# **ECON 6511: Econometrics**

# Practice Final Exam

Points: 70, Time: 210 minutes

#### Instructions

- Use 3 or more decimal places unless otherwise stated
- No notes or cellphones

#### Section A: Multiple-choice (15 points)

- 1. If an explanatory variable is strictly exogenous it implies that:
  - (a) changes in the lag of the variable do not affect future values of the dependent variable.
  - (b) the variable is correlated with the error term in all future time periods.
  - (c) the variable cannot react to what has happened to the dependent variable in the past.
  - (d) the explanatory variable is not contemporaneously exogenous.
- 2. A covariance stationary time series is weakly dependent if:
  - (a) the correlation between the independent variable at time t and the dependent variable at time t + h goes to  $\infty$  as  $h \to 0$ .
  - (b) the correlation between the independent variable at time t and the dependent variable at time t + h goes to 0 as  $h \to \infty$ .
  - (c) the correlation between the independent variable at time t and the independent variable at time t + h goes to  $\infty$  as  $h \to 0$ .
  - (d) the correlation between the independent variable at time t and the independent variable at time t + h goes to 0 as  $h \to \infty$ .
- 3. A pooled OLS estimator that is based on the time-demeaned variables is called the ...
  - (a) random effects estimator
  - (b) fixed effects estimator
  - (c) least absolute deviations estimator
  - (d) instrumental variable estimator
- 4. What should be the degrees of freedom (df) for fixed effects estimation if the data set includes N cross sectional units over T time periods and the regression model has k independent variables?

- (a) N kT
- (b) NT k
- (c) NT N k
- (d) N T k
- 5. Which of the following is a property of dummy variable regression?
  - (a) This method is best suited for panel data sets with many cross-sectional observations.
  - (b) The R-squared obtained from this method is lower than that obtained from regression on time-demeaned data.
  - (c) The degrees of freedom cannot be computed directly with this method.
  - (d) The major statistics obtained from this method are identical to that obtained from regression on time-demeaned data.
- 6. The estimator obtained through regression on quasi-demeaned data is called the ....
  - (a) random effects estimator
  - (b) fixed effects estimator
  - (c) hetroskedasticity-robust OLS estimator
  - (d) instrumental variables estimator
- 7. An economist wants to study the effect of income on savings. He collected data on 120 identical twins. Which of the following methods of estimation is the most suitable method, if income is correlated with the unobserved family effect?
  - (a) Random effects estimation
  - (b) Fixed effects estimation
  - (c) Ordinary least squares estimation
  - (d) Weighted Least squares estimation
- 8. Consider the following simple regression model:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + u$ . In order to obtain consistent estimators of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , when x and u are correlated, a new variable z is introduced into the model which satisfies the following two conditions:  $Cov(z, x) \neq 0$  and Cov(z, u) = 0. The variable z is called a(n) ... variable.
  - (a) dummy
  - (b) instrumental
  - (c) lagged dependent variable

- (d) random
- 9. Consider the following simple regression model  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + u$ . Suppose z is an instrument for x. if Cov(z, u) = 0 and  $Cov(z, x) \neq 0$ , the value of  $\beta_1$  in terms of population covariances is . . . .
  - (a) (Cov(z,y))/(Cov(z,x))
  - (b) (Cov(z, u))/(Cov(z, x))
  - (c) Cov(z, u)
  - (d) Cov(z, x)
- 10. The sampling variance for the instrumental variables (IV) estimator is larger than the variance for the ordinary least square estimators (OLS) because . . . .
  - (a)  $R^2 > 1$
  - (b)  $R^2 < 0$
  - (c)  $R^2 = 1$
  - (d)  $R^2 \le 1$
- 11. Consider the following simple regression model  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + u$ . The variable z is a poor instrument for x if . . . .
  - (a) there is a high correlation between z and x
  - (b) there is a low correlation between z and x
  - (c) there is a high correlation between z and u
  - (d) there is a low correlation between z and u
- 12. Which of the following correctly identifies a characteristic of structural equations?
  - (a) A structural equation should contain equal number of dependent and independent variables
  - (b) A structural equation should contain equal number of endogenous and exogenous variables.
  - (c) A structural equation should have a behavioral, ceteris paribus interpretation on its own.
  - (d) A structural equation should not contain structural errors.
- 13. The model: G(z) = [exp(z)]/[1+exp(z)], where G is between zero and one for all real numbers z, represents a:
  - (a) logit model.

- (b) probit model.
- (c) Tobit model.
- (d) linear probability model.
- 14. The model:  $G(z) = \int_{\infty}^{z} \phi(v) dv$  where  $\phi(z)$  denotes the standard normal pdf represents a:
  - (a) Tobit model.
  - (b) logit model.
  - (c) probit model.
  - (d) linear probability model.
- 15. A count variable refers to a dependent variable that can take on:
  - (a) nonnegative integer values.
  - (b) nonnegative fractional values.
  - (c) negative fractional values.
  - (d) negative integer values.

# Section B: Written Answer (55 points)

1. (15 points) Consider the following model of scores on a standardized math exam in 550 districts between 1993 and 1998:

$$math4_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(rexpp_{it}) + \beta_2 \log(rexpp_{i,t-1}) + \beta_3 \log(enrol_{it}) + \beta_4 lunch + \beta_5 y + \dots + \beta_9 y$$

where math4 is the percentage of fourth graders in a district receiving a passing score on a standardized math test, rexpp is the value of real expenditures per student in the district, enrol is total district enrollment, lunch is the percentage of students eligible for the school lunch program (which is determined by poverty status), and y94 is a dummy variable for 1994 (1993 is the excluded year). Results by pooled OLS, random effects, and fixed effects are given below.

- (a) Using pooled OLS, what is the interpretation of the 1995 dummy variable?
- (b) Using pooled OLS, what is the interpretation of the coefficient on  $\log(rexpp_{it})$ ?
- (c) Using pooled OLS, what is the interpretation of the coefficient on lunch?
- (d) Suppose the residuals from estimating the pooled OLS regression,  $\hat{v}_{it}$  were regressed on the lag of these residuals,  $\hat{v}_{i,t-1}$ . If the coefficient on  $\hat{v}_{i,t-1}$  is  $\hat{\rho} = 0.504$  and the standard error is se = 0.017, what does this imply?
- (e) Based on your answer to the above question, are the standard errors for the pooled OLS estimates likely to be valid? Explain.
- (f) List one factor that might be contained in  $a_i$  and explain how it might be correlated with lunch.
- (g) What happens to the coefficient on *lunch* when the model is estimated using fixed effects? Explain.
- (h) Suppose you estimated the model using OLS but included dummy variables for each district as opposed to time demeaning or quasi demeaning the data. What would you expect to get for your estimate of  $\beta_4$ ?
- (i) What is your estimate of the long-run spending effect  $\theta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$  using the fixed effects model? Explain how you would estimate its standard error?

$$math4_{it} = \beta_0 + \theta \log(rexpp_{it}) + \beta_2(\log(rexpp_{i,t-1}) - \log(rexpp_{it}))$$
$$+ \beta_3 \log(enrol_{it}) + \beta_4 lunch + \beta_5 y + \dots + \beta_9 y + \dots + \beta_$$

(j) Suppose that you estimated the model using random effects and the quasi-demeaning parameter is 0.58. What does this imply?

	Pooled OLS	Fixed Effects	Random Effects
$-\log(\text{rexpp})$	0.534	-0.411	0.382
108(1011PP)	(2.428)	(2.458)	(2.060)
$\log(\text{rexpp})_{-1}$	9.049**	7.003**	7.806**
	(2.305)	(2.369)	(1.925)
$\log(\text{enrol})$	0.593**	0.245	0.787*
	(0.205)	(1.100)	(0.347)
lunch	-0.407**	0.062	-0.334**
	(0.014)	(0.051)	(0.023)
y94	6.377**	6.177**	6.357**
	(0.736)	(0.560)	(0.560)
y95	18.650**	18.093**	18.642**
	(0.786)	(0.691)	(0.630)
y96	18.033**	17.940**	18.204**
	(0.767)	(0.757)	(0.651)
y97	15.340**	15.192**	15.518**
	(0.777)	(0.799)	(0.673)
y98	30.398**	29.883**	30.543**
	(0.783)	(0.837)	(0.691)
Constant	-31.662**	-16.081	-23.224
	(10.301)	(23.807)	(14.752)
Observations	3300	3300	3300
$R^2$	0.505	0.603	

Standard errors in parentheses

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01

2. (15 points) Consider the following model of female labor force participation:

$$inlf_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ_i + \beta_3 exper_i + \beta_4 exper_i^2 + \beta_5 age_i + u_i$$

where inlf is an indicator denoting whether i is in the labor force. Linear probability model, probit, and logit results are given below.

- (a) Would it make sense to estimate this model using a poisson regression as well? Explain.
- (b) Interpret the estimated coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_1$  using the LPM results.
- (c) Using the LPM estimates, what is the predicted probability of a 35 year-old woman being in labor force for which educ = 12 and exper = 10?
- (d) Why are the logit estimates larger than the probit estimates?
- (e) Explain how you would test whether the variables exper and  $exper^2$  are jointly significant in the logit model?
- (f) Explain how you would calculate the same predicted probability from part (c) using the logit estimates.
- (g) Explain how you would calculate the marginal effect at the average for *educ* (<u>not</u> the average marginal effect) for either the logit or probit model.

	LPM	Probit	Logit
educ	0.028**	0.086**	0.144**
	(0.007)	(0.022)	(0.038)
exper	0.045**	0.129**	0.211**
	(0.006)	(0.018)	(0.031)
$exper^2$	-0.001**	-0.002**	-0.003**
	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
age	-0.011**	-0.032**	-0.052**
	(0.002)	(0.007)	(0.011)
Constant	0.327*	-0.521	-0.882
	(0.137)	(0.413)	(0.686)
Observations	753	753	753
$R^2$	0.194		
log-likelihood		-401.30219	-401.76515
~			

Standard errors in parentheses

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01

3. (15 points) A common method for estimating Engel curves is to model expenditure shares as a function of total expenditure, and possibly demographic variables. An Engel curve describes how household expenditure on a particular good or service varies with household income. A common specification has the form

$$sgood = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ltotexpend + demographics + u,$$

where sgood is the fraction of spending on a particular good out of total expenditure and ltotexpend is the log of total expenditure. The sign and magnitude of  $\beta_1$  are of interest across various expenditure categories. To account for the potential endogeneity of ltotexpend – which can be viewed as an omitted variables or simultaneous equations problem, or both – the log of family income is often used an an instrumental variable. Let lincome denote the log of family income. Various estimates are provided below.

- (a) In the first model, sfood, the share of spending (0 to 1) on food, is used as the dependent variable. Would you expect there to be many zeros for sfood?
- (b) Interpret the estimated coefficient on log(totexpend) from the first model.
- (c) Why might log(totexpend) be endogenous? Suggest one possibility.
- (d) What evidence is there that  $\log(income)$  is a valid IV for  $\log(totexpend)$ ? Explain.
- (e) What else do we require for log(income) to be an instrument? Can this be tested also?
- (f) How does the IV estimate of  $\beta_1$  compare to the OLS estimate? Is it surprising that the coefficient's standard error is larger?
- (g) v are the residuals from the model estimated in column 2. Test the null hypothesis that ltotexpend is exogenous.

	OLS	OLS	IV	OLS
	sfood	$\log(totexpend)$	sfood	sfood
$\overline{\log(totexpend)}$	-0.146**		-0.160**	-0.160**
	(0.006)		(0.013)	(0.013)
age	0.002**	0.005**	0.002**	0.002**
U	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.000)
kids	0.034**	0.064**	0.035**	0.035**
	(0.005)	(0.018)	(0.005)	(0.005)
$\log(income)$		0.478**		
3( )		(0.024)		
v				0.018
				(0.015)
Constant	0.896**	1.922**	0.952**	0.952**
	(0.027)	(0.114)	(0.054)	(0.054)
Observations	1519	1519	1519	1519
$R^2$	0.286	0.256	0.284	0.287

Standard errors in parentheses

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01

4. (10 points) Suppose you were interested in analyzing the impact of big-box retailers such as Walmart on the welfare of smaller mom and pops stores. Supposing your only datasource was a series of phonebooks for different counties and different years. Explain how you might test whether mom and pops stores are more likely to exit if a Walmart store opens up in the same county. Provide a possible regression equation and discuss any problems you will encounter (perhaps with potential solutions?) in carrying out this research project.

# Formulae

- For simple regression model:  $\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \overline{x})(y_i \overline{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \overline{x})^2}$
- Estimated slope parameter when regression equation passes through origin:  $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}$
- $SSR = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_i^2$
- $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i \overline{y})^2$
- $SST_j = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ij} \overline{x}_j)^2$
- $R^2 = \frac{SSE}{SST} = 1 \frac{SSR}{SST}$
- For simple regression model:  $se(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i \overline{x})^2}}$
- $\widehat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{SST_j(1-R_j^2)}$
- t statistic:  $t_{\hat{\beta}_j} \equiv \frac{\hat{\beta}_j a_j}{se(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim t_{n-k-1} = t_{df}$
- 95% confidence interval:  $P(\hat{\beta}_j c_{0.05} \cdot se(\hat{\beta}_j) \le \beta_j \le \hat{\beta}_j + c_{0.05} \cdot se(\hat{\beta}_j)) = 0.95$
- F statistic =  $\frac{(SSR_r SSR_{ur})/q}{SSR_{ur}/(n-k-1)}$
- $R^2$  form of F statistic =  $\frac{(R_{ur}^2 R_r^2)/q}{(1 R_{ur}^2)/(n k 1)}$
- $\overline{R}^2 = 1 \frac{SSR/(n-k-1)}{SST/(n-1)}$
- Chow test statistic:  $F = \frac{[SSR_P (SSR_1 + SSR_2)]/(k+1)}{(SSR_1 + SSR_2)/[n-2(k+1)]}$

Cumulative	Areas under	the Standa	ard Normal	Distribution
Cumulative	Aleas unuel	tile Stallud	aru iyoninar	DISHIDUHUH

z	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
-3.0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0012	0.0012	0.0011	0.0011	0.0011	0.0010	0.0010
-2.9	0.0019	0.0018	0.0018	0.0017	0.0016	0.0016	0.0015	0.0015	0.0014	0.0014
-2.8	0.0026	0.0025	0.0024	0.0023	0.0023	0.0022	0.0021	0.0021	0.0020	0.0019
-2.7	0.0035	0.0034	0.0033	0.0032	0.0031	0.0030	0.0029	0.0028	0.0027	0.0026
-2.6	0.0047	0.0045	0.0044	0.0043	0.0041	0.0040	0.0039	0.0038	0.0037	0.0036
-2.5	0.0062	0.0060	0.0059	0.0057	0.0055	0.0054	0.0052	0.0051	0.0049	0.0048
-2.4	0.0082	0.0080	0.0078	0.0075	0.0073	0.0071	0.0069	0.0068	0.0066	0.0064
-2.3	0.0107	0.0104	0.0102	0.0099	0.0096	0.0094	0.0091	0.0089	0.0087	0.0084
-2.2	0.0139	0.0136	0.0132	0.0129	0.0125	0.0122	0.0119	0.0116	0.0113	0.0110
-2.1	0.0179	0.0174	0.0170	0.0166	0.0162	0.0158	0.0154	0.0150	0.0146	0.0143
-2.0	0.0228	0.0222	0.0217	0.0212	0.0207	0.0202	0.0197	0.0192	0.0188	0.0183
-1.9	0.0287	0.0281	0.0274	0.0268	0.0262	0.0256	0.0250	0.0244	0.0239	0.0233
-1.8	0.0359	0.0351	0.0344	0.0336	0.0329	0.0322	0.0314	0.0307	0.0301	0.0294
-1.7	0.0446	0.0436	0.0427	0.0418	0.0409	0.0401	0.0392	0.0384	0.0375	0.0367
-1.6	0.0548	0.0537	0.0526	0.0516	0.0505	0.0495	0.0485	0.0475	0.0465	0.0455
-1.5	0.0668	0.0655	0.0643	0.0630	0.0618	0.0606	0.0594	0.0582	0.0571	0.0559
-1.4	0.0808	0.0793	0.0778	0.0764	0.0749	0.0735	0.0721	0.0708	0.0694	0.0681
-1.3	0.0968	0.0951	0.0934	0.0918	0.0901	0.0885	0.0869	0.0853	0.0838	0.0823
-1.2	0.1151	0.1131	0.1112	0.1093	0.1075	0.1056	0.1038	0.1020	0.1003	0.0985
-1.1	0.1357	0.1335	0.1314	0.1292	0.1271	0.1251	0.1230	0.1210	0.1190	0.1170
-1.0	0.1587	0.1562	0.1539	0.1515	0.1492	0.1469	0.1446	0.1423	0.1401	0.1379
-0.9	0.1841	0.1814	0.1788	0.1762	0.1736	0.1711	0.1685	0.1660	0.1635	0.1611
-0.8	0.2119	0.2090	0.2061	0.2033	0.2005	0.1977	0.1949	0.1922	0.1894	0.1867
-0.7	0.2420	0.2389	0.2358	0.2327	0.2296	0.2266	0.2236	0.2206	0.2177	0.2148

(continued)

z	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
-0.6	0.2743	0.2709	0.2676	0.2643	0.2611	0.2578	0.2546	0.2514	0.2483	0.2451
-0.5	0.3085	0.3050	0.3015	0.2981	0.2946	0.2912	0.2877	0.2843	0.2810	0.2776
-0.4	0.3446	0.3409	0.3372	0.3336	0.3300	0.3264	0.3228	0.3192	0.3156	0.3121
-0.3	0.3821	0.3783	0.3745	0.3707	0.3669	0.3632	0.3594	0.3557	0.3520	0.3483
-0.2	0.4207	0.4168	0.4129	0.4090	0.4052	0.4013	0.3974	0.3936	0.3897	0.3859
-0.1	0.4602	0.4562	0.4522	0.4483	0.4443	0.4404	0.4364	0.4325	0.4286	0.4247
-0.0	0.5000	0.4960	0.4920	0.4880	0.4840	0.4801	0.4761	0.4721	0.4681	0.4641
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5832	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6664	0.6700	0.6736	0.6772	0.6808	0.6844	0.6879
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7422	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
0.8	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8413	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9554	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713		0.9726			0.9744			0.9761	
2.0			0.9783							
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2			0.9868						0.9887	
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
	0.9918									
	0.9938									
	0.9953								0.9963	
2.7	0.9965								0.9973	
2.8			0.9976						0.9980	
	0.9981									
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990

Examples: If  $Z \sim Normal(0,1)$ , then  $P(Z \le -1.32) = .0934$  and  $P(Z \le 1.84) = .9671$ .

Source: This table was generated using the Stata® function normprob.

Critical Values of the t Distribution

	Significance Level									
	Tailed:	.10 .20	.05 .10	.025 .05	.01 .02	.005 .01				
	1 2 3 4 5	3.078 1.886 1.638 1.533 1.476	6.314 2.920 2.353 2.132 2.015	12.706 4.303 3.182 2.776 2.571	31.821 6.965 4.541 3.747 3.365	63.657 9.925 5.841 4.604 4.032 3.707				
D e g r	7 8 9 10	1.415 1.397 1.383 1.372	1.895 1.860 1.833 1.812	2.365 2.306 2.262 2.228	2.998 2.896 2.821 2.764	3.499 3.355 3.250 3.169				
e e s	11 12 13 14 15	1.363 1.356 1.350 1.345 1.341	1.796 1.782 1.771 1.761 1.753	2.201 2.179 2.160 2.145 2.131	2.718 2.681 2.650 2.624 2.602	3.106 3.055 3.012 2.977 2.947				
o f F r e	16 17 18 19 20	1.337 1.333 1.330 1.328 1.325	1.746 1.740 1.734 1.729 1.725	2.120 2.110 2.101 2.093 2.086	2.583 2.567 2.552 2.539 2.528	2.921 2.898 2.878 2.861 2.845				
e d o m	21 22 23 24 25	1.323 1.321 1.319 1.318 1.316	1.721 1.717 1.714 1.711 1.708	2.080 2.074 2.069 2.064 2.060	2.518 2.508 2.500 2.492 2.485	2.831 2.819 2.807 2.797 2.787				
	26 27 28 29 30	1.315 1.314 1.313 1.311 1.310	1.706 1.703 1.701 1.699 1.697	2.056 2.052 2.048 2.045 2.042	2.479 2.473 2.467 2.462 2.457	2.779 2.771 2.763 2.756 2.750				
	40 60 90 120 ∞	1.303 1.296 1.291 1.289 1.282	1.684 1.671 1.662 1.658 1.645	2.021 2.000 1.987 1.980 1.960	2.423 2.390 2.368 2.358 2.326	2.704 2.660 2.632 2.617 2.576				

Examples: The 1% critical value for a one-tailed test with 25 df is 2.485. The 5% critical value for a two-tailed test with large (> 120) df is 1.96.

Source: This table was generated using the Stata® function invttail.

					$d_1$				
$d_2$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	161.4	199.5	215.7	224.6	230.2	234.0	236.8	238.9	240.5
2	18.51	19.00	19.16	19.25	19.3	19.33	19.35	19.37	19.38
3	10.13	9.55	9.28	9.12	9.01	8.94	8.89	8.85	8.81
4	7.71	6.94	6.59	6.39	6.26	6.16	6.09	6.04	6.00
5	6.61	5.79	5.41	5.19	5.05	4.95	4.88	4.82	4.77
6	5.99	5.14	4.76	4.53	4.39	4.28	4.21	4.15	4.10
7	5.59	4.74	4.35	4.12	3.97	3.87	3.79	3.73	3.68
8	5.32	4.46	4.07	3.84	3.69	3.58	3.50	3.44	3.39
9	5.12	4.26	3.86	3.63	3.48	3.37	3.29	3.23	3.18
10	4.96	4.10	3.71	3.48	3.33	3.22	3.14	3.07	3.02
11	4.84	3.98	3.59	3.36	3.20	3.09	3.01	2.95	2.90
12	4.75	3.89	3.49	3.26	3.11	3.00	2.91	2.85	2.80
13	4.67	3.81	3.41	3.18	3.03	2.92	2.83	2.77	2.71
14	4.60	3.74	3.34	3.11	2.96	2.85	2.76	2.70	2.65
15	4.54	3.68	3.29	3.06	2.90	2.79	2.71	2.64	2.59
16	4.49	3.63	3.24	3.01	2.85	2.74	2.66	2.59	2.54
17	4.45	3.59	3.20	2.96	2.81	2.70	2.61	2.55	2.49
18	4.41	3.55	3.16	2.93	2.77	2.66	2.58	2.51	2.46
19	4.38	3.52	3.13	2.90	2.74	2.63	2.54	2.48	2.42
20	4.35	3.49	3.10	2.87	2.71	2.60	2.51	2.45	2.39
21	4.32	3.47	3.07	2.84	2.68	2.57	2.49	2.42	2.37
22	4.30	3.44	3.05	2.82	2.66	2.55	2.46	2.40	2.34
23	4.28	3.42	3.03	2.80	2.64	2.53	2.44	2.37	2.32
$^{24}$	4.26	3.40	3.01	2.78	2.62	2.51	2.42	2.36	2.30
25	4.24	3.39	2.99	2.76	2.60	2.49	2.40	2.34	2.28
26	4.23	3.37	2.98	2.74	2.59	2.47	2.39	2.32	2.27
$^{27}$	4.21	3.35	2.96	2.73	2.57	2.46	2.37	2.31	2.25
28	4.20	3.34	2.95	2.71	2.56	2.45	2.36	2.29	2.24
29	4.18	3.33	2.93	2.70	2.55	2.43	2.35	2.28	2.22
30	4.17	3.32	2.92	2.69	2.53	2.42	2.33	2.27	2.21
40	4.08	3.23	2.84	2.61	2.45	2.34	2.25	2.18	2.12
60	4.00	3.15	2.76	2.53	2.37	2.25	2.17	2.10	2.04
120	3.92	3.07	2.68	2.45	2.29	2.17	2.09	2.02	1.96
$\inf$	3.84	3.00	2.60	2.37	2.21	2.10	2.01	1.94	1.88

# Percentage Points of the Chi-Square Distribution

Degrees of	Probability of a larger value of x <sup>2</sup>										
Freedom	0.99	0.95	0.90	0.75	0.50	0.25	0.10	0.05	0.01		
1	0.000	0.004	0.016	0.102	0.455	1.32	2.71	3.84	6.63		
2	0.020	0.103	0.211	0.575	1.386	2.77	4.61	5.99	9.21		
3	0.115	0.352	0.584	1.212	2.366	4.11	6.25	7.81	11.34		
4	0.297	0.711	1.064	1.923	3.357	5.39	7.78	9.49	13.28		
5	0.554	1.145	1.610	2.675	4.351	6.63	9.24	11.07	15.09		
6	0.872	1.635	2.204	3.455	5.348	7.84	10.64	12.59	16.81		
7	1.239	2.167	2.833	4.255	6.346	9.04	12.02	14.07	18.48		
8	1.647	2.733	3.490	5.071	7.344	10.22	13.36	15.51	20.09		
9	2.088	3.325	4.168	5.899	8.343	11.39	14.68	16.92	21.67		
10	2.558	3.940	4.865	6.737	9.342	12.55	15.99	18.31	23.21		
11	3.053	4.575	5.578	7.584	10.341	13.70	17.28	19.68	24.72		
12	3.571	5.226	6.304	8.438	11.340	14.85	18.55	21.03	26.22		
13	4.107	5.892	7.042	9.299	12.340	15.98	19.81	22.36	27.69		
14	4.660	6.571	7.790	10.165	13.339	17.12	21.06	23.68	29.14		
15	5.229	7.261	8.547	11.037	14.339	18.25	22.31	25.00	30.58		
16	5.812	7.962	9.312	11.912	15.338	19.37	23.54	26.30	32.00		
17	6.408	8.672	10.085	12.792	16.338	20.49	24.77	27.59	33.41		
18	7.015	9.390	10.865	13.675	17.338	21.60	25.99	28.87	34.80		
19	7.633	10.117	11.651	14.562	18.338	22.72	27.20	30.14	36.19		
20	8.260	10.851	12.443	15.452	19.337	23.83	28.41	31.41	37.57		
22	9.542	12.338	14.041	17.240	21.337	26.04	30.81	33.92	40.29		
24	10.856	13.848	15.659	19.037	23.337	28.24	33.20	36.42	42.98		
26	12.198	15.379	17.292	20.843	25.336	30.43	35.56	38.89	45.64		
28	13.565	16.928	18.939	22.657	27.336	32.62	37.92	41.34	48.28		
30	14.953	18.493	20.599	24.478	29.336	34.80	40.26	43.77	50.89		
40	22.164	26.509	29.051	33.660	39.335	45.62	51.80	55.76	63.69		
50	27.707	34.764	37.689	42.942	49.335	56.33	63.17	67.50	76.15		
60	37.485	43.188	46.459	52.294	59.335	66.98	74.40	79.08	88.38		