

MA 105 : Calculus D1 - T5, Tutorial 01

Aryaman Maithani

IIT Bombay

31st July 2019

Introduction

Hello.

Introduction

Hello.

I am Aryaman Maithani.

Introduction

Hello.

I am Aryaman Maithani.

I am from the Mathematics Department.

Introduction

Hello.

I am Aryaman Maithani.

I am from the Mathematics Department.

Nice to meet you all.

Introduction

Hello.

I am Aryaman Maithani.

I am from the Mathematics Department.

Nice to meet you all.

I will be your TA for the course MA 105.

Introduction

Hello.

I am Aryaman Maithani.

I am from the Mathematics Department.

Nice to meet you all.

I will be your TA for the course MA 105.

This is an extremely interesting course. You will be introduced to mathematical rigour.

Do not fear this, try to appreciate the elegance behind it.

Introduction

Hello.

I am Aryaman Maithani.

I am from the Mathematics Department.

Nice to meet you all.

I will be your TA for the course MA 105.

This is an extremely interesting course. You will be introduced to mathematical rigour.

Do not fear this, try to appreciate the elegance behind it.

The learning curve will be quite steep compared to any other course but do not fret; with sincere and regular efforts from your side, you should be able to understand the course quite well.

About the course policy

Here is the course policy relevant to the tutorials -

There will be a quiz in almost all tutorials.

There will be total 12 quizzes in all.

The best 10 out of this 12 will be counted.

Due to this reason, there will be no re-quiz under any circumstances.

The quiz will begin sharp at 2:00 PM and end at 2:05 PM. For those who come later than 2:00 PM but before 2:05 PM, they can still take the quiz but will have limited time.

This quiz will also serve as your attendance. If you have taken the quiz, you are not allowed to leave the tutorial until it has ended.

Expectations

What we expect from you, before you come to the tutorial is the following:

- ① You have read the lecture slides that have been uploaded up to that tutorial.
- ② You have *attempted* the questions that are to be discussed in the tutorials.

Some elementary concepts

Definition (Interval)

An interval I is any subset of \mathbb{R} with the following property:

$$x, y \in I, x < y \implies [x, y] \subset I.$$

Definition (Arbitrary intersection)

Given a collection of sets $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ where I is any arbitrary nonempty set, we define the following intersection:

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \{x \mid x \in A_i \quad \forall i \in I\}.$$

What this means is that x will belong to the intersection if and only if it belongs to each set A_i .

- ① $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are real numbers.
- ② The set of all even natural numbers is bounded.
- ③ The set $\{x\}$ is an open interval for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ④ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above.

① $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are real numbers.

False. $\pm\infty$ are just symbols which have meaning depending on the context in which they are used. Nothing more.

② The set of all even natural numbers is bounded.

③ The set $\{x\}$ is an open interval for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

④ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above.

- ① $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are real numbers.

False. $\pm\infty$ are just symbols which have meaning depending on the context in which they are used. Nothing more.

- ② The set of all even natural numbers is bounded.

False. Given any $M \in \mathbb{R}$, by Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > M$. Thus, $2n > n > M$ and $2n \in$ set of all even numbers.

- ③ The set $\{x\}$ is an open interval for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

- ④ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above.

- ① $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are real numbers.

False. $\pm\infty$ are just symbols which have meaning depending on the context in which they are used. Nothing more.

- ② The set of all even natural numbers is bounded.

False. Given any $M \in \mathbb{R}$, by Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > M$. Thus, $2n > n > M$ and $2n \in$ set of all even numbers.

- ③ The set $\{x\}$ is an open interval for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

False. Suppose not. Then $\{x\} = (a, b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

- ④ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above.

- ① $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are real numbers.

False. $\pm\infty$ are just symbols which have meaning depending on the context in which they are used. Nothing more.

- ② The set of all even natural numbers is bounded.

False. Given any $M \in \mathbb{R}$, by Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > M$. Thus, $2n > n > M$ and $2n \in$ set of all even numbers.

- ③ The set $\{x\}$ is an open interval for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

False. Suppose not. Then $\{x\} = (a, b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. As x belongs to $\{x\} = (a, b)$, we have it that $a < x$.

- ④ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above.

- ① $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are real numbers.

False. $\pm\infty$ are just symbols which have meaning depending on the context in which they are used. Nothing more.

- ② The set of all even natural numbers is bounded.

False. Given any $M \in \mathbb{R}$, by Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > M$. Thus, $2n > n > M$ and $2n \in$ set of all even numbers.

- ③ The set $\{x\}$ is an open interval for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

False. Suppose not. Then $\{x\} = (a, b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. As x belongs to $\{x\} = (a, b)$, we have it that $a < x$. Choose any point $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < y < x$. This belongs to (a, b) but not $\{x\}$.

- ④ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above.

- ① $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are real numbers.

False. $\pm\infty$ are just symbols which have meaning depending on the context in which they are used. Nothing more.

- ② The set of all even natural numbers is bounded.

False. Given any $M \in \mathbb{R}$, by Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > M$. Thus, $2n > n > M$ and $2n \in$ set of all even numbers.

- ③ The set $\{x\}$ is an open interval for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

False. Suppose not. Then $\{x\} = (a, b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. As x belongs to $\{x\} = (a, b)$, we have it that $a < x$. Choose any point $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < y < x$. This belongs to (a, b) but not $\{x\}$.

- ④ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above.

True. $m \geq 1 \implies 1/m \leq 1 \implies 2/m \leq 2$. Thus, 2 is an upper bound.

- ① $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are real numbers.

False. $\pm\infty$ are just symbols which have meaning depending on the context in which they are used. Nothing more.

- ② The set of all even natural numbers is bounded.

False. Given any $M \in \mathbb{R}$, by Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > M$. Thus, $2n > n > M$ and $2n \in$ set of all even numbers.

- ③ The set $\{x\}$ is an open interval for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

False. Suppose not. Then $\{x\} = (a, b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. As x belongs to $\{x\} = (a, b)$, we have it that $a < x$. Choose any point $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < y < x$. This belongs to (a, b) but not $\{x\}$.

- ④ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above.

True. $m \geq 1 \implies 1/m \leq 1 \implies 2/m \leq 2$. Thus, 2 is an upper bound.

Note: any number greater than 2 is also an upper bound.

- ⑤ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded below.
- ⑥ Union of intervals is also an interval.

- ⑤ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded below.

True. All elements of the set are positive. Thus, 0 is a lower bound.

- ⑥ Union of intervals is also an interval.

- ⑤ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded below.

True. All elements of the set are positive. Thus, 0 is a lower bound.

Note: No lower bound of this set is actually in the set.

- ⑥ Union of intervals is also an interval.

- ⑤ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded below.

True. All elements of the set are positive. Thus, 0 is a lower bound.

Note: No lower bound of this set is actually in the set.

- ⑥ Union of intervals is also an interval.

False. Let $A := (0, 1)$ and $B := (2, 3)$. A and B are intervals but $A \cup B$ is not.

- ⑤ The set $\{2/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded below.

True. All elements of the set are positive. Thus, 0 is a lower bound.

Note: No lower bound of this set is actually in the set.

- ⑥ Union of intervals is also an interval.

False. Let $A := (0, 1)$ and $B := (2, 3)$. A and B are intervals but $A \cup B$ is not.
(Why?)

- 7 Nonempty intersection of intervals is also an interval.

- ⑦ Nonempty intersection of intervals is also an interval.

True. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of intervals where I is some nonempty set. Let $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. We want to show that N is an interval.

- ⑦ Nonempty intersection of intervals is also an interval.

True. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of intervals where I is some nonempty set. Let $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. We want to show that N is an interval.

If N has just one element, then N is trivially an (closed) interval. Assume that N has more than one element.

- ⑦ Nonempty intersection of intervals is also an interval.

True. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of intervals where I is some nonempty set. Let $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. We want to show that N is an interval.

If N has just one element, then N is trivially an (closed) interval. Assume that N has more than one element.

Let $x, z \in N$ such that $x < z$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x < y < z$.

- ⑦ Nonempty intersection of intervals is also an interval.

True. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of intervals where I is some nonempty set. Let $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. We want to show that N is an interval.

If N has just one element, then N is trivially an (closed) interval. Assume that N has more than one element.

Let $x, z \in N$ such that $x < z$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x < y < z$. We need to show that $y \in N$.

⑦ Nonempty intersection of intervals is also an interval.

True. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of intervals where I is some nonempty set. Let $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. We want to show that N is an interval.

If N has just one element, then N is trivially an (closed) interval. Assume that N has more than one element.

Let $x, z \in N$ such that $x < z$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x < y < z$. We need to show that $y \in N$.

As $x, z \in N$, this means that $x, z \in A_i$ for each $i \in I$.

As A_i was in interval for each i , we must have that $y \in A_i$ for each i .

- ⑦ Nonempty intersection of intervals is also an interval.

True. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of intervals where I is some nonempty set. Let $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. We want to show that N is an interval.

If N has just one element, then N is trivially an (closed) interval. Assume that N has more than one element.

Let $x, z \in N$ such that $x < z$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x < y < z$. We need to show that $y \in N$.

As $x, z \in N$, this means that $x, z \in A_i$ for each $i \in I$.

As A_i was in interval for each i , we must have that $y \in A_i$ for each i .

Thus, $y \in N$. ■

- ⑧ Nonempty intersection of open intervals is also an open interval.

- ⑧ Nonempty intersection of open intervals is also an open interval.

False. Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- ⑧ Nonempty intersection of open intervals is also an open interval.

False. Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that A_n is an open interval for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- ⑧ Nonempty intersection of open intervals is also an open interval.

False. Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that A_n is an open interval for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

However, the intersection is $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \{0\}$.

- ⑧ Nonempty intersection of open intervals is also an open interval.

False. Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that A_n is an open interval for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

However, the intersection is $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \{0\}$. (Why?)

- ⑧ Nonempty intersection of open intervals is also an open interval.

False. Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that A_n is an open interval for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

However, the intersection is $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \{0\}$. (Why?)

As shown before, $\{0\}$ is not an open interval.

- ⑧ Nonempty intersection of open intervals is also an open interval.

False. Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that A_n is an open interval for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

However, the intersection is $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \{0\}$. (Why?)

As shown before, $\{0\}$ is not an open interval.

Note: In the case of finite intersection, the statement **is** true.

- ⑧ Nonempty intersection of open intervals is also an open interval.

False. Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that A_n is an open interval for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

However, the intersection is $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \{0\}$. (Why?)

As shown before, $\{0\}$ is not an open interval.

Note: In the case of finite intersection, the statement **is** true.

Note: In the previous proof, we had talked about arbitrary intersections. That is, the argument works for infinite intersections as well.

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.
True.

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$.

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$.

As the above collections are finite, $a = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $b = \min\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ exist.

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$.

As the above collections are finite, $a = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $b = \min\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ exist.

As the intersection is supposed to be nonempty, we must have it that $a \leq b$.

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$.

As the above collections are finite, $a = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $b = \min\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ exist.

As the intersection is supposed to be nonempty, we must have it that $a \leq b$.
(Why?)

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$.

As the above collections are finite, $a = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $b = \min\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ exist.

As the intersection is supposed to be nonempty, we must have it that $a \leq b$.
(Why?)

The intersection of the sets is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = [a, b]$, which is a closed interval.

- 10 Nonempty finite intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$.

As the above collections are finite, $a = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $b = \min\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ exist.

As the intersection is supposed to be nonempty, we must have it that $a \leq b$.
(Why?)

The intersection of the sets is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = [a, b]$, which is a closed interval.

To show the above equality, one must show that each side is a subset of the other.

This is done in the following manner:

This is done in the following manner:

For the sake of convenience, let us define $S_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $S_2 := [a, b]$.

This is done in the following manner:

For the sake of convenience, let us define $S_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $S_2 := [a, b]$.

Let $x \in S_1$ be given. We will try to show that x must belong to S_2 .

This is done in the following manner:

For the sake of convenience, let us define $S_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $S_2 := [a, b]$.

Let $x \in S_1$ be given. We will try to show that x must belong to S_2 .

$$x \in S_1 \implies x \in A_i \quad \forall i \in I$$

This is done in the following manner:

For the sake of convenience, let us define $S_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $S_2 := [a, b]$.

Let $x \in S_1$ be given. We will try to show that x must belong to S_2 .

$$x \in S_1 \implies x \in A_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a_i \quad \forall i \in I$$

This is done in the following manner:

For the sake of convenience, let us define $S_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $S_2 := [a, b]$.

Let $x \in S_1$ be given. We will try to show that x must belong to S_2 .

$$x \in S_1 \implies x \in A_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a.$$

This is done in the following manner:

For the sake of convenience, let us define $S_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $S_2 := [a, b]$.

Let $x \in S_1$ be given. We will try to show that x must belong to S_2 .

$$x \in S_1 \implies x \in A_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a.$$

Similarly, one can show that $x \leq b$. Thus, we have it that $x \in [a, b] = S_2$.

This is done in the following manner:

For the sake of convenience, let us define $S_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $S_2 := [a, b]$.

Let $x \in S_1$ be given. We will try to show that x must belong to S_2 .

$$x \in S_1 \implies x \in A_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a.$$

Similarly, one can show that $x \leq b$. Thus, we have it that $x \in [a, b] = S_2$.

Therefore, we have shown that $S_1 \subset S_2$.

This is done in the following manner:

For the sake of convenience, let us define $S_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $S_2 := [a, b]$.

Let $x \in S_1$ be given. We will try to show that x must belong to S_2 .

$$x \in S_1 \implies x \in A_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a_i \quad \forall i \in I \implies x \geq a.$$

Similarly, one can show that $x \leq b$. Thus, we have it that $x \in [a, b] = S_2$.

Therefore, we have shown that $S_1 \subset S_2$.

The reverse containment is left as an exercise.

- 9 Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

- 9 Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

- 9 Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

- 9 Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

Note that we **cannot** proceed by induction either.

- ⑨ Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

Note that we **cannot** proceed by induction either. (Why?)

- 9 Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

Note that we **cannot** proceed by induction either. (Why?) However, we can use similar ideas as before and proceed in that manner.

- 9 Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

Note that we **cannot** proceed by induction either. (Why?) However, we can use similar ideas as before and proceed in that manner.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where I is any arbitrary nonempty set.

- 9 Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

Note that we **cannot** proceed by induction either. (Why?) However, we can use similar ideas as before and proceed in that manner.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where I is any arbitrary nonempty set.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$.

- ⑨ Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

Note that we **cannot** proceed by induction either. (Why?) However, we can use similar ideas as before and proceed in that manner.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where I is any arbitrary nonempty set.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Note that $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} that is bounded above.

- ⑨ Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

Note that we **cannot** proceed by induction either. (Why?) However, we can use similar ideas as before and proceed in that manner.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where I is any arbitrary nonempty set.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Note that $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} that is bounded above. (By what?)

- 9 Nonempty intersection of closed intervals is also a closed interval.

True.

Note that the previous argument does not hold, though. This is because the collection of intervals need not be finite which means that the existence of minimum and maximum is not guaranteed, a priori.

Note that we **cannot** proceed by induction either. (Why?) However, we can use similar ideas as before and proceed in that manner.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of closed intervals, where I is any arbitrary nonempty set.

As A_i is a closed interval for every i , $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for some collections $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Note that $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} that is bounded above. (By what?) Similarly, $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} that is bounded below.

Thus, we can define $a = \sup\{a_i | i \in I\}$ and $b = \inf\{b_i | i \in I\}$. Like before, we must have $a \leq b$.

Once again, we claim that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = [a, b]$, which is a closed interval.

Thus, we can define $a = \sup\{a_i | i \in I\}$ and $b = \inf\{b_i | i \in I\}$. Like before, we must have $a \leq b$.

Once again, we claim that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = [a, b]$, which is a closed interval.

- 11 For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a rational $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $r > x$.
- 12 Between any two rational numbers there lies an irrational number.

Thus, we can define $a = \sup\{a_i | i \in I\}$ and $b = \inf\{b_i | i \in I\}$. Like before, we must have $a \leq b$.

Once again, we claim that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = [a, b]$, which is a closed interval.

- 11 For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a rational $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $r > x$.

True. Follows from the Archimedean property of real numbers and that $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

- 12 Between any two rational numbers there lies an irrational number.

Thus, we can define $a = \sup\{a_i | i \in I\}$ and $b = \inf\{b_i | i \in I\}$. Like before, we must have $a \leq b$.

Once again, we claim that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = [a, b]$, which is a closed interval.

- 11 For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a rational $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $r > x$.

True. Follows from the Archimedean property of real numbers and that $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

- 12 Between any two rational numbers there lies an irrational number.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $p < q$.

Thus, we can define $a = \sup\{a_i | i \in I\}$ and $b = \inf\{b_i | i \in I\}$. Like before, we must have $a \leq b$.

Once again, we claim that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = [a, b]$, which is a closed interval.

- 11 For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a rational $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $r > x$.

True. Follows from the Archimedean property of real numbers and that $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

- 12 Between any two rational numbers there lies an irrational number.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $p < q$.

Define $r := a + \frac{b-a}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Thus, we can define $a = \sup\{a_i | i \in I\}$ and $b = \inf\{b_i | i \in I\}$. Like before, we must have $a \leq b$.

Once again, we claim that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = [a, b]$, which is a closed interval.

- 11 For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a rational $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $r > x$.

True. Follows from the Archimedean property of real numbers and that $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

- 12 Between any two rational numbers there lies an irrational number.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $p < q$.

Define $r := a + \frac{b-a}{\sqrt{2}}$. Show that $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and that $p < r < q$.

Recap - Convergence of a sequence

Definition (Convergence of a sequence)

Let (a_n) be a sequence of real numbers. We say that (a_n) is convergent if there is a $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the following condition holds.

For every $\epsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

In this case, we say that (a_n) **converges** to a , or that a is a limit of (a_n) , and we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a \text{ or } a_n \longrightarrow a \text{ (as } n \longrightarrow \infty \text{)}.$$

If a sequence doesn't converge, we say that the sequence **diverges** or that it is **divergent**.

Sheet 1

1. (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

Sheet 1

1. (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Sheet 1

1. (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, the following is true: $\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon.$

1. (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, the following is true: $\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon.$

$$\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{n} < \epsilon$$

1. (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, the following is true: $\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon.$

$$\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{n} < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{\epsilon} < n.$$

1. (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, the following is true: $\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon.$

$$\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{n} < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{\epsilon} < n.$$

Let $n_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{10}{\epsilon} \right\rfloor + 1.$

1. (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, the following is true: $\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon.$

$$\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{n} < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{\epsilon} < n.$$

Let $n_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{10}{\epsilon} \right\rfloor + 1$. It is clear that $n_0 > \frac{10}{\epsilon}.$

Moreover, for any $n \geq n_0$, we will have $n > \frac{10}{\epsilon}.$

Sheet 1

1. (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, the following is true: $\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon.$

$$\left| \frac{10}{n} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{n} < \epsilon \iff \frac{10}{\epsilon} < n.$$

Let $n_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{10}{\epsilon} \right\rfloor + 1$. It is clear that $n_0 > \frac{10}{\epsilon}.$

Moreover, for any $n \geq n_0$, we will have $n > \frac{10}{\epsilon}.$

Thus, we have shown that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left| \frac{10}{n} \right| < \epsilon$ for

all $n \geq n_0$. $\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10}{n} = 0.$

1. (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{3n+1} = 0$

1. (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{3n+1} = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

1. (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{3n+1} = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

1. (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{3n+1} = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

$$\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{5}{3n+1} < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) < n.$$

1. (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{3n+1} = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

$$\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{5}{3n+1} < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) < n.$$

Thus, we can choose any $n_0 > \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right)$.

1. (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{3n+1} = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

$$\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{5}{3n+1} < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) < n.$$

Thus, we can choose any $n_0 > \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right)$.

One such choice is $n_0 = \max \left\{ 1, \left\lfloor \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) \right\rfloor \right\} + 1$.

1. (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{3n+1} = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

$$\left| \frac{5}{3n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{5}{3n+1} < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) < n.$$

Thus, we can choose any $n_0 > \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right)$.

One such choice is $n_0 = \max \left\{ 1, \left\lfloor \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{5}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) \right\rfloor \right\} + 1$.

Note: The choice of n_0 is not unique. Our choice of n_0 might not be the smallest but that is okay.

1. (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} = 0.$

1. (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

1. (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

1. (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$
$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} \right| < \epsilon$$

1. (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} = 0.$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$
$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n+1} \right| < \epsilon$$

Note the direction of implication of the red arrow. We have used the fact that $|\sin x| < 1$ for all real x .

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n+1} \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n+1} \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n} \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} < n.$$

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n+1} \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n} \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} < n.$$

Thus, we can choose $n_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \right\rfloor + 1$.

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n+1} \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{n^{2/3}}{n} \right| < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} < n.$$

Thus, we can choose $n_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \right\rfloor + 1$.

By our arrows of implication, it can be seen that for $n \geq n_0$, the desired inequality holds.

1. (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{n+1}{n} \right) = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Thus, if we choose $n_0 = \left\lceil \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right\rceil + 1$, we have it that the desired inequality holds.

1. (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{n+1}{n} \right) = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Observe the following:

Thus, if we choose $n_0 = \left\lceil \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right\rceil + 1$, we have it that the desired inequality holds.

1. (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{n+1}{n} \right) = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Observe the following:

$$\left| \frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{n+1}{n} \right| = \left| 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} - 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right| = \left| -\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right|$$

Thus, if we choose $n_0 = \left\lceil \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right\rceil + 1$, we have it that the desired inequality holds.

1. (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{n+1}{n} \right) = 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{n^{2/3} \sin(n!)}{n+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{n}{n+1} - \frac{n+1}{n} \right| &= \left| 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} - 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right| = \left| -\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we choose $n_0 = \left\lceil \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right\rceil + 1$, we have it that the desired inequality holds.

3. (i) To show: $\left\{ \frac{n^2}{n+1} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

3. (i) To show: $\left\{ \frac{n^2}{n+1} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the fact that convergent sequences are bounded. We will try to show that the sequence given is not bounded. That would imply that the sequence does not converge.

3. (i) To show: $\left\{ \frac{n^2}{n+1} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the fact that convergent sequences are bounded. We will try to show that the sequence given is not bounded. That would imply that the sequence does not converge. (Why?)

3. (i) To show: $\left\{ \frac{n^2}{n+1} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the fact that convergent sequences are bounded. We will try to show that the sequence given is not bounded. That would imply that the sequence does not converge. (Why?)

$$\frac{n^2}{n+1} > \frac{n^2 - 1}{n+1} = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n+1} = n-1$$

3. (i) To show: $\left\{ \frac{n^2}{n+1} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the fact that convergent sequences are bounded. We will try to show that the sequence given is not bounded. That would imply that the sequence does not converge. (Why?)

$$\frac{n^2}{n+1} > \frac{n^2 - 1}{n+1} = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n+1} = n-1$$

Thus, the sequence given is bounded below by $n-1$, but by Archimedean property, we know that $n-1$ is not bounded above. Thus, our sequence is not bounded (above). As a result, it is not convergent. ■

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results:

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results: (a) Sum of convergent sequences is convergent.

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results: (a) Sum of convergent sequences is convergent.

(b) The sequence $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is not convergent.

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results: (a) Sum of convergent sequences is convergent.

(b) The sequence $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is not convergent.

We now proceed as follows:

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results: (a) Sum of convergent sequences is convergent.

(b) The sequence $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is not convergent.

We now proceed as follows:

$$a_n := (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} - \frac{(-1)^n}{n}.$$

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results: (a) Sum of convergent sequences is convergent.

(b) The sequence $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is not convergent.

We now proceed as follows:

$$a_n := (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} - \frac{(-1)^n}{n}.$$

It is easy to show that $b_n := \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ is convergent. (Its absolute value will behave the same way as $1/n$.)

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results: (a) Sum of convergent sequences is convergent.

(b) The sequence $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is not convergent.

We now proceed as follows:

$$a_n := (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} - \frac{(-1)^n}{n}.$$

It is easy to show that $b_n := \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ is convergent. (Its absolute value will behave the same way as $1/n$.)

Now, for the sake of contradiction, let us assume that (a_n) converges. Then, by (a), we have it that $c_n := a_n + b_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{2}$ must be convergent.

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results: (a) Sum of convergent sequences is convergent.

(b) The sequence $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is not convergent.

We now proceed as follows:

$$a_n := (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} - \frac{(-1)^n}{n}.$$

It is easy to show that $b_n := \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ is convergent. (Its absolute value will behave the same way as $1/n$.)

Now, for the sake of contradiction, let us assume that (a_n) converges. Then, by (a), we have it that $c_n := a_n + b_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{2}$ must be convergent.

However, (c_n) converging is equivalent to $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ converging.

3. (ii) To show: $\left\{ (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is *not* convergent.

We will use the following two results: (a) Sum of convergent sequences is convergent.

(b) The sequence $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is not convergent.

We now proceed as follows:

$$a_n := (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} - \frac{(-1)^n}{n}.$$

It is easy to show that $b_n := \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ is convergent. (Its absolute value will behave the same way as $1/n$.)

Now, for the sake of contradiction, let us assume that (a_n) converges. Then, by (a), we have it that $c_n := a_n + b_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{2}$ must be convergent.

However, (c_n) converging is equivalent to $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ converging. (Why?)

However, by (b), we know that the above is false. Thus, we have arrived at a contradiction.

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}$.

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}$.

In other words, if we define $b_n := a_{n+1}$, we find the limit of (b_n) , if it exists.

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}$.

In other words, if we define $b_n := a_{n+1}$, we find the limit of (b_n) , if it exists.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}$.

In other words, if we define $b_n := a_{n+1}$, we find the limit of (b_n) , if it exists.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

Choose $n_0 = n_1$, then, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have that $|b_n - L| = |a_{n+1} - L| < \epsilon$. (2)

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}$.

In other words, if we define $b_n := a_{n+1}$, we find the limit of (b_n) , if it exists.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

Choose $n_0 = n_1$, then, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have that $|b_n - L| = |a_{n+1} - L| < \epsilon$. (2)

The last inequality is due to the following:

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}$.

In other words, if we define $b_n := a_{n+1}$, we find the limit of (b_n) , if it exists.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

Choose $n_0 = n_1$, then, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have that $|b_n - L| = |a_{n+1} - L| < \epsilon$. (2)

The last inequality is due to the following:

$n + 1 > n \geq n_0 = n_1$ and using (1).

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}$.

In other words, if we define $b_n := a_{n+1}$, we find the limit of (b_n) , if it exists.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

Choose $n_0 = n_1$, then, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have that $|b_n - L| = |a_{n+1} - L| < \epsilon$. (2)

The last inequality is due to the following:

$n + 1 > n \geq n_0 = n_1$ and using (1).

Thus, by (2), we have shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1} = L$.

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|$.

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|$.

Like before, let us define $b_n := |a_n|$.

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|$.

Like before, let us define $b_n := |a_n|$. It seems reasonable to guess that the limit must be $|L|$, let us try to prove that.

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|$.

Like before, let us define $b_n := |a_n|$. It seems reasonable to guess that the limit must be $|L|$, let us try to prove that.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|$.

Like before, let us define $b_n := |a_n|$. It seems reasonable to guess that the limit must be $|L|$, let us try to prove that.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

Choose $n_0 = n_1$, then, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have that

$$|b_n - |L|| = ||a_n| - |L|| \leq |a_n - L| < \epsilon. \quad (2)$$

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|$.

Like before, let us define $b_n := |a_n|$. It seems reasonable to guess that the limit must be $|L|$, let us try to prove that.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

Choose $n_0 = n_1$, then, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have that

$$|b_n - |L|| = ||a_n| - |L|| \leq |a_n - L| < \epsilon. \quad (2)$$

The last inequality is due to the following:

$||x| - |y|| \leq |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and using (1).

6. Given $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, we need to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|$.

Like before, let us define $b_n := |a_n|$. It seems reasonable to guess that the limit must be $|L|$, let us try to prove that.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. As (a_n) is convergent, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. (1)

Choose $n_0 = n_1$, then, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have that

$$|b_n - |L|| = ||a_n| - |L|| \leq |a_n - L| < \epsilon. \quad (2)$$

The last inequality is due to the following:

$$||x| - |y|| \leq |x - y| \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and using (1).}$$

Thus, by (2), we have shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = |L|$.

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Sheet 1

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let us choose $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$.

Sheet 1

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let us choose $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$. (Why is this a valid choice of ϵ ?)

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let us choose $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$. (Why is this a valid choice of ϵ ?)

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ whenever $n \geq n_0$.

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let us choose $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$. (Why is this a valid choice of ϵ ?)

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ whenever $n \geq n_0$.

$$|a_n - L| < \epsilon \qquad \forall n \geq n_0$$

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let us choose $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$. (Why is this a valid choice of ϵ ?)

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ whenever $n \geq n_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - L| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies ||a_n| - |L|| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let us choose $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$. (Why is this a valid choice of ϵ ?)

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ whenever $n \geq n_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - L| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies ||a_n| - |L|| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies -\epsilon < |a_n| - |L| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

Sheet 1

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let us choose $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$. (Why is this a valid choice of ϵ ?)

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ whenever $n \geq n_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - L| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies ||a_n| - |L|| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies -\epsilon < |a_n| - |L| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies |L| - \epsilon &< |a_n| & \forall n \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

Sheet 1

7. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$, show that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let us choose $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$. (Why is this a valid choice of ϵ ?)

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ whenever $n \geq n_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - L| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies ||a_n| - |L|| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies -\epsilon < |a_n| - |L| &< \epsilon & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies |L| - \epsilon &< |a_n| & \forall n \geq n_0 \\ \implies \frac{|L|}{2} &< |a_n| & \forall n \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

8. If $a_n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$.

8. If $a_n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. This means that $\epsilon^2 > 0$.

8. If $a_n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. This means that $\epsilon^2 > 0$.

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - 0| = a_n < \epsilon^2$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

8. If $a_n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. This means that $\epsilon^2 > 0$.

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - 0| = a_n < \epsilon^2$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Thus, $|a_n^{1/2} - 0| = a_n^{1/2} < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

8. If $a_n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. This means that $\epsilon^2 > 0$.

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - 0| = a_n < \epsilon^2$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Thus, $|a_n^{1/2} - 0| = a_n^{1/2} < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

By definition of limit, we have shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$. ■

8. If $a_n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. This means that $\epsilon^2 > 0$.

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - 0| = a_n < \epsilon^2$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Thus, $|a_n^{1/2} - 0| = a_n^{1/2} < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

By definition of limit, we have shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$. ■

At what place(s) did we use that $a_n \geq 0$?

8. If $a_n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. This means that $\epsilon^2 > 0$.

By hypothesis, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - 0| = a_n < \epsilon^2$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Thus, $|a_n^{1/2} - 0| = a_n^{1/2} < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

By definition of limit, we have shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/2} = 0$. ■

At what place(s) did we use that $a_n \geq 0$?

Hint for **optional**: Use the inequality $\left| \sqrt[n]{a} - \sqrt[n]{b} \right| \leq \sqrt[n]{|a - b|}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

9. (i) $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent, if $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent.

(ii) $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent, if $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent and $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded.

9. (i) $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent, if $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent.

(ii) $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent, if $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent and $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded.

Both are **false**.

9. (i) $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent, if $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent.

(ii) $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent, if $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent and $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded.

Both are **false**.

The sequences, $a_n := 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_n := (-1)^n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ act as a counterexample for both the statements.