### Recitation 11

Alex Dong

CDS, NYU

Fall 2020

## Questions: Unconstrained Optimization

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be twice differentiable. Let  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . True or False.

- 1. If f is convex, then  $f(x+h) > f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), h \rangle$ .
- 2. If f is strictly convex, then  $f(x+h) > f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), h \rangle$ .
- 3. If f is strongly convex, then  $f(x+h) > f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), h \rangle$ .
- 4. If f is convex, then f cannot have saddle points.
- 5. If  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , then x is a local minimum of f.
- 6. If  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  and  $H_f(x) \succeq 0$ , then x is a local minimum of f.
- 7. If  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  and  $H_f(x) > 0$ , then x is a local minimum of f.
- 8. If  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  and f is convex, then x is a local minimum of f.

### Solutions: Unconstrained Optimization

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be twice differentiable. Let  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . True or False.

#### Solution

- 1. If f is convex, then  $f(x+h) > f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), h \rangle$ . False, consider  $f(x) = \langle x, w \rangle$  for some  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 2. If f is strictly convex, then  $f(x+h) > f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), h \rangle$ . True. (From Lec 9)
- 3. If f is strongly convex, then  $f(x+h) > f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), h \rangle$ . True, because strongly convex implies strictly convex
- 4. If f is convex, then f cannot have saddle points.

  True. If f had a saddle point, we could draw a chord below f on the "negative" side of the saddle.

## Solutions: Unconstrained Optimization

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be twice differentiable. Let  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . True or False.

#### Solution

- 5. If  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , then x is a local minimum of f. False! Consider  $f(x) = -x^2$ .
- 6. If  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  and  $H_f(x) \succeq 0$ , then x is a local minimum of f. False, consider  $f(x) = -x^4$ .
- 7. If  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  and  $H_f(x) \succ 0$ , then x is a local minimum of f. True, this is exactly the condition we need for local minimums!
- 8. If  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  and f is convex, then x is a local minimum of f. True, f being convex implies the Hessian is PSD everywhere.

# Questions: Constrained Optimization

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be twice differentiable. Let  $g(x) \leq 0$ , and h(x) = 0 be two constraints. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be in the feasible set.

True or False.

- 1. If f, g, h are convex, and  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , then x is a local minimum.
- 2. If f, g, h are convex, and  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , then x is a global minimum.
- 3. If x is a local minimum in the feasible set, then  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ .
- 4. (Ignoring h) If x is a local minimum in the feasible set, and g(x) < 0, then  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ .

## Solutions: Constrained Optimization

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be twice differentiable. Let  $g(x) \leq 0$ , and h(x) = 0 be two constraints. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be in the feasible set. True or False.

### Solution

- 1. If f, g, h are convex, and  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , then x is a local minimum. True! Since x is in the feasible set and  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , and f is convex, then x is a local minimum.
- 2. If f, g, h are convex, and  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , then x is a global minimum. True! Local minimums are global minimums since f is convex.
- 3. If x is a local minimum in the feasible set, then  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ . False, we could be limited by a constraint. Consider  $f(x) = x^2$ ,  $0 \ge g(x) = x + 1$ .
- 4. (Ignoring h) If x is a local minimum in the feasible set, and g(x) < 0, then  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ .

  True! Since our constraint is not active, the lagrange multiplier

is 0.

# Question : Constrained Optimization

Let 
$$f(x,y) = x^2 - 10x + y^2 - 2y$$
. Let  $h(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 = 26$   
1. Find the minimum of  $f$  constrained by  $h(x,y) = 0$ .

## Solution: Constrained Optimization

Let 
$$f(x,y) = x^2 - 10x + y^2 - 2y$$
. Let  $h(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 = 26$ 

#### Solution

Since h is an active constraint, we can solve this by considering the following system of equations.

$$\nabla f(x,y) + \lambda \nabla g(x,y) = 0$$
 and  $h(x,y) = 0$ .

Now, 
$$\nabla f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x - 10 \\ 2y - 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\nabla h(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x \\ 2y \end{bmatrix}$ .

This gives us a system of three equations and three unknowns.

$$2x - 10 + \lambda 2x = 0$$

$$2y - 2 + \lambda 2y = 0$$

$$x^2 + y^2 = 26$$

Solving this system of equations gives two combinations of  $(\lambda, x, y)$   $(\lambda, x, y) = (0, 5, 1)$ , and  $(\lambda, x, y) = (-2, -5, -1)$ .

Note: 
$$\nabla^2 f(x,y) = H_f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, so both points are local minima.

$$f(5,1) = -28, f(-5,-1) = 79$$