Continuing Statistical Inference IV

Comparing Means With Small Sample Size

Why small nchanges things

Dealing with Small Samples

In our previous discussions for comparing two means from a two populations, we have dealt with the situation where the sample size from each population was large.

The reason for this (quick recap):

•
$$\bar{X}_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2/n_1)$$
 (by CLT)

•
$$\bar{X}_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2/n_2)$$
 (by CLT)

•
$$\bar{D} = \bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \sim N\left(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}\right)$$

To get that last line, we made use of this fact:

FACT
The sum or difference of two independent normal random variables will be a normal random variable

We can then use the distribution of D to make probability statements about μ_1 and μ_2 .

Why small *n* changes things

Dealing with Small Samples

However, our ability to make inferential statements are all based in this case on the knowledge that that \bar{D} follows a normal distribution though.

If one or both of the samples has a small sample size, then we have a disruption in the logic above:

- If n_1 is small then
- X_1 is not normal (can not apply central limit theorem) and
- $\bar{D}=\bar{X}_1-\bar{X}_2$ is not normal (because \bar{X}_1 is not normal).

This breaks the key part of inference: we no longer have a probability distribution that connects the values we can calculate from our sample to the actual true parameters of the population.

Why small *n* changes things

Dealing with Small Samples

This is a problem and we can't work our way around it by using the fact that we can connect \bar{X}_1 and μ_1 through a t-distribution:

FACT

The sum or difference of two independent t random variables will not be a t random variable

Ultimately, for small samples sizes the distribution of \bar{D} will depend completely on the distributions of the populations we are studying.

When making inferential statements, we have very different tools/methods/concerns for a feature exponentially distributed across the population vs a feature uniformly distributed across the population.

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance

In some cases, what we know about the populations being compared leads to nice results:

- 1. In both populations, the feature of interest is normally distributed across the populations members.
- 2. The amount of variation in the feature of interest is identical between the populations.

In other words, we are only dealing with the case where:

- 1. Each observation from the first population can be treated as a single value taken from a $N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ distribution
- 2. Each observation from the second population can be treated as a single value taken from a $N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$ distribution

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance

Since for each sample, the sample mean will be made up of observations from a normal distribution we can now say that if \bar{X}_1 is the sample mean of the first population and \bar{X}_2 is the sample mean of the second population, then

- $\bar{X}_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma^2/n_1)$ (sum of indep. normals is normal)
- $\bar{X}_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma^2/n_2)$ (sum of indep. normals is normal)
- $\bar{D} = \bar{X}_1 \bar{X}_2 \sim N\left(\mu_1 \mu_2, \sigma^2/n_1 + \sigma^2/n_2\right)$ (sum of indep. normals is normal)

However, we are only assuming that the variance is the same value (whatever σ^2 actually is). We aren't assuming that we know that value.

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Step 1: Pooling the variance

Since we are assuming that both populations have the same variance, we need to think about how to estimate it.

Dealing with a sample from a single population, we estimate the variance using the sample variance:

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$$

However, if we do this with samples from two populations, we will get two estimates of σ^2 :

- s_1^2 from the first population's sample, and
- s_2^2 from second population's sample.

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Step 1: Pooling the variance (cont)

Should we use both s_1^2 and s_2^2 to estimate σ^2 ?

Conceptually, this is a problem: how can we put together a coherent solution if we are using two estimates of the same value at the same time?

It's not a well optimized use of our data: it can be proven that we get a better estimate of σ^2 by blending these different estimates.

Side note: For two good estimates of the same value, it is generally the case that combining them will produce an even better estimate.

Why small n changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Step 1: Pooling the variance (cont)

This idea of "pooling" the two estimates together leads to the following:

Pooled Sample Variance and Pooled Sample Standard Deviation

For two numerical samples of size n_1 and n_2 respectively, from populations with the same variance σ^2 , using the sample variances s_1^2 and s_2^2 the **pooled sample variance** is defined as

$$s_P^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{(n_1 - 1) + (n_2 - 1)}$$

and the **pooled sample standard deviation** is

$$s_P = \sqrt{s_P^2}$$

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Step 2: Distribution Connecting Data and Parameters

Since the sum of normal random variables is also normal and all of our observations in each sample will just be values taken from a normal distribution, then

$$\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma^2/n_1 + \sigma^2/n_2)$$

which means

$$Z = \frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

We could use this to estimate things if we knew σ -however, we don't know σ .

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Step 2: Distribution Connecting Data and Parameters

In order to "replace" σ with our estimate S_p we divide the equation above by S_p/σ .

But since S_p is a random variable and based on a small sample size, this messes up our distribution (we're dividing the Z from above by a new random variable).

However, we do know a about distribution based on S_p :

$$W = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2}$$

follows a χ -squared distribution with (n_1+n_2-2) degrees of freedom.

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Step 2: Distribution Connecting Data and Parameters

We also know how an important relationship between Z and W:

If Z is a standard normal random variable and W is a χ -squared random variable with ν degrees of freedom then

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/\nu}} \sim t_{\nu}$$

i.e., T follows a t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom.

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Step 2: Distribution Connecting Data and Parameters

Since Z (from slide 10) follows a standard normal and W (from slide 11) following a χ -squared distribution, we can "replace" the unknown σ . Notice that

$$W = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)S_P^2}{\sigma^2} \to \sqrt{\frac{W}{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)}} = \frac{S_p}{\sigma}$$

and we can create a random variable T using the relationship from slide 12:

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{W}{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)}}} = \frac{\frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}}{S_p / \sigma} = \frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{S_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

which will follow a t-distribution with $n_1 + n_2 - 2$ degrees of freedom.

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Step 2: Distribution Connecting Data and Parameters

Notice that the only values in T that we can not calculate once we have data are μ_1 and μ_2 , the parameters we want to compare.

We can now perform hypothesis tests and create confidence intervals for $\mu - \mu_2$ using a t-distribution with $n_1 + n_2 - 2$ degrees of freedom.

Why small *n* changes things

Assuming Normality

Dealing with Small Samples

Special Case: Normal population, same variance (cont)

Hypothesis Test Statistic

$$T = \frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{s_P \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \sim t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

Confidence Intervals

$$\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \pm t \sqrt{S_P^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}$$

where t is a value based on a t-distribution with $n_1 + n_2 - 2$ degrees of freedom.