



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

**Candidate Scrutiny Report
Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 22.06.2019**

Name of the State: Bihar

Election: PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	SOM PRAKASH VILL- DAUDNAGAR, PO+PS- DAUDNAGAR
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Swaraj Party (Loktantrik)-SPL
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	37-Aurangabad
4.	Name of the elected candidate	SUSHIL KUMAR SINGH
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	18-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	20-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	N/A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/A
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1168925/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	N/A

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date:	Yes N/A Signature (Name of the DEO)
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> Yes Date: _____	Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cash	155000.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs.155000.00/-	

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.155000.00/-

Dated:22-06-2019

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001