

Mathematics — from Eq. (4.14) to Eq. (4.20)"

03 Feb, 2021

(Alexandra
Sempuski)

Or, "Can I do anything right this semester? Perhaps I can!"

Beginning at the expression for the least-squares likelihood function describing our experimental measurements with some added Gaussian noise:

$$\text{prob}(\{D_k\} | \{A_j, x_j\}, M, \mathcal{I}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2}{2}\right), \quad (4.14)$$

where χ^2 can be expressed as: $\chi^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{F_k - D_k}{\sigma_k}\right)^2$, and

$\{\sigma_k\}$ is the Gaussian noise, while $F_k = \int dx \cdot \underbrace{G(x)}_{\text{Model equation}} \cdot \underbrace{B(x_k - x)}_{\text{Background term}} + B(x_k)$.

After defining these quantities, we know we need to get the posterior pdf for M in the end, so we define that next, using Bayes' Theorem:

$$G(x) = \sum_{j=1}^M A_j f(x, x_j), \text{ and}$$

$$f(x, x_j) = \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x_j)^2}{2W^2}\right].$$

↪ Width.

$$\text{prob}(M | \{D_k\}, \mathcal{I}) = \frac{\text{prob}(\{D_k\} | M, \mathcal{I}) \text{prob}(M | \mathcal{I})}{\text{prob}(\{D_k\} | \mathcal{I})}.$$

A uniform prior for each M will drop it such that

$$\text{prob}(M | \{D_k\}, \mathcal{I}) \propto \underbrace{\text{prob}(\{D_k\} | M, \mathcal{I})}_{\text{Marginal likelihood}}. \quad (4.15)$$

This is the marginal likelihood, because $\{A_j, x_j\}$ is not explicitly stated → implies we've marginalized over it.

Marginal integral can be written as:

$$\text{prob}(\{D_k\} | M, \mathcal{I}) = \int \int \int \dots \int \text{prob}(\{D_k\}, \{A_j, x_j\} | M, \mathcal{I}) \cdot d^M A_j \cdot d^M x_j. \quad (4.16)$$

↪ Why here, you ask? →

Well, we need to have this variable on the lhs of the conditional sign to marginalise over it, so we need this expression! However, how do we get that?

↳ By finding a likelihood & a prior:

$$\text{prob}(\{D_k\}, \{A_j, x_j\} | M, I) = \text{prob}(\{D_k\} | \{A_j, x_j\}, M, I) \times \underbrace{\text{prob}(\{A_j, x_j\} | M, I)}_{\text{Need a prior on these parameters.}}$$

Already defined in (4.14)!

For the prior, we choose reasonably ranged uniform pdfs on the x_j & A_j :

$$x_{\min} \leq x_j \leq x_{\max} \quad \& \quad 0 \leq A_j \leq A_{\max}. \quad (4.17)$$

Amplitude cannot be negative here.

Otherwise, the prior is zero.

$$\therefore \text{prob}(\{A_j, x_j\} | M, I) = [(x_{\max} - x_{\min}) \cdot A_{\max}]^{-M} \quad (4.18)$$

(M likes)

∴ Our marginal likelihood becomes:

$$\text{prob}(\{D_k\} | M, I) = \iiint \dots \int \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2}{2}\right) \cdot [(x_{\max} - x_{\min}) \cdot A_{\max}]^{-M} \cdot d^M A_j \cdot d^M x_j$$

∴ We get a posterior of:

$$\text{prob}(M | \{D_k\}, I) \propto [(x_{\max} - x_{\min}) \cdot A_{\max}]^{-M} \cdot \iiint \dots \int \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2}{2}\right) \cdot d^M A_j \cdot d^M x_j \quad (4.19)$$

$(\pi)^M$

Now we make some approximations, namely we assume a set of $2M$ parameters $\vec{x}_0 = \{\lambda_{0j}, x_{0j}\}$ which have the optimal fit to the data (the χ^2 is minimised with these parameters).

Employing an old method of Taylor expanding about this point:

$$\chi^2 \simeq \chi^2_{\min} + \cancel{\vec{\nabla} \chi^2(\vec{x}_0)} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)^T \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{\nabla} \chi^2(\vec{x}_0) \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) + \dots$$

Putting this expansion back in the exponential, we see that:
it equals:

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2_{\min}}{2}\right) \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)^T \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{\nabla} \chi^2(\vec{x}_0) \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)\right]$$

In the posterior we now have:

$$\text{prob}(M | \{D_K\}, I) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2_{\min}}{2}\right) \cdot \underbrace{\int \int \int \dots \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)^T \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{\nabla} \chi^2(\vec{x}_0) \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)\right) \cdot d^{2M} x_j}_{\text{Gaussian integral}}$$

In the Appendix of Sliz, (A.19) gives a general formula for this type of Gaussian integral: $\frac{(2\pi)^{N/2}}{\sqrt{\det(\underline{H})}}$, where N = number of dimensions.

\uparrow
real symmetric matrix

For us, the top factor will be $(4\pi)^{N/2}$ instead of $(2\pi)^{N/2}$, due to the $1/4$ factor in the exponential, instead of the generic $1/2$.

Here $N = 2M$, so we get:

$$\text{prob}(M | \{D_K\}, I) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2_{\min}}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{(4\pi)^{2M/2}}{\sqrt{\det(\vec{\nabla} \vec{\nabla} \chi^2)}} \Rightarrow \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2_{\min}}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{(4\pi)^M}{\sqrt{\det(\vec{\nabla} \vec{\nabla} \chi^2)}}$$

Our matrix. \rightarrow

Lastly, to account for any possible locations of each M , we multiply by $M!$ and get:

$$\text{prob}(M|\{D_k\}, I) \propto \frac{M! \cdot (4\pi)^M}{[(x_{\max} - x_{\min}) A_{\max}]^M \cdot \sqrt{\det(\frac{\partial^2 \chi^2}{\partial \vec{x} \partial \vec{x}})}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2_{\min}}{2}\right). \quad (4.20)$$