

Experience Report: Josephine

Using JavaScript to safely manage the lifetimes of Rust data

ALAN JEFFREY, Mozilla Research

This paper is about the interface between languages which use a garbage collector and those which use fancy types for safe manual memory management. Garbage collection is the traditional memory management scheme for functional languages, whereas type systems are starting to be used for memory safety in imperative languages. We use existing techniques for linear capabilities to provide safe access to copyable references, but the application to languages with a tracing garbage collector, and to data with explicit lifetimes is new. This work is related to mixed linear/non-linear programming, but the languages being mixed are Rust and JavaScript.

CCS Concepts: • **Software and its engineering** → **Functional languages**; *Imperative languages*;

Additional Key Words and Phrases: JavaScript, Rust, interoperability, memory management, affine types

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper is about the interface between languages which use a garbage collector and those which use fancy types for safe manual memory management.

Garbage collection is the most common memory management technique for functional programming languages, dating back to LISP [20]. Having a garbage collector guarantees memory safety, but at the cost of requiring a runtime system.

Imperative languages often require the programmer to perform manual memory management, such as the `malloc` and `free` functions provided by C [18]. The safety of a program (in particular the absence of *use-after-free* errors) is considered the programmer's problem. More recently, languages such as Cyclone [17] and Rust [8] have used fancy type systems such as substructural types [5, 13, 28] and region analysis [24] to guarantee memory safety without garbage collection.

This paper discusses the Josephine API [16] for using the garbage collector provided by the SpiderMonkey [22] JavaScript runtime to safely manage the lifetime of Rust [8] data. It uses techniques from L^3 [1] and its application to regions [11], but the application to languages with a tracing garbage collector, and to languages with explicit lifetimes is new.

1.1 Rust

Rust is a systems programming language which uses fancy types to ensure memory safety even in the presence of mutable update, and manual memory management. Rust has an affine type system, which allows data to be discarded but does not allow data to be arbitrarily copied. For example, the Rust program:

```
let hello = String::from("hello");
let moved = hello;
println!("Oh look {} is hello", moved);
```

Author's address: Alan Jeffrey, Mozilla Research, ajeffrey@mozilla.com.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

© 2018 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

XXXX-XXXX/2018/3-ART

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

is fine, but the program:

```
let hello = String::from("hello");
let copied = hello;
println!("Oh look {} is {}", hello, copied);
```

is not, since `hello` and `copied` are simultaneously live. Trying to compile this program produces:

```
use of moved value: `hello`
--> src/main.rs:4:32
|
3 |   let copied = hello;
|       ----- value moved here
4 |   println!("Oh look {} is {}", hello, copied);
|                                   ^^^^^ value used here after move
```

The use of affine types allows aliasing to be tracked. For example, a classic problem with aliasing is appending a string to itself. In Rust, an example of appending a string is:

```
let mut hello = String::from("hello");
let ref world = String::from("world");
hello.push_str(world);
println!("Oh look hello is {}", hello);
```

The important operation is `hello.push_str(world)`, which mutates the string `hello` (hence the `mut` annotation on the declaration of `hello`). The appended string `world` is passed by reference, (hence the `ref` annotation on the declaration of `world`).

A problem with mutably appending strings is ensuring that the string is not appended to itself, for example the documentation for C `strcat` states “Source and destination may not overlap,” but C does not check aliasing and relies on the programmer to ensure correctness. In contrast, attempting to append a string to itself in Rust:

```
let ref mut hello = String::from("hello");
hello.push_str(hello);
```

produces an error:

```
cannot borrow `*hello` as immutable because it is also borrowed as mutable
--> src/main.rs:3:18
|
3 |   hello.push_str(hello);
|   -----          ^^^^^- mutable borrow ends here
|   |               |
|   |               immutable borrow occurs here
|   mutable borrow occurs here
```

In Rust, the crucial invariant maintained by affine types is:

Any memory that can be reached simultaneously by two different paths is immutable.

For example in `hello.push(hello)` there are two occurrences of `hello` that are live simultaneously, the first of which is mutating the string, so this is outlawed.

In order to track statically which variables are live simultaneously, Rust uses a lifetime system similar to that used by region-based memory [24]. Each allocation of memory has a lifetime α , and lifetimes are ordered $\alpha \subseteq \beta$. Each code block introduces a lifetime, and for data which does not escape from its scope, the nesting of blocks determines the ordering of lifetimes.

For example in the program:

```

let ref x = String::from("hi");
{
  let ref y = x;
  println!("y is {}", y);
}
println!("x is {}", x);

```

the variable x has a lifetime α given by the outer block, and the variable y has a lifetime $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ given by the inner block.

These lifetimes are mentioned in the types of references: the type $\&\alpha T$ is a reference giving immutable access to data of type T , which will live at least as long as α . Similarly, the type $\&\alpha \text{ mut } T$ gives mutable access to the data: the crucial difference is that $\&\alpha T$ is a copyable type, but $\&\alpha \text{ mut } T$ is not. For example the type of x is $\&\alpha \text{ String}$ and the type of y is $\&\beta (\&\alpha \text{ String})$, which is well-formed because $\beta \subseteq \alpha$.

Lifetimes are used to prevent another class of memory safety issues: use-after-free. For example, consider the program:

```

let hi = String::from("hi");
let ref mut handle = &hi;
{
  let lo = String::from("lo");
  *handle = &lo;
} // lo is deallocated here
println!("handle is {}", **handle);

```

If this program were to execute, its behaviour would be undefined, since $**handle$ is used after lo (which $handle$ points to) is deallocated. Fortunately, this program does not pass Rust's borrow-checker:

```

`lo` does not live long enough
--> src/main.rs:6:11
  |
6 |     *handle = &lo;
  |               ^^ borrowed value does not live long enough
7 | } // lo is deallocated here
  |   - `lo` dropped here while still borrowed
8 | println!("handle is {}", **handle);
9 | }
  |   - borrowed value needs to live until here

```

This use-after-free error can be detected because (naming the outer lifetime as α and the inner lifetime as $\beta \subseteq \alpha$) $handle$ has type $\&\alpha \text{ mut } \&\alpha \text{ String}$, but $\&lo$ only has type $\&\beta \text{ String}$, no $\&\alpha \text{ String}$ as required by the assignment.

Lifetimes avoid use-after-free by maintaining two invariants:

*Any dereference happens during the lifetime of the reference,
and deallocation happens after the lifetime of all references.*

There is more to the Rust type system than described here (higher-order functions, traits, variance, concurrency, ...) but the important features are *affine types* and *lifetimes* for ensuring memory safety, even in the presence of manual memory management.

1.2 SpiderMonkey

SpiderMonkey [22] is Mozilla’s JavaScript runtime, used in the Firefox browser, and the Servo [23] next-generation web engine. This is a full-featured JS implementation, but the focus of this paper is its automatic memory management.

Inside a web engine, there are often native implementations of HTML features, which are exposed to JavaScript using DOM interfaces. For example, an HTML image is exposed to JavaScript as a DOM object representing an `` element, but behind the scenes there is native code responsible for loading and rendering images.

When a JavaScript object is garbage collected, a destructor is called to deallocate any attached native memory. In the case that the native code is implemented in Rust, this leads to a situation where Rust relies on affine types and lifetimes for memory safety, but JavaScript respects neither of these. As a result, the raw SpiderMonkey interface to Rust is very unsafe, for example there are nearly 400 instances of unsafe code in the Servo DOM implementation:

```
$ grep "unsafe_code" components/script/dom/*.rs | wc
393      734   25514
```

Since JavaScript does not respect Rust’s affine types, Servo’s DOM implementation makes use of Rust [8, §3.11] *interior mutability* which replaces the compile-time type checks with run-time dynamic checks. This carries run-time overhead, and the possibility of checks failing, and Servo panicking.

Moreover, SpiderMonkey has its own invariants, and if an embedding application does not respect these invariants, then runtime errors can occur. One of these invariants is the division of JavaScript memory into *compartments* [12], which can be garbage collected separately. The runtime has a notion of “current compartment”, and the embedding application is asked to maintain two invariants:

- whenever an object is used, the object is in the current compartment, and
- there are no references between objects which cross compartments.

In order for native code to interact well with the SpiderMonkey garbage collector, it has to provide two functions:

- a *trace* function, that given an object, iterates over all of the JavaScript objects which are reachable from it, and
- a *roots* function, which iterates over all of the JavaScript objects that are live on the call stack.

From these two functions, the garbage collector can find all of the reachable JavaScript objects, including those reachable from JavaScript directly, and those reached via native code. The Josephine interface to tracing is discussed in §3.1, and the interface to rooting is discussed in §3.2.

Automatically generating the trace function is reasonably straightforward metaprogramming, but rooting safely turns out to be quite tricky. Servo provides an approximate analysis for safe rooting using an ad-hoc static analysis (the *rooting lint*), but this is problematic because a) the lint is syntax-driven, so does not understand about Rust features such as generics, and b) even if it could be made sound it is disabled more than 200 times:

```
$ grep "unrooted_must_root" components/script/dom/*.rs | wc
213      456   15961
```

1.3 Josephine

Josephine [16] is intended to act as a safe bridge between SpiderMonkey and Rust. Its goals are:

- to use JavaScript to manage the lifetime of Rust data, and to allow JavaScript to garbage collect unreachable data,

- to allow references to JavaScript-managed data to be freely copied and discarded, relying on SpiderMonkey’s garbage collector for safety,
- to maintain Rust memory safety via affine types and lifetimes, without requiring additional static analysis such as the rooting lint,
- to allow mutable and immutable access to Rust data via JavaScript-managed references, so avoiding interior mutability, and
- to provide a rooting API to ensure that JavaScript-managed data is not garbage collected while it is being used.

Josephine is intended to be safe, in that any programs built using Josephine’s API do not introduce undefined behaviour or runtime errors. Josephine achieves this by providing controlled access to SpiderMonkey’s *JavaScript context*, and maintaining invariants about it:

- immutable access to JavaScript-managed data requires immutable access to the JavaScript context,
- mutable access to JavaScript-managed data requires mutable access to the JavaScript context, and
- any action that can trigger garbage collection (for example allocating new objects) requires mutable access to the JavaScript context.

As a result, since access to the JavaScript context does respect Rust’s affine types, mutation or garbage collection cannot occur simultaneously with accessing JavaScript-managed data.

In other words, Josephine treats the JavaScript context as an affine access token, or capability, which controls access to the JavaScript-managed data. The data accesses respect affine types, even though the JavaScript objects themselves do not.

This use of an access token to safely access data in a substructural type system is *not* new, it is the heart of Ahmed, Fluet and Morrisett’s L^3 Linear Language with Locations [1] and its application to linear regions [24]. Moreover, type systems for mixed linear/non-linear programming have been known for more than 20 years [4].

Other integrations of GC with linear types include Linear Lisp [2], Alms [25], Linear Haskell [6], and linear OCaml [21], but these do not integrate with Rust’s lifetime model.

Garbage collection for Rust has previously been investigated, e.g. in Servo [19] or the rust-gc library [14], but these approaches take a different approach: in Servo, the API by itself is unsafe and depends on interior mutability and a separate rooting lint for safety. The rust-gc library uses reference counting and interior mutability. Neither of them interact with lifetimes in the way Josephine does.

The aspects of Josephine that are novel are:

- the languages being mixed are Rust and JavaScript, which are both industrial-strength,
- the treatment of garbage collection requires different typing rules than regions in L^3 , and
- the types for JS-managed references respect the Rust lifetime system.

Acknowledgments

This work benefited greatly from conversations with Amal Ahmed, Nick Benton, Josh Bowman-Matthews, Manish Goregaokar, Bobby Holly, and Anthony Ramine.

2 THE JOSEPHINE API

There are two important concepts in Josephine’s API: *JS-managed* data, and the *JS context*. For readers familiar with the region-based variant [11] of L^3 [1], JS-managed data corresponds to L^3 references, and JS contexts to L^3 capabilities.

2.1 JS-managed data

JS-managed data has the type $\text{JSManged}\langle\alpha, C, T\rangle$, which represents a reference to data whose lifetime is managed by JS, which:

- is guaranteed to live at least as long as α ,
- is allocated in JS compartment C , and
- points to native data of type T .

This type is copyable, so not subject to the affine type discipline, even though it can be used to gain mutable access to the native data. We shall see later that this is safe for the same reason as L^3 : we are using the JS context as a capability, and it is not copyable.

In examples, we make use of Rust’s *lifetime elision* [9, §3.4], and just write $\text{JSManged}\langle C, T\rangle$ where the lifetime α can be inferred.

In the simplest case, T is a base type like `String`, but in more complex cases, T might itself contain JS-managed data, for example a type of cells in a doubly-linked list can be defined:

```
type Cell<'a, C> = JSManged<'a, C, NativeCell<'a, C>>;
```

where:

```
struct NativeCell<'a, C> {
  data: String,
  prev: Option<Cell<'a, C>>,
  next: Option<Cell<'a, C>>,
}
```

This pattern is a common idiom, in that there are two types:

- $\text{NativeCell}\langle\alpha, C\rangle$ containing the native representation of a cell, including the prev and next references, and
- $\text{Cell}\langle\alpha, C\rangle$ containing a reference to a native cell, whose lifetime is managed by JS.

These types are both parameterized by a lower bound α on the lifetime of the cell, and the compartment C that the cell lives in.

Doubly-linked lists are an interesting example of programming in Rust, and indeed there is an introductory text *Learning Rust With Entirely Too Many Linked Lists* [3], in which safe implementations of doubly-linked lists require interior mutability (and hence dynamic checks) and reference counting.

2.2 The JS context

By itself, JS-managed references are not much use: there has to be an API for creating and dereferencing them: this is the role of the JS *context*, which acts as a capability for manipulating JS-managed data. The JS context is part of the SpiderMonkey API, where it is used to store state that is global to the runtime sytem.

There is only one JS context per thread (and JS contexts cannot be shared or sent between threads) so unique access to the JS context implies unique access to all JS-managed data. We can use this to give safe mutable access to JS-managed data, since the JS context is a unique capability.

The JS context has a state, notably keeping track of the current compartment, but also permissions such as “allowed to create new references” or “allowed to dereference”. This state is tracked using phantom types, so the JS context has type $\text{JSContext}\langle S\rangle$, where S is the current state.

For example, a program to allocate a new JS-managed reference is:

```
let x: JSManged<C, String> = cx.manage(String::from("hello"));
```

and a program to access a JS-managed reference is:

```
let msg: &String = x.borrow(cx);
```

These programs make use of the JS context cx . In order for the first example to typecheck:

- cx must have type $\& \text{mut JSContext}\langle S \rangle$, where
- S (the state of the context) must have permission to allocate references in C , and
- C must be a compartment.

The second example is similar, except:

- we do not need mutable access to the context, and
- S must have permission to access compartment C .

Fortunately, Rust has a *trait* system (similar to Haskell's class system), which allows us to express these constraints. In the same way that C and S are phantom types, these are *marker* traits with no computational value. The typing for the first example is:

```
(cx: &mut JSContext<S>) where
  S: CanAlloc + InCompartment<C>,
  C: Compartment,
```

and for the second:

```
(cx: &JSContext<S>) where
  S: CanAccess,
  C: Compartment,
```

A program to mutably access a JS-managed reference is:

```
let msg: &mut String = x.borrow_mut(cx);
```

at which point the fact that the JS context is an affine capability becomes important. The typing required for this is:

```
(cx: &mut JSContext<S>) where
  S: CanAccess,
  C: Compartment,
```

That is *unique access to JS-managed data requires unique access to the JS context*, and so we cannot simultaneously have mutable access to two different JS-managed references. This is the same safety condition that region-based L^3 uses.

For example, we can use this (together with Rust's built-in replace function which swaps the contents of a mutable reference) to replace the contents of a cell with a new value:

```
fn replace<S>(self, cx: &'a mut JSContext<S>, new_data: String) -> String where
  S: CanAccess,
  C: Compartment,
{
  let ref mut old_data = self.0.borrow_mut(cx).data;
  replace(old_data, new_data)
}
```

2.3 Typing access

A first-cut type rule for accessing data in a typing context in which $S : \text{CanAccess}$ and $C : \text{Compartment}$ is:

if $cx : \& \text{JSContext}\langle S \rangle$ and $p : \text{JSManaged}\langle C, T \rangle$ then $p.borrow(cx) : \& T$

(and similarly for mutable access) which is fine, but does not mention the lifetimes. Adding these in gives the type rule:

if $cx : \&\alpha \text{JSContext}\langle S \rangle$ and $p : \text{JSManaged}\langle \alpha, C, T \rangle$ then $p.borrow(cx) : \&\alpha T$

which is correct, but assumes that the lifetime that the JS context has been borrowed for is exactly the same as the lifetime of the reference. Separating these gives (when $\alpha \subseteq \beta$):

if $cx : \&\alpha \text{JSContext}\langle S \rangle$ and $p : \text{JSManged}\langle \beta, C, T \rangle$ then $p.\text{borrow}(cx) : \&\alpha T$

This rule is still incorrect, but for a slightly subtle reason. It *is* correct when T is a base type, but fails in the case of a type which includes nested JS-managed references. If that were the rule, then we could write programs such as

```
let cell: Cell<'a, C> = ...;
let next: Cell<'a, C> = cell.borrow(cx).next?; // cell is keeping next alive
cell.borrow_mut(cx).next = None;             // nothing is keeping next alive
cx.gc();                                     // something that triggers GC
next.borrow(cx);                             // this is a use-after-free
```

The problem in this example is that after setting `cell`'s next pointer to `None`, there is nothing in JS keeping `next` alive, so it is reachable from Rust but not from JS. After a GC, the JS runtime can deallocate `next`, so accessing it is a use-after-free error.

In a language with built-in support for GC, there would be a hidden GC root introduced by putting `next` on the stack, but Rust does not have support for such hidden rooting.

The problem in general is that when accessing $p : \text{JSManged}\langle \beta, C, T \rangle$, using a JS context borrowed for lifetime $\alpha \subseteq \beta$, there may be nested JS-managed data, also with lifetime β . These are being kept alive by p , which is fine as long as p is not mutated, but mutating p might cause them to become unreachable in JS, and thus candidates for garbage collection.

The fix used by Josephine is to replace any nested uses of β in T by α , that is the type rule is (when $\alpha \subseteq \beta$):

if $cx : \&\alpha \text{JSContext}\langle S \rangle$ and $p : \text{JSManged}\langle \beta, C, T \rangle$ then $p.\text{borrow}(cx) : \&\alpha T[\alpha/\beta]$

The conjecture that Josephine makes is that this is safe, because GC cannot happen during the lifetime α . In order to ensure this, we maintain an invariant:

Any operation that can trigger garbage collection requires mutable access to the JS context.

This is why `cx.manage(data)` requires `cx` to have type `& mut JSContext<S>`, *not* because we are mutating the JS context itself, but because allocating a new reference might trigger GC.

In Rust, the substitution $T[\alpha/\beta]$ is expressed by T implementing a trait `JSLifetime< α >`:

```
pub unsafe trait JSLifetime<'a> {
    type Aged;
    unsafe fn change_lifetime(self) -> Self::Aged { ... }
}
```

This is using an *associated type* `T::Aged` to represent $T[\alpha/\beta]$. In particular, `JSManged< β, C, T >` implements `JSLifetime< α >` as long as T does, and `JSManged< β, C, T >[α/β]` is `JSManged< $\alpha, C, T[\alpha/\beta]$ >`.

The implementation of `JSLifetime< α >` has a lot of boilerplate, but fortunately that boilerplate is amenable to Rust's metaprogramming system, so user-defined types can just mark their type as `#[derive(JSLifetime)]`.

3 INTERFACING TO THE GARBAGE COLLECTOR

Interfacing to the SpiderMonkey GC has two parts:

- *tracing*: from a JS-managed reference, find the JS-managed references immediately reachable from it, and
- *rooting*: find the JS-managed references which are reachable from the stack.

From these two functions, it is possible to find all of the JS-managed references which are reachable from Rust. Together with SpiderMonkey’s regular GC, this allows the runtime to find all of the reachable JS objects, and then to reclaim the unreachable ones.

These interfaces are important for program correctness, since under-approximation can result in use-after-free, and over-approximation can result in space leaks.

In this section, we discuss how Josephine supports these interfaces.

3.1 Tracing

Interfacing to the SpiderMonkey tracer via Josephine is achieved in the same way as Servo [23], by implementing a trait:

```
pub unsafe trait JSTraceable {
    unsafe fn trace(&self, trc: *mut JSTracer);
}
```

Josephine provides an implementation:

```
unsafe impl<'a, C, T> JSTraceable for JSManaged<'a, C, T> where
    T: JSTraceable { ... }
```

User-defined types can then implement the interface by recursively visiting fields, for example:

```
unsafe impl<'a, C, T> JSTraceable for NativeCell<'a, C> {
    unsafe fn trace(&self, trc: *mut JSTracer) {
        self.prev.trace(trc);
        self.next.trace(trc);
    }
}
```

This is a lot of unsafe boilerplate, but fortunately can also be mechanized using meta-programming by marking a type as `#[derive(JSTraceable)]`.

One subtlety is that during tracing data of type T , the JS runtime has a reference of type T given by the `self` parameter to `trace`. For this to be safe, we have to ensure that there is no mutable reference to that data. This is maintained by the previously mentioned invariant:

Any operation that can trigger garbage collection requires mutable access to the JS context.

Tracing is triggered by garbage collection, and so had unique access to the JS context, so there cannot be any other live mutable access to any JS-managed data.

3.2 Rooting

In languages with native support for GC, rooting is supported by the compiler, which can provide metadata for each stack frame allowing it to be traced. In languages like Rust that do not have a native GC, this metadata is not present, and instead rooting has to be performed explicitly.

This explicit rooting is needed whenever an object is needed to outlive the borrow of the JS context that produced it. For example, a function to insert a new cell after an existing one is:

```
pub fn insert<C, S>(cell: Cell<C>, data: String, cx: &mut JSContext<S>) where
    S: CanAccess + CanAlloc + InCompartment<C>,
    C: Compartment,
{
    let old_next = cell.borrow(cx).next;
    let new_next = cx.manage(NativeCell {
        data: data,
        prev: Some(cell),
    })
}
```

```

    next: old_next,
  });
  cell.borrow_mut(cx).next = Some(new_next);
  if let Some(old_next) = old_next {
    old_next.borrow_mut(cx).prev = Some(new_next);
  }
}

```

This is the “code you would first think of” for inserting an element into a doubly-linked list, but is in fact not safe because the local variables `old_next` and `new_next` have not been rooted. If GC were triggered just after `new_next` was created, then it could be reclaimed, causing a later use-after-free.

Fortunately, Josephine will catch these safety problems, and report errors such as:

```

error[E0502]: cannot borrow `*cx` as mutable because
  it is also borrowed as immutable
  |
  |           let old_next = self.borrow(cx).next;
  |                                     -- immutable borrow occurs here
  |           let new_next = cx.manage(NativeCell {
  |                                   ^^ mutable borrow occurs here
...
  |       }
  |       - immutable borrow ends here

```

The fix is to explicitly root the local variables. In Josephine this is:

```

let ref mut root1 = cx.new_root();
let ref mut root2 = cx.new_root();
let old_next = (... as before ...).in_root(root1);
let new_next = (... as before ...).in_root(root2);

```

The declaration of a root allocates space on the stack for a new root, and `managed.in_root(root)` roots `managed`. Note that it is just the reference that is copied to the stack, the JS-managed data itself doesn’t move. Roots have type $\text{JSRoot}\langle\beta, T\rangle$ where β is the lifetime of the root, and T is the type being rooted.

Once the local variables are rooted, the code typecheck, because rooting changes the lifetime of the JS-managed data, for example (when $\alpha \subseteq \beta$):

```

if  $p : \text{JSManaged}\langle\alpha, C, T\rangle$ 
and  $r : \&\beta \text{ mut JSRoot}\langle\beta, \text{JSManaged}\langle\beta, C, T[\beta/\alpha]\rangle\rangle$ 
then  $p.\text{in\_root}(r) : \text{JSManaged}\langle\beta, C, T[\beta/\alpha]\rangle$ .

```

Before rooting, the JS-managed data had lifetime α , which is usually the lifetime of the borrow of the JS context that created or accessed it. After rooting, the JS-managed data has lifetime β , which is the lifetime of the root itself. Since roots are considered reachable by GC, the contents of a root are guaranteed not to be GC’d during its lifetime, so this rule is sound.

Note that this use of substitution $T[\beta/\alpha]$ is being used to extend the lifetime of the JS-managed data, since $\alpha \subseteq \beta$. This is in comparison to the use of substitution $T[\alpha/\beta]$ in §2.3, which was used to contract the lifetime.

4 COMPARTMENTS

SpiderMonkey uses *compartments* to organize memory, so that garbage collection does not have to sweep the entire memory, just one compartment¹. To achieve this, SpiderMonkey maintains the invariant:

There are no direct references between compartments.

This invariant is expected to be maintained by any native data: tracing a JS-managed object should never result in tracing an object from a different compartment.

In Josephine, the compartment that native data has been placed in is part of its type. Data of type $\text{JSManaged}\langle C, T \rangle$ is attached to a JS object in compartment C .

4.1 Maintaining the invariant

It would be possible for user-defined types to break the compartment invariant, for example:

```
type BadCell<'a, C, D> = JSManaged<'a, C, NativeBadCell<'a, C, D>>;
```

where:

```
struct NativeBadCell<'a, C, D> {
  data: String,
  prev: Option<BadCell<'a, C, C>>,
  next: Option<BadCell<'a, D, D>>,
}
```

This type violates the compartment invariant, because a cell of type $\text{BadCell}\langle 'a, C, D \rangle$ is in compartment C but its next pointer is in compartment D .

To maintain the compartment invariant, we introduce a trait similar to JSLifetime , but for compartments:

```
pub unsafe trait JSCompartmental<C, D> {
  type ChangeCompartment;
}
```

In the same way that $\text{JSLifetime}\langle \alpha \rangle$ is used to implement lifetime substitution $T[\alpha/\beta]$, the trait $\text{JSCompartmental}\langle C, D \rangle$ is used to implement compartment substitution $T[D/C]$. A type T implementing $\text{JSCompartmental}\langle C, D \rangle$ is asked to ensure that:

- T is in compartment C ,
- T only contains references to other types implementing $\text{JSCompartmental}\langle C, D \rangle$, and
- $T::\text{ChangeCompartment}$ is $T[D/C]$.

If the implementation of this type is incorrect, there may be safety issues, which is why the trait is marked as *unsafe*. Fortunately, deriving an implementation of this trait is straightforward meta-programming. Josephine provides a `#[derive(JSCompartmental)]` which is guaranteed to maintain the compartment invariant.

4.2 Creating compartments

In SpiderMonkey, a new compartment is created each time a *global object* [10, §18] is created.

In Josephine, there are two functions: one to create a new compartment, and another to attach native data to the global. The global object can be accessed with `cx.global()`. For example:

```
let cx = cx.create_compartment();
let name = String::from("Alice");
let cx = cx.global_manage(name);
```

¹For purposes of this paper, we are ignoring the distinction between zones and compartments.

In some cases, the global (in freshly created compartment C) contains some JS-managed data (also in compartment C), which is why the initialization is split into two steps. First, create compartment C , then initialize the native data, which may make use of C . For example:

```
let cx = cx.create_compartment();
let ref mut root = cx.new_root();
let name = cx.manage(String::from("Alice")).in_root(root);
let cx = cx.global_manage(NativeMyGlobal { name: name });
```

where:

```
struct NativeMyGlobal<'a, C> { name: JSManaged<'a, C, String> }
type MyGlobal<'a, C> = JSManaged<'a, C, NativeMyGlobal<'a, C>>;
```

The type rule for creating a compartment is:

```
if  $cx : \&a \text{ mut } \text{JSContext}\langle S \rangle$  and  $S : \text{CanAlloc} + \text{CanAccess}$ 
then  $cx.create\_compartment() : \text{JSContext}\langle S' \rangle$ 
  where  $S' : \alpha + \text{CanAlloc} + \text{InCompartment}\langle C \rangle + \text{IsInitializing}\langle \alpha, C, T \rangle$ 
  for fresh  $C : \text{Compartment}$ .
```

Note:

- this is the first type rule which has changed the state of the JS context from S to S' ,
- although S can access data, S' cannot: this is necessary for safety, since the global does not yet have any data attached to it, so accessing it would be undefined behaviour,
- S' only has lifetime α , so we do not have two JS contexts live simultaneously,
- S' has entered the compartment C , and has the permission to create new objects in C ,
- S' has the permission $\text{IsInitializing}\langle C, T \rangle$, which allows the global to be initialized with native data of type T .

The type rule for initializing a compartment is:

```
if  $cx : \text{JSContext}\langle S \rangle$  and  $x : T$  and  $S : \text{IsInitializing}\langle \alpha, C, T \rangle$ 
then  $cx.global\_manage(x) : \text{JSContext}\langle S' \rangle$ 
  where  $S' : \alpha + \text{CanAccess} + \text{CanAlloc} + \text{InCompartment}\langle C \rangle$ 
```

4.3 Entering a compartment

Given a JS-managed reference x , we can enter its compartment with $cx.enter_known_compartment(x)$. This returns a JS context whose current compartment is that of x . For example, given a JS-managed reference x , we can create a new JS-managed reference in the same compartment with:

```
let ref mut cx = cx.enter_known_compartment(x);
let y = cx.manage(String::from("hello"));
```

This has type rule:

```
if  $cx : \&a \text{ mut } \text{JSContext}\langle S \rangle$  and  $x : \text{JSManaged}\langle C, T \rangle$ 
and  $S : \text{CanAccess} + \text{CanAlloc}$  and  $C : \text{Compartment}$ 
then  $cx.enter\_known\_compartment(x) : \text{JSContext}\langle S' \rangle$ 
  where  $S' : \alpha + \text{CanAccess} + \text{CanAlloc} + \text{InCompartment}\langle C \rangle$ 
```

4.4 Wildcard compartments

Working with named compartments is fine when there is a fixed number of them, but not when the number of compartments is unbounded. For example, the type $\text{Vec}\langle \text{JSManaged}\langle C, T \rangle \rangle$ contains a vector of managed objects, all in the same compartment, but sometimes you need a vector of

objects in different compartments. This is the same problem that existential polymorphism [7], and in particular Java wildcards [15, §8.1.2] is designed to solve, and we adopt a similar approach.

The wildcard is called `SOMEWHERE`, which we will often abbreviate as `?`. `JSManaged<?, T>` refers to JS-managed data whose compartment is unknown. For example `Vec<JSManaged<?, T>>` contains a vector of managed objects, which may all be in different compartments.

To create a wildcard, we use `x.forget_compartment()`, with type rule:

if $x : \text{JSManaged}\langle C, T \rangle$ then $x.\text{forget_compartment}() : \text{JSManaged}\langle ?, T[?/C] \rangle$

Entering a wildcard compartment is the same as for a known compartment, but renames the compartment to a fresh name:

if $cx : \&\text{mut JSContext}\langle S \rangle$ and $x : \text{JSManaged}\langle ?, T \rangle$
 and $S : \text{CanAccess} + \text{CanAlloc}$
 then $cx.\text{enter_unknown_compartment}(x) : \text{JSContext}\langle S' \rangle$
 where $S' : \alpha + \text{CanAccess} + \text{CanAlloc} + \text{InCompartment}\langle D \rangle$
 for fresh $D : \text{Compartment}$.

We also have a function `cx.entered()` of type `JSManaged<D, T[D/?]>`, which gives access to `x` in its newly named compartment. Note that access to data in a wildcard compartment is not allowed (in the type system this is enforced since we do not have `? : Compartment`), for example:

```
fn example<S>(cx: &mut JSContext<S>, x: JSManaged<SOMEWHERE, String>) where
  S: CanAccess,
{
  // We can't access x without first entering its compartment.
  // Commenting out the next two lines gives an error
  // the trait `Compartment` is not implemented for `SOMEWHERE`.
  let ref mut cx = cx.enter_unknown_compartment(x);
  let x = cx.entered();
  println!("Hello, {}. ", x.borrow(cx));
}
```

5 CONCLUSIONS

The contributions of this work are:

- an implementation of the ideas in L^3 [1] to mixed linear/non-linear programming [4], where the languages being mixed are Rust and JavaScript,
- a treatment of garbage collection (rather than region-based memory management) for such a system, and
- a treatment of how operations such as accessing, mutating, and rooting can change the lifetimes of objects.

The main item left for future work is formalizing the approach described here: memory safety is conjectured, but not proved formally.

There are some aspects of the API which need more investigation:

- Other JavaScript engines take a different approach to rooting, notably V8 *handle scopes* [27], which have different trade-offs. In terms of this paper, the roots are attached to the JS context, rather than stored on the stack. It would be interesting to compare these approaches.
- Josephine uses phantom types to track which compartment memory is allocated in, but does not support features such as *cross-compartment wrappers* [12], which allow references between compartments.

- In this paper, we have just used the SpiderMonkey runtime engine for its garbage collector, but it is a full-featured JavaScript engine, and it would be good to provide safe access to executing JS code. This would be simpler to achieve if there were a JS type system to generate bindings from, such as TypeScript [26].

The distribution includes some simple examples such as doubly-linked lists and a stripped-down DOM, but more examples are needed to see if the API is usable for practical code.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ahmed, M. Fluet, and G. Morrisett. L3: A linear language with locations. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 77(4):397–449, 2007.
- [2] H. G. Baker. Lively linear Lisp: “look ma, no garbage!”. *SIGPLAN Not.*, 27(8):89–98, 1992.
- [3] A. Beingessner et al. *Learning Rust With Entirely Too Many Linked Lists*. <http://cglab.ca/~abeinges/blah/too-many-lists/book/>.
- [4] N. Benton. A mixed linear and non-linear logic: Proofs, terms and models. In *Proc. Computer Science Logic*, pages 121–135, 1995.
- [5] P. N. Benton, G. M. Bierman, V. de Paiva, and M. Hyland. A term calculus for intuitionistic linear logic. In *Proc. Int. Conf. Typed Lambda Calculi and Applications*, pages 75–90, 1993.
- [6] J.-P. Bernardy, M. Boespflug, R. R. Newton, S. Peyton Jones, and A. Spiwack. Linear haskell: Practical linearity in a higher-order polymorphic language. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 2(POPL):5:1–5:29, 2017.
- [7] L. Cardelli and P. Wegner. On understanding types, data abstraction, and polymorphism. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 17(4):471–523, 1985.
- [8] The Rust Project Developers. *The Rust Programming Language*. 2011. <https://doc.rust-lang.org/book>.
- [9] The Rust Project Developers. *The Rustinomic*. 2011. <https://doc.rust-lang.org/beta/nomicon/>.
- [10] ECMAScript 2017 language specification (8th ed.), 2017. <https://www.ecma-international.org/ecma-262/>.
- [11] M. Fluet, G. Morrisett, and A. Ahmed. Linear regions are all you need. In *Proc. European Symp. Programming*, pages 7–21, 2006.
- [12] Andreas Gal. Compartments, 2010. <https://andreasgal.com/2010/10/13/compartments/>.
- [13] J.-Y. Girard. Linear logic. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 50(1):1–102, 1987.
- [14] M. Goregaokar and M. Layzell. Designing a GC in Rust, 2015. <https://manishearth.github.io/blog/2015/09/01/designing-a-gc-in-rust/>.
- [15] J. Gosling, B. Joy, G. Steele, G. Bracha, A. Buckley, and D. Smith. The Java language specification (SE 9 ed.), 2017. <https://docs.oracle.com/javase/specs/jls/se9/html/>.
- [16] Josephine, 2017. <https://docs.rs/josephine/>.
- [17] T. Jim, J. G. Morrisett, D. Grossman, M. W. Hicks, J. Cheney, and Y. Wang. Cyclone: A safe dialect of C. In *Proc. USENIX*, pages 275–288, 2002.
- [18] B. W. Kernighan and D. M. Ritchie. *The C Programming Language*. 2nd edition, 1988.
- [19] J. Matthews and K. McAllister. Javascript: Servo’s only garbage collector, 2014. <https://research.mozilla.org/2014/08/26/javascript-servos-only-garbage-collector/>.
- [20] J. McCarthy. Recursive functions of symbolic expressions and their computation by machine, part I. *Commun. ACM*, 3(4):184–195, 1960.
- [21] G. Munch-Maccagnoni. Resource polymorphism, 2018. arXiv:1803.02796 [cs.PL].
- [22] Spidermonkey. <https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Mozilla/Projects/SpiderMonkey>.
- [23] Servo, the parallel browser engine project. <https://servo.org/>.
- [24] M. Tofte and J.-P. Talpin. Region-based memory management. *Inf. Comput.*, 132(2):109–176, 1997.
- [25] J. A. Tov and R. Pucella. Practical affine types. In *Proc. ACM Symp. Principles of Programming Languages*, pages 447–458, 2011.
- [26] Typescript. <https://www.typescriptlang.org/>.
- [27] V8 embedder’s guide. <https://github.com/v8/v8/wiki/Embedder%27s-Guide>.
- [28] D. Walker. Substructural type systems. In B. C. Pierce, editor, *Advanced Topics in Types and Programming Languages*, pages 3–43. MIT Press, 2002.