

# MA 109: Calculus I

## Tutorial Solutions

Aryaman Maithani

<https://aryamanmaithani.github.io/tuts/ma-109>

Autumn Semester 2020-21

Last update: 2020-11-25 15:42:10+05:30

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Notations</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Tutorial 1</b>	<b>3</b>

## §0. Notations

1.  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  denotes the set of natural numbers.
2.  $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  denotes the set of integers.
3.  $\mathbb{Q}$  denotes the set of rational numbers.
4.  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the set of real numbers.

## §1. Tutorial 1

25th November, 2020

### Sheet 1

2. (iv)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n)^{1/n}$ .

Define  $h_n := n^{1/n} - 1$ .

Then,  $h_n \geq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(Why?)

Now, for  $n > 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &= (1 + h_n)^n \\ &= 1 + nh_n + \binom{n}{2} h_n^2 + \cdots + \binom{n}{n} h_n^n \\ &\geq 1 + nh_n + \binom{n}{2} h_n^2 \\ &> \binom{n}{2} h_n^2 \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} h_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $h_n < \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}}$  for all  $n > 2$ .

Using Sandwich Theorem, we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$  which gives us that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} = 1.$$

(Where did we use that  $h_n \geq 0$ ?)

3. (ii) We show that  $\left\{(-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$  is *not* convergent.

*Solution.* Note that from the difference formula, we know that if  $\{a_n\}$  converges, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n+1} - a_n| = 0.$$

(The limit *exists* and equals 0.)

We show that this is not true for the given sequence. We define

$$b_n := a_{n+1} - a_n,$$

where  $\{a_n\}$  is the sequence given in the question.

Then,  $b_n$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) - (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) + (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} + (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n| &= \left| 1 - \left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n}\right) \right| \\ &= \left| 1 - \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} \right| \end{aligned}$$

From the above, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |b_n| = 1.$$

This shows that  $a_n$  does not converge. □

5. (iii)  $a_1 = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $a_{n+1} = 3 + \frac{a_n}{2} \quad \forall n \geq 1$ .

*Solution.* I first describe the general idea.

The idea in these questions is to first prove a bound on  $a_n$  by induction. Then, using that bound we prove that the sequence is convergent.

Once we do that, we then know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  exists. Since that also equals  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}$ , we can take limit on both sides of the equation and solve for the limit  $L$ .

First, we prove that the sequence is bounded above.

Claim 1.  $a_n < 6$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove this via induction. The base case  $n = 1$  is immediate as  $2 < 6$ .

Assume that it holds for  $n = k$ . Then,

$$a_{k+1} = 3 + \frac{a_k}{2} < 3 + \frac{6}{2} = 6.$$

By principle of mathematical induction, we have proven the claim.  $\square$

Claim 2.  $a_n < a_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.*  $a_{n+1} - a_n = 3 - \frac{a_n}{2} = \frac{6 - a_n}{2} > 0 \implies a_{n+1} > a_n$ .  $\square$

Thus, we now know that the sequence converges. Let  $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ . Then taking the limit on both sides of

$$a_{n+1} = 3 + \frac{a_n}{2}$$

gives us

$$L = 3 + \frac{L}{2},$$

which we can solve to get  $L = 6$ .  $\square$

7. If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \neq 0$ , show that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n| \geq \frac{|L|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

*Solution.* Choose  $\epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$ . Note that this is indeed greater than 0.

By the  $\epsilon - N$  definition, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n - L| < \epsilon = \frac{|L|}{2}$$

for all  $n > N$ . Using triangle inequality, we get

$$||a_n| - |L|| \leq |a_n - L| < \frac{|L|}{2}.$$

Thus, we get

$$-\frac{|L|}{2} < |a_n| - |L| < \frac{|L|}{2}.$$

Adding  $|L|$  on both sides gives us

$$\frac{|L|}{2} < |a_n| < \frac{3|L|}{2}$$

for all  $n > N$ , as desired. □

9. For given sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , prove or disprove the following:

1.  $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent, if  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent.
2.  $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent, if  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent and  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded.

*Solution.* Both the statements are false. We give one counterexample for both.

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_n := 1 & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ b_n := (-1)^n & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array}$$

Clearly,  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges and  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded. However, the product is again the latter sequence which does not converge.  $\square$

11. Let  $f, g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be functions and suppose that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = 0$  for some  $c \in [a, b]$ . Prove or disprove the following statements.

1.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} [f(x)g(x)] = 0$ .
2.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} [f(x)g(x)] = 0$ , if  $g$  is bounded.
3.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} [f(x)g(x)] = 0$ , if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x)$  exists.

*Solution.* 1. No. Consider  $a = c = 0$  and  $b = 1$ . Let  $f, g$  be defined as

$$f(x) = 0, \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Verify that this works as a counterexample.

2. We prove this statement. Since  $g$  is bounded, there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$|g(x)| < M$$

for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . Thus, we have

$$|f(x)g(x)| \leq M|f(x)|$$

for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . Since the LHS is clearly non-negative, using Sandwich theorem proves that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} |f(x)g(x)| = 0.$$

This also gives us that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)g(x) = 0.$$

(Why?)

3. This is also true. We can simply use that limit of products is the product of limits if the individual limits exist.

□