Search for New Physics in the Two Higgs to Four b-quark Final State

by

Alice Cocoros

A dissertation submitted to The Johns Hopkins University

in conformity with the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Baltimore, Maryland

June, 2017

Abstract

My thesis is about bla bla

Primary Reader: Petar Maksimovic

Secondary Reader: Morris Swartz

Acknowledgments

Thanks!

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Introduction

Figure 1.1: Snapshot of a cloud chamber, circa 1933: the vertical line represents a positron traveling through the cloud chamber, curving due to the magnetic field applied to the chamber.

In 1869, Johann Wilhelm Hittorf discovered cathode rays[1], suggesting that there may be subatomic particles. By 1911, these were identified as electrons, observed in many different ways, including cloud chambers, as can be seen in Figure 1.1. Soon after, protons were discovered in 1917, followed by neutrons in 1935.

As physicists advanced the study of quantum mechanics, many new particles were discovered. These particles can be broken down into several elementary particles, whose interactions are governed by *The Standard Model* (SM).

1.1   The Standard Model

The SM describes the interaction of all elementary particles with one formula, shown in Figure 1.2. This lengthy Lagrangian can be summarized as a list of particles and mediating forces, represented in Figure 1.3.

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu g_\mu^a \partial_\nu g_\mu^a - g_s f^{abc}\partial_\mu g_\nu^a g_\mu^b g_\nu^c - \frac{1}{4}g_s^2 f^{abc}f^{ade}g_\mu^b g_\nu^c g_\mu^d g_\nu^e +$$
$$\frac{1}{2}ig_s^2(\bar{q}_i^\sigma \gamma^\mu q_j^\sigma)g_\mu^a + \bar{G}^a\partial^2 G^a + g_s f^{abc}\partial_\mu \bar{G}^a G^b g_\mu^c - \partial_\nu W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- -$$
$$M^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu Z_\mu^0 \partial_\nu Z_\mu^0 - \frac{1}{2c_w^2}M^2 Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial_\mu A_\nu - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H \partial_\mu H -$$
$$\frac{1}{2}m_h^2 H^2 - \partial_\mu \phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^- - M^2\phi^+\phi^- - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi^0 \partial_\mu \phi^0 - \frac{1}{2c_w^2}M\phi^0\phi^0 - \beta_h[\frac{2M^2}{g^2} +$$
$$\frac{2M}{g}H + \frac{1}{2}(H^2 + \phi^0\phi^0 + 2\phi^+\phi^-)] + \frac{2M^4}{g^2}\alpha_h - igc_w[\partial_\nu Z_\mu^0(W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- -$$
$$W_\nu^+ W_\mu^-) - Z_\nu^0(W_\mu^+\partial_\nu W_\mu^- - W_\mu^-\partial_\nu W_\mu^+) + Z_\mu^0(W_\nu^+\partial_\nu W_\mu^- -$$
$$W_\nu^-\partial_\nu W_\mu^+)] - igs_w[\partial_\nu A_\mu(W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - W_\nu^+ W_\mu^-) - A_\nu(W_\mu^+\partial_\nu W_\mu^- -$$
$$W_\mu^-\partial_\nu W_\mu^+) + A_\mu(W_\nu^+\partial_\nu W_\mu^- - W_\nu^-\partial_\nu W_\mu^+)] - \frac{1}{2}g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ W_\nu^- +$$
$$\frac{1}{2}g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- + g^2 c_w^2(Z_\mu^0 W_\mu^+ Z_\nu^0 W_\nu^- - Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-) +$$
$$g^2 s_w^2(A_\mu W_\mu^+ A_\nu W_\nu^- - A_\mu A_\mu W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-) + g^2 s_w c_w[A_\mu Z_\nu^0(W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- -$$
$$W_\nu^+ W_\mu^-) - 2A_\mu Z_\mu^0 W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-] - g\alpha[H^3 + H\phi^0\phi^0 + 2H\phi^+\phi^-] -$$
$$\frac{1}{8}g^2\alpha_h[H^4 + (\phi^0)^4 + 4(\phi^+\phi^-)^2 + 4(\phi^0)^2\phi^+\phi^- + 4H^2\phi^+\phi^- + 2(\phi^0)^2 H^2] -$$
$$gMW_\mu^+ W_\mu^- H - \frac{1}{2}g\frac{M}{c_w^2}Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 H - \frac{1}{2}ig[W_\mu^+(\phi^0\partial_\mu\phi^- - \phi^-\partial_\mu\phi^0) -$$
$$W_\mu^-(\phi^0\partial_\mu\phi^+ - \phi^+\partial_\mu\phi^0)] + \frac{1}{2}g[W_\mu^+(H\partial_\mu\phi^- - \phi^-\partial_\mu H) - W_\mu^-(H\partial_\mu\phi^+ -$$
$$\phi^+\partial_\mu H)] + \frac{1}{2}g\frac{1}{c_w}(Z_\mu^0(H\partial_\mu\phi^0 - \phi^0\partial_\mu H) - ig\frac{s_w^2}{c_w}MZ_\mu^0(W_\mu^+\phi^- - W_\mu^-\phi^+) +$$
$$igs_w MA_\mu(W_\mu^+\phi^- - W_\mu^-\phi^+) - ig\frac{1-2c_w^2}{2c_w}Z_\mu^0(\phi^+\partial_\mu\phi^- - \phi^-\partial_\mu\phi^+) +$$
$$igs_w A_\mu(\phi^+\partial_\mu\phi^- - \phi^-\partial_\mu\phi^+) - \frac{1}{4}g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-[H^2 + (\phi^0)^2 + 2\phi^+\phi^-] -$$
$$\frac{1}{4}g^2\frac{1}{c_w^2}Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0[H^2 + (\phi^0)^2 + 2(2s_w^2 - 1)^2\phi^+\phi^-] - \frac{1}{2}g^2\frac{s_w^2}{c_w}Z_\mu^0\phi^0(W_\mu^+\phi^- +$$
$$W_\mu^-\phi^+) - \frac{1}{2}ig^2\frac{s_w^2}{c_w}Z_\mu^0 H(W_\mu^+\phi^- - W_\mu^-\phi^+) + \frac{1}{2}g^2 s_w A_\mu\phi^0(W_\mu^+\phi^- +$$
$$W_\mu^-\phi^+) + \frac{1}{2}ig^2 s_w A_\mu H(W_\mu^+\phi^- - W_\mu^-\phi^+) - g^2\frac{s_w}{c_w}(2c_w^2 - 1)Z_\mu^0 A_\mu\phi^+\phi^- -$$
$$g^1 s_w^2 A_\mu A_\mu\phi^+\phi^- - \bar{e}^\lambda(\gamma\partial + m_e^\lambda)e^\lambda - \bar{\nu}^\lambda\gamma\partial\nu^\lambda - \bar{u}_j^\lambda(\gamma\partial + m_u^\lambda)u_j^\lambda -$$
$$\bar{d}_j^\lambda(\gamma\partial + m_d^\lambda)d_j^\lambda + igs_w A_\mu[-(\bar{e}^\lambda\gamma^\mu e^\lambda) + \frac{2}{3}(\bar{u}_j^\lambda\gamma^\mu u_j^\lambda) - \frac{1}{3}(\bar{d}_j^\lambda\gamma^\mu d_j^\lambda)] +$$
$$\frac{ig}{4c_w}Z_\mu^0[(\bar{\nu}^\lambda\gamma^\mu(1 + \gamma^5)\nu^\lambda) + (\bar{e}^\lambda\gamma^\mu(4s_w^2 - 1 - \gamma^5)e^\lambda) + (\bar{u}_j^\lambda\gamma^\mu(\frac{4}{3}s_w^2 -$$
$$1 - \gamma^5)u_j^\lambda) + (\bar{d}_j^\lambda\gamma^\mu(1 - \frac{8}{3}s_w^2 - \gamma^5)d_j^\lambda)] + \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}}W_\mu^+[(\bar{\nu}^\lambda\gamma^\mu(1 + \gamma^5)e^\lambda) +$$
$$(\bar{u}_j^\lambda\gamma^\mu(1 + \gamma^5)C_{\lambda\kappa}d_j^\kappa)] + \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}}W_\mu^-[(\bar{e}^\lambda\gamma^\mu(1 + \gamma^5)\nu^\lambda) + (\bar{d}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa}^\dagger\gamma^\mu(1 +$$
$$\gamma^5)u_j^\lambda)] + \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{m_e^\lambda}{M}[-\phi^+(\bar{\nu}^\lambda(1 - \gamma^5)e^\lambda) + \phi^-(\bar{e}^\lambda(1 + \gamma^5)\nu^\lambda)] -$$
$$\frac{g}{2}\frac{m_e^\lambda}{M}[H(\bar{e}^\lambda e^\lambda) + i\phi^0(\bar{e}^\lambda\gamma^5 e^\lambda)] + \frac{ig}{2M\sqrt{2}}\phi^+[-m_d^\kappa(\bar{u}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa}(1 - \gamma^5)d_j^\kappa) +$$
$$m_u^\lambda(\bar{u}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa}(1+\gamma^5)d_j^\kappa] + \frac{ig}{2M\sqrt{2}}\phi^-[m_d^\lambda(\bar{d}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa}^\dagger(1+\gamma^5)u_j^\kappa) - m_u^\kappa(\bar{d}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa}^\dagger(1-$$
$$\gamma^5)u_j^\kappa] - \frac{g}{2}\frac{m_u^\lambda}{M}H(\bar{u}_j^\lambda u_j^\lambda) - \frac{g}{2}\frac{m_d^\lambda}{M}H(\bar{d}_j^\lambda d_j^\lambda) + \frac{ig}{2}\frac{m_u^\lambda}{M}\phi^0(\bar{u}_j^\lambda\gamma^5 u_j^\lambda) -$$
$$\frac{ig}{2}\frac{m_d^\lambda}{M}\phi^0(\bar{d}_j^\lambda\gamma^5 d_j^\lambda) + \bar{X}^+(\partial^2 - M^2)X^+ + \bar{X}^-(\partial^2 - M^2)X^- + \bar{X}^0(\partial^2 -$$
$$\frac{M^2}{c_w^2})X^0 + \bar{Y}\partial^2 Y + igc_w W_\mu^+(\partial_\mu\bar{X}^0 X^- - \partial_\mu\bar{X}^+ X^0) + igs_w W_\mu^+(\partial_\mu\bar{Y}X^- -$$
$$\partial_\mu\bar{X}^+ Y) + igc_w W_\mu^-(\partial_\mu\bar{X}^- X^0 - \partial_\mu\bar{X}^0 X^+) + igs_w W_\mu^-(\partial_\mu\bar{X}^- Y -$$
$$\partial_\mu\bar{Y}X^+) + igc_w Z_\mu^0(\partial_\mu\bar{X}^+ X^+ - \partial_\mu\bar{X}^- X^-) + igs_w A_\mu(\partial_\mu\bar{X}^+ X^+ -$$
$$\partial_\mu\bar{X}^- X^-) - \frac{1}{2}gM[\bar{X}^+ X^+ H + \bar{X}^- X^- H + \frac{1}{c_w^2}\bar{X}^0 X^0 H] +$$
$$\frac{1-2c_w^2}{2c_w}igM[\bar{X}^+ X^0\phi^+ - \bar{X}^- X^0\phi^-] + \frac{1}{2c_w}igM[\bar{X}^0 X^-\phi^+ - \bar{X}^0 X^+\phi^-] +$$
$$igMs_w[\bar{X}^0 X^-\phi^+ - \bar{X}^0 X^+\phi^-] + \frac{1}{2}igM[\bar{X}^+ X^+\phi^0 - \bar{X}^- X^-\phi^0]$$

Figure 1.2: The Standard Model Lagrangian.

3

Figure 1.3: Table of Standard Model particles. Image from CERN [2].

1.1.1   Leptons

The electron is one of the most well known elementary particles. There exist two heavier "versions" of the electron: the muon (μ) which is ~ 200 times as massive as the electron, and the tau (τ) which is ~ 4000 times as massive as the electron. Each of these particles is negatively charged, where the charge is equivalent to -1 which corresponds to - 1.602×10^{-19} Coulombs.

For each of these particles, there exists a corresponding neutrino: the electron ν_e, the muon neutrino ν_μ, and the tau neutrino ν_τ. The neutrinos as defined by the SM are chargeless and massless, although experiments have proved that at least two out of three neutrinos observed in nature have mass. The electron and its corresponding neutrino are first generation fermions, while the muon and its neutrino are second generation and the tau and its neutrino are third generation.

A corresponding anti-particle exists for each of these six particles: $\bar{e}$ (e^+), $\bar{\mu}$ (μ^+,), $\bar{\tau}$ (τ^+), $\bar{\nu}_e$, $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$. Note that, while neutrinos are chargeless, the anti-particle for the electron, muon, and tau, all have positive (or opposite of their corresponding particle) charge. However, each anti-particle is identical in mass to its corresponding particle.

In addition to charge and mass, particles also have spin, or the intrinsic angular momentum of a particle. All of the above mentioned particles, known as leptons, have spin $\frac{1}{2}$ (or $-\frac{1}{2}$), which means they are fermions. The spin of a particle allows us to define helicity, also known as handedness. This is the sign of the projection of the particle's spin vector onto its momentum vector.

A particle is said to be right-handed if the spin and momentum align, and left-handed if the spin and momentum point opposite each other. In the Standard Model, there can be difference between left-handed and right-handed particles. For example, we have observed that all neutrinos are left-handed, while all anti-neutrinos are right-handed, which is currently still inexplicable.

1.1.2 Quarks

Many of the particles discovered in the twentieth century are composite particles called hadrons, made up of quarks. There are six quarks: *up* (u) and *down* (d) (first generation), *strange* (s) and *charm* (c) (second generation), and *bottom* (b) and *top* (t) (third generation).

The u, c and t quarks have charge $+\frac{2}{3}$ and the d, s and b quarks have charge $-\frac{1}{3}$. Quarks also have each a different mass. For every quark there is an anti-quark ($\overline{u}$, $\overline{d}$, $\overline{s}$,$\overline{c}$, $\overline{b}$ and $\overline{t}$) with an opposite charge. Quarks are also fermions, and therefore have a spin of $\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$.

In addition to charge (by which we mean electric charge), mass, and spin, quarks also have a second kind of charge, known as color. While electric charge allows particles to interact through the electromagnetic force, color charge allows particles to interact through a different type of force, called the strong force. Quarks can be red, green, or blue colored, and anti-quarks can be anti-red, anti-green, or anti-blue colored. In nature, we only see color neutral particles, which is why quarks are only observed as combinations forming composite particles. These composite particles made up of quarks are color neutral because they either contain one quark of each color, or a quark of a particular color and a quark of the corresponding anti-color. For example, the proton is made up of

one u and two d quarks, so one of these must be red, one must be green, and one must be blue.

1.1.3   Representation of Interactions

The Standard Model describes how matter particles, described above, interact with each other through the exchange of force mediating particles. Each term in the Lagrangian in Figure 1.2 represents different types of interactions between particles. Let us consider a simple scenario of electron electron interaction, which happens through the exchange of the electromagnetic mediating particle known as the photon. Instead of considering the mathematical term associated with this process, it is easier to draw the actual interaction using a vertex, as can be seen in Figure 1.4. This vertex can be arranged and combined with

Figure 1.4: Visual representation of the interaction between two electrons (straight lines) and a photon (wavy line).

other vertices in a variety of ways to represent different interactions between electrons, positrons, and photons. For example, we can consider the case where two electrons are propelled towards each other. Using two of the vertex pictured in 1.4, we can see that this must result in two electrons, as can be seen in Figure 1.5, where time propagates to the right on the x-axis, and distance is represented

on the y-axis. Alternatively, if we were to consider the interaction between an

Figure 1.5: A Feynman Diagram showing the interaction of two electrons. Time increases to the right on the x-axis, and the y-axis represents the distance between the two electrons.

electron and a positron, which can be represented as an electron with an arrow pointing backwards in time, we can see that the resulting process is described by Figure 1.6, where an electron and a positron annihilate to a photon, which produces an electron positron pair. In both of these diagrams, we notice that charge is conserved on either side of the diagram. Many more diagrams can be made with this vertex, combining it with other vertices that involve a photon or electrons as well. In understanding diagrams like these, we can explain three of the four fundamental forces at a qualitative level, below, while also introducing new theories in later chapters that have motivated the search for new particles.

1.1.4 The Electromagnetic Force:

Quantum electrodynamics (QED) describes how the electromagnetic force behaves at the quantum level. The force carrier of QED is the photon, γ, which is

Figure 1.6: A Feynman Diagram showing the annihilation of a positron and an electron.

massless and moves at the speed of light. This theory can be described by diagrams like Figure 1.4, where any fermion with an electric charge can replace the electron. It should be noted that since the photon has neutral electric charge, it cannot couple to itself, and these diagrams with two electrically charged fermions and one photon represent the theory in full. Many experiments have performed precision measurements of QED properties, verifying that they match SM predictions extremely well.

1.1.5   The Strong Force:

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) describes quantum interactions related to the strong force. The force carrier of QCD is the gluon, g, which is massless and moves at the speed of light. The gluon does have color charge, just as the quarks do, and therefore there are a number of vertices in QCD, as shown in Figure 1.7. As mentioned before, each quark has a color charge, and each anti-quark has an anti-color charge. Since color must be conserved, we can see

9

Figure 1.7: QCD interactions can be described by three vertices. The curly line represents gluons, while the straight lines represent quarks. Since gluons are colored, they can interact with themselves.

that the gluon must carry a color and an anti-color for the vertices in Figure 1.7 to be true. Naively, since there are three colors, one would think there are nine gluons, or nine possible combination of three colors and three anticolors. These are summarized below:

$$\frac{r\bar{r}+b\bar{b}+g\bar{g}}{\sqrt{3}}$$

$$\frac{r\bar{b}+b\bar{r}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \frac{r\bar{g}+g\bar{r}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \frac{b\bar{g}+g\bar{b}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \frac{r\bar{r}-b\bar{b}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\frac{-i(r\bar{b}-b\bar{r})}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \frac{-i(r\bar{g}-g\bar{r})}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \frac{-i(b\bar{g}-g\bar{b})}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \frac{r\bar{r}+b\bar{b}-2g\bar{g}}{\sqrt{6}}$$

Both mesons (two-quark bound states) and baryons (three-quark bound states) must be color neutral. We know that a particle can be neutral if it

contains either quarks with color-anti-color, or all three color quarks. Then since the combination of red, green, and blue is neutral, the first combination listed, $\frac{r\bar{r}+b\bar{b}+g\bar{g}}{\sqrt{3}}$, would be color neutral. However, color neutral particles must be non-interacting, otherwise colorless baryons would emit these gluons and interact with one another through the strong force, which we do not observe in nature. Therefore, this first combination is not possible, and there are only eight gluons, called the color octet.

The strong force is responsible for hadronization, or the production of many quarks and gluons when quarks are smashed apart due to an event such as a proton-proton collision. As quarks drift apart after the collision, a color tube of self-interacting gluons is created between the quarks. These tubes are stretched as the quarks drift further apart, increasing energy in the tube due to the constant force exerted from stretching. At distances of roughly 10^{-15} m, it becomes more energetically favorable for two new quarks to be created from the vacuum, through the process shown in the top left vertex in Figure 1.7. If these new quarks are still too energetic to be contained in a particle, this process will repeat until the energy has been sufficiently decreased for all new quark pairs to stay bound. This property is known as confinement, and is critical to the understanding of hadronic activity within a particle detector.

1.1.6 The Weak Force:

When the universe had first begun and was still very hot, the weak force and the electromagnetic force were combined to form the electroweak force. At this time, the electroweak interaction was mediated by four massless bosons: W_1, W_2, W_3 and B. As the universe cooled, the bosons eventually began interacting

11

with the Higgs field (addressed in the following section), and soon, the bosons that interacted with fermions were no longer these four, but a superposition of them. We can write this superpositions as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_W & \sin\theta_W \\ -\sin\theta_W & \cos\theta_W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B \\ W_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.1}$$

$$W^{\pm} = \frac{(W_1 \mp iW_2)}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{1.2}$$

where θ_W, the mixing angle, is a parameter of the SM. Therefore, while γ is the force carrier for the electromagnetic force, the Z, W^+, and W^- are the force carriers for the weak force. The weak interaction is interesting because it only acts on left-handed particles and right-handed anti-particles.

The vertexes describing the weak force interactions can be found in Figure 1.8. While the photon is massless, the three weak force carriers are not. The


Figure 1.8: Weak interactions can be described by the following vertices. The wavy lines represent bosons, while the straight lines represent any weak-interacting particle where the appropriate quantities are conserved.

Z boson has a mass of 91.2 GeV/c^2 (where protons have a mass of ~ 1 GeV/c^2 for reference). The Z boson allows particles to interact with their anti-particles

through the weak force. For example, the process $Z \rightarrow e^- e^+$ is permitted, but the process $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ e^-$ is not permitted. The Z boson can couple to both electrically-charged and -neutral particles, as well as particles with and without color.

The $W^\pm$ boson, which has a mass of 80.4 GeV/c^2, also couples pairs of fermions. Rather than linking particles and anti-particles like the Z boson, it allows the flavors within a generation to interact. For example, a W^+ boson can decay to two quarks ($W^+ \rightarrow u\bar{d}$), and a W^- can decay to a lepton and its neutrino ($W^- \rightarrow \mu\nu_\mu$). Since the $W^\pm$ is electrically charged, electric charge must be conserved at each vertex, and also $\gamma \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ is allowed. The decay $Z \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ is also a potential vertex.

The probability of a W decaying to different generations is dictated by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix, where each of these values has been experimentally measured:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.974 & 0.225 & 0.004 \\ 0.225 & 0.973 & 0.041 \\ 0.009 & 0.040 & 0.999 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.3}
$$

where $|V_{ij}|^2$ is the probability that a quark i decays to a quark j through the emission of a W.

Since all other particles decay through a W to a lighter particle, this explains why our world is built with the lightest two quarks (up and down quarks) and electrons, the building blocks of atoms.

1.1.7 Mass and the Higgs Boson

The Higgs Boson is the most recent confirmed Standard Model particle. It is related to the mass of other SM particles. To understand how this is so, we will look at the Higgs potential, which we can write as $V(\phi) \sim (\phi^2 - \eta^2)^2$ for the purpose of explanation. This is plotted in Figure 1.9. The potential

Figure 1.9: Approximation of the Higgs potential. While the shape is symmetric about the y-axis, the function is not symmetric about the minima.

is symmetric about the y-axis, or the axis with units of energy. In the early universe while everything was still very hot, the energies of particles were so high that the bumps at the bottom of the potential had no consequence. As the universe cooled, however, these minima became important, and one or the other minima was be chosen. This is known as spontaneous symmetry breaking.

Once the universe had cooled sufficiently, the Higgs field took on a vacuum expectation value (VEV), or an average value in empty space, which was non-zero. This VEV couples to electroweak interactions, as referenced in the previous section, and the photon and weak force bosons mixed to form the states we know today. The spontaneously broken Higgs field also couples to itself, quarks, electrons, muons, taus, Z bosons, and $W^{\pm}$ bosons. The magnitude of the coupling between the Higgs and a given particle determines the mass of the particle; the larger the coupling, the more massive the particle. However, it does not couple to neutrinos, so the explanation for their mass must lie elsewhere.

While we have been referring to the Higgs field, the Higgs boson is the particle that was recently discovered at the LHC in 2012. This particle is an excitation of the Higgs field in the same way that a photon is an excitation of the electromagnetic field. The SM Higgs has no charge, no spin, and a mass of 126 GeV. It couples to anything with mass (aside from the neutrinos), so it can also couple to itself. These vertexes take the form shown in Figure 1.10.


Figure 1.10: The Higgs Vertex. The dashed line is the Higgs Boson and the straight lines are any massive particle.

1.2 Rounding Up the Standard Model Particles

In total, including particles of different colors and all anti-particles, there exist 61 particles in the SM. We can describe their interactions easily using the vertices presented in each section. For example, consider the diagrams in Figure 1.11. These are important physics processes by which two gluons interact with


Figure 1.11: Left: Two gluons interact with a quark, while each gluon interacts with an additional different quark, and these additional different quarks interact with a shared fourth quark and each pair of quarks interacts to form a Higgs boson. Right: Two gluons interact with a quark, while each gluon interacts with an additional quark, and these additional different quarks interact with each other to form a Higgs boson, which interacts with two Higgs bosons. The result of both of these processes is a final state with two Higgs.

quarks to produce two Higgs, which is central to the topic of this thesis. This shows an example of the different kind of processes possible using Feynman diagrams: two protons collide and gluons inside of them interact with different quarks to produce two Higgs bosons (left) or one Higgs boson that then produces two Higgs bosons (right).

While this description has been qualitative, and has not drawn distinction between what has been experimentally determined and what has been predicted in the SM, the SM is quite self-consistent. There are only 19 parameters which are experimentally determined, most of which are masses.

1.3 Beyond the Standard Model

While the SM is an impressive feat of mathematics and experiments, it leaves many questions unanswered. For example, the SM only accounts for baryonic matter, which is estimated to be 4.6% of the universe. A remaining 24% is accounted for by dark matter, which can only be gravitationally detected, while the rest of the universe is said to be made up of dark energy.

The SM is also missing one of the four fundamental forces, gravity. While we have convincing understanding of quantum mechanics and general relativity, a unification for these two theories has yet to be discovered. Neutrino mass is unaccounted for by the SM. The Higgs mass is much lower than one might expect from the SM. The universe is dominated by matter, rather than anti-matter.

Many questions remain that require answers beyond the SM, and so we search for new particles and deviations in SM parameters at the LHC in hopes of providing new insight into these difficult problems.

Bibliography

[1] "Preliminary communications on electric discharges in rarefied gases,"
Monatsberichte der KÃűniglich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften
zu Berlin, p. 286, 1876.

[2] CERN, "GO ON A PARTICLE QUEST
AT THE FIRST CERN WEBFEST,"
https://cds.cern.ch/journal/CERNBulletin/2012/35/News%20Articles/1473657,
2012.

[3] S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV
with the CMS experiment at the LHC," *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 716, p. 30, 2012.

[4] G. Aad *et al.*, "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard
Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC," *Phys. Lett. B*,
vol. 716, p. 1, 2012.

[5] D. de Florian *et al.*, "Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 4. deciphering
the nature of the Higgs sector," CERN, CERN Report CERN-2017-002-M,
2016.

[6] D. de Florian and J. Mazzitelli, "Higgs Boson Pair Production at Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order in QCD," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 111, p. 201801, 2013.

[7] J. Baglio, A. Djouadi, R. GrÃűber, M. M. MÃijhlleitner, J. Quevillon, and M. Spira, "The measurement of the Higgs self-coupling at the LHC: theoretical status," *JHEP*, vol. 04, p. 151, 2013.

[8] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 83, p. 3370, 1999.

[9] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, "Modulus stabilization with bulk fields," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 83, p. 4922, 1999.

[10] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser, and A. Karch, "Modeling the fifth dimension with scalars and gravity," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 62, p. 046008, 2000.

[11] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, L. Randall, and J. Terning, "Cosmology of brane models with radion stabilization," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 62, p. 045015, 2000.

[12] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett, and T. G. Rizzo, "Phenomenology of the Randall-Sundrum Gauge Hierarchy Model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 84, p. 2080, 2000.

[13] C. Csaki, M. L. Graesser, and G. D. Kribs, "Radion dynamics and electroweak physics," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 63, p. 065002, 2001.

[14] K. Agashe, H. Davoudiasl, G. Perez, and A. Soni, "Warped Gravitons at the LHC and Beyond," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 76, p. 036006, 2007.

[15] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, L. Randall, and L.-T. Wang, "Searching for the Kaluza-Klein Graviton in Bulk RS Models," *JHEP*, vol. 09, p. 013, 2007.

[16] A. Djouadi, "The anatomy of electroweak symmetry breaking. Tome II: the Higgs bosons in the minimal supersymmetric model," *Phys. Rept.*, vol. 459, p. 1, 2008.

[17] R. Barbieri, D. Buttazzo, K. Kannike, F. Sala, and A. Tesi, "One or more Higgs bosons?" *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 88, p. 055011, 2013.

[18] K. Agashe, O. Antipin *et al.*, "Warped Extra Dimensional Benchmarks for Snowmass 2013," in *Community Summer Study 2013: Snowmass on the Mississippi (CSS2013) Minneapolis, MN, USA, July 29-August 6, 2013*, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://cp3-origins.dk/research/units/ed-tools

[19] P. de Aquino, K. Hagiwara, Q. Li, and F. Maltoni, "Simulating graviton production at hadron colliders," *JHEP*, vol. 06, p. 132, 2011. [Online]. Available: http://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/RSmodel

[20] A. Oliveira, "Gravity particles from Warped Extra Dimensions, a review. Part I - KK Graviton," 2014, submitted on arXiv.

[21] G. Aad *et al.*, "Search For Higgs Boson Pair Production in the $\gamma\gamma b\bar{b}$ Final State using *pp* Collision Data at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV from the ATLAS Detector," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 114, p. 081802, 2015.

[22] ——, "Search for Higgs boson pair production in the $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ final state from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 75, p. 412, 2015.

[23] ——, "Searches for Higgs boson pair production in the $hh \rightarrow bb\tau\tau, \gamma\gamma WW^*, \gamma\gamma bb, bbbb$ channels with the ATLAS detector," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 92, p. 092004, 2015.

[24] V. Khachatryan *et al.*, "Searches for heavy Higgs bosons in two-Higgs-doublet models and for $t \rightarrow ch$ decay using multilepton and diphoton final states in *pp* collisions at 8 TeV," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 90, p. 112013, 2014.

[25] ——, "Search for resonant pair production of Higgs bosons decaying to two bottom quark-antiquark pairs in proton-proton collisions at 8 TeV," *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 749, p. 560, 2015.

[26] ——, "Searches for a heavy scalar boson H decaying to a pair of 125 GeV Higgs bosons hh or for a heavy pseudoscalar boson A decaying to Zh, in the final states with $h \rightarrow \tau\tau$," *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 755, pp. 217–244, 2016.

[27] J. Brehmer *et al.*, "The Diboson Excess: Experimental Situation and Classification of Explanations; A Les Houches Pre-Proceeding," 2015, submitted on arXiv.

[28] G. Aad *et al.*, "Search for high-mass diboson resonances with boson-tagged jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector," *JHEP*, vol. 12, p. 055, 2015.

[29] ——, "Search for production of WW/WZ resonances decaying to a lepton, neutrino and jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 75, p. 209, 2015, [Erratum: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3593-4].

[30] ——, "Search for resonant diboson production in the $\ell\ell q\bar{q}$ final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 75, p. 69, 2015.

[31] V. Khachatryan *et al.*, "Search for massive resonances in dijet systems containing jets tagged as W or Z boson decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV," *JHEP*, vol. 08, p. 173, 2014.

[32] ——, "Search for massive resonances decaying into pairs of boosted bosons in semi-leptonic final states at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV," *JHEP*, vol. 08, p. 174, 2014.

[33] G. F. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol, and R. Rattazzi, "The Strongly-Interacting Light Higgs," *JHEP*, vol. 06, p. 045, 2007.

[34] W. BuchmÃijller and D. Wyler, "Effective lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavour conservation," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 268, no. 3, pp. 621 – 653, 1986. [Online]. Available: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321386902622

[35] A. Carvalho, M. Dall'Osso, T. Dorigo, F. Goertz, C. A. Gottardo, and M. Tosi, "Higgs pair production: choosing benchmarks with cluster analysis," *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2016, no. 4, p. 126, Apr 2016. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2016)126