

## Misc. Questions

## Reminder

- Speaking of exams
  - The date for the Final has been decided:
    - Saturday, November 16<sup>th</sup>
    - 8am – 10am
    - 01-2000

## Announcement

- If you would like a paper copy of Volume 2 of the text, please let me know via e-mail by Wednesday.
  - Cost will be \$25.

## Before we begin

- Project Notes
  - Quota problems:
    - Use `rm-junk` to clean up your accounts
  - Update design
  - `try` now fixed
  - Clock problem due Oct 16.

## Before we begin

- Project Notes
  - About the Parking Lot Problem
    - Cars can only go forward or reverse
    - Cars cannot turn
    - Cars cannot go diagonal
    - Cars cannot move sideways
  - No square cars!

## Before we begin

- Misc. Questions
  - Initializing pointers
  - Bad input
  - Virtual operators

## Initializing Pointers

- This is okay

```
int a (7);
```

- Evidently, this is not (on Windows)

```
int * a (new int [20]);
```

- However, in initializer list, it's okay

```
Foo::Foo (int size) : intArray (new int[size])  
{}
```

## Bad input

- What to do when getting input from cin?

```
float f  
cin >> f;
```

- What happens if “Foo” is inputted

- istream overloads the ! operator
- istream::operator! will return true if
  - EOF is reached
  - Problem with stream
  - Data formatting error

## Bad input

- What to do when getting input from cin?

```
float f  
cin >> f;  
if (!cin) {  
    cerr << "Bad input";  
    ...  
}
```

## Bad input

- Note that istream also defines a method that will convert a stream to a pointer iff it is okay and 0 otherwise.

```
while (cin) { ... }
```

## Virtual operators

- Q: Can operators be declared as virtual?

- What if your solver wants to compare 2 abstract Configurations?

- Make a call to:

- Configuration::operator< (const Configuration &C)

- This will be overridden by derived classes of Configuration

## Virtual operators

- In this case

- Configuration's operator< must be declared as virtual.

```
class Configuration {  
public:  
    virtual bool operator< (const  
                            Configuration &C)  
  
    ...  
}
```

## Virtual operators

- Then..
  - Derived class's signature must be exactly the same:

```
class ClockConfig : public Configuration {
public:
    bool operator< (const Configuration &C)
    ...
}
```

## Virtual operators

- Problems with this:

```
FarmerConfig F (...);
ClockConfig C (...);

if (C < F) // what does THIS
mean?
```

## Virtual operators

```
/**
 * See if this configuration should be ordered
 * before another. What that means is up to each
 * derived class.
 * @pre other is the same type as this.
 * @return true iff this should be ordered before
 *         other
 */
bool operator<( const Configuration &other );
```

## Virtual operators

```
bool ClockConfig::operator<( const Configuration &other
)
{
    // must cast other to a ClockConfig
    // we know we can if precondition is met
    // should probably check using runtime type checking
    const ClockConfig &CC = (const ClockConfig &)other;

    // compare members with CC, not other
    if (myData < CC.myData) return true;
    ...
}
```

## Virtual operators

- Questions

## Virtual operators

- Note: operator= cannot be declared virtual

```
class Configuration {
public:
    Configuration & operator= (const
                               Configuration &C)
    ...
}

class ClockConfig : public Configuration {
public:
    ClockConfig & operator= (const
                           ClockConfig &C)
    ...
}
```

## Virtual operators

- ClockConfig's assignment should explicitly call Configuration's assignment

```
const ClockConfig & ClockConfig::operator= (const
ClockConfig &C)
{
    // copy ClockConfig data
    ...

    // copy Config data
    Config::operator= (C);

    return (*this);
}
```

## Questions?

## A little bit more on testing

- White Box Testing
  - Assure that all code is executed and tested
  - statement coverage:
    - suite of tests executes each statement at least once
  - decision coverage:
    - suite of tests ensures each if/loop/case decision goes every way it possibly can
  - condition coverage:
    - suite of tests ensures that each combination of Boolean outcomes from a single decision is tested

## A little bit more on testing

- Software tools
  - Rational Rose includes
    - Purify - detects memory management problems
    - Pure Coverage - statement coverage

## A little bit more on testing

- One solution to testing List class
  - Posted on Web site
- Questions?