# Supernova MCMC and HMC exercise

## 1 Cosmology with Supernovae Ia

The aim of this exercise is to write an MCMC code to estimate cosmological parameters from supernova Ia data. Supernova Ia are standard candles (or can be made so), so can be used to measure the contents of the Universe.

### 2 Theory and parameters

The flux from a supernova of luminosity L is given by

$$f = \frac{L}{4\pi D_L^2}$$

where  $D_L$  is the Luminosity Distance. In Big Bang cosmology it is given by

$$D_L = \frac{(1+z)c}{H_0\sqrt{|1-\Omega|}} S_k(r),$$

where

$$r(z) = \sqrt{|1 - \Omega|} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_{\rm m}(1 + z')^3 + \Omega_{\rm v} + (1 - \Omega)(1 + z')^2}}.$$

and  $S_k(r) = \sin r, r, \sinh r$ , depending on whether  $\Omega \equiv \Omega_{\rm m} + \Omega_{\rm v}$  is > 1, = 1, or < 1, and z is the observed redshift of the supernova.  $\Omega_{\rm m}, \Omega_{\rm v}$  and  $H_0$  are the density parameters (today) in matter, vacuum energy, and the Hubble constant. It is beyond the scope of these notes to derive this, but it is standard material for an undergraduate cosmology course.

For a flat Universe ( $\Omega = 1$ ), this simplifies to

$$D_L(z) = 3000h^{-1}(1+z)\int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_{\rm m}(1+z')^3 + 1 - \Omega_{\rm m}}} \text{ Mpc},$$

where  $H_0 = 100 h \text{km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ . To avoid evaluating integrals to calculate  $D_L$ , we can use an accurate fitting formula (valid for flat universes only), given by U.-L. Pen, ApJS, 120, 49 (1999):

$$D_L(z) = \frac{c}{H_0}(1+z) \left[ \eta(1,\Omega_m) - \eta\left(\frac{1}{1+z},\Omega_m\right) \right]$$

where

$$\eta(a, \Omega_m) = 2\sqrt{s^3 + 1} \left[ \frac{1}{a^4} - 0.1540 \frac{s}{a^3} + 0.4304 \frac{s^2}{a^2} + 0.19097 \frac{s^3}{a} + 0.066941 s^4 \right]^{-1/8}$$

and  $s^3 \equiv (1 - \Omega_m)/\Omega_m$ . This is accurate to better than 0.4% for  $0.2 \le \Omega_m \le 1$ .

Fluxes are usually expressed in magnitudes, where  $m = -2.5 \log_{10} F + \text{constant}$ . The distance modulus is  $\mu = m - M$ , where M is the absolute magnitude, which is the value of m if the source is at a distance 10pc. With  $D_L$  in Mpc<sup>1</sup>, this is

$$\mu = 25 - 5\log_{10}h + 5\log_{10}\left(\frac{D_L^*}{\text{Mpc}}\right)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There is a simplification in the exercise here; we assume we know what the absolute magnitude (or luminosity) of type I supernovae are, but in fact unless we have supernovae with known distances, we don't. In fact M and h are degenerate, since M is set from low-redshift supernovae where we assume Hubble's law to give us the distance. For the purpose of this exercise, we will cheat.

The Hubble constant has been factored out of  $D_L$ :  $D_L^* \equiv D_L(h=1)$ .

If we have measurements of  $\mu$ , then we can use Bayesian arguments to estimate the parameters  $\Omega_{\rm m}$ ,  $\Omega_{\rm v}$ , h. For anyone unfamiliar with cosmology, these numbers are somewhere between 0 and 1.

#### 3 Data

The data file (from the 'JLA' sample - see http://supernovae.in2p3.fr/sdss\_snls\_jla/ReadMe.html for more detail) consists of data from about 700 supernovae, which are averaged together in 31 narrow bins of redshift, to give a distance modulus  $\mu$  for each bin.

The sample file jla\_mub.txt contains n = 31 pairs of  $(z,\mu)$ , corresponding to bins containing supernovae with z < 1.3.

#### 4 Exercise

Write an MCMC code to estimate h and  $\Omega_{\rm m}$  from the supernova dataset, assuming the Universe is flat and the errors are gaussian<sup>2</sup>, i.e. assume that the likelihood is

$$L \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} [\mu_i - \mu_{\text{th}}(z_i)] C_{ij}^{-1} [\mu_j - \mu_{\text{th}}(z_j)] \right]$$

where  $\mu_{th}$  is the theoretical value of the distance modulus, for which you will need to compute the integral for  $D_L^*$  numerically, using the fitting formula (for a flat Universe). For clarity, we have not written the full dependence of  $\mu_{th}$ ; we should write  $\mu_{th}(z; \Omega_m, h)$ , and indeed it also depends on the (LCDM) model M.

C is the 31  $\times$  31 covariance matrix of the data, provided as a list of numbers in an obvious order, from the website, in the file jla\_mub\_covmatrix.txt.

- h and  $\Omega_m$  are positive, and have values of the rough order of unity
- Assume uniform priors on the parameters (so you estimate the likelihood)
- You might like to start with a very simple 'top-hat' proposal distribution, where the new point is selected from a rectangular region centred on the old point. For this you will need a simple random number generator. Or use a gaussian for each parameter.
- Explore visually the chain when you have (a) a very small proposal distribution, and (b) a very large proposal distribution, for a maximum of 1000 trials. What do you conclude?
- Show how the acceptance probability changes as you change the size of the proposal distribution from very small (say 0.001) to very large (say 100).
- Once you have settled on a 'reasonable' proposal distribution, compute the average value of the parameter estimates under the posterior distribution, and their variances and covariance.
- Optionally, generalise to non-flat Universes and include  $\Omega_{\rm v}$  as an independent parameter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This is largely justified by the averaging over large numbers of supernovae, and using the central limit theorem

## 4.1 Tips

If you are estimating h,  $\Omega_{\rm m}$  and  $\Omega_{\rm v}$ , you can precalculate  $D_L$  for h=1 as a function of  $\Omega_{\rm m}$  and  $\Omega_{\rm v}$ , and do a bilinear interpolation when you are running the chains (and divide by h). You only need to do this at the 31 redshifts of the JLA sample. This will be much faster than computing  $D_L$  every time you change parameters. This is *not* necessary if your parameters are h and  $\Omega_m$  only.