

Algorithms

1) Sorting

Sorting :-

Insertion Sort

- * Incremental Approach.
- * in place sorting Algorithm.
- * stable

When to use:

if number of elements is small or the array is almost sorted. (only few elements are misplaced)

Worst case for Insertion sort:-

if you are trying to sort in ascending order but input is given as descending order.

⇒ Best
 $O(n)$

Average
 $O(n^2)$

Worst
 $O(n^2)$

Insertion-Sort(A)

for $j = 1$ to n

key = $A[j]$

//insert $A[j]$ into $A[0 \dots j-1]$

$i = j - 1$

while ($i > 0$ and $A[i] > \text{key}$)

$A[i+1] = A[i]$

$i = i - 1$

$A[i+1] = \text{key}$

$n = A.length$

Selection Sort

- * default implementation is however it can be made stable. not stable
- * in place.
- * Selection sort makes $O(n)$ swaps which is minimum.

⇒ Best Average Worst
 $O(n^2)$ $O(n^2)$ $O(n^2)$

- * Selection sort is not very efficient algorithm when data sets are large.

Merge Sort

```
void mergeSort(int array[], int const begin, int const end)
```

{

```
if (begin >= end)
```

```
    return; // Returns recursively
```

```
auto mid = begin + (end - begin) / 2;
```

```
mergeSort(array, begin, mid);
```

```
mergeSort(array, mid + 1, end);
```

```
merge(array, begin, mid, end);
```

}

Recurrance :-

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$$

Time Complexity

$$\Theta(n \log n)$$

Space Complexity

$$\Theta(n)$$

merge operation
of linked list
takes $\Theta(1)$
auxiliary space.

✳️ not in-place sorting ✳️ Divide & conquer



✳️ stable sorting



Drawbacks of Merge Sort:

- Slower compared to the other sort algorithms for smaller tasks.
- The merge sort algorithm requires an additional memory space of $\Theta(n)$ for the temporary array.
- It goes through the whole process even if the array is sorted.

Quick Sort

```
/* This function takes last element as pivot, places  
the pivot element at its correct position in sorted  
array, and places all smaller (smaller than pivot)  
to left of pivot and all greater elements to right  
of pivot */
```

```
int partition (int arr[], int low, int high)
```

```
{
```

```
    int pivot = arr[high]; // pivot
```

```
    int i = (low - 1); // Index of smaller element and indicates the right position of  
pivot found so far
```

```
    for (int j = low; j <= high - 1; j++)
```

```
{
```

```
    // If current element is smaller than the pivot
```

```
    if (arr[j] < pivot)
```

```
{
```

```
        i++; // increment index of smaller element  
        swap(&arr[i], &arr[j]);
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
swap(&arr[i + 1], &arr[high]);  
return (i + 1);
```

```
}
```

```

void quickSort(int arr[], int low, int high)
{
    if (low < high)
    {
        /* pi is partitioning index, arr[p] is now
         * at right place */

        int pi = partition(arr, low, high);

        // Separately sort elements before
        // partition and after partition

        quickSort(arr, low, pi - 1);

        quickSort(arr, pi + 1, high);
    }
}

```

Analysis:-

in general :

$$T(n) = T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n)$$

worst case

$$T(n) = T(0) + T(n-1) + \Theta(n)$$

$$= T(n-1) + \Theta(n)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \Theta(n^r)$$

occurs when the partition algorithm takes the greatest or smallest element as pivot.

Best Case

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$$

$$\hookrightarrow O(n \log n)$$

occurs when middle element is taken as pivot.

Average Case

$$T(n) = T(n/g) + T(gn/10) + \Theta(n)$$

$$\Theta(n^r)$$

- ④ default implementation is not stable.
- ④ in place
- ④ Good cache locality
(faster in virtual memory environment)

Heap Sort

- ✳ Comparison based sorting algorithm based on binary heap - (similar to selection sort)
inplace.
- ✳ Typical implementation is not stable.
- ✳ 2-3 times slower than well implemented quick sort. (lack of Locality of reference)

Time Complexity: $O(n \log n)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Radix Sort

- ⇒ digit by digit sort starting from the least significant digit to the most significant digit. It uses counting sort as a subroutine to sort.
- ⇒ non comparision based
- ⇒ not inplace sorting

Time Complexity

$$O((n+b)*d)$$

if k is max possible value then

$$d = \log_b k$$

size of input

$$O((n+b)*\log_b K)$$

