

name: inverse layout: true class: center, middle, inverse — # STAT 305: Lecture 3 ## Chapter 2: Data Collection .footnote[Course page: ashirazist.github.io/stat305.github.io] — layout: true class: center, middle, inverse — # Quick Recap: Populations and Samples — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ### Making Generalizations] .right-column[## Recap

Making Generalizations

When performing an experiment or gathering data in an observational study, the (main?) goal is to take the information you learn and apply it *outside* of your experiment - i.e., to make *generalizations*. For instance, we may wish to - describe a relationship between two groups when we do not have the time or ability to gather information from each member of the two groups, - use the results of our experiment to predict the outcome of a scenario that has not yet occurred, - explain what part of a process are making the largest contribution to inconsistent results, and so on.

Our ability to make *valid* generalizations heavily depends on the validity of two parts of the study's setup: our **population** and our **sample**.] — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ### Making Generalizations ### Populations] .right-column[## Recap

Populations

def: A **population** is the entire group of objects about which one wishes to gather information in a statistical study.

(*Drawing: population blob*)

Important: A study's population should be *clearly described* - there should be no question about which objects are in the population and which are not. If a study's population is *not clearly described*, then regardless of how well you execute the mechanics of the study, you will be left with the following conclusion: > In conclusion, after performing this study we can safely say that our results can be applied to ???] — layout: true class: center, middle, inverse — # Quick question: ## If our goal is to make statements about a population, why don't we just study the population? — layout: true class: center, middle, inverse — # Quick question: ## If our goal is to make statements about a population, why don't we just study the population? — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ### Making Generalizations ### Populations ### Samples] .right-column[## Recap

Samples

def: A **sample** is the group of objects on which one actually gathers data.

These should[*] be members of the population about which one wishes to gather information in a statistical study.

(*Drawing: population blob + sample out of it*)] .footnote[*Let's ignore the implication of the word *should* for a moment] — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ### Making Generalizations ### Populations ### Samples ### Sampling] .right-column[## Recap ### Getting Samples The purpose of the sample is to be a representation of the population that can actually be studied in depth. Thus, the goal when gathering the sample is to make sure that there is no question that the sample actually does represent the population. A good sampling technique gives your study a undisputable connection between the sample and the population.

The gold standard of sampling methods is **Simple Random Sampling**. Using SRS, every possible sample of the same size has the same likelihood of being the sample used in the study.] — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ### Making Generalizations ### Populations ### Samples ### Sampling] .right-column[## Recap ### Getting Samples However, real-world physical constraints may make simple random essentially impossible. In other words, there are "possible samples" from our population that are more likely to used in

our study than others. The degree to which our study makes using some samples more likely than others is called **bias**.

In this case, we may have to make (or ask others to make) additional assumptions in order to minimize *the impact of the biased sampling* and still connect the sample we have with the population we are interested in.]

— layout:false .left-column[## Recap ### Making Generalizations ### Populations ### Samples ### Sampling] .right-column[## Recap ### Getting Samples **Example:** In a study of lifetime of lightbulbs, we took 100 consecutive lightbulbs off the factory line and measured their effective lifetime. We found that approximately 95% of lightbulbs survived 2,000 hours of strenuous use. We determine that 95% of the lightbulbs produced by our plant will survive 2,000 of strenuous use.

- population:
- sample:
- hidden assumption connecting the sample and the population:
- highly biased?:

]

layout:false .left-column[## Recap ### Making Generalizations ### Populations ### Samples ### Sampling] .right-column[## Recap

Getting Samples

Example: In a study of video games effects on emotions, 200 college students were asked how often they played video games and how often they felt angry. The researches found a strong positive correlation between the number of hours spent playing video games and the number of times the student felt anger. They concluded that video games led to increased anger. - population: - sample: - hidden assumption connecting the sample and the population: - highly biased?:] — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ### Making Generalizations ### Populations ### Samples ### Sampling] .right-column[## Recap

Getting Samples

Example: As part of a study of the health of animals on campus, a field worker set baited traps and captured 200 squirrels. Once captured, a squirrel was measured and weighed, had it's age estimated, and blood was drawn to test for disease. After being held for a day, the squirrel was chipped and returned to the wild. The researchers reported that squirrels on campus were underweight. - population: - sample: - hidden assumption connecting the sample and the population: - highly biased?:] — layout: false name: inverse layout: true class: center, middle, inverse — # Quick Overview of Chapter 2 ## What We Need To Know — # Section 2.1: ## Read Independently — name: inverse layout: true class: center, middle, inverse — # Section 2.2: ## Sampling in Enumerative Studies — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ## Data Collection ### General Principles] .right-column[

Section 2.1: General Principles of Data Collection

- Read on your own

Section 2.2: Data Collection in Enumerative Studies

- Enumerative studies: well defined population and sample taken from that population.
- Most useful way to create the sample: **Simple Random Sampling** - any group of n objects has the same chance of composing the sample as any other group of n objects.
- Suppose we have the alphabet (A, B, C, ..., Z) and wish to use simple random sampling to draw 3 letters. This means that the trio "F, M, Q" and the trio "A, B, C" have the same chance of being the letters that compose our sample.

- “Random” is tough to do correctly on your own. There are a few simple tools, like *random number tables* or *pseudo random number generators*, that help us.] — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ## Data Collection ### General Principles ### Get a SRS] .right-column[## Using Random Numbers to Get a Sample
- These tables are generated randomly - each place on the table is equally likely to be filled by any one of the numbers 0 - 9.
- The tables are created by taking advantage of some process that is physically random - radioactive decay or white noise for instance.
- RANDOM.org for example uses the amount of atmospheric static to generate the numbers.
- To use the randomly generated numbers to get a sample, simply assign a unique value to each item and take the items as they are generated.]

???

Select 3 letters from RANDOM.org using this set up

layout:false .left-column[## Recap ## Data Collection ### General Principles ### Get a SRS] .right-column[

Using a Random Number Table

For a simple random sample of size n from a population of size N ,

0. let (m) be the length in digits of (N) (for instance, if $(N = 1032)$ then $(m = 4)$)
1. assign each item in the population a value between 1 and (N)
2. starting on the top left, box the first (m) digits. If the value is between (1) and (N) then take the item with that value assigned to it as part of your sample. Otherwise, box the next four letters.
3. continue until you have selected (n) items

]

???

Select 3 letters from RANDOM.org using this set up

layout:false .left-column[## Recap ## Data Collection ### General Principles ### Get a SRS ### Ex: SRS tools] .right-column[

Using a Random Number Table

Take a simple random sample of size 3 from a set of 25 microprocessors using Table 2.2:

0. In this case $(m = 2)$, and we are given $(n = 3)$ and $(N = 25)$.
1. Each microprocessor gets given a number from 1 to 25.
2. Begin selecting the items
3. **Result:** select the microprocessors labeled 12, 15, and 05

Using pseudo-random numbers

```
sample(1:25,3) # some R code to get SRS of size 3
```

```
]
```

```
???
```

Select 3 letters from RANDOM.org using this set up

```
name: inverse layout: true class: center, middle, inverse — # Section 2.3 ## Principles for Effective
Experimentation — layout:false .left-column[ ## Recap ## Data Collection ## Exp. Principles ###
Taxonomy] .right-column[
```

The Ideal Experiment Structure

A few terms to help us make sense of the natural complexity of characteristics influencing system performance:

- **Response variable:** the characteristic indicating system performance which is being monitored.
- **Supervised or managed variable:** the characteristics of the system that the investigator can control.
- **Controlled variable:** a supervised variable that is held constant throughout the experiment.
- **Experimental variable:** a supervised variable that is given several different settings during the experiment.] — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ## Data Collection ## Exp. Principles ### Taxonomy] .right-column[

The Ideal Experiment Structure, cont.

- **Blocking variables:** characteristics of the system that can be manipulated to create homogeneous environments within which to compare the effects of the primary experimental variables.
- This is essentially extending the idea of control variables - we just create several environments with different controls.
- How to recognize - the comparisons are not made comparing results from one block to results from another but instead comparing results inside a block.
- **Concomitant variable:** characteristics that are observed but are not managed or responses. Could be influenced by either experimental variables or unobserved causes. May or may not have an influence on the response.

```
]
```

```
layout:false .left-column[ ## Recap ## Data Collection ## Exp. Principles ### Taxonomy ###
Extraneous Vars] .right-column[
```

The Ideal Experiment Structure, cont.

Extraneous Variables

There are lots characteristics that could influence the response but are not of primary interest to the experimenter. For instance,

- The experimenter could be unaware of their importance,

- There may be no way to control them in the experimental setting,
- There may be no way to control them outside of the experimental setting,

However, if we ignore them completely, their effect won't just disappear - it could ruin our experiment.

]

layout:false .left-column[## Recap ## Data Collection ## Exp. Principles ### Taxonomy ### Extraneous Vars] .right-column[

The Ideal Experiment Structure, cont.

There are two common ways to attempt to account for these effects:

1. **Blocking:** Treat the extraneous variables as blocking variables
 2. **Randomization:** assign runs of the experiment to the different levels of the extraneous variables randomly, with the hope that it balances out in the end.
- Ex: Strength of two types of metal bar measured - but both bars are being produced by the same machine.

Common Advice: Block what you can control and randomize the rest (common, not necessarily good though - what can be controlled not universal).] — layout:false .left-column[## Recap ## Data Collection ## Exp. Principles ### Taxonomy ### Extraneous Vars ### Wrap up] .right-column[

The Ideal Experiment Structure, cont.

Comparative study: Need a valid point of reference - so if we want to know if the new is better than the old, you better try to get some comparable data on the old as well.

Repitition: Multiple responses measured from the same conditions.]

???

bar1 bar1 bar1 bar1 bar1 bar2 bar2 bar2 bar2 bar2 bar2

- Ex: Two techniques for treating arm injuries - but left/right could matter
-

Ex: Farmer planting fields with two different crops, interested in yield.

layout:false .left-column[## Recap ## Data Collection ## Exp. Principles ### Taxonomy ### Ch. 2, Ex. 7] .right-column[

The Ideal Experiment Structure, cont.

Discussion: Example 7, pg. 39

- Three types of wood and three types of glue, Dimond and Dix sought to investigate joint strength.
- Issues: *drying time* and *pressure applied* during drying also important; smooth vs. rough wood; wood species have different moisture contents; the experiment is performed over two time periods.

- Approach: all wood/glue combinations dried 90 minutes with same pressure applied, moisture content of wood type measured before gluing.

]

???

How do you measure moisture content? Cut the piece in half, record original weight and dried weight.

managed variables - wood, glue, time, pressure controlled - time, pressure experimental - wood, glue
concomitant - moisture