# Bochnak - Real Algebraic Geometry

#### ashiato45 take notes

## 2016年4月13日

## Ordered Fields, Real Closed Fields

#### Ordered Fields, Real Fields

- (Definition 1.1.1, ordering of a field):  $\leq$  is an ordering of a field  $F \iff$ 
  - 1. (total):  $\leq$  is a total.
  - 2. (addition):  $x \le y \implies x + z \le y + z$
  - 3. (non-negative and mult.):  $0 \le x$ ,  $0 \le y \implies 0 \le xy$ .
- (Small prop.):  $x \le y, z \ge 0 \implies xz \le yz$ .  $\odot x \le y \iff 0 \le y-x \iff 0 \le (y-x)z \le 0 \le yz-xz \iff 0 \le (y-x)z \le 0 \le yz-xz$
- Let's define a ordering of the field of rational function  $\mathbb{R}(X)$ . (Think X as "infinite small").
- (Example 1.1.2): There exists the unique ordering of  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  satisfying
  - it preserves the ordering of ℝ.\*1
  - -X is smaller than any positive real number.
  - X is positive.

 $\odot$ We prove the ordering is unique if any first. Let FC(f) is the coefficient of the lowest term of ffor  $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ . (FC stands for Following Coefficient twinned with Leading Coefficient) Let  $\mathbb{R}[X]^+ = \mathbb{R}[X]$  $\{f \in \mathbb{R}[X]; FC()\}$ 

- 1.  $0 < X \odot$ Requirement.
- 2.  $\forall a > 0$ : X < a © Requirement.
- 3.  $\leq$  preserves the ordering of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\bigcirc$ Requirement.
- 4.  $\forall a > 0$ :  $\forall n \ge 0$ :  $X^{n+1} < aX^n$
- 5.  $\forall a > 0$ :  $\forall m > n \ge 0$ :  $X^m < aX^n$
- 6.  $\forall a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$ :  $\forall m > n \ge 0$ :  $bX^m < aX^n$
- 7.  $\forall a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$ :  $\forall m > n \ge 0$ :  $0 < bX^m + aX^n$
- 8.  $\forall P(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]^+ : 0 < P(X)$
- 9.  $\forall Q(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]^+$ :  $0 < \frac{1}{Q(X)}$   $\odot$ think of

$$Q'(X) = \left\{ 1/Q^2; Q > 0(-1/Q)^2; Q < 0 \right. \tag{1}$$

Or assume  $0 \ge 1/Q$ . Then multiplying  $Q, 0 \ge 1$ . This contradicts to the axiom of fields.

- $$\begin{split} &10. \ \, ^\forall P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]^+ \colon \ \, 0 < \frac{P(X)}{Q(X)} \\ &11. \ \, ^\forall P(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]^-, Q(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]^+ \colon \frac{P(X)}{Q(X)} < 0 \end{split}$$

<sup>\*1</sup> This come from the axiom of fields. Or, for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , 0 < X < a.

$${}^{\forall}P(X),R(X)\in\mathbb{R}[X],Q(X),S(X)\in\mathbb{R}[X]^{+}\colon \begin{cases} \mathrm{FC}(PS-RQ)>0 & \rightarrow \frac{P}{Q}>\frac{R}{S}\\ \mathrm{FC}(PS-RQ)=0 & \rightarrow \frac{P}{Q}=\frac{R}{S}\\ \mathrm{FC}(PS-RQ)<0 & \rightarrow \frac{P}{Q}<\frac{R}{S} \end{cases}. \tag{2}$$

This requirement defines a binary relation  $\leq$  (check the sign of FC of the numerator\*2). We prove it is exactly an ordering.

- (Reflexivity): Obvious.
- (Anti-symmetry): Obvious.
- (Total): Obvious.
- (Non-negative and mult.): Assume  $\frac{P}{Q} \geq 0$  and  $\frac{R}{S} \geq 0$ .  $\mathrm{FC}(P) \geq 0$  and  $\mathrm{FC}(R) \geq 0$  hold. Paying attention to managing lowest terms,  $FC(PR) = FC(P)FC(R) \ge 0$ . This means  $\frac{PR}{QS} \ge 0$ .
- (Transitivity): Assume  $\frac{P}{Q} \leq \frac{R}{S}, \frac{R}{S} \leq \frac{T}{U}$  and  $Q, S, U \in \mathbb{R}[X]^+$ . By (Non-negative and mult.), they are equivalent to  $PSU \leq RQU$  and  $RQU \leq TQS$ . We write for a polynomial f f's n-th coefficient  $f_n$ . For a pair of polynomials (f,g), let  $\varphi(f,g)$  is an n such that  $f_0=g_0,\ldots,f_{n-1}=g_{n-1},\ f_n\neq g_n$ . (If f=g, let  $\varphi(f,g) = \infty$ .)  $\varphi(PSU,TQS) = \min(\varphi(PSU,RQU),\varphi(RQU,TQS))$  holds. Let  $N = \varphi(PSU,TQS)$ .
  - \* If  $N = \infty$  then  $\varphi(PSU, RQU) = \varphi(RQU, TQS) = \infty$ . This means PSU = RQU = TQS.
  - \* If  $N < \infty$  then  $(PSU)_0 = (RQU)_0 = (TQS)_0, \dots, (PSU)_{N-1} = (RQU)_{N-1} = (TQS)_{N-1}$  holds. Moreover,  $(PSU)_N \leq (RQU)_N$  and  $(RQU)_N \leq (TQS)_N$  hold. This means  $PSU \leq TQS$ .
- (Addition): Obvious.
- Define  $\leq$  of  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  as

1.

$$[a_k X^k + \dots + a_n X^n > 0, \ a_k \neq 0, \ k < n] \iff [a_k > 0]$$
 (3)

2.

$$[P(X)/Q(X) > 0] \iff [P(X)Q(X) > 0] \tag{4}$$

• This implies immediately

$$\dots < X^2 < X < 1 < X^{-1} < X^{-2} < \dots$$
 (5)

- (Small prop.): These two rules generates an ordering of a field (Def. 1.1.1). ⊙TODO.
- (Small prop.):  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  is not archimedean \*3 i.e.

$$\exists P(X) \in \mathbb{R}(X) \colon \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \colon n < P(X). \tag{6}$$

 $\odot$ Take P(X) = 1/X. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . X < 1/n holds.

$$X < \frac{1}{n} \iff \frac{1}{n} - X > 0$$

$$\iff \frac{1 - nX}{n} > 0$$

$$\iff 1 - nX > 0$$

$$(8)$$

$$\iff \frac{1 - nX}{n} > 0 \tag{8}$$

$$\iff 1 - nX > 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\iff \frac{1}{X} - n > 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\iff \frac{1}{X} > n.$$
 (11)

 $\bullet$  This implies 1/X is "infinitely large", and X is "infinitely small".

<sup>\*2</sup> denominator:分母、numerator:分子

 $<sup>^{*3}</sup>$  Accumulating  $1_{\mathbb{R}(X)}$  finitely overwhelms any fixed element of  $\mathbb{R}(X)$ 

- (Definition, cut): (This is probably not the normal definition...) A pair of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  (I,J) is a cut  $\iff$ 
  - $-I \cap J = \emptyset$
  - $-I \cup J = \mathbb{R}$
  - $-I < J \text{ i.e. } \forall i \in I \colon \forall j \in J \colon i < j.$
- An ordering of  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  deterimnes a cut (I, J) where

$$I = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}; x < X \}, J = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}; X < x \}.$$
(12)

(an ordering of 
$$\mathbb{R}(X)$$
)  $\leadsto$  (a cut of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) (13)

Pay attention to for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  either x < X or X < x holds because the ordering is total.

- (Definition,  $-\infty, a_-, a_+, \infty$ ): Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $-\infty, a_-, a_+, \infty$  are defined with cuts.
  - $--\infty := (\emptyset, \mathbb{R})$
  - $-a_- := ([-\infty, a[, [a, \infty[)$
  - $-a_{+} := (]-\infty, a], ]a, \infty])$
  - $-+\infty := (\mathbb{R}, \emptyset)$
- (Small prop.): Y = -1/X is a bijection between  $\{ \le (\mathbb{R}(X)) \}$ ; the cut of  $\le$  is  $-\infty \}$  and  $\{ \le (\mathbb{R}(Y)) \}$  of Def. 1.1.1.
  - ⓒThe bijection of → part is defining a ordering  $\mathbb{R}(Y)$  from a fixed ordering  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  whose cut is  $-\infty$ . Define it as  $P(Y) \geq 0 \iff P(-1/X) \geq 0$ . We have to check the cut of P(Y) is  $(]-\infty,0]$ ,  $]0,\infty[)$ . We have to check if 0 < Y and Y < (any positive). The other side is omitted.
- (Small prop.):  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Y = a X is a bijection between  $\{ \leq (\mathbb{R}(X)) \}$ ; the cut of  $\leq$  is  $a_- \}$  and  $\{ \leq (\mathbb{R}(Y)) \}$  of Def. 1.1.1 $\}$ .
- (Small prop.):  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Y = X a is a bijection between  $\{ \leq (\mathbb{R}(X)) \}$ ; the cut of  $\leq$  is  $a_+ \}$  and  $\{ \leq (\mathbb{R}(Y)) \}$  of Def. 1.1.1 $\}$ .
- (Small prop.): Y = 1/X is a bijection between  $\{ \le (\mathbb{R}(X)) \}$ ; the cut of  $\le$  is  $+\infty \}$  and  $\{ \le (\mathbb{R}(Y)) \}$  of Def. 1.1.1.
- (Small prop.): These props states that for each cut, there exists the unique ordering.  $\odot$ At Def. 1.1.1., we have already seen for cut  $(]-\infty,0]$ ,  $]0,\infty[)$  the ordering whose cut is it is unique. These props states that the number of ordering whose cut is  $-\infty, a_-, a_+, \infty$  equals to the number of Def. 1.1.1.'s ordering.
- (Small prop.): This is stated as: there exists bijection

$$\{\text{all orderings of } \mathbb{R}(X)\} \simeq \{a_+; a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{a_-; a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}.$$
 (14)

- $\bullet$  (Abuse of term.): By the above bijection, we also the orderings by cuts.
- (TODO, p8): Note that the sign of  $f \in \mathbb{R}(X)$  for the ordering  $a_{-}$  is the sign of f on some small open interval  $]a \epsilon, a[$ .
- (Definition 1.1.3., cone): A cone P of a field  $^{*5}$  F is a subset P of F such that
  - (Addition):  $x, y \in P \implies x + y \in P$
  - (Multiply):  $x, y \in P \implies xy \in P$
  - (Square):  $x \in K \implies x^2 \in P$

The cone P is said to be proper if  $-1 \notin P$ .

- (Small example): {0} is obviously a proper cone.
- (Definition 1.1.4., positive cone): Let  $(F, \leq)$  be an ordered field. The subset  $P = \{x \in F; x \geq 0\}$  is called the positive cone of  $(F, \leq)$ .
- (Proposition 1.1.5., ordering and cone): Let F be an ordered field. P be a cone.

<sup>\*4</sup>  $-\infty$  is the cut defined already. Def 1.1.1's cut is  $(]-\infty,0[,[0,\infty[)$ .

<sup>\*5</sup> Need not be ordered.

- (F is ordered  $(F, \leq)$  and P is positive.)  $\implies$  ( $P \cup (-P) = \mathbb{R}(X)$  and P is proper.)
- $-(P \cup (-P) = \mathbb{R}(X) \text{ and } P \text{ is proper.}) \implies (F \text{ is ordered and its ordering is defined by } (x \le y \iff y x \in P))$

⊙Prove the first half. Proving  $-1 \ge 0$  is false is sufficient. Assume  $-1 \ge 0$ . By (non-negative and mult.),  $1 = (-1) \cdot (-1) \le 0$ . By (addition), adding +1 both sides yields  $0 \le 1$ . Combining them,  $1 \le 0 \le 1$ . This means 0 = 1. Contradiction.

Prove the last half.

- (Reflectivity): Let  $x \in F$ . Cones always contain 0 = x x. This means  $x \le x$ .
- (Anti-symmetry): Let  $x, y \in F$  and  $x \le y$  and  $y \le x$ .  $y x, x y \in P$  holds. Assume  $x y \ne 0$ . By (Multiply),  $-(x y)^2 = (y x)(x y) \in P$ . Because  $x y \ne 0$ , there exists  $1/(x y) \in F$ . By (Square),  $1/(x y)^2 \in P$ .  $-(x y)^2 \cdot 1/(x y)^2 = -1 \in P$ . This contradicts the properness, so x y = 0.
- (Transitivity): Let  $x \leq y \in F$  and  $y \leq z \in F$ .  $y x \in P$  and  $z y \in P$  hold. By (Addition),  $z x = (z y) + (y x) \in P$ . This means  $x \leq z$ .
- (Total): Obvious from  $P \cup (-P) = \mathbb{R}(X)$ .
- (Addition): Obvious.
- (Non-negative and Mult.): Obvious.
- (Definition, sum of square): The set of sums of squares is denoted by  $\sum F^2$ .
- (Small prop.):  $\sum F^2$  is a cone (not always proper).  $\sum F^2$  is contained in every cone of F (smallest!).  $\bigcirc$ Obvious.
- (Lemma 1.1.7.): Let P be a proper cone of F.
  - (i) If  $-a \notin P$  then  $P[a] = \{x + ay; x, y \in P\}$  is a proper cone of F.
  - (ii) There exists an ordering of F and its positive cone P' such that  $P \subset P'$ .
  - $\odot$ (i) Assume that  $-1 \in P[a]$ . There exists  $x, y \in P$  such that -1 = x + ay. (-a)y = x + 1 holds.
    - When y = 0:  $-1 = x \in P$  holds, but this contradicts that P is proper and  $-1 \notin P$ .
    - When  $y \neq 0$ : There exists  $1/y \in F$  and  $1/y^2 \in P$  by the property of cones.

$$-a = \frac{x+1}{y} = \underbrace{y}_{\in P} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{y^2}}_{\in P(\text{square})} \cdot (\underbrace{x}_{\in P} + \underbrace{1}_{\in P(\text{Square})}) \in P.$$
 (15)

This contradicts the assumption.

Both case lead to contradiction, so  $-1 \in P[a]$  is false.  $-1 \notin P[a]$ .

(∵)(ii)

1. X: Let

$$\mathbb{X} = \{ Q' \subset F; P \subset Q', Q' \text{ is a proper cone} \}. \tag{16}$$

- 2. Q:  $\mathbb{X}$  is not empty because  $P \in \mathbb{X}$ . For a chain of  $\mathbb{X}$ , its union is a upper bound of it. We can apply the Zorn's lemma now, and we obtain a maximal element of  $\mathbb{X}$ . We name it Q, Q is a maximal element of  $\mathbb{X}$ .
- 3.  $Q \cup -Q = F$ ?
  - (a) a: Let  $a \in F Q$ .
  - (b) By (i), Q[-a] is a proper cone.
  - (c) Q is maximal (by 2), and Q[-a] is a proper cone containing Q (by b). Hence Q = Q[-a].
  - (d) Hence  $-a \in Q$ .
  - (e) (End of a):  $Q \cup -Q = F$ .
- 4. Q is proper (by 2) and  $Q \cup -Q = F$  (by 3) imply (by Prop. 1.1.5.) the existence of an ordering  $\leq$  of F. And Q is positive in the ordering (by Prop. 1.1.5.).

- (Theorem 1.1.8): Let F be a field. The following properties are equivalent:
  - (i) F can be ordered.
  - (ii) The field F has a proper cone.
  - (iii)  $-1 \notin \sum F^2$ .
  - (iv) For every  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in F$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 = 0 \implies x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0.$$
 (17)

·

- ( $i\Rightarrow ii$ ): By Prop. 1.1.5., the positive cone of F is proper. So the positive cone satisfies the requirement.

- (ii  $\Rightarrow$  iii):
  - 1. Let the proper cone P.
  - 2. By (Small prop.),  $\sum F^2$  is the smallest cone, so  $\sum F^2 \subset P$ .
  - 3. Hence

$$-1 \in F - P \subset F - (\sum F^2). \tag{18}$$

So

$$-1 \notin \sum F^2. \tag{19}$$

- (iii  $\Rightarrow$  iv):
  - 1. We prove the contraposition. Assume  $\sum_{i} x_i^2 = 0$  and  $x_1 \neq 0$ .
  - 2.  $-x_1^2 = \sum_{i=2}^n x_i^2$ .
  - 3. Deviding both side by  $x_1^2$  (by a, we can divide by  $x_1 \neq 0$ .)

$$-1 = \underbrace{\frac{1}{x_1^2}}_{\in \sum F^2} \underbrace{\sum_{i=2}^n x_i^2}_{Cone!} \underbrace{\sum_{Cone!} F^2}.$$
 (20)

- (iv  $\Rightarrow$  iii):
  - 1. We prove the contraposition. Assume  $-1 \in \sum F^2$ .
  - 2. There exists  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$  such that  $-1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2$  (by 1).
  - 3. Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 + 1^2 = 0$ .
- $\bullet$  (Definition 1.1.9.): A field satisfying (Proposition 1.1.8.) is called real.
- (Small prop.): A real field has characteristic 0.  $\odot$  Assume the characteristic is finite n.  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1^2 = 0$ . This contradicts to (Proposition 1.1.8)'s (iv).
- (Proposition 1.1.10.):
  - -F: a field such that  $\mathbb{Q} \subset P$  (characteristic 0)
  - P: a cone of F

Then

$$P = \bigcap \underbrace{\{Q; [\leq \text{ is an ordering of } F] \land [P \subset Q] \land [Q \text{ is a positive cone of } \leq]\}}_{:=\mathbb{X}}.$$
 (21)

 $(\cdot)$  c is obvious. We prove  $\supset$ .

- 1. a: Let  $a \in F P$ .
- 2. P is proper?
  - (a) Assume  $-1 \in P$ . (Proof by contradiction)

(b)

$$a = \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}}_{\in \sum F^2} \underbrace{\left[ (1+a)^2 - \underbrace{(1-a)^2}_{1 \in P} \underbrace{(1-a)^2}\right]}_{= 1 \in P} \in \sum F^2 \xrightarrow{\boxed{\text{SoS is smallest}}} P. \tag{22}$$

(the assumption  $\mathbb{Q} \subset F$  supports the existence of 1/4)

- (c) This contradicts to 1.
- 3.  $a \notin P$  (by 1), the properness of P (by 2) and (Lemma 1.1.7.) show that P[-a] is proper.
- 4. By (Lemma 1.1.7), there exists an order  $\leq$  and its positive cone Q such that  $P[-a] \subset Q$  (because P[-a] is proper by 3).
- 5.  $a \notin Q$ ?
  - (a) Assume  $a \in Q$ . (proof by contradiction)
  - (b)  $-a \in Q$  because  $-a \in P[-a] \subset Q$  (by 4).
  - (c)  $-a^2 \in Q$  because Q is a cone (by 4), 1 and 2.
  - (d)  $a \neq 0$  because  $a \notin P$ , P is a cone (cones always contain zero).
  - (e)  $1/a^2$  is valid and  $1/a^2 \in Q$  because Q is a cone.
  - (f) (c) and (e) say

$$-1 = \underbrace{-a^2}_{\in Q} \cdot \underbrace{(1/a^2)}_{\in Q} \in Q. \tag{23}$$

- (g) This contradicts to the properness of Q ((Prop. 1.1.5) says the positive cone is proper.)
- 6.  $P \subset P[-a] \subset Q$ .
- 7. 4 and 6 says  $Q \in \mathbb{X}$ .
- 8. This shows

$$a \in F - Q \subset F - (\bigcap X). \tag{24}$$

9. (End of 1):

$$F - P \subset F - (\bigcap \mathbb{X}). \tag{25}$$

This means

$$\bigcap \mathbb{X} \subset P. \tag{26}$$

• (Corollary 1.1.11.): Let F be a field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$\sum F^2 = \bigcap \{Q; [\le \text{ is an ordering of } F] \land [Q \text{ is a positive cone of } \le] \}$$
 (27)

 $\odot$ Use (Prop. 1.1.10.) to  $\sum F^2$ .

### 1.2 Real Closed Fields

- (Fact): 体 F と、F 係数既約多項式  $f \in F[X]$  について、F/(f) は体になる。
- (代数拡大): 体 F' が F の代数拡大体であるとは、F' のすべての元が、F 係数多項式の根になっていること。 \*6
- (代数拡大って具体的には?): 次の命題がある。

<sup>\*6</sup> 戯言:体 F に、F 係数既約多項式 f の根を追加して体にすることができる。これは、「F にシンボル X を追加して、その X が f(X)=0 となる」という規則を追加することに外ならないので、F[X]/(f) は F の代数拡大となる。(ただし、拡大したつもりでできていないことはありえる。)

- (雪江 3.1.23): K を体、f を K 上既約で  $\deg f = n$  とする。このとき、次の 3 つが成り立つ。
  - (1) L = K[x]/(f) は体で、[L:K] = n である。
  - (2)  $\alpha = x + (f)$  とおくと、 $f(\alpha) = 0$
  - (3) L の K 上の基底として  $B = \{1, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  をとれる。
- つまり、(1,2) 体について既約多項式を考えて、その根が含まれるような代数拡大体が存在する。(3) その基底は単項式たち。
- (Fact:代数的閉包): 体 F について、その代数拡大体で、代数的閉体になっているものが存在し、しかも一意である。これを  $\overline{F}$  と書くことがある。 [Yukie, Theorem 3.2.3, Corollary 3.2.4].
- (Gauss の対称式の定理): See [Cox].
- (Definition 1.2.1): real field F が real closed field である  $\iff F$  が 非自明な real algebraic extension を持たない i.e. F の真の代数的拡張  $F_1 \supset F$  で、
  - $-F_1$ が real field であり、
  - $-F_1$  が algebraic extension である

というようなものは存在しない。

- (Theorem 1.2.2.):
  - (i⇒ii):
    - 1. (First half starts): Let  $a \in F$  and a is not a square in F.
    - 2.  $F[\sqrt{a}] = F[X]/(X^2 a)$ . Hence  $X^2 a$  is (by 1) irreducible,  $F[X]/(X^2 a)$  is a nontrivial algebraic extension of F.
    - 3. (2), (Definition 1.2.1) and (Assumption i) imply  $F[\sqrt{a}]$  is not real.
    - 4. By (3) and (Theorem 1.1.8, iii),  $-1 \in F[\sqrt{a}]$ . So there exists  $x_i, y_i \in F$

$$-1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + \sqrt{ay_i})^2. \tag{28}$$

5. Hence 1 and  $\sqrt{a}$  are linearly independent in vector space  $F[\sqrt{a}]^{*7}$ , picking the coefficients of 1,

$$-1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + a(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2)$$
 (29)

in F.

6. Since F is real and (Theorem 1.1.8, iii)

$$\underbrace{-1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}_{\neq 0} = a \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2. \tag{30}$$

So  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 \neq 0$ . (Strictly speaking, we need the fact F be an integral domain.)

7. We can divide by  $\sum_i y_i^2$ ,

$$-a = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2} \in \sum F^2.$$
 (31)

- 8. (End of 1): Forall  $a \in F$ ,
  - \* if a is a square  $\rightarrow a \in \sum F^2$ ,
  - \* (by 1-7) if a is not a square  $\rightarrow a \in -\sum F^2$ .

Hence

$$a \in \sum F^2 \cup -\sum F^2. \tag{32}$$

<sup>\*7</sup> Remember  $F[\sqrt{a}]$  is a quotient of F[X].

$$F = \sum F^2 \cup -\sum F^2. \tag{33}$$

- 10. By (Theorem 1.1.8),  $\sum F^2$  is a proper cone. In this situation, (Proposition 1.1.5) says  $\sum F^2$  generates an ordering of F. And  $\sum F^2$ .
- 11. Assume if another ordering exists. Let its positive cone P. By (Theorem 1.1.5)  $P \cup -P = F$ .  $\sum F^2$  is the smallest cone, so

$$F \stackrel{\text{\tiny [9]}}{=} \sum F^2 \cup -\sum F^2 \subset P \cup -P = F. \tag{34}$$

So  $\sum F^2 \cup -\sum F^2 = P \cup -P$ . Asserting  $\sum F^2 \cap -\sum F^2 = \emptyset$  and  $P \cap -P = \sum F^2 = P$ . This means the ordering of P and  $\sum F^2$  coincides.

- 12. (First half end): (10) and (11) says there exists unique ordering for F and its positive cone is  $\sum F^2$ .
- 13. (Last half starts): Let  $f \in F[X]$  has odd degree. We want to prove f have a root in F, so we negate this proposition. Assume f have no roots in F. Let  $d = \deg f$ .
- 14. We can assume d > 1 because if d = 1 then obviously f have the root in F.
- 15. We can assume that polynomials whose degree is < d have a root in F. \*8
- 16. **(ODD)** f is irreducible.  $\odot$  Assume f is reducible and there exists decomposition f = gh (deg g, deg h > 0). Then deg g, deg h < d. deg  $g + \deg h = \deg f$  and deg f is odd, so Either deg g or deg h is odd. Without loss of generality, we can assume deg g is odd. So by (15) g have a root in F. So f have a root as the root of g. This contradicts to (13).
- 17. F[X]/(f) is a nontrivial extension of F. By (Assumption i), F[X]/(f) is not real. So  $-1 \mod (f) \in \sum (F[X]/(f))^2$ .
- 18. There exists  $h_i \in F[X], \deg(h_i) < d$  and  $g \in F[X]$  such that

$$-1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^2 + fg. \tag{35}$$

Pay attention to  $\deg(h_i) < d \iff \deg(h_i) \le d - 1$ . (the assumption  $\deg(h_i) < d$  is from the fact that the ring is a quotient of f.)

19. Calculate the degree of both sides of  $-1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^2 = fg$ .

$$d + \deg(g) = \deg(f) + \deg(g) \tag{36}$$

$$= \deg(fg) \tag{37}$$

$$= \deg(-1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^2) \tag{38}$$

$$\leq \max_{i} \deg(h_i^2) \tag{39}$$

$$= 2 \max_{i} \deg(h_i) \tag{40}$$

$$\leq 2(d-1) \tag{41}$$

$$=2d-2. (42)$$

- 20.  $\deg(g) \le d 2$ .
- 21. Seeing the equation of (18),  $\deg(-1) = 0$ ,  $\deg(\sum_i h_i^2)$  is odd and  $\deg(f)$  is odd, so  $\deg(g)$  is odd.
- 22. By (19), (20) and (15), g has a root in F. Let the root x.

<sup>\*8</sup> Strictly, this is proved by the well-ordering set. {d; fhas no roots} is not empty because the assumption. This have the smallest element. Take a polynomial that realize the smallest element.

23. Substitute x in the equation of (18).

$$-1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^2(x) + f(x)g(x) \stackrel{\text{22}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^2(x).$$
 (43)

- 24. This means  $-1 \in \sum F^2$ . This contradicts to F be the real. (by (12),  $\sum F^2$  is a positive cone.) (ii  $\Rightarrow$  iii):
  - 1. (First half starts): Let  $f \in F[X]$ . Set  $d = \deg f$ . We will prove that f have a root in F[i].
  - 2. Write  $d = 2^m n$  (n is odd).
  - 3. Prove f has a root in F[i] by induction on m. The case of m = 0 is obvious from the assumption. Assume that the case of m 1 holds.
  - 4. Take  $y_1, \ldots, y_d$  to be the roots of f in  $\overline{F}$ .
  - 5. Define for all  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$  an element of F[X]

$$g_h = \prod_{1 \le \lambda < \mu \le d} (X - y_\lambda - y_\mu - hy_\lambda y_\mu). \tag{44}$$

X6  $g_h$  is symmetry in  $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ , so (by Gauss)  $g_h \in F[(y_1 + \cdots + y_d), \ldots, (y_1 \ldots y_d)]$ .

- 6. The coefficients of  $g_h$  are symmetry in  $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ , so (by Gauss) the coefficients of  $g_h$  are in  $F[(y_1 + \cdots + y_d), \ldots, (y_1 \ldots y_d)]$ .
- 7.  $y_1, \ldots, y_d$  are the roots of  $f \in F[X]$ , so  $(y_1 + \cdots + y_d), \ldots, (y_1 \ldots y_d) \in F$ .
- 8. By (5) and (6),  $g_h \in F$ .
- 9

$$\deg g_h = {}_{d}C_2 = \frac{d(d-1)}{2} = \frac{2^m n \cdot (2^m n - 1)}{2} = 2^{m-1} \underbrace{(2^m n - 1)n}_{\text{odd}}.$$
 (45)

- 10. Assumption of induction says  $g_h$  have a root in F[i].
- 11.

$$\forall h \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \exists 1 \le \lambda_h < \mu_h \le d \colon y_{\lambda_h} + y_{\mu_h} + h y_{\lambda_h} y_{\mu_h} \in F[i]. \tag{46}$$

12. The pairs of  $(\lambda_h, \mu_h)$  is finite, but h runs over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By pigeonhole principle, there exist different integers h, h' such that  $(\lambda_h, \mu_h) = (\lambda_{h'}, \mu_{h'})$ . We call this pair  $(\lambda, \mu)$ .

$$y_{\lambda} + y_{\mu} + h y_{\lambda} y_{\mu}, \quad y_{\lambda} + y_{\mu} + h' y_{\lambda} y_{\mu} \in F[i]. \tag{47}$$

13.

$$y_{\lambda} + y_{\mu} \in F[i], \quad y_{\lambda}y_{\mu} \in F[i].$$
 (48)

- 14. 2nd degree equation with F[i] coefficients have their roots in F[i]?
  - (a)  $x^2 = a + bi$   $(a, b \in F)$  have a root in F[i]?
    - i. If b=0 and  $a\geq 0$  \*9 then we can take the square root of  $a\in F_+=\sum F^2$  (assumption ii). We call the positive square root of  $a\in F_+$  as  $\sqrt{a}$ . If b=0 and  $a\leq 0$  then we can take the square root  $\sqrt{-ai}$ . So we can assume  $b\neq 0$ .
    - ii. Set

$$L = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}, \quad p = \frac{L + (a + bi)}{2}, \quad M = \frac{\sqrt{(L + a)^2 + b^2}}{2}, \ q = \frac{p}{M}\sqrt{L}. \tag{49}$$

 $M \neq 0$  because  $b \neq 0$ .

<sup>\*9</sup> By assumption ii, we can detrermine if a number is positive or negative.

iii.  $q^2 = a + bi$  holds.  $(\cdot)$ 

$$q^{2} = \frac{4}{(L+a)^{2} + b^{2}} \cdot \frac{(L+a+bi)^{2}}{4} \cdot L$$
 (50)

$$=\frac{(L+a)^2 - b^2 + 2(L+a)bi}{L^2 + 2aL + a^2 + b^2}L\tag{51}$$

$$= \frac{(L+a)^2 + b^2}{L^2 + 2aL + a^2 + b^2} L$$

$$= \frac{L^2 + 2aL + a^2 + b^2}{2L^2 + 2aL} L$$

$$= \frac{L^2 + 2aL + a^2 - b^2 + 2(L+a)bi}{2L^2 + 2aL} L$$

$$= \frac{2a^2 + 2aL + 2(L+a)bi}{2L + 2a}$$
(52)

$$=\frac{2a^2+2aL+2(L+a)bi}{2L+2a}\tag{53}$$

$$= a + bi. (54)$$

- (b)  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$   $(a, b, c \in F[i])$  have a root in F[i].  $\odot$  If a = 0 then obvious. If  $a \neq 0$ , we can make the completing square, so we can solve the equation by (a).
- 15.  $y_{\lambda}, y_{\mu} \in \overline{F}$  are the roots of  $X^2 (y_{\lambda} + y_{\mu})X + y_{\lambda}y_{\mu}$ . This polynomial have F[i] coefficients by (13). By (14), the roots are in F[i], so  $y_{\lambda}, y_{\mu} \in F[i]$ .
- 16. (First half ends): Hence f has a root in F[i].
- 17. (Last half starts): Let  $f \in F[i][X]$ .
- 18.  $f\overline{f} \in F[X]$  holds.  $\bigcirc$  Write f as  $\sum_{j} (a_j + ib_j) x^j$ .

$$f\overline{f} = \left[\sum_{j} (a_j + ib_j)x^j\right] \cdot \left[\sum_{k} (a_k + ib_k)x^k\right]$$

$$\left[\sum_{j} (a_j + ib_j)(a_j - ib_j)x^j\right] + \left[\sum_{k} (a_k + ib_k)x^k\right]$$

$$(55)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{j} (a_j + ib_j)(a_j - ib_j)x^{2j}\right] + \left[\sum_{j>k} (a_j + ib_j)(a_k - ib_k)x^{j+k}\right] + \left[\sum_{j
(56)$$

$$= \sum_{j} (a_j^2 + b_j^2) x^{2j} + 2 \sum_{j>k} (a_j a_k + b_j b_k) x^{j+k}$$
(57)

$$\in F[X]. \tag{58}$$

- 19. By (1-16),  $f\overline{f}$  has a root x in F[i]. So x is a root of f or a root of  $\overline{f}$  \*10. If x is a root of f, we complete the proof. If x is a root of  $\overline{f}$ ,  $\overline{x}$  is a root of f (Take an allover conjugate).
- (iii  $\Rightarrow$  i):
  - 1. F is real? (We will prove  $-1 \notin F$  and use Theorem 1.1.8)
    - (a) The solutions of  $X^2 = -1$  are only i, -i. \*11
    - (b)  $i, -i \notin F$ , so  $-1 \notin F^2$ .
    - (c)  $F^2 = \sum F^2$ ? ( $\subset$  is obvious. We will prove only  $\supset$ .)
      - i. It is sufficient to prove for all  $a,b\in F$  there exists  $x\in F$  such that  $a^2+b^2=x^2$ .
      - ii. Let  $c, d \in F$  as  $a + ib = (c + id)^2$ . Take c, d exists because F[i] is algebraically closed.
      - iii. We can take x as  $c^2 + d^2$ .

$$x^2 = (c^2 + d^2)^2 (59)$$

$$= c^4 + 2c^2d^2 + d^4 (60)$$

$$= (c^2 - d^2)^2 + 4c^2 + d^2 (61)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(i)}}{=} a^2 + b^2. \tag{62}$$

- (d) By (b) and (c),  $-1 \notin \sum F^2$ .
- (e) By Theorem 1.1.8, F is real.

<sup>\*10</sup> Assume x is not a root of neither.  $f(x) \neq 0$  and  $\overline{f}(x) \neq 0$ . So  $f(x)\overline{f}(x) \neq 0$ . But this is a contradiction.

<sup>\*11 &</sup>quot;Since F[i] is a field." is nonsense to me.

- 2. F[i] is the only nontrivial algebraic extension because F[i] is (by assumption iii) algebraically closed. (If we intend to add a root x of f to F,  $x \in F[i]$ .)
- 3.  $-1 \in \sum (F[i])^2$  because  $i^2 = -1 \in F[i]$ .
- 4. F[i] is not real.
- 5. By (2) and (4), all the algebraic extensions of F are not real.
- 6. By (1) nad (5), F is real closed.
- (Theorem 1.2.2.):キモだけ。
  - (i⇒ii):
    - \*「hence,  $F[\sqrt{a}]$  is not real」:真に拡張してしまっているので、「real field である」という方がおかしいということになる。
    - \*「only one possible ordering」:  $\sum F^2 \text{ について}, F \text{ threal } \text{ $x$} \text{ order}, -1 \notin \sum F^2 \text{ threal } \text{ thre$
    - \*「it remains to show that, if  $f \in F[X]$  has...」: 奇数次を持つ  $f \in F[X]$  が F に根を持たなかったとする。  $\deg f = 1$  だと根を持つにきまっているから  $\deg f > 1$  としてよい。 さらに、 $d = \deg f$  として、d より小さい奇数次までは根を持っていたとしてもよい。
      - すると、f は既約であるということになる。なぜなら、仮に分解できたら、奇数次を分解するのだから分解した因子のほうに d 次より小さい奇数次の多項式が出てきて、それが仮定より根を持つからである。
    - \*「The polynomial  $g_h$  is symmetric in ...」: Fact として、対称多項式は、その係数の基本対称式の和と 積 (つまり多項式) として書くことができる。
      - さらに、 $y_1, \ldots, y_d$  を根に持つ多項式が f であり、f は F 係数だったのだから、根と係数の関係から  $y_1, \ldots, y_d$  の基本対称式は  $\in$  F であり、したがって  $g_h \in F[X]$  である。
    - \* (range over ℤ): ハトノスを使う。
    - \* (The field F is real...): なぜか順序が逆に書いてあるので、 $a^2+b^2=(c^2+d^2)^2$  まで読めばできる。 c,d は、代数的閉体と仮定したので存在する。
    - \* (To conclude..): F の代数的拡張は、F[X]/(f) だが、F[i] は代数的閉体という仮定から、f が既約ならそれは 2 次以下であることがわかる (共役を根に持つから。)。(cf,  $\mathbb C$  の 2 次拡大はない。)
- (Example 1.2.3):
  - ( $\mathbb{R}$ ):  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}[i]$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed. Use (iii).
  - $(\mathbb{R}_{alg})$ :
    - \* (Field): Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{alg}$ .  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_{alg}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[a, b]$  is an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So  $a + b \in \mathbb{Q}[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_{alg}$  and  $ab \in \mathbb{Q}[a, b]$ . If  $a \neq 0$  then  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[a] \subset \mathbb{R}_{alg}$ .
    - \* (point):  $\mathbb{R}_{alg}$ -coefficient polynomial's roots are in  $\mathbb{R}_{alg}$ .  $\odot x$  is a root of  $a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0 = 0$   $(a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{alg})$ .

$$a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Q}[a_0, \dots, a_n]. \tag{63}$$

Because  $a_0, \ldots, a_n$  are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$  is an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So  $[\mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_n) : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ .  $\mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)(x)$  is an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ . So  $[\mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_n, x) : \mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)] < \infty$ . By a fact,

$$[\mathbb{Q}(a_0,\ldots,a_n,x):\mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(a_0,\ldots,a_n,x):\mathbb{Q}(a_0,\ldots,a_n)][\mathbb{Q}(a_0,\ldots,a_n),\mathbb{Q}] < \infty. \tag{64}$$

So  $\mathbb{Q}(a_0,\ldots,a_n,x)$  is an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (think of  $1,x,x^2,\ldots$ ). We have a linearly dependent.). So x is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{alg}$ .

\* (unique ordering): We will prove  $\sum (\mathbb{R}_{alg})^2 = \mathbb{R}_{alg \geq 0}$ . If  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{alg}$  and  $a \geq 0$  then  $\sqrt{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Because a is a root of  $\mathbb{R}_{alg}$ -coefficient  $X^2 - a$ . So  $\sqrt{a} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{a}] \subset \mathbb{R}_{alg}$ . We have  $\sum (\mathbb{R}_{alg})^2 \cup -\sum (\mathbb{R}_{alg})^2 = \mathbb{R}_{alg \geq 0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{alg \geq 0} = \mathbb{R}_{alg}$ . So induced ordering by  $\mathbb{R}$  is the unique ordering of  $\mathbb{R}_{alg}$ .

- \* (odd polynomial):  $f = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$  is odd degree  $(a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{alg})$ . f have a root in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By (point), the root in  $\mathbb{R}_{alg}$ .
- \* (real closed): Use (ii).
- (Puiseux series with real coefficients):  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  is a set of formal series:

$$\mathbb{R}(X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i X^{i/q}; k \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}, a_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$
 (65)

 $\mathbb{C}(X)$  is similiar.  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  is real closed.  $\odot$  It is known that  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  is algebraically closed.  $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{R}(X)[i]$  because

$$\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} (a_i + \sqrt{-1}b_i)X^{i/q} = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i X^{i/q} + \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b_i X^{i/q}.$$
 (66)

- A positive element of  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  is a Puiseux series of the form  $\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i x^{i/q}$  with  $a_k > 0$ .  $\odot$ We need to prove that it is square. Think of a square of an element of  $\mathbb{R}(X)$ .

$$(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b_i X^{i/2q})^2 = (\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b_i X^{i/2q}) (\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b_i X^{i/2q})$$
(67)

$$= \sum_{d=2k}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d-2k} b_{k+i} b_{(d-2k)-i} X^{d/2q}$$
(68)

$$=b_k^2 X^{2k/2q} + (b_k b_{k+1} + b_{k+1} b_k) X^{(2k+1)/2q} + \dots$$
(69)

So we can set  $b_k = \sqrt{a_k}$  and  $b_{k+1}, \ldots$  recursively. If  $a_k < 0$ , we cannot make such a process.

- We use the same interval symbols [a, b], ]a, b[.
- (Proposition 1.2.4):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f \in R[X]$
  - $-a, b \in R$ : a < b
  - -f(a)f(b) < 0

then there exists  $x \in [a, b]$  such that f(x) = 0.

··

- 1. By (iii) of the (Theorem 1.2.2), the irreducible factors of f are linear or have the form of  $(X (c + di))(X (c di)) = (X c)^2 + d^2$  for  $c, d \in R$ .
- 2. The latters don't yield opposite sign.
- 3. There exists a linear factor of f who has opposite sign at a and b. Name it g(X) = X x. Now, x is a root of f. g(a)g(b) < 0.
- 4. g is strictly increasing, so g(a) < 0 and g(b) > 0. So g(a) < g(x) = 0 < g(b). By increasingness, a < x < b.
- $\bullet$  (Proposition 1.2.5):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f \in R[X]$
  - $-a, b \in R$ : a < b, f(a) = f(b) = 0

then f' has a root in a, b.

(··)

- 1. We can suppose that a and b are two consecutive roots of f, i.e. f never vanishes in ]a,b[. (We can replace nearer roots if they are not consecutive.)
- 2. Factorize f as

$$f = (X - a)^m (X - b)^n g \tag{70}$$

where g never vanishes in ]a, b[.

3. Differentiate f (algebraic derivative)

$$f' = m(X-a)^{m-1}(X-b)^n g + (X-a)^m n(X-b)^{n-1} g + (X-a)^m (X-b)^n g'$$
(71)

$$= (X - a)^{m-1} (X - b)^{n-1} \underbrace{\left[ m(X - b)g + n(X - a)g + (X - a)(X - b)g' \right]}_{:=g_1}.$$
 (72)

- 4. g(a) and g(b) have the same signs because (2) and the contraposition of (Proposition 1.2.4).
- 5.  $g_1(a) = m(a-b)g(a)$  and  $g_1(b) = n(b-a)g(b)$ , hence  $g_1(a)$  and  $g_1(b)$  have opposite signs.
- 6. By (Proposition 1.2.4),  $g_1$  has a root in [a, b] and so does f'.
- (Corollary 1.2.6):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f \in R[X]$
  - $-a, b \in R$ : a < b

then there exists  $c \in [a, b]$  such that f(b) - f(a) = (b - a)f'(c).  $\odot$ 

- 1. Let  $g(x) = f(x) \left[\frac{f(b) f(a)}{b a}(x a) + f(a)\right].$
- 2. g(a) = 0 obviously holds.

$$g(b) = f(b) - \left[\frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}(b - a) + f(a)\right] = 0.$$
(73)

- 3. Apply (Proposition 1.2.6) to g.
- (Corollary 1.2.7):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f \in R[X]$
  - $-a, b \in R$ : a < b
  - -f' is positive (resp. negative) on a, b

then f is strictly increasing (resp. strictly decreasing) on [a, b].

- ①Obvious from (Corollary 1.2.6).
- (Definition 1.2.8):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f,g \in R[X]$

The strum sequence of f and g is the sequence of polynomials  $(f_0, \ldots, f_k)$  define as follows

- $-f_0=f$
- $f_1 = f'g$
- $-f_2 = f_1q_2 f_0$  with  $q_2 \in R[X]$  and  $\deg(f_2) < \deg(f_1)$ .
- $-f_i = f_{i-1}q_i f_{i-2}$  with  $q_i \in R[X]$  and  $\deg(f_i) < \deg(f_{i-1})$
- $f_k$  is a GCD of f and f'g. \*12

⊙ The Strum sequence is determined by f and g because for  $i \ge 2$ ,  $f_i$  is determined by division algorithm. The stop of the sequence is Euclid algorithm. (GCD $(f_0, f_1) = GCD(f_1, f_2) = ....$ )

• (Definition, sign change): Define for an sequence  $(a_0, \ldots, a_k)$  where  $a_0 \neq 0$ . count one sign change  $a_i a_i < 0$ 

- with l = i + 1
- -l < i+1 and  $a_j = 0$  of every j(i < j < l).

i.e. the number of successive subsequence such that ab < 0 and

- -(a,b)
- -(a,0,b)
- -(a,0,0,b)

 $<sup>^{*12}</sup>$  GCD has an ambiguity of unit.

\_ :

I write the sign change of a sequence  $(a_0, \ldots, a_k)$  as  $SC(a_0, \ldots, a_k)$  on my own.

- (Definition, v(f, g; a)):
  - $-f,g \in R[X]$
  - $-a \in \mathbb{R}$ : a is not a root of f (To satisfy the hypothesis of the definition of sign change.)
  - $(f_0, \ldots, f_k)$ : the Strum sequence of f and g
- (Theorem 1.2.9, Sylvester's Theorem):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f,g \in R[X]$
  - $-a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ : a < b, neither a nor b are roots of f

then

$$\#\{x \in ]a, b[; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) > 0\} - \#\{x \in ]a, b[; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) < 0\} = v(f, g; a) - v(f, g; b). \tag{74}$$

(We don't care of multiplicity.)

• 1. Define  $(g_{\bullet})$  as

$$(g_0, \dots, g_k) := (f_0/f_k, \dots, f_k/f_k).$$
 (75)

- 2. Let x is not a root of f. Because  $f_k|f$ , x is not a root of  $f_k$ . So division by  $f_k(x)$  is reasonable and a sequence  $(g_0(x), \ldots, g_k(x))$  makes sense.
- 3. The signs of  $(f_0(x), \ldots, f_k(x))$  and  $(g_0(x), \ldots, g_k(x))$  coincide for each  $x \in R$ . (Book: This implies for all  $x \in R \setminus \{\text{roots of } f\}^{*13}$

$$SC(f_0(x), f_1(x)) = SC(g_0(x), g_1(x)), \quad SC(f_{i-1}(x), f_i(x), f_{i+1}(x)) = SC(g_{i-1}(x), g_i(x), g_{i+1}(x)).$$
(76)

)

4.

$$\{\text{roots of } g_0\} = \{\text{roots of } f\} \setminus \{\text{roots of } g\}$$
 (77)

?

- (a) Calculate  $g_0$ .
- (b) Assume

$$f = (x - a_1)^{A_1} \dots (x - a_l)^{A_l} (x - b_1)^{B_1} \dots (x - b_m)^{B_m} F(x)$$
(78)

$$g = (x - b_1)^{C_1} \dots (x - b_m)^{C_m} (x - c_1)^{D_1} \dots (x - c_n)^{D_n} G(x)$$
(79)

where  $a_{\bullet}, b_{\bullet}, c_{\bullet}$  are different and F, G don't have root in R.  $A_{\bullet}, B_{\bullet}, C_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet} \geq 1$ .  $(b_{\bullet} \text{ are the common roots of } f \text{ and } g, a_{\bullet} \text{ are the roots only of } f, c_{\bullet} \text{ are the roots only of } g.)$ 

(c) There exists  $F_1(x) \in R[x]$  such that

$$f' = (x - a_1)^{A_1 - 1} \dots (x - a_l)^{A_l - 1} (x - b_1)^{B_1 - 1} (x - b_m)^{B_m - 1} F_1(x).$$
(80)

where  $F_1$  doesn't disappear at  $a_{\bullet}, b_{\bullet}$  (Calculate!).

(d)

$$f'q = (x - a_1)^{A_1 - 1} \dots (x - a_l)^{A_l - 1} (x - b_1)^{B_1 + C_1 - 1} (x - b_m)^{B_m + C_m - 1} F_1(x) G(x). \tag{81}$$

<sup>\*13</sup> the exclusion of roots is needed for the definition of  $SC(f_0(x), f_1(x)) = SC(f(x), f'g(x))$ .

$$GCD(f, f'g) = (x - a_1)^{A_1 - 1} \dots (x - a_l)^{A_l - 1} (x - b_1)^{B_1} \dots (x - b_m)^{B_m}$$

$$\times GCD \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{(x - a_1) \dots (x - a_l)F_1(x)}_{H_1(x) :=}, \underbrace{(x - b_1)^{C_1 - 1} \dots (x - b_m)^{C_m - 1}F_1(x)G(x)}_{H_2(x) :=}\right)}_{H(x) :=}.$$

$$(83)$$

(by (b), 
$$C_{\bullet} - 1 \ge 0$$
)

- (f) By the definition of GCD  $(H|H_1 \text{ and } H|H_2)$ , the roots of H is a root of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ .
- (g) If  $\xi$  is not a root of  $H_1$  or not a root of  $H_2$  then  $\xi$  is not a root of H.
- (h) By (c),  $b_{\bullet}$  are not roots of  $H_1$ .
- (i) By (b) and (c),  $a_{\bullet}$  are not roots of  $H_2$ .
- (j) (g,h,i) implies  $a_{\bullet}, b_{\bullet}$  are not roots of H.
- (k) By (e, j),

$$\frac{f}{\text{GCD}(f, f'g)} = (x - a_1) \dots (x - a_l) \underbrace{\frac{F(x)}{H(x)}}_{\in R[x]}.$$
(84)

because  $f/GCD(f, f'g) \in R[x]$ . By (b),  $\frac{F}{H}$  have no root at  $a_{\bullet}$ .

- (1) By definition of  $g_0$ ,  $g_0 = \pm f/\text{GCD}(f, f'g)$ .  $a_{\bullet}$  were the roots of f which are not roots of g.
- 5.  $i \in \{0, ..., k\}$ ,  $g_{i-1} \perp g_i$   $\odot$ Because  $f_k = \pm GCD(f, f'g)$ ,  $GCD(g_0, g_1) = 1$ . Next  $f_i = f_{i-1}q_i f_{i-2}$ , so  $(f_{i-1}, f_{i-2}) = (f_{i-1}, f_{i-1}q_i f_i) = (f_{i-1}, f_i) = (1)$ .
- 6. Let c be a polynomial  $g_i$ .
  - (a) When  $g_i = g_0$ . c is a root of  $g_0$ . (Pay attention to Proposition 1.2.4 intermediate-value theorem from here!)
    - i. By (5), c is not a root of  $g_1$ . (the sign change happens immediately!)
    - ii. By (4), f(c) = 0 and  $g(c) \neq 0$ .
    - iii. We define the sign of  $f'(c_{-})$  as the sign of f' immediately to the left of c. We can take "immediate left" because the roots of f' are finite and intermediate-value theorem. We define  $f'(c_{+})$  similarly.
    - iv.  $f'(c_-) \neq 0$  and  $f'(c_+) \neq 0$ .  $\odot$  Assume  $f'(c_-) = 0$ . We have infinitely many "immediate left" points, so f' vanishes at infinitely many points. Polynomial  $f' \equiv 0$ . f(c) = 0 (ii) and  $f' \equiv 0$  imply  $f \equiv 0$ . This contradicts to "neither a nor b are roots of f".
    - v. By (ii,iv), we have eight cases:

$$(g(c), f'(c_{-}), f'(c_{+})) = (+++), (++-), (+-+), (+--), (-++), (-+-), (--+), (---).$$
(85)

- vi. In every case as x passes through  $c^{*14}$ , the number of sign changes in  $(f_0(x), f_1(x))$ 
  - $-g(c) > 0 \implies$  decreases by 1
  - $-g(c) < 0 \implies$  increases by 1

(We don't have to think of the case of q(c) = 0 because ii)

- (b) i. When i = 1, ..., k.
  - ii.  $g_i(c) = 0$
  - iii. By (5),  $g_{i-1} \perp g_i$  and  $g_i \perp g_{i+1}$ . This means  $g_{i-1}(c) \neq 0$  and  $g_{i+1}(c) \neq 0$ .

<sup>\*14</sup> Immediate left  $c_-$  and right  $c_+$ 

iv.  $g_{i-1}(c)g_{i+1}(c) < 0$ . ①By definition of a sequence,  $g_{i+1} = g_iq_{i+1} - g_{i-1}$ 

$$g_{i+1}(c) = g_i(c)q_{i+1}(c) - g_{i-1}(c) \stackrel{\text{ii}}{=} -g_{i-1}(c).$$
 (86)

- v. The signs of  $(f_{i-1}(x), f_i(x), f_{i+1}(x))$  is (++-), (-++), (+--), (-++).
- vi. The number of sign changes in  $(f_{i-1}(x), f_i(x), f_{i+1}(x))$  does not change passing c.
- 7. By intermediate-value theorem and (6), the sign changes in intervals made by roots of  $g_{\bullet}$ . We can chase the sign changes only by watching roots of  $g_{\bullet}$ , and the way the change happens is (a) or (b) (may happen simultaneously).
- 8.

$$\#\{x \in [a,b]; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) > 0\} - \#\{x \in [a,b]; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) < 0\} = v(f,g;a) - v(f,g;b).$$
 (87)

• (Example of sign change):

$$(+-+-+-+,6) \to (--+-+-+,5)$$
 (88)

$$\rightarrow (-++-+-+,5)$$
 (89)

$$\to (+++-+-+,4). \tag{90}$$

- (TODO): Why "real closed"?
- (Corollary 1.2.10, Strum's Theorem):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f \in R[X]$
  - $-a, b \in R: a < b, f(a) \neq 0, f(b) \neq 0$

then

$$\#\{\text{roots of } f\} = v(f, 1; a) - v(f, 1; b).$$
 (91)

- $\bigcirc$  Apply 1.2.9 with g = 1.
- (Lemma 1.2.11):
  - R : real closed field
  - $-f = a_n X^n + \dots + a_0 \in R[X], a_n \neq 0$
  - $-M = 1 + |a_{n-1}/a_n| + \dots + |a_0/a_n|$

then

- f never vanishes on  $[M, +\infty[$  and its sign is the sign of  $a_n$ .
- f never vanishes on  $]-\infty, -M]$  and its sign is the sign of  $(-1)^n a_n$ .
- © We prove the first one.
  - 1. Let  $x \in R$ ,  $|x| \ge M$ . (Aim:  $f(x) \ne 0$  and  $\operatorname{sign} f(x) = \operatorname{sign} a_n$ )
  - 2. Triangle ineq. holds.

$$\left| \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} x^{-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{a_n} x^{-n} \right|^{\frac{M > 1}{\leq}} (|b_{n-1}| + \dots + |b_0|) M^{-1} < 1.$$
 (92)

3.

$$-1 < \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}x^{-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{a_n}x^{-n} < 1.$$
 (93)

4.

$$0 < 1 + \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} x^{-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{a_n} x^{-n}. \tag{94}$$

5.

$$f(x) = a_n x^n \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} x^{-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{a_n} x^{-n}\right)}_{>0}.$$
 (95)

- (Corollary 1.2.12):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f,g \in R[X]$
  - $-(f_0,\ldots,f_k)$ : the Strum sequence of f and g
  - $-v(f,g;+\infty) = SC(LCf_0,\ldots,LCf_k)$
  - $-v(f,g;-\infty) = \mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{LC}f_0(-X),\ldots,\mathrm{LC}f_k(-X))$

then

$$\#\{x \in R; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) > 0\} - \#\{x \in R; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) < 0\} = v(f, g; -\infty) - v(f, g; +\infty). \tag{96}$$

- ①
  - 1. Let M is larger than all the roots of  $f_0, \ldots, f_k$  are in ]-M, M[. (This is possible because the roots are finite.)
  - 2. By 1.2.11 (the latter),

$$v(f,g,+\infty) = \operatorname{SC}(\operatorname{LC} f_0,\dots,\operatorname{LC} f_k) \stackrel{\text{1.2.11}}{=} \operatorname{SC}(f_0(M),\dots,f_k(M)) = v(f,g,M), \tag{97}$$

$$v(f,g,-\infty) = \operatorname{SC}(\operatorname{LC} f_0(-X),\dots,\operatorname{LC} f_k(-X)) = \operatorname{SC}((-1)^{\operatorname{deg} f_0}\operatorname{LC} f_0,\dots,(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} f_k}\operatorname{LC} f_k) \stackrel{\text{1.2.11}}{=} v(f,g,-M). \tag{98}$$

3. By 1.2.9,

$$\# \{x \in R; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) > 0\} - \# \{x \in R; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) < 0\} = \# \{x \in ]-M, M[; f(x) = 0 \land g(x) > 0\} - \# \{x \in ]-M, M[; f(x) = 0 \land g$$

- (Remark 1.2.13):
  - $f \in R[X]:$  monic, square free, degree n \*15

then f has n roots  $\iff$  the Strum sequence of f and 1 have n+1 length  $((\underbrace{f_0}_{=f},\underbrace{f_1}_{=1\cdot f'=f'},\ldots,f_n))$  and

leading coefficients of  $f_0, \ldots, f_n$  are positive.

- $\odot$   $\Rightarrow$ : By 1.2.12,  $v(f, 1; -\infty) v(f, 1; +\infty) = n$ . Because deg f = n, the length of the Strum sequence is  $\leq n+1$ . So  $0 \leq v(f, 1; -\infty) \leq n$  and  $0 \leq v(f, 1; +\infty) \leq n$ .  $v(f, 1; -\infty)$  must be n and  $v(f, 1; +\infty)$  must be n. The signs of  $(f_0(+\infty), \ldots, f_n(+\infty))^{*16}$  are  $(++\cdots++)$  because f is monic.  $\Leftarrow$ : By the definition of Strum sequences, deg  $f_i = n-i$ . The signs of  $(f_0(+\infty), \ldots, f_n(+\infty)) = (++\cdots++)$ . These two imply  $(f_0(-\infty), \ldots, f_n(-\infty)) = (\cdots \pm \mp)$ .
- (Proposition 1.2.14, Descartes's Lemma):
  - R: real closed field
  - $-f = a_n X^n + \dots + a_k X^k \in R[X] \text{ with } a_n a_k \neq 0$

then

$$\#\{x \in [0, +\infty[; f(x) = 0]\} \le SC(a_n, \dots, a_k).$$
(102)

- ①
  - 1. Think of n = 1.
    - (a) f has the form of  $f = a_1 X + a_0$  or  $f = a_1 X$ .
    - (b) If  $f = a_1 + a_0$ ,

 $<sup>^{*15}</sup>$   $f \perp f',$  or have no multiple roots

<sup>\*16</sup> leading coefficients

- $-a_1 > 0$  and  $a_0 < 0$ : f has one positive root. SC is 1.
- $-a_1 > 0$  and  $a_0 > 0$ : f has no positive roots. SC is 0.
- $-a_1 < 0$  and  $a_0 < 0$ : f has no positive roots. SC is 0.
- $-a_1 < 0$  and  $a_0 > 0$ : f has one positive root. SC is 1.

OK.

- (c) If  $f = a_1 X$ , f has no positive roots (it is zero!) and SC is 0. OK.
- 2. So if n = 1, OK.
- 3. We prove the statement by induction. The base case is already proved in (1-2). We assume the case of n-1.
- 4. We can assume X does not divide f, i.e.  $a_0 \neq 0$ , because we can divide X as many as possible. The division doesn't change the SC nor positive roots. So  $f = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_q X^q + a_0$  where  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $a_q \neq 0$ ,  $a_0 \neq 0$ .
- 5.  $f' = na_n X^{n-1} + \dots + qa_q X^{q-1}$ .
- 6. We can apply the hypothesis of induction,

$$\#\{x \in ]0, +\infty[; f'(x) = 0\} \le SC(a_n, \dots, a_a).$$
 (103)

- 7. Let  $c \in R$  be the smallest positive root of f'. If it does not exist, let  $c = +\infty$ .
- 8. By intervalue theorem,

$$\operatorname{sign} a_q = \underbrace{\operatorname{sign} \left] 0, c \right[}_{\text{intervalue}} \tag{104}$$

- 9.  $f(0) = a_0$ .
- 10. The case f has a root in ]0, c[:
  - (a) Seeing the variation of f,  $a_a a_0 < 0$  is necessary for the case.
  - (b)

$$SC(a_n, ..., a_q) + 1 = SC(a_n, ..., a_q, a_0).$$
 (105)

- (c) By Rolle's theorem, there is exactly one root in ]0, c[.  $\odot$ If any, the property of c in (7) is wrong.
- (d) So by intervalue theorem

$$\# \{ \text{positive roots of } f \} - 1 \le \# \{ \text{positive roots of } f' \}.$$
 (106)

(for a interval of f's roots, there exist at least one root of  $f'^{*17}$ )

(e)

$$\#\{\text{positive roots of } f\} \leq \#\{\text{positive roots of } f'\} + 1$$
 (107)

$$\stackrel{6}{\leq} SC(a_n, \dots, a_q) + 1 \tag{108}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{b}}{=} (SC(a_n, \dots, a_0) - 1) + 1 \tag{109}$$

$$= SC(a_n, \dots, a_0). \tag{110}$$

- Otherwise:
  - (a) By assumption, there are no roots in ]0, c[.
  - (b) So (similar to 10-d)

$$\# \{ \text{positive roots of } f \} \le \# \{ \text{positive roots of } f' \}.$$
 (111)

<sup>\*17</sup> Assume f is not zero.

(c)

$$\# \{ \text{positive roots of } f \} \stackrel{\text{b}}{\leq} \# \{ \text{positive roots of } f' \}$$
 (112)

$$\leq SC(a_n, \dots, a_q) \tag{113}$$

$$\leq SC(a_n, \dots, a_0). \tag{114}$$

$$\leq SC(a_n, \dots, a_0). \tag{114}$$

11. In both cases of (10),

$$\# \{ \text{positive roots of } f \} \le SC(a_n, \dots, a_0).$$
 (115)

## 1.3 Real Closure of an Ordered Field

• (Definition 1.3.1):