

Lecture 0 (04-01-2022)

04 January 2022 17:05

Text: T.Y. Lam - A First Course in Noncommutative Rings

Reference: R.S. Pierce - Associative Algebras

Grading: 2 Quizzes 10% each, Midsem 30%, Endsem 50%.

Ring $\rightarrow R$ with binary operations $+$, \cdot , and elements $0, 1 \in R$.

① $(R, +, 0)$ is an abelian group.

② \cdot is associative.

③ $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a \quad \forall a \in R$.

④ $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$
 $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$ } $\forall a, b, c \in R$

$(a \cdot b \neq b \cdot a \text{ is possible.})$

left ideal: $I \subseteq R$ is a left ideal if

① $0 \in I$,

② I is an additive subgroup of R ,

③ $a \in R, i \in I \Rightarrow ai \in I$.

Right ideal: similar.

I is an ideal := I is a left and right ideal.

left R -module: $(M, +, \cdot)$ is a left R -module

$$+: M \times M \rightarrow M, \quad \cdot : R \times M \rightarrow M$$

① $(M, +)$ is an abelian group.

② $a \cdot (b \cdot m) = (ab) \cdot m \quad \forall a, b \in R \quad \forall m \in M$

③ $1 \cdot m = m \quad \forall m \in M$

④ distributivity of both.

④ distributivity of both.

Lecture 1 (07-01-2022)

07 January 2022 17:30

$R \rightarrow \text{Ring}$.

1. $Z(R) = \text{center of } R$
 $= \{a \in R : ar = ra \quad \forall r \in R\}$ (Always a subring of R .)
2. $a \in R$ is a unit if $\exists b \in R$ s.t. $ab = 1 = ba$.
3. R is called a division ring if every $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is a unit and $1 \neq 0$. (Field if commutative, i.e., $R = Z(R)$.)

Examples. ① Hamiltonians (Quaternions)

$$\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \quad \xrightarrow{(a, b, c, d)}$$

$$\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \quad ij = -ji = k.$$

$$\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

$$r \mapsto (r, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$Z(\mathbb{H}) = \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk,$$

$$\bar{\alpha} = a - bi - cj - dk.$$

$$\|\alpha\|^2 = \alpha \cdot \bar{\alpha} = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2.$$

$$\alpha \cdot \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\|\alpha\|} = 1 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq 0.$$

$\therefore \mathbb{H}$ is a division ring (noncommutative).

② Let k be a field.

$M_n(k)$ = $n \times n$ matrices over k .

$M_n(k)$ is NEVER commutative if $n \geq 2$ and k is arbitrary.

Check that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ do not commute.

$M_n(k)$ is NEVER commutative if $n \geq 2$ and k is arbitrary.

Check that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ do not commute. ($0 \neq 1$)

In fact, given a commutative ring R , one can construct $M_n(R)$. This will again be non-comm for $n \geq 2$ if $R \neq 0$. One can even do this if R non-comm.

③ Let M, N be left R -modules.

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = \{f: M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is } R\text{-linear}\}.$$

In general, $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is only an abelian group.

$$(f+g)(m) = f(m) + g(m).$$

If R is comm., we can define

$$(rf)(m) := r \cdot f(m).$$

But for non commutative, above definition may not be R -linear. Indeed, if $a \in R$, then we want

$$(rf)(am) = a((rf)(m)) = arf(m).$$

$$\text{OTOH, } (rf)(am) = f(ram) = ra \cdot f(m).$$

However, $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an S -module for any subring $S \subseteq Z(R)$.

Defn: $S \subseteq R$ is said to be a subring of R if

- S is an additive subgroup,
- S is a multiplicative submonoid (in particular, $1_R \in S$).

Example: $R = \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$.

$$S = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}, \bar{8}\}.$$

This is additive and multiplicatively closed.

$$\text{Moreover, } \bar{6} \cdot x = x \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Thus, \mathbb{Z} acts as a unit for S .

Thus, S is a ring BUT NOT A SUBRING OF R .

Remark. If $f: M \rightarrow N$ is R -linear and $S \subseteq R$ a subring,
then f is S -linear.

Example. $R = \mathbb{C}[x] \rightarrow$ inf. dim. rspace over \mathbb{C} .

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[x], \mathbb{C}[x]).$$

$$T = A_1(\mathbb{C}) = R \left[\begin{array}{l} \mu_r: R \rightarrow R, \quad \mu_r(rt) = rt; r \in R \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}: R \rightarrow R, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f) = f' \end{array} \right].$$

↳ subring of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(R, R)$ generated by $\mu_r(r \in R)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

Bernstein: If M is an $A_1(\mathbb{C})$ -module s.t. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) < \infty$, then $M = 0$.

Note: Over $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$, we can get nontrivial such modules.

For example, $M_n = R/(x^n)$ is an R -module
with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_n) = n < \infty$ and $M_n \neq 0$.

Prof. Let $\beta = \frac{d}{dx} \cdot \mu_x - \mu_x \cdot \frac{d}{dx}$.

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(f) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (xf) - x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f \right) \\ &= f + x \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - x \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\ &= f. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \beta = {}^1_{A_1(\mathbb{C})}.$$

Suppose $\exists M \neq 0$ over $A_1(\mathbb{C})$ s.t. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = n > 0$
with $n < \infty$.

Fix basis for M over \mathbb{C} .

$\mu_x: M \rightarrow M$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Let A be matrix rep.

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}: M \rightarrow M$ is also \mathbb{C} -linear. $-A = B = n -$

Then, $BA - AB = I_{nxn}$.

But taking trace gives a contradiction since $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
but $\text{tr}(I_{nxn}) = n \neq 0$. ■

Recall: G is simple if $|G| \leq 59$ unless $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

For $|G| = 60$, $G \cong A_5$ is precisely the non-simple group.

Groups of order p^n are not simple for $n \geq 2$.
(The center is normal and nontrivial.)

Burnside: $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q prime, $a+b \geq 2 \Rightarrow G$ not simple.

Rep theory: Study of group homomorphisms $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Emmy Noether: $\begin{matrix} \text{f.g. modules} \\ \text{over } \mathbb{C}[G] \end{matrix} = \text{Group rep of } G$.

Group rings $\mathbb{C}[G]$ modular case: $|G| = 0$ in \mathbb{C}

non-modular case: $\frac{1}{|G|} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Def. Let $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$ be a finite group, and k a field.
 $k[G] := kg_1 \oplus kg_2 \oplus \dots \oplus kg_n$.

Addition is defined component wise.

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) \left(\sum_{g \in G} b_g g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{\sigma \tau = g} a_\sigma b_\tau \right) g.$$

Example. $G = S_3 = \{1, a, a^2, b, ab, a^2b\}$, $a^3 = b^2 = 1, ba = a^2b$.

$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$.

$$x = 2 + 3a + 4b + 5a^2b,$$

$$y = a + 2b.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then, } \alpha\beta &= (2 + 3a + 4b + 5a^2b)(a + 2b) \\
 &= 2a + 3a^2 + 4ba + 5a^2ba \\
 &\quad + 4b + 6ab + 8b^2 + 10a^2b^2 \\
 &= 2a + 3a^2 + 4a^2b + 5ab + 4b + 6ab + 8 + 10a^2b \\
 &= 8 + 2a + 13a^2 + 4b + 11ab + 4a^2b.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let k be a field. Let G act on k , i.e., $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(k)$ is a homom.

$$k^G = \{a \in G : \sigma(a) = a \ \forall \sigma \in G\}.$$

Artin: $\frac{k}{k^G}$ finite normal sep extⁿ of k^G .

- $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

$$G \subset GL_n(k) \text{ finite.}$$

G acts on R . R^G is a subring. (Hilbert showed this is Noetherian!)

Defn. let G be a finite group.

let R be a ring.

let $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ be a group homomorphism.

Define the skew group ring $R *_{\rho} G$ as follows:

$$R *_{\rho} G = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} r_g \cdot g \mid r_g \in R \right\}.$$

$$(a\sigma\tau) \cdot (b\tau\zeta) := a\sigma \rho(\tau)(b\zeta) (\sigma\zeta)$$

$$(\text{Basically: } g \cdot r := g(g)(r).)$$

Lecture 2 (11-01-2022)

11 January 2022 17:30

Convention: A module by default means "left module".
The definitions are analogous for right modules.

Defn: An R -module M is said to be simple if

- $M \neq 0$,
- $N \leq M \Rightarrow N = 0 \text{ or } N = M$.
↳ N is an R -submodule of M

Q. Are simple modules f.g.?

Yes. Pick any $m \in M \setminus \{0\}$. Then, $\langle m \rangle = M$.

EXAMPLES

1) $k \rightarrow$ field. ($R = k$)

If M is a f.g. k -module, then M is a fdim k -vec space.

Thus, $M \cong k^n$.

In particular, M is simple $\Leftrightarrow M \cong k$.

There is only one simple module!

2) $R = M_n(k)$
 $\cong \text{Hom}_k(k^n, k^n)$. (n=1 ↑)

Assume $n \geq 2$. Note: R is noncommutative for any choice of k .

(e.g.: for $n=2$, consider $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $k \cong Z(R)$ by $a \mapsto aI_{n \times n}$.

Let $V = k^n$ as column vectors.
 $= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} : a_i \in k \right\}$.

R acts on V by left multiplication.

Lemma. V is a simple R -module.

Proof. Pick $0 \neq v \in V$. To show: $\langle v \rangle = V$.

Extend $\{v\}$ to a k -basis $\{v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ of V .

For each $i \in [n]$, we can find a matrix $A_i \in R$ s.t.

$$A_i v = v_i.$$

(Think in terms of linear transformation by sending all the basis elements to v_i)

Thus, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \langle v \rangle$.

Note that $\langle v \rangle$ is also a k -vec space.

\therefore all k -linear combinations of v_1, \dots, v_n are in $\langle v \rangle$.

$\therefore V \subseteq \langle v \rangle$. □

will prove later.

FACTS: ① V is the unique simple R -module.

② M is any f.g. left R -module $\Rightarrow M \cong V \oplus \dots \oplus V$.

Again, unique simple module!

3) $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is simple for all primes $p \geq 2$.

Infinitely many simple modules this time!

Theorem. Let R be a ring such that $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(R)$.

Assume that $\dim_k(R) < \infty$.

Then, there are only finitely many simple R -modules.

Proof Later. \square

4) $J_n(k) := n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over k .

$$k \hookrightarrow J_n(k)$$
$$a \mapsto a I_n, \quad \dim_k J_n(k) < \infty.$$

Define the R -modules V_1, \dots, V_n as follows:

$$V_i \cong k \text{ as } k\text{-vector spaces.}$$

The action on V_i is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & * & * \\ 0 & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \cdot x := a_{ii}x.$$

(Multiplication by a_{ii} . Check that above is indeed a module.)

Prop. V_1, \dots, V_n are all distinct simple R -modules.

Proof Simplicity is clear since $\dim_k(V_i) = 1$.

Now, let $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ be given. Let

$$f: V_i \rightarrow V_j$$

be an R -linear map. We show that $f=0$. In particular, there is no R -linear isomorphism from V_i to V_j .

Let $x \in V_i$.

Consider the element $A = E_{ii} \xleftarrow{\substack{1 \text{ in } (i,i) \\ 0 \text{ else}}}$

Then, $x = A \cdot x$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Applying } f \text{ gives } f(x) &= f(A \cdot x) \\ &= A \cdot f(x) \quad \text{in } V_j \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$= A \cdot f(x) \quad \text{in } V_i$$

$$= 0.$$

A

Theorem (Schur's Lemma) Let M, N be simple modules.

- (i) $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = 0$ if $M \not\cong N$.
- (ii) $\text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ is a division ring.

Proof

We show that if $f: M \rightarrow N$ is nonzero, then it is an isomorphism. Both parts follow at once.

$$f \neq 0 \Rightarrow \ker(f) \neq M \Rightarrow \ker(f) = 0 \quad \text{since } M \text{ is simple.}$$

↓

f is one-one.

$$f \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{im}(f) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{im}(f) = N \quad \text{since } N \text{ is simple}$$

↓

f is onto.

B

EXAMPLES So Far: ① $R_1 = R$.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad R_2 = M_n(k) = \text{Hom}_k(k^n, k^n).$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad R_3 = \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad R_4 = J_n(k).$$

EXAMPLE 5. $V = k^n$.

$$\text{Hom}_k(V, V) \cong R_2.$$

(1)

Note that V is also an R_2 -module.

Claim

$$\text{Hom}_{R_2}(V, V) \cong k. \quad (\text{Compare with (1)!})$$

(Iso. as k -vec spaces. Recall that Hom is a $Z(R)$ -module.)

The map constructed
will also be a ring
isomorphism!

Proof.

$$\Psi: k \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_2}(V, V).$$

$$a \longmapsto \varphi_a, \quad \text{where } \varphi_a: V \longrightarrow V \quad \text{is} \\ u \mapsto a \cdot u.$$

Easy to see that Ψ is well-defined, k -linear, and one-one.

Onto:

Step 1. Let $f: V \rightarrow V$ be R_2 -linear.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard \mathbb{k} -basis for V .

Define $v_i := f(e_i)$.

Step 2. For all i : $\{e_i, v_i\}$ is lin. dep.

Proof. Suppose they are lin. indep for some i .

(can find $A \in R_2$ s.t. $Av_i = e_i$ and $Ae_i = 0$.)

$$f(Av_i) = A \cdot f(e_i) = Av_i = e_i.$$

||

0



Step 3. By Step 2, $\exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{k}$ s.t. $v_i = \alpha_i e_i \quad \forall i \in [n]$.

Suffices to show that all α_i are same.

Let $i \neq j$. Pick a perm. matrix $P \in R_2$ s.t.

$$Pe_i = e_j, \quad Pe_j = e_i.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i e_i &= v_i = f(e_i) = f(Pe_j) = P f(e_j) \\ &= P v_j \\ &= \alpha_j Pe_j = \alpha_j e_i. \end{aligned}$$

□

$$\therefore \alpha_i = \alpha_j.$$

Opposite Ring : R^{op}

• Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring.

• As an abelian group, $(R^{\text{op}}, +) = (R, +)$.

Multiplication is defined as

$$a \cdot b := ba.$$

$\in R^{\text{op}}$

• $R \rightarrow \text{ring.}$ $S := \text{Hom}_R(N, N)$ is a ring with multiplication being composition.

$$= \text{End}_R(N)$$

- $\text{Hom}_R(R, R) \cong R^{\text{op}}$ as rings.
 $f \mapsto f(1)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{g} & M \\ fg \searrow & \downarrow & \downarrow f \\ & M & \end{array}$$

- More generally,

$$\text{Hom}_R(R^n, R^n) \cong M_n(R^{\text{op}}).$$

In particular, R comm $\Rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R^n, R^n) \cong M_n(R),$
 $\text{Hom}_R(R, R) \cong R.$

- Let M be a LEFT R -module.
 Then, M is a RIGHT S -module. ($S := \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$)

$$m \cdot f := f(m).$$

M is an R - S -bimodule.

Proof. $\Psi : \text{Hom}_R(R^n, R^n) \longrightarrow M_n(R^{\text{op}}).$

$$f \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ f(e_1) & f(e_2) & \dots & f(e_n) \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Psi(fg) = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ fg(e_1) & \dots & fg(e_n) \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Psi(f)\Psi(g) = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ f(e_1) & \dots & | \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} | & | \\ g(e_1) & \dots \\ | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

Lecture 3 (13-01-2022)

13 January 2022 15:26

(1) $R_1 = k \rightarrow$ field.

M f.g. over $k \Leftrightarrow M \cong k^n$.

k is the unique simple module over R_1 .

(2) $R_2 = Mn(k)$, $V = k^n \hookrightarrow$ unique simple R_2 -module.

(Will show: f.g. modules over R_2 are $V \oplus V \oplus \dots \oplus V$)

(3) $R_3 = \mathbb{Z}$.

For each prime p , $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a simple \mathbb{Z} -module.

(In particular, there are infinitely many!)

(4) $R_4 = T_n(k) \rightarrow$ upper triangular matrices

$V_i = ke_i$. $V_i \neq V_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Defn.: $R \rightarrow$ ring

$M \rightarrow$ (left) R -module

We say that M is **Noetherian** if every ascending chain of submodules of M stabilises.

Exercise: TFAE:

(i) M is Noetherian.

(ii) Every nonempty collection of submodules of M has a maximal element.

Defn.: $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} L \rightarrow 0$ is said to be a **short exact sequence** of left R -modules if

(i) α is one-one,

(ii) $\text{im}(\alpha) = \ker(\beta)$,

(iii) β is onto.

Exercise: Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be a s.e.s.

TFAE:

(i) M_2 is Noe.

(ii) M_1 and M_2 are Noe.

Note: R has two structures as an R-module.
obvious

As a left module, we write ${}_R R$ and as a right we write R_R .

Defn: R is said to be left Noetherian if ${}_R R$ is Noetherian.
(Similarly for right.)

Note: There exist (necessarily noncommutative) rings which are left Noetherian but not right.

Dual condition of Noetherian: Artinian (descending chains stabilize).
Similarly, a ring is left (resp. right) Artinian if it Artinian as a left (resp. right) module over itself.

Remark: Again left Artinian $\not\Rightarrow$ right Artinian $\not\Rightarrow$ left Art.

Hopkin - Levitzki : ${}_R R$ Artin $\Rightarrow {}_R R$ Noetherian.
 R_R Artin $\Rightarrow R_R$ Noetherian.

Examples: • \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian but not Artinian. Thus, converse of above is not true.

• $k \hookrightarrow R$ s.t. $k \subseteq Z(R)$,
 $\dim_k(R) < \infty$.

Then, R is left Artin and left Noe.

Then, R is left Artin and left Noe.

(Any left ideal is a k -vector space...)

did not REALLY require
this for this example

(Then we would talk about
dim as left/right k -vec
space.)

- Every PID (or more generally PIR) is Noetherian.
Every ID which is not a field is not Artin. Take

$a \in R \setminus U(R)$, then

$$aR \supseteq a^2R \supseteq a^3R \supseteq \dots$$

$$\bullet R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots].$$

$$(x_1) \subsetneq (x_1, x_2) \subsetneq (x_1, x_2, x_3) \subsetneq \dots \quad \text{NOT Noe.}$$

$$(x_1) \supsetneq (x_1^2) \supsetneq (x_1^3) \supsetneq \dots \quad \text{NOT Artinian.}$$

Question: When is an R -module both Artinian and Noetherian?

Defn: We say that a left R -module M has a composition series

$$0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_n = M$$

if M_{i+1}/M_i is a simple (left) R -module for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.

Theorem: TFAE:

- (i) M is Artinian and Noetherian.
- (ii) M has a composition series.

Proof: (ii) \Rightarrow (i)

Observation: E simple $\Rightarrow E$ is Art + Noe.

$M_1, M_2/M_1$ are simple $\Rightarrow M_1, M_2/M_1$ are A + N



M_2 is $A + N$.

Then consider $0 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3/M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ and so on.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume $M \neq 0$.

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \{N : N \subsetneq M\}.$$

$0 \in \mathcal{C}_1. \quad \therefore \mathcal{C}_1 \neq \emptyset.$

by Noe, pick $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ maximal.

• $M_1 \subsetneq M$

• M/M_1 is simple.

If M_1 is simple, then we are done.

Else take $\mathcal{C}_2 := \{N : N \subsetneq M_1\}$ and keep going on to get

$$M \supset M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \dots$$

Since M is Art, this process must terminate and we are done.

HQ

Lecture 4 (18-01-2022)

18 January 2022 17:26

- Artin + Noether $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ composition series
- $k \hookrightarrow Z(A)$, $\dim_k A < \infty \Rightarrow A$ is both left Artin and Noe.

Recalling the proof of Jordan-Hölder, one similarly has:

Any two composition series (if they exist) are of same length, and the quotients appearing are permutations of each other.

Corollary. $k \hookrightarrow Z(A)$, $\dim_k A < \infty$.

There are only finitely many simple A -modules (up to isomorphism).

Proof. M simple $\Rightarrow M \cong A/q \leftarrow$ simple.

$$A \supseteq q_0 \supseteq q_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq q_r \supseteq 0.$$

Thus, A/q is a module ^{appearing} _{as} one of the finitely many quotients in a comp. series of A . □

- Bimodule:

R, S ring.

$M \rightarrow (R-S)$ -bimodule, denote RMs .

\hookrightarrow left R -module
 \hookrightarrow right S -module

$$r \cdot (m \cdot s) = (r \cdot m) \cdot s \quad \forall r \in R, s \in S.$$

- Triangular rings.

Let R, S, RMs be given. Define

$$A := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} : r \in R, m \in M, s \in S \right\}$$

$$A := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ s & \end{pmatrix} : r \in R, m \in M, s \in S \right\}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ & S \end{pmatrix}.$$

A is a ring with obvious addition and multiplication as

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ s & \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r' & m' \\ s' & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} rr' & rm' + ms' \\ ss' & \end{pmatrix}.$$

	R	M	S
R	R	M	0
M	0	O	M
S	0	0	S

Proposition. (Self-study)

- ① Left ideals of A are of the form $I_1 \oplus I_2$, where I_2 is a left ideal in S , I_1 is a left R -submodule of $R \oplus M$ containing MI_2 .
- ② Right ideals of A are of the form $J_1 \oplus J_2$, where J_1 is a right ideal in R , and J_2 is a right S -submodule of $M \oplus S$ containing J_1M .

Corollary:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & C \\ & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

is left-Noe. and left Artin but
NOT right-Art nor right-Noe

$$(\dim_Q C = \infty)$$

Recall: Let V be a f.d. k -vec. space.

Let $f: V \rightarrow V$ be k -linear.

Then, f is one-one $\Leftrightarrow f$ is onto.

The above is false if $\dim_R(V) = \infty$. (Consider the shift-operators.)

Defn. ① A ring R is Dedekind-finite if:

$$\forall a, b \in R : ab = 1 \Rightarrow ba = 1.$$

② $a \in R$ is called a left zero divisor if $a \neq 0$ and $\exists b \neq 0$ s.t. $ab = 0$.

③ $R \neq 0$ is called a domain if $ab = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$ or $b = 0$ $\forall a, b \in R$.

④ R is called reduced if $a^n = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0 \quad \forall a \in R$ then.

EXAMPLES.

(i) $k \rightarrow$ field, $\sigma : k \rightarrow k$ field endomorphism
(not necessarily onto)

HILBERT TWIST.

$$k[x, \sigma] := \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite sum}} a_n x^n : a_n \in k \right\}.$$

Addition is usual.

$$x \cdot a := \sigma(a) x.$$

(i) $\sigma \neq \text{id} \Rightarrow k[x, \sigma]$ is not commutative.

$$(ii) \text{ left polynomials } \left\{ \sum a_i x^i \right\}$$

$$\text{right polynomials } \left\{ \sum x^i b_i \right\}$$

If σ is not onto, then not all left polynomials are also right polynomials.

• Similarly, can do this with power series to get $k((x, \sigma))$.

$$\cdot k((x)) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n : a_n \in k \right\} = \text{Laurent series.}$$

for $\text{rcc} \circ$

Can talk about $k((x; \sigma))$. Here, one takes $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(k)$.
 $k = \mathbb{Q}(t)$. $\sigma : t \mapsto 2t$.
 $k((x; \sigma))$ is a division ring.

(2) Let G act on a ring A by automorphisms.

$$A * G = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_\sigma \sigma : a_\sigma \in A, \sigma \in G \right\}$$

Addition component wise. Multiplication:

$$(a_\sigma) \cdot (b_\tau) = \underbrace{a \cdot \sigma(b)}_{\in R} (\tau).$$

3) $k[G]$ \rightarrow group ring, G finite group

Algebras.

• $A \rightarrow$ commutative ring.

$R \rightarrow$ ring.

R is said to be an A -algebra via φ if

$\varphi : A \rightarrow Z(R)$ is a ring homomorphism.

Example. $k \hookrightarrow A$, $i(k) \subseteq Z(A)$, $\dim_k A < \infty$.

$\text{Hom}_k(A, k) \rightarrow$ injective A -module

↪ f.g. as an A -module

$\text{mod}(A) = \{M : M \text{ is a f.g. left } A\text{-module}\}$

has proj. + inj. modules in this case.

Lecture 5 (21-01-2022)

21 January 2022 17:22

Semisimplicity

Recall : A left R -module M is called simple if $M \neq 0$ and $N \leq M \Rightarrow N = 0$ or $N = M$.

Def. M is semisimple if for every submodule $N \leq M$, there exists a submodule $K \leq M$ such that $M = N \oplus K$.
 (Usual internal direct sum.)

Example ① 0 module is semisimple.

② Every simple module is semisimple. ($M = M \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus M$)

③ Any (finite dimensional) vector space is semisimple.

↳ can extend basis, assuming Acc.

④ $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ s.e.s.

\vee semisimple $\Rightarrow U, W$ are semisimple
 ↳

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $K \leq U$. Then, $K \leq V$.

Write $V = K \oplus K'$.

Check : $U = K \oplus (K' \cap U)$.

Write $V = U \oplus U'$. Then, $W \cong U' \leq V$.

$\therefore W$ is semisimple by first part.

(\Leftarrow) Take $R = \frac{k[x]}{(x^2)}$. \rightsquigarrow commutative ring!

$0 \rightarrow (x) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/(x) \rightarrow 0$.
 ↳ simple since isomorphic to k

Claim : R is not semisimple.

We show that (x) does not have a complementary submodule.

Indeed, if possible, assume that $R = (x) \oplus W$.

Since $(x) \neq R$, $W \neq 0$.

Let $t = ax + b \in W$.

Since $W \cap (x) = 0$, we have $b \neq 0$.

But then, $1 + \frac{ax}{b} = \frac{t}{b}$.

But then, $1 + \frac{ax}{b} = \frac{t}{b}$
 \hookrightarrow nilpotent

$\therefore \frac{t}{b}$ is a unit. But then t^{EW} is a unit.
 But w is a proper ideal. \square

Defn. R is said to be left semisimple as a ring if any f.g. R -module is semisimple.

Remark! We will show that R is left s.s. as a ring iff R is s.s. as a left R -module. In fact, in such a case, EVERY R -module is a semisimple module! (See Remark 2.)

Theorem! Let $R = k[G]$. ($k \rightarrow$ field, $G \rightarrow$ finite group)

$$R \text{ is semisimple} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{|G|} \in k \Leftrightarrow \text{char}(k) \nmid |G|.$$

Proof (\Leftarrow) Let V be a f.g. R -module. Let $0 \leq w \leq V$.

We show that $\exists h: V \rightarrow w$ $k[G]$ -linear s.t.

$$h(w) = w \quad \forall w \in w.$$

(That is, $0 \rightarrow w \rightarrow V \rightarrow V/w \rightarrow 0$
 \downarrow splits)

This directly gives $V = w \oplus \ker h$.

Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ be a basis of w extended to $\{w_1, \dots, w_r, t_1, \dots, t_s\}$ of V .

Define the k -linear map $f: V \rightarrow w$ by
 $w_i \mapsto w_i,$
 $t_j \mapsto 0.$

Then, $f|_w = \text{id}_w$.

Define $h: V \rightarrow w$ by

$$h(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^{-1} f(\sigma v).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } h(w) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^{-1} f(\sigma w) \quad \xrightarrow{\substack{rw \in w \text{ since} \\ w \text{ is a} \\ k[G]-\text{submodule}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^{-1} (\sigma w) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} w = w. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we wish to show that h is $\mathbb{K}[G]$ -linear.

Since it is \mathbb{K} -linear, suffice to show that $h(gv) = gh(v)$ $\forall g \in G$.

$$\begin{aligned} h(gv) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^{-1} f(\sigma gv) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} g \cdot \bar{\sigma} \sigma^{-1} f(\bar{\sigma} g \circ) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\tau \in G} g \cdot \tau^{-1} f(\tau v) \\ &= g \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\tau \in G} \tau^{-1} f(\tau v) \right) = g \cdot h(v). \end{aligned}$$

(\Rightarrow) Later, when we study radicals □

Q. How to construct semisimple modules?

Theorem 2. Let M be a left R -module.

TFAE:

- ① M is semisimple.
- ② M is a sum of simple R -submodules.
- ③ M is a direct sum of simple R -modules.

Before proof, some examples.

Cor. \mathbb{K} field $\Rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{K})$ semisimple as a module over itself.

Proof. Recall that $V \cong \mathbb{K}^n$ is a simple $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ -module.

Thus, $M_n(\mathbb{K}) \cong V \oplus \underbrace{\dots \oplus V}_n$ is semisimple. □

Cor. $\mathbb{R}R$ semisimple \Rightarrow any R -module is simple.

Proof. Let M be any R -module. Map a free module $F = \bigoplus_I R$ onto M .

F is semisimple by Theorem 2.

M is a quotient of F and hence, semisimple by Example ④. □

Remark 2. The above reconciles Remark 1.

That is, TFAE:

(i) R is semisimple as a ring.

(That is, every f.g. R -module is a semisimple R -module.)

(ii) R is semisimple as a left R -module.

(Note: R is f.g. over itself.)

(iii) Every R -module is semisimple.

Lemma. Let $M \neq 0$ be a semisimple module.

Then, N has a simple submodule.

(In particular, if $K \leq M$ is a nonzero submodule, then K has a simple submodule.)

Proof. Let $0 \neq m \in M$. $Rm \leq M$ is nonzero.

Can assume $Rm = M$.

Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{K \leq M : m \notin K\}.$$

$\{0\} \in \mathcal{C}$ and thus, $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$. Partially order \mathcal{C} by \subseteq .

Let $\{K_\alpha\}$ be a chain in \mathcal{C} . Then, $\bigcup_{\alpha} K_\alpha$ is a submodule of M not containing m .

Thus, by Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{C} has a maximal element, say T .

By semisimplicity, $M = T \oplus K$. Then K is simple. \square

Proof of Thm 2:

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $M_0 = \text{sum of all simple submodules of } M$.

If $M_0 \neq M$, then $M = M_0 \oplus K$ for some $K \neq 0$.

But K has a simple submodule then.

$$\therefore K \cap M_0 \neq 0. \rightarrow$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$. Let $N \leq M$ be given.

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ J \subseteq I : \begin{array}{l} (1) \quad \sum_{i \in J} M_i = \bigoplus_{i \in J} M_i \\ (2) \quad N \cap \left(\sum_{i \in J} M_i \right) = 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

$\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$. Partially order \mathcal{C} by \subseteq .

Usual Zorn shows that \mathcal{C} has a maximal J .

Let $K = \bigoplus_{i \in J} M_i$. Clearly, $N \cap K = 0$.

Let $K = \bigoplus_{j \in J_0} M_j$. Clearly, $N \cap K = 0$.

Let $M' = N \oplus K$. We show $M = M'$.

If not, then $M_i \not\subseteq M'$ for some $i \in I$.
(Necessarily $i \notin J_0$.)

Then, $M' \cap M_i = \{0\}$ since M_i simple.

But the $J_0 \cup \{i\} \in \ell$, contradicting maximality.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Same proof as above works with $N=0$, since we produced a complement which was a direct sum of simple modules.

Lecture 6 (28-01-2022)

28 January 2022 17:28

- Recall that for an R -module M , TFAE:
 - ① M is semisimple.
 - ② M is a sum of simple submodules.
 - ③ M is a direct sum of simple modules.
 - 1. We also showed that if $\text{char}(k) \nmid G$, then $k[G]$ is semisimple. Converse is true as well. (We did not show this.)
 - 2. $R = M_n(k)$ is simple, $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k^n$.
 - 3. R is semisimple as a ring $\stackrel{\text{defn}}{\iff}$ every f.g. R -module is semisimple $\iff R$ is semisimple as a (left) module. \iff every R -module is semisimple.
- Q. If R is semisimple as a left module, is it also semisimple as a right module? As we shall see, yes!

Thm: (Artin-Wedderburn)

Let R^{op} be a ring. TFAE

- (i) R is a left semisimple ring.
- (ii) $R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{n_r}(D_r)$, where D_i are division rings.
↳ rings $(r, (n_1, D_1), \dots, (n_r, D_r))$ is an invariant of R .
- (iii) R is a right semisimple ring.

Recall R^{op} .

Left R -module \equiv Right R^{op} -module.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a \cdot m & \longleftrightarrow & m \cdot a \\ a \cdot (b \cdot m) & \longleftrightarrow & (m \cdot b) \cdot a \\ a \cdot b & \longleftrightarrow & b \cdot a \end{array} \quad \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ \text{ } \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} a, b \in R \\ m \in M \end{array}$$

EXAMPLE. $D \rightarrow$ Division ring.
Let $R = M_n(D)$, $V = D^n$. V is an R -module naturally.

Then,

1. R is semisimple.
2. $R^R \cong V \oplus \underbrace{\dots \oplus V}_{n \text{ copies}}$.
3. $\text{End}_R(V) \cong D^{\text{op}}$.

Proof of 3. Let $\mu_a: D^n \rightarrow D^n$ denote multiplication by $a \in D$. Define $\phi: D^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(D^n, D^n)$ by $a \mapsto \mu_a$.

ON THE RIGHT

Then, ϕ is 1-1 and onto. (We had seen this for fields in lecture 2 Example 5.)

$$\phi(1) = 1. \checkmark$$

(Same proof goes.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \phi(a \cdot b) &= \mu_{a \cdot b} \\ &= \mu_b \circ \mu_a = \mu_a \circ \mu_b \\ &= \phi(a) \circ \phi(b). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$$

is clearly true.

MULTIPLY
ON THE
RIGHT!

Theorem. R_1, \dots, R_n semisimple $\Rightarrow R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ semisimple.

Proof. Sufficient to show this for $n=2$.

$$\text{Write } R = \bigoplus_i U_i, S = \bigoplus_j V_j.$$

$$\text{Check } R \times S = \left(\bigoplus_i U_i \times S \right) \oplus \left(R \times \bigoplus_j V_j \right).$$

□

EXERCISE.

- ① If simple R -module $\Rightarrow U \times S$ is a simple $(R \times S)$ -module.
- ② If simple S -module $\Rightarrow R \times V$ is a simple $(R \times S)$ -module.

Proof of Artin-Wedderburn :

① \Rightarrow ②. Let R be left semisimple.

Write $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} U_i$ for $U_i \subseteq R$ simple left ideals.

$$1 \in U_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{i_s}.$$

$\Rightarrow r = r \cdot 1 \in U_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{i_s}$ for all $r \in R$.

$$\therefore R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s U_i \quad : \quad U_i \text{ simple.}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i^{\oplus n_i} \quad : \quad V_i \text{ simple, } n_i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq 1, \\ V_i \neq V_j \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

$$R^{op} \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, R) = \text{Hom}_R\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i^{n_i}, \bigoplus_{j=1}^r V_j^{n_j}\right)$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{i,j} \text{Hom}_R(V_i^{n_i}, V_j^{n_j})$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{i,j} \text{Hom}_R(V_i, V_j)^{n_i n_j} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{Schar}}$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_R(V_i^{n_i}, V_i^{n_i})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^s \text{End}_R(V_i^{n_i})$$

$$\text{Hom}_R(V_i^{n_i}, V_i^{n_i}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(V_i, V_i)^{n_i^2} \quad D_i := \text{Hom}_R(V_i, V_i) \\ \cong M_{n_i}(D_i). \quad \xrightarrow{\text{deck}} \quad \text{div ring by Schar}$$

Thus, $R^{op} \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_r}(D_r)$.

$$\Rightarrow R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1^{op}) \times \cdots \times M_{n_r}(D_r^{op})$$

Other directions now follow.

Proof also shows uniqueness: The V_i are characterised by the modules

appearing in any Jordan-Hölder decomposition. So are the n_i . \square

Lecture 7 (01-02-2022)

01 February 2022 17:36

Last time, we characterised semisimple rings.

Thm: Let R be a ring. TFAE:

- (i) R is left semisimple.
- (ii) $R \cong \prod_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(D_i)$.
- (iii) R is right semisimple.

Semisimple ring \equiv homological dim 0.

Defn: A left R -module P is called projective if for every diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & & \\ \downarrow \beta & & (\alpha \text{ is onto}) \\ N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \rightarrow 0, & & \\ & \swarrow h & \downarrow \\ & P & \\ & \downarrow & \\ N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \rightarrow 0 & & \end{array}$$

there exists $h: P \rightarrow N$ s.t. $\alpha \circ h = \beta$.

EXAMPLES: Any free R -module is projective.

Prop: Any direct summand of a free module is projective.

That is, if P is such that $\exists Q$ with $P \oplus Q$ free, P is projective.

Proof: Exercise. Use the maps $P \xrightarrow{i} F$ and $F \rightarrow P$ along with projectivity of F . #

Remark: ① If $R \neq 0$ is a commutative ring and $R^n \cong R^m$, then $n = m$.
② \exists a non-comm. ring R s.t. $R \cong R^2$ as R -modules. Consequently $R^n \cong R^m \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

EXAMPLE: Note $\mathbb{Z}/6 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$.

Thus, $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\mathbb{Z}/3$ are projective $\mathbb{Z}/6$ -modules.

However, neither is free.

Prop: Let k be a field, R a ring.

Suppose $k \subseteq \mathbb{Z}(R)$ with $\dim_k(R) < \infty$.

Then, $R^n \cong R^m \Rightarrow n = m$.

Proof: $R^n \cong R^m \text{ as } R\text{-mod} \Rightarrow R^n \cong R^m \text{ as } k\text{-mod}$

$$\Rightarrow n \cdot \dim_k R = m \cdot \dim_k R \Rightarrow n = m. \quad \square$$

Remark: If $\alpha: M \rightarrow P$ is surjective with P projective, then

$$\exists \sigma: P \rightarrow M \text{ s.t. } \alpha \circ \sigma = \text{id}_P.$$

$$\text{Then, } M \cong P \oplus \ker \alpha.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \sigma \dashv \\ \downarrow \\ M \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

In particular, if P is f.g., one can find a f.g. Q s.t. $P \oplus Q \cong R^n$ with $n < \infty$.

(Map an R^n onto P and note Q is a quotient of R^n .)

Dfn: We say that the s.e.s. $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \xrightarrow{\beta} L \rightarrow 0$ splits if $\exists \sigma: L \rightarrow N$ s.t. $\beta \circ \sigma = \text{id}_L$.

Remark: ① In the above case, we have $N \cong M \oplus L$.

② The above is equivalent to: $\exists \pi: N \rightarrow M$ s.t. $\pi \circ \alpha = \text{id}_M$.

Thm: Let R be a semisimple ring.

Then, every R -module is projective.

Proof: Let M be any R -module.

We get a s.e.s. $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with F free.

$K \subseteq F$. We have $F = K \oplus L$ as F is semisimple.

Then, the above s.e.s. splits. Thus, $F \cong M \oplus K$ and hence,

M is projective. \square

Lemma: $N \leq \mathbb{Z}^s \Rightarrow N \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some $r \leq s$.

Submodule of a f.g. free module is free with rank not increasing.

Proof: Induction on s .

$s=1$: Clear since ideals are 0 or $n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \neq 0$.

$$s > 2^1 \quad N \leq \mathbb{Z}^s = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_s.$$

Let π_i denote the natural proj. $\mathbb{Z}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}e_i$ and $j: N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^s$ the nat. inclusion.

Case 1: $\pi_i \circ j = 0$ for some i .

Then, $N \leq \mathbb{Z}^{s-1}$ and by induction...

Case 2: $\pi_i \circ j \neq 0$ for all i .

Then, $\text{im}(\pi_i \circ j) = n_i \mathbb{Z}$ for all i , $n_i \geq 1$.

We have the s.e.c.

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\pi_i \circ j) \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\pi_i \circ j} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

As \mathbb{Z} is projective, we get

$$N \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker(\pi_i \circ j) \dots$$

?

Lecture 8 (04-02-2022)

04 February 2022 17:26

Two classes of rings:

1. Noetherian rings : Hilbert Basis Theorem.

2. Quiver algebras



Theorem: Let R be a left \wedge Noetherian ring.

Then, $R[x]$ is left \vee Noetherian.

Proof: Let $I \subseteq R[x]$ be a left ideal. We wish to show that I is f.g.

Can assume $I \neq 0$.

Notation: For $f = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ with $a_n \neq 0$,

define $LT(f) := x^n$, $LC(f) := a_n$.

For $n \geq 1$, define

$$J_n := R \langle LC(f) : 0 \neq f \in I, LT(f) = x^n \rangle.$$

↳ left ideal generated in R by leading coeffs of n^{th} deg polys in I .

Note: J_n could be zero if no such f .

If $f = a_0 + \dots + a_nx^n \in I$ with $a_n \neq 0$, then

$$LT(xf) = LT(f \cdot x) = x^{n+1}, \quad LC(xf) = a_n.$$

$$\therefore J_n \subseteq J_{n+1}.$$

We have an asc. chain of left ideals of R :

$$J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq J_3 \subseteq \dots$$

As R is left Noe., the above stabilises. Let n_0 be s.t.

$$J_n = J_{n_0} \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

$$J_{n_0} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n_0}).$$

$$\text{Let } M = (R \oplus Rx \oplus \dots \oplus Rx^{n_0-1}) \cap I.$$

↓
Noetherian since R is.

M is a Noetherian R -module.

Write $M = R\langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$.

Pick $f_1, \dots, f_n \in I$ ^{of degree n_0} with $LT(f_i) = a_i$.

Claim: $I = R\langle m_1, \dots, m_r, f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$.

Pf Let $N = R\langle m_1, \dots, m_r, f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$.

Clearly, $N \subseteq I$.

Let $0 \neq f \in I$. We show $f \in N$ by induction on $\deg(f) = n$.

If $n \leq n_0 - 1$, then $f \in M \subseteq N$.

Suppose $n > n_0$.

Then, $LC(f) \in J_{n_0} = J_{n_0}$.

(Can subtract off leading term and decrease
deg.)

Thus, we are done. □

Differential Rings

Let R be any ring.

$\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation if

$$\delta(a+b) = \delta(a) + \delta(b),$$

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b).$$

Example. ① $R = k[x]$.

δ is $\frac{d}{dx}$.

② $R = k[x, y]$.

$$\delta = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

$$R[\pi, \delta] := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n : a_n \in R, a_n = 0 \text{ for } n > 0 \right\}.$$

$$R[x, \delta] := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n : a_n \in R, a_n = 0 \text{ for } n > 0 \right\}.$$

Addition is usual.

$$\text{Multiplication: } x \cdot a = ax + \delta(a).$$

Example ① $R = k[y, z], \delta = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

$$S = R[x, \delta].$$

$$\text{Let } a = y^2 + 2yz \in S.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } x \cdot a &= a \cdot x + \delta(a) \\ &= y^2x + 2yzx + 2y + 2z. \end{aligned}$$

② Same as above but $\delta = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

$$x \cdot a = y^2x + 2yzx + 2y.$$

Theorem: Let R be left Noetherian, and $\delta: R \rightarrow R$ a derivation.

Then, $R[x, \delta]$ is left Noetherian.

("right" as well.)

Proof. $S := R[x, \delta]$. Let $0 \neq I \subseteq S$ be a left ideal.

x need not be in the center anymore. ($x \in Z(S) \Leftrightarrow \delta = 0$.)

Let $f = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n \in I, a_n \neq 0$.

$$\text{Then, } x \cdot f = a_0 x + \delta(a_0) + a_1 x^2 + \delta(a_1) x + \dots + a_n x^{n+1} + \delta(a_n) x^n.$$

$$\text{Then, } LC(xf) = a_n x^n.$$

Thus, the same proof as earlier goes through. \square

Lecture 10 (11-02-2022)

11 February 2022 17:34

Krull Schmidt Theorem

Defn. Let $R \neq 0$ be a ring. Let $E \neq 0$ be an R -module. E is said to be **decomposable** if $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ for some nonzero submodules E_1, E_2 .

E is said to be **indecomposable** if it is not decomposable.

- Ring structure on $\text{Hom}_R(E, E) = \text{End}_R(E)$ is given by pointwise + and composition $(f \cdot g := f \circ g)$ as the multiplication.

If $E \neq 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(E, E) \neq 0$ as 0 and id_E are distinct endomorphisms.

Defn. A ring $S \neq 0$ is said to be local if the set of nonunits is a two-sided ideal.

Lemma! $\text{End}_R(E)$ is local $\Rightarrow E$ is indecomposable.
 \downarrow
 $E \neq 0$

Proof. Suppose not. Write $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ for $E_1, E_2 \neq 0$.

We have the projection maps $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \text{End}_R(E)$.

π_1, π_2 are not onto. Thus, π_1, π_2 are nonunits.

Thus, $\pi_1 + \pi_2$ is a nonunit. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ □

EXAMPLE. Converse not true. \mathbb{Z} is indecomposable but $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is NOT local.

Lemma 2 Let $E \neq 0$ be a finite length module (Noetherian + Artinian).
 E indecomposable $\Rightarrow \text{End}_R(E)$ is local.

Before that, we prove something else:

Setup

Let $E \neq 0$ be a finite length module (possibly decomposable).
 (Artinian + Noetherian)

By assumption, $(\ker(u^n))_{n \geq 1}$ and $(\text{im}(u^n))_{n \geq 1}$ stabilise, say to $\ker(u^\infty)$ and $\text{im}(u^\infty)$, respectively.

Lemma 3. (Fitting Lemma) With the above notation, we have:

- (1) $E = \text{im}(u^\infty) \oplus \ker(u^\infty)$.
- (2) $u(\ker u^\infty) \subseteq \ker u^\infty$. $u|_{\ker u^\infty}$ is nilpotent.
- (3) $u(\text{im } u^\infty) \subseteq \text{im } u^\infty$. $u|_{\text{im } u^\infty}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $N \rightarrow \infty$ be s.t. $\ker u^N = \ker u^\infty$ and $\text{im } u^\infty = \text{im } u^N$.

(1) Claim (i). $\ker u^\infty \cap \text{im } u^\infty = 0$.

Proof. Let $x \in \ker u^\infty \cap \text{im } u^\infty$.

$$x = u^N(y). \text{ Also, } 0 = u^N(x) = u^{2N}(y).$$

$$\therefore y \in \ker u^{2N} = \ker u^N. \therefore x = u^N(y) = 0. \quad \square$$

Claim (ii) $\text{im } u^\infty + \ker u^\infty = E$.

Proof. Let $x \in E$.

$$u^N(x) \in \text{im } u^N = \text{im } u^{2N}. \therefore u^{2N}(x) = u^{2N}(y)$$

for some $y \in$

$$\text{Now, } x = \underbrace{u^N(y)}_{\text{im } u^N} + \underbrace{(x - u^N(y))}_{\ker u^N}.$$

$$\text{Thus, } E = \ker u^\infty \oplus \text{im } u^\infty.$$

(2) $u(\ker u^\infty) \subseteq \ker u^\infty$ is clear.

Indeed, if $x \in \ker u^\infty = \ker u^N$, then $u^N(ux) = 0$.

Thus, u is an endomorphism of $\ker u^\infty$.

$$\text{Ch-2} \quad \#1 \quad (1, 1, \dots)^N = \dots$$

Check that $(u|_{\text{im } u^\infty})^n = 0$.

If $x \in \text{ker } u^\infty$, then $x \in \text{ker } u^n$. Then, $u^n(x) = 0$. \blacksquare

(3) Check $u(\text{im } u^\infty) \subseteq \text{im } u^n$.

Let $\alpha = u|_{\text{im } u^\infty} \in \text{End}_R(\text{im } u^\infty)$.

As $\text{im } u^\infty$ is Artinian, it suffices to show that α is injective.

It follows that α is an iso.

But $\text{ker } (\alpha) = 0$ follows essentially by proof of Claim (i). \blacksquare

Now, we prove Lemma 2: E indec + finite length $\Rightarrow \text{End}_R(E)$ is local.

Proof. Let $S = \text{End}_R(E) \neq 0$ ($a \in S$).

let $J = \{u: E \rightarrow E \mid u \text{ is a nonunit}\}$.

let $u \in J$ be arbitrary.

Fitting: $E = \text{im } u^\infty \oplus \text{ker } u^\infty$.

Indecom: $E = \text{im } u^\infty$ or $\text{ker } u^\infty$.

But $u|_{\text{im } u^\infty}$ is iso. $\therefore \text{im } u^\infty = 0$.

Thus, $\text{ker } u^\infty = E$ and every $u \in J$ is nilpotent.

Conversely, any nilpotent is in J .

To show: that J is an ideal.

As E is finite length, we see that nonunit \Leftrightarrow not 1-1
 \Leftrightarrow not onto.

Let $u \in J$, $v \in S$. Then, uv is not onto and

vou is not 1-1.

Lastly, let $u, v \in J$. TS: $u+v \in J$.

Suppose $u+v$ is invertible.

Let $\xi_1 = u \circ (u+v)^{-1}$, $\xi_2 = v \circ (u+v)^{-1}$.

Then, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in J$ by earlier and $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = 1$.

Note $\xi_1 = 1 - \xi_2$ is invertible $((1-\xi_2)^{-1} = 1 + \xi_2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots)$.

This is a contradiction. \blacksquare