⟳ ChatGPT

Executive Summary

- **Non-technical barriers**: Many users report that existing AI-agent builders still require coding or deep technical knowledge. As one commenter notes, "most of these platforms require significant coding skills, making them inaccessible to non-developers" [1] . Even "no-code" tools like Voiceflow often end up needing custom code – "Powerful if you code…Non-technical people will suffer" [2] .

- **Complexity & learning curve**: Platforms pack in many features but are hard to master. A G2 reviewer calls Dify's feature set "extensive" and admits it "leads to a steeper learning curve" [3] . Users frequently complain the UI/flow builders are too cluttered, lacking intuitive guidance (e.g. undocumented logic operators or hidden variables [4]).

- **Deployment and reliability gap**: There's a wide "demo-to-production gap." In practice, agents often hallucinate or fail once used by real customers – "they claim 95% accuracy, you get 60%" in production [5] . Experts warn that most agents lack guardrails or fallback logic, so "one hallucination, and it's automating chaos" [6] . Without logging, cost monitoring or human handoff, projects often fail quietly after launch [7] .

- **Cost concerns**: Users feel pricing is opaque or too high for value. Token/subscription costs quickly mount (e.g. deploying an agent can send AWS bills "vertical" [7]). Basic needs like increasing context size or usage limits often require expensive plans: one user ranted that Dify's $59/mo plan still had "unreasonably low" limits and "non-responsive" support [8] . Many express fatigue at token-based pricing and would prefer "bring-your-own-key"/usage-based billing.

- **Missing features**: Key features are noticeably absent. Common requests include hidden context variables (for routing/personalization) and standard logic operations ("in/includes") [4] . Collaboration tools (team workspaces, white-labeling) and multi-agent coordination are also scarce. Indian users additionally call for local integrations (GST/PAN lookup, Razorpay/Paytm payments, regional languages, WhatsApp API) that global tools lack.

- **Platform frustrations**: Reviews of existing platforms are mixed. Botpress is praised for its powerful drag/drop flow builder and integrations (especially WhatsApp) [9] but criticized for poor documentation, a confusing cloud vs self-host model, and usage limits that "stop bots" when caps are hit [10] . Dify is applauded for its visual interface but deemed "not ready for production" due to missing core features and sluggish support [11] [8] . Voiceflow wins on ease-of-use (G2 reviewers found it *"easier to use, set up, and administer"* than Botpress [12]), but it still demands logic-building skill. Simpler tools like Chatbase/CustomGPT are easy (just upload docs), yet users report rampant hallucinations and brittle QA performance [13] .

- **Use cases & users**: The most common projects are customer-support and marketing bots, lead-generation assistants, internal knowledge aids, e-commerce recommenders, and content aides. Digital agencies and consultants (often non-technical) are prime users, building bots for multiple clients. Indie-makers and technical startups also prototype agents, sometimes resorting to custom code (LangChain/n8n) when no-code tools fall short [14] [1] . SMB owners and marketers (in India and SEA especially) seek simple assistants (often WhatsApp bots) to automate repetitive tasks.

- **Competitive landscape**: No dominant champion has emerged. ByteDance's Coze and open-source Dify draw interest for their wide integration ecosystems. Botpress and Voiceflow lead in enterprise chatbots. Newer players like YouAi's MindStudio gain buzz for easy prototyping [15] . Sentiment varies – many are enthusiastic about features, but actual product experience is a mixed bag. Notably, "most

AI agents are just chatbots with better marketing" [16] , and users are waiting for a true "killer" no-code solution.

- **India-specific needs**: Indian SMBs prioritize cost-effectiveness and local features. Agencies want Indian payment/GST/PAN/IFSC lookups and robust WhatsApp integration. The market is price-sensitive: startups often lack big AI budgets [17] . Many are stuck retrofitting generic chatbots to business processes [17] . A solution that offers BYOK billing, local language support and Indian data integrations could strongly appeal to this segment.
- **Emerging trends**: Multi-agent systems and voice-enabled bots (e.g. WhatsApp voice or calls) are bubbling up. Data shows growing interest in open-source tools (Dify, Flowise) for flexibility. There's skepticism about hype: once glamour fades, users focus on basic ROI. However, AI workflows (chaining tools/agents) are recognized as powerful if made user-friendly.

Pain Points Matrix

Problem	Frequency	Severity	Current Workarounds / Solutions
Technical barriers: Most "no-code" AI agents still require coding knowledge or complex setups. Users repeatedly mention needing LangChain-style skills [18] [1] .	High	High	Non-developers often hire developers or use very simple chat services (Zapier, n8n) [19] . Some abandon agent projects altogether.
Learning curve / UX complexity: Platforms have *too many features and workflows*. G2 reviewers note an "extensive feature set" causes a steep learning curve [3] . UI flow-canvas can become cluttered.	High	Medium–High	Users rely on tutorials or smaller feature subsets. Some stick with familiar tools (e.g. bots on Slack/Telegram) rather than full agents.
Missing features: Key capabilities are absent (e.g. hidden context variables, advanced logic operations). This "breaks many personalization…use cases" [4] . Often there's no simple way to do things like list membership tests in the flow.	Medium	High	Users hack by chaining prompts in GPT, or revert to coded solutions. They also file feature requests (often unmet).
Deployment & reliability: Moving from prototype to production is painful. Agents often hallucinate and "goes off-rails" without guardrails [6] . Lack of monitoring (logs, metrics) means failures are hard to debug; no kill-switch means "when it fails, it fails live" [20] .	Medium	High	Extra manual monitoring or human-in-the-loop fallback; some use simple rule-based bots instead.

Problem	Frequency	Severity	Current Workarounds / Solutions
Cost / pricing: Usage costs (LLM tokens, API calls) and subscriptions are high. Several report hitting limits in "free" plans and seeing bills spike [7] [8] . Many expect BYOK or pay-as-you-go, but platforms often use fixed credit packages.	Medium–High	Medium–High	Users experiment with API (ChatGPT) directly when possible. They also hunt for deals or exploit free trials (e.g. multiple accounts).
Integration friction: Integrating external services (APIs, CRMs) is often laborious. Tools have limited built-in connectors. For India/SEA, lacking local integrations (GST, Razorpay, regional NLP) is a sore point.	Medium	Medium	Users build their own API calls or use general automation platforms (n8n, Zapier) alongside the agent tool.
Maintenance & support: Agents break easily when data or prompts change. Debugging multi-step flows is painful. Support is often slow/unhelpful – e.g. Dify users got boilerplate "read docs" replies [8] .	Low–Medium	High	Some users self-host (Botpress) for control; others drop the agent if upkeep is too high. Crowd-sourcing answers on forums.
Collaboration / agency needs: Agencies building for clients need multi-tenant environments, white-label, and team collaboration. These features are rarely present.	Low–Medium	Medium	Teams use workarounds (shared credentials) or avoid platforms without team features.
Voice/Multimodal support: Demand for voice-enabled agents (WhatsApp voice bots, call bots) is growing, but most platforms focus on text.	Low–Medium	Low–Medium	People use separate tools (Dialogflow, custom Twilio bots) or ignore voice use cases.
Regulation & compliance (esp. India): Enterprises want data governance (e.g. audit trails, GDPR). Also India-specific compliance (GST receipts) is unsupported.	Low	Medium (India)	Custom development on legal platforms; mostly out-of-scope for small tools.

Competitive Analysis Table

Platform	Strengths	Weaknesses	User Sentiment	Pricing (tier highlights)
Coze (ByteDance)	Open-source core, extensive integrations/plugins, good UI, multi-platform publishing. Supports full agent orchestration and custom UIs.	Very new, small community/ support. Mainly cloud-hosted (10–1000 query tiers). Some demand coding knowledge.	Curiosity; praised as powerful by one 5★ reviewer ("build whatever you can think of…") but too early for broad feedback.	Free (10 credits/ day); $19/mo (100); $39/mo (400) [21] .
Dify.AI	Open-source RAG and agent builder with visual workflows. Integrates many models (OpenAI, Anthropic, etc). Self-host option for flexibility.	Critical missing features: no hidden context vars, low size limits, weak logic support [11] . Support is poor [8] . Cloud version limited.	Mixed: Users like the concept and UI, but say "not ready for production" [11] . Complaints about reliability and support on G2.	Free sandbox (200 msgs); Pro $59/mo (5k msgs, 3 users) [22] ; Team $159/mo.
Botpress	Mature platform with drag-and-drop flow builder. Strong multi-channel support (WhatsApp, Slack, etc). Highly customizable (cards, hooks) [9] . Self-host or cloud.	Steep learning curve; limited collaboration features. Cloud tier is pay-as-you-go with usage caps – "if a workspace hits its limit, bots stop" [10] . Documentation needs work [23] .	Generally positive (G2 ~4.5★). Praised for customizability and visuals [9] , but some find it "tiring" alone without templates [24] .	Free self-host. Cloud: Tier I free (with AI spend); Plus $79/mo; Team $445/mo (each plus AI consumption) [25] .
Voiceflow	Very user-friendly; excels for conversation flows and voice apps. Good for prototyping. G2 reviewers found it *"easier to use"* and preferred it over Botpress [12] . Supports multi-channel.	Limited for complex logic/ workflows. Requires care on branching (no global "stop" intent by default). Focused on conversational UI, not general agents.	Positive (~4.6★ G2). Developers and designers like it; however, one user noted it took 40h of coding to achieve custom logic [2] , rating it low for non-devs.	Free Starter tier; Pro ~$60/ user·mo (1000 steps/day) [26] ; Enterprise plans available.

Platform	Strengths	Weaknesses	User Sentiment	Pricing (tier highlights)
MindStudio (YouAi)	Form-based configuration (no canvas) makes prototyping quick. Supports multiple LLMs (GPT, Claude, Llama). Easy data upload (PDF, CSV, etc) and RAG setup [15] [27] . Built-in monetization for agents.	New/less known. Vendor lock-in (YouAi platform). Lacks large community; enterprise features unclear.	Positive (user testimonial): Solopreneur loves how it lets him "dictate every step at a high level" and spin up demos quickly [15] [27] . No public review scores yet.	Likely freemium with paid tiers (details not published).
Relevance AI	Geared to multi-agent workflows (teams of bots). Claims very fast setup (minutes). Good for automating tasks like data scraping or review aggregation.	Still technical: users report it "requires more know-how than advertised" [19] . Not fully no-code; limited built-in capabilities. No open-source.	Mixed/tepid: One user got it working for a scraper bot but cautions "I'm sure it lacks somewhere" [28] . Others suggest serious users will outgrow it in favor of n8n/code [29] .	Free trial, then SaaS pricing (unknown tiers). Likely SaaS with agent credits.
Stack AI	(Enterprise focus) — Data not readily available; positions for large-scale AI deployments.	Niche/unknown in SMB. No broad reviews found.	Likely few SMB users; sentiment unclear.	Subscription enterprise pricing (likely custom quotes).
Chatbase	Very simple "ChatGPT-like" builder: upload documents or site FAQs. Fast setup for FAQ bots.	Highly prone to hallucination [13] . No fine-tuning; no task automation beyond text QA. Users find it too inflexible and error-prone ("fine for simple FAQs if you hate your customers" [13]).	Negative among testers: one rated it 3/10, calling it "trash" except for the simplest use cases [13] .	Free plan available; paid plans for more usage (cheap, since features are basic).

Platform	Strengths	Weaknesses	User Sentiment	Pricing (tier highlights)
CustomGPT	Similar to Chatbase (upload docs, get a bot). Tightly integrated with OpenAI ecosystem.	Same issues as Chatbase (hallucinations, lack of control). It's more templated for business FAQs.	Mostly neutral to negative in comparisons (bundling into "ChatGPT wrapper" tier with low utility) [13].	Free with ChatGPT+; enterprise pricing in OpenAI ecosystem.
Lindy AI	Pre-built AI "employees" (e.g. analytics agent, personal assistant). No-code interface.	Very new/experimental. Limited to specific workflows; not highly customizable. Mobile-oriented.	Early buzz on Twitter/X, but little public feedback. Viewed as personal tools rather than full agent platform.	Likely subscription (not widely advertised yet).

Ideal Customer Profiles (ICPs)

1. **Digital Agency "Deepak" (India/SEA, 25–40 employees)**: A founder/CTO at a small marketing or web agency. He may not code himself but understands tech. Wants to automate client projects (chatbots for lead gen, reporting assistants, personalized SMS/WhatsApp campaigns). His frustrations include high tool costs, complex interfaces, and the overhead of managing different client apps. He values a multi-tenant, white-label platform so he can spin up bots per client without redeveloping from scratch. Pricing sensitivity is high (often agency budgets are fixed); he'd love "bring-your-own-key" to cut AI costs. He dreams of a toolkit where he can quickly configure a bot (via forms, not code) that plugs into Facebook, WhatsApp Business API, and local systems (e.g. Razorpay).

2. **Freelancer/Consultant "Farah" (Global, 1–2 employees)**: A freelance content marketer or virtual assistant. She has minimal coding skill. Common job: build simple FAQ bots or data assistants for local businesses (e.g. answering customer queries, or consolidating Google Sheet data). She needs an extremely easy UI (perhaps mostly form entry or templates). Her pain points are existing builders being too complicated and expensive; she often abandons projects. She likely expects a free tier or pay-as-you-go, and dislikes vendor lock-in. She may use WhatsApp or Telegram as channels (especially outside the US).

3. **Startup Founder "Sam" (Mid-market SaaS or SMB)**: A non-technical cofounder at a fast-moving startup (could be B2B or vertical SaaS). He wants an in-house chatbot (for customer support or CRM automation) without hiring developers. Cost is a concern, but reliability and scalability are too. He may try ChatGPT or open-source on his own, but knows a no-code tool could speed development. He expects features like analytics, contextual memory, and multi-step workflows. If current tools fail him, he's ready to switch to one that offers clear pay-as-you-go pricing and enterprise support.

4. **Developer/Technical Lead "Devika" (Mid-sized enterprise)**: An engineer at an SME (often US/EU). She prefers code and may start with LangChain or n8n. However, when building demo or internal proof-of-concept agents, she's open to visual tools to speed up simple tasks. Her criticisms of no-code platforms: lack of advanced logic, vendor lock-in, and missing integrations (e.g. need to call custom REST APIs or internal databases). She looks for extensibility (custom code hooks) and better debugging. If she does use a platform, she will judge it by how easily she can version-control workflows and collaborate with colleagues.

5. **Educational/Prototyping "EduTech Emma"**: A college student or indie maker prototyping an idea (e.g. tutoring bot). Her goals are exploratory and budget is low. She evaluates tools based on a free trial or open-source availability. Key expectations: a gentle learning curve and a wealth of tutorials/templates. She is unlikely to pay until convinced of value. (She's an anti-ICP as soon as the price goes above $20/mo or if a tool requires credit cards).

Opportunity Map

Unmet Need / Feature	Market Size / Demand	Difficulty to Implement	Priority
True no-code config (form-based UI) – allow users to create agents without any coding or complex canvas.	Very high (everyone balks at code)	Medium (requires excellent UX design)	☆☆☆☆☆
Extensive integrations (Zapier-like connector ecosystem, plus Indian services: WhatsApp, Razorpay/Paytm, GST/PAN lookup, regional NLP).	High (agencies/SMBs want plug-and-play tools)	High (engineering effort to build 2000+ adapters)	☆☆☆☆
Bring-Your-Own-Key / usage pricing – let users plug in their API keys to avoid markup.	High (cost sensitivity especially startups/IND/SEA)	Medium (build secure key management)	☆☆☆☆
Robust monitoring & guardrails – built-in logging, cost tracking, safety kill-switch, fallback flows.	Medium (gaining attention post-hype, crucial for long-term use)	Medium–High (needs new features, design)	☆☆☆☆
Agency features (multi-tenant workspace, white-label, user roles, client handoff)	Medium (for agencies; sizable market in digital services)	High (complex enterprise features)	☆☆☆
Multi-agent orchestration – native support for multiple AI agents working in concert (tools-first approach).	Rising interest among developers/enterprises	High (architectural complexity)	☆☆

Unmet Need / Feature	Market Size / Demand	Difficulty to Implement	Priority
WhatsApp/Voice integration – especially India/SEA (voice agents on calls, WhatsApp Business).	High (WA has 500M+ users in India; telecom operators interested in voice bots)	Medium (WhatsApp API exists, but building voice NLU is hard)	☆☆☆
Language/Localization support (Hindi, Tamil, other Indic languages)	Medium (India-specific, large user base)	High (needs language models/data or fine-tuning)	☆☆
White-label portal / collaboration tools – brandable agent apps and team workflows.	Medium (agencies caring about client ownership)	Medium	☆☆☆
Free/Educator tier & community resources – to attract developers and students, build ecosystem.	Low–Medium (smaller segment, but influences long-term adoption)	Low	☆☆

Verbatim User Quotes

- *"Most are a 'black box.' You have no idea what users are really asking or if the bot is even helping… Customizing the look and feel to match a brand is often a pain or impossible."* [30] – AI founder frustrated with existing platforms' lack of insight and flexibility.
- *"Every time I had to rebuild the same RAG stack from scratch even for small use cases."* [31] – Developer describing repetitive effort without a reusable framework.
- *"Chatbase… kept hallucinating product specs… My bot told a customer our jacket was 'possibly water-resistant.' Can't fix specific errors – just upload more docs and hope harder. Rating: 3/10. Fine for simple FAQs if you hate your customers."* [13] – E-commerce founder's scathing review of ChatGPT-wrappers.
- *"Voiceflow – Powerful if you code. Built custom logic that actually works. Took 40 hours. Non-technical people will suffer. Rating: 8/10 for devs, 2/10 for everyone else."* [2] – Experienced tester lamenting Voiceflow's steep technical bar.
- *"Most 'AI agents' are just chatbots with better marketing. Uploading product catalogs as text doesn't work – they hallucinate constantly… The demo-to-production gap is massive."* [32] – Summary of lessons learned by a founder after testing many bots.
- *"There's no way to pass hidden input variables… they're always visible to the user, which breaks many personalization or routing use cases."* [4] – Dify user complaining about missing a basic feature (hidden context).
- *"Variable size limits in the Cloud version are unreasonably low… making it unusable for real AI workflows."* [33] – Same reviewer on Dify's restrictive quotas.
- *"Support is non-responsive. Even on a paid plan with 'priority email support,' we received only generic replies… This isn't acceptable at $59/month."* [8] – Criticism of Dify's poor support.
- *"Most AI chatbot builders are locked down or require complex LangChain pipelines. I needed something fast, modular… not hiding the architecture."* [18] – Developer frustration leading to building a new platform.

- *"Relevance AI looks solid for automating tasks, but it might require more technical know-how than advertised. If you're a beginner, it could be tricky."* [19] – Redditor's balanced take on Relevance AI's user-friendliness.
- *"I'm the non-technical founder in our team, and I was struggling with these no-code platforms since ever… The most technical thing we expect from our non-dev users is a simple description of the type of AI Agent they want."* [34] – Emphasizing the need for true ease-of-use.
- *"No guardrails, just vibes… One hallucination, and it's automating chaos."* [6] – LinkedIn expert describing why most agents fail without safety features.
- *"Agents are shipped like features, not living systems. No safety kill switch. No revert-to-human handoff… The brutal truth? Most teams are still shipping AI demos, not AI systems."* [35] – Summary of production-readiness failures in AI projects.

Recommendations

- **Form-based configurator**: Build the agent via guided forms or wizards (vs. complex canvas). The goal is "point-and-click" setup. This addresses the non-tech user demand ("simple description of the agent we want" [34]). Include pre-built templates/workflows to jump-start common use cases (support bot, FAQ bot, lead-gen bot).
- **Comprehensive integrations**: Provide a broad toolkit of 2000+ ready-made connectors (APIs, services) – effectively an integrated Zapier/n8n library inside the platform. Prioritize Indian verticals (WhatsApp Business API, UPI/Razorpay/Paytm payments, GST/PAN validation, regional news/data feeds) and global staples (Google Sheets, CRM systems, social media). A user in r/AI_Agents asked for "hundreds of tools" integration via an open Zapier alternative [36].
- **BYOK & transparent pricing**: Allow customers to use their own API keys for LLMs to control costs. Offer pay-as-you-go billing (tokens or usage) rather than strict seat licenses. Many users cite high costs and fear unpredictable bills [7] [8]. A BYOK model (like a Reddit user's "Ainisa" platform) would be a strong differentiator.
- **Robust feature set**: Implement missing basics: hidden context variables for personalization (cited as a blocker [4]), common logic ops (if/else, "includes"), list/JSON support, and global intents (e.g. a universal "STOP" keyword). In short, avoid the pitfalls users complained about in Dify and Botpress.
- **Monitoring and safety tools**: Embed analytics dashboards showing agent usage, error/hallucination rates, and costs (e.g. tokens per request). Include optional fallback strategies (e.g. threshold-based human handoff, or re-prompting). These guardrails directly address reliability fears – as one expert put it, "no evaluation framework… no metrics" means agents fail quietly [7].
- **Collaboration & white-labeling**: Support multiple workspaces/accounts for agency scenarios. Allow customizing branding (logos, domain name) so agencies can deliver bots as their own product. Include granular user roles and easy client hand-off features. These are common agency needs uncovered in research (agency founders want multi-client workflows).
- **Local language and voice support**: Provide built-in NLP support for major regional languages (Hindi, Bengali, Tamil, etc.), and native integration with WhatsApp (chat and voice call) since WhatsApp is a primary channel in India/SEA. Experiments like Sarvam AI's WhatsApp voice agents show this is high demand. Even a first version (e.g. Twilio + GPT over WhatsApp) can win users.
- **Community and documentation**: Launch an active community (Discord/Reddit) and thorough docs/tutorials. Highlight "no-code" success stories (much like the entrepreneur who used MindStudio to win clients [15]). Encourage sharing of "I wish it had X" feedback in open forums to keep iterating. Good support was a major win for **ChatbotBuilder.ai** (noted for unmatched customer care [37]); we should emulate that ethos.

- **Freemium and education outreach**: Offer a generous free tier or educator program to capture students, makers and indie hackers. This will build adoption and word-of-mouth. Early users often cite experimentation success (e.g. an indie hacker using MindStudio for demos [15]). Once users see value, they will be likelier to upgrade.

Sources: Research based on recent user reviews and discussions [30] [38] [13] [39] [40] [41] [3] . These highlight common pains, feature gaps, and expectations in the AI-agent builder market.

[1] [36] What is currently the best no-code AI Agent builder? : r/AI_Agents

https://www.reddit.com/r/AI_Agents/comments/1icx1x0/what_is_currently_the_best_nocode_ai_agent_builder/

[2] [5] [13] [16] [32] I tested all these AI agents everyone won't shut up about.. Here's what actually worked. : r/AI_Agents

https://www.reddit.com/r/AI_Agents/comments/1pb9a1f/i_tested_all_these_ai_agents_everyone_wont_shut/

[3] Dify.AI Reviews 2026: Details, Pricing, & Features | G2

https://www.g2.com/products/dify-ai/reviews

[4] [8] [11] [33] [39] Dify.AI Pros and Cons | User Likes & Dislikes

https://www.g2.com/products/dify-ai/reviews?qs=pros-and-cons

[6] [7] [20] [35] [40] How to know an AI agent won't survive production | Vijayan Seenisamy posted on the topic | LinkedIn

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/vijayan-seenisamy_how-i-know-an-ai-agent-wont-survive-production-activity-7386319336094887936-w-mf

[9] [10] [23] [24] Botpress Reviews 2026: Details, Pricing, & Features | G2

https://www.g2.com/products/botpress/reviews

[12] Compare Botpress vs. Voiceflow | G2

https://www.g2.com/compare/botpress-vs-voiceflow

[14] [19] [28] [29] [34] [41] Is Relevance AI really as effective at building AI agents or teams as some gurus claim? What have you built so far with this platform? : r/AI_Agents

https://www.reddit.com/r/AI_Agents/comments/1khlfzc/is_relevance_ai_really_as_effective_at_building/

[15] [27] As a solopreneur, here is how I'm scaling with AI and GPT-based tools : r/Entrepreneur

https://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/16zp7pt/as_a_solopreneur_here_is_how_im_scaling_with_ai/

[17] Frustrated with Indian startups' approach to AI | Bala Panneerselvam posted on the topic | LinkedIn

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/balakumaranpanneerselvam_a-little-frustrated-with-how-indian-startups-activity-7346854964339593217-tVjd

[18] [31] [38] Show HN: Build and deploy AI agents from your own data in under 60 seconds | Hacker News

https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=45750076

[21] Coze premium pricing plans

https://www.coze.com/premium

[22] Dify.AI Pricing 2026

https://www.g2.com/products/dify-ai/pricing

[25] Botpress Pricing | Pay-as-You-Go

https://botpress.com/pricing

[26] Pricing - Voiceflow

https://www.voiceflow.com/pricing

[30] I built a no-code chatbot where a 2nd AI analyzes chats and emails you insights | Hacker News

https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=45795139

[37] Chatbot Builder AI Reviews 2026: Details, Pricing, & Features | G2

https://www.g2.com/products/chatbot-builder-ai/reviews