## Machine Learning End Term Exam

Ahmad Shayaan IMT2014004 H Vijaya Sharvani IMT2014022 Indu Ilanchezian IMT2014024

December 15, 2017

#### Question 2

To derive the solution to the modified linear regression leads to the generalized form of ridge regression.

Solution:-

Given the attribute  $x_i = \hat{x}_i + \epsilon_i$ , where the  $\hat{x}_i$  are the true measurements and  $\epsilon_i$  is the zero mean vector with covariance matrix  $\sigma^2 I$  Modified loss function

$$W^* = argmin_w E_{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - W^T(\hat{x}_i + \epsilon_i))^2$$

Where W is the transformation vector.

$$W^* = \operatorname{argmin}_W E_{\epsilon} ||Y - (X + \epsilon)W||_2^2 \tag{1}$$

Where

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1^T \\ \hat{x}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \hat{x}_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1^T \\ \epsilon_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Expanding right hand side of equation 1.

$$E_{\epsilon}||Y - (X + \epsilon)W||_{2}^{2} = E_{\epsilon} \left[ (Y - (X + \epsilon)W)^{T} (Y - (X + \epsilon)W) \right]$$

$$= E_{\epsilon} \left[ Y^{T}Y + W^{T} (X + \epsilon)^{T} (X + E) - 2W^{T} (X + E)^{T} Y \right]$$
(2)

To minimize the equation we will differentiate eq 2 wrt W.

$$\frac{\partial E_{\epsilon} \left[ Y^{T}Y + W^{T}(X + \epsilon)^{T}(X + \epsilon)W - 2W^{T}(X + E)^{T}Y \right]}{\partial W} = 0$$

We know that  $\frac{\partial E(f(x))}{\partial x} = E \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x}$ 

$$E_{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{\partial Y^{T}Y}{\partial W} + \frac{\partial W^{T}(X+\epsilon)^{T}(X+\epsilon)W}{\partial W} - 2\frac{\partial W^{T}(X+E)^{T}Y}{\partial W} \right] = 0$$

$$E_{\epsilon} \left[ 2(X+\epsilon)^{T}(X+\epsilon)W - 2(X+\epsilon)^{T}Y \right] = 0$$

$$2E_{\epsilon} \left[ (X+\epsilon)^{T}(X+\epsilon)W \right] - 2E_{\epsilon} \left[ (X+\epsilon)^{T}Y \right] = 0$$

$$E_{\epsilon} \left[ (X^{T}X+\epsilon^{T}\epsilon+2\epsilon^{T}X)W \right] = E_{\epsilon} \left[ (X+\epsilon)^{T}Y \right]$$

$$E_{\epsilon}(X^{T}XW) + E_{\epsilon}(\epsilon^{T}\epsilon W) + 2E_{\epsilon}(\epsilon^{T}XW) = E_{\epsilon}(X^{T}Y) + E_{\epsilon}(\epsilon^{T}Y)$$

We know that E(AB) = E(A)E(B) if A and B are independent variables and  $E_f(h(x))=\int_{-\infty}^\infty h(x)f(x)dx$ .

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X^{T} X W P(\epsilon_{i}) + E_{\epsilon}(\epsilon \epsilon^{T}) E_{\epsilon}(W) + 2E_{\epsilon}(X) E_{\epsilon}(\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X^{T} Y P(\epsilon_{i}) + E_{\epsilon}(Y) E_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)$$

We know that the noise is a zero mean Gaussian noise therefore  $E(\epsilon) = 0$ 

$$(X^TX + \sigma^2I)W = X^TY$$
 
$$W = (X^TX + \sigma^2I)^{-1}X^TY$$

therefore the solution of the minimization is

$$W^* = (X^T X + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} X^T Y$$

This solution is same as the solution for Ridge regression

$$W^* = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T Y$$

#### Question 3

 $VC(\mathcal{H})$  is the maximum cardinality of any set of instances that can be shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  shatters a set of points if and only if it can assign any possible labeling to those points.

1. We should show that the VC dimension  $d_{\mathcal{H}}$  of any finite hypothesis space  $\mathcal{H}$  is at most  $log_2\mathcal{H}$ .

Proof:

For any set of distinct points S of size m, there are  $2^m$  distinct ways of labeling those points. This means that for  $\mathcal{H}$  to shatter S it must contain at least  $2^m$  distinct hypotheses. This tells us that if the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is m then we must have  $2^m$  hypotheses, i.e.  $2^m \leq |\mathcal{H}|$  or equivalently that  $m = VC(\mathcal{H}) \leq log_2|\mathcal{H}|$ .

2. Consider a domain with n binary features and binary class labels. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the hypothesis space that contains all decision trees over those features that have depth no greater than d. (The depth of a decision tree is the depth of the deepest leaf node.)

Proof:

First note that any tree in  $\mathcal{H}$  can be represented by a tree of exactly depth d in  $\mathcal{H}$ . So we will restrict our attention to trees of exactly depth d. All of these trees have  $2^d$  leaf nodes. Also note that there are a total of  $2^n$  examples in our instance space, which gives us an immediate upper bound on the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$ , i.e.  $VC(\mathcal{H}) \leq 2^n$ .

To get a lower bound let S contain the set of all possible  $2^n$  instances. Since we have that  $d \geq n$  it is straightforward to create a tree of depth n with a leaf node for each example and furthermore we can label the leaf nodes in all possible ways. This shows that we can shatter the set S with  $\mathcal{H}$ , which implies that  $VC(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2^n$ . Combining the upper and lower bound tell us that  $VC(\mathcal{H}) = 2^n$ , i.e.  $d_{\mathcal{H}} = 2^n$ . Hence, we have showed a tight bound on the VC dimension of hypothesis space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Now we will show that for any d > 1, there exists a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $d = d_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

Take a set of size d,  $C = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_d\}$  such that  $\{e_i; i \in [d]\}$  is the standard basis in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . To prove that C shatters  $\mathcal{H}$ , it suffices to show that  $|\mathcal{H}_C| = 2^d$ . The hypothesis on the set C is given as,

$$\mathcal{H}_C = \{h(c), h \in HS_d\} = \{h(e_1, h(e_2), ..., h(e_d), h \in HS_d\}$$

For a particular  $\omega^T = (\omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_d)$ ,

$$h(c) = (\langle \omega, c \rangle, c \in C)$$

$$= (\langle \omega_1, e_1 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, e_2 \rangle, ..., \langle \omega_d, e_d \rangle)$$

$$= (\omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_d)$$

$$= \omega$$

Since all possible combinations  $2^d$  be chosen on  $\omega$ .

$$\implies |\mathcal{H}_C| = 2^d$$

$$\implies VCdim(HS_d) > d$$

Let us take a arbitrary set C of size d + 1.

$$C = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_{d+1}\}, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Since,  $x_i's$  are coming from d dimensional space,  $\{x_i, i \in [d+1]\}$  are linearly dependent,

$$\implies \exists a_1, a_2, ..., a_{d+1} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} a_i x_i = 0$$

Let  $I = \{i, a_i > 0\}$  and  $J = j, a_j > 0$ 

$$\implies \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i = -\sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j = \sum_{j \in J} |a_j| x_j$$

Suppose C is shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Claim: 
$$\exists \omega \ s.t \ \langle \omega, x_i \rangle > 0 \ \forall i \in I \ \& \ \langle \omega, x_j \rangle < 0, \forall j \in J$$

$$\implies 0 < \sum_{i \in I} a_i \langle \omega, x_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i \in I} \langle \omega, a_i x_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{j \in J} \langle \omega, |a_j| x_j \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{j \in J} |a_j| \langle \omega, x_j \rangle < 0, which is a contradiction$$

Therefore  $|\mathcal{H}_C| < 2^{d+1}$  for any arbitrary set of size d + 1. So, the VC-dimension of the class of homogeneous halfspace in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is d.

### Question 4

33:

```
Algorithm 1 My algorithm
 1: procedure BuildDecisionTree(Dataset D, Target_Attributes, Attributes)
                                                              ▶ Initializing empty data set
 2:
        if All Target attributes of one type then
 3:
 4:
             return a node with single label
        else if Attributes = \phi then
 5:
            return Single node tree Root with label = most common value of
 6:
            the target attribute in the dataset
 7:
 8:
 9:
             Attributes^* = getChiSquaredScore(Attributes)
            A = getInfo(Attributes^*, Target\_Attributes)
10:
             for all possible values of A do
11:
                 if A had missing values then
12:
                     n = \text{Total number of data points in A}
13:
                     n^* = \text{Number of non missing value}
14:
                     \mu_i = \frac{n_1^*}{n^*} \dots \frac{n_{m_i}^*}{n^*} \\ n_i = n_i^* + \mu_i (n - n^*)
15:
16:
                     D[A].append(n_i)
                                                 ▶ Adding missing values of attribute A
17:
                     missing_probab.append(\mu_i)
                                                                ▶ Storing the missing value
18:
    probabilities
                 subset = The set of data points with value v_i for A
19:
    T. addNode \Big[ BuildDecesionTree(subset, Target\_Attribures, Attributes-A) \Big]
20: procedure GETCHISQUARESCORE(Attributes)
                                                                 ▷ Empty list initialization
21:
        1 = []
        for A in Attributes do
22:
                                              \triangleright n_i number of data points with i^{th} label
23:
            n_{ij} = number of points with label i in partition j
24:
            e_{ij} = \mu_i \sum_i n_{ij}
25:
            score = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}}
26:
        if Score \geq Threshold then l.append(A) return l \Rightarrow l list containing attributes with score greater than threshold
27:
    procedure GETINFO(Attributes*, Target_Attributes)
28:
        Target_Entropy = \sum_{i} P(y = i) \log(P(y = i))
                                                                    ▷ Entropy of the target
29:
        for all i in Attribute* do
30:
            \triangleright P(y=k|x_i=v_{ij}) = \frac{\theta_{ij}P(y=k)}{P(x_i=v_{ij})} Attributes_Entropy = \sum_{ik} \frac{\theta_{ij}P(y=k)}{P(x_i=V_{ij})} \log \left[\frac{\theta_{ij}P(y=k)}{P(x_i=V_{ij})}\right]
31:
            gain = 0
32:
```

gain = Target\_Entropy - Attribute\_Entropy

return Attribute with max information Gain

#### Question 5

Let  $\vec{x_1}, \vec{x_2}...\vec{x_n}$  be the feature vectors of n data points in the original feature space. Let  $\phi$  be the feature tranformation function. Then,  $\phi(\vec{x_1}), \phi(\vec{x_2})..., \phi(\vec{x_n})$  are the feature vectors in the transformed feature space.

Let K be the kernel function such that:

$$K(i,j) = \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j)$$

The center of mass,  $\vec{\mu}$ , in the feature space can be defined as the average of the vectors in the transformed feature space.

$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(\vec{x_i})$$

Consider:

$$\begin{split} ||\mu||^2 &= \mu^T \mu \\ &= \mu^T \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\vec{x_i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \phi(\vec{x_j})^T \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\vec{x_i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j} \phi(\vec{x_j})^T) \phi(\vec{x_i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j} K(i,j) \end{split}$$

# Average of the squared Euclidean distances from $\mu$ to each $\phi(x)$

The squared euclidean distance of a single feature vector in the transformed space from the center of mass  $\vec{\mu}$  can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{split} ||\phi\vec{x_i}) - \vec{\mu}||^2 &= (\phi(\vec{x_i}) - \vec{\mu})^T (\phi(\vec{x_i}) - \vec{\mu}) \\ &= \phi(\vec{x_i})^T \phi(\vec{x_i}) - 2\phi(\vec{x_i})^T \vec{\mu} + ||\vec{\mu}||^2 \\ &= K(i,i) - \frac{2}{n} \phi(\vec{x_i})^T \sum_{j=1}^n \phi(\vec{x_j}) + ||\vec{\mu}||^2 \\ &= K(i,i) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \phi(\vec{x_i})^T \phi(\vec{x_j}) + ||\vec{\mu}||^2 \\ &= K(i,i) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K(i,j) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{r,s} K(r,s) \end{split}$$

The average of the euclidean distances of all the points from the center of mass can be written as:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||\phi(\vec{x_i}) - \vec{\mu}||^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( K(i,i) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K(i,j) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{r,s} K(r,s) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(i,i) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i,j} K(i,j) + \frac{n}{n^2} \sum_{r,s} K(r,s) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(i,i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} K(i,j) \right) \end{split}$$

Thus, the average of euclidean distances from the center of mass  $\vec{\mu}$  to each  $\phi(x)$  can be expressed in terms of the kernel function K.