

Morphofunctional changes at the active zone during synaptic vesicle exocytosis

This manuscript ([permalink](#)) was automatically generated from aseedb/synaptic_tomo_ms@b8cb1b1 on November 17, 2022.

Authors

- **Julika Radecke***
·  [0000-0002-5815-5537](#) ·  [julikaradecke](#)
Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; Department of Neuroscience, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, 2200 Copenhagen N, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; Diamond Light Source Ltd, Didcot, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom · Funded by Swiss National Science Foundation, P2BEP3_172242
- **Raphaela Seeger***
·  [elatella](#)
Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern
- **Anna Kádková**
·  [0000-0001-6648-9679](#)
Department of Neuroscience, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3B, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark
- **Ulrike Laugks**
·  [0000-0003-4175-4354](#)
Max-Planck-Institute of Biochemistry, Am Klopferspitz 18, 82152 Martinsried, Germany
- **Amin Khosrozadeh**
·  [ameen-khosrowzadeh](#)
Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern
- **Kenneth N. Goldie**
·  [0000-0002-7405-0049](#)
BioEM Lab, Biozentrum, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
- **Vladan Lučić**
·  [0000-0003-3698-7436](#) ·  [vladanl](#)
Max-Planck-Institute of Biochemistry, Am Klopferspitz 18, 82152 Martinsried, Germany
- **Jakob B. Sørensen✉**
·  [0000-0001-5465-3769](#) ·  [JBSoerensen](#)
Department of Neuroscience, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3B, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark · Funded by Novo Nordisk Fonden, NNF17OC0028516.; Carlsbergfondet, CF17-0875; Independent Research Fond Denmark, 8020-00228A; Lundbeckfonden, R277-2018-802
- **Benoît Zuber✉**
·  [0000-0001-7725-5579](#) ·  [aseedb](#)
Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland · Funded by Swiss National Science Foundation, 179520; ERA-NET NEURON, NEURON-119

✉ Address correspondence to benoit.zuber@ana.unibe.ch and jakobbs@sund.ku.dk.

* These authors contributed equally.

Abstract

The fusion of synaptic vesicles (SVs) with the plasma membrane (PM) proceeds through intermediate steps that remain poorly resolved. Additionally, the effect of persistent high or low exocytosis activity on intermediate steps remains unknown. Through time-resolved cryo-electron tomography, we ordered events into a sequence. Following stimulation, proximal tethered SVs rapidly form additional tethers with the PM. Simultaneously, fusion initiation occurs by membrane curvature ('buckling') of the SV and PM. It is followed by the formation of a fusion pore, and the collapse of SV membrane. At this time, membrane-proximal, but not membrane-distal, vesicles lose their interconnections, allowing them to move towards the PM. Two mutations of SNAP-25 that arrests or disinhibit spontaneous release, respectively, both caused a loss of interconnectors, while the disinhibiting mutant also caused a loss of membrane proximal multiple-tethered SVs. Overall, tether formation and connector dissolution is triggered by stimulation and respond to the spontaneous fusion rate. These morphological observations likely correspond to the transition of SVs from one functional pool to another.

Introduction

For normal brain function such as movement coordination or memory formation, communication between neurons is essential. In the central nervous system, neurons communicate through the release of neurotransmitters at synapses. This process relies on synaptic vesicle (SV) exocytosis, i.e. the fusion of neurotransmitter-filled SVs with the plasma membrane (PM). SV exocytosis involves a sequence of steps [1,2]. The vesicle is docked to the active zone (AZ) PM and the exocytosis machinery goes through a maturation process, termed priming, after which the SV is ready to fuse. These SVs form the readily releasable pool (RRP). Finally, a calcium influx triggers fusion of the SV with the PM. Docked SVs are defined as those in very close proximity or direct contact with the PM as observed by electron microscopy (EM), whereas priming refers to SV ability to undergo exocytosis immediately upon stimulation. Whether every docked SV is also primed has been debated [1,3,4]. A high-pressure freezing/freeze-substitution EM study of synapses has indicated that vesicles which are in direct contact with the PM, i.e. docked, are also primed and belong to the RRP and that this situation occurs downstream of vesicle tethering [4]. From a molecular perspective, priming involves several proteins, including the SNARE complex (SNAP-25, syntaxin-1, and synaptobrevin-2), Munc13, Munc18, synaptotagmin-1, and complexin [2,5]. All three SNAREs form a highly stable tight four-helix bundle, known as trans-SNARE complex. The surfaces of the SV and the PM, are both negatively charged and therefore tend to repulse each other. The formation of the trans-SNARE complex counteracts this repulsion and brings the SV and the PM in high proximity [6]. Evidence has suggested that the SNARE complex is only partially zipped in primed vesicles [7]. Furthermore, various studies have suggested that the formation of at least three SNARE complexes provides the necessary energy for a vesicle to become fusion-competent [8,9,10]. Yet in the absence of cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} , minimal spontaneous exocytosis takes place. When the presynaptic terminal gets depolarized by an action potential, Ca^{2+} flows in the cytoplasm and binds to synaptotagmin-1, which is localized at the SV surface. Upon Ca^{2+} binding, synaptotagmin-1 was proposed to insert between the head groups of the PM anionic phospholipids and trigger membrane curvature and destabilization, leading first to hemifusion and subsequently to fusion [11]. Interestingly, much of the trans-SNARE bundle surface is negatively charged. This contributes to the electrostatic barrier that minimizes spontaneous fusion. Synaptotagmin-1 can then act as an electrostatic switch that triggers exocytosis. Introducing negatively charged side chains by site-directed mutagenesis reduces the rate of spontaneous and evoked exocytosis, whereas introducing more positive side chains enhances the rate of spontaneous exocytosis and depletes the RRP. [12]

Cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET), which preserves samples to atomic resolution, revealed that under resting conditions, no SV is in direct contact with the PM and the majority of AZ-proximal SVs are connected to the PM by a variable number of short tethers [13,14]. The observed gap between the SV and the PM is consistent with the model of an electrostatic barrier formed by the negative charges of the SV, the PM, and the trans-SNARE bundle [12]. In synaptosomes treated with hypertonic-sucrose solution, which depletes the RRP, the majority of tethered vesicles had only 1 or 2 tethers [13,15,16]. This observation suggested that the RRP consists of SV, which are linked to the PM by 3 or more tethers. The RRP, as identified by morphological criteria, only represents a minority of AZ-proximal vesicles. This is in agreement with previous reports. In one of them, the term pre-primed pool was used for the few vesicles (~1 vesicle at hippocampal synapses) that are rapidly released and another publication showed that the RRP is made up of only 10-20% of SVs located on the AZ (equal to ~1 vesicle on hippocampal synapses) [17,18]. The ensemble of proximal vesicles that are not in the RRP have been termed non-RRP and presumably belong to the recycling pool that releases more slowly [13,19]. Farther away from the AZ, partially intermixed with the recycling pool, is the reserve pool containing vesicles that only release upon high frequency stimulation. Vesicles in the reserve pool are tightly clustered and well inter-connected by structures that were termed connectors [13,19]. It should be noted, that the molecular nature of connectors is not known and is possibly heterogenous. Synapsin has been proposed as a molecular constituent. However, since the deletion of all forms of synapsin does not lead to the complete absence of connectors, it is clear that not all connectors contain synapsin [20,21]. The second row of SVs near the active zone (45-75 nm from AZ), immediately after the proximal vesicles (<45 nm from AZ), is called the intermediate region. Resting state intermediate SVs are less densely packed and also less connected than proximal SVs [14]. This suggests that, after exocytosis of RRP SVs, intermediate SVs could be rapidly recruited in the RRP by diffusion [22]. Synaptic activity enhances the mobility of a fraction of SVs, whereas it induces synapsin dissociation from SVs in a synapsin phosphorylation-dependent manner [23,24]. The same mobility enhancement can be achieved through inhibition of synapsin dephosphorylation, which leads to synapsin dissociation from SVs, or by knocking out all three synapsin forms [25,26,27]. Interestingly, ribbon synapses do not express synapsin and show higher SV mobility than conventional synapses [28]. It is therefore conceivable that inter-SV connectors restrain SV diffusion and that synaptic activity influences the level of inter-SV connectivity and thereby their mobility.

To investigate this hypothesis and to better understand the impact of depolarization and synaptic activity on SV tethering, we designed two sets of cryo-ET experiments. On the one hand, we compared the morphology of wild-type rat synaptosomes in resting state and a few milliseconds after depolarization. On the other hand, to study the consequences of increased or decreased spontaneous synaptic activity, we imaged synapses in mouse neuronal culture expressing either wild-type SNAP-25, a more positively charged SNAP-25 mutant (4K mutant), or a more negatively charged mutant of SNAP-25 (4E mutant) [12]. The more positively charged SNAP-25 mutant, which is constitutively active, showed no triple-tethered SV [12]. This confirmed the morphological definition of the RRP. Our experiments revealed an immediate formation of additional tethers between proximal RRP vesicles and the PM after depolarization. Shortly after exocytosis the level of inter-SV connectivity was decreased among SVs situated in a 25 to 75-nm distance range from the AZ PM. Altogether, our results indicate a regulation through connectors of SV mobility and their recruitment at the AZ PM.

Results

To analyze the morphological changes occurring in the presynapse shortly after stimulation, we pursued a time-resolved cryo-electron tomography approach similar to the one introduced by Heuser, Reese, and colleagues [29]. Whereas Heuser et al. used an electrical stimulus, we chose to trigger exocytosis by spraying a depolarizing solution containing 52-mM KCl onto the specimen a few milliseconds before freezing for two reasons. Firstly, cryo-ET requires samples to be plunge-frozen directly on an EM grid, which is not compatible with electrical stimulation. Secondly, this method allows to catch synapses at delays between stimulation and freezing lower than a millisecond, as

explained below. The delays achieved here are shorter than those attained by electrical stimulation given the uncertainty on the exact time of freezing. The solution was sprayed with an atomizer and droplets hit the EM grid a few milliseconds before freezing. The spray-mixing plunge freezing setup was custom built based on a system introduced by Berriman and Unwin [30].

The spray droplet size was optimized by cutting a 1-ml pipet tip to a diameter matching an EM grid (3 mm) and fixed to the atomizer glass outlet to disperse the spray (Figure 1A1). Furthermore, to achieve the shortest possible delay between spraying and freezing, the nozzle was set 1-2 mm above the liquid ethane container. This generated many small spray droplets spread throughout the grid (Figure 1A2-A4, Figure 2). Even if sprayed droplets were well distributed throughout the grid, not all synaptosomes were in contact with exocytosis-triggering KCl solution. Synaptosomes located on the landing spot of a droplet were stimulated instantly and therefore were frozen for a delay equal to the time between the grid crossing the spray and hitting the cryogen (typically set at 7 or 35 ms; Supplementary Figure 2B). However, synaptosomes situated at a distance from a landing spot were only stimulated when the KCl concentration rose due to diffusion reached a threshold triggering voltage-gated calcium channel opening (Supplementary Figure 2C and D). Through this process, we were able to trap stimulated synapses at the very earliest stages of exocytosis. Given the very low throughput of cryo-electron tomography, we followed a correlative light and electron microscopy approach. By cryo-fluorescence microscopy, we identified areas where synaptosomes fluorescently labeled by calcein blue and spray droplets labeled by fluoresceine were colocalized. Additionally, phase contrast imaging enabled quality control of the frozen EM grid with respect to ice contamination and ice cracks, as shown previously [31]. 9 control and 9 stimulated synaptosome tomograms were analyzed (Supplementary Figure S1A and B, Supplementary Movie S1, Supplementary Table S1). We restricted our analysis to synaptosomes that possessed a smooth PM, free of signs of rupturing and that had a mitochondrion, as we considered these factors essential for synaptosome function.




Figure 1: Experimental models. A1) Glass atomizer used to disperse depolarizing solution on the EM grid milliseconds before the grid is vitrified. A2) Spray droplets imaged with the GFP filter set. A3) Synaptosomes imaged with the DAPI filter set. A4) Overlay of spray droplets (green) and synaptosomes (red). Scale bars, 20 μm . B1) Schematic drawing of a 6-well petridish depicting astrocytes (pink) growing at the bottom of the petridish below EM grids (black round grid overlaying the astrocytes) with neurons (blue) growing on top of the grids. B2) Gridsquare overview with neurons growing over it; scale bar = 5 μm . B3) Medium magnification overview of neurons growing over R2/1 holes; scale bar = 500 nm. B4) One slice of a tomogram depicting the synapse and its respective postsynapse; scale bar = 100 nm.




Figure 2: Schematic representation of a spray-mixing plunge-freezing experiment. In a single experiment different synaptosomes get stimulated for between less than 1 ms and 7 ms. An EM-grid is held by tweezers and is covered with synaptosomes in HBM-solution. A magnified view of a grid squares shows synaptosomes in blue and their synaptic state of three synaptosomes is represented on the rightmost part of each panel. Panel (A) represents the situation right after blotting off solution excess. The grid is accelerated towards the spray and the cryogen. Panel (B) shows a snapshot of the experiment when the grid crosses the spray, 7 ms before the freezing. Some fluorescently dyed droplets containing HBM with 52 mM KCl land on the grid and are depicted in green. At this time point, a synaptosome located at the impact point of a droplet is activated and is depicted in dark blue. Panel (C) shows a snapshot 5 ms later, i.e. 2 ms before freezing. As KCl diffuses away from droplet impacts points, another synaptosome gets activated because, locally KCl concentration has reached a concentration to depolarize enough the synaptosome so that voltage-gated calcium channels open. Panel (D) shows a synaptosome at the time of impact with ethane. 0.1 ms before freezing a third synaptosome got exposed to a high enough concentration of KCl and got stimulated.

In addition, we manipulated the electrostatic state of the SNARE complex through mutated SNAP-25 protein introduced using lentiviral vectors into primary SNAP-25 knockout neurons grown on EM grids [12] (Figure 1B1-B4). The “4E” mutation contains four glutamic acid substitutions, whereas the “4K” contains four lysine substitutions; all mutations are placed in the second SNARE-motif of SNAP-25 and were shown to decrease and increase the rate of spontaneous miniature release, respectively [12]. Optimization of Primary neurons culturing conditions allowed us to establish a protocol, which provides functional synapses thin enough for direct imaging by cryo-ET. Astrocytes were added to 12 well plates and were grown for 2 days. After 2 days, the medium was exchanged to a medium that favors neuronal growth and impedes astrocyte growth. At the same time a droplet of the neuronal suspension was added onto a flame sterilized EM grid and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C, hereafter the grids were placed into the 12 well plates containing the astrocytes. Neurons were grown for 10-14 days until plunge freezing and were then analyzed at a Titan Krios by cryo-ET (Supplementary Figure S1C and D, Supplementary Figure S2, Supplementary Movie S2, Supplementary table S2). Thereby, we could image chronically overactive or inactive synapses and relate presynaptic architectural modifications to different functional states.

Increased membrane curvature at the onset of exocytosis

We analyzed the morphology of SVs fusing with the AZ PM. As explained above, synaptosomes of a single grid have not all been stimulated for the same duration (Figure 2). The time interval between triggering exocytosis and freezing ranged between 0 ms and the interval between spray droplets hitting the grid and freezing, which was comprised between 7 and 35 ms depending on the experiments (see [30]). This offered the unique possibility to observe SV exocytosis events immediately after their initiation, and even before membranes have started to mix.

Synaptosomes from both control and sprayed grids were thoroughly analyzed for signs of exocytosis, which consisted of morphological changes of the AZ PM and the tethered SV occurring upon stimulation, which are described hereafter. These signs were only detected in synaptosomes from

sprayed grids. We analysed non-sprayed tomograms not only acquired specifically for this study but also from past studies and from hundreds of SVs at the active zone we found no sign of exocytosis. Thereafter the snapshots of exocytosis are presented in the most parsimonious chronological order. Upon stimulation, both the vesicle membrane and the PM were slightly bent towards each other (Figure 3B1-B3; orange arrows; n=8). These structures, which have previously been reported in liposomes but not in synapses, have been referred to as membrane curvature events [11]. Control synaptosomes (i.e. not sprayed) on the other hand, had a straight PM, and no SV membrane was buckled (Figure 3A). Following membrane bending, we observed contacts between vesicles and the PM bilayer where both membranes lose their clear contours (Figure 3C1 & C2; pink arrows; n=3). This was followed by further transitioning states prior to and during pore opening (Figure 3D-F; blue arrows; n=8). In the next observed fusion state, the vesicle was wide open (Figure 3G; n=1), followed by almost completely collapsed vesicles where only a small bump on the PM remained visible (Figure 3H; n=14). These structures were not observed in any of the non-sprayed control datasets. Interestingly, using high-pressure freezing and freeze-substitution, Imig et al. observed very similar structures in mouse hippocampal organotypic slices [4]. Nevertheless, very fine membrane deformations and SV deformations such as in Figure 3B1-3 were not reported before, possibly because the required sample preservation to image them is only provided by cryo-ET.




Figure 3: SV exocytosis morphology. Tomographic slice of non-stimulated (A) and stimulated rat synaptosomes (B-H). A) Image of a 2.2-nm thick tomographic slice showing a non-stimulated with SVs at the AZ and a straight PM. B1) Membrane curvature event, 2.2-nm thick tomographic slice. B2) Membrane curvature event, 6.5-nm thick tomographic slice. B3) Membrane curvature event, 2.24 nm thick tomographic slice. Orange arrows showing membrane curvature event. C1,C2) Lipid perturbations of PM and SV, 22-nm thick tomographic slices. The space between SV and PM is denser than in the non-stimulated synaptosomes (see pink arrow). D-F) Vesicles with a pore opening that might be on the way to full collapse fusion, 33-nm thick tomographic slice thickness: 22 nm (D), 30.8 (E), 33 nm (F). G) Wide pore opening, most likely on the way to full collapse fusion, 2.2-nm tomographic slice. H) Remaining bump at the end of full collapse fusion, 11-nm thick tomographic slice. Scale bar, 50 nm. Total number of observations of each type of exocytosis events: B, 8; C, 3; D, 3; E, 2; F, 3; G, 1; H, 14. Events of type B to E were classified as early, while events of type F to H were classified as late.

Stimulated synaptosome datasets were divided into early and late fusion stages, respectively, based on the morphology of SV and AZ PM. Synapses showing membrane bending and direct lipid contact between SV and PM without an open pore were classified as early fusion (Figure 3B-E). Those with an open pore or a remaining small bump of a fully collapsed vesicle were classified as late fusion (Figure 3F-H).

Synaptic vesicle distribution is impacted by synaptic activity

Non-sprayed rat synaptosomes as well as WT-SNAP-25 mouse cultured neuron synapses showed typical SV distribution, as observed in previous cryo-ET studies (Figure 4)[13]. Vesicle occupancy in WT-SNAP-25 synapses was 0.13 in the proximal zone (0-45 nm from the AZ PM), dropped to 0.09 in the

intermediate zone (45-75 nm), rose to 0.16 in the distal I zone (75-150 nm), decreased to 0.14 in the distal II zone (150-250 nm), and decreased further to 0.11 in the distal III zone (450-900 nm, Figure 4A).




Figure 4: SV distribution. (A, B) Vesicle occupancy expressed as fraction of cytosol volume occupied by vesicles as a function of distance to AZ in (A) cultured neurons and (B) synaptosomes. Each bar is the average value of a distance group of all tomograms of the same treatment/genotype. Each dot represent the occupancy of a distance group in a single tomogram. Statistical test: multiple all against reference pairwise ANOVA comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg correction. The reference distance group was the intermediate one. The reference experimental conditions were the WT genotype (A) and non sprayed synaptosomes (B), respectively. Comparisons between distance groups were performed only within the reference experimental conditions (C, D) Distance of proximal SVs from the AZ. Each dot represents the value of an individual SV. Horizontal line: mean; whiskers: 2xSEM interval. Statistical test: multiple all against reference pairwise ANOVA comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg correction; * : $P < 0.05$, ** : $P < 0.01$ after Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

The absolute values differ between WT cultured mouse neurons and non-stimulated rat synaptosomes but the SV occupancy distribution follows the same pattern. The difference in absolute values can likely be attributed to the different experimental and animal models used. Sprayed synaptosomes that were showing early signs of exocytosis had a nearly identical SV occupancy pattern as non-sprayed synaptosomes (Figure 4B, dark blue and gray, respectively). However, when SV full collapse figures were apparent, SV occupancy in the proximal zone was reduced ($P < 0.08$ with Benjamini-Hochberg correction), whereas SV occupancy further away from the AZ PM was unchanged. This is consistent with some membrane proximal SVs having engaged in exocytosis, while none of the recycling and reserve pool SVs have. In order to investigate the consequences of chronic high or low synaptic activity, we investigated the 4E and 4K mutants (Figure 4A, green and gold, respectively). In the proximal zone, SV were significantly less concentrated in the constitutively active 4K mutant than in the WT ($P < 0.05$, ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). This can be readily attributed to the high probability of spontaneous exocytosis generated by the additional positive charges of the SNARE bundle. Furthermore, the proximal SVs of both mutants were located significantly further away from the active zone plasma membrane ($P < 0.05$ in both cases, ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction, Figure 4C). The larger mean distance between proximal SVs and plasma membrane in the 4E mutant might result the repulsion between negative charges present in SNAP-25 and on the plasma membrane. In the case of the 4K mutant, the larger mean distance may be due to the high spontaneous exocytic activity of this mutant. Indeed, SVs getting in close proximity of the plasma membrane have a very high probability of fusing and therefore "disappear". Of note, no significant difference in the mean distance between proximal SVs and the plasma membrane was observed following stimulation in rat synaptosomes (Figure 4D). In the most distal zones of neuronal synapses, SV occupancy in both mutants mostly got lower than in the WT although the differences were not significant (4A).

Proximal vesicles form additional tethers following stimulation

We investigated the tethering state of proximal SVs (i.e. the SVs whose center is located within 45 nm of the AZ PM) prior to and following stimulation in synaptosomes. In non-sprayed synaptosomes, 54% of the proximal vesicles were tethered, which is in agreement with previous results (Supplementary Figure S3B) [13]. Interestingly, in the early fusion group the fraction of tethered proximal vesicles significantly increased to 80% ($P<0.05$, χ^2 test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). In the late fusion group, however, 53% of the proximal vesicles were tethered, which is not significantly different to the non-sprayed group. The average number of tethers per proximal SV followed the same pattern. Proximal SVs had 0.89 ± 0.12 tethers in the non-sprayed group (Figure 5D). This parameter significantly rose to 2.09 ± 0.33 in the early fusion group ($P<0.001$, ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction), while it returned to 1.00 ± 0.20 in the late fusion group.




Figure 5: Proximal SV tethering. (A, B) Fraction of proximal SVs that are triple tethered. Each bar shows the overall fraction of all proximal SVs from a given experimental condition. Each dot represents the value of an individual active zone. Statistical test: multiple all against control pairwise χ^2 -test comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg correction. The reference was the WT genotype (A) or non sprayed synaptosomes (B). (C, D) Number of tethers per proximal SV. Each dot represents an individual SV. The vertical line represents the mean value, and the horizontal whiskers correspond to the 95% confidence interval. Statistical test: multiple all against control pairwise ANOVA comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg correction; *: $P<0.05$, **: $P<0.01$, ***: $P<0.001$.

We then analyzed whether the decreased occupancy in the late fusion group was associated with a decreased number of triple-tethered SVs (defined as SV with at least three tethers), which as mentioned in the introduction are suggested to belong to the RRP. In resting, non-sprayed synapses, 8% of the proximal SVs were triple-tethered (Figure 5B). Surprisingly, the fraction of triple-tethered proximal SVs drastically increased to 29% in the early fusion group ($P<0.01$, χ^2 test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). The fraction decreased to 13% in the late fusion group. This suggests that upon stimulation some proximal SVs very rapidly acquire new tethers. Using our definition of the RRP (vesicles that are triple-tethered) this would indicate that the RRP rapidly increases after stimulation and more vesicles become primed for exocytosis. Furthermore, the lower proximal vesicle occupancy in the late fusion group indicates that under our stimulation conditions, replenishing vesicles to the proximal zone is slower than their release.

The situation in the WT-SNAP-25 neurons was similar to unstimulated synaptosomes. 53% of the proximal SVs were tethered and 17% of the proximal SVs belonged were triple-tethered (Supplementary Figure S3A and Figure 5A. On average, proximal SVs had 1.17 ± 0.23 tethers (Figure 5C). The corresponding values for the 4E mutants were not significantly different (15% and 0.96 ± 0.18 , respectively). However, in all 4K mutant datasets there was not a single SV that was part of the RRP, i.e. triple-tethered. Consistently, the number of tethers per proximal SV was significantly lower in the 4K mutant than in the WT (Figure 5C, $P<0.05$, ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). These results are in line with physiological measurements that have shown that the RRP is depleted in the chronically spontaneously active 4K mutant, and they provide additional evidence that RRP-vesicles have at least 3 tethers. [12].

Synaptic activity modifies inter-SV connectivity

The majority of SV are linked to other SVs via molecular bridges previously termed connectors [13,14]. The function and composition of connectors are not clear yet. It was earlier proposed that connectors limit SV dispersion and allow SV mobilization for release. It is generally assumed that synapsin is involved in connector formation and may be one of its components. It has been suggested that connectors reduce SV mobility and maintain a local high SV concentration in the presynapse. The connectivity level of an individual SV might be one of the factors defining the pool to which the SV belongs. To shed some light on the role of connectors, we analyzed SV connectivity in our datasets. We focused our analysis to the SVs located at distance of the AZ PM lower than 250 nm in synaptosomes and lower than 900 nm in neurons. Furthermore, we defined 6 distance groups: proximal (0-45 nm), intermediate (45-75 nm), distal I (75-150 nm), distal II (150-250 nm), distal III (250-450 nm), and distal IV (450-900 nm) similarly to previous studies [13,32]. We first analyzed synaptosomes. In non-sprayed synaptosomes datasets, approximately 70% of the proximal and intermediate SVs were connected to other vesicles. In distal I and II regions, this value rose to 84% ($P<0.05$ χ^2 test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction) and 87% ($P<0.001$), respectively (Figure 6D). Similarly, the number of connectors per vesicle significantly increased from the proximal region (1.63 ± 0.17) to the distal I region (2.39 ± 0.10 , $P<0.001$ ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction) and the distal II region (2.92 ± 0.10 , $P<0.001$, Figure 6B). We then compared the number of connectors per SV between non-sprayed synaptosomes and early fusion or late fusion synaptosomes. In the proximal group there were significantly more connectors in the early fusion group than in the non sprayed group (1.63 ± 0.17 and 2.69 ± 0.43 , $P<0.05$ ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction) and this number significantly dropped to 0.95 ± 0.18 in the late fusion group ($P<0.05$, Figure 6B). Consistently, the number of connectors per non triple-tethered proximal SV went from 1.64 ± 0.17 in the non-sprayed group, rose significantly to 2.69 ± 0.54 in the early fusion group ($P<0.05$ ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction) and dropped to 0.91 ± 0.19 in the late fusion group ($P<0.05$, Figure 6F). Taken together, our observations indicate that following depolarization, the number of connectors per proximal SV with less than 3 tethers (i.e. non-RRP) first increases and then decreases to a value lower than the initial one. We have seen earlier that the fraction of tethered proximal SVs does not differ between non-sprayed and late fusion synaptosomes (Figure S3B). Thus, our data suggest that establishing connectivity is a slower process than tethering. We hypothesize that given the free space made in the proximal region after some SVs have fused, non-connected vesicles from the intermediate region diffuse to the proximal zone and become tethered to the AZ PM. Only subsequently, these newly tethered vesicles get interconnected. Furthermore, we have observed that connectors remained present between fusing SV and neighbor SV (Supplementary Figure S4). This, in addition to passive diffusion, pulling towards the plasma membrane of SV connected to fusing SV can contribute to replenishing the RRP.




Figure 6: SV connectivity. (A, B) Number of connectors per SV as a function of their distance to the AZ PM for mouse neurons (A) and rat synaptosomes (B). Each bar represents the mean value of all SVs of the subgroup. The lines represent 2xSEM intervals. Statistical tests: multiple all against reference pairwise ANOVA comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Within a single experimental condition, the reference was the proximal distance group; within a single distance group, the reference was the WT genotype (A) or non sprayed synaptosomes (B). (C, D) Fraction of connected vesicles as a function of distance to the AZ PM for mouse neurons (C) and rat synaptosomes (D). Each bar shows the overall fraction of all SVs in a given distance group and a given experimental condition. Each dot represents the corresponding value of an individual active zone. Statistical test: multiple all against reference pairwise χ^2 -test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction; references were defined as in (A) and (B). (E, F) Number of connectors per proximal SV not belonging or belonging to the RRP for mouse neurons (E) and rat synaptosomes (F). (G, H) Number of connectors per non-tethered or tethered proximal SV for mouse neurons (G) and rat synaptosomes (H). Statistical tests in (E-H): multiple all against control pairwise ANOVA comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Control was WT genotype or non sprayed synaptosomes. In all statistical tests, *: P<0.05, **: P<0.01, ***: P<0.001, after Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

We then analyzed SNAP-25 neurons. For SNAP-25-WT, similarly to non-sprayed synaptosomes, the fraction of connected SVs was significantly higher in the distal II and III regions than in the proximal region ($p<0.05$ and $P<0.01$, respectively, χ^2 test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction), albeit the absolute values were overall lower than in synaptosomes (Figure 6C). Consistently, the number of connectors per SV in SNAP-25-WT synapses increased from 1.95 ± 0.38 in the proximal region to 3.23 ± 0.21 in the distal II region (Figure 6A, $P<0.05$, ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). The fraction of connected SVs in the distal II region was significantly lower in the 4E and 4K mutant than in the WT ($p<0.05$, χ^2 test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction, Figure 6C). This was supported by a significantly lower number of connectors per SV in the distal II region for the 4E mutant versus the WT ($P<0.001$, ANOVA test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction) as well as for the 4K mutant versus the WT ($P<0.001$, Figure 6A). Furthermore, this number was also significantly lower in the distal III and IV regions for the 4E mutant vs the WT ($P<0.001$). Consistently the fraction of connected SVs was lower in

the distal II, III, and IV regions for the 4E mutant vs the WT ($P<0.05$, $P<0.001$, and $p<0.05$, respectively, χ^2 test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction, Figure 6C). The fraction of connected SVs was not different in the 4K mutant versus the WT, except in the distal IV region where it was higher ($P<0.05$ χ^2 test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction) The number of connectors per proximal SV was not affected by the mutations (Figure 6A, E and G). These results indicate that prolonged abnormal exocytotic activity is correlated with severe changes in intervesicular connectivity in the distal region.

Discussion

Due to its transient nature, SV exocytosis has been difficult to characterize morphologically. A number of questions remain partially unresolved to this date. In particular, it has been suggested that following Ca^{2+} entry, the insertion of synaptotagmin-1 into the membrane induces an increase in membrane curvature, which lowers the energy barrier of fusion. Such membrane deformations have been observed in biochemically reconstituted models of exocytosis but have not yet been reported in functional synapses [11,33]. Moreover, it is not clear whether the membrane deformation occurs subsequently to Ca^{2+} influx or if primed SVs and their PM counterpart present such deformation [33]. The optimal sample preservation delivered by cryo-ET makes it possible to investigate the role of tethers located between SVs and the AZ PM and the function of inter-SV connectors. Combining cryo-ET with spray-mixing plunge-freezing enabled us to investigate the morphological changes occurring immediately after depolarization.

It should be noted that our study has some uncertainties and limitations. One uncertainty concerns the delay between stimulation and freezing. In future studies, this uncertainty could be reduced by stimulating exocytosis with a flash of light, on samples either expressing channelrhodopsin or containing caged calcium. Nonetheless, accessing sub-millisecond delays, which is required to observe the early stages of SV exocytosis would be technically quite challenging with light stimulation. The identity of the proteins composing the tethers and connectors represents another uncertainty. We are working towards the development of a genetic tag visible in cryo-ET and we hope to resolve this limitation. Finally, we could only analyse a restricted number of specimens. This was mainly due to two factors. Samples were relatively thick and finding suitably thin synapses required extremely time-consuming screening. In future studies we will resort to cryo-focused ion beam (cryo-FIB) milling to prepare thin lamellas. Recent development now allow accurate correlation of the milling area with fluorescent markers. Furthermore, recent automation in both cryo-FIB milling and in cryo-ET acquisition will considerably speed up the process. Manual segmentation of SVs was possibly the most serious bottleneck. We have developed a deep-learning based procedure for accurate segmentation of SVs, which massively reduces the burden of this step (manuscript in preparation).

Membrane curvature increases following depolarization

Depolarization through spraying droplets of KCl solution on synaptosomes milliseconds before freezing allowed us to capture snapshots of exocytosis (Figure 3B1-B3). In spite of the uncertainty on the exact delay between stimulation and freezing, our approach allowed access to shorter delays than any other time-resolved cryo-EM technique. The temporal sorting of observed exocytosis snapshots was done in the most parsimonious way. We observed that the curvature of some PM regions facing some SVs increased following depolarization. The SV facing such a PM buckling also seemed to get kinked. These deformations were not seen in non-sprayed synaptosomes. This indicates that in functional synapses exocytosis starts with a Ca^{2+} -dependent membrane deformation, which is supported by a wealth of in vitro biochemical data [11,34]. Deformation may be caused in part by the intercalation of synaptotagmin-1 C2A and C2B domains between membrane head groups. A recent biophysical study indicated that C2A and C2B preferably insert in SV membrane and PM, respectively [35]. It may also be due to the tension/force induced by SNARE-complex zippering [36]. Subsequent snapshots showed a fuzzy contact point between the SV and the PM, which likely corresponds to lipid

splaying or the merging of the two membranes. Membrane fusion then occurred and yielded classical Ω -figures with variable neck diameters. Finally, nearly fully collapsed SVs were imaged. Overall our observations support the standard model of full collapse SNARE-dependent membrane fusion [37,38] and reveal details of exocytosis early stage, prior to actual membrane fusion.

SV local concentration correlates with SV connectivity

SV local concentration - a.k.a SV occupancy - is tightly correlated with the distance from the AZ PM. Under resting conditions, SV occupancy shows a minimum in the intermediate region (45-75 nm away from the AZ) (Figure 4A-B), in agreement with previous reports [13]. By definition, all SVs in the proximal region are directly facing the PM. Their high concentration can be attributed to the fact that more than 50% of them are tethered to the PM. On the other hand, the number of connectors per SV and SV connectivity is generally higher in the distal regions (Figure 6A-D). This increased value correlates with the higher occupancy. Thus, we may hypothesize that SV local concentration is a function of their level of tethering to the PM and of connection with other SVs. Interestingly, under short stimulation of a few milliseconds, SV occupancy only decreases in the proximal region, as a consequence of the fusion of SVs with the PM (Figure 4B). In order to further assess the relation between SV tethering, connectivity, and occupancy, we analyzed synapses bearing expressing either WT SNAP-25, a more positively charged mutant (4K), or a more negatively charged mutant (4E) [12]. The 4K mutant has a decreased energy barrier to membrane fusion and causes constitutively active exocytosis, whereas the 4E mutant shows a decreased exocytotic activity because of a higher energy barrier to membrane fusion. The 4K mutant had a significantly decreased proximal SV occupancy, while there was no significance difference in the case of the 4E mutant (Figure 4A). The decrease was probably due to the high frequency of spontaneous exocytosis observed in the 4K mutant [12]. In the intermediate, and distal I regions, the occupancy of both mutants were very similar to the one of the WT. In more distal regions, the variability in occupancy between individual active zones strongly increased and made intergroup comparisons difficult. Our data show that strong disturbances in exocytotic activity lead to profound differences in SV connectivity. We note that a correlation exists between SV connectivity and concentration. Future studies will be necessary to assess whether SV concentration depends on the SV connectivity and to decipher the molecular mechanism influencing these parameters.

SNAP-25 4K mutant further supports the RRP morphological definition

Previously, we showed that the number of tethers of a SV defines whether its exocytosis can be induced by treatment with a hyperosmotic sucrose solution, which corresponds to a definition of the RRP [13,14]. We reported that SVs with at least 3 tethers belong to the RRP, according to this definition. In order to further assess this model, we analyzed synapses of neurons expressing the SNAP-25 mutants. 17% of the WT proximal SVs had 3 tethers or more. Critically, the 4K mutant had none such SV. As the RRP (assessed with hyperosmotic sucrose treatment) in this mutant was formerly shown through functional assays to be depleted, our present observation further supports our morphological definition of the RRP [12]. 15% of the proximal SVs had 3 tethers or more in the 4E mutant, which is very similar to the WT situation, while this mutant was shown to possess a normal-sized RRP. Our observations are also consistent with a number of studies that have concluded that SV exocytosis requires a minimum of three SNARE complexes [8,9,10].

Depolarization rapidly induces additional tethering in proximal vesicles

We compared SV tethering before and shortly after depolarization. Our observations are schematically summarized in Figure 2. Interestingly, the fraction of proximal SVs that were tethered increased by 50% shortly after stimulation, in synapses showing early signs of exocytosis.

Simultaneously, the number of tethers per proximal SV more than doubled and, the fraction of proximal SVs with 3 or more tethers tripled. In presynaptic terminals presenting more advanced stages of exocytosis (Ω -figures), all these measurements returned to pre-stimulation values. These data indicate that immediately after the onset of stimulation a quick and massive increase in tethering occurs. This phenomenon was resolved in our measurements, because the spraying of synaptosomes with an intermediate K^+ -concentration made it possible to isolate synaptosomes in an early stage of fusion, which would have been missed during either strong or chronic stimulation, which would deplete primed vesicles.

The phenomenon of rapid, depolarization-induced tethering leads to some free proximal SVs becoming tethered to the AZ PM, while some previously single- or double-tethered SVs gained the additional tether(s) that according to our definition of the RRP (as triple-tethered vesicles) would be expected to render them releasable [13]. There are several important implications of this finding. First, the increase of the number of tethers during the initial membrane contact - in excess of the three tethers formed during priming - might help overcome the fusion barrier. Functional reconstruction led to the suggestion that SNARE-complexes primarily form downstream of Ca^{2+} -influx [39], whereas mutagenesis studies in cells supported the notion that SNARE-complexes had already formed before arrival of the Ca^{2+} -trigger, i.e. during priming [40]. In fact, both notions might be partly correct, as the formation of a low number of SNARE-complexes might lead to a stable primed state, defined by a valley in the energy landscape due to the dual inhibitory/stimulatory features of the SNARE-complex [12,41], whereas more SNARE-complexes might form dynamically after triggering, during membrane fusion itself. Accordingly, in *in vitro* fusion assays additional SNARE-complexes, above those required for fusion pore formation, leads to fusion pore stabilization and release of larger cargos [10,42]. Second, vesicles that have not formed three tethers before stimulation might fuse with delayed kinetics during triggering, which accounts for the variable exocytosis kinetics among SVs [43,44,45,46]. Superprimed vesicles are expected to have formed the largest number of tethers before stimulation [43,44]. Third, overlapping protein complexes might be involved in priming and triggering, depending on the timing of their formation. Accordingly, triggering that stimulates tether-formation might also stimulate priming for those vesicles that were not tethered before stimulation. Indeed, a number of recent publications have suggested that some SVs can get primed extremely quickly in response to Ca^{2+} influx [46,47,48,49,50].




Figure 7: Model depicting a synapse transitioning from resting state to early and late fusion states. Tethering and connectivity changes upon synapse stimulation are depicted. Proximal non triple-tethered vesicles (black proximal SVs) gain additional tethers and some of them become triple-tethered (yellow SVs) shortly after stimulation. Primed vesicles then fuse with the plasma membrane (late fusion) and leave an empty space in the AZ cytoplasm. The number of connectors (depicted in blue) per proximal SV decreases in late fusion triple-tethered vesicles. The red arrow shows a vesicle initially located in the intermediate region, which diffuses to the proximal region in the late fusion state. Tethers are shown in green.

Conclusion

Our study revealed fine morphological changes occurring in the presynaptic terminal immediately after the onset of exocytosis, as well as in chronically active or inactive synapses. It indicates increased SV tethering induced the rise in presynaptic Ca^{2+} , potentially corresponding to SV superpriming, and

preceding SV fusion. It also highlights modifications of proximal SV interconnections in response to evoked exocytosis, as well as more drastic modifications of distal SV interconnections in chronically active synapses and in inactive synapses. These changes likely affect SV mobility and recruitment at the AZ.

Materials and methods

Constructs and viruses

SNAP-25B was N-terminally fused to GFP and cloned into a pLenti construct with a CMV promoter [51]. Mutations were made using the QuikChange II XL kit (Agilent). The mutations were verified by sequencing and have been published before [12]. The preparation of lentiviral particles followed standard protocols.

Animals

Synaptosomes were prepared from adult male or female Wistar rats obtained from the central animal facilities of the Department of Biomedical Research of the University of Bern. Adult male or female Wistar rats at an age of 6-8 weeks were slightly stunned by CO₂ and quickly decapitated with a guillotine. The procedures used were in accordance with the Swiss Veterinary Law guidelines. Heterozygous SNAP-25 KO C57/Bl6-mice were routinely backcrossed to Bl6 to generate new heterozygotes. The strain was kept in the heterozygous condition and timed heterozygous crosses and caesarean section were used to recover knockout embryos at embryonic day 18 (E18). Pregnant females were killed by cervical dislocation; embryos of either sex were collected and killed by decapitation. Permission to keep and breed SNAP-25 mice was obtained from the Danish Animal Experiments Inspectorate and followed institutional guidelines as overseen by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Newborn (P0-P2) CD1 outbred mice of either sex were used to create astrocytic cultures and for that were killed by decapitation.

Synaptosome preparation

Rat synaptosomes were prepared as previously described [52], with some modifications. The cerebral cortex and the hippocampi were removed in sucrose buffer (SEH: 0.32 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM HEPES; HEPES, #H4034, Sigma-Aldrich Corporate Offices. St. Louis, MO, USA) on ice. Homogenization of the tissue was done in SEH with a Potter-Elvehjem grinder (#358011, Wheaton. Millville, New Jersey, USA), four strokes at the bottom and 6 from top to bottom were applied to the tissue at a speed of 800 turns/min as described in [52]. The whole process from decapitation to homogenization was done within 2-3 min, to obtain functional synaptosomes. Homogenized tissue was then centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min at 4°C to remove meninges and blood vessels. The resulting supernatant containing synaptosomes, but also gliosomes and mitochondria was then added to a discontinuous, isoosmotic Percoll (#P1644, Sigma) gradient with 5%, 10% and 23% in 0.32 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA in centrifuge tubes (#344060, Beckman Coulter). The samples were spun in an ultracentrifuge (rotor: SW 40 Ti; Beckman Coulter. Nyon, Switzerland) at 16400 rpm for 12 min at 4°C. The layer with the highest amount of functional synaptosomes was between 10-23 % [52]. The layer was carefully taken out and diluted 1:10 in HEPES buffered medium (HBM; 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM NaHCO₃, 1.2 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Glucose, 20 mM HEPES). The obtained solution was further spun with an ultracentrifuge (rotor 45 Ti; Beckman Coulter) at 11200 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. The pellet was carefully and quickly aspirated with a Pasteur pipette to avoid mixture with the solution and then diluted in HBM.

Preparation of astrocytic and neuronal culture

The procedure has been published before [53]. Glial cells were ready to be used after 10 days. Once they were triturated and counted with a Buerker chamber, 100,000 cells/ml were plated onto untreated 12-well plates containing DMEM supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 10000 IU penicillin, 10 mg streptomycin and 1% MEM non-essential amino acids (DMEM+10% FBS).

Astrocytes were isolated from CD1 outbred mice (P0-P2). Pups were killed by decapitation and heads were placed in HBSS-HEPES medium (HBSS supplemented with 1 M HEPES). The cortices were isolated from the brains and the meninges were removed (dura, pia and arachnoid mater). The cortices were chopped into smaller fragments and transferred to a tube containing 0.25% trypsin dissolved in Dulbecco's MEM (DMEM). Fragments were incubated for 15 min at 37°C. Subsequently, inactivation medium (12.5 mg albumin + 12.5 mg trypsin-inhibitor in DMEM+10% FBS) was added and the tissue washed with HBSS-HEPES. Tissue was triturated until a smooth cloudy suspension appeared. Cells were plated in 75 cm² flasks with pre-warmed DMEM, one hemisphere per flask, and stored at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Glial cells were ready to be used after 10 days. Glial cells were washed with pre-warmed HBSS-HEPES. Trypsin was added and the flasks were incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Cells were triturated and counted with a Buerker chamber before plating 100,000 cells/ml on untreated 12-well plates containing DMEM+10% FBS. After 2 days, neurons were plated.

Hippocampal neurons were isolated from E18 SNAP-25 KO of either sex. The SNAP-25 KO pups were obtained by pairing two heterozygote animals, and the embryos were recovered at E18 by caesarean section. Pups were selected based on the absence of motion after tactile stimulation and bloated neck [54]; the genotype was confirmed by PCR in all cases. The pups were killed by decapitation and heads were put in HBSS-HEPES medium. The cortices were isolated from the brains and the meninges were removed. The hippocampi were cut from the cortices before being transferred to a tube containing 0.25% trypsin dissolved in HBSS-HEPES solution. Fragments were incubated for 20 min at 37°C. Afterwards, the tissue was washed with HBSS-HEPES. The hippocampi were triturated and the cell count was determined with a Buerker chamber. 20 µl of solution containing 250,000 cells/ml were plated onto the flame sterilized gold R2/2 or R2/1 EM grids as previously described in [53]. Following a 30-min incubation at 37°C, the grid was transferred into the 12-well plate containing the astrocytes and medium was replaced with NB medium (Neurobasal with 2% B-27, 1 M HEPES, 0.26% lutamax, 14.3 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10000 IU penicillin, 10 mg streptomycin) for the E18 pups. Between 4 h and 1 day later, lentiviral particles carrying either SNAP-25-WT, SNAP-25-4E, or SNAP-25-4K constructs were added to the culture [12]. The cultures were incubated for 12 to 14 days before being plunge frozen.

Plunge freezing and spray-mixing

Rat synaptosomes were prepared for plunge freezing and spray-mixing as follows. The following steps from incubation to plunge freezing were all done at room temperature (RT), equivalent to 23-25°C. The synaptosomal solution was incubated with calcein blue AM (#C1429, Molecular Probes-Thermo Fisher Scientific. Waltham, MA, USA) 30 min prior to plunge freezing to visualize the cytosol of functional – esterase containing – cellular compartments such as synaptosomes. Additionally, 1.3 mM CaCl₂ and 10 nm gold fiducials were added (gold fiducials, #s10110/8. AURION Immuno Gold Reagents & Accessories. Wageningen, The Netherlands). CaCl₂ is necessary to trigger exocytosis and gold fiducials are important to align the acquired tilt series for tomogram reconstruction. The sprayed solution contained 1 mM CaCl₂ and 52 mM KCl in HBM to depolarize synaptosomes and trigger exocytosis. It also contained fluorescein (#46955, Sigma) to trace the spray droplets on the EM grid in cryo-FM. The synaptosomal solution was applied to a 200-mesh lacey finder carbon film grid (#AGS166-H2. Agar Scientific. Elektron Technology UK Ltd. Stansted, UK). Excess liquid on the grid was removed by blotting with a filter paper and the grid was immediately plunge frozen in liquid ethane with a homebuilt plunge freezer and was sprayed on the fly. The plunge freezer and the spraying device (atomizer) were computer controlled with a LabView script (National Instruments Corporation. Mopac Expwy Austin, TX, USA). The spraying device was set similarly to the device in [30]. Nitrogen gas pressure necessary to drive spraying was set to 2.5 bar. The grid was set to pass in front of the spray

nozzle at a distance of 3-4 mm. The plunge freezer was accelerated to 0.75 m/s and the minimum spray delay was ~7 ms. The atomizer sprays scattered droplets of various size on the EM grid. During the time lapse between spraying and freezing the content of the droplets spreads by diffusion. KCl diffuses approximately 4x faster than fluorescein. Cryo-ET imaging was done within the diffusion distance of KCl but outside of the visible spray droplet because the center of the spray droplet would usually be too thick for imaging. This reduces the effective stimulation duration to anything between 0 ms and less than the given spray-freeze delay. Moreover, through diffusion, KCl concentration rapidly rises and then decreases. Hence synaptosomes are not permanently depolarized.

After 12 to 14 days of incubation grids with mouse neurons were plunge frozen with a Vitrobot (Thermofisher Scientific, Mark IV) with a blot time of 3 s and a blot force of -10. Wait time and drain time were not used. Humidity was set to 100% at 4°C. 4 μ l undiluted 10 nm BSA gold tracer (Aurion) was added directly onto the grid prior to plunge freezing.

Cryo-fluorescence microscopy

After plunge freezing, rat synaptosome samples were imaged at the fluorescent microscope under cryo conditions, with a Zeiss Axio Scope.A1, equipped with an AxioCam MRm camera (Carl Zeiss AG, Germany), and a fluorescence lamp (HXP 120 C). The correlative microscopy stage (#CMS196, Linkam Scientific Instruments, UK) was cooled down to -190°C by liquid nitrogen and the frozen EM grid was placed into the chamber of the cryostage on a bridge that was partially submerged in liquid nitrogen and was close to the objective, where the temperature was around -150°C. The filter set used for imaging fluorescein was #38 (#000000-1031-346, Zeiss) (BP 470/40, FT 495, BP 525/50; corresponds to GFP) and the one for calcein blue AM was #49 (#488049-9901-000, Zeiss) (G 365, FT 395, BP 445/50; corresponds to DAPI). The objective used was either a 10x (#420941-9911, NA = 0.25 Ph1, Zeiss) or a 50x (#422472-9900, NA = 0.55 Dic, Zeiss), the acquisition software used was AxioVision (AxioVs40x64 V 4.8.3.0, Zeiss) and the processing software was ZEN lite (Zeiss).

Cryo-electron microscopy

Following cryo-FM, the rat synaptosome grids were mounted in a cryo-holder (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA, USA) and transferred to a Tecnai F20 (FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) which was set to low dose conditions, operated at 200 kV, and equipped with a field emission gun. Images were recorded with a 2k x 2k CCD camera (Gatan) mounted after a GIF Tridiem post-column filter (Gatan) operated in zero-loss mode. The sample was kept at about -180°C. Tilt series were acquired using SerialEM [55] for automated acquisition recorded typically from -50° to 50° with a 2° angular increment and an unbinned pixel size of 0.75 or 1.2 nm. Due to sample thickness (400-700 nm), tomograms were usually not recorded with higher tilt angles. Defocus was set between -8 to -12 μ m and the total electron dose used was about 80-100 e⁻/Å². Some tomograms were acquired at a Titan Krios equipped with a K2 direct electron detector (Gatan) without energy filter. The K2 camera was operated in superresolution counting mode and between 8-40 frames per tilt angle were taken. Tilt series were acquired using the Latitude software (Gatan) for automated acquisition recorded typically from -60° to 60° with a 2° angular increment and an unbinned pixel size of 0.6 nm. Defocus was set between -8 to -12 μ m and the total electron dose used was about 80-100 e⁻/Å². Prior to image processing the frames at each tilt angle, frames were aligned and averaged in 2dx MC_Automator [56] with motioncor [57]. 3D reconstruction was done in IMOD [58]. The alignments were done using the automated fiducial tracking function and the 3D reconstructions were done using the weighted back projection followed by a nonlinear anisotropic diffusion (NAD) filtering. Following tomogram reconstruction only synaptosomes that fulfilled the following criteria were used: 1) even and non-broken PM, 2) synaptic cleft still attached to the presynapse, 3) spherical vesicles, and 4) a mitochondrion in the presynapse necessary to cover the energy demands of the synapse. These criteria indicate that the synaptosome is functional [59].

Cultured mouse neurons tilt series were acquired at a Titan Krios, equipped with a Falcon 3 direct electron detector (Thermofisher Scientific) without energy filter. The Falcon camera was operated in linear mode. Tilt series were acquired using the TEM Tomography software (TFS) for automated acquisition recorded typically from -60° to 60° with a 2° angular increment and an unbinned pixel size of 0.37 nm. Defocus was set between -6 to -10 μm and the total electron dose used was about 80-100 e⁻/Å². Tomogram reconstruction was done as for synaptosome datasets.

Manual and automatic segmentation procedures

Manual segmentation of SVs, mitochondria, and the active zone PM was done in IMOD (Supplementary Figure S1B and D, Supplementary [Movies S1](#) and [S2](#)). The boundary marked the region to be analyzed by Pyto [60]. The analysis by Pyto was essentially the same as described previously [13] [60]. In short, the segmented area is divided in 1 voxel thick layers parallel to the active zone for distance calculations. A hierarchical connectivity segmentation detects densities interconnecting vesicles (so-called connectors) and densities connecting vesicles to the active zone PM (so-called tethers) (Supplementary Figure S1B and D, Supplementary [Movies S1 & S2](#)). Distance calculations are done with the center of the vesicle. Mainly default settings were used. The segmentation procedure is conservative and tends to miss some tethers and connectors because of noise. Consequently, the numbers of tethers and connectors should not be considered as absolute values but rather to compare experimental groups. All tomograms analyzed by Pyto were obtained on the same microscope with the same tilt range. The margin of error for false negatives and positives was found to be less than 10% by comparison with ground truth [60]. As it was done before, an upper limit was set between 2100 and 3200 nm³ on segment volume. The tomograms that were used for this analysis were binned by a factor of 2 to 3, resulting in voxel sizes between 2.1 and 2.4 nm. Tether and connector length were calculated using the midpoint method [60]. From the stimulated synaptosomes only those that showed visible signs of exocytosis were used for analysis in Pyto.

Data analysis

If not stated otherwise data in the text are described as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Wherever possible, data were presented as box plots with the following settings: orange bar, median; box extremities, lower and upper quartiles; whiskers extend up to 1.5 x interquartile range; dots, outliers. Multiple pairwise ANOVA comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg correction was used [61]. All against control and/or all against reference pairwise comparisons were performed. See figure legends for details. In addition, for data that required to be split into discrete bins (e.g. fraction of connected vesicles by distance to active zone), pairwise χ² tests with Benjamini-Hochberg correction were used. We did not apply statistical methods to predetermine sample size but similar sample sizes as previously reported have been used [13]. It was not necessary to apply randomization.

Manuscript preparation

The manuscript was written with the open and collaborative scientific writing package Manubot [62]. The source code and data for this manuscript are available at https://github.com/aseedb/synaptic_tomo_ms.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Figures




Figure S1: Representative slices through tomograms. (A, B) Tomographic slice without (A) and with (B) segmentation of synaptosome with late fusion events. (C,D) Tomographic slice without (C) and with (D) segmentation of WT SNAP-25 neurons. Segmentation colors: off-white = cell outline; pink = active zone; blue = synaptic vesicles; green = mitochondria; yellow = connectors, red = tethers. Scale bar, 100 nm.




Figure S2: 3-D rendered segmented tomograms of neuron synapses. (A) SNAP-25 WT, (B) SNAP-25-4E, (C) SNAP-25-4K. (left) Overview, (right) detail. Blue: synaptic vesicles; purple: active zone plasma membrane; green: endoplasmic reticulum-like organelle; yellow: connectors; red: tethers. Scale bars: 100 nm, except in .




Figure S3: (A, B) Histogram of the number of tethers per proximal SV. Statistical test: pairwise χ^2 -test between control and each experimental condition in the 0-tether group with Benjamini-Hochberg correction. *: $P < 0.05$. (C, D) Histogram of the number of connectors per proximal non-RRP SV. (E, F) Histogram of the number of connectors per RRP SV. (G, H) Histogram of connected SV amongst tethered or non-tethered proximal SVs. (I, J) Histogram of connected SV amongst proximal non-RRP or RRP SVs. (A, C, E, G, I) Synapses in mouse cultured neurons. (B, D, F, H, J) Rat synaptosomes.





Figure S4: (A, B) Tomographic slices showing tethered connected vesicles. Blue arrows highlight the connectors. Scale bar, 50 nm.

Supplementary Movies




▶ 0:00 / 0:18

[]



Movie S1: Tomogram with segmentation of synaptosome with late fusion events. off-white = cell outline; pink = active zone; blue = synaptic vesicles; dark green = mitochondria; light green = large vesicles; yellow = connectors, red = tethers, scale bar 100nm



► 0:00 / 0:39 ● ▶ ⟲ ⟳ 🔍 ⏪ ⏴

Movie S2: Tomogram with segmentation of WT SNAP-25 neurons. off-white= cell outline; pink = active zone; blue = synaptic vesicles; green = large vesicles; yellow = connectors, red = tethers, scale bar 100nm

Supplementary Tables

Table S1: Summary of the synaptosome tomograms.

ID	Time point [ms]	Vesicles per Tomogram	Tethers per AZ	AZ surface area [μm^2]	Connectors per synapse (0-250 nm)
Control 1	0	220	15	0.11	331
Control 2	0	104	8	0.04	264
Control 3	0	127	4	0.05	230
Control 4	0	143	3	0.03	482
Control 5	0	213	12	0.11	361
Control 6	0	104	7	0.06	199
Control 7	0	184	9	0.03	360
Control 8	0	132	19	0.09	226
Control 9	0	134	6	0.08	326
Spray 1	late	697	5	0.03	272
Spray 2	late	115	3	0.08	88
Spray 3	late	429	21	0.19	882
Spray 5	early	534	57	0.07	1412
Spray 5_2 (second AZ, same synaptosome)	early		32	0.15	
Spray 6	late	371	1	0.03	397
Spray 7	early	107	5	0.02	156
Spray 8	late	99	4	0.02	202
Spray 10	late	76	4	0.02	96

Table S2: Summary of the neuron tomograms.

ID	Mutation	Vesicles per Tomogram	Tethers per AZ	AZ surface area [μm^2]	Connectors per synapse (0-250 nm)
73	4E	0-459	23	0.23	269
80	4E	105	6	0.21	84
84	4E	109	10	0.12	107
88	4E	154	10	0.13	159
102	4E	103	0	0.29	94
114	4K	123	2	0.09	68
115	4K	137	1	0.26	70
116	4K	278	1	0.13	154

ID	Mutation	Vesicles per Tomogram	Tethers per AZ	AZ surface area [μm^2]	Connectors per synapse (0-250 nm)
12_3	4K	55	3	0.09	52
12_8	WT-KO	243	12	0.18	683
13_2	WT-KO	126	2	0.24	110
13_3	WT-KO	505	27	0.17	229
13_4	WT-KO	600	7	0.34	144

Author contributions

JR, RS, JBS, and BZ designed the study. JR, RS, and Anna K performed the experiments. KG provided access to and assistance at one of the Titan Krios microscopes. JR, RS, and BZ analyzed the data. VL, UL, and Amin K contributed to the Pyto analysis. JR, RS, JBS, and BZ wrote the manuscript with contribution from all authors. JBS and BZ supervised the project.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Marek Kamínek for maintaining the electron microscope and supporting its use in Bern, and Tillmann Hanns Pape for support in specimen preparation and electron microscope operation in Copenhagen. Data was acquired on a machine supported by the [Microscopy Imaging Center \(MIC\) of the University of Bern](#), a machine supported by the [Core Facility for Integrated Microscopy \(CFIM\) of the University of Copenhagen](#), and a machine supported by the Center for Cellular Imaging and NanoAnalytics, Biozentrum, University of Basel. This work was funded through the grants mentioned in the author list.

References

1. **Vesicle Docking in Regulated Exocytosis**
Matthijs Verhage, Jakob B Sørensen
Traffic (2008-09) <https://doi.org/bjtx2n>
DOI: [10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00759.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00759.x) · PMID: [18445120](#)
2. **Neurotransmitter Release: The Last Millisecond in the Life of a Synaptic Vesicle**
Thomas C Südhof
Neuron (2013-10) <https://doi.org/f5gng4>
DOI: [10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.022) · PMID: [24183019](#) · PMCID: [PMC3866025](#)
3. **The readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles**
Pascal S Kaeser, Wade G Regehr
Current Opinion in Neurobiology (2017-04) <https://doi.org/gbkfsd>
DOI: [10.1016/j.conb.2016.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2016.12.012) · PMID: [28103533](#) · PMCID: [PMC5447466](#)
4. **The Morphological and Molecular Nature of Synaptic Vesicle Priming at Presynaptic Active Zones**
Cordelia Imig, Sang-Won Min, Stefanie Krinner, Marife Arancillo, Christian Rosenmund, Thomas C Südhof, JeongSeop Rhee, Nils Brose, Benjamin H Cooper
Neuron (2014-10) <https://doi.org/gcvj2v>
DOI: [10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.009) · PMID: [25374362](#)
5. **The Synaptic Vesicle Release Machinery**
Josep Rizo, Junjie Xu
Annual Review of Biophysics (2015-06-22) <https://doi.org/gjnb9q>
DOI: [10.1146/annurev-biophys-060414-034057](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-060414-034057) · PMID: [26098518](#)
6. **Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 Å resolution**
RBryan Sutton, Dirk Fasshauer, Reinhard Jahn, Axel T Brunger
Nature (1998-09) <https://doi.org/cwkm8k>
DOI: [10.1038/26412](https://doi.org/10.1038/26412) · PMID: [9759724](#)
7. **Sequential N- to C-terminal SNARE complex assembly drives priming and fusion of secretory vesicles**
Jakob B Sørensen, Katrin Wiederhold, Emil M Müller, Ira Milosevic, Gábor Nagy, Bert L de Groot, Helmut Grubmüller, Dirk Fasshauer
The EMBO Journal (2006-02-23) <https://doi.org/dhpwm3>
DOI: [10.1038/sj.emboj.7601003](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601003) · PMID: [16498411](#) · PMCID: [PMC1409717](#)
8. **Single Vesicle Millisecond Fusion Kinetics Reveals Number of SNARE Complexes Optimal for Fast SNARE-mediated Membrane Fusion**
Marta K Domanska, Volker Kiessling, Alexander Stein, Dirk Fasshauer, Lukas K Tamm
Journal of Biological Chemistry (2009-11) <https://doi.org/chdxqp>
DOI: [10.1074/jbc.m109.047381](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m109.047381) · PMID: [19759010](#) · PMCID: [PMC2797286](#)
9. **Fast Vesicle Fusion in Living Cells Requires at Least Three SNARE Complexes**
Ralf Mohrmann, Heidi de Wit, Matthijs Verhage, Erwin Neher, Jakob B Sørensen
Science (2010-10-22) <https://doi.org/c7q87p>
DOI: [10.1126/science.1193134](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1193134) · PMID: [20847232](#)
10. **SNARE Proteins: One to Fuse and Three to Keep the Nascent Fusion Pore Open**

Lei Shi, Qing-Tao Shen, Alexander Kiel, Jing Wang, Hong-Wei Wang, Thomas J Melia, James E Rothman, Frédéric Pincet
Science (2012-03-16) <https://doi.org/gk8gpf>
DOI: [10.1126/science.1214984](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1214984) · PMID: [22422984](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22422984/) · PMCID: [PMC3736847](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3736847/)

11. **Membrane Curvature in Synaptic Vesicle Fusion and Beyond**
Harvey T McMahon, Michael M Kozlov, Sascha Martens
Cell (2010-03) <https://doi.org/d3gmvy>
DOI: [10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.017) · PMID: [20211126](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20211126/)
12. **An Electrostatic Energy Barrier for SNARE-Dependent Spontaneous and Evoked Synaptic Transmission**
Marvin Ruiter, Anna Kádková, Andrea Scheutzow, Jörg Malsam, Thomas H Söllner, Jakob B Sørensen
Cell Reports (2019-02) <https://doi.org/gfv5gd>
DOI: [10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.103](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.103) · PMID: [30811985](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30811985/)
13. **Quantitative analysis of the native presynaptic cytomatrix by cryoelectron tomography**
Rubén Fernández-Busnadio, Benoît Zuber, Ulrike Elisabeth Maurer, Marek Cyrklaff, Wolfgang Baumeister, Vladan Lučić
Journal of Cell Biology (2010-01-11) <https://doi.org/b9c26b>
DOI: [10.1083/jcb.200908082](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200908082) · PMID: [20065095](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20065095/) · PMCID: [PMC2812849](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC2812849/)
14. **Molecular architecture of the presynaptic terminal**
Benoît Zuber, Vladan Lučić
Current Opinion in Structural Biology (2019-02) <https://doi.org/gk8gpd>
DOI: [10.1016/j.sbi.2019.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2019.01.008) · PMID: [30925443](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30925443/)
15. **Definition of the Readily Releasable Pool of Vesicles at Hippocampal Synapses**
Christian Rosenmund, Charles F Stevens
Neuron (1996-06) <https://doi.org/c76twy>
DOI: [10.1016/s0896-6273\(00\)80146-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80146-4)
16. **Properties of Synaptic Vesicle Pools in Mature Central Nerve Terminals**
Anthony C Ashton, Yuri A Ushkaryov
Journal of Biological Chemistry (2005-11) <https://doi.org/cb5883>
DOI: [10.1074/jbc.m504137200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m504137200) · PMID: [16148008](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16148008/)
17. **Vesicle release probability and pre-primed pool at glutamatergic synapses in area CA1 of the rat neonatal hippocampus**
Eric Hanse, Bengt Gustafsson
The Journal of Physiology (2001-03) <https://doi.org/fq7th3>
DOI: [10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.0481.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.0481.x) · PMID: [11230520](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11230520/) · PMCID: [PMC2278469](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC2278469/)
18. **Reluctant Vesicles Contribute to the Total Readily Releasable Pool in Glutamatergic Hippocampal Neurons**
KL Moulder
Journal of Neuroscience (2005-04-13) <https://doi.org/dwqpxj>
DOI: [10.1523/jneurosci.5231-04.2005](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.5231-04.2005) · PMID: [15829636](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15829636/) · PMCID: [PMC6724923](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6724923/)
19. **Synaptic vesicle pools: an update**
Denker
Frontiers in Synaptic Neuroscience (2010) <https://doi.org/d74dd7>
DOI: [10.3389/fnsyn.2010.00135](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsyn.2010.00135) · PMID: [21423521](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21423521/) · PMCID: [PMC3059705](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3059705/)

20. **The cytoskeletal architecture of the presynaptic terminal and molecular structure of synapsin 1.**
N Hirokawa, K Sobue, K Kanda, A Harada, H Yorifuji
Journal of Cell Biology (1989-01-01) <https://doi.org/ddbqhb>
DOI: [10.1083/jcb.108.1.111](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.108.1.111) · PMID: [2536030](#) · PMCID: [PMC2115350](#)
21. **Three-Dimensional Architecture of Presynaptic Terminal Cytomatrix**
L Siksou, P Rostaing, J-P Lechaire, T Boudier, T Ohtsuka, A Fejtova, H-T Kao, P Greengard, ED Gundelfinger, A Triller, S Marty
Journal of Neuroscience (2007-06-27) <https://doi.org/bjw3mv>
DOI: [10.1523/jneurosci.1773-07.2007](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.1773-07.2007) · PMID: [17596435](#) · PMCID: [PMC6672225](#)
22. **Physical determinants of vesicle mobility and supply at a central synapse**
Jason Seth Rothman, Laszlo Kocsis, Etienne Herzog, Zoltan Nusser, Robin Angus Silver
eLife (2016-08-19) <https://doi.org/f9rmkt>
DOI: [10.7554/elife.15133](https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.15133) · PMID: [27542193](#) · PMCID: [PMC5025287](#)
23. **Activity-Dependence of Synaptic Vesicle Dynamics**
Luca A Forte, Michael W Gramlich, Vitaly A Klyachko
The Journal of Neuroscience (2017-09-27) <https://doi.org/gch7j3>
DOI: [10.1523/jneurosci.0383-17.2017](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0383-17.2017) · PMID: [28954868](#) · PMCID: [PMC5666583](#)
24. **Synapsin dispersion and reclustering during synaptic activity**
Ping Chi, Paul Greengard, Timothy A Ryan
Nature Neuroscience (2001-10-29) <https://doi.org/cpdwc7>
DOI: [10.1038/nn756](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn756) · PMID: [11685225](#)
25. **Interactions of synapsin I with small synaptic vesicles: distinct sites in synapsin I bind to vesicle phospholipids and vesicle proteins.**
F Benfenati, M Bähler, R Jahn, P Greengard
Journal of Cell Biology (1989-05-01) <https://doi.org/d65t4v>
DOI: [10.1083/jcb.108.5.1863](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.108.5.1863) · PMID: [2497106](#) · PMCID: [PMC2115532](#)
26. **Visualization of Synaptic Vesicle Movement in Intact Synaptic Boutons Using Fluorescence Fluctuation Spectroscopy**
Randolf Jordan, Edward A Lemke, Jurgen Klingauf
Biophysical Journal (2005-09) <https://doi.org/cdpkkv>
DOI: [10.1529/biophysj.105.061663](https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.105.061663) · PMID: [15980175](#) · PMCID: [PMC1366711](#)
27. **Synapsin Selectively Controls the Mobility of Resting Pool Vesicles at Hippocampal Terminals**
A Orenbuch, L Shalev, V Marra, I Sinai, Y Lavy, J Kahn, JJ Burden, K Staras, D Gitler
Journal of Neuroscience (2012-03-21) <https://doi.org/f3wq83>
DOI: [10.1523/jneurosci.5058-11.2012](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.5058-11.2012) · PMID: [22442064](#) · PMCID: [PMC3492757](#)
28. **High Mobility of Vesicles Supports Continuous Exocytosis at a Ribbon Synapse**
Matthew Holt, Anne Cooke, Andreas Neef, Leon Lagnado
Current Biology (2004-02) <https://doi.org/b9g9h2>
DOI: [10.1016/j.cub.2003.12.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2003.12.053) · PMID: [14761649](#)
29. **Synaptic vesicle exocytosis captured by quick freezing and correlated with quantal transmitter release.**
JE Heuser, TS Reese, MJ Dennis, Y Jan, L Jan, L Evans
Journal of Cell Biology (1979-05-01) <https://doi.org/c6jbrp>
DOI: [10.1083/jcb.81.2.275](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.81.2.275) · PMID: [38256](#) · PMCID: [PMC2110310](#)

30. **Analysis of transient structures by cryo-microscopy combined with rapid mixing of spray droplets**
John Berriman, Nigel Unwin
Ultramicroscopy (1994-12) <https://doi.org/ctwp5j>
DOI: [10.1016/0304-3991\(94\)90012-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3991(94)90012-4)
31. **Correlative microscopy: Bridging the gap between fluorescence light microscopy and cryo-electron tomography**
Anna Sartori, Rudolf Gatz, Florian Beck, Alexander Rigort, Wolfgang Baumeister, Juergen M Plitzko
Journal of Structural Biology (2007-11) <https://doi.org/cp5krz>
DOI: [10.1016/j.jsb.2007.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2007.07.011) · PMID: [17884579](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17884579/)
32. **Cryo-electron tomography reveals a critical role of RIM1 α in synaptic vesicle tethering**
Rubén Fernández-Busnadio, Shoh Asano, Ana-Maria Oprisoreanu, Eri Sakata, Michael Doengi, Zdravko Kochovski, Magdalena Zürner, Valentin Stein, Susanne Schoch, Wolfgang Baumeister, Vladan Lučić
Journal of Cell Biology (2013-05-27) <https://doi.org/f4x2mj>
DOI: [10.1083/jcb.201206063](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201206063) · PMID: [23712261](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23712261/) · PMCID: [PMC3664715](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3664715/)
33. **<scp>SNARE</scp> and regulatory proteins induce local membrane protrusions to prime docked vesicles for fast calcium-triggered fusion**
Tanmay AM Bharat, Jörg Malsam, Wim JH Hagen, Andrea Scheutzow, Thomas H Söllner, John AG Briggs
EMBO reports (2014-02-03) <https://doi.org/f2qg4d>
DOI: [10.1002/embr.201337807](https://doi.org/10.1002/embr.201337807) · PMID: [24493260](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24493260/) · PMCID: [PMC3989697](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3989697/)
34. **Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Neurotransmitter Release**
Josep Rizo
Annual Review of Biophysics (2022-05-09) <https://doi.org/gqd39d>
DOI: [10.1146/annurev-biophys-111821-104732](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-111821-104732) · PMID: [35167762](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35167762/) · PMCID: [PMC9490555](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC9490555/)
35. **Phosphatidylinositol 4,5 Bisphosphate Controls the cis and trans Interactions of Synaptotagmin 1**
Sarah B Nyenhuis, Anusa Thapa, David S Cafiso
Biophysical Journal (2019-07) <https://doi.org/gnk9cp>
DOI: [10.1016/j.bpj.2019.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2019.06.016) · PMID: [31301806](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31301806/) · PMCID: [PMC6701194](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6701194/)
36. **Single Reconstituted Neuronal SNARE Complexes Zipper in Three Distinct Stages**
Ying Gao, Sylvain Zorman, Gregory Gundersen, Zhiqun Xi, Lu Ma, George Sirinakis, James E Rothman, Yongli Zhang
Science (2012-09-14) <https://doi.org/f37csk>
DOI: [10.1126/science.1224492](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224492) · PMID: [22903523](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22903523/) · PMCID: [PMC3677750](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3677750/)
37. **Molecular mechanism of fusion pore formation driven by the neuronal SNARE complex**
Satyan Sharma, Manfred Lindau
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2018-11-27) <https://doi.org/gfsd3m>
DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1816495115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816495115) · PMID: [30482862](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30482862/) · PMCID: [PMC6294955](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6294955/)
38. **Caught in the Act: Visualization of SNARE-Mediated Fusion Events in Molecular Detail**
Herre Jelger Risselada, Carsten Kutzner, Helmut Grubmüller
ChemBioChem (2011-03-23) <https://doi.org/bwr34v>
DOI: [10.1002/cbic.201100020](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201100020) · PMID: [21433241](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21433241/)
39. **Synaptotagmin-1 may be a distance regulator acting upstream of SNARE nucleation**

Geert van den Bogaart, Shashi Thutupalli, Jelger H Risselada, Karsten Meyenberg, Matthew Holt, Dietmar Riedel, Ulf Diederichsen, Stephan Herminghaus, Helmut Grubmüller, Reinhard Jahn
Nature Structural & Molecular Biology (2011-06-05) <https://doi.org/dh26xp>
DOI: [10.1038/nsmb.2061](https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2061) · PMID: [21642968](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21642968/) · PMCID: [PMC3130798](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3130798/)

40. **Synaptobrevin N-terminally bound to syntaxin-SNAP-25 defines the primed vesicle state in regulated exocytosis**
Alexander M Walter, Katrin Wiederhold, Dieter Bruns, Dirk Fasshauer, Jakob B Sørensen
Journal of Cell Biology (2010-02-08) <https://doi.org/bsp5dq>
DOI: [10.1083/jcb.200907018](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200907018) · PMID: [20142423](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20142423/) · PMCID: [PMC2819690](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC2819690/)
41. **Opposing functions of two sub-domains of the SNARE-complex in neurotransmission**
Jens P Weber, Kerstin Reim, Jakob B Sørensen
The EMBO Journal (2010-06-18) <https://doi.org/dzkrh2>
DOI: [10.1038/emboj.2010.130](https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2010.130) · PMID: [20562829](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20562829/) · PMCID: [PMC2928689](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC2928689/)
42. **Dynamics and number of trans-SNARE complexes determine nascent fusion pore properties**
Huan Bao, Debasis Das, Nicholas A Courtney, Yihao Jiang, Joseph S Briguglio, Xiaochu Lou, Daniel Roston, Qiang Cui, Baron Chanda, Edwin R Chapman
Nature (2018-01-31) <https://doi.org/gczkdz>
DOI: [10.1038/nature25481](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25481) · PMID: [29420480](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29420480/) · PMCID: [PMC5808578](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5808578/)
43. **Superpriming of synaptic vesicles as a common basis for intersynapse variability and modulation of synaptic strength**
Holger Taschenberger, Andrew Woehler, Erwin Neher
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2016-07-18) <https://doi.org/f8w9vc>
DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1606383113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606383113) · PMID: [27432975](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27432975/) · PMCID: [PMC4978258](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4978258/)
44. **Superpriming of synaptic vesicles after their recruitment to the readily releasable pool**
Jae Sung Lee, Won-Kyung Ho, Erwin Neher, Suk-Ho Lee
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2013-08-26) <https://doi.org/f494g4>
DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1314427110](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314427110) · PMID: [23980146](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23980146/) · PMCID: [PMC3773744](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3773744/)
45. **Merits and Limitations of Vesicle Pool Models in View of Heterogeneous Populations of Synaptic Vesicles**
Erwin Neher
Neuron (2015-09) <https://doi.org/f7whxq>
DOI: [10.1016/j.neuron.2015.08.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.08.038) · PMID: [26402599](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26402599/)
46. **Rapid regulation of vesicle priming explains synaptic facilitation despite heterogeneous vesicle:Ca²⁺ channel distances**
Janus RL Kobbersmed, Andreas T Grasskamp, Meida Jusyte, Mathias A Böhme, Susanne Ditlevsen, Jakob Balslev Sørensen, Alexander M Walter
eLife (2020-02-20) <https://doi.org/gnf2f5>
DOI: [10.7554/elife.51032](https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.51032) · PMID: [32077852](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32077852/) · PMCID: [PMC7145420](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7145420/)
47. **Control of Presynaptic Parallel Fiber Efficacy by Activity-Dependent Regulation of the Number of Occupied Release Sites**
Hartmut Schmidt
Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience (2019-07-17) <https://doi.org/gnk9cw>
DOI: [10.3389/fnsys.2019.00030](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2019.00030) · PMID: [31379524](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31379524/) · PMCID: [PMC6650762](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6650762/)
48. **Calcium-dependent docking of synaptic vesicles**
Melissa Silva, Van Tran, Alain Marty

49. **Synaptic vesicles transiently dock to refill release sites**
Grant F Kusick, Morven Chin, Sumana Raychaudhuri, Kristina Lippmann, Kadidia P Adula, Edward J Hujber, Thien Vu, MWayne Davis, Erik M Jorgensen, Shigeki Watanabe
Nature Neuroscience (2020-09-28) <https://doi.org/gmqhk9>
DOI: [10.1038/s41593-020-00716-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-00716-1) · PMID: [32989294](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32989294/) · PMCID: [PMC8054220](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC8054220/)
50. **Actin- and Myosin-Dependent Vesicle Loading of Presynaptic Docking Sites Prior to Exocytosis**
Takafumi Miki, Gerardo Malagon, Camila Pulido, Isabel Llano, Erwin Neher, Alain Marty
Neuron (2016-08) <https://doi.org/f8z4sn>
DOI: [10.1016/j.neuron.2016.07.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.07.033) · PMID: [27537485](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27537485/)
51. **Differential Abilities of SNAP-25 Homologs to Support Neuronal Function**
I Delgado-Martinez, RB Nehring, JB Sorensen
Journal of Neuroscience (2007-08-29) <https://doi.org/d7mhxn>
DOI: [10.1523/jneurosci.5092-06.2007](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.5092-06.2007) · PMID: [17728451](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17728451/) · PMCID: [PMC6673127](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6673127/)
52. **A rapid Percoll gradient procedure for preparation of synaptosomes**
Peter R Dunkley, Paula E Jarvie, Phillip J Robinson
Nature Protocols (2008-10-16) <https://doi.org/b7zwh8>
DOI: [10.1038/nprot.2008.171](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.171) · PMID: [18927557](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18927557/)
53. **Preparation of Primary Neurons for Visualizing Neurites in a Frozen-hydrated State Using Cryo-Electron Tomography**
Sarah H Shahmoradian, Mauricio R Galiano, Chengbiao Wu, Shurui Chen, Matthew N Rasband, William C Mobley, Wah Chiu
Journal of Visualized Experiments (2014-02-12) <https://doi.org/gmh9w3>
DOI: [10.3791/50783](https://doi.org/10.3791/50783) · PMID: [24561719](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24561719/) · PMCID: [PMC4089403](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4089403/)
54. **Genetic ablation of the t-SNARE SNAP-25 distinguishes mechanisms of neuroexocytosis**
Philip Washbourne, Peter M Thompson, Mario Carta, Edmar T Costa, James R Mathews, Guillermina Lopez-Benditó, Zoltán Molnár, Mark W Becher, CFernando Valenzuela, LDonald Partridge, Michael C Wilson
Nature Neuroscience (2001-12-19) <https://doi.org/fbmxgc>
DOI: [10.1038/nn783](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn783) · PMID: [11753414](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11753414/)
55. **Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements**
David N Mastronarde
Journal of Structural Biology (2005-10) <https://doi.org/ff7gzx>
DOI: [10.1016/j.jsb.2005.07.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2005.07.007) · PMID: [16182563](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16182563/)
56. **2dx_automator: Implementation of a semiautomatic high-throughput high-resolution cryo-electron crystallography pipeline**
Sebastian Scherer, Julia Kowal, Mohamed Chami, Venkata Dandey, Marcel Arheit, Philippe Ringler, Henning Stahlberg
Journal of Structural Biology (2014-05) <https://doi.org/f522h3>
DOI: [10.1016/j.jsb.2014.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2014.03.016) · PMID: [24680783](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24680783/)
57. **Electron counting and beam-induced motion correction enable near-atomic-resolution single-particle cryo-EM**

Xueming Li, Paul Mooney, Shawn Zheng, Christopher R Booth, Michael B Braunfeld, Sander Gubbens, David A Agard, Yifan Cheng

Nature Methods (2013-05-05) <https://doi.org/f4zpjf>

DOI: [10.1038/nmeth.2472](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2472) · PMID: [23644547](#) · PMCID: [PMC3684049](#)

58. **Computer Visualization of Three-Dimensional Image Data Using IMOD**

James R Kremer, David N Mastronarde, JRichard McIntosh

Journal of Structural Biology (1996-01) <https://doi.org/d9nfzw>

DOI: [10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013](https://doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013) · PMID: [8742726](#)

59. **A rapid Percoll gradient procedure for isolation of synaptosomes directly from an S1 fraction: viability of subcellular fractions**

Steven M Harrison, Paula E Jarvie, Peter R Dunkley

Brain Research (1988-02) <https://doi.org/b5tzcr>

DOI: [10.1016/0006-8993\(88\)91384-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(88)91384-4)

60. **Hierarchical detection and analysis of macromolecular complexes in cryo-electron tomograms using Pyto software**

Vladan Lučić, Rubén Fernández-Busnadio, Ulrike Laugks, Wolfgang Baumeister

Journal of Structural Biology (2016-12) <https://doi.org/f9d5t2>

DOI: [10.1016/j.jsb.2016.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2016.10.004) · PMID: [27742578](#)

61. **Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing**

Yoav Benjamini, Yosef Hochberg

Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological) (1995-01)

<https://doi.org/gfpkdx>

DOI: [10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x)

62. **Open collaborative writing with Manubot**

Daniel S Himmelstein, Vincent Rubinetti, David R Slochower, Dongbo Hu, Venkat S Malladi, Casey S Greene, Anthony Gitter

PLOS Computational Biology (2019-06-24) <https://doi.org/c7np>

DOI: [10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007128](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007128) · PMID: [31233491](#) · PMCID: [PMC6611653](#)