

### Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

### **Executive Summary**

- · Summary of methodologies
  - Data Collection through API
  - Data Collection with Web Scraping
  - Data Wrangling
  - Exploratory Data Analysis with SQL
  - Exploratory Data Analysis with Data Visualization
  - Interactive Visual Analytics with Folium
  - Machine Learning Prediction
- · Summary of all results
  - Exploratory Data Analysis result
  - Interactive analytics in screenshots
  - Predictive Analytics result

2

#### Introduction

· Project background and context

Space X advertises Falcon 9 rocket launches on its website with a cost of 62 million dollars; other providers cost upward of 165 million dollars each, much of the savings is because Space X can reuse the first stage. Therefore, if we can determine if the first stage will land, we can determine the cost of a launch. This information can be used if an alternate company wants to bid against space X for a rocket launch. This goal of the project is to create a machine learning pipeline to predict if the first stage will land successfully

- · Problems you want to find answers
  - What factors determine if the rocket will land successfully?
  - The interaction amongst various features that determine the success rate of a successful landing.
  - What operating conditions needs to be in place to ensure a successful landing program.



# Methodology

#### **Executive Summary**

- Data collection methodology:
  - · Describe how data was collected
- · Perform data wrangling
  - Describe how data was processed
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
  - How to build, tune, evaluate classification models


#### **Data Collection**

- · The data was collected using various methods
  - Data collection was done using get request to the SpaceX API.
  - Next, we decoded the response content as a Json using .json() function call and turn it into a pandas dataframe using .json\_normalize().
  - We then cleaned the data, checked for missing values and fill in missing values where necessary.
  - In addition, we performed web scraping from Wikipedia for Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
  - The objective was to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convertit to a pandas dataframe for future analysis.

7


### Data Collection - SpaceX API

- SpaceX offers a public API from where data can be obtained and then used;
- This API was used according to the flowchart beside and then data is persisted.
- Source code: https://github.com/ashahidullah/ Data-Science-Capstoneby-Shahidullah/blob/main/jupyter labs-spacex-data-collectionapi.ipynb



### **Data Collection - Scraping**

- We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
- We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/ashahidull ah/Data-Science-Capstoneby-Shahidullah/blob/main/jupyte r-labs-spacex-data-collectionapi.ipynb



q

### **Data Wrangling**

- We performed exploratory data analysis and determined the training labels.
- We calculated the number of launches at each site, and the number and occurrence of each orbits
- We created landing outcome label from outcome column and exported the results to csv.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/ashahidullah/Data-Science-Capstone-by-Shahidullah/blob/main/labs-jupyter-spacex-



#### **EDA** with Data Visualization

- The following SQL queries were performed:
  - Names of the unique launch sites in the space mission;
  - Top 5 launch sites whose name begins with the string 'CCA';
  - Total pay load mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS);
  - Average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1;
  - Date when the first successful landing outcome in ground pad was achieved;
  - Names of the boosters which have success in drone ship and have payload mass between 4000 and 6000 kg:
  - · Total number of successful and failure mission outcomes;
  - Names of the booster versions which have carried the maximum payload mass;
  - · Failed landing out comes indroneship, their booster versions, and launch site names for in year 2015; and
  - Rank of the count of landing outcomes (such as Failure (roneship) or Success (ground pad)) between the date 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20.
- Source code: https://github.com/ashahidullah/DataScience-Capstone-by-Shahidullah/blob/main/jupyterlabs-eda-dataviz.ipynb.jupyterlite.ipynb

11

### **EDA** with SQL

- We loaded the SpaceX dataset into a PostgreSQL database without leaving the jupyter notebook.
- We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out for instance:
  - The names of unique launch sites in the space mission.
  - The total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
  - The average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1
  - The total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
  - The failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster version and launch site names.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/ashahidullah/Data -Science-Capstone-by-Shahidullah/blob/main/jupyter-labs-eda-sqlcoursera\_sqllite.ipynb

### Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- Markers, circles, lines and marker clusters were used with Folium Maps
- Markers indicate points like launch sites;
- Circles indicate highlighted areas around specific coordinates, like NASA Johnson Space Center;
- Marker clusters indicates groups of events in each coordinate, like launches in a launch site; and
- Lines are used to indicate distances between two coordinates.
- Source code: https://github.com/ashahidullah/Dat&cience-Capstone-by-Shahidullah/blob/main/lab\_jupyter\_launch\_site\_location.jupyterlite.ipynb

13

### Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- · We built an interactive dashboard with Plotly dash
- We plotted pie charts showing the total launches by a certain sites
- We plotted scatter graph showing the relationship with Outcome and Payload Mass (Kg) for the different booster version.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/ashahidullah/Data-Science-Capstone-by-Shahidullah/blob/main/IBM-DS0321EN-SkillsNetwork\_labs\_module\_4\_SpaceX\_Machine\_Learning\_Prediction\_Part\_5.jupyterlite.ipynb

### Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- We loaded the data using numpy and pandas, transformed the data, split our data into training and testing.
- We built different machine learning models and tune different hyperparameters using GridSearch CV.
- We used accuracy as the metric for our model, improved the model using feature engineering and algorithm tuning.
- We found the best performing classification model.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/ashahidullah/Data Science-Capstone-by-Shahidullah/blob/main/IBM-DS0321EN-SkillsNetwork\_labs\_module\_4\_SpaceX\_Machine\_Learning\_Predicti on\_Part\_5.jupyterlite.ipynb

15


#### Results

- Exploratory data analysis results:
  - Space X uses 4 different launch sites;
  - The first launches were done to Space X itself and NASA;
  - The average payload of F9 v1.1 booster is 2,928 kg;
  - The first success landing outcome happened in 2015 fiver year after the first launch;
  - Many Falcon 9 booster versions were successful at landing in drone ships having payload above the average;
  - Almost 100% of mission outcomes were successful;
  - Two booster versions failed at landing in drone ships in 2015: F9 v1.1 B1012 and F9 v1.1 B1015;
  - The number of landing outcomes became as better as years passed.
- Using interactive analytics was possible to identify that launch sites use to be in safety places, near sea, for example and have a good logistic infrastructure around.
- · Most launches happens at east cost launch sites.




# Flight Number vs. Launch Site

• From the plot, we found that the larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.



### Payload vs. Launch Site






19

# Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

 From the plot, we can see that ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.



### Flight Number vs. Orbit Type


• The plot below shows the Flight Number vs. Orbit type. We observe that in the LEO orbit, success is related to the number of flights whereas in the GTO orbit, there is no relationship between flight number and the orbit.



2


### Payload vs. Orbit Type

• We can observe that with heavy payloads, the successful landing are more for PO, LEO and ISS orbits.



# Launch Success Yearly Trend

 From the plot, we can observe that success rate since 2013 kept on increasing till 2020.



23

#### All Launch Site Names

 We used the key word DISTINCTto show only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data.

```
Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

In [10]:

task_1 = '''

SELECT DISTINCT LaunchSite
FROM SpaceX

create_pandas_df(task_1, database=conn)

Out[10]:

launchsite
0 KSC LC-39A
1 CCAFS LC-40
2 CCAFS SLC-40
3 VAFB SLC-4E
```

# Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'



 We used the query above to display 5 records where launch sites begin with `CCA`

25

### **Total Payload Mass**

 We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596 using the query below

Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

0 total\_payloadmass 0 45596

### Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

 We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2928.4

```
Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1

In [13]:

task_4 = '''

SELECT AVG(PayloadMassKG) AS Avg_PayloadMass
FROM SpaceX
WHERE BoosterVersion = 'F9 v1.1'

create_pandas_df(task_4, database=conn)

Out[13]:

avg_payloadmass

0 2928.4
```

27

# First Successful Ground Landing Date

We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2015

#### Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

We used the WHEREclause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the AND condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

29

#### Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

We used wildcard like '%' to filter for  $\mbox{WHERE}\mbox{\sc MissionOutcome}$  was a

success or a failure.

```
List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes

task_7a = ''

StLECT COUNT(NissionOutcome) A5 SuccessOutcome FROM 5paceX
WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'SuccessN'

task_7b = '''

StLECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) A5 FailureOutcome FROM 5paceX
WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'FailureN'
print('The total number of successful mission outcome is:')
display(create_pandes_df(task_7a, database-conn))
print('The total number of failed mission outcome is:')
create_pandes_df(task_7b, database-conn)

The total number of successful mission outcome is:
successoutcome

0 100

The total number of failed mission outcome is:
failureoutcome

1 failureoutcome

1 failureoutcome

1 failureoutcome

1 failureoutcome
```

### **Boosters Carried Maximum Payload**

 We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the WHEREclause and the MAX() function.



31

#### 2015 Launch Records

We used a combinations of the WHERE clause, LIKE, AND, and BETWEENconditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for

year 2015

#### Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010 -06-04 and 2017-03-20

- We selected Landing outcomes and the COUNTof landing outcomes from the data and used the WHEREclause to filter for landing outcomes BETWEEN2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.
- We applied the **GROUP BY**clause to group the landing outcomes and the **ORDER BY** clause to order the grouped landing outcome in descending order.



Launch Sites
Proximities Analysis

# All launch sites global map markers



35

# Markers showing launch sites with color labels




#### Launch Site distance to landmarks





#### Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site


Total Success Launches By all sites




39

#### Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site

Total Success Launches By all sites




#### Pie chart showing the Launch site with the highest launch success ratio



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

40

# Scatter plot of Payload vs Launch Outcome for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider



We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads




# **Classification Accuracy**

Best params is : {'criterion': 'gini', 'max\_depth': 6, 'max\_features': 'auto', 'min\_samples\_leaf': 2, 'min\_samples\_split': 5, 'splitter': 'random'}

#### **Confusion Matrix**

 The confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier shows that the classifier can distinguish between the different classes.
 The major problem is the false positives .i.e., unsuccessful landing marked as successful landing by the classifier.



44

#### **Conclusions**

#### We can conclude that

- The larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.
- Launch success rate started to increase in 2013 till 2020.
- Orbits ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.
- KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches of any sites.
- The Decision tree classifier is the best machine learning algorithm for this task.

