# MA 109: Calculus I

### **Tutorial Solutions**

## Aryaman Maithani

https://aryamanmaithani.github.io/tuts/ma-109

### Autumn Semester 2020-21

Last update: 2020-11-26 20:20:59+05:30

### **Contents**

	2
	3

§0 Notations

# §0. Notations

- 1.  $\mathbb{N} = \{1,\ 2,\ \ldots\}$  denotes the set of natural numbers.
- 2.  $\mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}\cup\{-n:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  denotes the set of integers.
- 3.  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$  denotes the set of rational numbers.
- 4.  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the set of real numbers.

 $\S 1$  Tutorial 1

### §1. Tutorial 1

25th November, 2020

#### Sheet 1

2. (iv)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (n)^{1/n}$ .

Define  $h_n:=n^{1/n}-1.$  Then,  $h_n\geq 0$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}.$  (Why?)

Now, for n > 2, we have

$$n = (1 + h_n)^n$$

$$= 1 + nh_n + \binom{n}{2}h_n^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n}h_n^n$$

$$\geq 1 + nh_n + \binom{n}{2}h_n^2$$

$$> \binom{n}{2}h_n^2$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}h_n^2.$$

Thus,  $h_n < \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}}$  for all n > 2.

Using Sandwich Theorem, we get that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{h_n} = 0$  which gives us that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{1/n} = 1.$$

(Where did we use that  $h_n \ge 0$ ?)

 $\S 1$  Tutorial 1 4

3. (ii) We show that  $\left\{ (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}_{n \ge 1}$  is *not* convergent.

Solution. Note that from the difference formula, we know that if  $\{a_n\}$  converges, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_{n+1} - a_n| = 0.$$

(The limit exists and equals 0.)

We show that this is not true for the given sequence. We define

$$b_n := a_{n+1} - a_n$$

where  $\{a_n\}$  is the sequence given in the question.

Then,  $b_n$  is given as

$$b_n = (-1)^{n+1} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) - (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$
$$= (-1)^{n+1} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) + (-1)^{n+1} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$
$$= (-1)^{n+1} + (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

Thus, we have

$$|b_n| = \left|1 - \left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right|$$
$$= \left|1 - \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)}\right|$$

From the above, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}|b_n|=1.$$

This shows that  $a_n$  does not converge.

 $\S 1$  Tutorial 1 5

5. (iii) 
$$a_1 = \sqrt{2}, \ a_{n+1} = 3 + \frac{a_n}{2} \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$

Solution. I first describe the general idea.

The idea in these questions is to first prove a bound on  $a_n$  by induction. Then, using that bound we prove that the sequence is convergent.

Once we do that, we then know that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$  exists. Since that also equals  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_{n+1}$ , we can take limit on both sides of the equation and solve for the limit L.

First, we prove that the sequence is bounded above.

Claim 1.  $a_n < 6$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove this via induction. The base case n=1 is immediate as 2<6.

Assume that it holds for n = k. Then,

$$a_{k+1} = 3 + \frac{a_n}{2} < 3 + \frac{6}{2} = 6.$$

By principle of mathematical induction, we have proven the claim.  $\Box$ 

Claim 2.  $a_n < a_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. 
$$a_{n+1} - a_n = 3 - \frac{a_n}{2} = \frac{6 - a_n}{2} > 0 \implies a_{n+1} > a_n$$
.

Thus, we now know that the sequence converges. Let  $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$ . Then taking the limit on both sides of

$$a_{n+1} = 3 + \frac{a_n}{2}$$

gives us

$$L = 3 + \frac{L}{2},$$

which we can solve to get L=6.

 $\S 1$  Tutorial 1

7. If  $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=L\neq 0$ , show that there exists  $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n| \ge \frac{|L|}{2}$$
 for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

Solution. Choose  $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$ . Note that this is indeed greater than 0.

By the  $\epsilon-N$  definition, there exists  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n - L| < \epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$$

for all n > N. Using triangle inequality, we get

$$||a_n| - |L|| \le |a_n - L| < \frac{|L|}{2}.$$

Thus, we get

$$-\frac{|L|}{2} < |a_n| - |L| < \frac{|L|}{2}.$$

Adding |L| on both sides gives us

$$\frac{|L|}{2} < |a_n| < \frac{3|L|}{2}$$

for all n > N, as desired.

 $\S 1$  Tutorial 1 7

9. For given sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ , prove or disprove the following:

- 1.  $\{a_nb_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is convergent, if  $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is convergent.
- 2.  $\{a_nb_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is convergent, if  $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is convergent and  $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is bounded.

Solution. Both the statements are false. We give one counterexample for both.

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &:= 1 & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ b_n &:= (-1)^n & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  converges and  $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is bounded. However, the product is again the latter sequence which does not converge.

 $\S 1$  Tutorial 1

11. Let  $f,g:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$  be functions and suppose that  $\lim_{x\to c}f(x)=0$  for some  $c\in[a,b]$ . Prove or disprove the following statements.

- $\overline{1.} \ \overline{\lim_{x \to c} [f(x)g(x)]} = 0.$
- 2.  $\lim_{x\to c}[f(x)g(x)]=0$ , if g is bounded.
- 3.  $\lim_{x\to c} [f(x)g(x)] = 0$ , if  $\lim_{x\to c} g(x)$  exists.

Solution. 1. No. Consider a=c=0 and b=1. Let f,g be defined as

$$f(x) = x, \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Verify that this works as a counterexample.

2. We prove this statement. Since g is bounded, there exists M>0 such that

for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . Thus, we have

$$|f(x)g(x)| \le M|f(x)|$$

for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . Since the LHS is clearly non-negative, using Sandwich theorem proves that

$$\lim_{x \to c} |f(x)g(x)| = 0.$$

This also gives us that

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x)g(x) = 0.$$

(Why?)

3. This is also true. We can simply use that limit of products is the product of limits if the individual limits exist.