Peer Assessment Feedback Form

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Student Trainer’s Name:** | Adam Dalley |
| **Peer (print name, sign and date)**  [anonymous feedback is allowed] | FRASER BROWN – 28/03/2018 |
| **Software Engineering Master Class F21SM2** | |
| **Questions** | **Comments** |
| What did you like about the teaching material? | Good initial grounding of the new language in terms of related JS languages and compilers. Loads of live demos which is great for allowing us to see how we were meant to use the language in the lab. I enjoyed the practical nature of your lecture, you were naturally expanding and explaining aspects of the language, it was easy to follow and interesting to see. |
| What did you like about the trainer’s approach? | Good clear explanations of new language features and project files during the live demos. Explained more tricky syntax issues found in other languages such as enums and arrays very well. Gave good examples of odd use cases and things we may come across as odd when learning the language.  Watching Adam run through live demos and language features showed he had deeper understanding of the underlying operation of the language and types.  Very good handling of the awkward binary question, nice one!  Covered software engineering best practices in the lecture and how they related to typescript which was nice. |
| What have you learned? | What transpiled means. How the new typescript language relates to other similar scripting languages. Union and intersection types, I had no idea those existed. What variable shadowing is.  What optional properties in interfaces are.  Fat Arrow function declarations. |
| Is there any aspect that you would have liked to include in your own teaching? | Live demos and walking the audience through the tools I was using. |
| What could have been improved? | A diagram showing how transpiling and conversion from typescript to javascript. |
| General Comments: | |