2.1 Find the Row Canonical Form of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 11 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow REF, \text{ not } RCF$$

2.2 Let
$$\mathbf{A}:=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0\\1&1&0\\1&1&1\end{bmatrix}$$
 . Find \mathbf{A}^{-1} by Gauss-Jordan method.

$$R_2 \mapsto R_2 - R_1, \quad R_3 \mapsto R_3 - R_1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & | & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & | & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

i. A is invertible and
$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2.3 An $m \times m$ matrix **E** is called an **elementary matrix** if it is obtained from the identity matrix **I** by an elementary row operation. Write down all elementary matrices.
 - (i) Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. If an elementary row operation transforms \mathbf{A} to \mathbf{A}' , then show that $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}$, where \mathbf{E} is the corresponding elementary matrix.
 - (ii) Show that every elementary matrix is invertible, and find its inverse.
 - (iii) Show that a square matrix \mathbf{A} is invertible if and only if it is a product of finitely many elementary matrices.
- (0) All elem matrices.

Type I : Interchange two rows.

$$E_{i,j} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & & &$$

$$E_{ij} = [e_{ke}] \quad \text{where}$$

$$e_{ke} = \begin{cases} 1 & j & k = l \neq i \text{ and } k = l \neq j \\ 1 & j & k = j, l = i \text{ or } k = i, l = j \end{cases}$$

$$0 & j & \text{otherwise}$$

Type II: Add a scalar multiple
$$\alpha$$
 of P_j to R_i . $(j \neq i)$
 $E_{i,j}(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ &$

entries:
$$e_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = l \\ d & \text{if } k = i, l = j \end{cases}$$

$$0 & \text{otherwise}$$

Type III: Multiply a row with a non-zero scalar
$$\alpha$$
.

 $F_i(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(i). Note that
$$A = B$$
 iff A and B have the same rows (in same order)

Then,
$$e_i A$$
 is the jth now of A .

Thus, $A = B \iff e_i A = e_i B + j \leq i \leq m$.

To show:
$$A' = EA$$
.

Suppres: $e_k A' = e_k EA$ $\forall i \leq k \leq m$

$$e_i A' = i \text{th} \text{ row of } A'$$

$$= j \text{th} \text{ row of } A$$

$$= e_j A$$

$$= e_i \in i, j A = e_i \in A$$

Thus, e:A' = e; EA. Similarly e; A' = e; EA. (By cymmetry.) Now, if K + is j, then $e_{k} A' = k^{\frac{m}{2}} vow of A'$ $= k^{\frac{m}{2}} vow of A$ = ex A = ex Eini A Thuy, ex A' = ex EA Y 1 \ K \ m. · Type 11 and 111: Exercise. (ii) To show: E is invertible. Verify: Type I. Eij is its own inverse. Type I. $E_{i,j}(\alpha)$ is inverse of Ei, 7 (- a). Type III E; (x) is inverse of E; (Ya). (Recall that $\alpha \neq 0$ in Type III.) H is invertible


RCF of A is I

TROS converting A to I

by earlier

From Eight Eight Eight Eight Eight

But the searlier of the search o (ii) $E_{S} = E_{1} = I = E_{1} =$ part (ii) shows that all these inverses are again elementary



Tand S could possibly be infinite.

2.4 Let S and T be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n\times 1}$ such that $S\subset T$. Show that if S is linearly dependent then so is T, and if T is linearly independent then so is S. Does the converse hold?

(i) S linearly dep => T linearly dep.

Proof. Since S is lin. dep., $\exists v_1, ..., v_s \in S$ and $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_s \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero s.t.

 $d_1 V_1 + \cdots + d_S V_S = \mathbf{0}$

Since S CT, each Vi E T.

Thus, the above shows that T is lin. dep.

包

(ii) T is linearly independent >> S is linearly in dependent

Proof. (ii) is the contrapositive of (i).

Statement (I): $P \Rightarrow Q$


Contrapositive (II): 7Q => 7P

(I) is true (F) is true

(iii) Is converse true?

Converse: S independent > T in dependent

Ans. No. (Counter) Example $0 S = \emptyset$ $T = \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$



2.5 Are the following sets linearly independent?

$$(\mathrm{i})\ \big\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \big\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1\times 3},$$

(ii)
$$\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 9 & 9 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 4},$$

$$(iii) \ \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}.$$

(i) No. If we have m vectors in
$$\mathbb{R}^{1\times n}$$
 with $m>n$, then they are lin. dep. Here, $m=4$, $n=3$.

(ii) Put them in a matrix with the vectors as

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 2 & 2 \\
 q & 0 & 0 \\
 q & 0 & 0 \\
 8 & 3 & 5
 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_3 \mapsto R_3 - R_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 2 & 2 \\
 q & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 8 & 3 & 5
 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_{3} = R_{4}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_2 \mapsto R_2 - 9R,$$
 $R_3 \mapsto R_3 - 8R,$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 2 \\
-18 & -18 \\
0 & -13 & -11 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_3 \mapsto R_3 - \left(\frac{13}{18}\right)R_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 2 \\
0 & -18 & -18 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

row-rank = 3 = # of rectors.
Thus, the vectors are linearly independent.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 3 & 1 \\
 -1 & -5 & -2 \\
 0 & 2 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 3 & 1 \\
 0 & -2 & -1 \\
 0 & 2 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

R3 1-3 R3 + R2

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 3 & 1 \\
 0 & -2 & -1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0
 \end{bmatrix}$$

	Thus,	now-	conk	=	a	<	#	o (vectors		DEPen	dent

ڪ ۱۱

2.6 Given a set of s linearly independent row vectors $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_j, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, show that the set $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{a}_i + \alpha \mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_j, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s\}$ is linearly independent.

i + j is an assumption

Suppose $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_s \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that

α, α, + ··· + α; (α; + αα;) + α; α; α; +··· + ας ας=0

Went: To show that each $\alpha_{k} = 0$.

(That is, it is forced $\alpha_{k} = 0$)

 $\frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}\alpha_{2} + \cdots + \alpha_{i-1}\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_{i}\alpha_{i} + \alpha_{i+1}\alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i}\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}} = 0.$

Since S is linearly in dependent, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_{j-1} = \alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j = \alpha_{j+1} = \cdots = \alpha_s = 0.$

Thus, $\alpha_{k} = 0$ for all $k \neq j$. Since $\alpha_{i} \alpha + \alpha_{j} = 0$ and $\alpha_{i} = 0$, we get $\alpha_{j} = 0$ as well.

Thus, $\alpha_k = 0$ for all k, as desired.

2.7 Find the ranks of the following matrices.

(i)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & -4 \\ -2 & 1 \\ 6 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
, (ii)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 8 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

$$(i)$$
 $\begin{cases} 8 & -4 \\ -2 & 1 \\ 6 & -3 \end{cases}$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 1 & 2 & 0 \\
 0 & 8 & -1 \\
 0 & 0 & 3 \\
 0 & 4 & 5
 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 8 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_4 \mapsto R_4 - \left(\frac{11/2}{3}\right) R_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 8 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 8 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\longrightarrow REF$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot n w - r \alpha k = \frac{3}{2}$$