Inmas 2021: Modeling and Optimization

Session 1: LP Formulations and Gurobi

Alexander Estes

Optimization problem I

A typical (continuous) optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$$

subject to:

$$g_1(x) \leq 0$$

. . .

$$g_k(x) \leq 0$$

Optimization problem II

Objective function

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

subject to:

$$g_1(x) \leq 0$$

$$g_k(x) \leq 0$$

Optimization problem III

Constraints

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$$

subject to:

$$g_1(x) \leq 0$$
 ...

$$g_k(x) \leq 0$$

Optimization problem IV

Terminology:

- x is *feasible* if satisfies all the constraints.
- feasible region is set of all feasible points.
- ▶ x is *optimal* if satisfies all constraints and $f(x) \le f(y)$ for any other feasible solution y.

Goal:

find an optimal solution.

Why Optimization?

Optimization:

- Provides mathematical approach to making best decisions.
- ► Applicable to many settings:
 - Production scheduling
 - Airline crew scheduling
 - Sports scheduling
 - Portfolio selection
 - Telecommunication network design
 - Design of radiation treatments
 - Molecular biology
 - More

Formulating optimization problems I

Real-world problems are typically broad, sometimes vague questions:

- ► How should I schedule my crew?
- ► How much of each product should I order?
- How should I design my telecommunication network?
- What is the best way to schedule a baseball season?

Formulating optimization problems II

Steps in building a formulation:

- 1. What decisions need to be made?
 - ► Identify decisions.
 - Define variables that represent decisions as numerical vectors.
- 2. What constraints are there on decisions?
 - Define constraints.
- 3. How do we measure quality of decisions?
 - Define an objective function.

Pie-eating contest I

Max is in a pie-eating contest.

- Contest lasts 1 hour.
- Max can eat a torte in 2 minutes.
- Max can eat an apple pie in 3 minutes.
- Tortes are worth 4 points.
- Pies are worth 4 points.

How can Max get the most points?

Pie-eating contest II

What decisions do we have?

- 1. How many tortes should Max eat?
- 2. How many apple pies should Max eat?

Decision variables:

- Let x be the number of tortes eaten by Max.
- Let *y* be the number of pies eaten by Max.

Pie-eating contest III

What constraints are there on decisions?

► Time limit:

$$2x + 3y \le 60$$

Max cannot eat negative quantities of tortes:

$$x \ge 0$$

Max cannot eat negative quantities of apple pies:

$$y \ge 0$$

Pie-eating contest IV

What constraints are there on decisions?

► Time limit:

$$2x + 3y \le 60$$

Max cannot eat negative quantities of tortes:

$$x \ge 0$$

Max cannot eat negative quantities of apple pies:

$$y \ge 0$$

Pie-eating contest V

How do we measure the quality of a decision?

Number of points received in contest:

$$4x + 5y$$

Pie-eating contest VI

Putting it all together:

$$\max 4x + 5y$$

s.t.

$$2x + 3y \le 60$$
$$x \ge 0$$
$$y \ge 0$$

Example feasible solution: x = 10, y = 10. Optimal solution x = 30, y = 0.

Manufacturing example I

There is a manufacturing company.

- ► The company makes *n* different products using *m* different materials.
- $ightharpoonup b_i$ is the amount of raw material i available.
- $ightharpoonup c_j$ be the revenue of producing a single unit of product j.
- a_{ij} be the amount of raw material i used in one unit of product j.

How can the company maximize revenues?

Manufacturing example II

Decision variables:

 \triangleright x_j - units of product j that are produced.

Constraints:

► Cannot use more resources than available:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \le b_i \text{ for } i \in [m]$$

We cannot produce negative quantities of products:

$$x_i \geq 0$$

Objective:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$

Manufacturing example III

Decision variables:

 \triangleright x_i - units of product i that are produced.

Constraints:

► Cannot use more resources than available (matrix form):

$$Ax \leq b$$

We cannot produce negative quantities of products:

$$x \ge 0$$

Objective (vector form):

$$c^{\mathsf{T}}x$$

Manufacturing example IV

Altogether:

 $\max c^{\mathsf{T}} x$

s.t.

$$Ax \le b$$
$$x \ge 0.$$

Linear programming I

Not all optimization problems are tractable.

▶ Need to focus on special tractable cases.

Linear programming II

Linear program:

- Special case of optimization problem
- ► Affine constraints; i.e. each takes the form

$$\alpha^{\mathsf{T}} x \leq \beta$$

for vector α and constant β .

Linear objective function; i.e. takes the form

$$c^{\mathsf{T}}x$$

for some vector c.

- Both previous examples are linear programs.
- Very useful in practice and can be solved efficiently.

Optimality I

There are three possibilities:

- ▶ LP has an optimal solution.
- ► LP is infeasible; e.g.

$$x \le 1$$

$$x \ge 2$$

► LP is *unbounded*; e.g.

$$x \ge 0$$

Optimality II

Note:

- ► An LP can only be unbounded if its feasible region is unbounded.
- Unbounded feasible region does not imply unbounded:

 $\min x$

 $x \ge 0$

Optimality III

In more general settings, an optimization problem may be feasible and bounded while having no optimal solution, e.g.

$$\min_{x \in [1,\infty)} \frac{1}{x}$$

This can never happen in an LP!

Solving LPs with Software I

Many software options available:

- ► CPLEX
- ► COIN-OR
- ► FICO Xpress
- ► GLPK
- Gurobi
- Many others.

Vary in features, cost, open source/proprietary.

Solving LPs with Software I

Three options for using solvers:

- ► Solver interfaces with programming languages.
- Modeling languages.
- Formatted text files.

Solving LPs with Software II

Solver interfaces with programming languages.

- Write code in programming language of choice.
- ► Libraries implemented in that programming language allow you to access solver.
- Main advantage: easy to include optimization model in program.

Solving LPs with Software III

Modeling languages.

- ▶ A language for formulating models in a way that a solver can understand.
- ► Higher level than solver interfaces.
- ► Can be commercial/open source.
- Examples: OPL (bundled with CPLEX), GAMS, AMPL
- Advantage: more intuitive than interfaces with programming languages.
- Disadvantage: harder to incorporate into program.

Solving LPs with Software IV

Formatted text files.

- Formulation is saved as a text file with specific format.
- Solvers can read those text files.
- Advantage: Can avoid dependencies on specific languages/solvers.
- Disadvantages: text file formats are not entirely standardized; more difficult and less intuitive to automate.
- Example use: storing a library of optimization problems

Gurobi example

See "piecontest.py", "productionplanning.py"

Gurobi summary I

Summary of the steps that we took:

Import Gurobi Python interface
from gurobipy import *

2. Create empty model.

```
m = Model("mymodel")
```

3. Add variables.

```
myvar = m.addVar(type, name, lb, ub)
```

4. Add objective.

```
m.setObjective(function, sense)
```

Gurobi summary II

5. Add constraints.

```
m.addConstr(inequality)
```

6. Solve model.

```
m.optimize()
```

7. Check model status.

```
status = m.getAttr("Status")
```

8. Retrieve solution.

```
sol_val = myvar.getAttr("X")
```