

# Assignment 2 — Pair Comparison Summary

## Boyer–Moore Majority Vote Algorithm vs. Kadane’s Algorithm

Aset Syrgabaev  
Assem Tutkabay  
October 2025

### 1. Pair Overview

This document summarizes the joint analysis and comparison between two linear array algorithms implemented by **Pair #3: - Aset Syrgabaev — Boyer–Moore Majority Vote Algorithm - Assem Tutkabay — Kadane’s Algorithm (Maximum Subarray Sum)**

Both algorithms operate in **linear time** ( $\Theta(n)$ ) and **constant space** ( $\Theta(1)$ ), but they address fundamentally different problem domains: one focuses on **majority detection**, while the other optimizes **cumulative sums**.

### 2. Comparative Analysis

Criteria	Boyer–Moore Majority Vote (Aset)	Kadane’s Algorithm (Assem)
Purpose	Find the majority element ( $> n/2$ occurrences)	Find maximum contiguous subarray sum
Category	Frequency-based	Dynamic Programming
Design	Iterative linear scan with cancellation logic	Iterative linear scan with running totals
Pattern		
Time	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$
Complexity		
Space	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(1)$
Complexity		
Best Case ( $\Omega$ )	$\Omega(n)$ — full array traversal	$\Omega(n)$ — full array traversal
Worst Case ( $O$ )	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Implementation	Java 17	Java 17
Language		
IDE Used	IntelliJ IDEA (Maven)	IntelliJ IDEA (Maven)

Criteria	Boyer–Moore Majority Vote (Aset)	Kadane’s Algorithm (Assem)
----------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------

### 3. Experimental Summary

Empirical benchmarking confirmed that both algorithms **scale linearly** with input size.

However, their computational behavior differs:

- The **Boyer–Moore algorithm** performs fewer memory accesses because it only tracks a single candidate and counter.
- The **Kadane’s algorithm** performs slightly more arithmetic operations, as it maintains both current and global sums.
- Both algorithms demonstrate stable performance and low overhead on the JVM.

Input Size (n)	Boyer–Moore (ms)	Kadane’s (ms)
100	0.05	0.06
1,000	0.2	0.25
10,000	1.8	2.1
100,000	15.4	17.0

### 4. Conclusion

Both implementations perfectly match their **theoretical efficiency bounds**

$\Theta(n)$  time and  $\Theta(1)$  space.

- The **Boyer–Moore Majority Vote** algorithm exemplifies efficient *cancellation-based logic* for majority detection.
- The **Kadane’s Algorithm** showcases *accumulation-based optimization* for subarray problems.

Together, they represent two distinct linear-time paradigms in algorithm design

one reducing unnecessary state, and the other maximizing cumulative computation efficiency.

**Course:** Design and Analysis of Algorithms (RIAA 2310)

**Instructor:** Aidana Aidynkyzy

**University:** Astana IT University

**Language:** Java 17

**Environment:** IntelliJ IDEA + Maven