Deciphering the Mysteries

Arya Vishe 21D070018 Mentor- Param Rathour

IIT-Bombay

25/07/2022

Table of Contents

A brief introduction

•0000

- A brief introduction

A brief introduction

Cryptography is the practice and study of techniques for secure communication in the presence of adversarial behavior.

A brief introduction

Cryptography is the practice and study of techniques for secure communication in the presence of adversarial behavior.

In general, *Alice* is trying to communicate with *Bob* over an unsecure channel where \underline{Eve} is trying to eavesdrop.

A brief introduction

Cryptography is the practice and study of techniques for secure communication in the presence of adversarial behavior.

In general, *Alice* is trying to communicate with *Bob* over an unsecure channel where \underline{Eve} is trying to eavesdrop.

In general, we always deal with binary data when it comes to advanced forms of encryption as we are usually talking about communication between two computers considering the computation required to encrypt/decrypt.

Cryptography is the practice and study of techniques for secure communication in the presence of adversarial behavior.

In general, Alice is trying to communicate with Bob over an unsecure channel where Eve is trying to eavesdrop.

In general, we always deal with binary data when it comes to advanced forms of encryption as we are usually talking about communication between two computers considering the computation required to encrypt/decrypt.

We call the original message to be communicated as *plaintext* and the encrypted data that is sent as *ciphertext*. The *key* is the information known to only *Bob* and *Alice*, that can be used for encryption/decryption of data.

Today's topics

A brief introduction

We will try to cover the Key distribution problem, Asymmetric cryptosystems and RSA in specific.

The Key Distribution Problem

A brief introduction

Traditional cryptosystems required the key to be physically passed to every recepient.

The Key Distribution Problem

Traditional cryptosystems required the key to be physically passed to every recepient.

- Even advanced machines like the Enigma would require high ranking officers to carry around an Enigma machine and a sheet with all codes for a specific month.
- Banks in the primitive age of the internet would hand deliver keys to their most important clients to ensure that they can remotely access some services.

Obviously it is not practical to meet each and every person face to face if you want to start communication with them over the internet.

Symmetric and Asymmetric cryptosystems

We can divide cryptosystems into 2 classes-

• Symmetric Cryptosystems- Anyone who can encrypt a plaintext also has enough information to be able to decrypt any ciphertext.

Symmetric and Asymmetric cryptosystems

We can divide cryptosystems into 2 classes-

- Symmetric Cryptosystems- Anyone who can encrypt a plaintext also has enough information to be able to decrypt any ciphertext.
- Asymmetric Cryptosystems- Anyone can encrypt (*Alice*) but only the receiver has enough information to decrypt (*Bob*).

As you can already see, asymmetric cryptosystems do show some promise for having a solution to the key distribution problem.

Table of Contents

- A brief introduction
- 2 Asymmetric Cryptosystems

A brief introduction

It consists of an encryption function and a decryption function (publicly known) which use a public and private key respectively.

It consists of an encryption function and a decryption function (publicly known) which use a public and private key respectively.

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{M} be the domains of ciphertext and plaintext respectively.

$$e_{k_{public}}(): \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{C} \text{ AND } d_{k_{private}}(): \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$$

It consists of an encryption function and a decryption function (publicly known) which use a public and private key respectively.

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{M} be the domains of ciphertext and plaintext respectively.

$$e_{k_{public}}(): \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{C} \text{ AND } d_{k_{private}}(): \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$$

If m = plaintext and c = corresponding ciphertext then,

$$c = e_{k_{public}}(m) \Rightarrow m = d_{k_{private}}(c) = d_{k_{private}}(e_{k_{public}}(m))$$

It consists of an encryption function and a decryption function (publicly known) which use a public and private key respectively.

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{M} be the domains of ciphertext and plaintext respectively.

$$e_{k_{public}}(): \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{C} \text{ AND } d_{k_{private}}(): \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$$

If m = plaintext and c = corresponding ciphertext then,

$$c = e_{k_{public}}(m) \Rightarrow m = d_{k_{private}}(c) = d_{k_{private}}(e_{k_{public}}(m))$$

In a way, you can say that $d_{k_{private}}()$ is the inverse of $e_{k_{public}}()$

Requirements of this function

The function $e_{k_{nublic}}$ () should one such that-

• Finding $k_{private}$ from any information about k_{public} should be a difficult task.

Integer Factorisation Problem

Requirements of this function

The function $e_{k_{nublic}}$ () should one such that-

- Finding $k_{private}$ from any information about k_{public} should be a difficult task.
- ② Figuring out the input of $e_{k_{nublic}}$ () from the output should be difficult without knowing $k_{private}$.

One candidate for such a function is exponentiation in modulo.

Modulo function

$$a^b \equiv c \bmod (d)$$

You can get a lot of variation in c by varying b in the above equation and thus can be used as a "trapdoor function".

Integer Factorisation Problem

Depending on the trapdoor information, we can use it for 2 different cryptosystems

Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP)

$$g^x \equiv h \bmod (p)$$

The problem of finding x can be used

- Diffie-Hellman Cryptosystem
- 2 ElGamal Cryptosystem

Integer Factorisation Problem

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (p \cdot q)$$

The problem of finding x here can be used in

• RSA cryptosystem implementation.

Table of Contents

- A brief introduction
- 2 Asymmetric Cryptosystems
- 3 Integer Factorisation Problem
- 4 RSA

A brief introduction

The math involved would be too heavy for such a short video so instead I will just ask you to suspend disbelief for a few moments with the following few theorems.¹.

¹You can refer to Chapter: RSA and Integer Factorisation in the endterm report 4□ → 4回 → 4 = → = → 9 < 0</p>

If we are looking at the equation,

$$x^e \equiv h \mod (p)$$
 where $p \in \text{prime numbers}, h \in (1, p)$

Then solving for x is trivially easy and involves finding d such that $d \cdot e \equiv 1 \mod (p-1)$

If we have to solve any equation

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (p \cdot q)$$

We can easily decompose it to two congruences

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (p)$$

and

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (q)$$

and then use Chinese Remainder Theorem to stitch the solutions of the 2 congruences to get the solution for the original congruence.

But wait!

Did we just say that

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (p \cdot q)$$

is easy to solve?

But wait!

Did we just say that

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (p \cdot q)$$

is easy to solve? Yes. But wait!

Did we just say that

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (p \cdot q)$$

is easy to solve?

Yes.

Then what is the difficult part in integer factorisation?

We can only solve this equation

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (p \cdot q)$$

If we know both p and q individually. If you just know $N=p\cdot q$, you will HAVE to factorise N else you cannot proceed with solving it.

We can only solve this equation

$$x^e \equiv h \bmod (p \cdot q)$$

If we know both p and q individually. If you just know $N=p\cdot q$, you will HAVE to factorise N else you cannot proceed with solving it.

And factorisation does not have any easy algorithm known as of yet.

Table of Contents

- A brief introduction
- 2 Asymmetric Cryptosystems
- 4 RSA

A brief introduction


1 Bob \Rightarrow Chooses a $p, q \in$ primes to construct $N = p \cdot q^2$

 $^{^2}e$ is then selected such that $\gcd(e,(p-1)\cdot(q-1))=1.$ There are some more nuances in selecting e

- **1** Bob \Rightarrow Chooses a $p, q \in$ primes to construct $N = p \cdot q^2$
- \bigcirc Alice \Rightarrow Converts her plaintext to an integer m such that $1 \le m < N$. She then sends $c \equiv m^e \mod(N)$ to Bob.

 $^{^{2}}e$ is then selected such that $\gcd(e,(p-1)\cdot(q-1))=1.$ There are some more nuances in selecting e4 D > 4 P > 4 B > 4 B > B 9 Q P

- **1** Bob \Rightarrow Chooses a $p, q \in$ primes to construct $N = p \cdot q.^2$
- \bigcirc Alice \Rightarrow Converts her plaintext to an integer m such that $1 \leq m < N$. She then sends $c \equiv m^e \mod(N)$ to Bob.
- **3** Bob \Rightarrow Finds d using $d \cdot e \equiv 1 \mod ((p-1) \cdot (q-1))$ and calculates $c^d \equiv m^{e \cdot d} \equiv m \mod (N)$.



- **1** Bob \Rightarrow Chooses a $p, q \in$ primes to construct $N = p \cdot q.^2$
- \bigcirc Alice \Rightarrow Converts her plaintext to an integer m such that $1 \le m < N$. She then sends $c \equiv m^e \mod(N)$ to Bob.
- **3** Bob \Rightarrow Finds d using $d \cdot e \equiv 1 \mod ((p-1) \cdot (q-1))$ and calculates $c^d \equiv m^{e \cdot d} \equiv m \mod (N)$.
- Eve \Rightarrow This whole while had m^e but inversion required her to factor N which is a difficult task without an easy algorithm as is the case with DLP.

 $^{^{2}}e$ is then selected such that $\gcd(e,(p-1)\cdot(q-1))=1$. There are some more nuances in selecting e4 D > 4 P > 4 B > 4 B > B 9 Q P

Thank you. :)