of the word (this is just to save time so that the list created from step 2 need not be traversed again and again just to check if two words rhyme)

We implemented this parser because the CMUDict does not provide any API to access its data quickly. It is simply a large text file, and we realized that the cost of scanning this text file every time we need to lookup a word is far greater than a database lookup.

#### Poetry Parser

When provided with a piece of text, our system aims to identify whether the text falls into one the following categories of poems: haikus, limericks and couplets. For this purpose, the parser module tokenizes the text in two ways. First, it counts the number of lines in the text (the number of lines in a poem is often essential to identifying its category). Another functionality of this module is to extract the last word from an indicated line belonging to the text. The purpose of this extraction is to enable the system to identify whether there exists a certain rhyme scheme pertaining to the text. A rhyme scheme is another essential element in identifying the category of a poem. The input to this module is the piece of text that we wish to identify and the results are utilized by the recognition module that finally categories the text.

#### **Utility Functions**

We would obviously require a lot of utility/helper methods to assist in this project. At this stage, we have written a few simple ones, and have utilized NLTK (Pythons Natural Language Toolkit)[2] to find the number of syllables in a given word. Here are the two simple methods which we have implemented:

- 1. Check if two words rhyme with each other: Right now we simply assume that two words rhyme with each other if their corresponding symbols in the CMUDict have the same ending. Obviously this may not cover complex rhymes, or words twisted in their pronunciation to fit into a rhyme scheme (as is popular in rap), but it is a good starting point.
- 2. Generate a list of rhyming words for a given word: Here we get the symbol list from CMUDict for the word given as input and lookup a list of words in the CMUDict which also have the same ending (very similar to 1). By default we restrict the number of words returned to 10, but by adjusting a parameter to the input, we can generate as many rhyming words as required.

#### Recognition Element

The recognition element currently implemented is a naive version of what we would like to achieve in the end. As of now, it is able to recognize if the input given to it is a haiku, limerick or a couplet poem. The recognition element achieves this by comparing the text in each line of the input to the rules governing those poems (which we have described in Deliverable 2). For the purpose of completeness, here is a brief description of how we identify each of these poems:

- 1. Haiku Poem: We check if the input has exactly 3 lines (we use the newline character as the delimiter). If it does, we break down each line into constituent words (by assuming that words are delimited by a space). For each word we look up their syllable count in the CMUDict and thus creating an in-memory representation of the number of syllables in each line (say in1,n2,n3i). Once the input is exhausted, we compute the total number of syllables in the input (say n). n1, n2, n3 need to be 5,7 and 5 respectively (and of course the total will be 17). If the input violates even one of these conditions, it will be flagged as not a Haiku.
- 2. Limerick Poem: The input text needs to have exactly 5 lines. If it does, we get the last word for each line. If the last words of lines 1,2 and 5 rhyme and the last words of lines 3 and 4 rhyme, then we declare that the input is a Limerick. Again, failing any of the conditions immediately will disqualify the input from being a Limerick.
- 3. Couplet Poem: This is probably the simplest of the three to recognize. If the input has two lines and the last words of those two lines rhyme with each other then the input is a couplet; failing which it is not.

The recognition element will take an input text and run it against each of the above 3 rule-sets and will return the first matching category of poetry it belongs to. If none of the above categories match the recognizer throws a popup giving this information.

#### User Interface

As of now, the user interface consists of a main menu, from which the user can select either to find a poetry type within a piece of text (which right now is the simple recognizer) and associated windows for each of the menu items in the main menu. However, the main interface element is the recognizer element, where a user can type in text, or choose a text file; text from this would be placed in a text area and once the user clicks on the Search button, the recognition element will check if the input belongs to one of the three types of poems we have defined. They user interface is presented in Figures 1 and 2.



Figure 1: Main menu of our application



Figure 2: Recognition Element

## Description of the Demo

In the demo, we present the user interface of our application and demo the poetry tool for different input texts and show how they are classified according to the recognition element.

Our code is publicly visible and is located at: https://github.com/arv100kri/Poetry\_Framework

# Architectural Changes from Deliverbable 2

We do not have any significant deviations from the architecture we proposed in Deliverable 2. There is probably one minor change at this point - we do not have a central **Rule Engine** as of now. We instead have classes for each category of poems, and each of those classes have rules contained within them. However, when we will have to deal with more difficult/complex types of poems like sonnets etc., we will create this **Rule Engine**.

## Problems faced (and Expected to face)

It is easy to categorize something if the categories are well defined and disjoint. In case of poems, some types of poetry are fairly easy to identify. A good example is that of limericks that have a fixed rhyme scheme and line count. With other types of poetry, the rhyme scheme may not necessarily be defined and the number of lines may be variable. This makes categorization difficult. We faced this issue in trying to build a generic system that could identify poems using the same functions and had to subsequently limit the categories that our system would recognize. Also, we were forced to write separate modules for recognizing different categories.

Another issue came the in form of limitations with respect to the CMUDict; we assumed that the list of symbols that follow a word correspond to the syllables of the word. However, it turns out that they are actually the syllables alongwith the phoneme representation of them. We are unable as of now to accomplish this in Java. We utilized Pythons NLTK to tokenize the CMUDict and obtain the number of syllables for a given word. Then we used Javas *Runtime* class to call this Python script and parsed its output into a useable form. Also, since we are using Java, this is probably the fastest way to access the functionalities provided by the rich NLTK library! Of course, we are still exploring alternative ways to hook-up Java with NLTK-like libraries.

We also have to think about implementing contingency methods for certain words, in case they are not present in the CMUDict (which is a very good possibility when analyzing Wikipedia text).

#### The Future

- The system has hooks for poetry generation, recognition from a corpus, and poetry writing assistance, which need to be implemented.
- We have compiled a list of 20 types of poems and their associated attributes. We will try to expand the recognition element to incorporate these types as well.
- CMU dictionary also provides syllable and stress information, which we need to leverage in future implementations.
- Extend the generate rhyming words module to return a list of words which not only rhyme with the given input word, but are also related to the context (which could be the theme of the poem, or potentially anything else that is useful).

#### References

- [1] R Weide. The carnegie mellon pronouncing dictionary [cmudict. 0.6], 2005.
- [2] Steven Bird, Ewan Klein, and Edward Loper. *Natural language processing with Python*. O'Reilly Media, Incorporated, 2009.