## PEER FEEDBACK - From 2151463 to 2337862

**Goals and insights:** 40% - Unclear description of the goals or insights. Lack of correspondence between both.

1. Your goal is specific, concise, and clear. However, your insights lack any real substance or actual insight from visualization. You have only described your goal in more detail in the insight section.

**Data abstraction:** 90% - The description completely corresponds to the data and the vis. Description of dataset and data types included and clearly explained.

1. A clear strength of your report, you have explained the Dataset type with items and attributes. All the necessary details are present, however, not in sufficient depth, for example, you could have elaborated on what each attribute represents in the dataset.

**Task abstraction:** 70% - Task abstractions are described in detail with some flaws or misunderstandings of the task abstractions. Description of marks and channels

- 1. There is a misunderstanding of channels used in the plot.
- 2. Your descriptions lack crucial details about actions a user can perform. For example, you could have expanded on the actions used to show what high, mid and low level actions mean in this context.
- 3. Description of Marks, Users and Target is given in sufficient detail.

**Image of the visualization:** 60% - The image is of appropriate quality, but it is unclear how the stated insights could be drawn from the vis.

- 1. This is a probability density plot; it is unclear what probabilities are being drawn from this graph. Furthermore, dataset only includes incidents from 1970 to 2017, however due to type of channel selected, the contour lines dip below 1960s and go over high 2020s. This may mislead a user into thinking something that the visualization is not aiming to present.
- 2. A bar chart may have been more appropriate to use in this scenario.
- 3. Though the Horizontal and vertical channel ticks are clearly visible and well labelled, the visual appears to be too constricted in size; furthermore, it is missing a title.
- 4. Quality of the visualization is excellent, sharp with beautiful color gradients.