

$$\int (\cap \cup) dx$$

MA 408

Measure Theory

Notes By: Aryaman Maithani

Spring 2020-21

Lecture 1

06 January 2021 22:06

Idea behind measure

Simplified case: Subsets of \mathbb{R}

Given $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, want to assign "length" or "content" to E .

Ideally, want a map

$$\mu: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

s. t.

$$(1) \quad \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

$$(2) \quad \text{For any } E \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mu(E) = \mu(x + E).$$

$$(x + E := \{x + y : y \in E\})$$

↑ translation by x

(3) Given a countable collection $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R} , we must have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

(So far, $\mu \equiv 0$ will satisfy above properties!)

$$(4) \quad \mu([0, 1]) = 1. \quad \text{("Normalisation")}$$

Any such μ would be a "candidate" for our content.

However, no such μ exists!

Consider the following sets:

- (1) Define \sim on \mathbb{R} by $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$.
Clearly, \sim is an equiv. relation.

Let $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ be a set containing exactly one element from each equivalence class in \mathbb{R}/\sim .

(Existence is given by Axiom of Choice. note that)
distinct equiv. classes are disjoint. and a small argument that lets you conclude $E \subseteq [0, 1]$.

Q. What could $\mu(E)$ be?

Note that $\{E+r\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint sets.

{Sketch. If $x \in (E+r_1) \cap (E+r_2)$, then $x = r_1 + e_1 = r_2 + e_2$ for some $e_1, e_2 \in E$
($r_2 \geq r_1$)
 $\Rightarrow e_1 - e_2 = r_2 - r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$
 $\Rightarrow e_1 \sim e_2 \Rightarrow e_1 = e_2$ }

Moreover, $[0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]} (E+r) \subseteq [0, 2] = [0, 1] \cup [1, 2]$

(An easy consequence of (1) - (3) is that $E \subseteq F \Rightarrow \mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$.
Proof. $\mu(F) = \mu(E \cup (F \setminus E)) = \mu(E) + \mu(F \setminus E) \geq \mu(E)$. \square)

$$\Rightarrow \mu([0, 1]) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E+r_i)\right) \leq \mu([0, 1]) + \mu([1, 2])$$

↓

enumerate $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ as $\{r_i, \dots\}$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E + r_i) \leq 2$$

$[1,2] = [0,1] + 1$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E) \leq 2$$

If $\mu(E) = 0$

$$1 \leq 0$$

If $\mu(E) = r > 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E) = \infty \leq 2$$

Possible way to salvage: Replace (3) to have "finite union" instead of "countable".

Turns out that that's still not enough.

(2) BANACH-TARSKI THEOREM (1924): ~~PARADOX~~ (Using AC)

For any open sets $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ where $n \geq 3$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $U_1, \dots, U_k, V_1, \dots, V_k$ s.t.

$$(1) \quad U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset, \quad V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k.$$

$$(2) \quad U = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i, \quad V = \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i.$$

(3) $U_i \simeq V_i$, i.e., U_i is obtained from V_i by a sequence of rotations, reflections, and translations.

In other words, by isometries.

Thus, the analogue of (2) implies $\mu(U_i) = \mu(V_i) \forall i$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu(U) = \mu(V).$$

\leadsto Absurd conclusions.

As it turns out, the problem is NOT in the infinite union but rather the demand that μ is defined on all of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$!

Thus, we restrict our attention to a smaller collection of subsets of \mathbb{R} . (Not too small!)

σ - ALGEBRAS

Let X be an arbitrary set.

Defⁿ (1) An algebra ("field") is a non-empty collection $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfying:

$$(1) A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$(2) A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(2) A σ -algebra (" σ -field") is a non-empty collection $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfying:

$$(1) A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$(2) A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$$

Note that complements and unions give no intersections. Also, $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{F}$.

EXAMPLES

$$(1) \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(X) \quad \leftarrow \text{both}$$

(2) (Countable - uncountable σ -algebra)

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ E \subseteq X : E \text{ or } E^c \text{ is countable} \}$$

Proof. Clearly closed under complement.

Let $A_1, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$.

If all A_i are countable, then $\bigcup A_i$ is.

Suppose A_i not countable. Then, A_i^c is.

But

$$A_i \subset \bigcup A_i \Rightarrow (\bigcup A_i)^c \subset A_i^c$$

$$\Rightarrow (\bigcup A_i)^c \text{ is countable. } \square$$

- ③ Given any $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$, we can talk about σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{F} denoted $M(\mathcal{F})$ defined by

$$M(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{B} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-alg}}} \mathcal{B}$$

(Note that the intersection is non-empty because of $\mathcal{P}(X)$.
Easy to see that intersection of σ -algebrae is again a σ -alg.)

By construction, $M(\mathcal{F})$ is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{F} .

BOREL σ -ALGEBRA.

Defⁿ: Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space.
The σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{T} is called the **Borel σ -algebra** on X , denoted $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

(Abuse of notation that we don't mention \mathcal{T} .)

In other words, it is generated by the open sets

of X .

Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} : Smallest σ -alg on \mathbb{R} containing all the open sets.

Consequences:

- ① All open sets are in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.
- ② All closed sets are in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.
- ③ All F_σ , G_δ sets are in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

$$F_\sigma \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \quad (F_i \text{ closed}); \quad G_\delta \equiv \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i \quad (G_i \text{ open})$$

Prop.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

Then, \mathcal{B} is also generated by any of the following:

- (i) $\{ (a, b) : a < b \}$ or $\{ [a, b] : a < b \}$
- (ii) $\{ [a, b) : a < b \}$ or $\{ (a, b] : a < b \}$
- (iii) $\{ (a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R} \}$ or $\{ (-\infty, a) : a \in \mathbb{R} \}$
- (iv) $\{ [a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R} \}$ or $\{ (-\infty, a] : a \in \mathbb{R} \}$

Proof

Easy. \square

Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n :

Suppose $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are metric spaces.

Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ with the product metric.

\swarrow defn

If f_i is the metric on X_i , then f on $\prod X_i$ is defined as

$$p(x, y) = \max f_i(x_i, y_i) \quad \left| x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \right|$$

$$f(x, y) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} f_i(x_i, y_i) \quad \begin{pmatrix} x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Defⁿ. Suppose (X_i, \mathcal{M}_i) are σ -algebras. One can define a σ -algebra on $X := \prod X_i$ as follows:

Consider the projection maps $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \{ \pi_i^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{M}_i, i=1, \dots, n \} \\ &= \{ E \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_n : E \in \mathcal{M}_1 \} \\ &\quad \cup \{ X_1 \times E \times \dots \times X_n : E \in \mathcal{M}_2 \} \\ &\quad \cup \dots \cup \{ X_1 \times \dots \times X_{n-1} \times E : E \in \mathcal{M}_n \}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is the product σ -algebra induced by $\{\mathcal{M}_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

We often write the above as $\mathcal{M} = \hat{\prod}_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$.

Caution. The above $\hat{\prod}_{i=1}^n$ is NOT the set-theoretic cartesian product.

Now, we get two (possibly different) σ -algebras on \mathbb{R}^n .

① Borel σ -alg. on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{I})$

② Product of Borel σ -alg of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

Propⁿ. $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \hat{\prod}_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. That is, both the σ -alg above are same.

Proof. We will prove this by a sequence of observations.

① Suppose $\{ (X_i, M_i) \}_{i=1}^n$ are σ -algebras and $F_i \subseteq M_i$ are such that $M_i = M(F_i)$. ($i=1, \dots, n$)

Then, if $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $M = \prod_{i=1}^n M_i$, then

M is generated by $\{ \pi_i^{-1}(E) : E \in F_i, i=1, \dots, n \}$.

② M is generated by $\{ E_1 \times \dots \times E_n : E_i \in F_i \}$.

Assuming ① and ② for now, we now note the following.

Clearly, one has $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

[Proof. using ②, $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is gen. by sets of the form $U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$, each $U_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ open
Each such set is open in the metric space \mathbb{R}^n .
Thus, it is in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.]

We show $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \prod \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

(*) { It suffices to show that every set of the form
 $U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ where $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are open

are in the product $\prod \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

[Why? Every open set in \mathbb{R}^n is a countable union of sets of the aforementioned form. In turn, the open sets generate $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.]

Proving (*) is easy because

$$U_1 \times \dots \times U_n = \pi_1^{-1}(U_1) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(U_2) \cap \dots \cap \pi_n^{-1}(U_n).$$

these are in $\pi(B(\mathbb{R}))$, by defⁿ

Proof of ①

Want to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{\pi_i^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{F}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ gen. πM_i .

Clearly $M(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \subseteq M$. ($\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq M$ and M is σ -alg)

It now suffices to show that every ^(standard) generator of M is in $M(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$.

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \langle \pi_i^{-1}(E) : E \in M_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle \\ \tilde{M} &:= \langle \pi_i^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{F}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle = M(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{M}_i := \{E \in M_i : \pi_i^{-1}(E) \in \tilde{M}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X_i)$.

We shall show that $\tilde{M}_i = M_i$.

We know, by defⁿ that $\mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \tilde{M}_i$. $\left(E \in \mathcal{F}_i \stackrel{M_i}{\Rightarrow} \pi_i^{-1}(E) \in \tilde{M} \cap M_i \right)$
 \downarrow
 $E \in M_i$.

Moreover, $M(\mathcal{F}_i) = M_i$. Thus, it suffices to show that \tilde{M}_i is also, $\tilde{M}_i \subseteq M_i$. a σ -alg.

To that end, let $A \in \tilde{M}_i$. Then, $\pi_i^{-1}(A) \in \tilde{M}$.

Then, $\pi_i^{-1}(A)^c \in \tilde{M}$. But $\pi_i^{-1}(A^c) = \pi_i^{-1}(A)^c \in M$.

$$\Rightarrow \pi_i^{-1}(A^c) \in M_i$$

Similarly, noting that $\pi_i^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_i^{-1}(A_j)$ yields the result.

Proof of ②.

Now, put $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \{E_1 \times \dots \times E_n : E_i \in \mathcal{F}_i\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$.

Since $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(E_i)$, we see that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

REMARKS.

- ① The argument above generalises for a separable metric spaces.
- ② If $(X_i, \mathcal{M}_i)_{i \in A}$, ^{and A is COUNTABLE} then again, $X = \prod X_i$, $\mathcal{M} = \prod \mathcal{M}_i$ generated by $\{\pi_i^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{M}_i, i \in A\}$ is also generated by sets of the form $\left(\prod_{i \in A} E_i \right)$, $E_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$.

MEASURE

Defⁿ. Suppose (X, \mathcal{M}) is a measure space, i.e., \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra on X . A measure on X is a map $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying

(i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$,

(ii) if $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are pairwise disjoint, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

↓
in \mathcal{M}

EXAMPLES.

(1) $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is countable. Suppose $p_i \geq 0$ are reals s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i = 1$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ and define $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{i: x_i \in E} p_i.$$

(2) (X, \mathcal{M}) be s.t. \mathcal{M} is the countable-cocountable σ -alg.
s.t. X itself is uncountable

Define

$$\mu(E) := \begin{cases} 0 & ; E \text{ is countable} \\ 1 & ; E \text{ is uncountable} \end{cases}$$

Prop.

Suppose (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) is a measure space.

Then,

① $E \subseteq F \Rightarrow \mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$

② $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$ (μ is "sub-additive")

③ If $E_i \uparrow$ (i.e., $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$), then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_i).$$

Proof.

① & ② are trivial

③ Define $F_i = E_i \setminus E_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 2$.

$$F_i = E_i$$

$$\text{Then, } \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i.$$

(n = ∞ as well)

Also, $F_i \in \mathcal{M}$ for each i .
Moreover, $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

$$\text{Thus, } \mu\left(\bigcup E_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup F_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_i)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(F_i)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$$

Def. ① A **null set** in a measure space (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) is a set E s.t. $E \subseteq F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu(F) = 0$.
($E \in \mathcal{M}$ NOT necessary.)

② Given a measure space (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) , the **completion** of \mathcal{M} , denoted $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ is the collection of all sets of the form $F \cup N$ where $F \in \mathcal{M}$ and N is a null set.

Prop. ① If (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) is a measure space, then $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ is a σ -alg.
② Moreover, there exists a unique measure

$$\bar{\mu}: \bar{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow [0, \infty] \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\bar{\mu}|_{\mathcal{M}} = \mu.$$

(That is, there is a unique extension of μ to a measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$.)