

Expecting the Unexpected: Warehousing and Analyzing Data from ITS Field Use

W. Lewis Johnson, Naveen Ashish, Stephen Bodnar, Alicia Sagae

Key Ideas and Background

- Learner behavior with a deployed system may not be predictable from pilot studies
- Learners are “not like me,” i.e. not like developers (Koedinger, 2001)
- Longer periods of use, more user sessions, user-selected ordering of curriculum material
- Components like ASR perform differently in the field than in lab tests
- To study the differences: **Hoahu**¹ data warehousing system for extracting learner behavior data from logs
- Explore statistics concerning user behavior
- Obtain overall measures of user/system performance
- Discover unexpected behavior patterns
- Identify opportunities for design improvements

¹ From the Hawaiian verb meaning “to collect”. Alelo draws inspiration from Hawaii as a cross-cultural crossroads, using Hawaiian names for many of its technologies.

Application Example



Fig 1. Screenshot of the dialog environment in Operational Indonesian Language and Culture Training System

On hearing this Utterance Attempt, the system fails to recognize the player's speech

The system recognizes the player's speech but fails to find an appropriate response

The system recognizes and responds, marking the start of a new turn

NPC:	Votre passeport, s'il vous plaît	(1.1)
Player:	Bien sûr, voilà.	(1.2)
NPC:	Je ne comprends pas.	(1.3)
Player:	D'accord.	(1.4)
NPC:	[no response]	(1.5)
Player:	Voilà	(1.6)
NPC:	Quel est votre nom?	(2.1)

Fig 2. Excerpt from a dialog between a learner and non-player character (NPC)

- ITS for languages in a culture- and task-specific setting (Johnson & Valente, 2008)
- Learners interact with computer-controlled Non-player characters in spoken dialog exercises with ASR technology
- **Dialog breakdown:** the system fails to understand the learner's previous turn, yielding an inappropriate response

Hoahu Technology

- Anonymization: replace personally-identifiable user names
- Extract-Transform-Load (ETL): apply templates to mine behaviors of interest from the logs
- Relational database (DB) representation
- Annotation tools add layers of information to the DB

Future Work

- Follow-up work on annotation: isolate system-triggered breakdowns from learner communication errors (Workshop of UMAP 2010)
- Server-client logging architecture to adapt to multiple platforms: web, desktop, handheld; single- and multi-user settings
- Regression testing to drive ASR improvements

Results

- Pilot users (Pi): employees, intermediate speakers
- Field users (Fd): Naval personnel, volunteers, self-study
- Severe breakdown rate (3+ consecutive breakdowns):
 - Pi: 23% of dialogs, Fd: 14.4% of dialogs
- Very severe breakdown rate: (4+):
 - Pi: 18.6% of dialogs, Fd: 7.9% of dialogs
- Mean utterances per turn:
 - Pi: 2.3; Fd: 1.6

aleloTM

Visit us on the web at www.alelo.com