

# MA 105 : Calculus D1 - T5, Tutorial 08

Aryaman Maithani

IIT Bombay

25th September, 2019

Sheet 6: Problems 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8

(1) (i) Given any non-zero real number, it has a multiplicative inverse. Conversely, if a real number has a multiplicative inverse, then the number is non-zero.

Thus, whenever  $x^2 = y^2$ , we get that the expression is not defined and it is defined otherwise. Thus, the domain is  $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 \neq y^2\}$ .

(ii) We know that the  $\ln$  function is defined for positive real numbers. Thus, the expression given is defined whenever  $x^2 + y^2 > 0$ . It can be seen that the set of all such values of  $(x, y)$  is precisely the following set  $D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ .

(2) (i) Given any  $c$  from the options, the level curve is the line  $x - y = c$  in the  $XY$  plane, that is, the set of points  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x - y = c\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

The contour line for that  $c$  is the line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which consists of the set of points  $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x - y = c, z = c\}$ . That is, it is the contour line just shifted parallel- $y$  in the  $z$ -direction.

(ii) For  $c < 0$ , the contour lines and level curves are empty sets.

For  $c = 0$ , the level curve is just the point  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and the counter line is  $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

For  $c > 0$ , the level curve  $L$  is the circle  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = c\}$  and the contour line is the “same curve, just shifted  $c$  units upwards” in  $z$ -direction. More precisely, the contour line is the set  $L \times \{c\}$ .

(iii) You can work this out similarly.

Note: It is technically not correct to say that the contour lines are just the “level curves shifted upwards” because the two curves are not lying in the same space. More precisely,  $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . However, we do have a natural “embedding” of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is what we were referring to.

P.S.: Thank you, Adway Girish, for pointing out the error in the original slides where I swapped contour lines with level curves.

(3) (i) Claim: the function is not continuous at  $(0, 0)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following sequence  $(x_n, y_n) = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n^3})$ . It is clear that  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ .

But  $f(x_n, y_n) = \frac{1/n^6}{2/n^6} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus,  $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \neq 0$ .

Thus,  $f$  is not continuous at  $(0, 0)$ . ■

(ii) Claim: the given function is continuous at  $(0, 0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n, y_n)$  be any sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ . Then,  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $y_n \rightarrow 0$ . (1)

Note that if  $(x_n, y_n) \neq (0, 0)$ , then  $\left| \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} \right| \leq 1$ .

Thus,  $0 \leq |f(x_n, y_n)| \leq |x_n y_n|$ . (This inequality holds even if  $(x_n, y_n) = (0, 0)$ .)

Note that (1) tells us that  $x_n y_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Now, using our knowledge of limits of real sequences, we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n, y_n)| = 0$  and we are done. (How?)

(3) (iii) The function is continuous at  $(0, 0)$ . Similar proof as before will work using the fact that modulus is a continuous function.

(4) (i), (ii), (iii), (iv)

Let  $(x_0, y_0)$  be any point in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We show that the function is continuous at this point.

Let  $(x_n, y_n)$  be any sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$ . This gives us that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ . (Why?)

Hence,  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$  and  $g(y_n) \rightarrow g(y_0)$ . (Definition of continuity of real functions.)

Now, we can use properties of sum and difference of real sequences to get our answers.

For (iii), use the fact that  $\max\{a, b\} = \frac{a+b+|a-b|}{2}$  and that modulus is a continuous function. Similar considerations apply for (iv).



(5) First we show that the iterated limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(x, y) \right]$  exists.

To do this, we must first compute the inner limit. What that means is that we treat  $x$  as a constant and let  $y \rightarrow 0$ . The resulting expression must be a function of  $x$  alone.

If  $x = 0$ , then we get that the inner limit is simply 0.

If  $x \neq 0$ , then we get the function must be continuous at  $(x, 0)$  as it is quotient of two polynomials such that the denominator is not zero at  $(x, 0)$ . Thus, we can simply substitute  $y = 0$  and get our answer as 0, once again.

Thus, the iterated limit now evaluates to  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} [0]$ , which is clearly 0. Moreover, observe that  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$ . Thus, it is clear that both the iterated limits exist.

(5) Now we show that the  $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y)$  does not exist.

This is easy as one could take the following sequences:

①  $(x_n, y_n) = (0, 1/n)$ , and

②  $(x_n, y_n) = (1/n, 1/n)$ .

Clearly, in both the cases we have that  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ . However,  $f(x_n, y_n)$  converges to different values in each case.

(6) (i) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the function given.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(0, 0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0 + h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( h \cdot 0 \cdot \frac{h^2 - 0^2}{h^2 + 0^2} \right) \frac{1}{h} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that  $f_y(0, 0)$  also exists and equals 0 in a similar manner.

(6) (ii) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the function given.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(0, 0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0 + h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\sin^2(h)}{h|h|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The above limit does not exist. (Why?) (Hint: Take a strictly positive sequence and a strictly negative sequence, both of which converge to 0.)

It can be verified that  $f_y(0, 0)$  also does not exist in a similar manner.

(8) The continuity of  $f$  is immediate. It is extremely similar to what we've seen many times by now.

Let us show that the partial derivatives don't exist.

The partial derivative of  $f$  at  $(0, 0)$  with respect to the first variable ( $x$ ) is given by

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0 + h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sin\left(\frac{1}{h}\right),$$

which we know does not exist.

Similar considerations apply for the other partial derivative.