# Laboratory work 5

Task 1: Will the conversion to BCNF be dependency preserving in any case? Proof your statement and give a reasoning for choosing BCNF design.

### Answer:

Dependency-preserving decomposition into BCNF is not always possible. Because achieving Lossless and dependency-preserving decomposition property into BCNF is difficult. And BCNF is allowed the right side of the FD to be a prime attribute.

Task 2. Given table in 1NF, convert to 3NF if PK is UnitID:

| UnitID | StudentID | Date     | Tutor<br>ID | Topic | Room | Grade | Book      | TutEmail     |
|--------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------|------|-------|-----------|--------------|
| U1     | St1       | 23.02.03 | Tut1        | GMT   | 629  | 4.7   | Deumlich  | tut1@fhbb.ch |
| U2     | St1       | 18.11.02 | Tut3        | GIn   | 631  | 5.1   | Zehnder   | tut3@fhbb.ch |
| U1     | St4       | 23.02.03 | Tut1        | GMT   | 629  | 4.3   | Deumlich  | tut1@fhbb.ch |
| U5     | St2       | 05.05.03 | Tut3        | PhF   | 632  | 4.9   | Dümmlers  | tut3@fhbb.ch |
| U4     | St2       | 04.07.03 | Tut5        | AVQ   | 621  | 5.0   | SwissTopo | tut5@fhbb.ch |
|        |           |          |             |       |      |       |           |              |

#### Answer:

| UnitID | StudentID | Date     | SubjectID | Room | Grade |
|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|------|-------|
| U1     | St1       | 23.02.03 | 1         | 629  | 4.7   |
| U2     | St1       | 18.11.02 | 2         | 631  | 5.1   |
| U1     | St4       | 23.02.03 | 1         | 629  | 4.3   |
| U5     | St2       | 05.05.03 | 3         | 632  | 4.9   |
| U4     | St2       | 04.07.03 | 4         | 621  | 5.0   |

| SubjectID | Subject  | TutID |
|-----------|----------|-------|
| 1         | Subject1 | Tut1  |
| 2         | Subject2 | Tut3  |
| 3         | Subject3 | Tut3  |
| 4         | Subject4 | Tut5  |

| Subject  | Book      | Topic |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Subject1 | Deumlich  | GMT   |
| Subject2 | Zehnder   | Gln   |
| Subject3 | Dummlers  | PhF   |
| Subject4 | SwissTopo | AVQ   |

| TutorID | TutEmail     |
|---------|--------------|
| Tut1    | Tut1@fhbb.ch |
| Tut3    | Tut3@fhbb.ch |
| Tut5    | Tut5@fhbb.ch |

Task 3. Given table in 1NF, convert to 2NF if PK is {ProjectName, ProjectManager}, use decomposition:

| ProjectName | ProjectManager | Position | Budget    | TeamSize |
|-------------|----------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Project1    | Manager1       | СТО      | 1 kk \$   | 15       |
| Project2    | Manager2       | CTO2     | 1.5 kk \$ | 12       |

# Answer:

| ProjectName | ProjectManager |
|-------------|----------------|
| Project1    | Manager1       |
| Project2    | Manager2       |

| ProjectName | Budget   | TeamSize |
|-------------|----------|----------|
| Project1    | 1 kk \$  | 15       |
| Project2    | 1.5 kk\$ | 12       |

| ProjectManager | Position |
|----------------|----------|
| Manager1       | СТО      |
| Manager2       | CTO2     |

Task 4. Given table, convert to 3NF if PK is Group, use decomposition:

Faculties have a number of specialities, each speciality consists of a set of particular groups.

| Group | Faculty | Specialty |
|-------|---------|-----------|
| g1    | f1      | s1        |

| g2 | f2 | s2 |
|----|----|----|
|    |    |    |

## Answer:

| Group | Specialty |
|-------|-----------|
| G1    | S1        |
| G2    | S2        |
| G3    | S1        |
| G4    | S3        |

| Specialty | Faculty |
|-----------|---------|
| S1        | F1      |
| S2        | F2      |
| S3        | F1      |

| Faculty | FacultyName |
|---------|-------------|
| F1      | FIT         |
| F2      | BS          |

Task 5. Given table, convert to BCNF if PK is {ProjectID, Department}, use decomposition:

Curator depends on projectID and related departments, teamSize directly relates to project and related departments, ProjectGroupsNumber depends on TeamSize.

| ProjectID | Department | Curator | TeamSize | ProjectGroupsNumber |
|-----------|------------|---------|----------|---------------------|
| p1        | d1         | e1      | 100      | 5                   |
| p2        | d2         | e2      | 120      | 6                   |

#### Answer:

| ProjectID | Curator | TeamSize |
|-----------|---------|----------|
| p1        | e1      | 100      |
| p2        | e2      | 120      |

| Curator | Department |
|---------|------------|
| e1      | d1         |
| e2      | d2         |

| TeamSize | ProjectGroupsNumber |
|----------|---------------------|
| 100      | 5                   |
| 120      | 6                   |

Task 6. List the three design goals for relational databases, and explain why each is desirable. Give an example of both desirable and undesirable types of decompositions.

#### Answer:

The three design goals are lossless-join decompositions, dependency preserving decompositions, and minimization of repetition of information.

Lossless-join decompositions is the ability to ensure that any instance of the original relation can be identified from corresponding instances in the smaller relations.

If decomposition is not dependency-preserving, some dependency is lost in the decomposition.

| information |  |
|-------------|--|
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |

Minimization of repetition of decomposition reduces unnecessary duplication of