

ODEs TSC

Aryaman Maithani

<https://aryamanmaithani.github.io/tuts/ma-108>

IIT Bombay

Spring 2022

Table of Contents

- 1 Basics
- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs

We know what an ODE is.

We know what an ODE is. The **order** of an ODE is the order of the highest derivative in the equation.

We know what an ODE is. The **order** of an ODE is the order of the highest derivative in the equation.

$$\sin\left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}\right) = \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^3 \text{ has order } \underline{\hspace{1cm}}.$$

We know what an ODE is. The **order** of an ODE is the order of the highest derivative in the equation.

$$\sin\left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}\right) = \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^3 \text{ has order } \underline{\hspace{1cm}}.$$

The ODE is said to be **linear** if it of the form

$$a_n(x)y^{(n)}(x) + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x)$$

for some $n \geq 0$ and functions a_0, \dots, a_n, b of x .

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

Solutions

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, $y' = -x/y$.

Solutions

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, $y' = -x/y$.

An **explicit solution** of the above ODE on an interval I is a function ϕ defined on I

Solutions

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, $y' = -x/y$.

An **explicit solution** of the above ODE on an interval I is a function ϕ defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all $x \in I$.

Solutions

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, $y' = -x/y$.

An **explicit solution** of the above ODE on an interval I is a function ϕ defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all $x \in I$. Example: $\phi(x) = \sqrt{25 - x^2}$ on the interval $(-5, 5)$.

Solutions

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, $y' = -x/y$.

An **explicit solution** of the above ODE on an interval I is a function ϕ defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all $x \in I$. Example: $\phi(x) = \sqrt{25 - x^2}$ on the interval $(-5, 5)$.

An **implicit solution** is a relation $g(x, y) = 0$

Solutions

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, $y' = -x/y$.

An **explicit solution** of the above ODE on an interval I is a function ϕ defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all $x \in I$. Example: $\phi(x) = \sqrt{25 - x^2}$ on the interval $(-5, 5)$.

An **implicit solution** is a relation $g(x, y) = 0$ if this relation defines at least one function ϕ which is an explicit solution on some nonempty interval.

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, $y' = -x/y$.

An **explicit solution** of the above ODE on an interval I is a function ϕ defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all $x \in I$. Example: $\phi(x) = \sqrt{25 - x^2}$ on the interval $(-5, 5)$.

An **implicit solution** is a relation $g(x, y) = 0$ if this relation defines at least one function ϕ which is an explicit solution on some nonempty interval.

Example: $x^2 + y^2 = 25$.

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ :
 $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ : $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter λ .

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ : $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter λ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y' .

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ : $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter λ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y' . Replace y' with $-1/y'$.

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ : $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter λ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y' . Replace y' with $-1/y'$. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ : $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter λ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y' . Replace y' with $-1/y'$. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Example: $x^2 + y^2 = \lambda^2$.

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ : $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter λ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y' . Replace y' with $-1/y'$. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Example: $x^2 + y^2 = \lambda^2$. Differentiating gives $x + yy' = 0$.

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ : $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter λ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y' . Replace y' with $-1/y'$. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Example: $x^2 + y^2 = \lambda^2$. Differentiating gives $x + yy' = 0$. Replacing y with $-1/y'$ gives

$$xy' = y.$$

Orthogonal trajectories

Suppose we are given a family of curves, indexed by a parameter λ : $F(x, y, \lambda) = 0$. We wish to find the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter λ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y' . Replace y' with $-1/y'$. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Example: $x^2 + y^2 = \lambda^2$. Differentiating gives $x + yy' = 0$. Replacing y with $-1/y'$ gives

$$xy' = y.$$

Solving it gives $y = cx$ ($c \in \mathbb{R}$) as the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Table of Contents

- 1 Basics
- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs

Separable ODEs

An ODE of the form

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a **separable ODE**.

Separable ODEs

An ODE of the form

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a **separable ODE**. It may also be suggestively written as

$$M(x)dx + N(y)dy = 0.$$

Separable ODEs

An ODE of the form

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a **separable ODE**. It may also be suggestively written as

$$M(x)dx + N(y)dy = 0.$$

The above is solved by “simply integrating”.

Separable ODEs

An ODE of the form

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a **separable ODE**. It may also be suggestively written as

$$M(x)dx + N(y)dy = 0.$$

The above is solved by “simply integrating”. More precisely, if H_1 and H_2 are functions such that $H_1'(x) = M(x)$ and $H_2'(y) = N(y)$,

Separable ODEs

An ODE of the form

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a **separable ODE**. It may also be suggestively written as

$$M(x)dx + N(y)dy = 0.$$

The above is solved by “simply integrating”. More precisely, if H_1 and H_2 are functions such that $H_1'(x) = M(x)$ and $H_2'(y) = N(y)$, then the general solution is

$$H_1(x) + H_2(y) = c$$

for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Homogeneous functions

Recall that a function f of n -variables is called **homogeneous of degree d** if

Homogeneous functions

Recall that a function f of n -variables is called **homogeneous of degree d** if

$$f(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = t^d f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $t \neq 0$.

Homogeneous functions

Recall that a function f of n -variables is called **homogeneous of degree d** if

$$f(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = t^d f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $t \neq 0$. Examples: $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + xy$,

Homogeneous functions

Recall that a function f of n -variables is called **homogeneous of degree d** if

$$f(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = t^d f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $t \neq 0$. Examples: $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + xy$,
 $f(x, y) = y^2 + x^2 \exp(x/y)$.

Homogeneous functions

Recall that a function f of n -variables is called **homogeneous of degree d** if

$$f(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = t^d f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $t \neq 0$. Examples: $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + xy$,
 $f(x, y) = y^2 + x^2 \exp(x/y)$.

Definition 1

The first order ODE

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0$$

is called **homogeneous** if M and N are homogeneous of equal degree.

Homogeneous functions

Recall that a function f of n -variables is called **homogeneous of degree d** if

$$f(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = t^d f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $t \neq 0$. Examples: $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + xy$,
 $f(x, y) = y^2 + x^2 \exp(x/y)$.

Definition 1

The first order ODE

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0$$

is called **homogeneous** if M and N are homogeneous of equal degree.

To solve: put $y = xv$ and things “magically” fall in place by becoming a separable ODE in v .

Table of Contents

- 1 Basics
- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs**
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs

Definition

Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0$$

is called **exact**

Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0$$

is called **exact** if there exists a function $u(x, y)$ such that

$$u_x = M \quad \text{and} \quad u_y = N.$$

Definition

Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0$$

is called **exact** if there exists a function $u(x, y)$ such that

$$u_x = M \quad \text{and} \quad u_y = N.$$

The general solution to the above ODE is then $u(x, y) = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition

Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0$$

is called **exact** if there exists a function $u(x, y)$ such that

$$u_x = M \quad \text{and} \quad u_y = N.$$

The general solution to the above ODE is then $u(x, y) = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

A necessary condition for the ODE to be exact is $M_y = N_x$.

Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0$$

is called **exact** if there exists a function $u(x, y)$ such that

$$u_x = M \quad \text{and} \quad u_y = N.$$

The general solution to the above ODE is then $u(x, y) = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

A necessary condition for the ODE to be exact is $M_y = N_x$.

The above is *also* sufficient if the domain is “nice”: for example, if the domain is convex.

Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0$$

is called **exact** if there exists a function $u(x, y)$ such that

$$u_x = M \quad \text{and} \quad u_y = N.$$

The general solution to the above ODE is then $u(x, y) = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

A necessary condition for the ODE to be exact is $M_y = N_x$.

The above is *also* sufficient if the domain is “nice”: for example, if the domain is convex. (More generally, it suffices for the domain to be simply-connected, if you still remember what that means.)

Solving

The question is: how to find u ?

Solving

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

Solving

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$.

Solving

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$. So, integrate M with respect to x .

Solving

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$. So, integrate M with respect to x . Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now.

Solving

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$. So, integrate M with respect to x . Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x, y) = \int M(x, y) dx + k(y).$$

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$. So, integrate M with respect to x . Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x, y) = \int M(x, y) dx + k(y).$$

Now, differentiate the above with respect to y and equate it to $N(x, y)$.

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$. So, integrate M with respect to x . Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x, y) = \int M(x, y) dx + k(y).$$

Now, differentiate the above with respect to y and equate it to $N(x, y)$. Things will “magically” get cancelled and you will be left with

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$. So, integrate M with respect to x . Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x, y) = \int M(x, y) dx + k(y).$$

Now, differentiate the above with respect to y and equate it to $N(x, y)$. Things will “magically” get cancelled and you will be left with

$$k'(y) = \text{some function of } y.$$

The question is: how to find u ? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$. So, integrate M with respect to x . Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x, y) = \int M(x, y) dx + k(y).$$

Now, differentiate the above with respect to y and equate it to $N(x, y)$. Things will “magically” get cancelled and you will be left with

$$k'(y) = \text{some function of } y.$$

Just integrate the above to get $k(y)$ and in turn, get $u(x, y)$.

Integrating Factors

Sometimes, the ODE $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ may not be exact.

Integrating Factors

Sometimes, the ODE $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an **integrating factor**,

Integrating Factors

Sometimes, the ODE $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an **integrating factor**, $\mu(x, y)$,

Integrating Factors

Sometimes, the ODE $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an **integrating factor**, $\mu(x, y)$, such that the equation

$$\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$$

is exact.

Integrating Factors

Sometimes, the ODE $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an **integrating factor**, $\mu(x, y)$, such that the equation

$$\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$$

is exact. The above gives us the equation

$$\mu_y M + \mu M_y = \mu_x N + \mu N_x.$$

Integrating Factors

Sometimes, the ODE $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an **integrating factor**, $\mu(x, y)$, such that the equation

$$\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$$

is exact. The above gives us the equation

$$\mu_y M + \mu M_y = \mu_x N + \mu N_x.$$

Now, we typically assume either $\mu_y = 0$ (or $\mu_x = 0$) and hope that the remaining terms cancel out nicely in a way that we are actually left with μ_x/μ being only a function of x (or the other way around).

Integrating Factors

Sometimes, the ODE $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an **integrating factor**, $\mu(x, y)$, such that the equation

$$\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$$

is exact. The above gives us the equation

$$\mu_y M + \mu M_y = \mu_x N + \mu N_x.$$

Now, we typically assume either $\mu_y = 0$ (or $\mu_x = 0$) and hope that the remaining terms cancel out nicely in a way that we are actually left with μ_x/μ being only a function of x (or the other way around). More precisely, if $\frac{M_y - N_x}{N}$ is a function of x ,

Integrating Factors

Sometimes, the ODE $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an **integrating factor**, $\mu(x, y)$, such that the equation

$$\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$$

is exact. The above gives us the equation

$$\mu_y M + \mu M_y = \mu_x N + \mu N_x.$$

Now, we typically assume either $\mu_y = 0$ (or $\mu_x = 0$) and hope that the remaining terms cancel out nicely in a way that we are actually left with μ_x/μ being only a function of x (or the other way around). More precisely, if $\frac{M_y - N_x}{N}$ is a function of x , then we have an integrating factor μ given by

$$\mu = \exp \left(\int \frac{M_y - N_x}{N} dx \right).$$

Table of Contents

- 1 Basics
- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$.

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$. Suppose that f is continuous and bounded on R ,

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$. Suppose that f is continuous and bounded on R , say $|f(x, y)| \leq K$ for all $(x, y) \in R$.

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$. Suppose that f is continuous and bounded on R , say $|f(x, y)| \leq K$ for all $(x, y) \in R$.

Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$,

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$. Suppose that f is continuous and bounded on R , say $|f(x, y)| \leq K$ for all $(x, y) \in R$.

Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, where $\delta := \min\{a, b/K\}$.

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$. Suppose that f is continuous and bounded on R , say $|f(x, y)| \leq K$ for all $(x, y) \in R$.

Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, where $\delta := \min\{a, b/K\}$.

Note that a solution *may* exist on a larger interval.

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$. Suppose that f is continuous and bounded on R , say $|f(x, y)| \leq K$ for all $(x, y) \in R$.

Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, where $\delta := \min\{a, b/K\}$.

Note that a solution *may* exist on a larger interval. Furthermore, there may be multiple solutions on that given interval itself.

Definition and existence

Definition 3

An **initial value problem** (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0. \quad (1)$$

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$. Suppose that f is continuous and bounded on R , say $|f(x, y)| \leq K$ for all $(x, y) \in R$.

Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, where $\delta := \min\{a, b/K\}$.

Note that a solution *may* exist on a larger interval. Furthermore, there may be multiple solutions on that given interval itself. We now see when the solution is unique.

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be **Lipschitz continuous**

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be **Lipschitz continuous** if there exists some $L \geq 0$

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be **Lipschitz continuous** if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in I$.

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be **Lipschitz continuous** if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in I$.

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$,

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be **Lipschitz continuous** if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in I$.

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then we say that f is **Lipschitz continuous with respect to y** if

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be **Lipschitz continuous** if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in I$.

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then we say that f is **Lipschitz continuous with respect to y** if there exists some $L \geq 0$

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be **Lipschitz continuous** if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in I$.

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then we say that f is **Lipschitz continuous with respect to y** if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2|$$

for all $(x, y_1), (x, y_2) \in D$.

Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. f is said to be **Lipschitz continuous** if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in I$.

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then we say that f is **Lipschitz continuous with respect to y** if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2|$$

for all $(x, y_1), (x, y_2) \in D$.

Remarks and examples

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous.

Remarks and examples

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous.
Consequently, if f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y , then for every fixed x , the function $f(x, y)$ is a continuous in y .

Remarks and examples

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous. Consequently, if f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y , then for every fixed x , the function $f(x, y)$ is a continuous in y . However, f may not be continuous in x .

Remarks and examples

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous. Consequently, if f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y , then for every fixed x , the function $f(x, y)$ is a continuous in y . However, f may not be continuous in x . For example,

$$f(x, y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but $f(x, 1)$ is not continuous function.

Remarks and examples

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous. Consequently, if f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y , then for every fixed x , the function $f(x, y)$ is a continuous in y . However, f may not be continuous in x . For example,

$$f(x, y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but $f(x, 1)$ is not continuous function.

If f is a differentiable function of one variable with f' bounded, then f is Lipschitz.

Remarks and examples

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous. Consequently, if f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y , then for every fixed x , the function $f(x, y)$ is a continuous in y . However, f may not be continuous in x . For example,

$$f(x, y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but $f(x, 1)$ is not continuous function.

If f is a differentiable function of one variable with f' bounded, then f is Lipschitz. Consequently, if f is a function of two variables with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ bounded, then f is Lipschitz with respect to y .

Remarks and examples

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous. Consequently, if f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y , then for every fixed x , the function $f(x, y)$ is a continuous in y . However, f may not be continuous in x . For example,

$$f(x, y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but $f(x, 1)$ is not continuous function.

If f is a differentiable function of one variable with f' bounded, then f is Lipschitz. Consequently, if f is a function of two variables with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ bounded, then f is Lipschitz with respect to y .

An non-example of Lipschitz function (in y) is: $f(x, y) = \sqrt{|y|}$ defined on $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$.

Remarks and examples

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous. Consequently, if f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y , then for every fixed x , the function $f(x, y)$ is a continuous in y . However, f may not be continuous in x . For example,

$$f(x, y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but $f(x, 1)$ is not continuous function.

If f is a differentiable function of one variable with f' bounded, then f is Lipschitz. Consequently, if f is a function of two variables with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ bounded, then f is Lipschitz with respect to y .

An non-example of Lipschitz function (in y) is: $f(x, y) = \sqrt{|y|}$ defined on $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$. Similarly, $f(x, y) = y^2$ is not Lipschitz w.r.t. y on \mathbb{R}^2 but is so on bounded domains.

Theorem 5 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

Theorem 5 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on $R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ and bounded by K .

Theorem 5 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on $R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ and bounded by K . We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$.

Theorem 5 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on

$R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ and bounded by K . We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$.

Furthermore, if f also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y on R ,

Theorem 5 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on

$R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ and bounded by K . We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$.

Furthermore, if f also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y on R , then the solution is *unique* on that interval.

Theorem 5 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on

$R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ and bounded by K . We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$.

Furthermore, if f also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y on R , then the solution is *unique* on that interval.

As before, there may a solution on a larger interval.

Back to uniqueness

Theorem 5 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on

$R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ and bounded by K . We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$.

Furthermore, if f also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y on R , then the solution is *unique* on that interval.

As before, there may a solution on a larger interval. Moreover, there may still be a larger interval where the solution is unique.

Picard's iteration method

As before, suppose we have the IVP: $y' = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$.

Picard's iteration method

As before, suppose we have the IVP: $y' = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$.

The above differential equation is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

Picard's iteration method

As before, suppose we have the IVP: $y' = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$.

The above differential equation is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

We define the **Picard's iterates** recursively as

Picard's iteration method

As before, suppose we have the IVP: $y' = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$.

The above differential equation is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

We define the **Picard's iterates** recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} y_0(x) &:= y_0, \\ y_{n+1}(x) &:= y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y_n(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Picard's iteration method

As before, suppose we have the IVP: $y' = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$.

The above differential equation is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

We define the **Picard's iterates** recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} y_0(x) &:= y_0, \\ y_{n+1}(x) &:= y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y_n(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumptions of the existence-uniqueness theorem, the above converges to the solution y of the IVP defined by $y(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n(x)$.

Table of Contents

- 1 Basics
- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs**
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs

Definition and convention

We had seen what a linear ODE was.

Definition and convention

We had seen what a linear ODE was. A linear ODE of degree n in **standard form** is one of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

Definition and convention

We had seen what a linear ODE was. A linear ODE of degree n in **standard form** is one of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

For example, $xy' - 10y = 0$ is *not* in standard form.

Definition and convention

We had seen what a linear ODE was. A linear ODE of degree n in **standard form** is one of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

For example, $xy' - 10y = 0$ is *not* in standard form. However, if we are interested in solving the ODE on $(0, \infty)$, then we can put it in standard form as

Definition and convention

We had seen what a linear ODE was. A linear ODE of degree n in **standard form** is one of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

For example, $xy' - 10y = 0$ is *not* in standard form. However, if we are interested in solving the ODE on $(0, \infty)$, then we can put it in standard form as $y' - \frac{10}{x}y = 0$.

Definition and convention

We had seen what a linear ODE was. A linear ODE of degree n in **standard form** is one of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

For example, $xy' - 10y = 0$ is *not* in standard form. However, if we are interested in solving the ODE on $(0, \infty)$, then we can put it in standard form as $y' - \frac{10}{x}y = 0$.

Disclaimer

Our results will always assume that the ODE is in standard form. This is crucial.

Homogeneous

The standard ODE is said to be **homogeneous** if $b(x) = 0$, i.e., it is of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = 0.$$

Homogeneous

The standard ODE is said to be **homogeneous** if $b(x) = 0$, i.e., it is of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = 0.$$

From now on, “homogeneous” will refer to the above, not the one we had defined earlier.

First order

A first order linear ODE is particular simple,

First order

A first order linear ODE is particular simple, it is of the form

$$y' + P(x)y = Q(x).$$

First order

A first order linear ODE is particular simple, it is of the form

$$y' + P(x)y = Q(x).$$

The above can be solved by multiplying with the integrating factor

$$\mu(x) := \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x P(t) dt \right).$$

First order

A first order linear ODE is particular simple, it is of the form

$$y' + P(x)y = Q(x).$$

The above can be solved by multiplying with the integrating factor

$$\mu(x) := \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x P(t) dt \right).$$

The final solution is also explicitly given by

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \left(\int Q(x)\mu(x) dx + c \right).$$

First order

A first order linear ODE is particular simple, it is of the form

$$y' + P(x)y = Q(x).$$

The above can be solved by multiplying with the integrating factor

$$\mu(x) := \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x P(t) dt \right).$$

The final solution is also explicitly given by

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \left(\int Q(x)\mu(x) dx + c \right).$$

(Bernoulli) If the ODE was instead $y' + P(x)y = Q(x)y^n$ for some $n \neq 0, 1$, then substitute $v = y^{1-n}$ and it will “magically” get reduced to the above.

Second order

Consider the following second order homogeneous linear ODE:

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the functions p and q are continuous on some open interval I .

Second order

Consider the following second order homogeneous linear ODE:

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the functions p and q are continuous on some open interval I .

Theorem 6 (Existence-uniqueness result)

Let $x_0 \in I$, and fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Second order

Consider the following second order homogeneous linear ODE:

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the functions p and q are continuous on some open interval I .

Theorem 6 (Existence-uniqueness result)

Let $x_0 \in I$, and fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. There is a unique solution y , defined on I , satisfying (2) along with $y(x_0) = a$ and $y'(x_0) = b$.

Second order

Consider the following second order homogeneous linear ODE:

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the functions p and q are continuous on some open interval I .

Theorem 6 (Existence-uniqueness result)

Let $x_0 \in I$, and fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. There is a unique solution y , defined on I , satisfying (2) along with $y(x_0) = a$ and $y'(x_0) = b$.

Theorem 7 (Dimension result)

The solution space of (2) is a two-dimensional real vector space.

Definition 8

Let y_1 and y_2 be differentiable on I .

Definition 8

Let y_1 and y_2 be differentiable on I . The **Wronskian** of y_1 and y_2 is defined by

Definition 8

Let y_1 and y_2 be differentiable on I . The **Wronskian** of y_1 and y_2 is defined by

$$W(y_1, y_2)(x) := \det \begin{bmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) \\ y_1'(x) & y_2'(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Definition 8

Let y_1 and y_2 be differentiable on I . The **Wronskian** of y_1 and y_2 is defined by

$$W(y_1, y_2)(x) := \det \begin{bmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) \\ y_1'(x) & y_2'(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the Wronskian is defined for any two functions, without any mention of any DE.

Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions y_1 and y_2 are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I

Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions y_1 and y_2 are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I if there exists constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *not both zero* such that

Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions y_1 and y_2 are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I if there exists constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *not both zero* such that

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$$

Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions y_1 and y_2 are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I if there exists constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *not both zero* such that

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in I$.

Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions y_1 and y_2 are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I if there exists constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *not both zero* such that

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in I$.

Theorem 9

If y_1 and y_2 are LD on I , then $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$.

Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions y_1 and y_2 are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I if there exists constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *not both zero* such that

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in I$.

Theorem 9

If y_1 and y_2 are LD on I , then $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$.

However, even if $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$, it is **not** necessary that y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .

Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions y_1 and y_2 are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I if there exists constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *not both zero* such that

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in I$.

Theorem 9

If y_1 and y_2 are LD on I , then $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$.

However, even if $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$, it is **not** necessary that y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .

Consider $I = (-1, 1)$ and the functions $y_1(x) = x^3$ and $y_2(x) = |x|^3$.

Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions y_1 and y_2 are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I if there exists constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *not both zero* such that

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in I$.

Theorem 9

If y_1 and y_2 are LD on I , then $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$.

However, even if $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$, it is **not** necessary that y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .

Consider $I = (-1, 1)$ and the functions $y_1(x) = x^3$ and $y_2(x) = |x|^3$.

Again, note that no reference to any DE has been made.

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I).

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- 1 y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- 1 y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on I .

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- 1 y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on I .
- 3 Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in I .

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- 1 y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on I .
- 3 Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in I .

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- 1 y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on I .
- 3 Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in I .

What the above theorem tells us about x^3 and $|x|^3$ is that they cannot be the solutions to a standard linear ODE on $(-1, 1)$.

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- 1 y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on I .
- 3 Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in I .

What the above theorem tells us about x^3 and $|x|^3$ is that they cannot be the solutions to a standard linear ODE on $(-1, 1)$. Note that they *are* solutions to $x^2y'' - 5xy' + 6y = 0$.

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- 1 y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on I .
- 3 Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in I .

What the above theorem tells us about x^3 and $|x|^3$ is that they cannot be the solutions to a standard linear ODE on $(-1, 1)$. Note that they *are* solutions to $x^2y'' - 5xy' + 6y = 0$.

Similarly, x^2 and x^3 are not LD on $(-1, 1)$ but their Wronskian vanishes at 0.

Wronskian, linear dependence, and an ODE

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Theorem 10

Let y_1 and y_2 be solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- 1 y_1 and y_2 are linearly dependent on I .
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on I .
- 3 Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in I .

What the above theorem tells us about x^3 and $|x|^3$ is that they cannot be the solutions to a standard linear ODE on $(-1, 1)$. Note that they *are* solutions to $x^2y'' - 5xy' + 6y = 0$.

Similarly, x^2 and x^3 are not LD on $(-1, 1)$ but their Wronskian vanishes at 0. (Again, both of them are solutions to that non-standard ODE written above.)

Abel's formula

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must be nonzero everywhere.

Abel's formula

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must be nonzero everywhere. We actually have a more precise relation given by Abel's formula.

Abel's formula

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must be nonzero everywhere. We actually have a more precise relation given by Abel's formula. The notations I , p , q continue to be as before.

Abel's formula

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must be nonzero everywhere. We actually have a more precise relation given by Abel's formula. The notations I , p , q continue to be as before.

Theorem 11 (Abel-Liouville)

Let y_1 and y_2 be any two solutions of $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$.

Abel's formula

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must be nonzero everywhere. We actually have a more precise relation given by Abel's formula. The notations I , p , q continue to be as before.

Theorem 11 (Abel-Liouville)

Let y_1 and y_2 be any two solutions of $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$. Then, the Wronskian $W := W(y_1, y_2)$ satisfies the differential equation

Abel's formula

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must be nonzero everywhere. We actually have a more precise relation given by Abel's formula. The notations I , p , q continue to be as before.

Theorem 11 (Abel-Liouville)

Let y_1 and y_2 be any two solutions of $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$. Then, the Wronskian $W := W(y_1, y_2)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$W'(x) = -p(x)W(x).$$

Abel's formula

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must be nonzero everywhere. We actually have a more precise relation given by Abel's formula. The notations I , p , q continue to be as before.

Theorem 11 (Abel-Liouville)

Let y_1 and y_2 be any two solutions of $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$. Then, the Wronskian $W := W(y_1, y_2)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$W'(x) = -p(x)W(x).$$

Consequently, if $x_0 \in I$, then

$$W(x) = W(x_0) \exp \left(- \int_{x_0}^x p(t) dt \right).$$

Getting a second solution

A consequence of the earlier is the following:

Getting a second solution

A consequence of the earlier is the following: If y_1 is one solution of

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$

Getting a second solution

A consequence of the earlier is the following: If y_1 is one solution of

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$

then a linearly independent solution y_2 to the above (*homogeneous*) equation is given by

Getting a second solution

A consequence of the earlier is the following: If y_1 is one solution of

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$

then a linearly independent solution y_2 to the above (*homogeneous*) equation is given by

$$y_2(x) = y_1(x) \int \frac{\exp\left(-\int p(x) dx\right)}{y_1(x)^2} dx.$$

Table of Contents

- 1 Basics
- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$.

Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$.

Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form $a \pm \iota b$.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$.

Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form $a \pm \iota b$. A basis for solution is $\{e^{ax} \cos(bx), e^{ax} \sin(bx)\}$.

Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m^2 + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$.

Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form $a \pm \iota b$. A basis for solution is $\{e^{ax} \cos(bx), e^{ax} \sin(bx)\}$.

Note that basis being $\{y_1, y_2\}$ means that the general solution is given by $c_1y_1 + c_2y_2$ for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Cauchy-Euler

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_1} \log(x)\}$.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_1} \log(x)\}$.

Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_1} \log(x)\}$.

Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form $a \pm \iota b$.

ODE in question:

$$x^2 y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on $(0, \infty)$, where it can be put in standard form by dividing by x^2 .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic $m(m-1) + pm + q = 0$. Call them m_1 and m_2 .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$.

Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_1} \log(x)\}$.

Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form $a \pm \iota b$. A basis for solution is $\{x^a \cos(b \log(x)), x^a \sin(b \log(x))\}$.