Automation Frameworks

Features of Automation Framework

- Maximum code reusability
- Minimal maintenance effort for the scripts
- Provision for non-technical business users to interact with the framework, in terms of easily driving the test execution, manipulating test data, etc.
- Ease of script development must provide appropriate support libraries to handle common and repetitive framework tasks
- Test data externalization to avoid embedding test data within the scripts
- Robust error handling mechanism to capture any unexpected errors during the test run, without affecting the overall execution
- Detailed reporting mechanism to help in easy analysis of test execution results
- Integration with other tools as required for example, Sauce labs, Jenkins tools for Selenium

Types of automation frameworks

- Modular/Function Driven
- Data-driven
- Keyword-driven
- POM (Selenium)
- Test NG/Junit (Selenium)
- Hybrid

Modular/Function Driven

- •Involves **identifying reusable test steps** and encapsulating the same into functions in external libraries
- •The functions can be called from multiple scripts as required
- •Example/Exercise:
 - •How will you automate the following manual test cases using the modular approach? (A, B, C, etc. refer to the test steps)

| TC1 | TC2 |
|-----|-----|
| Α | С |
| В | D |
| С | E |
| D | F |
| E | G |

Modular/Function Driven

Steps involved:

- 1. Identify **repeated/common test steps** across the test cases.
- 2. Create **reusable functions** for the common steps identified.
- 3. Store the reusable functions into a **centralized library** for future reference.
- 4. These libraries are typically called **functional libraries**, because they are specific to the functionality of the AUT.
- 5. Create automated test scripts for each of the manual test cases.
- **6. Consume the reusable functions** as required within the test cases.

Modular/Function Driven

Functional libraries:

| Functions | Function Steps |
|-----------|----------------|
| CDE() | С |
| | D |
| | E |

Test scripts:

| TS1 | TS2 |
|-------|-------|
| Α | CDE() |
| В | F |
| CDE() | G |

Modular: Pros and Cons

Pros:

- »Promotes reusability of code
- »Reduces maintenance effort by minimizing duplication of rework effort

Cons:

- »Test data is hard coded within the scripts/functions
- »Does not provide features for non technical business users to interact with the framework

Data-driven: Overview

Involves **externalizing the test data** from the test automation tool (usually into an Excel sheet).

Example:

| E | F | G | Н | I | J |
|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Browser | BrowserVersion | Platform | URL | UserName | Password |
| Firefox | 11 | Win 7 | https://Testenviroment.com | XXXX1 | YYYYY1 |
| InternetExplorer | 32 | Win XP | https://Testenviroment.com | XXXX2 | YYYYY2 |
| InternetExplorer | 9 | Win 8 | https://Testenviroment.com | XXXX3 | YYYYY3 |
| Chrome | | | https://Testenviroment.com | XXXX4 | YYYYY4 |

Data-driven approach: Pros and Cons

Pros:

- »Avoids hard-coding of test data in the scripts
- »Non-technical users can easily change the test data using the Excel sheets, without modifying the scripts
- »The same script can easily be executed with multiple rows of test data

Cons:

»The end user should be careful to specify the data inputs in the format expected by the framework, otherwise, the results can be unexpected

Keyword Driven

In this approach, a keyword represents a single basic user action, like clicking on a button, typing text in a textbox, etc.

These keywords are categorized as keywords, and are also known as **action keywords**.

E.g.: ButtonClick, TypeText, etc.

Example:

| Object | Event | data |
|-------------|----------|-------|
| txtUsername | sendkeys | User1 |
| txtPassword | sendkeys | Pass1 |
| btnSumbit | Click | |

| TC# | TC Name | Execute ? |
|-----|---------|-----------|
| 1 | Login | Yes |
| 2 | Inbox | No |
| 3 | Submit | Yes |

Keyword Driven: Pros and Cons

Pros:

- »This approach is largely script-free, hence there is less dependency on automation experts.
- »Tests can be automated without any programming knowledge and are highly readable.

Cons:

»Initial efforts and time will be high for developing this framework

Hybrid Framework: Overview

- •Most automation frameworks today are Hybrid frameworks.
- •Combining the best practices from more than one approach.
- The aim is to avoid the disadvantages of individual approaches

Hybrid Framework

- •Modular + Data driven
- •Keyword driven + Data driven
- •POM+ Data driven + Test NG + Modular +Maven