MA 105 : Calculus D1 - T5, Tutorial 08

Aryaman Maithani

IIT Bombay

25th September, 2019

- (1) (i) Given any non-zero real number, it has a multiplicative inverse. Conversely, if a real number has a multiplicative inverse, then the number is non-zero. Thus, whenever $x^2 = y^2$, we get that the expression is not defined and it is defined otherwise. Thus, the domain is $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 \neq y^2\}$.
- (ii) We know that the In function is defined for positive real numbers. Thus, the expression given is defined whenever $x^2 + y^2 > 0$. It can be seen that the set of all such values of (x, y) is precisely the following set $D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

- (2) (i) Given any c from the options, the level curve is the line x-y=c in the XY plane, that is, the set of points $\{(x,\ y)\in\mathbb{R}^2:x-y=c\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . The contour line for that c is the line in \mathbb{R}^3 which consists of the set of points $\{(x,\ y,\ z)\in\mathbb{R}^3:x-y=c,\ z=c\}$. That is, it is the contour line just shifted parallel-y in the z-direction.
- (ii) For c<0, the contour lines and level curves are empty sets. For c=0, the level curve is just the point $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and the counter line is $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

For c > 0, the level curve L is the circle $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = c\}$ and the contour line is the "same curve, just shifted c units upwards" in z-direction. More precisely, the contour line is the set $L \times \{c\}$.

(iii) You can work this out similarly.

Note

Note: It is technically not correct to say that the contour lines are just the "level curves shifted upwards" because the two curves are not lying in the same space. More precisely, $\mathbb{R}^2 \not\subset \mathbb{R}^3$. However, we do have a natural "embedding" of \mathbb{R}^2 into \mathbb{R}^3 which is what we were referring to.

P.S.: Thank you, Adway Girish, for pointing out the error in the original slides where I swapped contour lines with level curves.

(3) (i) Claim: the function is not continuous at (0, 0). *Proof.* Consider the following sequence $(x_n, y_n) = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n^3})$. It is clear that $(x_n, y_n) \to (0, 0)$.

But $f(x_n, y_n) = \frac{1/n^6}{2/n^6} = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, $f(x_n, y_n) \to \frac{1}{2} \neq 0$.

Thus, f is not continuous at (0, 0).

(ii) Claim: the given function is continuous at (0, 0).

Proof. Let (x_n, y_n) be any sequence in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $(x_n, y_n) \to (0, 0)$. Then, $x_n \to 0$ and $y_n \to 0$.

Note that if $(x_n, y_n) \neq (0, 0)$, then $\left| \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} \right| \leq 1$.

Thus, $0 \le |f(x_n, y_n)| \le |x_n y_n|$. (This inequality holds even if $(x_n, y_n) = (0, 0)$.)

Note that (1) tells us that $x_n y_n \to 0$.

Now, using our knowledge of limits of real sequences, we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f(x_n, y_n)| = 0$ and we are done. (How?)

(3) (iii) The function is continuous at (0, 0). Similar proof as before will work using the fact that modulus is a continuous function.

(4) (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) Let (x_0, y_0) be any point in \mathbb{R}^2 . We show that the function is continuous at this point. Let (x_n, y_n) be any sequence in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $(x_n, y_n) \to (x_0, y_0)$. This gives us that $x_n \to x_0$ and $y_n \to y_0$. (Why?)

Hence, $f(x_n) \to f(x_0)$ and $g(y_n) \to g(y_0)$. (Definition of continuity of real functions.) Now, we can use properties of sum and difference of real sequences to get our answers.

For (iii), use the fact that $\max\{a, b\} = \frac{|a+b|+|a-b|}{2}$ and that modulus is a continuous function. Similar considerations apply for (iv).

(5) First we show that the iterated limit $\lim_{x\to 0} \left[\lim_{y\to 0} f(x, y) \right]$ exists.

To do this, we must first compute the inner limit. What that means is that we treat x as a constant and let $y \to 0$. The resulting expression must be a function of x alone. If x = 0, then we get that the inner limit is simply 0.

If $x \neq 0$, then we get the function must be continuous at (x, 0) as it is quotient of two polynomials such that the denominator is not zero at (x, 0). Thus, we can simply substitute y = 0 and get our answer as 0, once again.

Thus, the iterated limit now evaluates to $\lim_{x\to 0} [0]$, which is clearly 0. Moreover, observe that f(x, y) = f(y, x). Thus, it is clear that both the iterated limits exist.

(5) Now we show that the $\lim_{(x, y)\to(0, 0)} f(x, y)$ does not exist.

This is easy as one could take the following sequences:

- ① $(x_n, y_n) = (0, 1/n)$, and
- 2 $(x_n, y_n) = (1/n, 1/n)$.

Clearly, in both the cases we have that $(x_n, y_n) \to (0, 0)$. However, $f(x_n, y_n)$ converges to different values in each case.

(6) (i) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the function given. Then.

$$f_{x}(0, 0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \left(h \cdot 0 \cdot \frac{h^{2} - 0^{2}}{h^{2} + 0^{2}} \right) \frac{1}{h}$$
$$= 0$$

It can be verified that $f_{\nu}(0, 0)$ also exists and equals 0 in a similar manner.

(6) (ii) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the function given. Then.

$$f_{x}(0, 0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \left(\frac{\sin^{2}(h)}{h|h|}\right)$$

The above limit does not exist. (Why?) (Hint: Take a strictly positive sequence and a strictly negative sequence, both of which converge to 0.)

It can be verified that $f_{\nu}(0, 0)$ also does not exist in a similar manner.

(8) The continuity of f is immediate. It is extremely similar to what we've seen many times by now.

Let us show that the partial derivatives don't exist.

The partial derivative of f at (0, 0) with respect to the first variable (x) is given by

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(0+h,\ 0)-f(0,\ 0)}{h}=\lim_{h\to 0}\sin\left(\frac{1}{h}\right),$$

which we know does not exist

Similar considerations apply for the other partial derivative.