# Chapter 19:
Network and Distributed Systems

Chapter 19: Distributed Systems

- Advantages of Distributed Systems

- Network Structure

- Communication Structure

- Network and Distributed Operating Systems

- Design Issues of Distributed Systems

- Distributed File Systems

Chapter Objectives

- Explain the advantages of networked and distributed systems

- Provide a high-level overview of the networks that interconnect distributed systems

- Define the roles and types of distributed systems in use today

- Discuss issues concerning the design of distributed file systems

Overview

- A **distributed system** is a collection of loosely coupled nodes interconnected by a communications network

- Nodes variously called ***processors, computers, machines, hosts***

  - ***Site*** is location of the machine, ***node*** refers to specific system

  - Generally a ***server*** has a resource a ***client*** node at a different site wants to use

Overview (cont.)

- Nodes may exist in a ***client-server, peer-to-peer***, or ***hybrid*** configuration.

  - In client-server configuration, server has a resource that a client would like to use

  - In peer-to-peer configuration, each node shares equal responsibilities and can act as both clients and servers

- Communication over a network occurs through **message passing**

  - All higher-level functions of a standalone system can be expanded to encompass a distributed system

# Reasons for Distributed Systems

- **Resource sharing**

  - Sharing files or printing at remote sites

  - Processing information in a distributed database

  - Using remote specialized hardware devices such as *graphics processing units* (GPUs)

- **Computation speedup**

  - Distribute subcomputations among various sites to run concurrently

  - **Load balancing** – moving jobs to more lightly-loaded sites

- **Reliability**

  - Detect and recover from site failure, function transfer, reintegrate failed site

Network Structure

- **Local-Area Network** (**LAN**) – designed to cover small geographical area

    - Consists of multiple computers (workstations, laptops, mobile devices), peripherals (printers, storage arrays), and routers providing access to other networks

    - Ethernet and/or Wireless (**WiFi**) most common way to construct LANs

        - Ethernet defined by standard IEEE 802.3 with speeds typically varying from 10Mbps to over 10Gbps

        - WiFi defined by standard IEEE 802.11 with speeds typically varying from 11Mbps to over 400Mbps.

        - Both standards constantly evolving

Local-Area Network (LAN)

Network Structure (Cont.)

- **Wide-Area Network** (**WAN**) – links geographically separated sites

  - Point-to-point connections via links

    - Telephone lines, leased (dedicated data) lines, optical cable, microwave links, radio waves, and satellite channels

  - Implemented via **routers** to direct traffic from one network to another

  - Internet (World Wide Web) WAN enables hosts world wide to communicate

  - Speeds vary

    - Many backbone providers have speeds at 40-100Gbps

    - Local **Internet Service Providers** (**ISPs**) may be slower

    - WAN links constantly being upgraded

  - WANs and LANs interconnect, similar to cell phone network:

    - Cell phones use radio waves to cell towers

    - Towers connect to other towers and hubs

Wide-Area Network (WAN)

Naming and Name Resolution

- Each computer system in the network has a unique name

- Each process in a given system has a unique name (process-id)

- Identify processes on remote systems by

    <**host-name**, **identifier**> pair

- **Domain name system** (**DNS**) – specifies the naming structure of the hosts, as well as name to address **resolution** (Internet)

```
/**
 * Usage: java DNSLookUp <IP name>
 * i.e. java DNSLookUp www.wiley.com
 */
public class DNSLookUp {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    InetAddress hostAddress;

    try {
      hostAddress = InetAddress.getByName(args[0]);
      System.out.println(hostAddress.getHostAddress());
    }
    catch (UnknownHostException uhe) {
      System.err.println("Unknown host: " + args[0]);
    }
  }
}
```

**Figure 19.4**   Java program illustrating a DNS lookup.

Communication Protocol

The communication network is partitioned into the following multiple layers:

- **Layer 1: Physical layer** – handles the mechanical and electrical details of the physical transmission of a bit stream

- **Layer 2: Data-link layer** – handles the *frames*, or fixed-length parts of packets, including any error detection and recovery that occurred in the physical layer

- **Layer 3: Network layer** – provides connections and routes packets in the communication network, including handling the address of outgoing packets, decoding the address of incoming packets, and maintaining routing information for proper response to changing load levels

Communication Protocol (Cont.)

- **Layer 4: Transport layer** – responsible for low-level network access and for message transfer between clients, including partitioning messages into packets, maintaining packet order, controlling flow, and generating physical addresses

- **Layer 5: Session layer** – implements sessions, or process-to-process communications protocols

- **Layer 6: Presentation layer** – resolves the differences in formats among the various sites in the network, including character conversions, and half duplex/full duplex (echoing)

- **Layer 7: Application layer** – interacts directly with the users, deals with file transfer, remote-login protocols and electronic mail, as well as schemas for distributed databases

OSI Network Model

Logical communication between two computers, with the three lowest-level layers implemented in hardware

OSI Protocol Stack

OSI Network Message

data-link-layer header

network-layer header

transport-layer header

session-layer header

presentation layer

application layer

message

data-link-layer trailer

The OSI model

- The OSI model formalizes some of the earlier work done in network protocols but was developed in the late 1970s and is currently not in widespread use

- The most widely adopted protocol stack is the TCP/IP model, which has been adopted by virtually all Internet sites

- The TCP/IP protocol stack has fewer layers than the OSI model. Theoretically, because it combines several functions in each layer, it is more difficult to implement but more efficient than OSI networking

- The relationship between the OSI and TCP/IP models is shown in the next slide

The OSI and TCP/IP Protocol Stacks

OSI

application	
presentation	
session	
transport	
network	
data link	
physical	

TCP/IP

HTTP, DNS, Telnet SMTP, FTP	
not defined	
not defined	
TCP-UDP	
IP	
not defined	
not defined	

TCP/IP Example

- Every host has a name and an associated **IP address** (host-id)
  - Hierarchical and segmented
- Sending system checks routing tables and locates a router to send packet
- Router uses segmented network part of host-id to determine where to transfer packet
  - This may repeat among multiple routers
- Destination system receives the packet
  - Packet may be complete message, or it may need to be reassembled into larger message spanning multiple packets

TCP/IP Example (Cont.)

- Within a network, how does a packet move from sender (host or router) to receiver?

  - Every Ethernet/WiFi device has a **medium access control** (MAC) address

  - Two devices on same LAN communicate via MAC address

  - If a system needs to send data to another system, it needs to discover the IP to MAC address mapping

    - Uses **address resolution protocol** (ARP)

  - A broadcast uses a special network address to signal that all hosts should receive and process the packet

    - Not forwarded by routers to different networks

Ethernet Packet

bytes

|		
7	preamble—start of packet	each byte pattern 10101010
1	start of frame delimiter	pattern 10101011
2 or 6	destination address	Ethernet address or broadcast
2 or 6	source address	Ethernet address
2	length of data section	length in bytes
0–1500	data	message data
0–46	pad (optional)	message must be > 63 bytes long
4	frame checksum	for error detection

Transport Protocols UDP and TCP

- Once a host with a specific IP address receives a packet, it must somehow pass it to the correct waiting process

- Transport protocols TCP and UDP identify receiving and sending processes through the use of a port number

  - Allows host with single IP address to have multiple server/client processes sending/receiving packets

  - **Well-known** port numbers are used for many services

    - FTP – port 21

    - ssh – port 22

    - SMTP – port 25

    - HTTP – port 80

- Transport protocol can be simple or can add reliability to network packet stream

User Datagram Protocol

- UDP is *unreliable* – bare-bones extension to IP with addition of port number

  - Since there are no guarantees of delivery in the lower network (IP) layer, packets may become lost

  - Packets may also be received out-out-order

- UDP is also *connectionless* – no connection setup at the beginning of the transmission to set up state

  - Also no connection tear-down at the end of transmission

- UDP packets are also called **datagrams**

UDP Dropped Packet Example

Transmission Control Protocol

- TCP is both *reliable* and *connection-oriented*

- In addition to port number, TCP provides abstraction to allow in-order, uninterrupted *byte-stream* across an unreliable network

  - Whenever host sends packet, the receiver must send an **acknowledgement packet** (ACK). If ACK not received before a timer expires, sender will resend.

  - **Sequence numbers** in TCP header allow receiver to put packets in order and notice missing packets

  - Connections are initiated with series of control packets called a *three-way handshake*

    ‣ Connections also closed with series of control packets

TCP Data Transfer Scenario

Transmission Control Protocol (Cont.)

- Receiver can send a **cumulative ACK** to acknowledge series of packets

    - Server can also send multiple packets before waiting for ACKs

    - Takes advantage of network throughput

- Flow of packets regulated through **flow control** and **congestion control**

    - *Flow control* – prevents sender from overrunning capacity of receiver

    - *Congestion control* – approximates congestion of the network to slow down or speed up packet sending rate

Network-oriented Operating Systems

- Two main types

- **Network Operating Systems**
  - Users are aware of multiplicity of machines

- **Distributed Operating Systems**
  - Users not aware of multiplicity of machines

Network Operating Systems

- Users are aware of multiplicity of machines

- Access to resources of various machines is done explicitly by:

  - Remote logging into the appropriate remote machine (ssh)

    - `ssh kristen.cs.yale.edu`

  - Transferring data from remote machines to local machines, via the File Transfer Protocol (FTP) mechanism

  - Upload, download, access, or share files through cloud storage

- Users must change paradigms – establish a **session**, give network-based commands, use a web browser

  - More difficult for users

Distributed Operating Systems

- Users not aware of multiplicity of machines

  - Access to remote resources similar to access to local resources

- **Data Migration** – transfer data by transferring entire file, or transferring only those portions of the file necessary for the immediate task

- **Computation Migration** – transfer the computation, rather than the data, across the system

  - Via remote procedure calls (RPCs)

  - Via messaging system

Distributed-Operating Systems (Cont.)

- **Process Migration** – execute an entire process, or parts of it, at different sites

  - *Load balancing* – distribute processes across network to even the workload

  - *Computation speedup* – subprocesses can run concurrently on different sites

  - *Hardware preference* – process execution may require specialized processor

  - *Software preference* – required software may be available at only a particular site

  - *Data access* – run process remotely, rather than transfer all data locally

- Consider the World Wide Web

Design Issues of Distributed Systems

■ We investigate three design questions:

- **_Robustness_** – Can the distributed system withstand failures?

- **_Transparency_** – Can the distributed system be transparent to the user both in terms of where files are stored and user mobility?

- **_Scalability_** – Can the distributed system be scalable to allow addition of more computation power, storage, or users?

Robustness

- Hardware failures can include failure of a link, failure of a site, and loss of a message.

- A **fault-tolerant system** can tolerate a certain level of failure

  - Degree of fault tolerance depends on design of system and the specific fault

  - The more fault tolerance, the better!

- Involves *failure detection*, *reconfiguration*, and *recovery*

Failure Detection

- Detecting hardware failure is difficult

- To detect a link failure, a **heartbeat** protocol can be used

- Assume Site A and Site B have established a link

  - At fixed intervals, each site will exchange an *I-am-up* message indicating that they are up and running

- If Site A does not receive a message within the fixed interval, it assumes either (a) the other site is not up or (b) the message was lost

- Site A can now send an *Are-you-up?* message to Site B

- If Site A does not receive a reply, it can repeat the message or try an alternate route to Site B

Failure Detection (Cont.)

- If Site A does not ultimately receive a reply from Site B, it concludes some type of failure has occurred

- Types of failures:
  - Site B is down
  - The direct link between A and B is down
  - The alternate link from A to B is down
  - The message has been lost

- However, Site A cannot determine exactly **why** the failure has occurred

Reconfiguration and Recovery

- When Site A determines a failure has occurred, it must reconfigure the system:

  - If the link from A to B has failed, this must be broadcast to every site in the system

  - If a site has failed, every other site must also be notified indicating that the services offered by the failed site are no longer available

- When the link or the site becomes available again, this information must again be broadcast to all other sites

Transparency

- The distributed system should appear as a conventional, centralized system to the user

  - User interface should not distinguish between local and remote resources

    - Example: NFS

  - User mobility allows users to log into any machine in the environment and see his/her environment

   - Example: LDAP plus desktop virtualization

Scalability

- As demands increase, the system should easily accept the addition of new resources to accommodate the increased demand

  - Reacts gracefully to increased load

  - Adding more resources may generate additional indirect load on other resources if not careful

  - Data **compression** or **deduplication** can cut down on storage and network resources used

Distributed File System

- **Distributed file system** (**DFS**) – a file system whose clients, servers, and storage devices are dispersed among the machines of a distributed system

  - Should appear to its clients as a conventional, centralized file system

- Key distinguishing feature is management of dispersed storage devices

Distributed File System (Cont.)

- **Service** – software entity running on one or more machines and providing a particular type of function to a priori unknown clients

- **Server** – service software running on a single machine

- **Client** – process that can invoke a service using a set of operations that forms its client interface

- A client interface for a file service is formed by a set of primitive file operations (create, delete, read, write)

- Client interface of a DFS should be transparent; i.e., not distinguish between local and remote files

- Sometimes lower level **inter-machine** interface need for cross-machine interaction

Distributed File System (Cont.)

- Two widely-used architectural models include **client-server model** and **cluster-based model**

- Challenges include:
  - Naming and transparency
  - Remote file access
 - Caching and cache consistency

Client-Server DFS Model

- Server(s) store both files and metadata on attached storage

  - Clients contact the server to request files

  - Sever responsible for authentication, checking file permissions, and delivering the file

  - Changes client makes to file must be propagated back to the server

- Popular examples include *NFS* and *OpenAFS*

- Design suffers from single point of failure if server crashes

- Server presents a bottleneck for all requests of data and metadata

  - Could pose problems with scalability and bandwidth

Client-Server DFS Model (Cont.)

Cluster-based DFS Model

- Built to be more fault-tolerant and scalable than client-server DFS

- Examples include the **Google File System** (**GFS**) and **Hadoop Distributed File System** (**HDFS**)

  - Clients connected to master metadata server and several data servers that hold "chunks" (portions) of files

  - Metadata server keeps mapping of which data servers hold chunks of which files

    - As well as hierarchical mapping of directories and files

 - File chunks replicated *n* times

Cluster-based DFS Model (Cont.)

Cluster-based DFS Model (Cont.)

- GFS design was influenced by following observations:

  - Hardware component failures are the norm rather than the exception and should be routinely expected.

  - Files stored on such a system are very large.

  - Most files are changed by appending new data to the end rather than overwriting existing data.

  - Redesigning the applications and file system API increases system flexibility

    - Requires applications to be programmed specially with new API

- Modularized software layer **MapReduce** can sit on top of GFS to carry out large-scale parallel computations while utilizing benefits of GFS

  - **Hadoop** framework also stackable and modularized

Naming and Transparency

- **Naming** – mapping between logical and physical objects

- **Multilevel mapping** – abstraction of a file that hides the details of how and where on the disk the file is actually stored

- A **transparent** DFS hides the location where in the network the file is stored

- For a file being **replicated** in several sites, the mapping returns a set of the locations of this file's replicas; both the existence of multiple copies and their location are hidden

Naming Structures

- **Location transparency** – file name does not reveal the file's physical storage location

- **Location independence** – file name does not need to be changed when the file's physical storage location changes

- In practice most DFSs use static, location-transparent mapping for user-level names

  - Some support file migration (e.g. OpenAFS)

  - Hadoop supports file migration but without following POSIX standards; hides information from clients

  - Amazon S3 provides blocks of storage on demand via APIs, placing storage dynamically and moving data as necessary

Naming Schemes

- Three approaches:

  - Files named by combination of their host name and local name; guarantees a unique system-wide name. This naming scheme is neither location transparent nor location independent.

  - Attach remote directories to local directories, giving the appearance of a coherent directory tree; only previously mounted remote directories can be accessed transparently

  - Single global name structures spans all files in the system. If a server is unavailable, some arbitrary set of directories on different machines also becomes unavailable

Remote File Access

- Consider a user who requests access to a remote file. The server storing the file has been located by the naming scheme, and now the actual data transfer must take place.

- **Remote-service mechanism** is one transfer approach.
  - A requests for accesses are delivered to the server, the server machine performs the accesses, and their results are forwarded back to the user.
  - One of the most common ways of implementing remote service is the RPC paradigm

Remote File Access (Cont.)

- Reduce network traffic by retaining recently accessed disk blocks in a cache, so that repeated accesses to the same information can be handled locally

  - If needed data not already cached, a copy of data is brought from the server to the user

  - Accesses are performed on the cached copy

  - Files identified with one master copy residing at the server machine, but copies of (parts of) the file are scattered in different caches

- **Cache-consistency problem** – keeping the cached copies consistent with the master file

  - Could be called **network virtual memory**

Cache Location – Disk vs. Main Memory

- Advantages of disk caches

    - More reliable

    - Cached data kept on disk are still there during recovery and don't need to be fetched again

- Advantages of main-memory caches:

    - Permit workstations to be diskless

    - Data can be accessed more quickly

    - Performance speedup in bigger memories

    - Server caches (used to speed up disk I/O) are in main memory regardless of where user caches are located; using main-memory caches on the user machine permits a single caching mechanism for servers and users

Cache Update Policy

- **Write-through** – write data through to disk as soon as they are placed on any cache
  - Reliable, but poor performance

- **Delayed-write** (**write-back**) – modifications are written to the cache and then written through to the server later
  - Write accesses complete quickly; some data may be overwritten before they are written back, and so need never be written at all
  - Poor reliability; unwritten data will be lost whenever a user machine crashes
  - Variation – scan cache at regular intervals and flush blocks that have been modified since the last scan
  - Variation – **write-on-close**, writes data back to the server when the file is closed
    - ‣ Best for files that are open for long periods and frequently modified

Consistency

- Is locally cached copy of the data consistent with the master copy?

- **Client-initiated approach**
  - Client initiates a validity check
  - Server checks whether the local data are consistent with the master copy

- **Server-initiated approach**
  - Server records, for each client, the (parts of) files it caches
  - When server detects a potential inconsistency, it must react

Consistency (Cont.)

- In cluster-based DFS, cache-consistency issue more complicated due to presence of metadata server and replicated file data chunks

  - HDFS allows *append-only* write operations (no random writes) and a *single* file writer

  - GFS allows *random* writes with *concurrent* writers

- Complicates write consistency guarantees for GFS while simplifying it for HDFS

End of Chapter 19