# ON JUSTIFICATION OF AMBARTSUMIAN'S PLATE THEORY VIA Γ-CONVERGENCE

# Avetisyan A.S., Khurshudyan As.Zh.

**Keywords:** local minimizers, existence, direct method, calculus of variations, von Kármán plate theory

**Ключевые слова:** локальный минимум, существование, прямой метод, вариационное исчисление, теория пластин фон Кармана

**Abstract.** Ambartsumian's plate theory, formulated by S. A. Ambartsumian in 1950s based on improved hypotheses, is justified using the concept of  $\Gamma$ -convergence. Limiting energies of known plane models are summarized. It is shown, that Ambartsumian's theory is of fourth order, equivalent to the linearized von Kármán theory. Moreover, up to a constant multiplier, the limiting energy in Ambartsumian's and linearized von Kármán theories coincide.

**Аннотация.** Теория пластин Амбарцумяна, построенная Амбарцумяном в 1950-х годах на основе уточнённых гипотез, обосновывается понятием Г-сходимости. Приводятся предельные энергии известных плоских моделей. Показано, что теория Амбарцумяна, как и линеаризированная теория фон Кармана, имеет четвёртый порядок. Более того, с точностью до постоянного множителя, предельная энергия теории Амбарцумяна и линеаризированной теории фон Кармана совпадают.

#### 1. Introduction

Many applied problems are formulated as variational problems of minimization (maximization) of some specific functional  $J: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is some

function space. It is required to find such  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , that for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $J(f) \leq J(\varphi)$ .

In such cases, the Euler-Lagrange equations provide necessary conditions for minimizers of J. However, before solving the Euler-Lagrange equations, the existence of a solution must be established. One of the general methods of the calculus of variations for proving the existence of a minimizer for a given functional is the so-called direct method [1]. One of the advantages of the direct method is that besides proving the existence, it provides a tool for computing the minimizer to desired accuracy.

The algorithm of the direct method goes as follows:

- a) Choose a minimizing sequence  $\,f_{\scriptscriptstyle n} \in \mathcal{F}\,$  for  $\,J.$
- b) Show, that there is a convergent subsequence  $\left\{f_{n_k}\right\}$   $\in$   $\left\{f_n\right\}$  with  $f_{n_k} \to f_0$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- c) Prove, that J is sequentially lower semi-continuous in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Recall, that  $f_n$  is a minimizing sequence for J, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} J(f_n) = \inf_{f\in\mathcal{F}} J(f).$$

J is called sequentially lower semi-continuous if

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} J(f_n) \ge J(f_0)$$

for any convergent sequence  $f_n \to f_0$ . The existence follows from the inequality

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} J(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} J(f_n) = \lim_{k \to \infty} J(f_{n_k}) \ge J(f_0)$$

and the trivial bound

$$J(f_0) \ge \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} J(f).$$

In various applied problems the corresponding functional is not sequentially lower semi-continuous, and thus the existence of a minimizer is impossible to prove using the direct method. See [1] for many such examples. To overcome this difficulty lower semi-continuous envelopes of functionals with lack of that property are considered. Even though there are different approaches on explicit construction of lower semi-continuous envelopes for various types of functionals (see, for instance, [1–4] and references therein), it is actually very complicated to apply in a particular problem.

Another way for derivation a lower semi-continuous functionals in a particular problem is to compute the  $\Gamma$ -limit of the model functional, reducing, for instance, the problem dimension [5–7]. Recently, dimension reduction via  $\Gamma$ -convergence is applied in elasticity theory to derive energy functionals of various theories of beams, plates and shells (see, for instance, [8–18]). A hierarchy of plate models, including membrane, Kirchhoff, von Kármán, Reissner–Mindlin, and of higher order, are justified via  $\Gamma$ -convergence. The aim of the paper is to find out the order of Ambartsumian's plate theory in the hierarchy of other theories. It is motivated by wide applicability of Ambartsumian's theory, considering pure bending and transverse shear of thick plates, being a *linear* theory. It could be interesting, for instance, from biomechanics point of view: soft tissues are subjected to in-plane compressive stresses, leading to bending of the tissue in space and compression (in transverse direction) in its plane [19].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the known  $\Gamma$ -limits of the three-dimensional nonlinear elasticity energy functional are summarized by the order. In Section 3 Ambartsumian's plate theory is shown to be the  $\Gamma$ -limit of the three-dimensional functional of fourth order, equivalent to the linearized von Kármán theory with linearized isometry constraint.

### 2. Γ-limits of the three-dimensional nonlinear elasticity theory

Known  $\Gamma$ -limits of the three-dimensional nonlinear elasticity are summarized in this section. For more details and further developments see [14]. Denote the three-dimensional energy of elastic plate of constant thickness h, which occupies the domain

$$\Omega_h = \left\{ (x, y, z), \ x \in [0, a], y \in [0, b], z \in \left[ -\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2} \right] \right\}$$
 in Cartesian system, by

$$E_{h}[\mathbf{r}] = \int_{\Omega_{h}} W(\nabla \mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Here  $\mathbf{r}_h:\Omega_h\to\mathbb{R}^3$  is the three-dimensional deformation of the plate, W is the energy density function (for particular energy density functions see, for instance, [21]). Rescaling the energy by h, allows to consider the energy per unit volume

$$I_h[\mathbf{r}] = \frac{1}{h} E_h[\mathbf{r}] = \int_{\Omega} W(\nabla_h \mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \Omega = \Omega_0 \times \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right],$$

where  $\Omega_0$  is the middle plane of the plate,

$$\nabla_{h} \mathbf{r} = \left(\nabla' \mathbf{r}_{0}, \frac{\partial r_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}\right), \ \nabla' = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right), \ \mathbf{r}_{0} = \left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \text{ is the deformation of } \Omega_{0}. \text{ Then,}$$

a hierarchy of plate models can be justified using the notion of  $\Gamma$ -convergence. Here the known  $\Gamma$ -limits are shortly described, for full derivation see [12–14]. In what follows it is assumed, that

- 1.  $W(\mathbf{QF}) = W(\mathbf{F})$  for all spatial rotations  $\mathbf{Q} \in SO(3)$  and deformation gradients  $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$  (rotationally invariance),
- 2. W = 0 on SO(3) (isotropy),
- 3.  $W(\mathbf{F}) \ge c \operatorname{dist}^2(\mathbf{F}, SO(3))$  for c > 0,
- 4. W is  $C^2$  in the neighborhood of SO(3).

Here we summarize known  $\Gamma$ -limits of fully two-dimensional models. For one-dimensional models (string, rod, beam) see [8].

# 2.1. Membrane energy

The simplest two-dimensional model corresponds to the case of stretched/compressed membrane. In the limit when  $h \to 0$ , the membrane energy looks like [LDR]

$$E_{\scriptscriptstyle{mem}}\left[oldsymbol{r}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}
ight] = \lim_{h o 0} I_{\scriptscriptstyle{h}}\left[oldsymbol{r}
ight] = \lim_{h o 0} rac{1}{h} E_{\scriptscriptstyle{h}}\left[oldsymbol{r}
ight] = egin{cases} \int W_{\scriptscriptstyle{q}}\left(
abla'oldsymbol{r}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}
ight) doldsymbol{x}', & oldsymbol{r}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\scriptscriptstyle{m}}, \ \infty, & else. \end{cases}$$

Here  $W_q\left(\mathbf{F}\right) = \min_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3} W\left(\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{e}_3\right)$  is the quasiconvex envelope of W,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\scriptscriptstyle m} = \left\{ \mathbf{r}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \in W^{\scriptscriptstyle 1,p}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\left(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\right), \, \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}{\partial x_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}} = 0 \right\} \text{ is the set of admissible membrane deformations.}$$

Therefore, the membrane theory is of *first* order in h. This expression is derived using the notion of  $\Gamma$ -convergence in [12] (see also other articles of those authors). Note, that the same expression is obtained earlier by Pipkin in a number of papers (see, for instance, [20]) using simpler considerations of tension field theory. Pipkin's procedure for deriving quasiconvex energy functional is much simpler and in some sense more intuitive, than that from [12].

Actually,  $W_q$  depends on the deformation through the first fundamental form of the membrane. Since the principal stretches of the membrane are the square roots of its first fundamental form,  $W_q$  is expressed in term of those stretches:  $W_q = \tilde{W}_q \left( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \right)$ .

## 2.2. Plate energy

Various plate theories for describing as bending regimes, as well as bending and stretching/compression regimes, exist. The simplest theory lies on Kirchhoff's hypotheses and is often referred to as Kirchhoff (Kirchhoff-Love) plate theory. The theory lies on the assumptions, that the in-plane displacements of the plate depend on  $x_3$  linearly, and the normal displacement is independent of  $x_3$ . In other words, it is assumed, that  $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{r}_0(\mathbf{x}') + x_3\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}')$ .

In [13, 14] it is established, that

$$E_{K}\left[\boldsymbol{r}_{0}\right] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{2}} I_{h}\left[\boldsymbol{r}\right] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{3}} E_{h}\left[\boldsymbol{r}\right] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{24} \int_{\Omega} Q_{2}\left(\mathbf{H}\right) d\boldsymbol{x}', & \boldsymbol{r}_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, \\ \infty, & else. \end{cases}$$

Here  $Q_2(\mathbf{G}) = \min_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^3} Q_3(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_3 \otimes \mathbf{a}),$  and

$$Q_{3}(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{\partial^{2}W}{\partial \mathbf{F}^{2}}\bigg|_{\mathbf{F}=\mathrm{Id}}(\mathbf{F},\mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}W}{\partial F_{ij}\partial F_{kl}}\bigg|_{\mathbf{F}=\mathrm{Id}} F_{ij}F_{kl} \text{ is twice the linearised energy,}$$

 $\mathbf{H} = -\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{r}_0}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \cdot \mathbf{n}$  is the second fundamental form, and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit normal to the mid-

surface of the plate,  $\mathcal{A}_p = \left\{ \mathbf{r}_0 \in W^{1,p}_0\left(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3\right), \left(\nabla'\mathbf{r}_0\right)^T \nabla'\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathrm{Id} \right\}$  is the set of

admissible plate deformations, consisting of isometric immersions, i.e. the mid-surface of the plate is not stretched or compressed. Therefore, Kirchhoff's theory is of *third* order in h.

Since the limiting energy density function depends on the second fundamental form, the eigenvalues of which are the principal curvatures, then it can be represented as a function of those:  $Q_2 = \tilde{Q}_2(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ .

Particularly, for isotropic materials [13, 14]

$$Q_2(\mathbf{G}) = 2\mu \left| \frac{\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}^T}{2} \right|^2 + \lambda \operatorname{tr}^2 \mathbf{G},$$

$$Q_3(\mathbf{F}) = 2\mu \left| \frac{\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}^T}{2} \right|^2 + \frac{2\mu\lambda}{2\mu + \lambda} \operatorname{tr}^2 \mathbf{F}.$$

The next order plate theory is von Kármán plate theory. The former relies on Kirchhoff's hypotheses, but uses nonlinear strain-displacement relations [22]. It is established in [14], that von Kármán theory is of fifth order in h, moreover, the limiting energy reads as

$$E_{vK}\left[\mathbf{r}_{0}\right] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{4}} I_{h}\left[\mathbf{r}\right] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{5}} E_{h}\left[\mathbf{r}\right] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ Q_{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla' \mathbf{u} + \left( \nabla' \mathbf{u} \right)^{T} + \nabla' \mathbf{w} \otimes \nabla' \mathbf{w} \right] \right) + \frac{1}{24} Q_{2} \left( \left( \nabla' \right)^{2} \mathbf{w} \right) \right] d\mathbf{x}'.$$

Here  $\boldsymbol{u}$  and  $\boldsymbol{w}$  are the averaged in-plane and out of plane displacements [14]

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^3} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{r}_2 \end{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{x}' \quad x_3) - \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] dx_3, \quad \boldsymbol{v} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \boldsymbol{r}_3 dx_3.$$

On the other hand, linearized von Kármán plate theory is of *fourth* order. The limiting energy is

$$E_{linvK}\left[\mathbf{r}_{0}\right] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{3}} I_{h}\left[\mathbf{r}\right] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{4}} E_{h}\left[\mathbf{r}\right] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{24} \int_{\Omega} Q_{2}\left(\left(\nabla'\right)^{2} \mathbf{w}\right) d\mathbf{x}', & \det\left(\nabla'\right)^{2} \mathbf{w} = 0, \\ \infty, & else. \end{cases}$$

# 3. Ambartsumian's theory of plates as $\Gamma$ -limit of three dimensional nonlinear elasticity theory

Ambartsumian's theory of anisotropic plates was developed in 1950s by prominent scientist Sergey A. Ambartsumian and his colleagues. It is mainly based on the following assumptions [23]:

a) the displacement w normal to the mid-surface of the plate does not depend on  $x_3$ ,

b) the shear stresses  $\tau_{13}$  and  $\tau_{23}$  (or corresponding strains  $\epsilon_{13}$  and  $\epsilon_{23}$ ) have a given distribution over the thickness of the plate:

$$\tau_{13} = 1.t. + f_1(z)\varphi(x, y), \quad \tau_{23} = 1.t. + f_2(z)\psi(x, y),$$

where l.t. denotes the loading terms,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  denote the given distribution, satisfying  $f_i\left(\pm\frac{h}{2}\right) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, , \phi, \psi$  are the behavior of the stresses over the mid-surface of the

plate. The choice of characteristic functions  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  defines the order in h of the corresponding theory. In [23] and in what follows it is taken  $f_1(z) = f_2(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{h^2}{4} - z^2 \right)$ . In this case  $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{r}_0(\mathbf{x}') + f(x_3)\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}')$ . Using

the procedure, applied in [24], it is shown, that Ambartsumian's plate theory is of fourth order in h. Moreover for  $W(\nabla r) = \frac{1}{2} |(\nabla r)^T \nabla r - \operatorname{Id}|^2$  the limiting energy is derived

in the form of Wilmore functional (the derivation simply repeats the procedure described in [14, 24], that is why is not brought here)

$$E_{Amb}[\mathbf{r}_{0}] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{3}} I_{h}[\mathbf{r}] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{4}} E_{h}[\mathbf{r}] = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{6}}{5!} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{II}|^{2} d\mathbf{x}', & \mathbf{r}_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, \\ \infty, & else. \end{cases}$$

Thus, in this case the deformation of the plate mid-surface is isometric as well.

Note, that up to a constant multiplier, Ambartsumian's limiting energy coincides with the Kirchhoff limiting energy for the same energy density, being of higher order.

There exists also the non-zero limit  $E_{Amb}[\mathbf{r}_0] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^5} E_h[\mathbf{r}]$ , the physical meaning of

which is under current study and will be reported elsewhere.

## 4. Conclusion

Ambartsumian's plate theory is of *fourth* order in the thickness of the plate. The limiting energy is the known Wilmore functional, coinciding with the limiting energy within the linearized von Kármán plate theory.

### References

[1] B. Dacorogna, Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations. Springer, New York, 2008. [2] O.A. Hafsa, J.-Ph. Mandallena, Radial representation of lower semicontinuous envelope // Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, 2014, vol. 7, issue 1, pp. 1–18.

- [3] M. Colombo, A. De Rosa, A. Marchese, S. Stuvard, On the lower semicontinuous envelope of functionals defined on polyhedral chains // arXiv:1703.01938 [math.AP]
- [4] L.Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, Special Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [5] A. Braides, Gamma-Convergence for Beginners. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [6] A. Braides, Local Minimization, Variational Evolution and Γ-convergence. Springer, Basel, 2014.
- [7] G. Dal Maso, An Introduction to Γ-convergence. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2014.
- [8] E. Acerbi, G. Buttazzo, D. Percivale, A variational definition of the strain energy for an elastic string // Journal of Elasticity, 1991, vol. 25, pp. 137–148.
- [9] M.G. Mora, S. Müller Derivation of the nonlinear bending-torsion theory for inextensible rods by Γ-convergence // Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 2003, vol. 18, pp. 287–305.
- [10] M.G. Mora, S. Müller, A nonlinear model for inextensible rods as a low energy Γ-limit of three-dimensional nonlinear elasticity // Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Analyse Non Linéaire, 2004, vol. 21, pp. 271–293.
- [11] L. Falach, R. Paroni, P. Podio-Guidugli. A justification of the Timoshenko beam model through  $\Gamma$ -convergence // Analysis and Applications, 2017, vol. 15, issue 2, pp. 261–277.
- [12] H. Le Dret, A. Raoult, The nonlinear membrane model as variational limit of nonlinear three-dimensional elasticity // Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 1995, vol. 74, issue 6, pp. 549–578.
- [13] G. Friesecke, R. D. James, S. Müller, A theorem on geometric rigidity and the derivation of nonlinear plate theory from three-dimensional elasticity // Communication on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2002, vol. 55, issue 11, pp. 1461–1506.
- [14] G. Friesecke, R.D. James, S. Müller, A hierarchy of plate models derived from nonlinear elasticity by Gamma-convergence // Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 2006, vol. 18, issue 2, pp. 183–236.
- [15] R. Paroni, P. Podio-Guidugli, G. Tomassetti, A justification of the Reissner–Mindlin plate theory through variational convergence // Analysis and Applications, 2007, vol. 5, issue 2, pp. 165–182.
- [16] F. Murat, R. Paroni, Plate theory as the variational limit of the complementary energy functionals of inhomogeneous anisotropic linearly elastic bodies // Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids, 2017, vol. 18, DOI: 108128651770799
- [17] R. Paroni, P. Podio-Guidugli, On variational dimension reduction in structure mechanics // Journal of Elasticity, 2015, vol. 118, issue 1, pp. 1–13.
- [18] P. Podio-Guidugli, On the validation of theories of thin elastic structures // Mechanica, 2014, vol. 49, issue 6, pp. 1343–1352.
- [19] A. S. Avetisyan, As. Zh. Khurshudyan, S. K. Ohanyan, Improved Mechanical Reduced Order Model and Finite Element Analysis of Three-Dimensional Deformations of

Epithelial Tissues. In "Perusal of Finite Element Method" ed. by R. Petrova, 2016, pp. 133–159.

- [20] A. C. Pipkin, The relaxed energy density for isotropic elastic membranes // IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics, 1986, vol. 36, pp. 85–99.
- [21] M. H. Sadd Elasticity: Theory, Applications and Numerics. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Elsevier, Boston, 2014.
- [22] Ph. Ciarlet, Mathematical Elasticity. Volume II: Theory of Plates. Elsevier, 1997.
- [23] S. A. Ambartsumian, Theory of Anisotropic Plates: Strength, Stability, & Vibrations. CRC Press, 1991.
- [24] S. Bartels, A. Bonito, R. H. Nochetto, Bilayer plates: Model reduction,  $\Gamma$ -convergent finite element approximation, and discrete gradient flow // Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2017, vol. 70, issue 3, pp. 547–589.

## Information about the authors:

**A.S.** Avetisyan – Department on Dynamics of Deformable Systems and Connected Fields, Institute of Mechanics, NAS of Armenia, 0019, Armenia, Yerevan, Baghramyan ave. 24/2, **E-mail:** ara avetisyan@sci.am

**As. Zh. Khurshudyan** – Department on Dynamics of Deformable Systems and Connected Fields.

Institute of Mechanics, NAS of Armenia, 0019, Armenia, Yerevan, Baghramyan ave. 24/2, **E-mail:** khurshudyan@mechins.sci.am