Arvid Graner 980814-1858

6) Write down all formulas for computation

h Y2' = hf(tn+ch, Y2)

$$Y_1 = Y_n + \frac{1}{2} h Y_1$$

This yields next step

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \frac{1}{2}hY_1' + \frac{1}{2}hY_2'$$

Determine parameters for A-stability
Applying linear test function $Y'=\lambda Y'=f(Y)$

$$hY_2' = h\lambda Y_2 = h\lambda \left(Y_n + \frac{1}{2}hY_1' + \alpha hY_2' \right)$$

$$hY_{2}' = h\lambda(Y_{n} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{h\lambda}{1-\frac{1}{2}h\lambda}Y_{n} + ahY_{2}')$$

$$hY_{2}' - h^{2}\lambda aY_{2}' = h\lambda(1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{h\lambda}{1-\frac{1}{2}h\lambda})Y_{n}$$

$$hY_{2}'(1-h\lambda a) = h\lambda(1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{h\lambda}{1-\frac{1}{2}h\lambda})Y_{n}$$

$$hY_{2}' = \frac{h\lambda(1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{h\lambda}{1-\frac{1}{2}h\lambda})Y_{n}}{1-h\lambda a}$$

We have

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{1}{2}kY_1' + \frac{1}{2}kY_2'$$

$$= \gamma_n + \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{h\lambda}{1 - \frac{1}{2}h\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{h\lambda\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{h\lambda}{1 - \frac{1}{2}h\lambda}\right)}{1 - h\lambda\alpha}\right) \gamma_n$$

Stehility function
$$R(h\lambda) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}h\lambda\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}h\lambda} + \frac{1+\frac{h\lambda}{2-h\lambda}}{1-h\lambda a}\right)$$

Poles $h\lambda = 2$, $h\lambda = \frac{1}{a}$ $h\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$

For A-stability all poles in C => a >0, and IR(im)| <1 > wER.

$$R(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{z}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{z(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{z}{1 - a}z)}{1 - az}$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z}{1 - \frac{1}{2}Z} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z}{1 - \frac{1}{2}Z})}{1 - \alpha Z}$$

AG.

$$= \left| + \frac{Z}{1-Z} + \frac{Z}{2-2aZ} + \frac{Z}{2-2aZ} \right|$$

$$\left| + \frac{Z}{1-Z} + \frac{Z+Z^{2}(\frac{1}{2-Z})}{2-2aZ} \right|$$

$$\left| 1 + \frac{i\omega}{1 - i\omega} + \frac{i\omega - \omega^2 \left(\frac{1}{2 - i\omega}\right)}{2 - i2\omega} \right| \leq 1$$

This is not turning out very nike so I have probably miscalculated somewhere, but from the inequality I draw the conclusion that this is not smaller than I for all w, independent of selection of a. It is therefore not A-stable.

2.
$$Y_{n+2} - Y_{n+1} = h(\beta_2 f(Y_{n+2}) + \beta_1 f(Y_{n+1}) + \beta_0 f(Y_n))$$

C) Determine the parameters $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ so that it is of maximal order. $Y = t^n$, $Y' = f(Y) = mt^{m-1}$ at $t = 0$. Yields:

 $M = 0 \quad Y = 1 \quad Y' = 0 \quad LHS = 0$, $RHS = 0 \quad U$
 $M = 1 \quad Y = t \quad Y' = 1 \quad LHS = h$, $RHS = h(\beta_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)$
 $M = 2 \quad Y = t^2 \quad Y' = 2t$
 $LHS = Hh^2 - h^2$, $RHS = h(\beta_2 + 3\beta_1 + \beta_2)$
 $M = 3 \quad Y = t^3 \quad Y' = 3t^2$
 $LHS = (2h)^3 - h^3 = 7h^3$
 $RHS = h(\beta_2 + 3\beta_1)$

These three equations yield $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 12 & 3 & 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix}$
 $= 7 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & \frac{5}{12} \\ 6 & -\frac{2}{12} \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} \end{pmatrix} \approx RHS$
 $= 7 \quad LHS = 15 h^{-1} \quad RHS = (2h)^{-1} - h^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} h \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} \end{pmatrix} \approx RHS$
 $= |-\frac{32}{12} + \frac{147}{12} | h^2 = \frac{16}{12} h^2 + LHS$

Order 3!

5) Since it is of order 3. Dahlquists
second barrier theorems says it cannot
be A-stable since it has an order
higher than 2. (372)
higher than 2. (372)
But it can be useful despite lacking A-stability U.

3.
$$y''' + \alpha y'' + f(y) = 0$$
 $y(0) = \beta$ $y'(1) = \delta$

Introducing grid

 $y''' + \alpha y'' + f(y) = 0$
 $y(0) = \beta$ $y'(1) = \delta$
 $y''' + \alpha y'' + f(y) = 0$
 $y(0) = \beta$ $y'(1) = \delta$
 $y''' + \alpha y'' + f(y) = 0$
 $y''' + f(y) = 0$
 $y'' + f(y) = 0$
 $y''' + f(y) = 0$
 $y'' + f($

$$\alpha y' \approx \alpha \frac{n+1-n-1}{2\Delta x}$$

$$(y-2y+3), y_2-3+5(y)=0$$

$$\frac{(u_2 - 2u_1 + \beta)}{\Delta x^2} + \alpha \frac{u_2 - \beta}{2\Delta x} + f(u_1) = 0 \qquad n = 1$$

$$\frac{u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1}}{\Delta x^2} + \alpha \frac{u_{n+1} - u_{n+1}}{2\Delta x} + f(u_n) = 0 \qquad (n < N)$$

$$\frac{2y\Delta x - 2u_n + 2u_{n-1}}{\Delta x^2} + \alpha x + f(u_n) = 0 \qquad n = N$$

$$F = \left\{ \frac{u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1}}{\Delta x^2} + \alpha \frac{u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}}{2\Delta x} + f(u_n) \right\}_{n=1}^{V} = 0$$
where
$$\left\{ u_0 = \beta \right\}_{V = 1} = V_{V-1} + 2\Delta x^{V}$$

Jecobian $\{F_{N}^{i}\}$. Length N.

Last raw: $\left[0, \frac{2}{\Delta x^{2}}, \frac{2}{\Delta x^{2}}, \frac{2}{\Delta x^{2}} + \frac{2}{\partial u_{N}}\right]$ $N = \left[0, \frac{2}{\Delta x^{2}}, \frac{2}{\Delta x^{2}}, \frac{2}{\Delta x^{2}} + \frac{2}{\partial u_{N}}\right]$

Hasuer

e e

...

4. $u(b,x) = \Delta u(b,x)$ u(0,x) = g(x) $x \in [0,1]$ A.G. Semi-discretization:

U(t) = Tax U(t)

Fai the metrix-vector case we have

2U = TaxU ED = IUII & M[Tax] IIVII

This gives that the norm of the solution Will obides | WITOX]||VII (=> 11VII) < eutron to K

11v(0)11=11g(x)11E> K=11g(x)11

We thus have ||VII < entraxit ||g(x)||.

with Sobaleis Lemma twice we have that

 $M[T_{DX}] \approx M[\frac{2}{6x^2}] = \sup_{x=0}^{Sup} \frac{Re(u, \frac{2}{6x^2}u)}{||u||} = \sup_{x=0}^{Sup} Re - \frac{\langle u', u' \rangle}{||u||^2} - \frac{||u'||^2}{||u||^2}$ $||u'||^2 > \pi^2 ||u||^2 \quad \text{we have } M[T_{DX}] < -\pi^2 ||u||^2$ $-||u'||^2 < -\pi^2 ||u||^2$

With a negetive logarithmic norm we have A.D. This means that the narm of the solution is strictly decreasing with time, as would be expected with heat diffusion. The norm of the solution 11V(b)11
is therefore always bounded by 11U(t)11 & constant 11V(0)11 (max {e-124} = 1). We may also use the eigenvalues of the operator Tox Uz \[Tox] gives

U(t) = ToxU U(t) = \(\int_{Dx}\) \(=7 U = U(0) \(e^{\lambda \int_{Dx}}\) As with the given formula for eigenvalues of Tax the eigenvalues are XETIXT < 0 the solution is decreesing with bime. Same holds for the analytic operator of with hamagenous Arrichlet, as it yields lie - Kitx The norm strictly decreasing solutions are therefore bound by the narm of the initial value.

Periodic boundary conditions
$$u(0,x) = g(x)$$
 $u_{xx} \approx \frac{u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_{n-1}}{\Delta x^2}$
 $u_x \approx \frac{u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}}{2\Delta x}$

with spacial boundaries x20, x=1 we have w(t,0) 2 w(t,1)

Since the value $u(1) \ge u(0)$ we have N inner grid paints

(N+1) DX = 1 = 1 DX = 1

We have a discretization in space co

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{d}{dx} \approx T_{\Delta x} + S_{\Delta x} \quad \text{where} \quad$$

 $T_{DX} = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} -210 & -1 \\ 1-210 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $S_{DX} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -10 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -10 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $S_{DX} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -10 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -10 & 0 \\ 0 & 1$

Periadicity.

For initial condition the first special function vector U(x)

$$\bigcup^{\circ} = g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g(x_{0}) = g(x_{v}) \\ g(x_{v}) = g(x_{v}) \end{pmatrix}$$

The word straight farvard time-stepping method A.C.

b) would be the explicit Euler method but as

this has a CFL-condition that restricts the

time step for stability I would instead use

the corresponding trapezoidal rule for PDF's;

the Crank-Nicolson nethod. This is unconditionally

stable but as it is implicit it uses

more compute time! power per time step.

No CFL-condition.

We here

$$U^{i+1} = u^{i} + \frac{\Delta b}{2} \left(\left(T_{\Delta x} + S_{\Delta x} \right) u^{i} + \left(T_{\Delta x} + S_{\Delta x} \right) u^{i+1} \right)$$

That is

$$\left(I - \frac{1}{2} \left(T_{\Delta x} + S_{\Delta x}\right)\right) u^{i+1} = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta b}{2} \left(T_{\Delta x} + S_{\Delta x}\right)\right) u^{i}$$

$$= \int u^{j+1} = \left(\underline{T} - \frac{\Delta b}{2} \left(T_{\Delta x} + S_{\Delta x} \right) \right) \left(\underline{T} + \frac{\Delta b}{2} \left(T_{\Delta x} + S_{\Delta x} \right) \right) u^{j}$$

With
$$u^0 = \{g(x_i)\}_{z_0}^N$$
.

$$\dot{U}(t) = f(U(t))$$
 (1)

(a)
$$||V(t)||^2 (4) = 0$$
 (2)

$$\left(\frac{\frac{1}{24}\|\nu(4)\|}{\frac{1}{24}\|\nu(4)\|} = 0 \quad (2)$$

=> $\left(\frac{1}{24}\|\nu(4)\| = 2\left(\nu, f(\nu)\right)\right)$

We want
$$||V|| = L =$$
 we want $\langle V, f(V) = 0 \rangle$

$$V_{n+1} - U_n = \Delta t f(U_n)$$

=>
$$\langle U_n, U_{n+1} \rangle = \langle u_n, u_n \rangle = ||U_n||$$

This implies that the norm of the solution || Unll

is = (Un, Un+1), but unfortunabely (un, un+1) \ || || || || ||

so we cannot deduce whether || Ull is constant or not.

c) Implicit Euler: Untl - Un = Dt f(Untl) (Un+1, Un+1) - (Un+1, Un) = Dt (Un+1, f(un+1)) => 11 Vn+1 11 = (Un+1, Un) + 11 Un11 Not constant! d) Implicit midpoint method $U_{n+1} - U_n = \Delta t f \left(\frac{U_n + U_{n+1}}{2} \right)$ Using the vector Un+Vn+1 $\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{n}+V_{n+1},V_{n+1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{n}+V_{n+1},V_{n}\right)=\Delta t\left(\frac{u_{n}+u_{n+1}}{2},\left\{\frac{u_{n}+u_{n+1}}{2}\right\}\right)$ $=) \left\langle U_n + U_{n+1}, U_{n+1} \right\rangle = \left\langle U_n + U_{n+1}, U_n \right\rangle$ => (un, un+1) + (un+1, un+1) = (un, un) + (un+1, un) => (un+1 tan) + ||Vn+1|| = ||Vn|| + (un+1 tan) $||V_{n+1}|| = ||V_n|| \quad a$ As this holds for all n, we can start in nzo and deduce that $||V_n|| = ||V_0|| = constant for all n (2) 4t.$ Implicit midpoint preserves norm of solution!