Economics 6511: Advanced Applied Econometrics

Lecture 5: Midterm Revision

CSU, East Bay

February 7, 2018

Consider the model of fertility from class that relates the general fertility rate (gfr), the number of children born to every 1,000 women of childbearing age, to the real dollar value of the personal tax exemption (pe). The data cover the years 1913 through 1984.

$$gfr_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 pe_t + \beta_2 pe_{t-1} + \beta_3 pe_{t-2} + u_t$$

Regression output from the above model and other specifications are included below.

- 1 Interpret the coefficient on pet.
- 2 What is your estimate of the long-run propensity (LRP) of the personal tax exemption? Explain how you could obtain a 95% confidence interval for this estimate.
- 3 The first order autocorrelations of *gfr* and *pe* are 0.977 and 0.964. What is the significance of this?
- 4 Write the Stata code for obtaining the first difference of the variable gfr.

5 Consider the following model in first differences:

$$\Delta \textit{gfr}_t = eta_0 + eta_1 \Delta \textit{pe}_t + eta_2 \Delta \textit{pe}_{t-1} + eta_3 \Delta \textit{pe}_{t-2} + \Delta \textit{u}_t$$

In the Stata output, $cgfr = \Delta gfr$ and $cpe = \Delta pe$. How do you interpret the estimate of β_3 ? What is your estimate of the LRP?

- Suppose you add two variables to the model in part (e): a dummy variable for World War 2 (ww2) and a dummy variable indicating whether the birth control pill is available (pill). Interpret the coefficients on these two variables.
- 7 Test the joint significance of ww2 and pill at the 5 percent level.
- 3 Suppose you add a linear time trend (t) in addition to ww2 and pill to the equation in (e). What happens to the coefficient on pill as compared with that in part (f)?
- Using the model from part (h), what is your estimate of the LRP? Compare this to your result from part (a). Would you say that the link between fertility and the value of the personal tax exemption is a particularly robust finding?

. reg gfr pe pe_1 pe_2

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs	
Model Residual	159.461148 25832.9717	3 66	53.153716 391.408663		F(3, 66) Prob > F R-squared	= 0.9383 = 0.0061
Total	25992.4329	69	376.701926		Adj R-squared Root MSE	= -0.0390 = 19.784
gfr	Coef.	Std. E	Err. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
pe pe_1 pe_2 _cons	0158445 0213365 .0539005 93.15791	.1402 .21522 .13811 4.4996	292 -0.10 132 0.39	0.910 0.921 0.698 0.000	2958747 4510555 2218513 84.17406	.2641856 .4083826 .3296524 102.1418

. reg cgfr cpe cpe_1 cpe_2

Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs		69
Model Residual	293.259859 968.199959	3 65		532864 895384		F(3, 65) Prob > F R-squared	=	6.56 0.0006 0.2325
Total	1261.45982	68	18.5	508797		Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	0.1971 3.8595
cgfr	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
cpe cpe_1 cpe_2 _cons	0362021 0139706 .1099896 9636787	.0267 .0275 .0268 .4677	539 797	-1.35 -0.51 4.09 -2.06	0.181 0.614 0.000 0.043	089673 0689997 .0563071 -1.89786	:	0172687 0410584 1636721 0294976

. reg cgfr cpe cpe_1 cpe_2 t

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs		69
Model Residual	294.856737 966.603082	4 64	73.7141842 15.1031732		F(4, 64) Prob > F R-squared	=	4.88 0.0017 0.2337
Total	1261.45982	68	18.5508797		Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	0.1859 3.8863
cgfr	Coef.	Std. 6	Err. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
cpe cpe_1 cpe_2 t _cons	0348352 0131442 .11109 .0078781 -1.267445	.02728 .02786 .02727 .02422	516 -0.47 773 4.07 282 0.33	0.639 0.000 0.746	0893445 0688042 .0565974 0405233 -3.357507	:	.019674 0425158 1655826 0562796 .822617

. reg cgfr cpe cpe_1 cpe_2 ww2 pill

Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs		69
Model Residual	372.894284 888.565534	5 63		788568 342148		F(5, 63) Prob > F R-squared	=	5.29 0.0004 0.2956 0.2397
Total	1261.45982	68	18.55	508797		Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	3.7556
cgfr	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
cpe cpe_1 cpe_2 ww2 pill _cons	0751636 0513865 .0882556 4.839225 -1.676145 6502546	.0323 .0331 .0279 2.831 1.004	.632 766 .973	-2.32 -1.55 3.15 1.71 -1.67 -1.12	0.023 0.126 0.002 0.092 0.100 0.268	1398232 1176579 .0323488 8200213 -3.684009 -1.81282	1	010504 0148848 1441624 0.49847 3317186 5123105

. reg cgfr cpe cpe_1 cpe_2 ww2 pill t

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs	= 69
-					F(6, 62)	= 5.79
Model	453.089851	6 7	75.5149751		Prob > F	= 0.0001
Residual	808.369968	62	13.0382253		R-squared	= 0.3592
					Adj R-squared	= 0.2972
Total	1261.45982	68	18.5508797		Root MSE	= 3.6108
cgfr	Coef.	Std. E	rr. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
сре	0618201	.031571	17 –1.96	0.055	124931	.0012908
cpe_1	039124	.032266	64 -1.21	0.230	1036236	.0253757
cpe_2	.0951653	.027042	25 3.52	0.001	.0411081	.1492225
ww2	3.250812	2.79716	52 1.16	0.250	-2.340636	8.84226
pill	-4.888069	1.61576	96 -3.03	0.004	-8.117819	-1.658319
t	.0944006	.038063	35 2.48	0.016	.0183127	.1704884
_cons	-3.141163	1.14961	18 –2.73	0.008	-5.439216	8431097
	1					

Consider a model of earnings:

 $\log(wage_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ_i + \beta_2 union_i + \beta_3 black_i + \beta_4 hisp_i + \beta_5 poorhlth_i + u_i$ where $educ_i$ is in years, union is a dummy equal to 1 if i is part of a union, hisp is a dummy equal to 1 if person is hispanic, and poorhlth is a dummy equal to 1 if person is in poor health. The data are a cross-section of males from 1980. Estimates of this model and others are provided below.

- 1 What is the interpretation of $\hat{\beta}_1$?
- 2 Does the sign of the coefficient on *poorhlth* make sense? Explain.
- 3 Suppose you collected data on the *same* individuals for 1981 to 1987. Consider an unobserved effects model that allows you test whether the returns to education have changed over time:

$$\begin{split} \log(\textit{wage}_{\textit{it}}) = & \beta_0 + \delta_1 \textit{d81}_t + \ldots + \delta_7 \textit{d87}_t + \beta_1 \textit{educ}_i \\ & + \gamma_1 \textit{d81}_t \cdot \textit{educ}_i + \ldots + \gamma_7 \textit{d87}_t \cdot \textit{educ}_i + \beta_2 \textit{union}_{\textit{it}} \\ & + \beta_3 \textit{black}_i + \beta_4 \textit{hisp}_i + \beta_5 \textit{poorhlth}_{\textit{it}} + \textit{a}_i + \textit{u}_{\textit{it}} \end{split}$$

where $d81_t$ is a dummy variable for the year 1981. What factors are likely to be contained in a_i ? Choose one factor and explain how it might bias the coefficient on one of the variables included in the model.

- 5 How might you control for the unobserved effect, a_i ?
- 6 Suppose you estimate the first-differenced equation. Which parameters can you not estimate using first differencing and why?
- 7 Estimates of the first-differenced equation are provided below (where "c" before a variable denotes the change in the variable). Formally test the null hypothesis (at the 5 percent level) that the return to education has not changed over time.
- B Why is the coefficient on $\Delta union$ in the first-differenced model (probably) much smaller than the coefficient on *union* from the model in part (a)?
- 9 The same model is estimated using the appendange "cluster(nr)" where nr identifies the respondent. What does the "cluster" command allow for? What happens to the statistical significance of Δunion with clustering?
- **III** Explain in words how you would test for serial correlation in the error term using estimates from the first-differenced model.

. reg lwage educ union black hisp poorhlth if year==1980

Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs		545
Model Residual	12.9889096 156.090184	5 539		778192 592177		F(5, 539) Prob > F R-squared	=	8.97 0.0000 0.0768
Total	169.079093	544	.310	807157		Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	0.0683 .53814
lwage	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
educ union black hisp poorhlth _cons	.0599163 .2647581 0800924 .0028126 .1697316 .6269718	.0135 .0535 .0736 .0659 .1578	832 824 805 938	4.43 4.94 -1.09 0.04 1.07 3.80	0.000 0.000 0.278 0.966 0.283 0.000	.0333339 .1595006 2248322 1267977 1404311 .3025963		0864987 3700155 0646474 .132423 4798943 9513473

Source

. reg clwage cd81-cd87 cd81_educ-cd87_educ cunion cpoorhlth, nocons

SS df MS

Number of obs =

3815

Model Residual	20.9921267 747.620874	16 3799		200792 794123		F(16, 3799) Prob > F R-squared	=	6.67 0.0000 0.0273
Total	768.613001	3815	.201	471298		Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	0.0232 .44361
clwage	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
cd81	0238769	. 129	5078	-0.18	0.854	2777884		2300346
cd82	0132354	. 183	1374	-0.07	0.942	3722925		3458217
cd83	.0126088	.2242	2485	0.06	0.955	4270503		4522678
cd84	.09034	. 2589	9367	0.35	0.727	4173282		5980083
cd85	.0416911	. 289	5167	0.14	0.886	5259319		6093142
cd86	.0589789	.317	1537	0.19	0.852	562829		6807868
cd87	.0919261	. 342	5436	0.27	0.788	5796609		7635132
cd81_educ	.0121732	.0108	8868	1.12	0.264	0091713		0335177
cd82_educ	.0162321	.015	3951	1.05	0.292	0139513		0464155
cd83_educ	.0181018	.018	8851	0.96	0.337	0188574		0550609
cd84_educ	.0175557	.021	7671	0.81	0.420	0251205		.060232
cd85_educ	.0258999	.024	3371	1.06	0.287	0218152		.073615
cd86_educ	.0296088	.026	6602	1.11	0.267	0226609		0818785
cd87_educ	.0323049	.0287	7952	1.12	0.262	0241508		0887605
cunion	.0406563	.019	7451	2.06	0.040	.0019443		0793683
cpoorhlth	0543165	.0429	9605	-1.26	0.206	1385444		0299114

. reg clwage cd81-cd87 cd81_educ-cd87_educ cunion cpoorhlth, nocons cluster(nr)

Linear regression

Number of obs = 3815 F(16, 544) = 27.04 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0273 Root MSE = .44361

(Std. Err. adjusted for 545 clusters in nr)

clwage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
cd81	0238769	.1438893	-0.17	0.868	3065236	. 2587697
cd82	0132354	.1394727	-0.09	0.924	2872064	.2607356
cd83	.0126088	.1539559	0.08	0.935	2898121	.3150296
cd84	.09034	.1590508	0.57	0.570	2220889	.4027689
cd85	.0416911	.1567712	0.27	0.790	2662598	.3496421
cd86	.0589789	.170808	0.35	0.730	276545	.3945029
cd87	.0919261	.157112	0.59	0.559	2166944	. 4005467
cd81_educ	.0121732	.0121676	1.00	0.318	011728	.0360745
cd82_educ	.0162321	.011819	1.37	0.170	0069843	.0394485
cd83_educ	.0181018	.0130632	1.39	0.166	0075587	.0437622
cd84_educ	.0175557	.0138506	1.27	0.206	0096515	.0447629
cd85_educ	. 0258999	.0135214	1.92	0.056	0006607	.0524605
cd86_educ	.0296088	.0147493	2.01	0.045	.0006361	.0585814
cd87_educ	.0323049	.0135425	2.39	0.017	.0057029	.0589068
cunion	. 0406563	.0220915	1.84	0.066	0027388	.0840514
cpoorhlth	0543165	.055394	-0.98	0.327	1631287	.0544958

. reg clwage cd81-cd87 cunion cpoorhlth, nocons

	Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs		3815
	Model Residual	20.5619774 748.051023	9 3806		3466416 5545198		F(9, 3806) Prob > F R-squared	=	11.62 0.0000 0.0268
-	T-4-1	760 617001	2015	201	471200		Adj R-squared		0.0245
	Total	768.613001	3815	. 201	1471298		Root MSE	=	. 44333
	clwage	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
	cd81	.119368	.0189	9906	6.29	0.000	.0821354		1566007
	cd82	.1777662	.0268	3571	6.62	0.000	.1251105		2304218
	cd83	.2256218	. 032	2894	6.86	0.000	.1611304		2901133
	cd84	.2969162	.0379	808	7.82	0.000	.2224515		3713808
	cd85	.3464835	.04	1247	8.16	0.000	.2632174		4297496
	cd86	.4074214	.0465	5253	8.76	0.000	.3162044		4986383
	cd87	.4720564	. 0502	2457	9.39	0.000	.3735453		5705675
	cunion	.0413709	.0197	7167	2.10	0.036	.0027145		0800273
	cpoorhlth	0534563	.0429	9039	-1.25	0.213	1375731		0306606

Next week

■ Valentine's Day...and a midterm!