

# Linear Algebra

Ashan Jayamal

2024-08-26



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Vector space</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	$\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$	5
1.2	Definition of Vector space	14
1.3	Subspace	18
<b>2</b>	<b>Exercise 1</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1	Exercise 1A	21
2.2	Exercise 1B	29
2.3	Exercise 1C	43
<b>3</b>	<b>Exercise 02 (Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces)</b>	<b>95</b>
3.1	Exercise 2A	96
3.2	Exercise 2B	120



# Chapter 1

## Vector space

### 1.1 $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$

$\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$

We are already familiar with basic properties of the set of real numbers( $\mathbb{R}$ ).

Some nonconstant polynomials with real coefficients have no real zeros.

**Example:** the equation

$$x^2 + 1 = 0$$

has no real solutions. Thus we invent a solution, called  $i$ , with the property that  $i^2 = -1$ .

Futhur, complex numbers comes when we can take square roots of negative numbers. The idea is to assume we have a square root of  $-1$ , denoted by  $i$  that obeys the usual rules of arithmetic. Here are the formal definition.

**Definition 1.1** (Complex Numbers ).

- A complex number is an ordered pair  $(x, y)$ , where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , but we will write this as  $x + yi$ .
- The set of all complex numbers is denoted by  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x + yi \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

- Addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{C}$  are defined by

$$(x + yi) + (u + vi) = (x + u) + (y + v)i,$$

$$(x + yi)(u + vi) = (xu - yv) + (xv + yu)i;$$

here  $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$

**Fun Fact:** The symbol  $i$  was first used to denote  $\sqrt{-1}$  by Leonard Euler in 1777.

- Note that  $\mathbb{C} \supseteq \mathbb{R}$  because for all real numbers  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can express it as a complex number by writing it as  $a + 0i$ .
- We usually denote  $0 + yi$  simply as  $yi$ , and  $0 + 1i$  as  $i$ .
- The definition of multiplication for complex numbers is based on the assumption that  $i^2 = -1$ . Using the standard arithmetic rules, we can derive the formula for the product of two complex numbers. This formula can then be used to confirm that  $i^2$  indeed equals  $-1$ .

**Example 1.1.** Let's calculate the product of two complex numbers  $(1+2i)$  and  $(3+4i)$  using the distributive and commutative properties:

$$\begin{aligned}(1+2i)(3+4i) &= 1 \cdot (3+4i) + (2i)(3+4i) \\ &= 1 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 4i + 2i \cdot 3 + (2i)(4i) \\ &= 3 + 4i + 6i - 8 \\ &= -5 + 10i\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 1.1** (Properties of Complex Arithmetic).

- **Commutativity:**  $z_1 + z_2 = z_2 + z_1$  and  $z_1 z_2 = z_2 z_1$  for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- **Associativity:**  $(z_1 + z_2) + z_3 = z_1 + (z_2 + z_3)$  and  $(z_1 z_2) z_3 = z_1 (z_2 z_3)$  for all  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- **Identities:**  $z + 0 = z$  and  $z1 = z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- **Additive Inverse:** For every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists a unique  $-z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $z + (-z) = 0$ .
- **Multiplicative Inverse:** For every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $z \neq 0$ , there exists a unique  $z^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $zz^{-1} = 1$ .
- **Distributive Property:**  $z_1(z_2 + z_3) = z_1 z_2 + z_1 z_3$  for all  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The properties above are proved using the familiar properties of real numbers and the definitions of complex addition and multiplication. Here, we are going to prove that commutativity of complex addition and multiplication is proved.

*Proof.*

- **Addition :** Let  $z_1 = a + bi$  and  $z_2 = c + di$  be any two complex numbers. Then we have:

$$z_1 + z_2 = (a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i = z_2 + z_1$$

This shows that addition is commutative for complex numbers.

- *Multiplication:* Again, let  $z_1 = a + bi$  and  $z_2 = c + di$  be any two complex numbers. Then we have:

$$z_1 z_2 = (a + bi)(c + di) = ac + adi + bci - bd = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i$$

and

$$z_2 z_1 = (c + di)(a + bi) = ca + cbi + dai - db = (ca - db) + (da + cb)i$$

As you can see,  $(ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i = (ca - db) + (da + cb)i$ , which shows that multiplication is also commutative for complex numbers.

So, we have proved that both addition and multiplication are commutative operations in the set of complex numbers. This means that the order in which complex numbers are added or multiplied does not affect the result.

□

**Definition 1.2** (Subtraction, Division). Let's suppose  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- The negative of a complex number  $z_1$  is denoted as  $-z_1$ . It is the unique complex number such that

$$z_1 + (-z_1) = 0.$$

- Subtraction in the set of complex numbers is defined as

$$z_1 - z_2 = z_1 + (-z_2).$$

- For  $z_1 \neq 0$ , let  $1/z_1$  denote the multiplicative inverse of  $z_1$ . Thus,  $1/z_1$  is the unique complex number such that

$$z_1(1/z_1) = 1.$$

- For  $z_1 \neq 0$ , division by  $z_1$  is defined as

$$z_2/z_1 = z_2(1/z_1).$$

So that we can conveniently make definitions and prove theorems that apply to both real and complex numbers, we adopt the following notation.

**Notation** :Throughout this note stands  $\mathbb{F}$  for either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The letter  $\mathbb{F}$  is used because  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are examples of what are called fields.

Elements of  $\mathbb{F}$  are called scalars. The word “scalar” (which is just a fancy word for “number”) is often used when we want to emphasize that an object is a number, as opposed to a vector (vectors will be defined soon).

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $m$  a positive integer, we define  $\alpha^m$  to denote the product of  $\alpha$  with itself  $m$  times:

$$\alpha^m = \underbrace{\alpha \cdot \alpha \cdots \alpha}_{m\text{times}}$$

. This definition implies that

$$(\alpha^m)^n = \alpha^{mn} \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha\beta)^m = \alpha^m\beta^m$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  and all positive integers  $m, n$ .

### 1.1.1 Lists

Before defining  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we look at two important examples.

#### Example 1.2.

- The set  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which you can think of as a plane, is the set of all ordered pairs of real numbers:

$$\mathbb{R}^2 := \{(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

- The set  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which you can think of as ordinary space, is the set of all ordered triples of real numbers:

$$\mathbb{R}^3 := \{(x, y, z) : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Let's generalize this examples to higher dimensions.

#### Definition 1.3 (List,Length).

- Suppose  $n$  is a nonnegative integer. A *list* of *length*  $n$  is an ordered collection of  $n$  elements (which might be numbers, other lists, or more abstract objects).
- Two lists are equal if and only if they have the same length and the same elements in the same order

Note that many mathematicians call a list of length  $n$  an  $n$ -tuple.

Lists are often written as elements separated by commas and surrounded by parentheses. Thus a list of length two is an ordered pair that might be written as  $(a, b)$ . A list of length three is an ordered triple that might be written as  $(x, y, z)$ . A list of length  $n$  might look like this:  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$

Sometimes we will use the word list without specifying its length. Remember, however, that by definition each list has a finite length that is a non-negative

integer. Thus an object that looks like  $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , which might be said to have infinite length, is not a list.

A list of length 0 looks like this:  $( )$ .

We consider such an object to be a list so that some of our theorems will not have trivial exceptions.

Lists differ from sets in two ways: in lists, order matters and repetitions have meaning; in sets, order and repetitions are irrelevant.

**Proposition 1.2** (Lists versus Sets).

- The lists  $(3, 5)$  and  $(5, 3)$  are not equal, but the sets  $\{3, 5\}$  and  $\{5, 3\}$  are equal.
- The lists  $(4, 4)$  and  $(4, 4, 4)$  are not equal (they do not have the same length), although the sets  $\{4, 4\}$  and  $\{4, 4, 4\}$  both equal the set  $\{4\}$ .

### 1.1.2 $F^n$

$F^n$  Fix a positive integer  $F^n$  for the rest of this chapter.

**Definition 1.4.**  $F^n$  is the set of all lists of length  $n$  of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$F^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in F \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

For  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we say that  $x_i$  is the  $i$ th coordinate of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

If  $F = \mathbb{R}$  and  $n$  equals 2 or 3, then the definition above of  $F^n$  agrees with our previous notions of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Example 1.3.** Let  $\mathbb{C}^4$  be the set of all lists of four complex numbers:

$$\mathbb{C}^4 = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \mid z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

If  $n \geq 4$ , we cannot visualize  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a physical object. Similarly,  $\mathbb{C}^1$  can be thought of as a plane, but for  $n \geq 2$ , the human brain cannot provide a full image of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . However, even if  $n$  is large, we can perform algebraic manipulations in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as easily as in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For example, addition in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.5** (addition of higher dimensions). Addition in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by adding corresponding coordinates:

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_n + b_n)$$

Often the mathematics of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  becomes cleaner if we use a single letter to denote a list of  $n$  numbers, without explicitly writing the coordinates. For example, the next result is stated with  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  even though the proof requires the more cumbersome notation of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** *If  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , then  $a + b = b + a$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$  and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Then

$$a + b = (a_1, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, \dots, b_n) \quad (1.1)$$

$$= (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_n + b_n) \quad (1.2)$$

$$= (b_1 + a_1, \dots, b_n + a_n) \quad (1.3)$$

$$= (b_1, \dots, b_n) + (a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad (1.4)$$

$$= b + a \quad (1.5)$$

where the second and fourth equalities above hold because of the definition of addition in  $\mathbb{F}^n$  and the third equality holds because of the usual commutativity of addition in  $\mathbb{F}$ .  $\square$

If a single letter is used to denote an element of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , then the same letter with appropriate subscripts is often used when coordinates must be displayed.

For example, if  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , then letting

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$


is good notation, as shown in the proof above. Even better, work with just  $x$  and avoid explicit coordinates when possible.

#### Notation: 0

Let 0 denote the list of length  $n$  whose coordinates are all 0:

$$0 = (0, \dots, 0)$$


Here we are using the symbol 0 in two different ways—on the left side of the equation above, the symbol 0 denotes a list of length  $n$ , which is an element of 0, whereas on the right side, each 0 denotes a number. This potentially confusing practice actually causes no problems because the context should always make clear which 0 is intended.




**Example 1.4** (Context determines which 0 is intended). Consider the statement that 0 is an additive identity for  $F^n$ :

$$x + 0 = x \quad \text{for all } x \in F^n.$$


Here, the 0 above refers to the list defined earlier, not the number 0, because we have not defined the sum of an element of  $F^n$  (namely,  $x$ ) and the number 0.



A picture can aid our intuition. We will draw pictures in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  because we can sketch this space on two-dimensional surfaces such as paper and computer screens. A typical element of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a point  $\mathbf{v} = (a, b)$ . Sometimes we think of  $\mathbf{v}$  not as a point but as an arrow starting at the origin and ending at  $(a, b)$ , as shown here. When we think of an element of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as an arrow, we refer to it as a vector.



**Example 1.5.**  $v_1 := (2, 1), v_2 := (3, 4) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  can be present as follows,



When we think of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as arrows, we can move an arrow parallel to itself (without changing its length or direction) and still consider it the same vector. With this viewpoint, you'll often gain a better understanding by dispensing with the coordinate axes and explicit coordinates, simply thinking of the vector itself,

as shown in the figure here. The two arrows depicted have the same length and direction, so we regard them as the same vector.

Whenever we use pictures in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or use the somewhat vague language of points and vectors, remember that these are just aids to our understanding, not substitutes for the actual mathematics that we will develop. Although we cannot draw good pictures in high-dimensional spaces, the elements of these spaces are as rigorously defined as elements of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Example 1.6.**  $(2, -3, \pi, \dots, \sqrt{2})$  is an element of  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , and we may casually refer to it as a point in  $\mathbb{R}^5$  or a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^5$  without worrying about whether the geometry of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  has any physical meaning.




Figure 1.1: A vector

**Fun fact:** Mathematical models of the economy can have thousands of variables, say  $(x_1, \dots, x_{5000})$  which means that we must work in  $\mathbb{R}^{5000}$ . Such a space cannot be dealt with geometrically. However, the algebraic approach works well. Thus our subject is called linear algebra.

**Definition 1.6** (Summation of two vectors). We have two vectors,  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We want to add them such that the initial point of  $\mathbf{b}$  coincides with the end point of  $\mathbf{a}$ . The sum  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$  equals the vector whose initial point is the same as that of  $\mathbf{a}$  and whose end point is the same as that of  $\mathbf{b}$ , as shown here (red one is  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ ).

**Definition 1.7** (The additive inverse in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ ). A vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , its additive inverse, denoted by  $-\mathbf{v}$ , is the vector  $-\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{F}^n$  such that:

$$\mathbf{v} + (-\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Therefore, if  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ , then  $-\mathbf{v} = (-v_1, \dots, -v_n)$ .

Given a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , its additive inverse, denoted by  $-\mathbf{x}$ , is the vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the same length but pointing in the opposite direction. The figure illustrates this way of thinking about the additive inverse:

$$\mathbf{x} + (-\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$$

As you can see, the vector labelled  $-\mathbf{x}$  has the same length as the vector labelled  $\mathbf{x}$  but points in the opposite direction.




Figure 1.2: A vector and its additive inverse.

We've dealt with addition in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , and now we'll explore multiplication. While we could define a coordinate-wise multiplication in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , experience shows that it's not useful for our purposes. Instead, we focus on scalar multiplication, which will be central to our subject.

Specifically, we need to define what it means to multiply an element of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  by a scalar from  $\mathbb{F}$ .

## 1.2 Definition of Vector space

### The motivation for vector space definition

The motivation for the definition of a vector space comes from properties of addition and scalar multiplication in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ :

- Addition is commutative, associative, and has an identity.
- Every element has an additive inverse.
- Scalar multiplication is associative.
- Scalar multiplication by 1 acts as expected.
- Addition and scalar multiplication are connected by distributive properties.

We will define a vector space to be a set  $V$  with an addition and a scalar multiplication on  $V$  that satisfy the properties in the paragraph above.

**Definition 1.8** (addition, scalar multiplication).

- An addition on set  $V$  is a function that assigns an element  $u+v \in V$   $u, v \in V$ .
- A scalar multiplication on a set  $V$  is a function that assigns an element  $\lambda v \in V$  to each  $\lambda \in F$  and each  $v \in V$

**Example 1.7.** Suppose  $V$  is the set of real-valued functions on the interval  $[0, l]$ . For  $f, g \in V$  and  $\lambda \in R$ , define  $f + g$  and  $\lambda f$  by

$$[f + g](x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

and

$$[\lambda f](x) = \lambda f(x)$$

. Thus  $f + g \in V, \lambda f \in V$ .

Now we are ready to give the formal definition of a vector space

**Definition 1.9** (Vector Space). A vector space is a set  $V$  along with an addition on  $V$  and a scalar multiplication on  $V$  such that the following properties hold.

- **Commutativity:**  $u + v = v + u$  for all  $u, v \in V$ .
- **Associativity:**  $(u + v) + w = u + (v + w)$  and  $(ab)c = a(bc)$  for all  $u, v, w \in V$  and for all  $a, b \in F$ .
- **Additive Identity:** There exists an element  $0 \in V$  such that  $v + 0 = v$  for all  $v \in V$ .
- **Additive Inverse:** For every  $v \in V$ , there exists  $-v \in V$  such that  $v + (-v) = 0$ .
- **Multiplicative Identity:**  $1v = v$  for all  $v \in V$ .
- **Distributive Properties:**  $a(v + w) = av + aw$  and  $(a + b)v = av + bv$  for all  $v, w \in V$  and all  $a, b \in F$ .

The following geometric language sometimes aids our intuition.

**Definition 1.10** (Vector). Elements of a vector space are called vectors or points.

**Example 1.8.** The simplest vector space is  $\{0\}$ , which contains only one point.

The scalar multiplication in a vector space depends on  $F$ . Thus when we need to be precise, we will say that  $V$  is a vector space over  $F$  instead of saying simply that  $V$  is a vector space.

**Example 1.9.**

- $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$
- $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Usually the choice of  $F$  is either clear from the context or irrelevant. Thus we often assume that  $F$  is lurking in the background without specifically mentioning it. With the usual operations of addition and scalar multiplication,  $F^n$  is a vector space over  $F$ , as you should verify. The example of  $F^n$  motivated our definition of vector space.

**Example 1.10.**  $F^\infty$  is defined to be the set of all sequences of elements of  $F$ :

$$F^\infty = \{(f_1, f_2, \dots) \mid f_i \in F \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Addition and scalar multiplication on  $F^\infty$  are defined as expected:

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1, f_2, \dots) + (g_1, g_2, \dots) &= (f_1 + g_1, f_2 + g_2, \dots) \\ \lambda(f_1, f_2, \dots) &= (\lambda f_1, \lambda f_2, \dots).\end{aligned}$$

With these definitions,  $F^\infty$  becomes a vector space over  $F$ , as you should verify. The additive identity in this vector space is the sequence of all 0's.

Our next example of a vector space involves a set of functions.

**Notation:**  $F^S$

- If  $S$  is a set, then  $F^S$  denotes the set of functions from  $S$  to  $F$ .
- For  $f, g \in F^S$ , the sum  $f + g \in F^S$  is the function defined by  $(f + g)(s) = f(s) + g(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ .
- For  $\lambda \in F$  and  $f \in F^S$ , the product  $\lambda f \in F^S$  is the function defined by  $(\lambda f)(s) = \lambda f(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ .

**Remark:** As an example of the notation above, if  $S$  is the interval  $[0, 1]$  and  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  is the set of real-valued functions on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The elements of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  are real-valued functions on  $[0, 1]$ , not lists. In general, a vector space is an abstract entity whose elements might be lists, functions, or weird objects.

Now let's verify following example

**Example 1.11.**  $F^S$  is a vector space

- If  $S$  is a nonempty set, then  $F^S$  (with the operations of addition and scalar multiplication as defined above) is a vector space over  $F$ .
- The additive identity of  $F^S$  is the function  $0: S \rightarrow F$  defined by  $0(s) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ .
- For  $f \in F^S$ , the additive inverse of  $-f$  is the function  $-f: S \rightarrow F$  defined by  $(-f)(s) = -f(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be an set non empty set and let  $f, g, h \in F^S$ . Let  $x \in S$ . Let  $a, b \in F$ .

- **Commutative:**  $[f + g](x) = f(x) + g(x) = g(x) + f(x) = [g + f](x)$ .  
(Since  $f(x), g(x) \in F$  and  $F$  have commutative property.) Thus  $f + g = g + f$  for all  $f, g \in F$
- **Associativity:**
  - $[(f + g) + h](x) = (f(x) + g(x)) + h(x) = f(x) + (g(x) + h(x)) = [f + (g + h)](x)$ . (Since  $f(x), g(x), h(x) \in F$  and  $F$  have associativity property.)
  - $[(a \cdot b) \cdot f](x) = (a \cdot b) \cdot (f(x)) = a \cdot (b \cdot f(x)) = [a \cdot (b \cdot f)](x)$  (Since  $f(x), a, b \in F$  and  $F$  have associativity property.)
- **Additive Identity:** Let  $0$  be zero function  $0: S \rightarrow F$  defined by  $0(s) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then,  $[f + 0](x) = f(x) + 0(x) = f(x) + 0 = f(x)$ . Thus,  $f + 0 = f$  for all  $f \in F^S$ .
- **Aditive Identity:** For  $f \in F^S$ , the additive inverse of  $-f$  is the function  $-f: S \rightarrow F$  defined by  $(-f)(s) = -f(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then  $[f + (-f)](x) = f(x) + (-f)(x) = f(x) - f(x) = 0 = 0(x)$ . Thus,  $f + 0 = f$ , for all  $f \in F^S$ .
- **Multiplicative Identity:**  $[1 \cdot f](x) = 1 \cdot (f(x)) = f(x)$ . Thus,  $1f = f$  for all  $f \in F^S$ .
- **Distributive Property:**
  - $[a(f + g)](x) = a \cdot ((f + g)(x)) = a(f(x) + g(x)) = af(x) + ag(x) = [af](x) + [ag](x) = [af + ag](x)$ . (Since  $f(x), g(x), a \in F$  and  $F$  have distributive property. Thus,  $a(f + g) = af + ag$  for all  $f, g \in F^S$  and  $a \in F$ .)
  - $[(a + b)f](x) = (a + b)((f(x))) = a(f(x)) + b(f(x)) = [af](x) + [bf](x) = [af + bf](x)$ . (Since  $f(x), a, b \in F$  and  $F$  have distributive property. Thus,  $(a + b)f = af + bf$  for all  $f \in F^S$  and  $a, b \in F$ )

□

The vector space  $F^n$  is a special case of the vector space  $F^S$  because each  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$  can be thought of as a function  $x$  from the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  to  $F$  by writing  $x(k)$  instead of  $x_k$  for the  $k^{th}$  coordinate of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . In other words, we can think of  $F^n$  as  $F^{\{1, 2, \dots, n\}}$ . Similarly, we can think of  $F^\infty$  as  $F^{\{1, 2, \dots\}}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** *A vector space has a unique additive identity.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $0$  and  $0'$  are both additive identities for some vector space  $V$ . Then,

$$0' = 0' + 0 = 0 + 0' = 0,$$

where the first equality holds because 0 is an additive identity, the second equality comes from commutativity, and the third equality holds because  $0'$  is an additive identity. Thus  $0' = 0$ , proving that  $V$  has only one additive identity.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.** *Every element in a vector space has a unique additive inverse.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $V$  is a vector space. Let  $v \in V$ . Suppose  $w$  and  $w'$  are additive inverses of  $v$ . Then

$$w = w + 0 = w + (v + w') = (w + v) + w' = 0 + w' = w'.$$

Thus  $w = w'$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Notations:**  $-v$ ,  $w - v$

Let  $v \in V$ . Then  $-v$  denotes the additive inverse of  $v$ ;  $w - v$  is defined to be  $w + (-v)$ . ““

For the rest of this note, I will use following notation. *Notations*  $V$  denotes a vector space over  $F$ .

**Proposition 1.6.**

$$0v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V$$

0 denotes a scalar (the number  $0 \in V$ ) on the left side of the equation and a vector (the additive identity of  $V$ ) on the right side of the equation.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$ .  $[0v = (0 + 0)v = 0v + 0v]$  Adding the additive inverse of  $0v$  to both sides of the equation above gives  $0 = 0v$ , as we want.  $\square$

The result in proposition 1.6 involves the additive identity of  $V$  and scalar multiplication. The only part of the definition of a vector space that connects vector addition and scalar multiplication is the distributive property. Thus the distributive property must be used in the proof of proposition 1.6.

### 1.3 Subspace

By considering subspaces, we can greatly expand our examples of vector spaces.

**Definition 1.11.** A subset  $W$  of a vector space  $V$  is called a **subspace** of  $V$  if  $W$  is also a vector space with the same additive identity, addition, and scalar multiplication as on  $V$ .

**Fact :** Some people use the terminology *linear subspace*, which means the same as subspace.

**Example 1.12.**  $\{(x_1, x_2, 0) : x_1, x_2 \in F\}$  is a subspace of  $F^3$ .

The next proposition gives the easiest way to check whether a subset of a vector space is a subspace.

**Proposition 1.7.** *A subset  $W$  of a vector space  $V$  is called a **subspace** of  $V$  if and only if  $W$  satisfies the following three conditions:*

1. **Additive Identity:** The zero vector  $\mathbf{0}$  is in  $W$ .
2. **Closed Under Addition:** For any vectors  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  in  $W$ , their sum  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$  is also in  $W$ .
3. **Closed Under Scalar Multiplication:** For any scalar  $a$  in the field  $F$  and any vector  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $W$ , the product  $a\mathbf{u}$  is also in  $W$ .

*Proof.*

•  $\implies$

If  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  then  $U$  satisfies the three conditions above by the definition of vector space.

•  $\iff$

Now suppose  $U$  satisfies the three conditions above.

- The first condition ensures that the additive identity of  $V$  is in  $U$ .
- The second condition ensures that addition makes sense on  $U$ .
- The third condition ensures that scalar multiplication makes sense on  $U$ . Certainly! Here's the rewritten content in LaTeX:

If  $u \in U$  then  $-u$  (which equals  $(-1)\mathbf{u}$  by property 1.32) is also in  $U$  by the third condition above. Hence every element of  $U$  has an additive inverse in  $U$ . The other parts of the definition of a vector space, such as associativity and commutativity, are automatically satisfied for  $U$  because they hold in the larger space  $V$ . Thus,  $U$  is a vector space and hence is a subspace of  $V$ .

□



# Chapter 2

## Exercise 1

### 2.1 Exercise 1A

1. Show that  $\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= x_1 + iy_1 \text{ for some } x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{def'n of complex numbers}) \\ \beta &= x_2 + iy_2 \text{ for some } x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{def'n of complex numbers}) \\ \alpha + \beta &= (x_1 + iy_1) + (x_2 + iy_2) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2) + i(y_1 + y_2) \quad (\text{def'n of addition of complex numbers}) \\ &= (x_2 + x_1) + i(y_2 + y_1) \quad (\text{commutative law of real numbers}) \\ &= (x_2 + iy_2) + (x_1 + iy_1) \quad (\text{def'n of addition of complex numbers}) \\ &= \beta + \alpha\end{aligned}$$

2. Show that  $(\alpha + \beta) + \lambda = \alpha + (\beta + \lambda)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= x_1 + iy_1 \text{ for some } x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R} & (\text{def'n of complex numbers}) \\ \beta &= x_2 + iy_2 \text{ for some } x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \\ \lambda &= x_3 + iy_3 \text{ for some } x_3, y_3 \in \mathbb{R}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha + \beta) + \lambda &= ((x_1 + iy_1) + (x_2 + iy_2)) + (x_3 + iy_3) \\ &= ((x_1 + x_2) + i(y_1 + y_2)) + (x_3 + iy_3) & (\text{def'n of addition of complex numbers}) \\ &= ((x_1 + x_2) + x_3) + i((y_1 + y_2) + y_3) & (\text{associative property of } +/\mathbb{R}) \\ &= (x_1 + (x_2 + x_3)) + i(y_1 + (y_2 + y_3)) \\ &= (x_1 + iy_1) + ((x_2 + x_3) + i(y_2 + y_3)) & (\text{def'n of addition of complex numbers}) \\ &= (x_1 + iy_1) + ((x_2 + iy_2) + (x_3 + iy_3)) \\ &= \alpha + (\beta + \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

3. Show that  $(\alpha\beta)\lambda = \alpha(\beta\lambda)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

4. Show that  $\lambda(\alpha + \beta) = \lambda\alpha + \lambda\beta$  for all  $\lambda, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= x_1 + iy_1 \text{ for some } x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R} \\ \beta &= x_2 + iy_2 \text{ for some } x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \\ \lambda &= x_3 + iy_3 \text{ for some } x_3, y_3 \in \mathbb{R}\end{aligned}$$

(defn of  
Complex  
numbers)

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\alpha + \beta) &= (x_3 + iy_3)((x_1 + iy_1) + (x_2 + iy_2)) \\ &= (x_3 + iy_3)(x_1 + x_2) + i(y_1 + y_2) \quad (\text{commutative of addition of } \mathbb{R}) \\ &= (x_3(x_1 + x_2) - y_3(y_1 + y_2)) \\ &\quad + i(x_3(y_1 + y_2) + y_3(x_1 + x_2)) \quad (\text{defn of multiplication of } \mathbb{C}) \\ &= (x_3x_1 + x_3x_2 - y_3y_1 - y_3y_2) \quad (\text{commutative property}) \\ &\quad + i(x_3y_1 + x_3y_2 + y_3x_1 + y_3x_2) \\ &= (x_3x_1 + ix_3y_1) + (x_3x_2 + ix_3y_2) \quad (\text{commutative property}) \\ &\quad - y_3y_1 + iy_3x_1 - y_3y_2 + iy_3x_2 \\ &= x_3(x_1 + iy_1) + x_3(x_2 + iy_2) \quad (\text{distributive property}) \\ &\quad + y_3(-y_1 + ix_1) + y_3(-y_2 + ix_2) \\ &= x_3\alpha + x_3\beta + y_3(i^2y_1 + ix_1) + y_3(i^2y_2 + ix_2) \quad (\text{use } i^2 = -1) \\ &= x_3\alpha + x_3\beta + iy_3(x_1 + y_1) + iy_3(x_2 + y_2) \quad (\text{distributive property}) \\ &= x_3\alpha + x_3\beta + iy_3(\alpha + \beta) \quad (\text{distributive property}) \\ &= (x_3 + iy_3)\alpha + (x_3 + iy_3)\beta \quad (\text{distributive property}) \\ &= \lambda\alpha + \lambda\beta\end{aligned}$$

5. Show that for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists a unique  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha + \beta = 0$ .

5) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha + \beta_1 = 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta_2 = 0$  with  $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$

$$\alpha + \beta_1 = 0$$

$$-\alpha + (\alpha + \beta_1) = -\alpha + 0$$

$$(-\alpha + \alpha) + \beta_1 = -\alpha$$

$$0 + \beta_1 = -\alpha$$

$$\beta_1 = -\alpha \quad \text{--- ①}$$

Similar we can get  $\beta_2 = -\alpha \quad \text{--- ②}$

By ① and ②  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$

Therefore,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \exists! \beta$  such that  $\alpha + \beta = 0$

6. Show that for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$ , there exists a unique  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$ .

6) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$

Suppose that  $\alpha\beta_1 = 1 = \alpha\beta_2$  with  $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ .

$$\alpha\beta_1 = \alpha\beta_2$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}(\alpha\beta_1) = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\alpha\beta_2)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \alpha\right)\beta_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \alpha\right)\beta_2$$

$$1 \cdot \beta_1 = 1 \cdot \beta_2$$

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2$$

Therefore,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \exists ! \beta$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$

7. Show that  $\frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}$  is a cube root of 1 (meaning that its cube equals 1).

7) Let  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$

$$\text{Then } \alpha^2 = \left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^2 = \left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4}\right) + i\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{4} + i\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)$$

$$= \left(-\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$$

$$\alpha^3 = \alpha \cdot \alpha^2 = \left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\right) + i\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)$$

$$= 1 + 0i = 1$$

Thus  $\alpha$  is cube root of 1.

8. Find two distinct square roots of  $i$ .

8) We have to find  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha^2 = i$ .

Let  $\alpha = x + iy$ , for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\alpha^2 = i$$

$$(x+iy)^2 = i$$

$$(x+iy)(x+iy) = i$$

$$(x^2 - y^2) + i(xy + xy) = i$$

$$(x^2 - y^2) + i(2xy) = 0 + i$$

$$\text{Thus } x^2 - y^2 = 0 \text{ and } 2xy = 1$$

$$(x+y)(x-y) = 0 \text{ and } 2xy = 1$$

$$(x=y \text{ or } x=-y) \text{ and } 2xy = 1$$

$$\text{if } x = -y \text{ then } 2xy = 2(-y)y = -2y^2 = 1$$

Since  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y > 0$ , this is impossible.

$$\text{Thus, } x = y.$$

$$\text{Then } 2xy = 2x^2 = 1 \Rightarrow x = \pm 1/\sqrt{2}.$$

Hence the square root of  $i$  is  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$  and  $(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$

9. Find  $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$  such that  $(4, -3, 1, 7) + 2x = (5, 9, -6, 8)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad (4, -3, 1, 7) + 2x &= (5, 9, -6, 8) \\ -(4, -3, 1, 7) + (4, -3, 1, 7) + 2x &= -(4, -3, 1, 7) + (5, 9, -6, 8) \\ 2x &= -(4, -3, 1, 7) + (5, 9, -6, 8) \\ 2x &= (-4+5, -3+9, -1-6, 7+8) \\ x &= (\frac{1}{2}, 6, -\frac{7}{2}, 1/2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \end{aligned}$$

10. Explain why there does not exist  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda(2-3i, 5+4i, -6+7i) = (12-5i, 7+22i, -32-9i)$ .

(6) Assume the contrary there exist  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\lambda(2-3i, 5+4i, -6+7i) = (12-5i, 7+22i, -32-9i)$$

$$(\lambda(2-3i), \lambda(5+4i), \lambda(-6+7i)) = (12-5i, 7+22i, -32-9i)$$

Then,

$$\lambda(2-3i) = 12-5i \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{1}$$

$$\lambda(5+4i) = 7+22i$$

$\textcircled{1} \times (2+3i)$

$$\lambda(2-3i)(2+3i) = (12-5i)(2+3i)$$

$$\lambda(4+9) = 13\lambda = 39+26i$$

$$\lambda = 3+2i \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{*}$$

$\textcircled{2} \times (5-4i)$

$$\lambda(5-4i)(5+4i) = (7+22i)(5+4i)$$

$$\lambda(25+16) = 41\lambda = -53+138i$$

$$\lambda = -\frac{53}{41} + \frac{138}{41}i \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{**}$$

$\textcircled{*}$  and  $\textcircled{**}$  gives a contradiction.

11. Show that  $(x+y)+z = x+(y+z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_n$ .
12. Show that  $(ab)x = a(bx)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_n$  and all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ .
13. Show that  $1x = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_n$ .
14. Show that  $\lambda(x+y) = \lambda x + \lambda y$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  and all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_n$ .
15. Show that  $(a+b)x = ax + bx$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_n$ .

## 2.2 Exercise 1B

1. Prove that  $-(-v) = v$  for every  $v \in V$ . **Solution:** Let  $v \in V$ . Then there exist a unique additive inverse of  $v$ . We denote it by  $-v$ . Thus,

$$v + (-v) = 0.$$

Then by definition, additive inverse of  $(-v)$  is  $v$ . We denote it by  $-(-v) = v$ .

2. Suppose  $a \in F, v \in V$ , and  $v = 0$ . Prove that  $a = 0$  or  $v = 0$ .

② Suppose that  $a \in F$  and  $v \in V$  and

$$av = 0$$

If  $a = 0$ , we have nothing to prove.  
So assume that  $a \neq 0$ . Now I need to show that  $v = 0$ .

Since  $a \in F$  and  $a \neq 0$ , multiplicative inverse of  $a$  exist. Let  $\bar{a}$  denote it by  $\bar{a}$ . Moreover,

$$\bar{a}a = 1$$

$$\bar{a}(av) = (\bar{a}a)v = 1 \cdot v = v = 0 //$$

③ Suppose that  $v, w \in V$ .  
 First we need to show that there exists  
 of such  $x$ .

Let  $x = \frac{1}{3}w - \frac{1}{3}v$

Note that  $\frac{1}{3} \in F$  and  $v, w \in V$ , then

$$\frac{1}{3}w - \frac{1}{3}v = x \in V$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} v + 3x &= v + 3\left(\frac{1}{3}w - \frac{1}{3}v\right) \\ &= v + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}w - 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}v \quad (\text{Since } \frac{1}{3} \text{ is multiplicative inverse}) \\ &= v + w - v \\ &= w \end{aligned}$$

We are existence of  $x \in V$ .  
 Now we have to prove uniqueness. Assume that  
 there exist  $y \in V$  satisfy  $v + 3y = w$

3.

Then  $w = v + 3x = v + 3y$

Then  $-v + (v + 3x) = -v + (v + 3y)$

$$\begin{aligned} (-v + v) + 3x &= (-v + 3v) + 3y \\ 3x &= 3y \\ \frac{1}{3}(3x) &= \frac{1}{3}(3y) \\ \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\right)x &= 1 \cdot x = x = y = 1 \cdot y = \frac{1}{3}(3)y \end{aligned}$$

- 4** The empty set is not a vector space. The empty set fails to satisfy only one of the requirements listed in the definition of a vector space (1.20). Which one?

Recall the def'n of vector space.

1.20 definition: *vector space*

A *vector space* is a set  $V$  along with an addition on  $V$  and a scalar multiplication on  $V$  such that the following properties hold.

**commutativity**

$u + v = v + u$  for all  $u, v \in V$ .

**associativity**

$(u + v) + w = u + (v + w)$  and  $(ab)v = a(bv)$  for all  $u, v, w \in V$  and for all  $a, b \in F$ .

**additive identity**

There exists an element  $0 \in V$  such that  $v + 0 = v$  for all  $v \in V$ .

**additive inverse**

For every  $v \in V$ , there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $v + w = 0$ .

**multiplicative identity**

$1v = v$  for all  $v \in V$ .

**distributive properties**

$a(u + v) = au + av$  and  $(a + b)v = av + bv$  for all  $a, b \in F$  and all  $u, v \in V$ .

A vector space must contain  $0 \in V$  (additive identity)  
 So, the empty set fails the additive identity property

- 5 Show that in the definition of a vector space (1.20), the additive inverse condition can be replaced with the condition that

$$0v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

Here the 0 on the left side is the number 0, and the 0 on the right side is the additive identity of  $V$ .

*The phrase a “condition can be replaced” in a definition means that the collection of objects satisfying the definition is unchanged if the original condition is replaced with the new condition.*

### additive inverse

For every  $v \in V$ , there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $v + w = 0$ .

Need to show:

$$\left( \forall v \in V \exists w \in V \text{ s.t. } v + w = 0 \right) \Leftrightarrow \forall v \in V, 0_F v = 0_V$$

proof:  $\Leftarrow$

Let  $v \in V$ . Suppose that  $0_F w = 0_V$  for all  $w \in V$ .

Since  $1 \in F$ , additive inverse of 1 exists.

$$\text{Further, } 1 + (-1) = 0_F$$

$$(1 + (-1)) v = 0_F v = 0_V$$

$$V + (-1)V = 1 \cdot V + (-1)V = 0_F V = 0_V$$

Thus, additive identity of  $V$  is " $(-1)V$ ". So, we are done backward direction. Let's prove the forward direction.

5.

~~' $\neq$ '~~

Now suppose that  $\forall v \in V \exists w \in V$  s.t.  $v+w = 0_F$

$$\begin{aligned} 0_F v &= (0_F + 0_F) v && \text{(additive identity of field)} \\ &= 0_F v + 0_F v && \text{(distributive property)} \end{aligned}$$

①

Since  $0_F v \in V$ , there exist additive identity of  $0_F v$ . Let's call that as  $w$ . Further,

$$0_F v + w = 0 \quad \text{--- } ②$$

Now consider (By ①)

$$\begin{aligned} 0_F v &= 0_F v + 0_F v \\ (0_F v + w) &= 0_F v + (0_F v + w) && \text{(Property of equality)} \\ 0_F v &= 0_F v + 0_F v && \text{(By ②)} \\ 0_F v &= 0_F v && \text{(additive identity)} \end{aligned}$$

■

- 6 Let  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$  denote two distinct objects, neither of which is in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Define an addition and scalar multiplication on  $\mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$  as you could guess from the notation. Specifically, the sum and product of two real numbers is as usual, and for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  define

$$t\infty = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } t < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases} \quad t(-\infty) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } t < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t + \infty &= \infty + t = \infty + \infty = \infty, \\ t + (-\infty) &= (-\infty) + t = (-\infty) + (-\infty) = -\infty, \\ \infty + (-\infty) &= (-\infty) + \infty = 0. \end{aligned}$$

With these operations of addition and scalar multiplication, is  $\mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$  a vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$ ? Explain.

$\mathbf{RV}(\infty, \infty)$  is NOT a vector space.

- Asscitivity property fails. Let  $0 \neq V \in V$

Consider,

$$V + (\infty + (-\infty)) = V + 0 = V \neq 0 = \infty + (-\infty) = (V + \infty) + (-\infty)$$

- Unique additive identity fails (Extra part)

Consider,

$$\infty = (2 + (-1)) \cdot \infty = 2\infty + (-1)\infty = \infty - \infty = 0$$

Then for any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$t = 0 + t = \infty + t = \infty = 0.$$

6. So this fails, uniqueness property of additive identity

7. Suppose  $S$  is a non-empty set. Let  $V^S$  denote the set of functions from  $S$  to  $V$ . Define a natural addition and scalar multiplication on  $V^S$ , and show that  $V^S$  is a vector space with these definitions.

- 7 Suppose  $S$  is a nonempty set. Let  $V^S$  denote the set of functions from  $S$  to  $V$ . Define a natural addition and scalar multiplication on  $V^S$ , and show that  $V^S$  is a vector space with these definitions.

Let  $S$  be a set with  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Let

$$V^S := \{ f: S \rightarrow V \mid f \text{ is a function to } V \}$$

Let  $f, g \in V^S$ . Let  $\lambda \in S$ . Define  
 - addition:  $V^S \times V^S \xrightarrow{\quad} V^S$   
 $f + g(x) = f(x) + g(x) \quad \forall x \in S$ .

- scalar multiplication:  $V \times V^S \xrightarrow{\quad} V^S$   
 $(\lambda f)(x) = \lambda \cdot (f(x)) \quad \forall x \in S$ .

NTS:  $V^S$  is a vector space

Commutative

claim 1:  $f + g = g + f \quad \forall f, g \in V^S$

Let  $f, g \in V^S$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f+g](x) &= f(x) + g(x) \quad \forall x \in V \\
 &= g(x) + f(x) \quad \forall x \in V \quad (\because f(x), g(x) \in V) \\
 &= [g+f](x) \quad \forall x \in V
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f, g \in V$

*Associativity*  
 claim 2.1:  $(f+g)+h = f+(g+h) \quad \forall f, g, h \in V^s$

Let  $f, g, h \in V^s$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(f+g)+h](x) &= [f+g](x) + h(x) \\
 &= (f(x) + g(x)) + h(x) \\
 &= f(x) + (g(x) + h(x)) \\
 &= [f+(g+h)](x)
 \end{aligned}$$

claim 2.2:  $(ab)f = a(bf) \quad \forall f \in V^s, \forall a, b \in V$

Let  $a, b \in V$  and  $f \in V^s$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(ab)f](x) &= (ab)f(x) \\
 &= a(bf(x)) \\
 &= [a(bf)](x)
 \end{aligned}$$

### Additive identity.

claim:  $O_{\text{map}}: S \rightarrow V$  } is the additive identity  
 $x \mapsto 0$   
such that  $f + O_{\text{map}} = f \quad \forall f \in V^S$  (Note that  $O_{\text{map}} \in V^S$ )  
 $[f + O_{\text{map}}](x) = f(x) + O_{\text{map}}(x) = f(x) + 0 = f(x)$

### Additive inverse

Let  $f \in V^S$ . Let define,

$$g: S \rightarrow V \\ x \mapsto -f(x)$$

Note that  $g \in V^S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [f+g](x) &= f(x) + g(x) \\ &= f(x) + (-f(x)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

multiplicative inverse

claims.  $1 \cdot f = f \quad \forall f \in V^s$

Let  $f \in V^s$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [1 \cdot f](x) &= 1 \cdot f(x) \\ &= f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Distributive

claim 6.1:  $a(f+g) = af + ag \quad \forall a \in V, \forall f, g \in V^s$ .

Let  $f, g \in V^s$ , and let  $a \in V$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [af + g](x) &= a([f+g](x)) \\ &= a(f(x) + g(x)) \\ &= af(x) + ag(x) \\ &= [af](x) + [ag](x) \\ &= [af + ag](x) \end{aligned}$$

claim 6.2:  $(a+b)f = af + bf, \forall f \in V^s \quad \forall a, b \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} [(a+b)f](x) &= (a+b)f(x) = af(x) + bf(x) \\ &= [af](x) + [bf](x) \\ &= [af + bf](x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $V^s$  is a Vector space

**8** Suppose  $V$  is a real vector space.

- The *complexification* of  $V$ , denoted by  $V_C$ , equals  $V \times V$ . An element of  $V_C$  is an ordered pair  $(u, v)$ , where  $u, v \in V$ , but we write this as  $u + iv$ .
- Addition on  $V_C$  is defined by

$$(u_1 + iv_1) + (u_2 + iv_2) = (u_1 + u_2) + i(v_1 + v_2)$$

for all  $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2 \in V$ .

- Complex scalar multiplication on  $V_C$  is defined by

$$(a + bi)(u + iv) = (au - bv) + i(av + bu)$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $u, v \in V$ .

Prove that with the definitions of addition and scalar multiplication as above,  $V_C$  is a complex vector space.

*Think of  $V$  as a subset of  $V_C$  by identifying  $u \in V$  with  $u + i0$ . The construction of  $V_C$  from  $V$  can then be thought of as generalizing the construction of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  from  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

### Commutative

Let  $\alpha_1 + i\beta_1, \alpha_2 + i\beta_2 \in V_C$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1 + i\beta_1) + (\alpha_2 + i\beta_2) &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + i(\beta_1 + \beta_2) \\ &= (\alpha_2 + \alpha_1) + i(\beta_2 + \beta_1) \quad (\text{Commutativity}) \\ &= (\alpha_2 + i\beta_2) + (\alpha_1 + i\beta_1) \end{aligned}$$

### Associativity

Let  $\alpha_1 + i\beta_1, \alpha_2 + i\beta_2, \alpha_3 + i\beta_3 \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\alpha_1 + i\beta_1) + [(\alpha_2 + i\beta_2) + (\alpha_3 + i\beta_3)] \\
 &= (\alpha_1 + i\beta_1) [(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) + i(\beta_2 + \beta_3)] \\
 &= \alpha_1 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) + i(\beta_1 + (\beta_2 + \beta_3)) \\
 &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 + i((\beta_1 + \beta_2) + \beta_3) \\
 &= [(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + i(\beta_1 + \beta_2)] + (\alpha_3 + i\beta_3) \\
 &= [(\alpha_1 + i\beta_1) + (\alpha_2 + i\beta_2)] + (\alpha_3 + i\beta_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $(\alpha + i\beta) \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = [(x_1 + iy_1) \cdot (x_2 + iy_2)] (\alpha + i\beta) \\
 & = [(x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + i(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)] (\alpha + i\beta) \\
 & = (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) \alpha - (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1) \beta \\
 & \quad + i(\beta(x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + \alpha(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)) \\
 & = x_1 x_2 \alpha - y_1 y_2 \alpha - x_1 y_2 \beta - x_2 y_1 \beta \\
 & \quad + i(x_1 x_2 \beta - y_1 y_2 \beta + x_1 y_2 \alpha + x_2 y_1 \alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= x_1x_2\alpha + x_1y_2\beta - y_1x_2\beta - y_1y_2\alpha \\
 &\quad + i(x_1x_2\beta + x_1y_2\alpha + y_1x_2\alpha - y_1y_2\beta) \\
 &= x_1(x_2\alpha + y_2\beta) - y_1(x_2\beta + y_2\alpha) \\
 &\quad + i[x_1(x_2\beta + y_2\alpha) + y_1(x_2\alpha - y_2\beta)] \\
 &= (x_1 + iy_1)[(x_2\alpha - y_2\beta) + i(x_2\beta + y_2\alpha)] \\
 &= (x_1 + iy_1)[(x_2 + iy_2) \cdot (\alpha + i\beta)]
 \end{aligned}$$

Additive Identity

Let  $\underline{0}$  be the zero vector. ( $\underline{0} \in V$ ) Let  $\alpha + i\beta \in V_C$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha + i\beta) + (\underline{0} + i\underline{0}) &= (\alpha + \underline{0}) + i(\beta + \underline{0}) \\
 &= \alpha + i\beta
 \end{aligned}$$
Additive inverse,

Let  $\alpha + i\beta \in V_C$ . Now consider,

$$\alpha + i\beta + (-\alpha + i(-\beta)) = (\alpha + (-\alpha)) + i(\beta + (-\beta)) = 0$$

multiplicative identity

$$(1+i0) \cdot (\alpha + i\beta) = (1 \cdot \alpha - 0 \cdot \beta) + i(1 \cdot \beta + 0 \cdot \alpha) = \alpha + i\beta$$

### Distributive

Let  $(x+iy) \in \mathbb{C}$  and,  $(\alpha_1+i\beta_1), (\alpha_2+i\beta_2) \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x+iy) & ((\alpha_1+i\beta_1) + (\alpha_2+i\beta_2)) \\
 &= (x+iy) [(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) + i(\beta_1+\beta_2)] \\
 &= x(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) - y(\beta_1+\beta_2) \\
 &\quad + i[x(\beta_1+\beta_2) + y(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)] \\
 &= x\alpha_1 + x\alpha_2 - y\beta_1 - y\beta_2 \\
 &\quad + i[x\beta_1 + x\beta_2 + y\alpha_1 + y\alpha_2] \\
 &= (x\alpha_1 - y\beta_1) + i(x\beta_1 + y\alpha_1) \\
 &\quad + (x\alpha_2 - y\beta_2) + i(x\beta_2 + y\alpha_2) \\
 &= (x+iy)(\alpha_1+i\beta_1) + (x+iy)(\alpha_2+i\beta_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(x_1+iy_1), (x_2+iy_2) \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $(\alpha+i\beta) \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(x_1+iy_1) + (x_2+iy_2)] (\alpha+i\beta) \\
 &= [(x_1+x_2) + i(y_1+y_2)] (\alpha+i\beta) \\
 &= [(x_1+x_2)\alpha - (y_1+y_2)\beta] + i[(x_1+x_2)\beta + (y_1+y_2)\alpha]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [x_1\alpha + x_2\alpha - y_1\beta - y_2\beta] + i[x_1\beta + x_2\beta + y_1\alpha + y_2\alpha] \\
 &= [(x_1\alpha - y_2\beta) + i(x_1\beta + y_1\alpha)] + [(x_2\alpha - y_2\beta) + i(x_2\beta + y_2\alpha)] \\
 &= (x_1+iy_1)(\alpha+i\beta) + (x_2+iy_2)(\alpha+i\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

## 2.3 Exercise 1C

- 1 For each of the following subsets of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ , determine whether it is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ .
- $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0\} = A$
  - $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 4\} = B$
  - $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0\} = C$
  - $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x_1 = 5x_3\} = D$

a)  $A$  is subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ .

- Claim 1:  $(0, 0, 0) \in A$

Note that  $0 + 2 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 0 = 0$ . Thus,  $(0, 0, 0) \in A$

- Claim 2:  $\forall (x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in A; (x_1, x_2, x_3) + (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in A$

Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in A$ .

Then,  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$

$y_1 + 2y_2 + 3y_3 = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$

(1) + (2)  $(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) + (y_1 + 2y_2 + 3y_3) = 0$

$(x_1 + y_1) + 2(x_2 + y_2) + 3(x_3 + y_3) = 0$

- Thus,  $(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3) = 0$

- Claim 3: Closed under scalar multiplication

Let  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A$ . Then,

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0$$

$$a(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) = a(x_1) + a(2x_2) + a(3x_3) = ax_1 + 2(ax_2) + 3(ax_3) = 0$$

Then  $a(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0 \in A$ .

b)  $B$  is not a subspace

Note that,  $(0, 0, 0) \notin B$ .

Because,  $0 + 2 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 0 = 0 \neq 4$ .

c)  $C$  is a NOT subspace.

Note that  $(1, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1) \in C$ . (Because  $1 \cdot 0 = 0$  and  $0 \cdot 1 = 0$ )

But  $(1, 1, 0) + (0, 0, 1) = (1, 1, 1) \notin F$

(Because  $1 + 1 = 1 \neq 0$ )

d)  $D$  is a subspace.

- claim 1:  $(0, 0, 0) \in D$

(Because,  $0 = 5 \cdot 0$ )

- claim 2:  $\forall (x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in D$ ,  
 $(x_1, x_2, x_3) + (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in D$

Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in D$ .

Then,  $x_1 = 5x_3$  and  $y_1 = 5y_3$

So,  $x_1 + x_2 = 5x_3 + 5y_3 = 5(x_3 + y_3)$

Thus,  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) + (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3) \in D$

• Scalar multiplication

Let  $a \in F$  and  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in U$ .

$$\text{Then } x_2 = 5x_4$$

$$\text{So, } ax_2 = a(5x_4) = 5(ax_4)$$

Then,

$$a(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (ax_1, ax_2, ax_3, ax_4) \in U.$$

Hence,  $U$  is subspace

Therefore,  $U$  is subspace iff  $b=0$ .

2.

**Statement 1:** If  $b \in F$ , then

$$\{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in F^4 : x_3 = 5x_4 + b\}$$

is a subspace of  $F^4$  if and only if  $b = 0$ .

*Proof of Statement 1*

## 1.35 example: subspaces

(a) If  $b \in F$ , then

$$\{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in F^4 : x_3 = 5x_4 + b\}$$

is a subspace of  $F^4$  if and only if  $b = 0$ .Let  $b \in F$  and let

$$V := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in F^4 : x_3 = 5x_4 + b\}$$

claim:  $V$  is subspace of  $F^4 \Leftrightarrow b=0$  $\Rightarrow$ Suppose that  $V$  is subspace. Then  $(0, 0, 0, 0) \in V$ Then,  $0 = 5 \cdot 0 + b \Rightarrow b = 0$ . $\Leftarrow$ Now suppose that  $b = 0$ . Then,  $x_3 = 5x_4 \rightarrow ①$ 

• Additive id

Note that  $(0, 0, 0, 0) \in D$ . Because  $0 = 5 \cdot 0 = 0$ .

• Closed under addition

Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in D$ .Then  $x_3 = 5x_4$  and  $y_3 = 5y_4$

• Scalar multiplication.

Let  $a \in F$  and  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in U$ .

Then

$$x_2 = 5x_4$$

$$\text{So, } ax_2 = a(5x_4) = 5(ax_4)$$

Then,

$$a(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (ax_1, ax_2, ax_3, ax_4) \in U.$$

Hence,  $U$  is subspace

Therefore,  $U$  is subspace iff  $b=0$ .

**Statement 2 :** The set of continuous real-valued functions on the interval  $[0, 1]$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0, 1]}$

*Proof of statement 2:*

(b) The set of continuous real-valued functions on the interval  $[0, 1]$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0, 1]}$

• Let  $O_{\text{map}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$x \mapsto 0$$

$O_{\text{map}}$  is continuous map. (Since it constant function.)

Hence,  $O_{\text{map}} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0[0, 1]}$  (See claim1)

• Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0[0, 1]}$ . Then  $[f+g]$  is also continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . Thus,  $f+g \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0[0, 1]}$  (Claim2)

• Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0[0, 1]}$ . Then  $cf$  is also continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . Thus,  $cf \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0[0, 1]}$  (Claim3)

Claim:  $O_{\text{map}}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$

Let  $x_0 \in [0,1]$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . choose  $\delta = 1 > 0$ . Let  $x \in [0,1]$ .

Suppose that  $|x - x_0| < 1$ .

Now consider,

$$|O_{\text{map}}(x) - O_{\text{map}}(x_0)| = |O - O| = 0 < \epsilon$$

Therefore,  $O_{\text{map}}$  is continuous map.

Claim 2: If  $f, g \in R^{[0,1]}$  then  $f+g \in R^{[0,1]}$

Suppose that  $f, g \in R^{[0,1]}$ . Let  $x_0 \in [0,1]$

Then If  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ ,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x \in [0,1]$ ,

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad (1)$$

If  $g$  is continuous at  $x_0$ , where  $x_0 \in [0,1]$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta_2 > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x \in [0,1]$

$$|x - x_0| < \delta_2 \Rightarrow |g(x) - g(x_0)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad (2)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$

choose  $\delta = \min \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Let  $x \in [0,1]$ .

Suppose that  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ . Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} |[f+g](x) - [f+g](x_0)| &= |f(x) + g(x) - (f(x_0) + g(x_0))| \\ &= |f(x) + g(x) - f(x_0) - g(x_0)| \\ &= |f(x) - f(x_0) + g(x) - g(x_0)| \\ &\leq |f(x) - f(x_0)| + |g(x) - g(x_0)| \\ &= \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f+g$  is continuous at every point in  $[0,1]$

claim 3: If  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0, D}$  then  $[cf] \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0, J}$

Suppose that  $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0, D}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$   
Then If  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ ,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \quad (1)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} > 0$

Suppose that  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |[cf](x) - [cf](x_0)| &= |c(f(x)) - c(f(x_0))| \\ &= |c(f(x) - f(x_0))| \\ &\leq |c| |f(x) - f(x_0)| \\ &\leq |c| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $[cf] \in \mathbb{R}^{C_0, J}$ .

**Statement 3 :** The set of differentiable real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

*Proof of Statement 3*

(c) The set of differentiable real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

- $O_{map}$  is differentiable. So,  $O_{map} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$
- Since, sum of differentiable functions are differentiable real-value function.  
If  $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  then  $f+g \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- If  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ , then  $cf \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Because  $(cf)$  is differentiable.

**Statement 4 :** The set of differentiable real-valued functions  $\mathbb{R}$  on the interval  $(0, 3)$  such that  $f'(2) = b$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{(0,3)}$  if and only if  $b = 0$ .

*Proof of Statement 4*

- (d) The set of differentiable real-valued functions  $f$  on the interval  $(0, 3)$  such that  $f'(2) = b$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{(0,3)}$  if and only if  $b = 0$ .

Let  $U := \{f: (0,3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f'(2) = b\}$

NTS:  $U$  is subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{(0,3)} \Leftrightarrow b=0$

$\Leftrightarrow$   
Suppose that  $U$  is subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{(0,3)}$

Then,  $0_{\text{map}} \in U$ . Then  $[(0_{\text{map}})'](2) = 0_{\text{map}}(2) = 0$

Now suppose that,  $b=0$ .

- Observe that  $0_{\text{map}}$  is differentiable and

$$[(0_{\text{map}})'](2) = [0_{\text{map}}](2) = 0$$

- Let  $f, g \in U$ . Then  $f, g$  are differentiable and

$$f'(2) = g'(2)$$

Then  $f+g$  are differentiable.

Further,  $[f+g](2) = f(2) + g(2) = 0 + 0 = 0$ .

Thus,  $f+g \in U$ .

- Let  $f \in U$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . So  $f'(2) = 0$ .

Then  $af$  are differentiable. Moreover,

$$[(af)'](2) = a(f'(2)) = a \cdot 0 = 0. \text{ Thus } af \in U.$$

Therefore,  $U$  is a subspace

**Statement 5 :** The set of all sequences of complex numbers with limit 0 is a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$

*Proof of Statement 5:*

(e) The set of all sequences of complex numbers with limit 0 is a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ .

Let  $U := \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid x_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } x_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$

- Consider, a sequence with all components are 0.

Observe that sequence  $(0, 0, 0, \dots) \in U$ .

- Let  $(x_n), (y_n) \in U$ . So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0.$$

$$\text{Then, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } (x_n + y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in U.$$

- Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . So,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ .

Now observe

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} cx_n = c \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = c \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Thus,  $cf \in U$ .

Therefore,  $U$  is a subspace.

- 3 Show that the set of differentiable real-valued functions  $f$  on the interval  $(-4, 4)$  such that  $f'(-1) = 3f(2)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{(-4,4)}$ .

Let  $U := \{f: (-4, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f'(-1) = 3f(2)\}$

• Let Consider  $O_{\text{map}}: (-4, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined line  
 $x \mapsto 0$

$$[(O_{\text{map}})'](-1) = 0 = 3 \cdot 0 = 3(O_{\text{map}}(2))$$

• Let  $f, g \in U$ . So,  $f'(-1) = 3f(2)$  and  
 $g'(-1) = 3g(2)$

addition of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } [(f+g)'](-1) &= [f' + g'](-1) = f'(-1) + g'(-1) = 3f(2) + 3g(2) \\ &= 3(f(2) + g(2)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(f+g) \in U$ .

• Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in U$ . Then  $f'(-1) = 3f(2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } [(af)'](-1) &= a(f'(-1)) = a(3f(2)) = 3(a(f(2))) \\ &= 3([af](2)) \end{aligned}$$

So,  $af \in U$ .

Therefore by def<sup>n</sup> of subspace of  $U$ .

- 4 Suppose  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that the set of continuous real-valued functions  $f$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\int_0^1 f = b$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  if and only if  $b = 0$ .

Suppose  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\text{Let } A := \left\{ f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is continuous on } [0, 1] \text{ and} \\ \int_0^1 f = b \end{array} \right\}$$

NTS:  $A$  is subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]} \iff b=0$

•  $\Rightarrow$ : First Suppose that  $A$  is subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$

Since  $A$  is subspace additive identity exists  
Let's say that "0map".

$$b = \int_0^1 0_{\text{map}}(x) dx = \int_0^1 0 dx = 0$$

•  $\Leftarrow$ : Now Suppose that  $b=0$ ,

- Additive identity

Recall  $0_{\text{map}}$  is the additive identity of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$

$$\text{Then observe, } \int_0^1 0_{\text{map}}(x) dx = \int_0^1 0 dx = 0 = b$$

Thus,  $0_{\text{map}} \in A$ .

- closed under addition.

Let  $f, g \in A$ . This gives

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

and  $\int_0^1 g(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$

So we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 [f+g](x) dx &= \int_0^1 f(x) + g(x) dx \\ &= \underbrace{\int_0^1 f(x) dx}_{0} + \underbrace{\int_0^1 g(x) dx}_{0} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f+g \in A$ .

- closed under scalar multiplication.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in U$ . Then it statisfy  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$

So observe,

$$\int_0^1 [\lambda f](x) dx = \int_0^1 \lambda f(x) dx = \lambda \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \lambda \cdot 0 = 0$$

Thus  $\lambda f \in A$ .

Thus  $A$  is subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ .

5 Is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  a subspace of the complex vector space  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ?

$\mathbb{R}^2$  is NOT a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Because it fails closed under scalar multiplication.

Note that  $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  
 $i(1, 1) = (i, i) \notin \mathbb{R}^2$

- 6** (a) Is  $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{R}^3 : a^3 = b^3\}$  a subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^3$ ?  
 (b) Is  $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{C}^3 : a^3 = b^3\}$  a subspace of  $\mathbf{C}^3$ ?

a)  
 Let  $U := \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid a^3 = b^3\}$ .

claim a):  $U$  is a subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^3$

- Additive identity: Note that  $(0, 0, 0) \in U$ .  
 (Because  $0^3 = 0^3 = 0$ )

- Closed under addition

Let  $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3), V = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in U$

Then  $u_1^3 = u_3^3$  and  $v_1^3 = v_3^3$

So,  $u_1 = u_3$  and  $v_1 = v_3$  (See the following claim)

Thus  $U+V = (u_1+v_1, u_2+v_2, u_3+v_3)$

Note that  $(U+V)^3 = (u_3+v_3)^3$

Therefore,  $U+V \in \mathbf{R}^3$

- Closed under scalar multiplication

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in U$ . Note that.

$$u_1^3 = u_3^3 \Rightarrow \lambda u_1^3 = \lambda u_3^3$$

$$\lambda u = \lambda(u_1, u_2, u_3) = (\lambda u_1, \lambda u_2, \lambda u_3) \in U$$

Claim:  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a^3 = b^3 \Leftrightarrow a = b$

proof of claim: Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

" $\Rightarrow$ "

Suppose that  $a^3 = b^3$

Case-I: If  $a=0$  or  $b=0$ , then it is trivial that  $a=b=0$ .

Case-II: If  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , then

$$0 = (a^3 - b^3) = (a - b)(a^2 + ab + b^2)$$

This implies  $a - b = 0 \Rightarrow a = b$ . (subclaim)

subclaim:  $(a^2 + ab + b^2) > 0$

$$a^2 + ab + b^2 = \left(a + \frac{1}{2}b\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}b^2 + b^2$$

$$= \left(a + \frac{1}{2}b\right)^2 + \frac{3}{4}b^2 > 0$$

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose that  $a=b$ . Now consider follow

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a-b) = (a-b)^3 = (a^3 - 3a^2b + 3ab^2 - b^3) \\ &= (a^3 - 3a^3 + 3b^3 - b^3) = -2a^3 + 2b^3 = 2(-a^3 + b^3) \\ \text{So, } -a^3 + b^3 &= 0 \Rightarrow b^3 = a^3 \end{aligned}$$

⑥b) claim:  $U_2 = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : a^3 = b^3\}$  is  
NOT subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^3$

counterexample: As it's way is deal with 3rd root  
unit.  $\left(1, \frac{1-\sqrt{3}}{2}i, \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right)$

Note that

$$\left(1, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, 0\right) \quad \left(1, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, 0\right) \in U_2$$

$$\text{Because, } \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right)^3 = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right)^3 = 1$$

$$\left(1, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, 0\right) \quad \left(1, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, 0\right) = (2, 1, 0)$$

$$\text{But } 8 = 2^3 \neq 1^3 = 1.$$

Therefore  $U_2$  is subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

- 7 Prove or give a counterexample: If  $U$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $U$  is closed under addition and under taking additive inverses (meaning  $-u \in U$  whenever  $u \in U$ ), then  $U$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Counter example*

$$\text{Let } U := \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

First Note that  $(1, 1) \in U$ . Thus,  $U \neq \emptyset$ .

Claim 1:  $U$  is closed under addition

$$\text{Let } (x, y), (x', y') \in U \text{ then } x, y, x', y' \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Note that  $x+y, x'+y' \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\text{Thus, } (x, y) + (x', y') = (x+x', y+y') \in U$$

Claim 2:  $U$  is closed under additive inverse.

Let  $(a, b) \in U$ . Then  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $-a, -b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

So,  $(-a, -b) \in U$ . Further,

$$(a, b) + (-a, -b) = (a-a, b-b) = (0, 0) \in U$$

But  $U$  is NOT subspace. because

$$(1, 1) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ but } \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1, 1) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \notin U$$

- 8 Give an example of a nonempty subset  $U$  of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  such that  $U$  is closed under scalar multiplication, but  $U$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

$$U := \{(a, b) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid ab = 0\}$$

claim:  $U$  is closed under scalar multiplication

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $(x, y) \in U$

$\lambda(x, y) = (\lambda x, \lambda y) \in U$  (since  $ab = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda ab = 0$ )

But  $U$  is not a closed under scalar multiplication

because  $(1, 0), (0, 1) \in U$ . but

$(1, 0) + (0, 1) = (1, 1) \notin U$  (because  $1 \cdot 1 \neq 0$ )

- 9 A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *periodic* if there exists a positive number  $p$  such that  $f(x) = f(x + p)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Is the set of periodic functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ ? Explain.

Let  $V := \{ f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is periodic} \}$   
 But  $V$  is NOT a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$

before construct counter example let's go through  
 following rough work.

Let  $f, g$  are periodic with period  $P_1, P_2$   
 respectively. i.e.  $f(x + P_1) = f(x)$  and  
 $g(x + P_2) = g(x)$

$$\frac{P_1}{P_2} \in \mathbb{Q} \iff \frac{P_1}{P_2} = \frac{n_1}{n_2} \text{ for some } n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\iff P_1 n_2 = P_2 n_1$$


$$\begin{aligned} \iff [f+g](x + P_1 n_2) &= f(x + P_1 n_2) + g(x + P_1 n_2) \\ &= f(x) + g(x) \\ &= [f+g](x) \end{aligned}$$

So, what we need to get ratio of periods should not be rational. Let's consider

$$f(x) = \cos(\sqrt{2}x) \text{ have period } \sqrt{2}\pi$$

$$g(x) = \cos(x) \text{ have period } 2\pi$$

$$h(x) = [f+g](x) = f(x) + g(x) = \cos(\sqrt{2}x) + \cos(x)$$



claim:  $h$  is not periodic function

Assume that contray,  $h$  has period  $p$ . ( $p > 0$ )

$$\text{i.e.: } h(x+p) = h(x)$$

$$h(0) = h(0+p)$$

$$h(0) = h(p)$$

$$(\cos(0) + \cos(0)) = \cos(\sqrt{2}p) + \cos(p)$$

$$2 = \cos(\sqrt{2}p) + \cos(p)$$

Note that 1 is upper bound of  $c_{65}$ .  
that implies,

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 2\pi k \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sqrt{2}p &= 2\pi l \quad \text{for some } l \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$p = 2\pi k = \frac{2\pi l}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\frac{l}{k} = \sqrt{2} \quad \#$$

Since  $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $l/k \neq \sqrt{2}$ . Therefore  
this is a contradiction. Thus  $h$  is not periodic  
function.

- 10** Suppose  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are subspaces of  $V$ . Prove that the intersection  $V_1 \cap V_2$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

- Non-empty: Note that  $0 \in V_1$  and  $0 \in V_2$ . Then  $0 \in V_1 \cap V_2$ . Thus  $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$
- Additive identity: Previously, we proved that  $0 \in V_1 \cap V_2$ .
- Closed under addition: Let  $u \in V_1 \cap V_2$  and  $w \in V_1 \cap V_2$ .  
Thus,  $u \in V_1$  and  $u \in V_2$   
 $w \in V_1$  and  $w \in V_2$   
Hence  $u+w \in V_1$  and  $u+w \in V_2$   
Therefore,  $u+w \in V_1 \cap V_2$

### Closed under scalar multiplication

Let  $u \in V_1 \cap V_2$  and  $\lambda \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u \in V_1 &\Rightarrow \lambda u \in V_1 \\ u \in V_2 &\Rightarrow \lambda u \in V_2 \end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \lambda u \in V_1 \cap V_2$$

Thus,  $V_1 \cap V_2$  is a subspace of  $V$

- 11** Prove that the intersection of every collection of subspaces of  $V$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$  be an arbitrary collection of subspaces of  $V$ . here  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $I$ -index set

- non-emptiness:  $0 \in U_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in I$ . Thus

$$0 \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha. \text{ Thus } \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

- additive identity: Previously proved that  $0 \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ .

- closed under addition: Let  $v, w \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} v &\in U_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in I, \\ w &\in U_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in I \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } v + w \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha.$$

- Closed under scalar multiplication

Let  $\lambda \in F$  and  $U \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} U \in U_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in I. &\Rightarrow \lambda U \in U_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in I \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda U \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

- 12** Prove that the union of two subspaces of  $V$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if one of the subspaces is contained in the other.

Let  $U_1, U_2$  subspace of  $V$ .

N.T.S:  $U_1 \cup U_2$  are subspace of  $V \Leftrightarrow U_1 \subseteq U_2$  or  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$

Backward direction:

Without lost of generality assume that  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ .  
Then  $U_1 \cup U_2 = U_2$ . We already know that  $U_2$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

Forward direction:

Suppose that  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is a subspace. Assume the contray  $U_1 \not\subseteq U_2$  and  $U_2 \not\subseteq U_1$ . Thus  $U_1 \setminus U_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $U_2 \setminus U_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then we can choose  $v \in U_1 \setminus U_2 \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2$ ,  $w \in U_2 \setminus U_1 \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2$ .

By our hypothesis,  $v + w \in U_1 \cup U_2$  — (1)

Then  $v+w \in U_1$  and  $v+w \in U_2$

Since  $U_1, U_2$  are subspaces,  $-v \in U_1$  and  $-w \in U_2$

$\rightarrow$  If  $(v+w) \in U_1$ , then  $(v+w)-v = w \in U_1$  but  $w \in U_2 \setminus U_1$

$\rightarrow$  If  $(v+w) \in U_2$ , then  $(v+w)-w = v \in U_2$  but  $v \in U_1 \setminus U_2$

This gives that contradiction. Therefore

$$U_1 \subseteq U_2 \text{ or } U_2 \subseteq U_1.$$

- 13 Prove that the union of three subspaces of  $V$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if one of the subspaces contains the other two.

*This exercise is surprisingly harder than Exercise 12, possibly because this exercise is not true if we replace  $\mathbb{F}$  with a field containing only two elements.*

Let  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  be subspaces of  $V$ .

Let  $V = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$ .

NTS:  $V$  is subspace  $\Leftrightarrow$  one of subspaces contain the other two

backward direction.

Without loss of generality suppose that  $U_1 \cup U_2 \subseteq U_3$ . Then  $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 = U_3$ .

We know that  $U_3$  is a subspace.

forward direction

Suppose that  $V = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$  is subspace.

Assume the contrary  $\neg$  there is no subspace is subset either of other two.  $\neg\neg$

Let  $w \in U_1 \setminus (U_2 \cup U_3)$ . (We can choose such that elements by hypothesis  $\neg\neg$ ).

Let  $x \in U_2 \setminus U_1$  (by  $\neg\neg$ )

We know that  $w, x \in V$ .

Then  $(w+x) \in V$  and  $(w-x) \in V$

$\neg$  Since  $V$  is a subspace.

~~If  $(w+x) \in U_1$~~

Then  $(w+x) \in U_1$ , or  $(w+x) \in U_2$ , or  $(w+x) \in U_3$ .

- If  $(w+x) \in U_1$ , then  $(w+x) - w = x \in U_1$ .  
This is a contradiction. ~~impossible~~
- If  $(w+x) \in U_2$ , then  $(w+x) - x = w \in U_2$ .  
But this contradiction not possible.

Therefore, only thing  $w+x \in U_3$  — ~~\*\*~~.

Then,  $(w-x) \in U_1$ , or  $(w-x) \in U_2$  or  $(w-x) \in U_3$ .

- If  $(w-x) \in U_1$ , then  $(w-x) - w = -x \in U_1$ .  
This is contradiction ~~impossible~~
- If  $(w-x) \in U_2$ , then  $(w-x) + x = w \in U_2$ .  
This is impossible.

Therefore  $(w-x) \in U_3$  — ~~\*\*\*~~

By ~~\*\*~~ and ~~\*\*\*~~,  $(w-x) + (w-x) = 2w \in U_3$ .  
This is contradiction. Therefore, at least one of subspace must be a subset of another.

**14** Suppose

$$U = \{(x, -x, 2x) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x \in \mathbb{F}\} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \{(x, x, 2x) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Describe  $U + W$  using symbols, and also give a description of  $U + W$  that uses no symbols.

$$\begin{aligned} U+W &:= \{(2x, 0, 4x) \in \mathbb{F}^3 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}\} \\ &= \{2x(1, 0, 2) \in \mathbb{F}^3 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}\} \\ &\text{U+W is a set of elements of } \mathbb{F}^3 \\ &\text{first element is half of 3rd element} \\ &\text{2nd element is zero.} \end{aligned}$$

**15** Suppose  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ . What is  $U + U$ ?

Let  $U$  be a subspace of  $V$ .

$$U+U = \{ (u+\gamma) \mid u, \gamma \in U \}$$

claim:  $U+U = U$

subclaim1:  $U+U \subseteq U$

Let  $w \in U+U$ . Then  $w = u_1 + u_2$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ . Since  $U$  is a subspace,  $w = u_1 + u_2 \in U$ .

subclaim2:  $U \subseteq U+U$

Let  $v \in U$ . Since  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ ,

$0 \in U$  and then  $v = v + 0 \in U+U$ . Thus  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

- 16** Is the operation of addition on the subspaces of  $V$  commutative? In other words, if  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $V$ , is  $U + W = W + U$ ?

Let  $U_1, U_2$  are subspaces of  $V$ .

claim:  $U_1 + U_2 \subseteq U_2 + U_1$

Suppose  $y \in U_1 + U_2$ . Then  $y = u_1 + u_2$  for some  $u_1 \in U_1$   $u_2 \in U_2$

Since  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq V$  and  $V$  is vectorspace and it has commutative property. Thus,

$$U_1 + U_2 = U_2 + U_1$$

Thus  $y \in U_1 + U_2 = U_2 + U_1 \subseteq U_2 + U_1$

Therefore  $U_1 + U_2 \subseteq U_2 + U_1$ .

Similary, we can show that  $U_1 + U_2 \supseteq U_2 + U_1$ .

Therefore,  $U_1 + U_2 = U_2 + U_1$

- 17 Is the operation of addition on the subspaces of  $V$  associative? In other words, if  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  are subspaces of  $V$ , is

$$(V_1 + V_2) + V_3 = V_1 + (V_2 + V_3)?$$

Suppose that  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  are subspaces of  $V$

$$\text{NTS: } V_1 + (V_2 + V_3) = (V_1 + V_2) + V_3$$

Claim:  $V_1 + (V_2 + V_3) \subseteq (V_1 + V_2) + V_3$

Let  $x \in V_1 + (V_2 + V_3)$ . Then  $x = u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \in \dots$

Then  $x = u_1 + (u_2 + u_3)$  for some  $u_1 \in V_1$   
 $u_2 \in V_2$   
 $u_3 \in V_3$

Since  $V_1, V_2, V_3 \subseteq V$  and  $V$  is a vector space, it has associativity properties.  
Vectors of vectors are associative. Then

$$x = (u_1 + u_2) + u_3$$

Then  $x \in (V_1 + V_2) + V_3$ .

Similarly we can show that,  
 $V_1 + (V_2 + V_3) \supseteq (V_1 + V_2) + V_3$

$$\text{Therefore, } V_1 + (V_2 + V_3) = (V_1 + V_2) + V_3.$$

- 18** Does the operation of addition on the subspaces of  $V$  have an additive identity? Which subspaces have additive inverses?

Claim1: Additive identity is the subspace  $\{0\}$  of  $V$ . Note that,

$$U + \{0\} = \{u+0 : u \in U\} = \{u : u \in U\} = U$$

Similarly we can show that  $\{0\} + V = V$

Therefore, Additive identity is  $\{0\}$ .

Claim2: The subspace  $\{0\}$  has additive inverse

Assume that  $U$  is subspace of  $V$  and ~~U~~ has additive inverse  $W$ .

$$U + W = \{0\}.$$

Note that  $0 \in U$ . Then  $0 + w = 0 \quad \forall w \in W$

Then  $W = \{0\}$ .

$$\text{Hence } \cancel{\{0\}} + U + \{0\} = \{0\}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } U = \{0\}$$

**19** Prove or give a counterexample: If  $V_1, V_2, U$  are subspaces of  $V$  such that

$$V_1 + U = V_2 + U,$$

then  $V_1 = V_2$ .

The counter example:

$$U_1 := \{(x, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

$$U_2 := \{(x, 2x) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

$$V := \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\underline{\text{Claim 1: } U_1 + V = \mathbb{R}^2}$$

$$\underline{\text{Subclaim 1.1: } U_1 + V \supseteq \mathbb{R}^2}$$

Let  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

Then  $(x, y) = (0, 0) + (x, y) \in U_1 + V$   
Thus,  $U_1 + V \supseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ .

otherwise round is trivial.

i. e.  $U_1 + V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$

Therefore,  $U_1 + V = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

• closed under addition.

$$(x, x), (y, y) \in U_1 \Rightarrow (x+x, y+y) \in U_1$$

$$(u, v), (v, 2v) \in U_2 \Rightarrow (u+v, 2(u+v)) \in U_2$$

$$(w_1, w_2), (w_3, w_4) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \Rightarrow (w_1+w_2, w_3+w_4) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$U_2 + V = \mathbb{R}^2$$

Let's summarize.

$$U_1 + V = \mathbb{R}^2 = U_2 + V$$

but it is clear that,  $U_1 \neq U_2$ .

Therefore given statement is false.

**Claim 0:**  $U_1, U_2, V$  are subspace

• additive identity

$$(0, 0) \in U_1, U_2, V.$$

• Closed under scalar multiplication

Let  $\lambda \in F$ .

$$(u, u) \in V_1 \Rightarrow \lambda(u, u) = (\lambda u, \lambda u) \in U_1$$

$$(v, 2v) \in V_2 \Rightarrow \lambda(v, 2v) = (\lambda v, \lambda(2v)) = (\lambda v, 2(\lambda v)) \in U_2$$

$$(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \Rightarrow \lambda(x, y) = (\lambda x, \lambda y) \in \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Hence  $V_1, V_2, V$  are subspaces

**20** Suppose

$$U = \{(x, x, y, y) \in \mathbf{F}^4 : x, y \in \mathbf{F}\}.$$

Find a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbf{F}^4$  such that  $\mathbf{F}^4 = U \oplus W$ .

Let  $W = \{(0, w_2, w_3, 0) \mid w_2, w_3 \in \mathbf{F}\}$

Now let's verify that  $U \cap W = \{0\}$

Let  $(u, v, v, v) \in U \cap W$

Thus, observe that  $(0, 0, 0, 0) \in$

Let  $(a, b, c, d) \in U \cap W$

The  $(a, b, c, d) \in U \Rightarrow a = b$  and  $c = d$

$(a, b, c, d) \in W \Rightarrow a = 0$  and  $c = 0$

Therefore,  $(a, b, c, d) = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in \{0\}$ .

Thus  $U \cap W \subseteq \{0\}$

Therefore,  $U \cap W = \{0\}$

Now let's verify  $U \oplus W = F^4$

Let  $(a, b, c, d) \in F^4$

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b, c, d) &= (a, b-a, c-d, d) \\ &= (a, a, d, d) + (0, b-a, c-d, 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b, c, d) &= (a, b-a+a, c-d+d, d) \\ &= (a, a, d, d) + (0, b-a, c-d, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(a, a, d, d) \in U$  and  
 $(0, b-a, c-d, 0) \in W$

Thus,  $F^4 \subseteq U \oplus W$

It is trivial that  $F^4 \supseteq U \oplus W$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Hence,  $U \oplus W = F^4$

**21** Suppose

$$U = \{(x, y, x+y, x-y, 2x) \in \mathbb{F}^5 : x, y \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Find a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{F}^5$  such that  $\mathbb{F}^5 = U \oplus W$ .

Let  $W = \{(0, 0, a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^5 \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}\}$

Claim 1:  $U \cap W = \{0\}$

Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in U \cap W$

Since  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in W$  then

$x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$   
Since  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in U$  then

$$x_3 = x_1 + x_2 = 0 + 0 = 0$$

$$x_4 = x_1 - x_2 = 0 - 0 = 0$$

$$x_5 = 2x_1 = 2 \cdot 0 = 0$$

Therefore  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \{0\}$

Thus,  ~~$U \cap W \subseteq \{0\}$~~   $U \cap W \subseteq \{0\}$ .

It is trivial that  $U \cap W \supseteq \{0\}$ .

Therefore,  $U \cap W = \{0\}$

Claim 2:  $U + W = \mathbb{F}^5$

Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in$

$\mathbb{F}^5$

Let  $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5) \in \mathbb{F}^5$

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5) = (y_1, y_2, y_3 - y_1 - y_2, y_4 - y_1 + y_2, y_5 - 2y_1)$$

$$+ (0, 0, y_1 + y_2, y_1 - y_2, 2y_1)$$

Here,  
 $(x_1, x_2, x_3 - x_1 - x_2, x_4 - x_1 + x_2, x_5 - 2x_1) \in U$

and  
 $(0, 0, x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_2, 2x_1) \in W$

Thus,  $F^S \subseteq U + W$ . It is trivial that  
 $F^S = U + W$ .

**22** Suppose

$$U = \{(x, y, x+y, x-y, 2x) \in \mathbb{F}^5 : x, y \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Find three subspaces  $W_1, W_2, W_3$  of  $\mathbb{F}^5$ , none of which equals  $\{0\}$ , such that  $\mathbb{F}^5 = U \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3$ .

Let  $W_1 = \{(x, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^5 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}\}$   
 $W_2 = \{(0, x, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^5 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}\}$   
 $W_3 = \{(0, 0, x, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^5 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}\}$

Suppose that

$$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (a_1, a_2, a_1+a_2, a_1-a_2, 2a_1) \\ + (0, a_3, 0, 0, 0) + (0, a_4, 0, 0, 0) \\ + (0, 0, a_5, 0, 0)$$

$$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (a_1+a_3, a_2+a_4, a_1+a_2+a_5, a_1-a_2, 2a_1)$$

We can see that  $a_1 = 0$ .


$$a_1 - a_2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_2 = 0$$

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_5 = 0 \Rightarrow a_5 = 0$$

$$a_2 + a_4 = 0 \Rightarrow a_4 = 0$$

$$a_1 + a_3 = 0 \Rightarrow a_3 = 0$$

Therefore, the only way to write 0 as a sum  $\in U + W_1 + W_2 + W_3$ , where each  $\notin U, x \in U$   $w_k \in W_k$   $k=1, 2, 3$ . is by taking each  $\notin U = W_1 = W_2 = W_3 = 0$ . Therefore the sum  $U + W_1 + W_2 + W_3$  is a direct sum.




**23** Prove or give a counterexample: If  $V_1, V_2, U$  are subspaces of  $V$  such that

$$V = V_1 \oplus U \quad \text{and} \quad V = V_2 \oplus U,$$

then  $V_1 = V_2$ .

*Hint: When trying to discover whether a conjecture in linear algebra is true or false, it is often useful to start by experimenting in  $\mathbb{F}^2$ .*



Claim 4:  $V_2 \cap V = \{0\}$ .  
 Let  $(c,d) \in V_2 \cap V$ . Then since  $(c,d) \in V_2$ , then  $c=d$ .  
 Since  $(c,d) \in V$ , then  $d=0$ . Thus,  
 $(c,d) = (0,0) \in \{0\}$ . Thus,  $V_2 \cap V \subseteq \{0\}$ .

Therefore,  $V_2 \cap V = \{0\}$ . \*\*\*\*

Therefore  $V_1 \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^2 = V = V_2 \oplus V$ .  
 But  $V_1 \neq V_2$  (Since  $(2,2) \in V_2, (0,3) \in V_1$   
 $(2,2) \notin V_2, (0,3) \notin V_1$ )

**24** A function  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called *even* if

$$f(-x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . A function  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called *odd* if

$$f(-x) = -f(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $V_e$  denote the set of real-valued even functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $V_o$  denote the set of real-valued odd functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Show that  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}} = V_e \oplus V_o$ .

Claim 1:  $V_e, V_o$  is a subspace

• Additive identity: Zero function is the additive identity. ~~map~~. ~~i.e.~~:

$$\begin{aligned} O_{\text{map}}: \mathbf{R} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ x &\longmapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$O_{\text{map}}(x) = 0 = O_{\text{map}}(-x)$$

$$- O_{\text{map}}(x) = 0 = O_{\text{map}}(-x)$$

• Closed Under addition

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in V_e$  and  $h_1, h_2 \in V_o$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} g_1(x) = g_1(-x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ g_2(x) = g_2(-x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{Then } \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} h_1(-x) = -h_1(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ h_2(-x) = -h_2(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{Then } \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} [g_1 + g_2](x) = g_1(x) + g_2(x) \\ = g_1(-x) + g_2(-x) \\ = [g_1 + g_2](-x) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} [h_1 + h_2](x) = h_1(x) + h_2(x) \\ = h_1(-x) - h_2(-x) \\ = -[h_1 + h_2](x) \end{array}$$

Thus,  $[g_1 + g_2] \in V_e$       Thus,  $[h_1 + h_2] \in V_o$

Therefore,  $V_e$  and  $V_o$  are closed under addition.

• Closed under scalar multiplication

Let  $\lambda \in F$ ,  $g \in V_e$ ,  $h \in V_o$ , Then  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} g(x) = g(-x) \\ [\lambda g](x) = \lambda g(x) \\ = \lambda g(-x) \\ = [\lambda g](-x) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} h(x) = -h(-x) \\ [\lambda h](x) = \lambda h(x) \\ = \lambda (-h(-x)) \\ = -\lambda h(-x) \\ = -[\lambda h](-x) \end{array}$$

Thus,  $V_e$  and  $V_o$  are closed under scalar multiplication.

$\Leftrightarrow$  Therefore  $V_e$  and  $V_o$  are subspaces.

Now N.T.S:  $\checkmark \quad V_o + V_e = \mathbb{R}^{12}$

claim:  $\mathbb{R}^{12} \subseteq V_o + V_e$

Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$ . Define  $g, h \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$

$$g(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) + h(x) &= \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \\ &= f(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Further note that  $g$  is even function.

$$g(-x) = \frac{f(-x) - f(-(-x))}{2} = \frac{f(-x) - f(x)}{2} = g(x).$$

Further note that  $h$  is odd function.

$$\begin{aligned} h(-x) &= \frac{f(-x) - f(-(-x))}{2} = \frac{-f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \\ &= -\left(\frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}\right) = -h(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $h$  is odd function. ( $h \in V_o$ )

Therefore,  $V_o + V_e \supseteq \mathbb{R}^{1|2}$ . Other way around is trivial. (i.e:  $V_e + V_{e_0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{1|2}$ )

Thus,  $V_e + V_o = \mathbb{R}^{1|2}$

Finally, we need to show that  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} = V_e \oplus V_o$

claim:  $V_e \cap V_o = \{0\}$

Let  $f \in V_e \cap V_o$ .

$$f \in V_e \Rightarrow f(-x) = f(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$f \in V_o \Rightarrow f(-x) = -f(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{--- ②}$$


By ① and ②  $f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

Thus  $f = 0_{\text{map}} \in \{0\}$ . Thus  $V_e \cap V_o \subseteq \{0\}$

It is trivial that  $V_e \cap V_o \supseteq \{0\}$

Therefore  $V_e \cap V_o = \{0\}$

Therefore  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} = V_e \oplus V_o$







## Chapter 3

# Exercise 02 (Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces)

### 3.1 Exercise 2A

1 Find a list of four distinct vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^3$  whose span equals

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x + y + z = 0\}.$$

Let  $\gamma_1 = (1, 0, 0)$   
 $\gamma_2 = (0, 1, 0)$   
 $\gamma_3 = (0, 2, 1)$   
 $\gamma_4 = (0, 1, 1)$ .

Then claim:  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$  are linearly independent.  
 Suppose that  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$a\gamma_1 + b\gamma_2 + c\gamma_3 + d\gamma_4 = 0$$

$$a(1, 0, 0) + b(0, 1, 0) + c(0, 2, 1) + d(0, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$(a, b, 2c+d, c+d) = (0, 0, 0)$$

Thus  $a = 0$ ,  $b + 2c + d = 0$   $\textcircled{1}$ ,  $c + d = 0$   $\textcircled{2}$

Further,  $a + b + 2c + d + c + d = a + b + 3c + 2d = 0$   $\textcircled{3}$

$\textcircled{3} - \textcircled{1}$ ,  $d = 0$ . Then  $c = 0$ . Thus  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$  are linearly independent.

3 Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is a list of vectors in  $V$ . For  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , let

$$w_k = v_1 + \dots + v_k.$$

Show that  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ .

Claim 1:  $\text{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \subseteq \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$

Let  $a \in \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ . Then there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in F$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_1 w_1 + a_2 w_2 + \dots + a_m w_m \\ &= a_1 v_1 + a_2 (v_1 + v_2) + \dots + a_m (v_1 + \dots + v_m) \\ &= (a_1 + \dots + a_m) v_1 + (a_2 + \dots + a_m) v_2 \\ &\quad + \dots + a_m v_m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $a \in \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)$

Hence  $\text{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \subseteq \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)$  (\*)

Claim 2:  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) \subseteq \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$

Let  $b \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . Then there exist  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in F$  such that

$$b = b_1 \gamma_1 + b_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + b_m \gamma_m$$

We're writing  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  as follows.

$$\gamma_1 = w_1, \gamma_2 = (w_2 - w_1), \gamma_3 = (w_3 - w_2), \dots, \gamma_m = (w_m - w_{m-1})$$

$$\gamma_m = w_m - w_{m-1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} b &= b_1 w_1 + b_2 (w_2 - w_1) + \dots + b_m (w_m - w_{m-1}) \\ &= (b_1 - b_2) w_1 + \dots + (b_m - b_{m-1}) w_m \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $b \in \text{Span}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$

Therefore,  $\text{Span}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \subseteq \text{Span}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$  (1)

By (1) and (2)

By claim (1) and (2) such that

$$\text{Span}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m) = \text{Span}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$$

- 4 (a) Show that a list of length one in a vector space is linearly independent if and only if the vector in the list is not 0.

02 a) Let  $V$  be a vector space.  
Let  $v \in V$  with  $v \neq 0$ .

Forward direction: This is trivial.

Suppose that there exist  $a \in F$  such that  
 $a v = 0$ .

By Exercise 1B ② and  $v \neq 0$ , we get  $a = 0$ .

Thus it is linearly independent.

Backward direction:

Suppose that  $v \in V$  is linearly independent.

Then  $v \neq 0$ . Because otherwise  
Assume contray  $v = 0$ . Then  $5 \cdot v = 0$

This contradicts linear independent. Thus  $v \neq 0$ .

## 100 CHAPTER 3. EXERCISE 02 (FINITE-DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACES)

- ... if and only if the vector in the list is not 0.
- (b) Show that a list of length two in a vector space is linearly independent if and only if neither of the two vectors in the list is a scalar multiple of the other.

b) Let  $V$  be a vector space and let  $y_1, y_2 \in V$ . Suppose that  $y_1, y_2$  are linearly independent.

Forward direction: Suppose that  $y_1, y_2$  are linearly independent. Assume the contrary that vectors are scalar multipliers of others. Without loss of generality we assume that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $k \neq 0$ . Assume that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $k \neq 0$ .

Then,  $y_1 = ky_2$

$$\therefore y_1 + (-k)y_2 = 0$$

Thus  $y_1, y_2 \in V$  are linearly dependent.

This is contradiction.

Backward direction:

We are going to prove that this by proof by contradiction contrapositive.

N.T.S. If  $y_1, y_2 \in V$  are linearly dependent then there exist  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $a_1, a_2 \neq 0$

Suppose that  $y_1, y_2 \in V$  are linearly independent.

Then there exist  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $a_1, a_2 \neq 0$

(but not both zero) such that

$$a_1y_1 + a_2y_2 = 0$$

without loss generality  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Then

$$a_1y_1 + a_2y_2 = 0$$

$$y_1 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1}y_2$$

**5** Find a number  $t$  such that

$$(3, 1, 4), (2, -3, 5), (5, 9, t)$$

is not linearly independent in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Since the vectors  $(3, 1, 4), (2, -3, 5)$  and  $(5, 9, t)$  are linearly dependent. Thus there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(5, 9, t) = a(3, 1, 4) + b(2, -3, 5)$$

$$5 = 3a + 2b \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$9 = a - 3b \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$t = 4a + 5b$$

By ① and ②  $a = 3$  and  $b = -2$

$$\text{Thus } t = 4(3) + 5(-2) = 2 //$$

- 6 Show that the list  $(2, 3, 1), (1, -1, 2), (7, 3, c)$  is linearly dependent in  $\mathbb{F}^3$  if and only if  $c = 8$ .

<p><u>Backward direction</u></p> <p>If <math>c=8</math> then observe that  <math>(0, 0, 0) = 2(2, 3, 1) + 3(1, -1, 2) + 1(7, 3, 8)</math></p> <p>Therefore, the given list of vectors are linearly independent.</p>	<p><u>Forward direction</u>: Now suppose that the given list is linearly <del>dependent</del>. Then there exist <math>p, q, r \in \mathbb{F}</math> with not all zero such that</p> $p(2, 3, 1) + q(1, -1, 2) + r(7, 3, c) = (0, 0, 0)$ $2p + q + 7r = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$ $3p - q + 3r = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$ $p + 2q + 3rc = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$ $(1) + (2) \quad 5p + 10r = 0 \Rightarrow p = -2r$ $3 \times (1) - 2 \times (2) \quad 3q + 21r + 2q - 6r = 0 \Rightarrow 5q = -15r \Rightarrow q = -3r$ <p>Since <math>p, q, r \neq 0</math>.</p> <p>By (3) <math>(-2r) + 2(-3r) + r(c) = 0</math>  <del>(cancel)</del> <math>r(c-8) = 0</math></p> <p>Since <math>r \neq 0</math>, <math>c = 8</math> //</p>
---	---

- 7 (a) Show that if we think of  $\mathbb{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the list  $1+i, 1-i$  is linearly independent.  
 (b) Show that if we think of  $\mathbb{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then the list  $1+i, 1-i$  is linearly dependent.

a) Suppose that there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$a(1+i) + b(1-i) = 0 + 0i$$

$$(a+b) + (a-b)i = 0 + 0i$$

$$\text{Thus } \begin{cases} a+b=0 \\ a-b=0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow a=0, b=0$$

Therefore,  $(1+i), (1-i)$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

b) Observe that,

$$i(1+i) + i(1-i) = i + (-i) + 1 - i = 0$$

Thus  $(1+i), (1-i)$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

8 Suppose  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  is linearly independent in  $V$ . Prove that the list

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

is also linearly independent.

③ Suppose that  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$  is linearly independent.

If  $a_1\gamma_1 + \dots + a_4\gamma_4 = 0$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_4 \in \mathbb{F}$

then  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$ . Let

$$U_1 = (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), U_2 = (\gamma_2 - \gamma_3), U_3 = (\gamma_3 - \gamma_4), U_4 = \gamma_4$$

Suppose that

$$b_1 U_1 + b_2 U_2 + b_3 U_3 + b_4 U_4 = 0 \text{ for some } b_1, \dots, b_4 \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$b_1(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) + b_2(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3) + b_3(\gamma_3 - \gamma_4) + b_4\gamma_4 = 0$$

$$b_1\gamma_1 + b_2(\gamma_2 - b_1)\gamma_2 + (b_3 - b_2)\gamma_3 + (b_4 - b_3)\gamma_4 = 0$$

Since  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_4$  are linearly independent.

$$b_1 = 0$$

$$b_2 - b_1 = 0 \Rightarrow b_2 = 0$$

$$b_3 - b_2 = 0 \Rightarrow b_3 = 0$$

$$b_4 - b_3 = 0 \Rightarrow b_4 = 0$$

Therefore  $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4$  are linearly independent

- 9 Prove or give a counterexample: If  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  is a linearly independent list of vectors in  $V$ , then

$$5v_1 - 4v_2, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m$$

is linearly independent.

③ Suppose that  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$  is linearly independent.

If  $a_1\gamma_1 + \dots + a_4\gamma_4 = 0$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_4 \in \mathbb{F}$

then  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$ . Let  
 $U_1 = (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), U_2 = (\gamma_2 - \gamma_3), U_3 = (\gamma_3 - \gamma_4), U_4 = \gamma_4$

Suppose that

$b_1U_1 + b_2U_2 + b_3U_3 + b_4U_4 = 0$  for some  $b_1, \dots, b_4 \in \mathbb{F}$

$b_1(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) + b_2(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3) + b_3(\gamma_3 - \gamma_4) + b_4\gamma_4 = 0$

$b_1\gamma_1 + b_2(b_2 - b_1)\gamma_2 + (b_3 - b_2)\gamma_3$

$+ (b_4 - b_3)\gamma_4 = 0$

Since  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_4$  are linearly independent.

$$b_1 = 0$$

$$b_2 - b_1 = 0 \Rightarrow b_2 = 0$$

$$b_3 - b_2 = 0 \Rightarrow b_3 = 0$$

$$b_4 - b_3 = 0 \Rightarrow b_4 = 0$$

Therefore  $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4$  are linearly independent

- 10** Prove or give a counterexample: If  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  is a linearly independent list of vectors in  $V$  and  $\lambda \in F$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2, \dots, \lambda v_m$  is linearly independent.

⑩ Suppose that  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  is a linearly independent list of vectors in  $V$  and  $\lambda \in F$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

Suppose that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in F$  there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in F$  such that,

$$a_1(\lambda\gamma_1) + a_2(\lambda\gamma_2) + \dots + a_m(\lambda\gamma_m) = 0$$

$$\text{Then } (a_1\lambda)\gamma_1 + (a_2\lambda)\gamma_2 + \dots + (a_m\lambda)\gamma_m = 0$$

Since  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  are linearly independent.

$$a_1\lambda = a_2\lambda = \dots = a_m\lambda = 0$$

$$\text{Since } \lambda \neq 0, a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$$

Therefore  $\lambda\gamma_1, \lambda\gamma_2, \dots, \lambda\gamma_m$  is linearly independent.

- 11** Prove or give a counterexample: If  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  are linearly independent lists of vectors in  $V$ , then the list  $v_1 + w_1, \dots, v_m + w_m$  is linearly independent.

The given statement is false.

Counterexample:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= (-1, 0) & \gamma_2 &= (0, -1) \\ w_1 &= (1, 0) & w_2 &= (0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $a_1\gamma_1 + a_2\gamma_2 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(-1, 0) + a_2(0, -1) &= (0, 0) \\ (-a_1, -a_2) &= (0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in V$  are linearly independent.

Similarly we can show that  $w_1, w_2 \in V$  are linearly independent.

Suppose that  $b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} b_1(1, 0) + b_2(0, 1) &= (0, 0) \\ (b_1, b_2) &= (0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ . Thus  $w_1, w_2$  are linearly independent.

Now note that.

~~PERIODIC~~

$$\begin{aligned} 1(\gamma_1 + w_1) + 1(\gamma_2 + w_2) &= ((-1, 0) + (1, 0)) \\ &\quad + 1((0, -1) + (0, 1)) \\ &= (0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(\gamma_1 + w_1)$  and  $(\gamma_2 + w_2)$  are linearly dependent.

- 12 Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent in  $V$  and  $w \in V$ . Prove that if  $v_1 + w, \dots, v_m + w$  is linearly dependent, then  $w \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ .

Suppose that  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in V$  are linearly independent. First suppose that  $\gamma_1 + w, \dots, \gamma_m + w$  is linearly dependent. There exist scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in F$  such that  ~~$a_i \neq 0$~~  for all  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ . Such that

$$a_1(\gamma_1 + w) + \dots + a_m(\gamma_m + w) = 0$$

$$(a_1\gamma_1 + \dots + a_m\gamma_m) + (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m)w = 0$$

If  $(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m) = 0$  then

$$a_1\gamma_1 + a_2\gamma_2 + \dots + a_m\gamma_m = 0$$

Since  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  are linearly independent.

$$a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$$

But this cannot happen because of our hypothesis.  
of linear dependent of  $\gamma_1 + w, \dots, \gamma_m + w$

Therefore  $(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m) \neq 0$ . Hence.

$$w = \frac{1}{(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m)}(a_1\gamma_1 + a_2\gamma_2 + \dots + a_m\gamma_m)$$

Thus  $w \in \text{span}\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$

13 Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent in  $V$  and  $w \in V$ . Show that

$v_1, \dots, v_m, w$  is linearly independent  $\Leftrightarrow w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ .

Suppose that  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in V$  are linear independent and  $w \in W$ .

Backward direction

Suppose that  $w \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ .

Assume the contrary that  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, w$  is linear dependent. Then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_m, b \in F$ , ( $a_i \neq 0$  for all  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $b \neq 0$ ) such that

$$a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_m y_m + b w = 0 \quad (1)$$

If  $b=0$ , then  $a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_m y_m = 0$

Since  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  are linearly independent

$$a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$$

Therefore,  $b = a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$ . Thus  $y_1, \dots, y_m, w$  is linearly independent.

This contradicts the hypothesis,  $y_1, \dots, y_m, w$  is linearly dependent.

If  $b \neq 0$ , equation (1) becomes,

$$w = \left(-\frac{a_1}{b}\right) y_1 + \dots + \left(-\frac{a_m}{b}\right) y_m$$

Since  $\left(-\frac{a_i}{b}\right) \in F$ ,  $w \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ . But this contradicts hypothesis  $w \notin \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ .

Therefore, both cases of  $b=0$  and  $b \neq 0$  give a contradiction. So, we conclude that  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, w$  is linearly dependent.

forward direction.

Suppose that  $y_1, \dots, y_m, w$  are linearly independent. Assume the contrary that we have  $w \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ . Then

$$w = a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_m y_m \text{ for some } a_i \in F, i=1,2,\dots,m$$

Then  $a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_m y_m - 1 \cdot w = 0$ .

This means that  $y_1, \dots, y_m, w$  are linearly independent.

Since  $y_1, \dots, y_m, w$  are linearly independent.

This implies that  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, w$  are linearly independent. This is a contradiction.

~~This implies that~~  $w \notin \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ .

Therefore,  $y_1, \dots, y_m, w$  is linearly independent.

$\Leftrightarrow w \notin \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ .

- 14** Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is a list of vectors in  $V$ . For  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , let

$$w_k = v_1 + \dots + v_k.$$

Show that the list  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent if and only if the list  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  is linearly independent.

Forward direction

Suppose that the list  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$  is linearly independent.  $\Rightarrow$  there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in F$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_mv_m &= 0 \\ a_1v_1 + a_2(v_1 + v_2) + \dots + a_m(v_1 + \dots + v_m) &= 0 \\ (a_1 + \dots + a_m)v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  are linearly independent

$$a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0, \dots, a_{m-1} = 0, a_m = 0$$

Thus,  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$ .

Thus the list  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$  are linearly independent.

Backward direction

Now suppose that  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  are linearly independent.

Suppose that there exist  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in F$  such that,

$$b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + \dots + b_mw_m = 0$$

We express  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are in terms of  $w_1, \dots, w_m$ .

$$b_1w_1 + b_2 \in$$

$$v_1 = w_1, v_2 = w_2 - w_1, \dots, v_m = w_m - w_{m-1}. \text{ Then } b_1w_1 + b_2(w_2 - w_1) + \dots + b_m(w_m - w_{m-1}) = 0.$$

$$b_1w_1 + (b_1 - b_2)w_2 + (b_2 - b_3)w_3 + \dots + b_mw_m = 0$$

Since  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$  are linearly independent.

$$b_1 = 0, b_{m-1} - b_m = 0, \dots, b_1 - b_2 = 0$$

Thus,  $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_m = 0$ .

Thus  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are linearly independent.

- 15** Explain why there does not exist a list of six polynomials that is linearly independent in  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F})$ .

First note that,

$$\text{span}(1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4) = P_4(x)$$

length of spanning list = 5

2.22 length of linearly independent list  $\leq$  length of spanning list

In a finite-dimensional vector space, the length of every linearly independent list of vectors is less than or equal to the length of every spanning list of vectors.

Therefore length of linear independent is at most 5. So list of polynomials of that linear independent can not be existed.

**16** Explain why no list of four polynomials spans  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F})$ .

Note that  $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4 \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F})$  are linearly independent.

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + a_4 x^4 = 0$$

$$p(0) = a_0 = 0$$

$$p(1) = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$p(-1) = a_0 - a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + a_4 = -a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + a_4 = 0 \quad (2)$$

(1) + (2)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 2a_2 + 2a_4 = 0 & 2a_1 + 2a_3 = 0 \\ a_2 = -a_4 & a_1 = -a_3 \end{array}$$

$$p(2) = a_0 + 2a_1 + 4a_2 + 8a_3 + 16a_4 = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$p(-2) = a_0 - 2a_1 + 4a_2 - 8a_3 + 16a_4 = 0 \quad (4)$$

(3) - (4),  $4a_1 + 16a_3 = 0$  (by 5)

$$+ 4a_1 - 16a_1 = 0$$

$$-12a_1 = 0$$

$$a_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_3 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \textcircled{3} + \textcircled{4} \quad 8a_2 + 32a_4 = 0 \\
 & 8a_2 + 32(-a_2) = 0 \quad (\text{by } \textcircled{5}) \\
 & a_2 = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow & \quad a_1 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4$  are linear independent.

$$\text{length}(1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4) = 5$$

2.22 length of linearly independent list  $\leq$  length of spanning list

In a finite-dimensional vector space, the length of every linearly independent list of vectors is less than or equal to the length of every spanning list of vectors.

Therefore,  $5 \leq \text{length of spanning list}$

Hence, no list of length four can be spanning

- 17 Prove that  $V$  is infinite-dimensional if and only if there is a sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  of vectors in  $V$  such that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent for every positive integer  $m$ .

Forward Direction

Suppose that  $V$  is finite dimensional.  
Then  $V$  cannot be spanned by finite many vectors.

Let  $\gamma \neq 0 \neq \gamma' \in V$ .  
Since  $V$  is infinite dimensional there must exist  $\gamma_i \in V$  such that  $\gamma_i \in \text{Span}(\gamma)$  and in general  $\gamma_k \notin \text{Span}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1})$ .

Because (We can always find  $\gamma_k$  since no finite list spans  $V$ .)

Hence we can obtain that a sequence  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots \in V$ .

By Linear Dependence Lemma (Book 2.19)

Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in V$ .

If  $\gamma_k \in \text{Span}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}) \quad \forall k=1, 2, \dots, m$

then  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  is a linearly independent.

Therefore  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m$  is linearly independent.

Forward Direction.

Suppose that  $V$  is finite infinite dimensional  
Then  $V$  cannot be spanned by finite many vectors.

Let  $y \neq 0 \in V$ .

Since  $V$  is infinite dimensional there must exist  $y_2 \in V$  such that  $y_2 \in \text{Span}(y_1)$  and in general  $y_k \notin \text{Span}(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1})$

(Because (We can always find  $y_k$  since no finite list spans  $V$ ))

Hence we can obtain that a sequence  $y_1, y_2, \dots \in V$ .

By Linear Dependence Lemma (Book 2.19)

Let  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in V$

If  $y_k \in \text{Span}(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}) \quad \forall k=1, 2, \dots, m$

then  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  is a linearly independent.

Therefore  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  is linearly independent.

**18** Prove that  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  is infinite-dimensional.

Let  $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$  be the vector  
1 in the  $i$ th components and 0 elsewhere.

Observe that  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$  are linear independent  
for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Suppose that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such  
that  $a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \dots + a_m e_m = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(1, 0, 0, \dots) + a_2(0, 1, 0, \dots) + \dots + a_m(0, \dots) &= (0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, 0, \dots) &= (0, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$

By previous exercise,

- 17** Prove that  $V$  is infinite-dimensional if and only if there is a sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  of vectors in  $V$  such that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent for every positive integer  $m$ .

We can conclude that  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  has infinite-dimensional.

- 19 Prove that the real vector space of all continuous real-valued functions on the interval  $[0, 1]$  is infinite-dimensional.

Let  $V$  be a vector space of all continuous real-valued functions on interval  $[0, 1]$

$$\text{Define } g_k(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1] \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

$$x \mapsto x^k$$

Note that  $g_k(x) \in V$ .

Claim:  $g_0^{(x)}, g_1^{(x)}, g_2^{(x)}, \dots, g_m^{(x)}$  are linearly independent.

Suppose that there exist  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 g_1(x) + \dots + a_m g_m(x) = 0$$

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m = 0$$

$$f(0) = a_0 = 0. \text{ Then}$$

$$f'(x) = a_1 + 2a_2 x + \dots + a_m m x^{m-1} = 0$$

$$f'(0) = a_1 = 0$$

We can go on this proceed same process, We can

obtain  $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$

Thus  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_m(x)$  are linear independent

Recall previous exercise 2A(17)

- 17 Prove that  $V$  is infinite-dimensional if and only if there is a sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  of vectors in  $V$  such that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent for every positive integer  $m$ .

Thus  $V$  is infinite-dimensional vector space.

- 20 Suppose  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  are polynomials in  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $p_k(2) = 0$  for each  $k \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ . Prove that  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  is not linearly independent in  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$ .

Assume the contrary that  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  are linearly independent.

Note that  $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^m$  are spanning list of  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$   
i.e.  $\text{span}(1, x, x^2, \dots, x^m) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$

(length of linear independent list)  $\leq$  (length of spanning list in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ )  $= m+1$   
Thus  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m, x$  is linearly dependent.

Then note that  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  is linear independent and  $x \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$

Question number 13,

$(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m, x \text{ is linearly independent}) \iff (\cancel{x \in \text{span}}(p_0, \dots, p_m))$

$(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m, x \text{ is linearly dependent}) \iff (x \in \text{span}(p_0, \dots, p_m))$

Thus there exist  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  
 $x = a_0 p_0(x) + a_1 p_1(x) + \dots + a_m p_m(x)$   
 $2 = a_0 p_0(2) + a_1 p_1(2) + \dots + a_m p_m(2)$   
 $2 = 0$   
This is contradiction.

### 3.2 Exercise 2B