

# Representations of Hecke Algebras and Markov Dualities for Interacting Particle Systems

Oleg Zaboronski and Roger Tribe

University of Warwick

*[o.v.zaboronski@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:o.v.zaboronski@warwick.ac.uk), [r.p.tribe@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:r.p.tribe@warwick.ac.uk)*

Probability and Algebra: New Expressions in Mathematics  
10-14.07.2023

# Lecture 1

# Set-up: continuous time Markov processes

- ▶ Configuration space:  $\Omega := \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$
- ▶ Configuration vector:  $\eta \in \Omega$ ;  $\eta_i = 1$  indicates a particle at  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;  $\eta_i = 0$  indicates a hole at  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$
- ▶ The space of test functions:  $T(\Omega)$  - functions depending on finitely many components of  $\eta$  (cylinder functions)
- ▶ The Markov process  $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is characterised by its infinitesimal generator  $L$
- ▶ Defining property of  $L$ : for any  $f \in T(\Omega)$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}_{\eta_0} [f(\eta_t)] = \mathbb{E}_{\eta_0} [Lf(\eta_t)]$$

# The generator and transition rates. Examples

- ▶ Let  $R(\eta \rightarrow \eta')$  be the transition rate from  $\eta \in \Omega$  to  $\eta' \in \Omega$
- ▶ **Claim.**  $Lf(\eta) = \sum_{\eta' \in \Omega} R(\eta \rightarrow \eta') [f(\eta') - f(\eta)]$
- ▶ Asymmetric RW on  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$Lf(x) = r(f(x+1) + \ell f(x-1) - f(x)) := \Delta^{(l,r)} f(x)$$

- ▶ Annihilating random walks on  $\mathbb{Z}$  ([ARW]):

$$Lf(\eta) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ rf(\dots, \eta_{x-1}, \mathbf{0}, \eta_x \oplus \eta_{x+1}, \eta_{x+2}, \dots) \right. \\ \left. + \ell f(\dots, \eta_{x-2}, \eta_x \oplus \eta_{x-1}, \mathbf{0}, \eta_{x+1}, \dots) - f(\eta) \right]$$

# Markov duality

- ▶ Set up:  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  - Markov processes on  $\Omega^X$ ,  $\Omega^Y$  with infinitesimal generators  $L^X$ ,  $L^Y$ ;  $H : \Omega^X \times \Omega^Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  - bounded measurable function
- ▶ Definition:  $X$ ,  $Y$  are called Markov dual w. r. t.  $H$  if  $\forall (x, y) \in \Omega^X \times \Omega^Y$ ,  $\forall t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x^X [H(X_t, y)] = \mathbb{E}_y^Y [H(x, Y_t)]$$

- ▶ Infinitesimal form:  $L^X H(x, y) = L^Y H(x, y)$
- ▶ **Claim.**  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}_x^X [H(X_t, y)] = L^Y \mathbb{E}_x^X [H(X_t, y)]$

# Matrix representation for the generator

- ▶ Relevant generators:  $L = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n$ , where  $q_n$  acts non-trivially on  $(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1})$  only
- ▶  $T := \otimes_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$  - infinite tensor product,  $v_n = (1, 1)^T := v$  for almost all  $n$ 's
- ▶  $T \cong T(\Omega)$ :  $\prod_{x=m}^n \mathbb{1}_{\alpha_x} \leftrightarrow \dots v \otimes \left( \otimes_{x=m}^n e_x^{(\alpha_x)} \right) \otimes v \dots$
- ▶  $L \leftrightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{q}_n$ ,  $\hat{q}_n \in \text{End}(V_n \otimes V_{n+1})$  (hats will be dropped)
- ▶ Standard basis:  
 $(e^{(1)} \otimes e^{(1)}, e^{(1)} \otimes e^{(0)}, e^{(0)} \otimes e^{(1)}, e^{(0)} \otimes e^{(0)})$

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} * & R(11 \rightarrow 10) & R(11 \rightarrow 01) & R(11 \rightarrow 00) \\ R(10 \rightarrow 11) & * & R(10 \rightarrow 01) & R(10 \rightarrow 00) \\ R(01 \rightarrow 11) & R(01 \rightarrow 10) & * & R(01 \rightarrow 00) \\ R(00 \rightarrow 11) & R(00 \rightarrow 10) & R(00 \rightarrow 01) & * \end{pmatrix}$$

## (Type-A) Hecke algebras

- ▶ Let  $q \in (0, 1]$ ,  $Q = (q + q^{-1})^{-2}$
- ▶  $\mathbb{H}_n(q)$  - a unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by  $(s_i)_{i=1}^{n-1}$  subject to

$$\begin{cases} s_i s_j = s_j s_i & |i - j| \geq 2 \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ s_i^2 = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) s_i & 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Stochastic generators:  $\sigma_i = \frac{q - s_i}{q + q^{-1}}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$
- ▶  $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_i$ ,  $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i - Q \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} - Q \sigma_{i+1}$
- ▶ Markov generators:  $q_i = \sigma_i - 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$
- ▶  $q_i^2 = -q_i$ ,  $q_i q_{i+1} q_i - Q q_i = q_{i+1} q_i q_{i+1} - Q q_{i+1}$
- ▶  $\mathbb{H}_\infty(q) := \varinjlim \mathbb{H}_n(q) (= \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{H}_n(q) / \sim)$

# Lecture 2



# The model

- ▶ The rates:  $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \xrightarrow{r} (0, \eta_1 \oplus \eta_2), (\eta_1, \eta_2) \xrightarrow{\ell} (\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2, 0)$
- ▶ Timescale:  $\ell + r = 1$
- ▶  $L = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\sigma_n + I), \sigma, q \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$ :

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -r & r & 0 \\ 0 & \ell & -\ell & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \ell & r & 0 \\ 0 & \ell & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶  $\partial_t \mathbb{E}[\eta_t(0)] = -2\mathbb{E}[\eta_t(0)\eta_t(1)], \partial_t \mathbb{E}[\eta_t(0)\eta_t(1)] =$   
3 - point function, ... ( $T$ -invariant BBGKY chain)
- ▶ Issue:  $\mathbb{E}[\eta_t(0)\eta_t(1)] \not\approx \mathbb{E}[\eta_t(0)^2]$  (Otherwise, BBGKY  
 $\implies \mathbb{E}[\eta_t] \sim C/t, t \rightarrow \infty$  whereas the true answer is  
 $\mathbb{E}[\eta_t] \sim C/\sqrt{t}, t \rightarrow \infty$ )

## Representation of $\sigma$ in $V \otimes V$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \ell & r & 0 \\ 0 & \ell & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{rank}(\sigma) = 2, \sigma^2 = \sigma \text{ (check!)}, \sum_{j=1}^4 \sigma_{ij} = 1$$

►  $v := (1, 1)^T \leftrightarrow 1$  ('vacuum vector'),  $w := (-1, 1)^T \leftrightarrow (-1)^\eta$

►  $\sigma v \otimes v = v$ , ( $\Leftarrow$ stochasticity);  $\sigma w \otimes w = w$  (check!)

► Exercise:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma v \otimes w = \ell v \otimes v + r w \otimes w \\ \sigma w \otimes v = \ell w \otimes w + r v \otimes v \end{cases}$$

► Conclusion: the action of  $q, \sigma$  preserves the order of  $v, w$  in the tensor product

# Representation of $\mathbb{A}$ in $T$

$$\begin{cases} \sigma v \otimes w = \ell v \otimes v + rw \otimes w \\ \sigma w \otimes v = \ell w \otimes w + rv \otimes v \end{cases}$$

- ▶  $f_x := (\otimes_{i \leq x} w_i) \otimes (\otimes_{i > x} v_j) (\notin T !)$
- ▶  $\sigma_x f_x = \ell f_{x+1} + r f_{x-1}, \sigma_y f_x = f_x, \forall y \neq x \in \mathbb{Z}$
- ▶  $\mathbb{A}$  acts in  $T_1 := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(f_x, x \in \mathbb{Z}); q_y f_x = \mathbb{1}_x(y) \Delta^{(r,l)} f_x$
- ▶  $f_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}}^{(2n)} = \dots (\otimes_{x_1+1 \leq j_1 \leq x_2} w_{j_1}) \cdot (\otimes_{x_{2n-1}+1 \leq j_n \leq x_{2n}} w_{j_n}) \dots \in T$
- ▶  $T_n := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{x_1, \dots, x_{2n}}^{2n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots x_{2n})$
- ▶ **Claim.**  $\mathbb{A} T_{2n} \subset T_{2n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$  (Lower- $\Delta$  representations)
- ▶ Exercise:  $\sigma_3 f_{34}^{(2)} = \ell f^{(0)} + r f_{24}^{(2)}$

# Markov duality

## ► Claim.

$$\begin{cases} Lf_{x_1, \dots, x_{2n}}^{(2n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \Delta_k^{(r, \ell)} f_{x_1, \dots, x_{2n}}^{(2n)}, & x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{2n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \\ f_{x_1, \dots, x_i=x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{2n}}^{(2n)} = f_{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{2n}}^{(2n-2)}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1 \end{cases}$$

- Conclusion: For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , [ARW] is  $f^{(2n)}$ -dual to ARW with at most  $2n$  particles with right hopping rate  $\ell$  and left hopping rate  $r$

- $w \leftrightarrow (-1)^\eta \implies f_{x_1, \dots, x_{2n}}^{(2n)} \leftrightarrow \prod_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\sum_{j_k=x_{2k-1}+1}^{x_{2k}} \eta(j_k)}$
- $\eta(x) = \frac{1-(-1)^\eta}{2} \implies \mathbb{E}[f^{(2n)}]$ 's determine the law of  $\eta_t$
- Define  $\Phi_t^{(2n)}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) := \mathbb{E}[f_{x_1, \dots, x_{2n}}^{(2n)}(\eta_t)]$

# From dualities to Pfaffians

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \partial_t - \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \Delta_k^{(r,l)} \right) \Phi_t^{(2n)}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = 0, t > 0, x_1 < \dots < x_{2n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Phi_t^{(2n)}(\dots x_i = x_{i+1} \dots) = \Phi_t^{(2n-2)}(\dots \hat{x}_{i-1}, \hat{x}_{i+2} \dots), 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1 \\ \Phi_0^{(2n)} = \text{det. initial condition} \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ **(A non-trivial) exercise:** The above system has a unique solution

$$\Phi_t^{(2n)}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \text{pfaff}[\Phi_t^{(2n)}(x_i, x_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n],$$

$t \geq 0, x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{2n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (F-Wick's theorem)

- ▶ Conclusion:  $\eta_t$  is a Pfaffian PP for any fixed  $t > 0$  and all deterministic IC's
- ▶ Benefits:  $\rho_t^{(n)}(x) \sim C_n |\Delta(x)| t^{-n/2 - n(n-1)/4}$ ; persistence exponent (1/4); the distribution of the rightmost particle (Rider-Sinclair's distribution)

# Duality and Hecke relations

- ▶ Basic ingredients of the solution:

1.  $\exists(v, w)$  - basis of  $V$ :  $\sigma v \otimes v = v \otimes v$ ,  $\sigma w \otimes w = w \otimes w$

2.  $\sigma$ -action preserves the order of  $v$  and  $w$  in  $T$

- ▶ **Check:**  $q_i q_{i+1} q_i - Q q_i = q_{i+1} q_i q_{i+1} - Q q_{i+1}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $Q = r\ell$

- ▶ Conclusion:  $\mathbb{A}$  is a quotient of  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$

- ▶ **Theorem.** Let  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be stochastic generators of  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$ :  
rank $(\sigma - I) = 2$  and the above condition 1. is satisfied.  
Then EITHER

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma v \otimes w = 0 w \otimes v + \dots \\ \sigma w \otimes v = 0 v \otimes w \dots \end{array} \right. \text{ OR } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma v \otimes w = \alpha w \otimes v + \beta v \otimes w \\ \sigma w \otimes v = \gamma v \otimes w + \delta w \otimes v \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ The second possibility corresponds to duality functions of product moment type ([SEP], voter models, etc.)

# Lecture 3

# The classification theorem

- ▶  $\rho \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$ :  $\rho(a \otimes b) := b \otimes a$  (reflection)
- ▶  $\tau \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$ :  $\tau(e^{(\alpha)} \otimes e^{(\beta)}) := e^{(1-\alpha)} \otimes e^{(1-\beta)}$  ( $0 \leftrightarrow 1$ )
- ▶ Consider a continuous time Markov process on  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , characterised by the generator

$$L = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\sigma_n - I), \quad \sigma_n \in \text{End}(V_n \otimes V_{n+1}), \quad \sigma_n^2 = \sigma_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- ▶ Assume the reflective symmetry of the chain,

$$\rho_n \circ \sigma_n = \sigma_n \circ \rho_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1)$$

Up to the particle-hole conjugation ( $\sigma \rightarrow \tau \sigma \tau$ ) there are **nine** such Markov processes with generator  $L$  s. t.  $\sigma \neq I$ .



# “The nine-fold way”

## 1. Hecke class

- 1.1 Symmetric exclusion process [SEP]
- 1.2 Biased voter model [BVM] $_{\theta}$
- 1.3 Symmetric anti-voter model [SAVM]
- 1.4 Annihilating coalescing random walk [ACSRW] $_{\theta}$
- 1.5 Coalescing symmetric random walk with branching [CSRWB] $_{\theta}$
- 1.6 Annihilating random walk with pairwise immigration [ASRWPI] $_{\theta}$

## 2. Exceptional models

- 2.1 Stationary annihilation coalescence model [SCAM] $_{\theta}$
- 2.2 Dimer model [DM] $_{\theta}$
- 2.3 Reshuffle model [RM] $_{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3}$

**Lemma.** For each model of Hecke class, the two-site generators obey the braid relation

$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i - Q \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} - Q \sigma_{i+1}$ , where  $Q$  can depend on the parameter  $\theta$  of the model

# Steps of the proof of the classification theorem.

## Lemma (1)

*Let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$  be a stochastic matrix:  $\sigma^2 = \sigma$ ,  $\rho \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \rho$ . Then either (i) there is  $w \in V$ , linearly independent of  $v$ :  $\sigma w \otimes w = w \otimes w$  or (ii)  $\sigma$  corresponds to  $[\text{SAVM}]$ ,  $[\text{BVM}]_{\theta=1/2}$  or  $[\text{RM}]$*

## Lemma (2)

*Let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$  be a stochastic idempotent matrix s. t.  $\rho\sigma = \sigma\rho$ . Assume  $\exists w \in V$  lin. indep. of  $v$  s. t.  $\sigma w \otimes w = w \otimes w$ . Then, after possibly a particle hole conjugation there are seven non-trivial matrices  $\sigma \neq I$  corresponding to  $[\text{SEP}]$ ,  $[\text{BVM}]_{\theta \neq 1/2}$ ,  $[\text{ACSRW}]$ ,  $[\text{CSRWB}]$ ,  $[\text{ASRWPI}]$ ,  $[\text{SCAM}]$ ,  $[\text{DM}]$*

# Probabilistic discussion

**BVM** and [SAVM] models are equivalent to ARW's via the domain-walls map

**ACSRW** , [CSRWB] and [ASRWPI] are Pfaffian point processes for any deterministic initial condition. Their analysis is identical using the corresp.  $(v, w)$  system

**SCAM** is solvable via dualities. If  $\eta_0 \equiv 1$ ,  $\eta_t$  is a renewal process with explicit kernel for any  $t > 0$

**RM** is exactly solvable for any one-dependent  $\eta_0$ .  $\eta_t$  is a determinantal point process for any  $t > 0$ .

**DM** is equivalent to an inhomogeneous [SEP]

# Algebraic discussion

- ▶ Consider [ARW] on  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  with  $L_N = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} q_k$ . Then  $\mathbb{A}_N := \langle 1, \sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq N-1 \rangle$  has irreps of dimensions  $\binom{N-1}{k}^{(2)}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq N-1$ . The spaces of these irreps are

$$\frac{\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Duality functions with at most } k \text{ jumps})}{\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Duality functions with at most } (k-1) \text{ jumps})}$$

- ▶ Interpreting duality functions as intertwiners, can construct the following coordinate representations of  $\mathbb{H}$ : for  $Q = r\ell$ ,
  1.  $(\mathbb{1}_x \Delta^{(r,\ell)})_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a representation of Temperley-Lieb algebra in  $L_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with param.  $Q$
  2.  $(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_x^{(k)} \Delta^{(r,\ell)})_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a representation of  $\mathbb{H}_{\infty}(Q)$  in  $L_2(W^n)$ ;  $\mathbb{1}_x^{(k)}(y) = \mathbb{1}_x(y_k)$

# Baxterisation: [CSRWB]

## Theorem (V. Jones, 1990)

If  $(s_n)_{n=1}^M$  are canonical generators of  $\mathbb{H}_{M+1}(q)$ , then  $R_n(x) := s_n - xs_n^{-1}$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ , solves the YBE,

$$R_{n-1}(x)R_n(xy)R_n(y) = R_{n-1}(y)R_n(xy)R_{n-1}(x)$$

## Example.

$$R(x) = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} + x(q - 2q^{-1}) & -(1-x)q^{-1} & -(1-x)q^{-1} & 0 \\ -(1-x)(q - q^{-1}) & q - q^{-1} & -(1-x)q^{-1} & 0 \\ -(1-x)(q - q^{-1}) & -(1-x)q^{-1} & q - q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -q^{-1} + qx \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $Q = \theta(1 - \theta)$ ,  $q + q^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}}$