Manifolds

Ashan Jayamal & Nalamudu Samarasinghe

2024-03-11

Contents

Chapter 1

Basic Theroms and Definitions

Definition 1.1 (Topology)**.** A topology on a set X is a collection $\mathcal{T}$ of subsets of X such that

(T1) ϕ and X are in $\mathcal{T}$;

(T2) Any union of subsets in $\mathcal{T}$ is in $\mathcal{T}$;

(T3) The finite intersection of subsets in $\mathcal{T}$ is in $\mathcal{T}$.

A set X with a topology $\mathcal{T}$ is called a topological space. Denoted by $(X, \mathcal{T})$. An element of $\mathcal{T}$ is called an open set.

Definition 1.2. A subset $U \subset M$ is referred to as open in M if $U \in \mathcal{T}$. A subset $A \subset M$ is termed closed if $M \setminus A \in \mathcal{T}$.

Definition 1.3 (Continuity)**.** If both $(M, \mathcal{T}_M)$ and $(N, \mathcal{T}_N)$ are topological spaces, a map $f : M \to N$ is termed continuous if

$$f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{T}_M \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{T}_N$$

. In other words, the preimages of open sets must be open.

Definition 1.4 (Homemorphism)**.** A map $f : M \to N$ between two topological spaces is called homemorphism if it has following propoties. - f is a bijection, - f is continuous, - the inverse function f^{-1} is continuous.

Two topological spaces M and N are called homeomorphic if there exists a homeomorphism between them.

Definition 1.5 (Hausdorff Space)**.** A topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called a Hausdorff space if

(H1) $\forall x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, $\exists U_x, U_y \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $x \in U_x$, $y \in U_y$, and $U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$.

i.e., for every pair of distinct points x, y in X, there are disjoint neighborhoods U_x and U_y of x and y respectively.



::: {.definition #unnamed-chunk-5 name="Countability"} A space X is said to have a **countable basis at the point** x if there is a countable collection $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ of neighborhoods of x such that any neighborhood U of x contains at least one of the sets U_n. A space X that has a countable basis at each of its points is said to satisfy the first countability axiom. :::

1.0.1 Stereographic Projection

- **Stereographic Projection plane $\mathbb{R}$ and the 1-sphere minus a point** The 1-sphere S^1 is the set of points $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $x^2+y^2+z^2 = 1$.

$$S^1 := \{(x, y) : ||(x, y)|| = 1\}$$

Let $S^1 \setminus \{N\}$ denote the 1-sphere minus (circle) its north pole, i.e., the point $(0, 1)$.

There exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : S^1 \setminus \{N\} \to \mathbb{R}$, which can be described as follows. In coordinates, this map is precisely

$$\varphi(x, y) = \frac{x}{1 - y}$$



- Stereographic Projection plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the 2-sphere minus a point

Stereographic projection is an important homeomorphism between the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the 2-sphere minus a point. The 2-sphere S^2 is the set of points $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. Let $S^2 \setminus \{N\}$ denote the 2-sphere minus its north pole, i.e., the point $(0, 0, 1)$.

There exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : S^2 \setminus \{N\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, which can be described as follows.

For a point $p \in S^2 \setminus \{N\}$, let $\varphi(p)$ denote the unique point in P such that the intersection of the segment $\overline{Nf(p)}$ and S^2 is p. In coordinates, this map is precisely

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{x}{1-z}, \frac{y}{1-z}\right).$$



Figure 1.1:

Definition 1.6. If X is a space, a point x of X is said to be an **isolated point** of X if the one-point set $\{x\}$ is open in X.


By Similar tringles,
y/y' = (1-z)/1
y' = y/(1-z)
Let's rotate only see to xz plane
N = (0,0,1)
P(x,y,z)
(x', y', 0)
Using property of similar triangles.
x'/1 = x/(1-z)
x' = (x/1-z)

Figure 1.2:

Chapter 2

Manifolds

2.1 Topological Manifolds

Definition 2.1. Let $(M, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space with topology $\mathcal{T}$. Then M is called an n-dimensional topological manifold, if the following holds:

- **(TM1)**: M is Hausdorff.
- **(TM2)**: The topology of M has a countable basis.
- **(TM3)**: M is locally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$, that is, for all $p \in M$ exists an open subset $U \subset M$ with $p \in U$, an open subset $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to V$.



Figure 2.1:

Remark. The first two conditions in the definition 2.1 are more of a technical nature and are sometimes neglected. The important fact is that a topological manifold is locally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. Loosely speaking, manifolds look locally like Euclidean space. If the topology on M is induced by a metric, then the first condition is satisfied automatically. If M is given as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with the subset topology, then both conditions M1 and M2 are satisfied automatically.

Let's see some examples.

Example 2.1. Euclidean space $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ itself is an n-dimensional topological manifold:

- (TM1): We know that $\mathbb{R}^n$ is metrc space. Let's say the metric as d. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x \neq y$. Let $r = d(x, y)$. Since $x \neq y$,$r > 0$. Let $U_x = B(x, r/2)$ and $U_y = B(y, r/2)$. So, $x \in U_x$ and $y \in U_y$ We need to show that $U_x \cap U_y \neq \emptyset$. We are going to proof by contrdiction. So, asssume the contray, there exist $z \in U_x \cap U_y$. Thus, $d(x, z) < r/2$ and $d(y, z) < r/2$. Then,

$$r = d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, z) + d(y, z) < \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r$$

  This is contradiction. Hence $U_x \cap U_y \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ is Hausdorff.
- (TM2): Later I will update this part

Problem **:(**.

- (TM3): Let $U = \mathbb{R}^n = M$ and $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi = id$. We can easily tell that idenntity map is bijective. Furthur, we can observe that inverse of identity map is itself and it is well defined. So, Let $U' \subset U = \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set

$$\forall x \in U' \quad id^{-1}(x) = id(x) = x$$

  . Thus,

$$id(U') = id^{-1}(U') = U'.$$

  Hence, by definition of continuous mapping, id and id^- are continuous.

Example 2.2. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset. Then M is an n-dimensional topological manifold.
(TM1), (TM2) Obvious.
(TM3) Holds true with $U = M$, $V = M$ and $x = \text{id}$.

Here Ia m not going to proove this. It is very similar to first example.

Example 2.3. The standard sphere $M = S^n = \{\underline{y} = (y^0, , ., y^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : ||\underline{y}|| = 1\}$ is an n-dimensional topological manifold.

- (TM1) and (TM2) , since S^n is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

- (TM3) We construct two homeomorphisms with the help of the stereographic projection. Let N be north pole of the n-sphere, that is $(\underbrace{0,,.,0}_{n\ times},1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let $U_1 := S^n \setminus \{N\}$ and $v_1 = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : U_1 &\rightarrow V_1 && (2.1)\\ \underline{y} = (y^0, y^1,,.,y^n) &\mapsto \frac{(y^0, y^1,,.,y^n)}{1-y^{n+1}} && (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

- Cliam 1: *varphi* is injective.
Let $(x^0,,.,x^n),(y^0,y^1,,.,y^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $\varphi(x^0,,.,x^n) = \varphi(y^0,y^1,,.,y^n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x^0,,.,x^n) &= \varphi(y^0,y^1,,.,y^n) && (2.3)\\ \frac{(x^0,x^1,,.,x^n)}{1-x^{n+1}} &= \frac{(y^0,y^1,,.,y^n)}{1-y^{n+1}} && (2.4)\\ (y^0,y^1,,.,y^n)(1-x^{n+1}) &= (x^0,x^1,,.,x^n)(1-y^{n+1}) && (2.5)\\ (y^0-y^0x^{n+1},y^1-y^1x^{n+1},,.,y^n-y^nx^{n+1}) &= (x^0-x^0y^{n+1},x^1-x^1y^{n+1},,.,x^n-x^ny^{n+1}) && (2.6)\\ y^0(1-x^{n+1}),y^1(1-x^{n+1}),,.,y^n(1-y^nx^{n+1}) &= x^0(1-y^{n+1}),x^1(1-y^{n+1}),,.,x^n(1-y^{n+1}) && (2.7)\\ & && (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $y^i(1-x^{n+1}) = x^i(1-y^{n+1})$ for all $i = 0,1,,.,n$. Since $1-y^{n+1}, 1-x^{n+1} > 0$, ?

?

?

?

Problem HOW INJECTIVITY COMES**:(**.

CHECK**:(**.
- Claim 2: φ is surejctive. Surjectivity means that for every $\underline{v} \in V_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists some $\underline{y} \in U_1$ such that $\varphi(\underline{y}) = \underline{v}$.

So, let $\underline{v} = (v^0, v^1,,.,v^n) \in V_1$. We need to find $\underline{y} = (y^0,y^1,,.,y^n) \in U_1$ such that

$$\frac{(y^0,y^1,,.,y^n)}{1-y^{n+1}} = \underline{v}.$$

We can solve this equation for $\underline{y}$ as follows:

$$\underline{y} = (1-y^{n+1})\underline{v} = \underline{v} - y^{n+1}\underline{v}.$$

We know that $\underline{y} \in U_1 = S^n \setminus \{N\}$, so $y^{n+1} = 1 - \|\underline{y}\|^2$. Substituting this into the equation gives us

$$\underline{y} = \underline{v} - (1 - \|\underline{y}\|^2)\underline{v} = \|\underline{y}\|^2\underline{v}.$$

Solving this equation for $\|\underline{y}\|^2$ gives us

$$\|\underline{y}\|^2 = \frac{\|\underline{v}\|^2}{1 + \|\underline{v}\|^2}.$$

Substituting this back into the equation for $\underline{y}$ gives us

$$\underline{y} = \frac{\underline{v}}{1 + \|\underline{v}\|^2}.$$

This is a well-defined point in U_1 for every $\underline{v} \in V_1$, so φ is surjective.

- Claim: φ is continuous.
  Note that the inverse map ϕ is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : V_1 &\to U_1 && (2.9) \\ \underline{x} = (x^0, x^1, ,., x^n) &\mapsto \frac{(x^0, x^1, ,., x^{n-1})}{1 + x^n} && (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

  I will update this proof. I want some to to write rigirs proof**:(**.

Analogously, we define the homeomorphism, which omits the south pole: Let now $U_2 := S^n \setminus \{S\}$ with $S := (0, ,., 0, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $V_2 := \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : U_2 &\to V_2, && (2.11) \\ \underline{y} = (y^0, y^1, ,., y^n) &\mapsto \frac{(y^0, y^1, ,., y^n)}{1 + y^n} && (2.12) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, n-sphere S^n is an n-dimensional topological manifold.

Example 2.4 (Non-Example)**.** We consider $M := \{(y^1, y^2, y^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | (y^1)^2 = (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2\}$, the double cone.

Since $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, both (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

But M is **not** a 2-dimensional manifold. Assume it were, then there would exist an open subset $U \subset M$ with $0 \in U$, an open subset $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to V$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$. How do we Gruntee that such hormouphsim exist that maps 0 to 0**:(** Without losss of generality assume $V = B_r(x(0))$ with $r > 0$. Choose $(p^1, p^2, p^3), (q^1, q^2, q^3) \in U$ with $p^1 > 0$ and $q^1 < 0$. Furthermore, choose a continuous path $c : [0, 1] \to V$ with $c(0) = x(q_1)$, $c(1) = x(q_2)$ and $c(t) \neq x(0)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Define the continuous path $\tilde{c} := x^{-1} \circ c : [0,1] \to U$. Then $\tilde{c}(0) = q_1$, $\tilde{c}(1) = q_2$, that is, we have $\tilde{c}_1(0) > 0$ while $\tilde{c}_1(1) < 0$. Applying the mean value theorem we find, that there exists a $t \in (0,1)$ with $\tilde{c}_1(t) = 0$. Then $\tilde{c}(t) = (0,0,0)$ and consequently $c(t) = x(\tilde{c}(t)) = x(0)$, which contradicts the choice of c. Hence, M is not a 2-dimensional topological manifold.

Definition 2.2 (charts)**.** If M is an n-dimensional topological manifold, the homeomorphisms $\varphi : U \to V$ are called charts (or local coordinate systems) of M.



After choosing a local coordinate system $\varphi : U \to V$ every point $p \in U$ is uniquely characterized by its coordinates $(\varphi^1(p), \ldots, \varphi^n(p))$.

Example 2.5 (0-dimesional manifold)**.** In a 0-dimensional manifold M every point $p \in M$ has an open neighborhood U, which is homeomorphic to $R^0 = \{0\}$. Consequently $\{p\} = U$ is an open subset of M for all $p \in M$, that is, M carries the discrete topology. Since there exists a countable basis for the topology on M and the topology is discrete in addition, M has to be countable itself.

Proposition 2.1. *A topological space M is a 0-dimensional topological manifold, if and only if M is countable and carries the discrete topology.*

Proof.

- ($\Longrightarrow$) By definition, a 0-dimensional topological manifold is a topological space where every point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to the 0-dimensional Euclidean space, which is a single point $\{0\}$. This implies that for every point $p \in M$, there exists an open neighborhood U such that $\{p\} = U$. This is exactly the definition of a discrete topology.

  Since, there exists a countable basis for the topology on M, and every point in M is an open set (i.e., the topology is discrete), then M must be countable. This is because every point in M corresponds to an open set in the basis, and since the basis is countable, M must also be countable.


φ_2
p_1
φ_1
p_2
φ_i
p_i
$\{0\}$
p_3
p_2
φ_2
φ_3
$\varphi_i : \{p_i\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^0 = \{0\}$
$p_i \longmapsto 0$

Figure 2.2:

- ($\Longleftarrow$) If M carries the discrete topology, then every subset of M is open. In particular, for every point $p \in M$, the set $\{p\}$ is an open set. This means that every point in M has a neighborhood homeomorphic to the 0-dimensional Euclidean space, which is a single point $\{0\}$. This is exactly the definition of a 0-dimensional topological manifold.

  If M is countable, then there exists a countable basis for the topology on M. Since every point in M is an open set (i.e., the topology is discrete), this basis can be taken to be the set of all singletons $\{p\}$, where $p \in M$.

Therefore, a topological space M is a 0-dimensional topological manifold if and only if M is countable and carries the discrete topology.

□

Definition 2.3. A topological manifold M is said to be **connected**, if for every two points $p, q \in M$ there exists a continuous map $c : [0, 1] \to M$ with $c(0) = p$ and $c(1) = q$.



Figure 2.3:

Given two points, there has to be a continuous curve in M which connects both. Usually, in Topology one calls this path-connected, which is in the case of manifolds equivalent to being connected. We do not want to go deeper into this subject at this point.

Remark. Following Proposition every connected 0-dimensional manifold M is given by a single point: $M = \{\text{point}\}$

Proof. Let M be 0-dimesional connected manifold. Then by proposition2.1 M carries the discreate topology. Let p, q be distinct points. (i.e. $p \neq q$). Since M is connected that there exist continuous map $c : [0, 1] \to M$ such that $c(0) = p$ and $c(1) = q$.

Let $U = c^{-1}(\{p\})$. Since $\{p\}$ is open in M under discreate toplogy and c is continuous, U is open in $[0, 1]$ under subspace topology.

Note that $M \setminus \{p\}$ is sub set of M. So, that is open under discreate topology. Since, c is continuous, $V = c^{-1}(M \setminus \{p\})$ is open in $[0,1]$ under subspace topology.

- **Claim 1**: $V \cap U = \emptyset$

$$\begin{aligned} V \cap U &= c^{-1}(M \setminus \{p\}) \bigcap c^{-1}(\{p\}) && (2.13)\\ &= c^{-1}(M \setminus \{p\} \cap \{p\} \quad \text{(by Claim 3)} && (2.14)\\ &= c^{-1}(\emptyset) \quad \text{(by Claim 4)} && (2.15)\\ &= \emptyset && (2.16) \end{aligned}$$

- **Claim 2**: $V \cup U = [0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned} V \cup U &= c^{-1}(M \setminus \{p\}) \bigcup c^{-1}(\{p\}) && (2.17)\\ &= c^{-1}(M \setminus \{p\} \cup \{p\} \quad \text{(by Claim 5)} && (2.18)\\ &= c^{-1}(M) && (2.19)\\ &= [0,1] \quad \text{(by Claim 6)} && (2.20) \end{aligned}$$

- **Claim 3**: $c^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2) = c^{-1}(U_1) \cap c^{-1}(U_2)$
  - *subclaim 3.1* : $c^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2) \subseteq c^{-1}(U_1) \cap c^{-1}(U_2)$
    Let $y \in c^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2)$. Then, $c(y) \in U_1 \cap U_2$. Thus, $c(y) \in U_1$ and $c(y) \in U_2$. So, $\in c^{-1}(U_1)$ and $y \in c^{-1}(U_2)$. Thus, $y \in c^{-1}(U_1) \cap c^{-1}(U_2)$. Therefore, $c^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2) \subseteq c^{-1}(U_1) \cap c^{-1}(U_2)$.
  - *subclaim 3.2* : $c^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2) \supseteq c^{-1}(U_1) \cap c^{-1}(U_2)$
    Let $x \in c^{-1}(U_1) \cap c^{-1}(U_2)$. Then, $x \in c^{-1}(U_1)$ and $x \in c^{-1}(U_2)$. Thus, $c(x) \in U_1$ and $c(x) \in U_2$. So, $x \in c^{-1}(U_1)$ and $x \in c^{-1}(U_2)$. $c(x) \in U_1 \cap U_2$. Therefore, $c^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2) \supseteq c^{-1}(U_1) \cap c^{-1}(U_2)$. Therfore $c^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2) = c^{-1}(U_1) \cap c^{-1}(U_2)$.

- **Claim 4**: $c^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
  Assume the contray, $c^{-1}(\emptyset) \neq \emptyset$. Then we can choose that $x \in c^{-1}(\emptyset)$. Thus, $c(x) \in \emptyset$. This is a contardiction. Therefore, $c^{-1}(\emptyset)$

- **Claim 5**: $c^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2) = c^{-1}(U_1) \cup c^{-1}(U_2)$.
  - *subclaim 5.1* : $c^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2) \subseteq c^{-1}(U_1) \cup c^{-1}(U_2)$
    Let $y \in c^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2)$. Then, $c(y) \in U_1 \cup U_2$. Thus, $c(y) \in U_1$ or $c(y) \in U_2$. So, $\in c^{-1}(U_1)$ or $y \in c^{-1}(U_2)$. Thus, $y \in c^{-1}(U_1) \cup c^{-1}(U_2)$. Therefore, $c^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2) \subseteq c^{-1}(U_1) \cup c^{-1}(U_2)$.

- *subclaim 5.2* : $c^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2) \supseteq c^{-1}(U_1) \cup c^{-1}(U_2)$
  Let $x \in c^{-1}(U_1) \cup c^{-1}(U_2)$. Then, $x \in c^{-1}(U_1)$ or $x \in c^{-1}(U_2)$. Thus, $c(x) \in U_1$ or $c(x) \in U_2$. So, $x \in c^{-1}(U_1)$ or $x \in c^{-1}(U_2)$. $c(x) \in U_1 \cup U_2$. Therefore, $c^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2) \supseteq c^{-1}(U_1) \cup c^{-1}(U_2)$. Therfore $c^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2) = c^{-1}(U_1) \cup c^{-1}(U_2)$.

- **Claim 6**: If $c : [0,1] \to M$ be continuous map such that $c(0) = p, c(1) = q$, then $[0,1] = c^{-1}(M) \setminus$ Recall the definition of pre image of M.

$$c^{-1}(M) := \{x \in M | c(x) \in M\}$$

  - *Subclaim 6.1*: $[0,1] \subseteq c^{-1}(M)$.
    Let $a \in [0,1]$. Then $c(a) \in M$. Thus, $a \in c^{-1}(M)$. Hence, $[0,1] \subseteq c^{-1}(M)$. Thus, $a \in c^{-1}(M)$.
  - *Subcliam 6.2*: $[0,1] \supseteq c^{-1}(M)$.
    Let $b \in c^{-1}(M)$. So, $[0,1] \subseteq c^{-1}(M)$. Thus, $b \in c^{-1}(M)$. Hence $c(b) \in M$. Thus, $b \in [0,1]$.

- **Claim 7** : $V \neq \emptyset$.
  Since $p \neq q$ and $q = c(1)$, then $1 \notin c^{-1}(\{p\}) = U$. Thus, $1 \in V = [0,1] \setminus U$. Therefore, $V \neq \emptyset$.

- **Claim 8**: $[0,1]$ is connected.
  We are going to use proof by contradiction. Suppose that A, B is a separation of $[0,1]$. Let $a \in A$, $b \in B$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a < b$. Since $a, b \in [0,1]$, and $[0,1]$ is an interval, $[a,b] \subseteq [0,1]$. Let $A' = A \cap [a,b]$ and $B' = B \cap [a,b]$.Then,

$$\begin{aligned} A' \cup B' &= (A \cap [a,b]) \cup (B \cap [a,b]) \\ &= (A \cup B) \cap [a,b] \quad \text{(Intersection Distributes over Union)} \\ &= [a,b] \quad \text{(Intersection with Subset is Subset)} \end{aligned}$$

  By the definition of a separation, both A and B are closed in $[0,1]$. Hence by Closed Set in Topological Subspace, A' and B' are also closed in $[a,b]$. From Closed Set in Topological Subspace: Corollary, A' and B' are closed in $\mathbb{R}$. Now, since $B' \neq \emptyset$, and B is bounded below (by, for example, a), by the Continuum Property $b' := \inf(B')$ exists, and $b' \geq a$. We have that B' is closed in $\mathbb{R}$. Hence from Closure of Real Interval is Closed Real Interval, $b' \in B'$. Since $a \in A'$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, it follows that $b' > a$. Now let $A'' = A' \cap [a, b']$. Using the same argument as for B', we have that $a'' = \sup(A'')$ exists, that $a'' \in A''$ and also $a'' < b'$. Now $(a'', b') \cap A' = \emptyset$ or a'' would not be an upper bound for A''. Similarly, $(a'', b') \cap B' = \emptyset$ or b' would not be a lower bound for B''. Thus, $(a'', b') \cap (A' \cup B') = \emptyset$. But since $a < a'' < b' < b$, we also have $(a'', b') \subseteq [a,b]$, and (a'', b') is non-empty. So, there is an element $z \in (a'', b')$, and hence in $[a,b]$, which is not in $A' \cup B'$. This contradicts (1) above, which says that we have $A' \cup B' = [a,b]$. From this contradiction it follows that there can be no such separation $A \mid B$ on the interval $[0,1]$. Therefore, by definition, $[0,1]$ is connected.

By claim 1,2 and 7, U, V are separation of $[0,1]$. This is contartract the the connectedness of $[0,1]$ interval. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Every connected* 1*-dimensional topological manifold is homeomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}$ *or to* S^1*.*

Proof. Hard $\square$

The only compact,connected topological manifold of dimension 1 is S^1

Theorem 2.1. *Let M and A be sets. For all $\alpha \in A$ assume that $U_\alpha \subset M$ and $V_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are subsets and that $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to V_\alpha$ are bijective maps. Suppose the following holds:*

(i) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha = M$,

(ii) $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *is open for all* $\alpha, \beta \in A$ *and*

(iii) $\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \to \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ *is continuous for all* $\alpha, \beta \in A$.

Then M carries a unique topology for which all U_α are open sets and all φ_α are homeomorphisms.



Figure 2.4:

Proof. We first show *uniqueness*:
Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a topology on M containing the U_α and such that the φ_α are homeomorphisms. If $W \in \mathcal{T}$, then also $W \cap U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\varphi_\alpha(W \cap U_\alpha)$ is open for all $\alpha \in A$. Conversely, if $W \subset M$ is a subset such that $\varphi_\alpha(W \cap U_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open for all $\alpha \in A$, then $W \cap U_\alpha$ is also open in U_α for all α. Since U_α is open in M, the set $W \cap U_\alpha$ is open in M. By (i), $W = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A}(W \cap U_\alpha)$ is also open in M. We have shown that $W \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $\varphi_\alpha(W \cap U_\alpha)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for all α,

$$\mathcal{T} = \{W \subset M : \ \varphi_\alpha(W \cap U_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is open for all } \alpha \in A\}$$

. $\square$