Tutorial 3

Aryaman Maithani

5th February 2020

DISCLAIMER

These are **not** complete solutions and should not be regarded as such. The purpose of this is to basically get you started and you must fill in the gaps. To be more explicit, if what you care about is marks, then just the solutions written here won't suffice.

1. Let $r := \operatorname{rank} T_1$. Then, $\operatorname{Im} T_1$ has a basis B such that $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$. Claim. $T_2(B) = \{T_2(v_1), \dots, T_2(v_r)\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{Im} T_2 \circ T_1$. Note that if we can prove this claim, then we are done. (Why?)

You must show that it is linearly independent and spanning.

Spanning. Use the fact that B is a basis for $\operatorname{Im} T_1$. Assume that $y \in \operatorname{Im} T_2 \circ T_1$. We want to show that $y \in \operatorname{LS} T_2(B)$.

By definition, we have that $y = (T_2 \circ T_1)(x) = T_2(T_1(x))$ for some $x \in U$. Now, note that $T_1(x) \in \text{Im } T_1$, by definition of image and hence, $T_1(x)$ can be written as a linear combination of elements of B. Conclude that y can be written as a linear combination of elements of $T_2(B)$.

Linearly independent. Here is the only place where we need the assumption that T_2 is 1-1.

Suppose that $a_1T_2(v_1) + \cdots + a_nT_2(v_n) = 0$ for some scalars $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$.

(We have to show that this implies that $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$.) Using linearity, conclude that $T_2(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n) = 0 = T(0)$.

Using 1-1, conclude that $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n = 0$.

Using the fact that B is a basis, conclude that $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$.

Thus, $T_2(B)$ is linearly independent.

Get proved.

Remark. We didn't use T_2 being 1-1 to show that $T_2(B)$ was spanning. Thus, you may also note that $\operatorname{Im} T_2 \circ T_1 \leq \operatorname{Im} T_1$ in general. This intuitively makes sense as it says that the dimension can't increase.

Aliter. Consider the linear transformation $T_2 \circ T_1 : U \to W$. Using the fact that T_2 is 1-1, show that $\mathcal{N}(T_2 \circ T_1) = \mathcal{N}(T_1)$. $((T_2 \circ T_1)(x) = 0 \iff T_1(x) = 0?)$

Thus, $\operatorname{nullity}(T_2 \circ T_1) = \operatorname{nullity} T_1$.

Now, note that both T_1 and $T_2 \circ T_1$ have the same domain and thus, $\dim U = \operatorname{rank} T_1 + \operatorname{nullity} T_1 = \operatorname{rank} (T_2 \circ T_1) + \operatorname{nullity} (T_2 \circ T_1)$ gives the answer.

- 2. Check the next solution. This is easier than 3 as you already are given the matrix.
- 3. Fix the standard (ordered) bases B_1 and B_2 of \mathbb{R}^5 and \mathbb{R}^3 , respectively. With respect to these bases, note that

$$M_{B_2}^{B_1}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -8 & 14 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

1

To see how we get this, let me give an example of how I got the third column.

Take the third basis element of B_1 . In this case, it is $(0,0,1,0,0)^t$.

Now, we compute $f((0,0,1,0,0)^t)$ and write it terms of the elements of B_2 .

In this case, we get

$$f((0,0,1,0,0)^t) = 2(1,0,0)^t + (-8)(0,1,0)^t + 3(0,0,1)^t.$$

The coefficients 2, -8, 3 are thus the third column. (Note that the order of elements of B_2 matters too.)

Now, that we have the matrix, reduce it to RCF.

You'll get that the second and third columns have a pivot. Thus, we take the second and third columns of the original matrix to get a basis of the range. (Why?)

To get a basis of the null space, we do the standard thing from the theory linear equations where we find the basic solutions.

4. Let T_A, T_B , and T_{AB} denote the corresponding linear maps of the matrices A, B, AB. (Make sure you know what these mean, otherwise the rest won't make sense.)

Note that $T_{AB} = T_A \circ T_B$.

Using the remark of part 1, we already know that rank $AB \leq \operatorname{rank} B$.

Also, note that $\operatorname{Im} T_{AB} \subset \operatorname{Im} T_A$.

(How?)

Thus, rank $AB = \operatorname{rank} T_{AB} = \dim \operatorname{Im} T_{AB} \leq \operatorname{rank} T_A$ and thus, we're done.

Aliter. Note the following observations:

- (a) $\operatorname{Im}(T_A \circ T_B) \subset \operatorname{Im} T_A$
- (b) $\mathcal{N}(T_A \circ T_B) \supset \mathcal{N}(T_B)$

The above two results are easy to prove. If you're not able to do that, you need to revise the definitions of the spaces involved.

Now, note that (a) tells us that $\operatorname{rank}(T_A \circ T_B) \leq \operatorname{rank} T_A$ and (b) tells us that $\operatorname{nullity}(T_A \circ T_B) \geq \operatorname{nullity} T_B$.

Use rank-nullity theorem for the second inequality to get rank $(T_A \circ T_B) \leq \operatorname{rank} T_B$.

Then, use the fact that $\operatorname{rank}(T_A) = \operatorname{rank} A$ and $T_A \circ T_B = T_{AB}$ to get the desired answer.

5. Let $S = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3)^t \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 4x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 = 0\}$. This is clearly a subspace.

For both the parts, we'll need to use a basis for S, so let us find that now itself.

Claim. $B = \{(3, 4, 0)^t, (1, 0, -4)^t\}$ is a basis for S.

Proof. Left as an exercise.

Now, note that $B' = \{(3,4,0)^t, (1,0,-4)^t, (0,0,1)^t\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

Note that the last vector added is clearly not in S and thus, B' is linearly independent. As the only 3 dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 is \mathbb{R}^3 itself, this shows that B' is indeed a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 .

(a) We need to find a $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathcal{N}(T) = S$.

The idea is to use the fact that any linear map is completely determined by specifying its values at the basis vectors.

As we want $\mathcal{N}(T) = S$, we'll map all the elements of B to $(0,0,0)^t$ and the remaining element of B' to $(1,0,0)^t$.

Now, we define T for \mathbb{R}^3 by extending the above map linearly. This gives us the desired map. (How?)

(b) Using a similar idea as before, we define T on $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ as:

$$e_1 \mapsto (3, 4, 0)^t$$

 $e_2 \mapsto (1, 0, -4)^t$
 $e_3 \mapsto (1, 0, -4)^t$

Thus, we are done once again.

(How?)

Remark. Do this in a more general setting. That is, let V be any (finite dimensional) vector space and let S be any subspace of V.

Aliter.

(a) Consider the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & 1 \\ 4 & -3 & 1 \\ 4 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider the associated linear map $T_A: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$.

Claim: $\mathcal{N}(T_A) = S$.

To prove this, you would have to show that $\mathcal{N}(T_A) \subset S$ and $S \subset \mathcal{N}(T_A)$. This should not be difficult to prove using the definitions. Please do this.

Thus, T_A is the desired linear transformation.

(b) Consider the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider the associated linear map $T_A: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$.

Claim: $\operatorname{Im} T_A = S$.

To prove this, note that the first two columns of A form a basis of S. Use the fact that Im $T_A = \mathcal{C}(A)$. Thus, T_A is the desired linear transformation.

6. It's not linear over \mathbb{C} . Note that $iT(1,0) \neq T(i,0)$.

It is linear over \mathbb{R} , though. (Simply plug in the definition condition and verify.)