In my paper I will be arguing in favor of Philip Bereano’s stance regarding how technology is a tool of the powerful. I will do this by deconstructing his three main points and explaining why I think there is validity to these arguments and thus why his overall argument is sound. The first main point I will address is his claim that technology is not neutral. I will explore how since technology is the result of human intervention then this inherently creates a connection between technology’s function and the creator’s intentions. The two are inescapable rendering technology a reflection of human intention.

The second main point focuses on how our sense of choice given to us by technology is merely an appearance. I will argue how the importance of technology’s power over us by enabling us to feel we have autonomy over our decisions regarding the technology we interact with creates an atmosphere where we feel we have control which enables the people in charge of operating these technologies to retain power over us by making us ignorant toward said power they wield over us. Bereano’s third main point is how the distribution of power regarding technology is relegated to those who control it and understand it and by not understanding it this causes alienation. I will discuss how decisions made regarding technology being made up by a select few which will impact the majority is very telling of how technology is medium or tool through which the powerful use.