

Tutorial 2

Aryaman Maithani

29th January 2020

DISCLAIMER

These are **not** complete solutions and should not be regarded as such. The purpose of this is to basically get you started and you must fill in the gaps. To be more explicit, if what you care about is marks, then just the solutions written here won't suffice.

1. Just do it.

Note that when doing the Gauss Jordan part, do it in the same algorithm as described in class.

2. Do the following row operations:

- (a) $R_n \mapsto \frac{1}{n}R_n$,
- (b) $R_i \mapsto R_i - iR_n$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

For example, in the case of $n = 4$, you should have arrived at the following conclusion:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Write the general case.

Now, expand along the first column. This is simple to do as it has only one non-zero entry. (Note that you'll get a $(-1)^n$.)

Thus, you get that the original determinant equals the following expression:

$$(-1)^n n \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the determinant written above is just 1 as it's a triangular matrix with all diagonal entries 1.

Thus, the answer is $(-1)^n n$.

3. (a) Brute it or be clever, doesn't matter.
(b) I'll list out a series of steps. Try it for $n = 4$ to see what's going on.

Let the $n \times n$ matrix have a_1, \dots, a_n as the entries, that is, the matrix is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & \cdots & a_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1^{n-1} & a_2^{n-1} & \cdots & a_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

First, do the following operations which do not change the determinant:

$R_{i+1} \mapsto R_{i+1} - a_1^i R_1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

Now, expand along the first column, which has only one non-zero element.
This leaves us with the following $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinant:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_2 - a_1 & \cdots & a_n - a_1 \\ a_2^2 - a_1^2 & \cdots & a_n^2 - a_1^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2^{n-1} - a_1^{n-1} & \cdots & a_n^{n-1} - a_1^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, do the following row operation's *in order*:

(1) $R_n \mapsto R_n - a_1 R_{n-1}$,

(2) $R_{n-1} \mapsto R_{n-1} - a_1 R_{n-2}$,

\vdots

$(n-1) R_2 \mapsto R_2 - a_1 R_1$.

Now, your determinant will look like the following:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_2 - a_1 & \cdots & a_n - a_1 \\ a_2(a_2 - a_1) & \cdots & a_n(a_2 - a_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2^{n-2}(a_2 - a_1) & \cdots & a_n^{n-2}(a_2 - a_1) \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, do the obvious step of "taking out" $a_{i+1} - a_1$ from each column C_i . ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$)
This leaves you with a smaller Vandermonde determinant. Use induction and *get proved*.

4. (a) i. Yes. Verify that $\mathbf{0}$ is in this set and that it's closed under scalar multiplication and addition.
Easy check.
Dim: 3, basis: $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. (Justify!)
 - ii. No. Do inverses exist?
 - iii. No. Is it closed under sums? (Consider $(1, 1, 0, 0)$ and $(-1, 1, 0, 0)$.)
 - iv. Yes. Verify.
Dim: 1, basis: $\{(1, 1, 1, 1)\}$. (Justify!)
 - v. No. Note that this is just the union of two lines, like (iii). Give a similar sort of counterexample.
- (b) Yes. (Why?)
Basis: $\{1, \sin x, \cos x\}$.
(Prove that this is indeed linearly independent.)
- (c) Yes. Use properties of symmetric matrices and transposes. That is, $(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T$ and $(cA)^T = cA^T$.
Dim: $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.
Basis: $\{E_{ii} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{E_{ij} + E_{ji} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.
Write the above basis explicitly for $n=2$ and $n=3$ to see what's happening and then justify.
- (d) Yes.
Basis: $\{1, x^2 - x, x^3 - x, x^4 - x, x^5 - x\}$.
To show that it's spanning, try expressing the coefficient of x in terms of those of x^2, \dots, x^5 .

5. It is. Suppose a_0, \dots, a_n are reals such that

$$a_0 e^x + a_1 x e^x + \cdots + a_n x^n e^x = 0. \quad (*)$$

We want to show that the above is possible only if $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$.

Note $(*)$ means that the LHS is 0 *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

As e^x is never 0, you may cancel it to conclude that $a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using this, conclude that $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$.

(Do the work!)

Thus, the given set is linearly independent.

6. The subspace will have dimension at most 3. (why?)
Write the subspaces explicitly in each case - dimensions 0, 1, 2, and 3.
Argue that each of those above correspond to the things given in the question.