## EXAM OF STATISTICS (DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS AND REGRESSION)

2nd Physiotherapy Version A March, 25 2022

**Duration**: 1 hour.

(5 pts.) 1. The time required by a drug A to be effective has been measured (in minutes) in a sample of 150 patients. The table below summarize the results.

Response time	Patients
(0,5]	5
(5, 10]	15
(10, 15]	32
(15, 20]	36
(20, 30]	42
(30, 60]	20

- (a) Are there outliers in the sample? Justify the answer.
- (b) What is the minimum time for the 20% of patients with highest response time?
- (c) What is the average response time? Is the mean representative?
- (d) Can we assume that the sample comes from a normal population?
- (e) If we take another sample of patients with mean 18 min and standard deviation 15 min, in which group is greater a response time of 25 min?

Use the following sums for the computations:  $\sum x_i n_i = 3105 \text{ min}$ ,  $\sum x_i^2 n_i = 83650 \text{ min}^2$ ,  $\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^3 n_i = 206851.65 \text{ min}^3 \text{ y} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^4 n_i = 8140374.96 \text{ min}^4$ .

## **Solution**

- (a)  $Q_1 = 12.7344$  min,  $Q_3 = 25.8333$  min, IQR = 13.099 min,  $f_1 = -6.9141$  min and  $f_2 = 45.4818$  min. Therefore there are outliers in the sample since the upper limit of the last interval is above the upper fence.
- (b)  $P_{80} = 27.619 \text{ min.}$
- (c)  $\bar{x} = 20.7$  min,  $s^2 = 129.1767$  min<sup>2</sup>, s = 11.3656 min and cv = 0.5491. The mean is not very representative since the cv > 0.5.
- (d)  $g_1 = 0.9393$  and  $g_2 = 0.2523$ . Since  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are between -2 and 2, we can assume that the sample comes from a normal (bell-shaped) population.
- (e) The standard score of the first sample is z(25) = 0.3783 and the standard score of the second one z(25) = 0.4667, thus a time of 25 min is relatively greater in the second sample.
- (5 pts.) 2. We have measured the average number of weekly hours of study X and the score in a clinic entrance test Y of 8 candidates, getting the following results:

$$\sum_{i} x_{i} = 15.9, \sum_{i} \log(x_{i}) = 3.852, \sum_{i} y_{j} = 41.5, \sum_{i} \log(y_{j}) = 11.511,$$
$$\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} = 42.23, \sum_{i} \log(x_{i})^{2} = 5.559, \sum_{i} y_{j}^{2} = 274.25, \sum_{i} \log(y_{j})^{2} = 20.742,$$
$$\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{j} = 103.3, \sum_{i} x_{i} \log(y_{j}) = 28.047, \sum_{i} \log(x_{i}) y_{j} = 32.616.$$

(a) Compute the equations of the logarithmic and exponential regression models of the score as a function of the average number of hours of study.

(b) Use the best of the previous models to predict the score for somebody that study 3.2 hours a week. Is this prediction reliable?

## **Solution**

(a)  $\bar{x} = 1.9875 \text{ hours}, s_x^2 = 1.3286 \text{ hours}^2.$   $\overline{\log(x)} = 0.4815 \log(\text{hours}), s_{\log(x)}^2 = 0.463 \log(\text{hours})^2.$   $\bar{y} = 5.1875 \text{ points}, s_y^2 = 7.3711 \text{ points}^2.$   $\overline{\log(y)} = 1.4389 \log(\text{points}), s_{\log(y)}^2 = 0.5224 \log(\text{points})^2.$   $s_{x \log(y)} = 0.6461, s_{\log(x)y} = 1.5792$ Logarithmic regression model:  $y = 3.5453 + 3.4106 \log(x)$ Exponential regression model:  $y = e^{0.4723 + 0.4863x}$ 

(b) Logarithmic coefficient of determination:  $r^2 = 0.7307$ Exponential coefficient of determination:  $r^2 = 0.6015$ Therefore, the best regression model to predict is the exponential. Prediction: y(3.2) = 14.4592.