

1. Consider Least-Squares (LS) basis function regression for a single variable input/output problem, i.e.,

$$y = f(x) = w_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K w_k b_k(x).$$

Let the training data be denoted by $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$.

- Please formulate the LS objective in terms of a vector of **known inputs**, a corresponding vector of **known outputs**, and the appropriate **matrices**. Remember to include a bias term in the model (incorporated into the basis function matrix and the weight vector). **Note:** Please put the bias related terms as the first element/row/column of the vector/matrix.
- Then show each step of taking the gradient of the objective function. **Note:** You may use the matrix identities handout on the course website.
- Then solve for the optimal weight vector \bar{w} (which includes the bias w_0).

CSCC11 Assignment 1.

Ti. Zhang

1006424517

a) Given training data $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$

Vector of known input: $\bar{x} = [x_1 \dots x_N]^T$

Vector of known output: $\bar{y} = [y_1 \dots y_N]^T$

Matrix form: $\bar{w} = [w_0, w_1, \dots, w_K]^T$

$B = [b_0(x_1) \ b_1(x_1) \ \dots \ b_K(x_1)]$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_1(x_1) & b_2(x_1) & \dots & b_K(x_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & b_1(x_N) & b_2(x_N) & \dots & b_K(x_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Vector of K regression coefficient.

Vector of bias function value with x given.

~~$b_0(x_i) = 1$~~

Error function: $E(\bar{w}) = \|\bar{y} - B\bar{w}\|^2$

$$b) \ E(\bar{w}) = \|\bar{y} - B\bar{w}\|^2 = (\bar{y} - B\bar{w})^T (\bar{y} - B\bar{w})$$

$$= (\bar{y}^T - \bar{w}^T B^T) (\bar{y} - B\bar{w})$$

$$= \bar{y}^T \bar{y} - \bar{w}^T B^T \bar{y} - \bar{y}^T B \bar{w} + \bar{w}^T B^T B \bar{w}$$

$$= \bar{y}^T \bar{y} - \underline{(\bar{y}^T B \bar{w})^T} - \underline{\bar{y}^T B \bar{w}} + \bar{w}^T B^T B \bar{w}$$

* underlined items are constant.

$$= \bar{y}^T \bar{y} - 2(\bar{y}^T B \bar{w}) + \bar{w}^T B^T B \bar{w}$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\bar{w})}{\partial \bar{w}} = 0 - 2\bar{y}^T B + [B^T B + (B^T B)^T] \bar{w} = -2\bar{y}^T B + 2B^T B \bar{w}$$

$$* \frac{\partial^T A X}{\partial X} = (A + A^T) X^T$$

$$c). \text{ Let } \frac{\partial E(\bar{w})}{\partial \bar{w}} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow -2\bar{y}^T B + 2B^T B \bar{w} = 0.$$

$$B^T B \bar{w} = \bar{y}^T B$$

$$(B^T B \bar{w})^T = (\bar{y}^T B)^T$$

$$\bar{w}^T B^T B = B^T \bar{y}$$

$$\bar{w} = (B^T B)^{-1} B^T \bar{y}$$

Addition: $\frac{\partial^2 E(\bar{w})}{\partial \bar{w}^2} = 2B^T B > 0$. \therefore Above \bar{w} is the optimal value.

2. In the following problem we consider cases in which the model above is not well constrained by the data alone.

- Assume that you have at least one data point, $N \geq 1$. In general, under what conditions will the solution to the normal equations in Q1(c) **not be unique**? Set up and provide a simple regression problem where the optimal weight vector (\mathbf{w}) is not unique.
- Consider L2 regularized regression (a.k.a. ridge regression), which adds a term to the LS objective that penalizes the squared L2 norm of \mathbf{w} , scaled by a positive regularization parameter λ (see Eqn (10) in Chapter 3 of the online notes). Use the gradient of this regularized objective to **derive the normal equations** for the solution in this case, and **explain** the way in which this regularization helps overcome the problem above when the data alone do not fully constrain the solution.
- Show that the solution for regularized regression in part (b) can alternatively be obtained via (ordinary) least squares regression with augmented basis function matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ and known outputs $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ as defined below:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{I}_{K+1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+K+1) \times (K+1)}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0}_{K+1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+K+1)}$$

where \mathbf{B} is the basis function matrix and \mathbf{y} is the vector of known outputs in Q1. \mathbf{I}_{K+1} represents the identity matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{(K+1) \times (K+1)}$ and $\mathbf{0}_{K+1}$ is a zero vector in $\mathbb{R}^{(K+1)}$. Note that the quantities inside brackets are block matrices. **Explain in short how the above formulation constrains \mathbf{w} .**

a) Condition: $\text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) < k+1$. Then $\bar{\eta} = \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{w}}$ has infinity solutions.

Q1(c) has unique solⁿ iff $\text{Null}(\mathbf{B}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then $(\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B})^{-1}$ exist, and we can have unique solⁿ $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \bar{\eta}$ hold.

Example for non-unique \mathbf{w} : $\eta(x) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2$ with $N > 2$.

$$b). E(\bar{\mathbf{w}}) = \|\bar{\eta} - \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{w}}\|^2 + \lambda \|\bar{\mathbf{w}}\|^2$$

$$= (\bar{\eta} - \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{w}})^T (\bar{\eta} - \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{w}}) + \lambda \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T \bar{\mathbf{w}}$$

$$= \bar{\eta}^T \bar{\eta} - 2(\bar{\eta}^T \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{w}}) + \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{w}} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T (\lambda \mathbf{I}) \bar{\mathbf{w}}$$

$$= \bar{\eta}^T \bar{\eta} - 2(\bar{\eta}^T \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{w}}) + \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) \bar{\mathbf{w}}$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\bar{\mathbf{w}})}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{w}}} = \mathbf{0} - 2\bar{\eta}^T \mathbf{B} + [\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I} + (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^T] \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T$$

$$= -2\bar{\eta}^T \mathbf{B} + [\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I}] \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T$$

$$= -2\bar{\eta}^T \mathbf{B} + 2(\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T$$

$$\text{Set } \frac{\partial E(\bar{\mathbf{w}})}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{w}}} = \mathbf{0} \quad \therefore -2\bar{\eta}^T \mathbf{B} + 2(\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T = \mathbf{0}$$

$$(\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) \bar{\mathbf{w}}^T = \bar{\eta}^T \mathbf{B}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{w}}^T = (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \bar{\eta}^T \mathbf{B}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \bar{\eta}$$

This helps as when $\text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) < k+1$, i.e. \mathbf{B} is un-invertible. $\det(\mathbf{B}) = 0$

i.e. $(\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B})^{-1}$ does not exist.

i.e. $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \bar{\eta}$ cannot proceed.

But we're able to proceed with $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \bar{\eta}$

CSCC11 Assignment 1.

Ti, Zhang

1006424517

$$c) \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ \sqrt{\lambda} I_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_1(x_1) & \dots & b_k(x_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & b_1(x_N) & \dots & b_k(x_N) \\ \sqrt{\lambda} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\lambda} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \sqrt{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y} \\ 0_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E(\bar{w}) = \|\hat{y} - \hat{B}\bar{w}\|^2$$

$$\text{Here } \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ \sqrt{\lambda} I \end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{B}^T = \begin{bmatrix} B^T & \sqrt{\lambda} I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{B}^T \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B^T & \sqrt{\lambda} I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \\ \sqrt{\lambda} I \end{bmatrix} = B^T B + \lambda I$$

$$(\hat{B}^T \hat{B})^{-1} = (B^T B + \lambda I)^{-1}$$

$$\hat{B}^T \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} B^T & \sqrt{\lambda} I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = B^T \bar{y}$$

$$\therefore \bar{w} = (\hat{B}^T \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T \hat{y} = (B^T B + \lambda I)^{-1} B^T \bar{y} \text{ is the same as in part (b).}$$

This way we can see that this is equivalent to the expression in L_2 regularization regression.

3. Now consider a probabilistic formulation of basis function regression. This is useful as a way to incorporate measurement noise. For example, images may contain white noise due to lighting variations, hardware issues, and other reasons. Here, we'll assume the target output y is equal to $f(x)$ plus Gaussian noise. Specifically, we assume y given x follows a Gaussian distribution with mean $f(x)$, and variance σ^2 . We write this as $y \sim \mathcal{N}(f(x), \sigma^2)$.

As above, we assume a single variable input/output problem, with training data $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$, and that $f(x)$ is a weighted sum of basis functions evaluated at x , with weights $w = [w_0, \dots, w_K]^T$.

- Formulate the Maximum Likelihood (ML) objective (without solving for the weights).
- What can you say about the negative log likelihood as compared to the LS objective above in Q1?
- Now, suppose that the model parameters (weights) follow a Gaussian distribution with zero mean with some fixed isotropic covariance $\alpha^{-1}I$, i.e., $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha^{-1}I)$. Formulate and take the negative log of the Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) objective. **Note:** You may ignore the evidence term as it does not depend on the parameters of interest.
- What can you say about minimizing the negative log posterior compared to the LS objective above?
- What happens if we assume that the model parameters follow a Uniform distribution? What can you say about ML and MAP estimates in that case?

CSC111 Assignment 1.

Ti, Zhang
1006424517

$$a) y = f(x) = w_0 + w_1 b_1(x) + \dots + w_K b_K(x) + \epsilon. \Rightarrow$$

$$y \sim \mathcal{N}(f(x), \sigma^2)$$

$$l(y_i | w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{[y_i - f(x)]^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

$$L(w) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{[y_i - f(x)]^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \right) \text{ for } \{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b) \log [L(w)] &= \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{[\eta_i - f(x_i)]^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ -\log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right) - \frac{[\eta_i - f(x_i)]^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \\
 &= -N \cdot \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N [\eta_i - f(x_i)]^2}{2\sigma^2} \\
 -\log [L(w)] &= N \cdot \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N [\eta_i - f(x_i)]^2}{2\sigma^2} \\
 &= N \cdot \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\eta - B\bar{w}\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

The calculation is the same as in Q1, as calculating MLE is equivalent to finding minimum least square solution.

c) Given $w \sim N(0, \alpha^{-1}I)$, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k are iid.

$$f(w) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha^{-1}} \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{-(w_i - 0)^2}{2\alpha^{-1}} \right\} \right]$$

$$\text{MAP objective } P(w|\gamma) = \frac{P(\gamma|w)P(w)}{P(\gamma)} \propto P(\gamma|w)P(w)$$

\downarrow constant.

$$P(\gamma|w) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{[\eta_i - f(x_i)]^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \right)$$

$$P(w) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha^{-1}} \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{-(w_i - 0)^2}{2\alpha^{-1}} \right\} \right]$$

$$\therefore P(w|\gamma) \propto P(\gamma|w)P(w) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{[\eta_i - f(x_i)]^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha^{-1}} \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{-(w_i - 0)^2}{2\alpha^{-1}} \right\} \right] = g(w)$$

$$\therefore \text{According to c)}, -\log(g(w)) = N \log(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\eta - B\bar{w}\|^2 + N \log(\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha^{-1}) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2$$

d) In c) I'm calculating the minimum of $\text{MAP} [-\log(g(w))]$

This is equivalent to finding the minimum of $\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\eta - B\bar{w}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2 \right)$,

which is the regularization term, as $(N \log(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma) + N \log(\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha^{-1}))$ is a constant.

e) For $w \sim \text{Uniform}$,

MLE: No change as it's depending on η 's distⁿ.

$$\text{MAP} = P(w) = \alpha^{-1}$$

$$P(w|\gamma) \propto \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{[\eta_i - f(x_i)]^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \right) \cdot \alpha^{-1} = g(w)$$

$$-\log(g(w)) = N \log(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\eta - B\bar{w}\|^2 + N \log(\alpha^{-1})$$

Since $N \log(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma) + N \log(\alpha^{-1})$ is constant, MAP is same as LS without the regularization terms.