Table F4: Fuel ethanol consumption estimates, 2015

State	Commercial	Industrial	Transportation	Total	Commercial <sup>a</sup>	Industrial <sup>a</sup>	Transportation <sup>a</sup>	Total <sup>a</sup>
	Thousand barrels				Trillion Btu			
Alabama	107	88	6,455	6,649	0.4	0.3	22.4	23.1
Alaska	31	10	672	713	0.4	(s)	2.3	23.1
arizona	186	177	6,566	6,929	0.6	0.6	22.8	24.1
arkansas	64	75	3.436	3,576	0.0	0.0	11.9	12.4
California	1,043	621	35,617	37,281	3.6	2.2	123.7	129.5
Colorado	1,043	116	5,083	5,340	0.5	0.4	17.6	18.5
Connecticut	96	39	3,529	3,663	0.3	0.4	12.3	12.7
elaware	24	14	1,121	1,159	0.3		3.9	4.0
ist. of Col.	7	4	265	275		(s) (s)	0.9	1.0
lorida	493	402	18,284	19,179	(s) 1.7	1.4	63.5	66.6
ionda Seorgia	215	114	10,488	10,816	0.7	0.4	36.4	37.6
lawaii	32	29	1,073	1,135	0.7	0.4	3.7	37.0
daho	32 35	54	1,675	1,764	0.1	0.1	5.7 5.8	6.1
linois	259	191	1,675	12,175	0.1	0.2	40.7	42.3
ndiana	259 151	96	6,908	7,155	0.9	0.7	40.7 24.0	42.3 24.8
ndiana owa	305	96 86	6,908 4,145	4,536	0.5 1.1	0.3	24.0 14.4	24.8 15.8
owa Kansas	61	86 84	4,145 2,727	4,536 2,872	1.1 0.2	0.3	14.4 9.5	10.0
Kansas Kentuckv	71	51	4,874	2,672 4,996	0.2	0.3	9.5 16.9	17.3
	81	77			0.2		19.5	
ouisiana		77	5,619	5,778		0.3		20.1
Maine	30	22 55	1,741	1,793	0.1	0.1	6.0	6.2
Maryland	172	78	6,717	6,944	0.6	0.2	23.3	24.1
Massachusetts	145 188	78 147	6,680	6,903	0.5 0.7	0.3	23.2 35.3	24.0 36.5
Michigan	190	147	10,162	10,498	0.7	0.5	35.3	30.5
Minnesota	47	41	7,255 4,092	7,594 4,181	0.7	0.5 0.1	25.2 14.2	26.4 14.5
/lississippi	47 128	93	4,092 7,132	4,181 7,354	0.2	0.1	14.2 24.8	14.5 25.5
Missouri Montana		93 35	7,132 1,220	1,354 1,269	0.4	0.3	4.2	
vioniana Nebraska	15 37	67		2,023				4.4
			1,918	2,023	0.1	0.2	6.7	7.0
Nevada	87	46	2,703	2,836	0.3	0.2	9.4	9.8
New Hampshire	35	18	1,664	1,717	0.1	0.1	5.8	6.0
New Jersey	224	129 59	9,727	10,081	0.8	0.4	33.8	35.0
New Mexico	40	59	2,323	2,422	0.1	0.2	8.1	8.4
New York	307	269	12,286	12,862	1.1	0.9	42.7	44.7
North Carolina	360	120 42	9,383	9,862	1.2	0.4	32.6 3.9	34.2
North Dakota	10	149	1,109	1,161	(s)	0.1		4.0
Ohio	286	149	10,962	11,398	1.0	0.5	38.1	39.6
Oklahoma	91 92	85 67	4,264 3,660	4,441 3,819	0.3 0.3	0.3 0.2	14.8 12.7	15.4 13.3
Oregon Pennsvlvania	92 265	143	10.762	11.171	0.3	0.2	37.4	38.8
Pennsylvania Rhode Island		143	10,762		0.9			38.8
Rnode Island South Carolina	21 108	12 55	907 5,982	940 6,145	0.1 0.4	(s) 0.2	3.1 20.8	3.3 21.3
South Carolina South Dakota	13	29	5,982 1,119	6,145 1,162		0.2	20.8 3.9	4.0
ennessee	126	106	7,049	7,281	(s) 0.4	0.1	3.9 24.5	4.0 25.3
exas	492 40	352	30,587 2,549	31,431	1.7	1.2	106.2	109.1
ltah Karmant	40 12	41 9	2,549 662	2,630	0.1	0.1	8.9	9.1
/ermont		9 73	9,127	682 9,420	(s) 0.8	(s) 0.3	2.3 31.7	2.4
/irginia	220	/3						32.7
Vashington	165	104	6,607	6,876	0.6	0.4	22.9	23.9
Vest Virginia	34 120	26 107	1,828	1,888	0.1	0.1	6.3 21.5	6.6
Visconsin			6,195	6,422	0.4	0.4		22.3
Vyoming	43	24	802	869	0.2	0.1	2.8	3.0
Jnited States	7.549	5,080	319,435	332,064	26.2	17.6	1,109.2	1,153.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> In estimating the Btu consumption of fuel ethanol, the Btu content of denaturant (petroleum products added to ethanol to make it unsuitable for human consumption) is removed. This identifies the renewable portion of fuel ethanol and avoids double-counting when summing data across energy sources.

Where shown, (s) = Physical unit value less than 0.5 or Btu value less than 0.05.

Notes: Fuel ethanol blended into motor gasoline, which is accounted for under motor gasoline, is shown separately in this table to display the use of renewable energy. • Totals may not equal sum of components due to independent rounding.

Sources: Data sources, estimation procedures, and assumptions are described in the Technical Notes.