

# **Module 7c: Atomicity**

- Atomic Transactions
- Log-based Recovery
- Checkpoints
- Concurrent Transactions
- Serializability
- Locking Protocols



Operating System Concepts with Java

7c.1

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003



#### **Atomic Transactions**

- Assures that operations happen as a single logical unit of work, in its entirety, or not at all
- Related to field of database systems
- Challenge is assuring atomicity despite computer system failures
- Transaction collection of instructions or operations that performs single logical function
  - Here we are concerned with changes to stable storage disk
  - Transaction is series of read and write operations
  - Terminated by commit (transaction successful) or abort (transaction failed) operation
  - Aborted transaction must be rolled back to undo any changes it performed





### **Types of Storage Media**

- Volatile storage information stored here does not survive system crashes
  - Example: main memory, cache
- Nonvolatile storage Information usually survives crashes
  - Example: disk and tape
- Stable storage Information never lost
  - Not actually possible, so approximated via replication or RAID to devices with independent failure modes

Goal is to assure transaction atomicity where failures cause loss of information on volatile storage



Operating System Concepts with Java

7c.3

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003



# **Log-Based Recovery**

- Record to stable storage information about all modifications by a transaction
- Most common is write-ahead logging
  - Log on stable storage, each log record describes single transaction write operation, including
    - Transaction name
    - Data item name
    - Old value
    - New value
  - <T<sub>i</sub> starts> written to log when transaction T<sub>i</sub> starts
  - <T<sub>i</sub> commits> written when T<sub>i</sub> commits
- Log entry must reach stable storage before operation on data occurs



### **Log-Based Recovery Algorithm**

- Using the log, system can handle any volatile memory errors
  - Undo(T<sub>i</sub>) restores value of all data updated by T<sub>i</sub>
  - Redo(T<sub>i</sub>) sets values of all data in transaction T<sub>i</sub> to new values
- Undo(T<sub>i</sub>) and redo(T<sub>i</sub>) must be idempotent
  - Multiple executions must have the same result as one execution
- If system fails, restore state of all updated data via log
  - If log contains <T; starts> without <T; commits>, undo(T;)
  - If log contains <T<sub>i</sub> starts> and <T<sub>i</sub> commits>, redo(T<sub>i</sub>)



Operating System Concepts with Java

7c.5

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003



### **Checkpoints**

- Log could become long, and recovery could take long
- Checkpoints shorten log and recovery time.
- Checkpoint scheme:
  - 1. Output all log records currently in volatile storage to stable storage
  - 2. Output all modified data from volatile to stable storage
  - 3. Output a log record <checkpoint> to the log on stable storage
- Now recovery only includes Ti, such that Ti started executing before the most recent checkpoint, and all transactions after Ti All other transactions already on stable storage





#### **Concurrent Transactions**

- Must be equivalent to serial execution serializability
- Could perform all transactions in critical section
  - Inefficient, too restrictive
- Concurrency-control algorithms provide serializability



Operating System Concepts with Java

7c.7

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003



# **Serializability**

- Consider two data items A and B
- Consider Transactions T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>
- Execute T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> atomically
- Execution sequence called schedule
- Atomically executed transaction order called serial schedule
- For N transactions, there are N! valid serial schedules





# Schedule 1: T<sub>0</sub> then T<sub>1</sub>

$T_0$	$T_1$
read(A)	
write(A)	
read(B)	
write(B)	
	read(A)
	write(A)
	read(B)
	write(B)



Operating System Concepts with Jav

70.0

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003



#### **Nonserial Schedule**

- Nonserial schedule allows overlapped execute
  - Resulting execution not necessarily incorrect
- Consider schedule S, operations O<sub>i</sub>, O<sub>i</sub>
  - Conflict if access same data item, with at least one write
- If O<sub>i</sub>, O<sub>j</sub> consecutive and operations of different transactions & O<sub>i</sub> and O<sub>i</sub> don't conflict
  - Then S' with swapped order O<sub>i</sub> O<sub>i</sub> equivalent to S
- If S can become S' via swapping nonconflicting operations
  - S is conflict serializable





### **Schedule 2: Concurrent Serializable Schedule**

$T_0$	$T_1$
read(A)	
write(A)	
	read(A)
	write(A)
read(B)	
write(B)	
	read(B)
	write(B)



Operating System Concepts with Java

70.1

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003



### **Locking Protocol**

- Ensure serializability by associating lock with each data item
  - Follow locking protocol for access control
- Locks
  - Shared T<sub>i</sub> has shared-mode lock (S) on item Q, T<sub>i</sub> can read Q but not write Q
  - Exclusive Ti has exclusive-mode lock (X) on Q, T<sub>i</sub> can read and write Q
- Require every transaction on item Q acquire appropriate lock
- If lock already held, new request may have to wait
  - Similar to readers-writers algorithm





### **Two-phase Locking Protocol**

- Generally ensures conflict serializability
- Each transaction issues lock and unlock requests in two phases
  - Growing obtaining locks
  - Shrinking releasing locks
- Does not prevent deadlock



Operating System Concepts with Java

7c.13

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003



# **Timestamp-based Protocols**

- Select order among transactions in advance timestampordering
- Transaction T<sub>i</sub> associated with timestamp TS(T<sub>i</sub>) before T<sub>i</sub> starts
  - TS(T<sub>i</sub>) < TS(T<sub>i</sub>) if Ti entered system before T<sub>i</sub>
  - TS can be generated from system clock or as logical counter incremented at each entry of transaction
- Timestamps determine serializability order
  - If TS(T<sub>i</sub>) < TS(T<sub>j</sub>), system must ensure produced schedule equivalent to serial schedule where T<sub>i</sub> appears before T<sub>i</sub>





#### **Timestamp-based Protocol Implementation**

- Data item Q gets two timestamps
  - W-timestamp(Q) largest timestamp of any transaction that executed write(Q) successfully
  - R-timestamp(Q) largest timestamp of successful read(Q)
  - Updated whenever read(Q) or write(Q) executed
- Timestamp-ordering protocol assures any conflicting read and write executed in timestamp order
- Suppose Ti executes read(Q)
  - If TS(T<sub>i</sub>) < W-timestamp(Q), Ti needs to read value of Q that was already overwritten
    - ▶ read operation rejected and T<sub>i</sub> rolled back
  - If TS(T<sub>i</sub>) ≥ W-timestamp(Q)
    - read executed, R-timestamp(Q) set to max(R-timestamp(Q), TS(T<sub>i</sub>))



Operating System Concepts with Java

7c.15

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003



### **Timestamp-ordering Protocol**

- Suppose Ti executes write(Q)
  - If TS(T<sub>i</sub>) < R-timestamp(Q), value Q produced by T<sub>i</sub> was needed previously and T<sub>i</sub> assumed it would never be produced
    - ▶ Write operation rejected, T<sub>i</sub> rolled back
  - If TS(T<sub>i</sub>) < W-tiimestamp(Q), T<sub>i</sub> attempting to write obsolete value of Q
    - ▶ Write operation rejected and T<sub>i</sub> rolled back
  - Otherwise, write executed
- Any rolled back transaction T<sub>i</sub> is assigned new timestamp and restarted
- Algorithm ensures conflict serializability and freedom from deadlock





# **Schedule Possible Under Timestamp Protocol**

$T_2$	$T_3$
read(B)	
	read(B)
	write(B)
read(A)	, ,
	read(A)
	write(A)



Operating System Concepts with Java

70.1

Silborechatz Galvin and Gagno @2003