Group Theory

Aryaman Maithani

IIT Bombay

20th July 2020

• The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with addition.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with addition.
- The set of nonzero real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with addition.
- The set of nonzero real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of integers along with addition.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with addition.
- The set of nonzero real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of integers along with addition.
- The set of 2 × 2 invertible real matrices along with multiplication.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with addition.
- The set of nonzero real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of integers along with addition.
- The set of 2 × 2 invertible real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ along with addition defined modulo n.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with addition.
- The set of nonzero real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of integers along with addition.
- The set of 2 × 2 invertible real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ along with addition defined modulo n.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with addition.
- The set of nonzero real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of integers along with addition.
- The set of 2 × 2 invertible real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ along with addition defined modulo n.

Note the "along with." We don't talk about a group by just talking about a set. It is necessary to have an operation on it as well.

• The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.
- \mathbb{R}^3 with cross-product.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.
- \bullet \mathbb{R}^3 with cross-product.
- My friend group.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmm?

First, a definition.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

For ease of notation, we shall write $a \cdot b$ instead of $\cdot ((a, b))$.

• + and · are binary operations on $\mathbb{R}(/\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

- + and · are binary operations on $\mathbb{R}(/\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$.
- ullet is also a binary operation on the above sets but \div is not.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

- + and · are binary operations on $\mathbb{R}(/\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$.
- ullet is also a binary operation on the above sets but \div is not.
- ullet + is a binary operation on $\mathbb N$ but is not.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

- + and · are binary operations on $\mathbb{R}(/\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$.
- ullet is also a binary operation on the above sets but \div is not.
- ullet + is a binary operation on $\mathbb N$ but is not.
- + and · modulo n are binary operations on $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Definition 1 (Binary operation)

Given a set S, a binary operation \cdot on S is a function of the form

$$\cdot: S \times S \rightarrow S$$
.

For ease of notation, we shall write $a \cdot b$ instead of $\cdot ((a, b))$.

- + and · are binary operations on $\mathbb{R}(/\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$.
- ullet is also a binary operation on the above sets but \div is not.
- ullet + is a binary operation on $\mathbb N$ but is not.
- + and · modulo n are binary operations on $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Now, we define what a group is.

Definition 2 (Group)

Definition 2 (Group)

A group is an ordered pair (G, \cdot) where G is some set and \cdot is a binary operation on G satisfying the following axioms:

Definition 2 (Group)

- 2 there exists an element e in G, called an *identity* of G, such that for all $a \in G$ we have $a \cdot e = a = a \cdot e$,

Definition 2 (Group)

- 2 there exists an element e in G, called an *identity* of G, such that for all $a \in G$ we have $a \cdot e = a = a \cdot e$,
- **3** for each $a \in G$, there is an element $a^{-1} \in G$, called an *inverse* of a such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a$.

Definition 2 (Group)

- 2 there exists an element e in G, called an *identity* of G, such that for all $a \in G$ we have $a \cdot e = a = a \cdot e$,
- **3** for each $a \in G$, there is an element $a^{-1} \in G$, called an *inverse* of a such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a$.

Definition 2 (Group)

A group is an ordered pair (G, \cdot) where G is some set and \cdot is a binary operation on G satisfying the following axioms:

- 2 there exists an element e in G, called an *identity* of G, such that for all $a \in G$ we have $a \cdot e = a = a \cdot e$,
- **3** for each $a \in G$, there is an element $a^{-1} \in G$, called an *inverse* of a such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a$.

I have used "an" above. Why?

Definition 2 (Group)

A group is an ordered pair (G, \cdot) where G is some set and \cdot is a binary operation on G satisfying the following axioms:

- 2 there exists an element e in G, called an *identity* of G, such that for all $a \in G$ we have $a \cdot e = a = a \cdot e$,
- **3** for each $a \in G$, there is an element $a^{-1} \in G$, called an *inverse* of a such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a$.

I have used "an" above. Why? Well, simply because I can't directly claim that identity (/inverse) is unique. However, it is.

Definition 2 (Group)

A group is an ordered pair (G, \cdot) where G is some set and \cdot is a binary operation on G satisfying the following axioms:

- 2 there exists an element e in G, called an *identity* of G, such that for all $a \in G$ we have $a \cdot e = a = a \cdot e$,
- **3** for each $a \in G$, there is an element $a^{-1} \in G$, called an *inverse* of a such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a$.

I have used "an" above. Why? Well, simply because I can't directly claim that identity (/inverse) is unique. However, it is.

Proof?



The discussion we had is what led to us agreeing upon the above axioms. So, let us only discuss what went wrong with the non-examples.

• The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.
- \mathbb{R}^3 with cross-product.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.
- \mathbb{R}^3 with cross-product.
- My friend group.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.
- \mathbb{R}^3 with cross-product.
- My friend group.

The last case is nothing special. Indeed, in general we need the set to be nonempty since it must always have the identity.

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.
- \mathbb{R}^3 with cross-product.
- My friend group.

The last case is nothing special. Indeed, in general we need the set to be nonempty since it must always have the identity.

Recall vector spaces?



- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of 2×2 real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo n.
- \mathbb{R}^3 with cross-product.
- My friend group.

The last case is nothing special. Indeed, in general we need the set to be nonempty since it must always have the identity.

Recall vector spaces? Verify that any vector space along with its + forms a group.

Commutativity

Commutativity... is nice.

Commutativity... is nice.

Due to this, commutative groups have a name of their own.

Commutativity... is nice.

Due to this, commutative groups have a name of their own.

Definition 3 (Abelian groups)

A group (G, \cdot) is said to be abelian if

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

Commutativity... is nice.

Due to this, commutative groups have a name of their own.

Definition 3 (Abelian groups)

A group (G, \cdot) is said to be abelian if

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

From the first slide, everything except for the matrix example was an example of an abelian.

Commutativity... is nice.

Due to this, commutative groups have a name of their own.

Definition 3 (Abelian groups)

A group (G, \cdot) is said to be abelian if

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

From the first slide, everything except for the matrix example was an example of an abelian.

Even the example of (V, +) for a vector space V is an abelian group.

Commutativity... is nice.

Due to this, commutative groups have a name of their own.

Definition 3 (Abelian groups)

A group (G, \cdot) is said to be abelian if

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

From the first slide, everything except for the matrix example was an example of an abelian.

Even the example of (V, +) for a vector space V is an abelian group.

Abelian groups are named after early 19th century mathematician Niels Henrik Abel.

Commutativity... is nice.

Due to this, commutative groups have a name of their own.

Definition 3 (Abelian groups)

A group (G, \cdot) is said to be abelian if

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

From the first slide, everything except for the matrix example was an example of an abelian.

Even the example of (V, +) for a vector space V is an abelian group.

Abelian groups are named after early 19th century mathematician Niels Henrik Abel.

It is a common theme in math to abuse notation.

It is a common theme in math to abuse notation. Following this theme, we note that instead of writing " (G,\cdot) is a group," we often write the following:

It is a common theme in math to abuse notation. Following this theme, we note that instead of writing " (G, \cdot) is a group," we often write the following:

• "G is a group under \cdot ," or

It is a common theme in math to abuse notation. Following this theme, we note that instead of writing " (G, \cdot) is a group," we often write the following:

- "G is a group under \cdot ," or
- "G is a group" when \cdot is clear from context.

Notations

Let G be a group and $x \in G$. We define x^n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$x^0 := e$$
.

For n > 0, we write x^n to mean

$$\underbrace{x \cdot x \cdots x}_{n \text{ times}}$$
.

For n < 0, we have $x^n := (x^{-1})^{-n}$, which is the same as $(x^{-n})^{-1}$. (Prove!)

It is convention to write + as the group operation for abelian groups.

Definition 4 (Order (group))

The order of a group is the cardinality of G. It is denoted by |G|.

Definition 4 (Order (group))

The order of a group is the cardinality of G. It is denoted by |G|.

Note that the order of a group may be infinite. A group is said to be finite if its cardinality is.

Definition 4 (Order (group))

The order of a group is the cardinality of G. It is denoted by |G|.

Note that the order of a group may be infinite. A group is said to be finite if its cardinality is.

Definition 5 (Order (element))

The order of an element $x \in G$ the smallest positiver integer n such that

$$x^n = e$$
.

(Where e is the identity of G.)

If no such n exists, then we say the the element has infinite order. It is denoted by |x|.



Finite groups

Proposition 1

Every element of a finite group has finite order.

Finite groups

Proposition 1

Every element of a finite group has finite order.

Proof.

Let G be a finite group and let $x \in G$.

It suffices to show that $x^n = e$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{|G|}$ are |G| + 1 elements of G. By PHP, two of them must be equal. Thus,

$$x^n = x^m$$

for some $0 \le n < m \le |G|$.

The above equation gives us

$$e = x^{m-n}$$
.

Since $m - n \in \mathbb{N}$, we are done.

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics. Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.

Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.

Where have you seen this before?

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.

Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.

Where have you seen this before?

There are many examples:

Subspaces of vector spaces,

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.

Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.

Where have you seen this before? There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,
- Subgroups of groups,

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics. Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which

have the same properties.

Where have you seen this before?

There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,
- Subgroups of groups,
- Subgrings of rings,

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.

Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.

Where have you seen this before?

There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,
- Subgroups of groups,
- Subgrings of rings,
- Subfields of fields,

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.

Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.

Where have you seen this before?

There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,
- Subgroups of groups,
- Subgrings of rings,
- Subfields of fields,
- Subspaces of (metric/topological) spaces, et cetera.

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right.

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then?

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then? Well, it is natural to consider the same operation as that of G.

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then? Well, it is natural to consider the same operation as that of G.

Definition 6 (Subgroup)

A subset $H \subset G$ is said to be *subgroup* of G if:

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then? Well, it is natural to consider the same operation as that of G.

Definition 6 (Subgroup)

A subset $H \subset G$ is said to be *subgroup* of G if:

H is nonempty.

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then? Well, it is natural to consider the same operation as that of G.

Definition 6 (Subgroup)

A subset $H \subset G$ is said to be *subgroup* of G if:

- H is nonempty.
- $a \cdot b \in H$ for all $a, b \in H$,

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then? Well, it is natural to consider the same operation as that of G.

Definition 6 (Subgroup)

A subset $H \subset G$ is said to be *subgroup* of G if:

- H is nonempty.
- $a \cdot b \in H$ for all $a, b \in H$,
- $a^{-1} \in H$ for all $a \in H$.

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then? Well, it is natural to consider the same operation as that of G.

Definition 6 (Subgroup)

A subset $H \subset G$ is said to be *subgroup* of G if:

- H is nonempty.
- $a \cdot b \in H$ for all $a, b \in H$,
- $a^{-1} \in H$ for all $a \in H$.

The above conditions just tell us that \cdot (restricted to H) is a binary operation on H and that $(H, \cdot|_H)$ forms a group.

The idea is to find a subset of G which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then? Well, it is natural to consider the same operation as that of G.

Definition 6 (Subgroup)

A subset $H \subset G$ is said to be *subgroup* of G if:

- H is nonempty.
- $a \cdot b \in H$ for all $a, b \in H$,
- $a^{-1} \in H$ for all $a \in H$.

The above conditions just tell us that \cdot (restricted to H) is a binary operation on H and that $(H, \cdot|_H)$ forms a group.

One may note that the identity element of (G, \cdot) is always present in H and moreover, it is also the identity of $(H, \cdot|_H)$.



Notation: If H is a subgroup of G, then we write $H \leq G$.

 A group always has at least two subgroups. Can you tell which?

- A group always has at least two subgroups. Can you tell which? (Well, not two if G has only one element.)
- Is $\mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{Z}$?

- A group always has at least two subgroups. Can you tell which? (Well, not two if G has only one element.)
- Is $\mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{Z}$?
- Is $n\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z}$?

- A group always has at least two subgroups. Can you tell which? (Well, not two if G has only one element.)
- Is $\mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{Z}$?
- Is $n\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z}$? In fact, any subgroup of \mathbb{Z} is of the form $n\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- A group always has at least two subgroups. Can you tell which? (Well, not two if G has only one element.)
- Is $\mathbb{N} < \mathbb{Z}$?
- Is $n\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z}$? In fact, any subgroup of \mathbb{Z} is of the form $n\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Q} \leq \mathbb{R} \leq \mathbb{C}$.

- A group always has at least two subgroups. Can you tell which? (Well, not two if G has only one element.)
- Is $\mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{Z}$?
- Is $n\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z}$? In fact, any subgroup of \mathbb{Z} is of the form $n\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Q} \leq \mathbb{R} \leq \mathbb{C}$.
- The set of $n \times n$ upper invertible diagonal (real) matrices is a subgroup of the group of all invertible $n \times n$ (real) matrices.

Let H be a subgroup of G.

Let H be a subgroup of G. For $g \in G$, we define $g \cdot H$ as

$$g\cdot H:=\{g\cdot h:h\in H\}.$$

Let H be a subgroup of G. For $g \in G$, we define $g \cdot H$ as

$$g\cdot H:=\{g\cdot h:h\in H\}.$$

Definition 7 (Coset)

A (left) coset of H is a set of the form $g \cdot H$.

Let H be a subgroup of G. For $g \in G$, we define $g \cdot H$ as

$$g \cdot H := \{g \cdot h : h \in H\}.$$

Definition 7 (Coset)

A (left) coset of H is a set of the form $g \cdot H$.

Define G/H be the set of cosets, that is,

$$G/H := \{gH : g \in G\}.$$

Let H be a subgroup of G. For $g \in G$, we define $g \cdot H$ as

$$g \cdot H := \{g \cdot h : h \in H\}.$$

Definition 7 (Coset)

A (left) coset of H is a set of the form $g \cdot H$.

Define G/H be the set of cosets, that is,

$$G/H := \{gH : g \in G\}.$$

Note that different elements could correspond to the same coset.

Let H be a subgroup of G. For $g \in G$, we define $g \cdot H$ as

$$g \cdot H := \{g \cdot h : h \in H\}.$$

Definition 7 (Coset)

A (left) coset of H is a set of the form $g \cdot H$.

Define G/H be the set of cosets, that is,

$$G/H := \{gH : g \in G\}.$$

Note that different elements could correspond to the same coset. In fact, we now see precisely when that is possible.

Proposition 2 (Equality of cosets)

Let $a, b \in G$. Then,

$$aH = bH \text{ iff } b^{-1}a \in H.$$

Proposition 2 (Equality of cosets)

Let $a, b \in G$. Then,

$$aH = bH \text{ iff } b^{-1}a \in H.$$

This tells us that if $c \in aH$, then aH = cH.

Proposition 2 (Equality of cosets)

Let $a, b \in G$. Then,

$$aH = bH \text{ iff } b^{-1}a \in H.$$

This tells us that if $c \in aH$, then aH = cH. This also leads to the following result.

Proposition 2 (Equality of cosets)

Let $a, b \in G$. Then,

$$aH = bH \text{ iff } b^{-1}a \in H.$$

This tells us that if $c \in aH$, then aH = cH. This also leads to the following result.

Proposition 3 (Disjointness of cosets)

If aH and bH are two cosets, then either they are equal or they are disjoint.

Proposition 2 (Equality of cosets)

Let $a, b \in G$. Then,

$$aH = bH \text{ iff } b^{-1}a \in H.$$

This tells us that if $c \in aH$, then aH = cH. This also leads to the following result.

Proposition 3 (Disjointness of cosets)

If aH and bH are two cosets, then either they are equal or they are disjoint.

Note that H itself is a coset since it equals $e \cdot H$. (Or $h \cdot H$ for any $h \in H$.)

Proposition 4 (Equality of cardinalities)

Given any coset aH, it has the same cardinality as H.

Proposition 4 (Equality of cardinalities)

Given any coset aH, it has the same cardinality as H.

(That is, there is a bijection between aH and H.)

Proposition 4 (Equality of cardinalities)

Given any coset aH, it has the same cardinality as H.

(That is, there is a bijection between aH and H.)

Proof.

Consider the function $f: H \rightarrow aH$ defined by

$$f(h) = a \cdot h$$
.

Proposition 4 (Equality of cardinalities)

Given any coset aH, it has the same cardinality as H.

(That is, there is a bijection between aH and H.)

Proof.

Consider the function $f: H \rightarrow aH$ defined by

$$f(h) = a \cdot h$$
.

This is clearly onto, by definition of aH.



Proposition 4 (Equality of cardinalities)

Given any coset aH, it has the same cardinality as H.

(That is, there is a bijection between aH and H.)

Proof.

Consider the function $f: H \rightarrow aH$ defined by

$$f(h) = a \cdot h$$
.

This is clearly onto, by definition of aH.

Moreover, this is one-one since $ah = ah' \implies h = h'$.



Proposition 4 (Equality of cardinalities)

Given any coset aH, it has the same cardinality as H.

(That is, there is a bijection between aH and H.)

Proof.

Consider the function $f: H \rightarrow aH$ defined by

$$f(h) = a \cdot h$$
.

This is clearly onto, by definition of aH. Moreover, this is one-one since $ah = ah' \implies h = h'$. (One can cancel a since it has an inverse.)

Proposition 4 (Equality of cardinalities)

Given any coset aH, it has the same cardinality as H.

(That is, there is a bijection between aH and H.)

Proof.

Consider the function $f: H \rightarrow aH$ defined by

$$f(h) = a \cdot h$$
.

This is clearly onto, by definition of aH. Moreover, this is one-one since $ah = ah' \implies h = h'$. (One can cancel a since it has an inverse.)

Remark. This shows that any two cosets have the same cardinality.

With the concept of cosets, we can prove a (quite fundamental) result of group theory.

$\overline{\mathsf{Theorem}}\ 1\ (\mathsf{Lagrange's}\ \overline{\mathsf{Theorem}})$

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.



$\overline{\mathsf{Theorem}}\ 1\ (\mathsf{Lagrange's}\ \overline{\mathsf{Theorem}})$

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Left as an exercise to the reader.

Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Jk.

Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Consider the set of cosets $G/H = \{a_1H, \dots, a_nH\}$.

Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Consider the set of cosets $G/H = \{a_1H, \dots, a_nH\}$.

Note that given any element $g \in G$, it must belong to *some* coset. (Why?)



Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Consider the set of cosets $G/H = \{a_1H, \dots, a_nH\}$.

Note that given any element $g \in G$, it must belong to *some* coset. (Why?)

Thus, $G = a_1 H \cup \cdots \cup a_n H$.

Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Consider the set of cosets $G/H = \{a_1H, \dots, a_nH\}$.

Note that given any element $g \in G$, it must belong to *some* coset. (Why?)

Thus, $G = a_1 H \cup \cdots \cup a_n H$.

Moreover, by our previous observation, the above union is of disjoint sets. Thus,

Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Consider the set of cosets $G/H = \{a_1H, \dots, a_nH\}$.

Note that given any element $g \in G$, it must belong to *some* coset. (Why?)

Thus, $G = a_1 H \cup \cdots \cup a_n H$.

Moreover, by our previous observation, the above union is of disjoint sets. Thus,

$$|G|=|a_1H|+\cdots+|a_nH|$$



Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Consider the set of cosets $G/H = \{a_1H, \dots, a_nH\}$.

Note that given any element $g \in G$, it must belong to *some* coset. (Why?)

Thus, $G = a_1 H \cup \cdots \cup a_n H$.

Moreover, by our previous observation, the above union is of disjoint sets. Thus,

$$|G| = |a_1H| + \dots + |a_nH|$$

= $n|H|$.



Theorem 1 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$.

Then, |H| divides |G|.

Proof.

Consider the set of cosets $G/H = \{a_1H, \dots, a_nH\}$.

Note that given any element $g \in G$, it must belong to *some* coset. (Why?)

Thus, $G = a_1 H \cup \cdots \cup a_n H$.

Moreover, by our previous observation, the above union is of disjoint sets. Thus,

$$|G| = |a_1H| + \dots + |a_nH|$$

= $n|H|$.

That completes our proof.



What we consider now is another common theme in mathematics.

What we consider now is another common theme in mathematics. Given two objects of the same type (for example, given two groups), we consider functions between them.

What we consider now is another common theme in mathematics. Given two objects of the same type (for example, given two groups), we consider functions between them. However, we don't just consider any function. We study some particular type of functions.

What we consider now is another common theme in mathematics. Given two objects of the same type (for example, given two groups), we consider functions between them. However, we don't just consider any function. We study some particular type of functions.

Do you recall what particular type of functions (between vector spaces) we considered in linear algebra?

What we consider now is another common theme in mathematics. Given two objects of the same type (for example, given two groups), we consider functions between them. However, we don't just consider any function. We study some particular type of functions.

Do you recall what particular type of functions (between vector spaces) we considered in linear algebra?

Similar to that, we consider functions that preserve the "structure" of the objects in consideration.

What we consider now is another common theme in mathematics. Given two objects of the same type (for example, given two groups), we consider functions between them. However, we don't just consider any function. We study some particular type of functions.

Do you recall what particular type of functions (between vector spaces) we considered in linear algebra?

Similar to that, we consider functions that preserve the "structure" of the objects in consideration.

The case of groups is particularly simple since there's pretty one much thing that gives the group its structure, the operation ·.

What we consider now is another common theme in mathematics. Given two objects of the same type (for example, given two groups), we consider functions between them. However, we don't just consider any function. We study some particular type of functions.

Do you recall what particular type of functions (between vector spaces) we considered in linear algebra?

Similar to that, we consider functions that preserve the "structure" of the objects in consideration.

The case of groups is particularly simple since there's pretty one much thing that gives the group its structure, the operation \cdot . This leads to the following definition.

Definition 8 (Homomorphism)

Let (G, \cdot) and (H, \star) be groups. A function

$$\varphi: G \to H$$

is said to be a group homomorphism if

$$\varphi(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{b})=\varphi(\mathbf{a})\star\varphi(\mathbf{b})$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

Definition 8 (Homomorphism)

Let (G, \cdot) and (H, \star) be groups. A function

$$\varphi: G \to H$$

is said to be a group homomorphism if

$$\varphi(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{b})=\varphi(\mathbf{a})\star\varphi(\mathbf{b})$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

One checks the following properties easily:

Definition 8 (Homomorphism)

Let (G, \cdot) and (H, \star) be groups. A function

$$\varphi: G \to H$$

is said to be a group homomorphism if

$$\varphi(a \cdot b) = \varphi(a) \star \varphi(b)$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

One checks the following properties easily:

•
$$\varphi(e_G) = e_H$$

Definition 8 (Homomorphism)

Let (G, \cdot) and (H, \star) be groups. A function

$$\varphi: G \to H$$

is said to be a group homomorphism if

$$\varphi(a \cdot b) = \varphi(a) \star \varphi(b)$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

One checks the following properties easily:

- $\varphi(e_G) = e_H$,
- $\varphi(a^{-1}) = (\varphi(a))^{-1}$ for all $a \in G$.



Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

• Given any group G, the identity function $id_G : G \to G$ is a homomorphism from G to itself.

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group G, the identity function $id_G : G \to G$ is a homomorphism from G to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$G \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} H \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} K,$$

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from G to K. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group G, the identity function $id_G : G \to G$ is a homomorphism from G to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$G \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} H \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} K,$$

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from G to K. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.

Said simply: composition of homomorphisms is again a homomorphism.

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group G, the identity function $id_G : G \to G$ is a homomorphism from G to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$G \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} H \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} K$$
,

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from G to K. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.

Said simply: composition of homomorphisms is again a homomorphism.

• With the same notation as above, we always have

$$id_H \circ \varphi = \varphi, \quad \psi \circ id_H = \psi.$$



Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group G, the identity function $id_G : G \to G$ is a homomorphism from G to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$G \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} H \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} K$$
,

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from G to K. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.

Said simply: composition of homomorphisms is again a homomorphism.

• With the same notation as above, we always have

$$id_H \circ \varphi = \varphi, \quad \psi \circ id_H = \psi.$$

Go look up what a Category is.



Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group G, the identity function $id_G : G \to G$ is a homomorphism from G to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$G \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} H \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} K$$
,

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from G to K. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.

Said simply: composition of homomorphisms is again a homomorphism.

• With the same notation as above, we always have

$$id_H \circ \varphi = \varphi, \quad \psi \circ id_H = \psi.$$

Go look up what a Category is. (In the context of Category Theory.)

Let \mathbb{R}^\times denote the group of nonzero real numbers under \cdot . Similarly, we have \mathbb{Q}^\times and \mathbb{C}^\times .

Let \mathbb{R}^{\times} denote the group of nonzero real numbers under \cdot . Similarly, we have \mathbb{Q}^{\times} and \mathbb{C}^{\times} .

ullet The map $\exp:\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ defined by

$$\exp(x) = e^x$$

is a homomorphism because

$$\exp(x+y) = e^x \cdot e^y = \exp(x) \cdot \exp(y).$$

Let \mathbb{R}^{\times} denote the group of nonzero real numbers under \cdot . Similarly, we have \mathbb{Q}^{\times} and \mathbb{C}^{\times} .

 \bullet The map $\mathsf{exp}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^\times$ defined by

$$\exp(x) = e^x$$

is a homomorphism because

$$\exp(x + y) = e^x \cdot e^y = \exp(x) \cdot \exp(y).$$

• In the same way, the map $\exp:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is a group homomorphism.



Let \mathbb{R}^\times denote the group of nonzero real numbers under \cdot . Similarly, we have \mathbb{Q}^\times and \mathbb{C}^\times .

 \bullet The map $\mathsf{exp}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^\times$ defined by

$$\exp(x) = e^x$$

is a homomorphism because

$$\exp(x + y) = e^x \cdot e^y = \exp(x) \cdot \exp(y).$$

• In the same way, the map $\exp:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ is a group homomorphism. In fact, this is surjective.

Let \mathbb{R}^{\times} denote the group of nonzero real numbers under \cdot . Similarly, we have \mathbb{Q}^{\times} and \mathbb{C}^{\times} .

• The map $\exp: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ defined by

$$\exp(x) = e^x$$

is a homomorphism because

$$\exp(x + y) = e^x \cdot e^y = \exp(x) \cdot \exp(y).$$

- In the same way, the map $\exp: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is a group homomorphism. In fact, this is surjective.
- Given any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined as

$$\varphi(z) = nz$$

is a homomorphism.



Let \mathbb{R}^{\times} denote the group of nonzero real numbers under \cdot . Similarly, we have \mathbb{Q}^{\times} and \mathbb{C}^{\times} .

• The map $\exp: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ defined by

$$\exp(x) = e^x$$

is a homomorphism because

$$\exp(x + y) = e^x \cdot e^y = \exp(x) \cdot \exp(y).$$

- In the same way, the map $\exp: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is a group homomorphism. In fact, this is surjective.
- Given any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined as

$$\varphi(z) = nz$$

is a homomorphism.

• In general, if G is an abelian group and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $x \mapsto x^n$ is a homomorphism.



Homomorphisms lead to another equally recurring concept in mathematics.

Homomorphisms lead to another equally recurring concept in mathematics. The concept of isomorphism.

Homomorphisms lead to another equally recurring concept in mathematics. The concept of isomorphism. Loosely speaking, an isomorphism captures two structures to be "equivalent."

Homomorphisms lead to another equally recurring concept in mathematics. The concept of isomorphism. Loosely speaking, an isomorphism captures two structures to be "equivalent." For example, consider the group $\{0,1,2\}$ with addition modulo 3 and the group $\{1,\omega,\omega^2\}$ with multiplication. ($\omega=\exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}i\right)$.)

Homomorphisms lead to another equally recurring concept in mathematics. The concept of isomorphism. Loosely speaking, an isomorphism captures two structures to be "equivalent." For example, consider the group $\{0,1,2\}$ with addition modulo 3 and the group $\{1,\omega,\omega^2\}$ with multiplication. ($\omega=\exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}i\right)$.) While the groups are not equal (since they don't have the same element), they pretty much are same in terms of the group properties.

Homomorphisms lead to another equally recurring concept in mathematics. The concept of isomorphism. Loosely speaking, an isomorphism captures two structures to be "equivalent." For example, consider the group $\{0,1,2\}$ with addition modulo 3 and the group $\{1,\omega,\omega^2\}$ with multiplication. ($\omega=\exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}i\right)$.) While the groups are not equal (since they don't have the same element), they pretty much are same in terms of the group properties.

This idea can formalised as follows.

Definition 9 (Isomorphism)

Let G and H be groups. A group homomorphism $\varphi: G \to H$ is said to be *isomorphism* if φ is bijective.

Definition 9 (Isomorphism)

Let G and H be groups. A group homomorphism $\varphi: G \to H$ is said to be *isomorphism* if φ is bijective.

Remark. It can be checked that the inverse of a bijective homomorphism is again a homomorphism.

Definition 9 (Isomorphism)

Let G and H be groups. A group homomorphism $\varphi:G\to H$ is said to be *isomorphism* if φ is bijective.

Remark. It can be checked that the inverse of a bijective homomorphism is again a homomorphism. In particular, if φ is an isomorphism, then so is φ^{-1} .

Definition 9 (Isomorphism)

Let G and H be groups. A group homomorphism $\varphi: G \to H$ is said to be *isomorphism* if φ is bijective.

Remark. It can be checked that the inverse of a bijective homomorphism is again a homomorphism. In particular, if φ is an isomorphism, then so is φ^{-1} .

Definition 10 (Isomorphic)

Two groups G and H are said to be isomorphic if there exists a group isomorphism $\varphi:G\to H$. In such a case, we write $G\cong H$.

Definition 9 (Isomorphism)

Let G and H be groups. A group homomorphism $\varphi:G\to H$ is said to be *isomorphism* if φ is bijective.

Remark. It can be checked that the inverse of a bijective homomorphism is again a homomorphism. In particular, if φ is an isomorphism, then so is φ^{-1} .

Definition 10 (Isomorphic)

Two groups G and H are said to be isomorphic if there exists a group isomorphism $\varphi:G\to H$. In such a case, we write $G\cong H$.

One can note that \cong is an "equivalence relation".



• With $G=\{0,1,2\}$ and $H=\{1,\omega,\omega^2\}$ as earlier, we see that $\varphi:G\to H$ defined by $\varphi(i)=\omega^i$ is an isomorphism.

- With $G = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $H = \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$ as earlier, we see that $\varphi : G \to H$ defined by $\varphi(i) = \omega^i$ is an isomorphism.
- In general, the groups $G = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $H = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} : z^n = 1\}$ are isomorphic.

- With $G=\{0,1,2\}$ and $H=\{1,\omega,\omega^2\}$ as earlier, we see that $\varphi:G\to H$ defined by $\varphi(i)=\omega^i$ is an isomorphism.
- In general, the groups $G = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $H = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} : z^n = 1\}$ are isomorphic.
- The map $\exp: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an isomorphism. (Note that \mathbb{R} is a group under + whereas \mathbb{R}^+ is a group under \cdot .)

Once again, let us look at a concept the quite recurring in mathematics. (This time more focused in the realm of algebra.)

Once again, let us look at a concept the quite recurring in mathematics. (This time more focused in the realm of algebra.)

Definition 11 (Kernel)

Given a group homomorphism $\varphi:G\to H,$ we denote the *kernel* of φ by $\ker\varphi$ and define it as

$$\ker \varphi := \{ g \in G : \varphi(g) = e_H \}.$$

Once again, let us look at a concept the quite recurring in mathematics. (This time more focused in the realm of algebra.)

Definition 11 (Kernel)

Given a group homomorphism $\varphi:G\to H$, we denote the *kernel* of φ by ker φ and define it as

$$\ker \varphi := \{ g \in G : \varphi(g) = e_H \}.$$

That is, it is the subset of G consisting of all those elements that get mapped to the identity of H.

Once again, let us look at a concept the quite recurring in mathematics. (This time more focused in the realm of algebra.)

Definition 11 (Kernel)

Given a group homomorphism $\varphi:G\to H$, we denote the *kernel* of φ by ker φ and define it as

$$\ker \varphi := \{ g \in G : \varphi(g) = e_H \}.$$

That is, it is the subset of G consisting of all those elements that get mapped to the identity of H. Does this remind you of anything from linear algebra?

Once again, let us look at a concept the quite recurring in mathematics. (This time more focused in the realm of algebra.)

Definition 11 (Kernel)

Given a group homomorphism $\varphi:G\to H,$ we denote the *kernel* of φ by $\ker\varphi$ and define it as

$$\ker \varphi := \{ g \in G : \varphi(g) = e_H \}.$$

That is, it is the subset of G consisting of all those elements that get mapped to the identity of H. Does this remind you of anything from linear algebra?

Proposition 5

With the same notations as above, we have

$$\ker \varphi \leq G$$
.



Proposition 6

Let $\varphi: G \to H$ and $K = \ker \varphi$.

Then, given any $a \in G$ and $k \in K$, we have

$$aka^{-1} \in K$$
.

Proposition 6

Let $\varphi: G \to H$ and $K = \ker \varphi$.

Then, given any $a \in G$ and $k \in K$, we have

$$aka^{-1} \in K$$
.

The above says that $aKa^{-1} \subset K$

Proposition 6

Let $\varphi: G \to H$ and $K = \ker \varphi$.

Then, given any $a \in G$ and $k \in K$, we have

$$aka^{-1} \in K$$
.

The above says that $aKa^{-1} \subset K$, where aKa^{-1} is defined in the natural manner as

$$\{aka^{-1}: k \in K\}.$$

Proposition 6

Let $\varphi: G \to H$ and $K = \ker \varphi$.

Then, given any $a \in G$ and $k \in K$, we have

$$aka^{-1} \in K$$
.

The above says that $aKa^{-1} \subset K$, where aKa^{-1} is defined in the natural manner as

$$\{aka^{-1}: k \in K\}.$$

In fact, since the above is true for all $a \in G$, it is also true for a^{-1} and we actually get the equality $aKa^{-1} = K$.

Proposition 6

Let $\varphi: G \to H$ and $K = \ker \varphi$.

Then, given any $a \in G$ and $k \in K$, we have

$$aka^{-1} \in K$$
.

The above says that $aKa^{-1} \subset K$, where aKa^{-1} is defined in the natural manner as

$$\{aka^{-1}: k \in K\}.$$

In fact, since the above is true for all $a \in G$, it is also true for a^{-1} and we actually get the equality $aKa^{-1} = K$.

This can be written in yet another way as aK = Ka.



$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$

$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$

= $a(Kb)$

$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$
$$= a(Kb)$$
$$= a(Kb')$$

$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$
$$= a(Kb)$$
$$= a(Kb')$$
$$= (aK)b'$$

$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$

$$= a(Kb)$$

$$= a(Kb')$$

$$= (aK)b'$$

$$= (a'K)b'$$

$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$

$$= a(Kb)$$

$$= a(Kb')$$

$$= (aK)b'$$

$$= (a'K)b'$$

$$= a'(Kb')$$

$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$

$$= a(Kb)$$

$$= a(Kb')$$

$$= (aK)b'$$

$$= (a'K)b'$$

$$= a'(Kb')$$

$$= (a'b')K.$$

Now, suppose that $a, a', b, b' \in G$ are elements such that aK = a'K and bK = b'K.

Then, we see that

$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$

$$= a(Kb')$$

$$= a(Kb')$$

$$= (aK)b'$$

$$= (a'K)b'$$

$$= a'(Kb')$$

$$= (a'b')K.$$

Let us keep this in mind for now. We shall come back to it later.

Now, suppose that $a, a', b, b' \in G$ are elements such that aK = a'K and bK = b'K. Then, we see that

$$(ab)K = a(bK)$$

$$= a(Kb)$$

$$= a(Kb')$$

$$= (aK)b'$$

$$= (a'K)b'$$

$$= a'(Kb')$$

$$= (a'b')K.$$

Let us keep this in mind for now. We shall come back to it later. Note that the only property we used was that gK = Kg and not really that K was a kernel.