

Case 1: Martingale Pricing and Deflator

Asha de Meij - i6254733

February 6, 2025

Question 1. Prove that (irrespective of the choice of numéraire N_t), the state-price deflator ϕ_t is always the same. Hint: use the change of numéraire theorem from slide 29.

The following equation from slide 29 represents the **martingale pricing formula**, which holds for two different numéraires N_t and M_t :

$$V_t = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^N} \left[\frac{N_t}{N_T} X_T \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^N} \left[\frac{N_t}{N_T} \frac{M_T}{M_t} \frac{M_t}{M_T} X_T \right] = M_t \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^N} \left[\left(\frac{N_t}{N_T} \frac{M_T}{M_t} \right) \frac{X_T}{M_T} \right] = M_t \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^M} \left[\frac{X_T}{M_T} \right].$$

where $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^M}{d\mathbb{Q}^N} = \frac{N_t}{N_T} \frac{M_T}{M_t}$ as stated in W&M, Ch 11.

However, the martingale pricing formula can be rewritten and we derive following:

$$Q_t = M_t \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^M} \left[\frac{X_T}{M_T} \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^M} \left[\frac{M_t}{M_T} X_T \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\left(\frac{M_t}{M_T} \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^M}{d\mathbb{P}} \right) X_T \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} [\phi_T X_T]$$

as well as:

$$Q_t = N_t \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^N} \left[\frac{X_T}{N_T} \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^N} \left[\frac{N_t}{N_T} X_T \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\left(\frac{N_t}{N_T} \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^N}{d\mathbb{P}} \right) X_T \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} [\phi_T X_T]$$

Since both formulas (regardless of the chosen numéraire) lead to the same expectation, we can conclude the following:

$$\phi_T^{(M)} = \frac{M_t}{M_T} \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^M}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{N_t}{N_T} \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^N}{d\mathbb{P}} = \phi_T^{(N)}$$

This confirms that irrespective of the choice of numéraire the state-price deflator ϕ_t is always the same.

Question 2. Do the following calculations with the Black-Scholes deflator of slide 33. Show that $\frac{1}{\phi_t} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T \cdot 1] = e^{-r(T-t)}$ (correct price for bond) and $\frac{1}{\phi_t} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T S_T] = S_t$ (correct price for stock).

2.1 Show: $\frac{1}{\phi_t} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T \cdot 1] = e^{-r(T-t)}$

The deflator in the Black-Scholes model is defined as: $\phi_t = \frac{1}{B_t} \left(\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right)_t = e^{-rt} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 t - \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_t^{\mathbb{P}}}$.

At maturity T , the deflator is given by: $\phi_T = e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T - \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_T^{\mathbb{P}}}$.

Thus, its reciprocal is following: $\frac{1}{\phi_T} = e^{rT} e^{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T + \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_T^{\mathbb{P}}}$.

Now we compute $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T \cdot 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T \cdot 1] &= \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} \left[e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T - \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_T^{\mathbb{P}}} \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} \left[e^{-\left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_T^{\mathbb{P}}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $W_T^{\mathbb{P}}$ follows the Brownian motion under measure \mathbb{P} , we can use the moment generating function for a normal variable, and get the following:

$$\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T] = e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T} e^{-\left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_T^{\mathbb{P}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 (T-t)}$$

We can also express T as $T = t + (T - t)$, so we can rewrite the expectation as follows:

$$= e^{-r(T-t)} e^{-rt} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 (T-t)} e^{-\left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_T^{\mathbb{P}}} e^{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 (T-t)}$$

Since $\phi_t = e^{-rt} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 t - \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_t^{\mathbb{P}}}$, we can once again derive a new expression:

$$\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T] = e^{-r(T-t)} \phi_t$$

Now that $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T \cdot 1]$ is computed, we can now compute $\frac{1}{\phi_t} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T \cdot 1]$.

$$\frac{1}{\phi_t} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T \cdot 1] = \frac{1}{\phi_t} e^{-r(T-t)} \phi_t = e^{-r(T-t)}$$

2.2 Show: $\frac{1}{\phi_t} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T S_T] = S_t$

Again, the deflator in the Black-Scholes model is defined as: $\phi_t = e^{-rt} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 t - \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_t^{\mathbb{P}}}$ and the reciprocal is $\frac{1}{\phi_T} = e^{rT} e^{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T + \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right) W_T^{\mathbb{P}}}$.

Since $\frac{1}{\phi_T}$ is known, we can now compute $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T S_T]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}}[\phi_T S_T] &= \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} \left[e^{-rT} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T - \frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} W_T^{\mathbb{P}} \right) S_T \right] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} e^{-rt} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T - \frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} W_T^{\mathbb{P}} \right) S_T \right] \end{aligned}$$

We can recognize that the term $\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T - \frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} W_T^{\mathbb{P}} \right)$ is the **Radon-Nikodym derivative** that transforms the probability measure from \mathbb{P} (the real-world measure) to \mathbb{Q} (the

risk-neutral measure). The Radon-Nikodym derivative is defined as follows according to slide 33:

$$\left(\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}\right)_t = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma}\right)^2 t + \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma}\right)W_t^{\mathbb{P}}}.$$

Using this, we can rewrite the expectation under \mathbb{P} as an expectation under \mathbb{Q} , which results in:

$$\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T - \frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} W_T^{\mathbb{P}} \right) S_T \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}} [S_T].$$

This follows directly from the Girsanov's Theorem, now the drift term changes from μ to r , making the process risk-neutral.

Under \mathbb{Q} we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}} [S_T | \mathcal{F}_t] = S_t e^{r(T-t)}$$

Hence:

$$\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}} [S_T] = S_t e^{r(T-t)}$$

So we can conclude that:

$$\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} [\phi_T S_T] = e^{-r(T-t)} e^{-rt} S_t e^{r(T-t)} = S_t e^{-rt}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} [\phi_T S_T | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} \left[e^{-rT} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 T - \frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} W_T^{\mathbb{P}} \right) S_T | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \right)^2 t - \frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} W_t^{\mathbb{P}} \right) S_t e^{-rt}.$$

Now multiplying this by $\frac{1}{\phi_t}$ gives us $\frac{1}{\phi_t} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{P}} [\phi_T S_T] = S_t$

Question 3. What is the economic interpretation of the state-price deflator ϕ_T ?

I think that the state-price deflator is a helpful tool that enables to express uncertain future cash flows in terms of the present value. It acts as a discounting factor that accounts for fluctuations in the general price level over time. The discount factor integrates both the risk-free rate and the additional risk tied to the uncertainty of future cash flows. By incorporating uncertainty, it plays a crucial role in asset pricing, ensuring arbitrage-free valuation. Additionally, it facilitates the transition from real-world probabilities to risk-neutral measures, making it fundamental in financial modeling.