

## EXAM OF STATISTICS (PROBABILITY AND RANDOM VARIABLES)

2nd Physiotherapy

Version A

June, 18 2019

**Duration:** 1 hour and 15 minutes.

- (2 pts.) 1. A study tries to determine the effectiveness of an occupational risk prevention program in jobs that require to be sit a lot of hours. A sample of 500 individuals between 40 and 50 years that spent more than 5 hours sitting was drawn. Half of the individuals followed the prevention program (treatment group) and the other half not (control group). After 5 years it was observed that 12 individuals suffered spinal injuries in the group following the prevention program while 32 individuals suffered spinal injuries in the other group. In the following 5 years it was observed that 21 individuals suffered spinal injuries in the group following the prevention program while 48 individuals suffered spinal injuries in the other group.
- Compute the cumulative incidence of spinal injuries in the total sample after 5 years and after 10 years.
  - Compute the absolute risk of suffering spinal injuries in 10 years in the treatment and control groups.
  - Compute the relative risk of suffering spinal injuries in 10 years in the treatment group compared to the control group. Interpret it.
  - Compute the odds ratio of suffering spinal injuries in 10 years in the treatment group compared to the control group. Interpret it.
  - Which statistics, the relative risk or the odds ratio, is more suitable in this study? Justify the answer.

**Solution**

Let  $D$  be the event of suffering spinal injuries.

- Cumulative incidence after 5 years:  $R(D) = 0.088$ .  
Cumulative incidence after 10 years:  $R(D) = 0.226$ .
- Risk in the treatment group:  $R_T(D) = 0.132$ .  
Risk in the control group:  $R_C(D) = 0.32$ .
- $RR(D) = 0.4125$ . Thus, the risk of suffering spinal injuries is less than half following the prevention program.
- $OR(D) = 0.3232$ . Thus, the odd of suffering spinal injuries is less than one third following the prevention program.
- Since the study is prospective and we can estimate the prevalence of  $D$ , both statistics are suitable, but relative risk is easier to interpret.

- (3 pts.) 2. The table below shows the results of a study to evaluate the usefulness of a reactive strip to diagnose an urinary infection.

Outcome	Infection	No infection
Positive	60	80
Negative	10	200

- Compute the sensitivity and the specificity of the test.

- (b) Compute the positive and the negative predictive values. Is this test better to confirm or to rule out the infection?
- (c) If another study has determined that the true prevalence of the infection is 2%, how does this affect to the predictive values?

---

**Solution**

Let  $D$  be the event corresponding to suffering the urinary infection and  $+$  and  $-$  the events corresponding to get a positive and negative outcome in the test respectively.

- (a) Sensitivity = 0.8571 and Specificity = 0.7143.
- (b)  $PPV = 0.4286$  and  $NPV = 0.9524$ . Since the  $PPV < NPV$  the test is better to rule out the infection.
- (c)  $PPV = 0.0577$  and  $NPV = 0.9959$ . The positive predictive value decreases a lot while the negative predictive value increases a little bit.

- 
- (5 pts.) 3. The time required to recover from an injury follows a normal distribution with variance 64 days. It is also known that 10% of people with this injury require more than 80 days to recover.

- (a) What is the expected time required to recover from the injury?  
Remark: Use  $\mu = 70$  for the next part if you do not know how to compute it.
- (b) What percentage of individuals will require between 60 and 75 days to recover?
- (c) If we draw a random sample of 12 individuals with this injury, what is the probability of having between 9 and 11 individuals, both included, requiring less than 80 days to recover?
- (d) If we draw a random sample of 500 individuals with this injury, what is the probability of having less than 4 requiring a time above the 99th percentile to recover?

---

**Solution**

Let  $X$  be the time required to recover from the injury. Then  $X \sim N(\mu, 8)$ .

- (a)  $\mu = 69.7476$  days.
  - (b)  $P(60 < X < 75) = 0.6327$ .
  - (c) Let  $Y$  be the number of individuals with the injury requiring less than 80 days to recover in a sample of 12. Then  $Y \sim B(12, 0.9)$  and  $P(9 \leq Y \leq 11) = 0.6919$ .
  - (d) Let  $Z$  be the number of individuals with the injury requiring a time above the 99th percentile to recover in a sample of 500. Then  $Z \sim B(500, 0.01) \approx P(5)$  and  $P(Z \leq 4) = 0.265$ .
-