

# A Study of $H^p$ spaces and Inner Outer Factorization of functions in $H^p$ spaces

A Dissertation Submitted  
in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements  
for the Degree of

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
in  
**MATHEMATICS**

*by*

**Ashish Kujur**  
(Roll No. MSC21304)



*to*  
**SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS**  
**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND**  
**RESEARCH**  
**THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 551, INDIA**

*May 13, 2023*



## DECLARATION

I, **Ashish Kujur (Roll No: MSC21304)**, hereby declare that, this report entitled “**A Study of  $H^p$  spaces and Inner Outer Factorization of functions in  $H^p$  spaces**” submitted to Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram towards the partial requirement of Master of Science in School of Mathematics, contains a literature survey of the work done by several experts in this area. This project was carried out by me under the supervision of **Dr. Md. Ramiz Reza**. To the best of my knowledge this work has not formed the basis for the award of any degree or diploma, in this or any other institution or university. I further declare that most of the results included in this thesis are based on the books such as [Hof07], [Mas09], [Koo98] and [ABW13] mentioned in the bibliographical references. No new results have been created in this thesis. I have not misrepresented or fabricated or falsified any idea/data/fact/source in my submission. I have sincerely tried to uphold academic ethics and honesty by adequately citing and referencing the original sources.

Thiruvananthapuram - 695 551  
May 13, 2023

**Ashish Kujur**

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the work contained in this project report entitled “**A Study of  $H^p$  spaces and Inner Outer Factorization of functions in  $H^p$  spaces**” submitted by **ASHISH KUJUR** (Roll No: **MSC21304**) to Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram towards the partial requirement of **Master of Science in Mathematics** has been carried out by him under my supervision and that it has not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any degree.

Thiruvananthapuram - 695 551  
May 13, 2023

Dr. Md Ramiz Reza  
Project Supervisor

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank everyone who helped me see this project through to completion. I would like to first express my profound gratitude and deep regard to Dr. Md Ramiz Reza, IISER Thiruvananthapuram and sincerely wish to acknowledge his vision, guidance, valuable feedback and constant support throughout the duration of this project. I am grateful to him for his utmost patience even when I asked trivial questions. Earnest discussions with him made me more interested in mathematical analysis.

I am thankful to Pouchungong Gangmei, Suman Mondal, Nirmal Rawat, Bharath Krishna S and Joel Sleeba for all the discussions that we had for my project and theirs. I would like to thank all my MSc and IPhD batchmates for keeping me mentally sane with their jokes. I thank my family members who loved me thick and thin and at my worst. I would also like to thank the Mathematics Stackexchange community for helping me out in my project and mathematics in general since 2017.

Finally, I would like to thank Indian Institute of Science Education and Research for the necessary resources to complete the project to the best of my ability.

Thiruvananthapuram - 695 551

May 13, 2023

**Ashish Kujur**

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Analytic and Harmonic Functions</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The Poisson Kernel . . . . .	2
1.2	Boundary Values . . . . .	3
1.2.1	The specific case of Poisson Integral . . . . .	5
1.3	Fatou's Theorem . . . . .	6
1.4	Hardy Spaces – $H^p$ spaces . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>The space <math>H^1</math></b>	<b>12</b>
2.1	The Helson-Lowdenslager Approach . . . . .	13
2.2	Szegö's Theorem . . . . .	28
2.3	Completing the Discussion of $H^1$ . . . . .	32
<b>3</b>	<b>Factorization for <math>H^p</math> Functions</b>	<b>34</b>
3.1	Inner and Outer Functions . . . . .	34
3.1.1	Characterising Outer Functions . . . . .	36
3.2	Infinite Products, Blaschke Products & Singular Functions . . . . .	38
3.2.1	Final Description of the Factorization . . . . .	44
3.3	Two theorems due to Hardy . . . . .	46
	<b>References</b>	<b>50</b>

# Chapter 1

## Analytic and Harmonic Functions

Let us begin by fixing the notation for the rest of the report. The *open unit disc* will be denoted by  $\mathbb{D}$  and is defined to the subset of the complex plane of those elements whose absolute value is less than 1. That is,

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

The boundary of the open unit disc, called, the *unit circle* is denotes by  $\mathbb{T}$ . That is,

$$\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}.$$

We will assume that the the unit circle has the normalised Lebesgue measure. The set of all complex measures denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  is a Banach space with total variation norm. For a proof of this fact, we advise the reader to refer [Coh13], [Ax120] and [Rud87].

As usual,  $\Omega$  will denote an open connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will say that a function  $U : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is *harmonic* on  $\Omega$  if it satisfies the Laplace equation

$$\nabla^2 U = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

for each point in  $\Omega$ .

Before we proceed, recall a basic fact from complex analysis which states that a real valued function on  $\mathbb{D}$  is harmonic iff it is the real part an analytic function.

## 1.1 The Poisson Kernel

The famous Dirchlet problem asks the following:

Given  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $f : \partial G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f : \partial G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Find a continuous function  $u : \overline{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $u|_G$  is harmonic and  $u|_{\partial G} = f$ .

To solve the above problem, we make the following definition:

**Definition 1.1.1.** For each  $0 \leq r < 1$ , we define  $P_r : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$P_r(e^{it}) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos t}$$

for each  $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$ . The family of functions  $\{P_r\}_{r \in [0,1)}$  is called the *Poisson kernel* on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ .

It can be shown that that for each  $r < 1$ , we have that

$$P_r(e^{it}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} e^{int}$$

holds for each  $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$ .

We will soon see that if  $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ , that is, continuous on  $\mathbb{T}$ , the so called "Poisson integral" of  $u$  solves the Dirichlet problem. We postpone this work to the next section. However the following proposition may lead the reader to believe why this may be true:

**Proposition 1.1.2.** *Let  $u : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a harmonic function, this means, that  $u$  is harmonic in an open subset containing  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Then we have that*

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{it}) P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) dt \quad (1.1.1)$$



Albeit the proof of this proposition is not too hard, we ask the reader to seek a proof of this fact in [Hof07].

## 1.2 Boundary Values

The Poisson kernel is an "approximate identity". We just deal with the approximate identities on the circle:

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $\varphi_i$  be a subset of  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  where  $i$  varies over a directed set. We say that  $\{\varphi_i\}$  is an approximate identity on  $\mathbb{T}$  if the following are satisfied:

1. for all  $i$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi_i(e^{it}) dt = 1$$

- 2.

$$C_\varphi = \sup_i \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi_i(e^{it})| dt \right) \leq \infty$$

3. for each fixed  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < \pi$ ,

$$\lim_i \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi} |\varphi_i(e^{it})| dt = 0.$$

A family of approximate identity on  $\mathbb{T}$  is called *positive approximate identity* if all the function are positively valued, that is,

$$\varphi_i(e^{it}) \geq 0$$

for each  $i$ .

As mentioned, the Poisson kernel is an example of approximate identity. The other well known approximate identity is Fejer's kernel on  $\mathbb{T}$  which is essential in theory of convergence of Fourier series on  $\mathbb{T}$ . We refer the reader to [Kat04].

The aforementioned theorems are essential to the studying the interaction of  $\mathbb{D}$  and the  $\mathbb{T}$ . We ask the reader to see [Mas09] for proofs for the sake of brevity.

Although these theorems are done more generally, we really only care about the special case of the Poisson kernel.

**Theorem 1.2.2** (Weak\* convergence of measures). *Let  $\{\varphi_i\}_i$  be an approximate identity on  $\mathbb{T}$  and let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ . Then for all  $i$ ,  $\varphi_i * \mu \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  with*

$$\|\varphi_i * \mu\|_1 \leq C_\varphi \|\mu\|$$

and

$$\|\mu\| \leq \sup_i \|\varphi_i * \mu\|_1.$$

Moreover, the measures  $d\mu_i = (\varphi_i * \mu)(e^{it}) dt / 2\pi$  converge to  $d\mu(e^{it})$  in the weak\* topology, i.e.

$$\lim_i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) (\varphi_i * \mu)(e^{it}) dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(e^{it}) d\mu(e^{it})$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Theorem 1.2.3** (Convergence in norm). *Let  $\{\varphi_i\}_i$  be an approximate identity on  $\mathbb{T}$  and let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$  with  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Then for all  $i$ ,  $\varphi_i * f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$  with*

$$\|\varphi_i * f\|_p \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p$$

and

$$\lim_i \|\varphi_i * f - f\|_p = 0.$$

**Theorem 1.2.4** (Weak\* convergence of bounded functions). *Let  $\{\varphi_i\}_i$  be an approximate identity on  $\mathbb{T}$  and let  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Then for all  $i$ ,  $\varphi_i * \mu \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$  with*

$$\|\varphi_i * \mu\|_\infty \leq C_\varphi \|\mu\|_\infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_{+\infty} \leq \sup_i \|\varphi_i * f\|_\infty.$$

Moreover,  $\varphi_i * f$  converge to  $f$  in the weak\* topology, i.e.

$$\lim_i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(e^{it}) (\varphi_i * f)(e^{it}) dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(e^{it}) f(e^{it}) dt$$

for all  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ .

### 1.2.1 The specific case of Poisson Integral

**Definition 1.2.5** (Poisson integral of some function or measure). Let  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a harmonic function. Then  $\tilde{f}$  is said to be the *Poisson integral* of the function  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  if

$$\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{it}) P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) dt$$

In such a case, we will denote the function  $\tilde{f}$  by  $P[f]$ . Similarly,  $f$  is said to be the *Poisson integral* of a complex measure  $\mu$  on  $T$  if

$$\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) d\mu(e^{it})$$

In such a case, we will denote the function  $\tilde{f}$  by  $P[\mu]$ .

This follows immediately by noticing that the family of Poisson kernel is indeed an approximate identity.

**Theorem 1.2.6.** Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a harmonic function. Define for each  $r \in [0, 1)$ , the function  $f_r : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f_r(e^{i\theta}) = f(re^{i\theta})$$

The following statements holds:

1. If  $1 < p \leq \infty$  then  $f = P[g]$  for some  $g \in L^p[\mathbb{T}]$  iff for each  $r > 0$ ,  $\|f_r\|_p < +\infty$ .
2. If  $p=1$  then  $f = P[g]$  for some  $g \in L^1[\mathbb{T}]$  iff  $f_r$  converge in the  $L^1$  norm.
3.  $f = P[\mu]$  for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  iff for each  $r > 0$ ,  $\|f_r\|_1 < +\infty$

### 1.3 Fatou's Theorem

The next theorem requires the reader to know what derivative of measures are. The reader can get review of these concepts in [Rud87] and [Coh13].

**Theorem 1.3.1** (Fatou (1905)). *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , and let  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the harmonic function defined by*

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) d\mu(e^{it})$$

*Let  $e^{i\theta_0}$  be any point where  $\mu$  is differentiable with respect to the normalised Lebesgue measure. Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta_0}) = \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\theta} \right) (e^{i\theta_0}) = \mu'(e^{i\theta_0})$$

*In fact,  $f(re^{i\theta}) \rightarrow \mu'(e^{i\theta_0})$  as  $re^{i\theta}$  approaches  $e^{i\theta_0}$  along any path in the open disc within the region of the form  $|\theta - \theta_0| \leq c(1-r)$  for some  $c > 0$ .*

A proof of the above theorem is quite technical and can be found in [Koo98], [Hof07] and [ABW13].

**Corollary 1.3.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then  $P[\mu]$  has nontangential limits equal everywhere to the Radon Nikodym derivative of  $\mu$  with respect to the normalised Lebesgue measure.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on the unit circle. Then by LebesgueDecomposition Theorem, we have that

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{f} dt + d\mu_s$$

where  $d\mu_s$  is a singular measure. Since  $\mu$  is a complex measure, hence, its real and imaginary parts are finite measures, we have that  $\mu$  is differentiable almost everywhere and we have that

$$\frac{d\mu}{dt} = \frac{1}{2\pi} f$$

almost everywhere.

Applying Fatou's theorem 1.3.1, this follows immediately.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.3.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be  $L^1$ . Then  $P[f]$  has nontangential limits at almost everywhere and these limits equal to  $f$  almost everywhere.*

*Proof.* This corollary follows immediately by considering the measure on the circle given by

$$d\mu = f \frac{dt}{2\pi}$$

Applying the previous corollary, we are done.  $\square$

The next corollary tells us that if the  $p$  norm of  $f_r$  is uniform bounded as  $r$  varies, the  $f$  is a Poisson integral of a  $L^p$  function on the circle or a complex measure on the circle depending upon what  $p$  is.

**Corollary 1.3.4.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a harmonic function and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Suppose that for all  $0 \leq r < 1$ , we have that*

$$\|f_r\|_p < M < +\infty$$

*Then for almost every  $\theta$  the radial limits*

$$\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta})$$

*exist and define a function  $\tilde{f}$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ . The following also holds:*

1. *If  $p > 1$  then  $f = P[\tilde{f}]$ .*
2. *If  $p = 1$  then  $f = P[\mu]$  for some complex measure  $\mu$  whose absolutely continuous part is  $f d\theta$ .*
3. *If  $f$  is bounded then the boundary values exist almost everywhere and define a bounded measurable function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $f = P[\tilde{f}]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that for each  $r \in [0, 1)$ , we have  $\|f_r\|_p < +\infty$ . We need to prove that for almost every  $\theta$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta})$  exists. Then by Theorem 1.2.6, we have that  $f = P[g]$  for some  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ . Since  $L^p(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , we can use the previous corollary. By the previous corollary, we have that  $P[g]$  has nontangential limits almost everywhere, we have that

$$\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} P[g](re^{i\theta}) \quad (1.3.1)$$

exists almost everywhere.

Now we proceed to prove part (1). Also by Theorem 1.2.6, we have that  $f = P[g]$  for some  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ . Hence, we have that by Equation 1.3.1 that  $\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} P[g](re^{i\theta})$  holds at almost every  $\theta$ .

Also, by the previous corollary,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} P[g](re^{i\theta}) = g(e^{i\theta})$  for almost every  $\theta$ . Hence, we have that  $\tilde{f} = g$ . □

**Corollary 1.3.5.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a harmonic function. Then  $f$  has nontangential limits at almost every point of  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof just relies on 1.1.2. □

Let  $h(\mathbb{D})$  denote the set of all harmonic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Define

$$h^p(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in h(\mathbb{D}) \mid \{f_r\}_{0 \leq r < 1} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^p \text{ norm} \}$$

We define a norm on  $h^p(\mathbb{D})$  by

$$\|f\|_{h^p(\mathbb{D})} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|f_r\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} = \begin{cases} \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } p \in [1, \infty) \\ \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|f(re^{i\theta})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{D})} & \text{if } p = \infty \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see why  $\|f\| < +\infty$  for any  $f \in h^p(D)$ . So we now proceed to show that  $h^p(D)$  is a Banach space with this norm. First we show that it is indeed a normed linear space.

Clearly,  $h(\mathbb{D})$  is a vector space. To show that  $h^p(\mathbb{D})$  is a vector space, it suffices to check that  $h^p(\mathbb{D})$  is a subspace.

Let  $f, g \in h^p(\mathbb{D})$  and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for any  $r \in [0, 1)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f + \alpha g)_r\|_p &= \|f_r + \alpha g_r\| \\ &= \|f_r\|_p + \alpha \|g_r\|_p \end{aligned}$$

Take note of the use of Holder's inequality. After this is done, since  $\{f_r\}_{r \in [0, 1)}$  and  $\{g_r\}_{r \in [0, 1)}$  is uniformly bounded, we have that  $\{f + \alpha g\}_{r \in [0, 1)}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^p$  norm.

Now, we need to show that it is a normed linear space but this follows almost immediately.

To show that it is a Banach space, we show that

**Theorem 1.3.6.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . If  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$  then  $f = P * u \in h^p(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\|f\|_p = \|u\|_p$ . If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  then  $f = P * \mu \in h^1(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\|f\|_1 = \|\mu\|$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the case  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . The other cases can be dealt similarly. Consider the map

$$u \xrightarrow{T} U$$

where  $U(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) u(e^{it}) dt$ . By Theorem 1.2.3, we have that  $\|U\| = \|u\|_p < +\infty$ . Hence  $U \in h^p(\mathbb{D})$ .

Linearity is obvious. We need to check injectivity and surjectivity.

To check injectivity, let  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$  and suppose that  $T(u) = P[u] = 0$ . Now  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} P[u](re^{i\theta}) = u$  for almost  $\theta$  by Corollary 1.3.3 and hence  $u = 0$  almost everywhere.

Surjectivity is clear from Theorem 1.2.6. □

## 1.4 Hardy Spaces – $H^p$ spaces

Let us denote the set of all analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  by  $H(\mathbb{D})$ . Hence,  $H(\mathbb{D}) \subset h(\mathbb{D})$ . For  $p \in (0, \infty]$ , we consider the *Hardy classes* of analytic functions on the unit disc

$$H^p(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ F \in H(\mathbb{D}) \mid \|F\|_p < \infty \right\}$$

Clearly,

$$H^p(\mathbb{D}) \subset h^p(\mathbb{D})$$

We will see that  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , is also a Banach spaces isomorphic to a closed subspace of  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  denoted by  $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ .

To prove that  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$  is a closed subspace of  $h^p(\mathbb{D})$ , we are going to identify  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$  with the closed subspace

$$\left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{T}) : \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{it}) e^{ikt} dt = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence of functions in the above subspace; suppose that  $\{u_n\}$  converge to  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ . Now, let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary. Since  $\{u_n\}$  converge to  $u$  in  $p$ -norm, we have that  $\{u_n\}$  converge to  $u$  in 1-norm. Hence we have the following:

$$\left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u_n(e^{it}) e^{ikt} dt - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{it}) e^{ikt} dt \right| \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u_n(e^{it}) - u(e^{it})| dt$$

From the above inequality, it is evident that  $u$  is in the subspace mentioned above.

For the above reasons, we will not distinguish between the  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$  and  $H^p(\mathbb{T})$  and simply write  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ . The case  $p = 1$  needs a special mention. We have not dealt with it yet. We saw that if  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$  then we have that

$$f = P[\mu]$$

for some complex measure  $\mu$ . We do not recover a  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  like the other case. In this case, we know that this measure  $\mu$  satisfies the property that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{int} dt = 0$$



for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . In other words, we can say the negative Fourier coefficient of  $\mu$  is zero. We will call a such a complex measure to be *analytic*.

In the next chapter, we will see that a theorem due to F and M Riesz says that complex analytic measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the normalised Lebesgue measure. That is, there is a  $\tilde{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$d\mu = \tilde{f} \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.3.6, we can send  $f$  to  $\tilde{f}$  to establish a bijection and we will be done.

### Series Representation of Harmonic Functions

The proof of the aforementioned theorem is used later and not necessary for our discussion. We refer the reader to [Mas09] for a proof.

**Theorem 1.4.1.** *Let  $U$  be a harmonic on the disc  $D_R = \{|z| < R\}$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the quantity*

$$a_n = \frac{\rho^{-|n|}}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} U(\rho e^{it}) e^{-int} dt \quad (0 < \rho < R) \quad (1.4.1)$$

*is independent of  $\rho$  and we have*

$$U(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n r^{|n|} e^{in\theta} \quad (re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}) \quad (1.4.2)$$

*The function*

$$V(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} -i \operatorname{sgn}(n) a_n r^{|n|} e^{in\theta} \quad (re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}) \quad (1.4.3)$$

*is the unique harmonic conjugate of  $U$  such that  $V(0) = 0$ . The series in 1.4.2 and 1.4.3 are absolutely and uniformly convergent on compact subsets of  $D_R$*

# Chapter 2

## The space $H^1$

We use the following theorem several times in this chapter. We mention it here again for reference:

**Theorem 2.0.1.** *Let  $u : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a harmonic function. Then we have that*

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{it}) P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)})$$

With this, we proceed to prove the F and M Riesz theorem and the Szego's theorem. The former helps us establish an isometry between  $H^1(\mathbb{D})$  and latter talks about the integrability of

$$\log |F|$$

where  $|F|$  is a  $H^1$  function on the  $\mathbb{T}$ . The integrability of  $\log |F|$  will help us characterise all "outer functions" but more on that in the later chapter. Like the  $L^p$  spaces,  $H^1$  contains all the other  $H^p$  spaces for  $p > 1$  and in the final section of this chapter, we study more properties of  $H^1$  functions.

## 2.1 The Helson-Lowdenslager Approach

Let  $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  be the set of all continuous functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and let  $H(\mathbb{D})$  be the set of all holomorphic functions on the open disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . We define  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \cap H(\mathbb{D})$ .

We show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a uniformly closed algebra of  $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  converging uniformly to  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ .

We recall Morera's Theorem for analytic functions at this point:

**Theorem 2.1.1** (Morera). *A continuous, complex valued function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies  $\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for any closed piecewise  $C^1$  path  $\gamma$  in  $D$  must be holomorphic on  $D$ .*

A proof of Morera's theorem can be found in any text in Complex Analysis. For instance the reader may refer [Ash14] or [Rud87] for a proof whose statements of which are stated in more or less in a similar fashion.

We use the Morera theorem to prove what we want to prove. Now, let  $C$  be any closed curve in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\oint_C f_n(z) dz = 0$$

So,

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = \oint_C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) dz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \oint_C f_n(z) dz = 0$$

Since  $C$  was arbitrary,  $f$  must be holomorphic. This shows that  $\mathcal{A}$  is uniformly closed. The fact that it is an algebra is easy to check.

Now, note that since  $\mathbb{D}$  is a compact metric space, we have that  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$  is a complete metric space with supremum metric. Since the supremum metric can also be induced by a norm, namely the supremum norm, we have that  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$  is a Banach space with the supremum norm.

Thus, this is what we have proved so far:

**Theorem 2.1.2.** *The disc algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \cap H(\mathbb{D})$  is a Banach space under the*

sup norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{|z| \leq 1} |f(z)|$$

We make a couple of observations at this point:

1. Each  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is the Poisson integral of its boundary values:

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) dt$$

2. It follows from the Maximum Modulus Theorem that

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup |f(e^{it})|$$

**Theorem 2.1.3** (Correspondence of  $\mathcal{A}$  with a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ ). *Consider the subspace*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) : \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) e^{int} dt = 0 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

*of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ . Then there is an isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .*

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  converging to  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ . Consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) e^{ikt} dt \right| &= \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) e^{ikt} dt - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_n(e^{it}) e^{ikt} dt \right| \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{it}) - f_n(e^{it})| dt \\ &\leq 2\pi \|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is closed under  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$  with supremum norm.

Now consider the linear map  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  given by

$$f \mapsto f|_{\mathbb{T}}$$

For the sake of convenience, we will write  $f|_{\mathbb{T}}$  as  $f_{\mathbb{T}}$ . We first need to show this map is well defined! That is, we need to show that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_{\mathbb{T}}(e^{it}) e^{ikt} dt = 0$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  but this immediately follows from Cauchy's theorem.

Note that injectivity is clear from Theorem 2.0.1. To show surjectivity, let  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . We need to show that there is a function  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $u_{\mathbb{T}} = f$ . Consider the function

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} (P * f)(re^{i\theta}) & \text{if } 0 \leq r < 1 \\ f(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } r = 1 \end{cases}$$

This is the Dirichlet problem on the unit disc! So,  $u$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . It remains to show that  $u$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . But note that for  $r \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(re^{i\theta}) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} \hat{f}(n) e^{int} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{|n|} \hat{f}(n) e^{int} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem! □

In view of the previous theorem, we will simply write  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  as  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 2.1.4.** An analytic trigonometric polynomial  $p$  on the circle  $\mathbb{T}$  is of the form

$$p(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k e^{ik\theta}$$

**Proposition 2.1.5.** *The set of the trigonometric polynomials is a dense subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that any trigonometric polynomial on the circle is a member of the disc algebra. Now, if  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then its negative Fourier coefficients are zero! Since, the Cesaro sum of  $f$

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^{k=n} \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} = \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx}$$

converge to  $f$  uniformly and is a sequence of trigonometric polynomial, we are done!  $\square$

The following result is used in the proof of the next theorem, so, we prove it here:

**Theorem 2.1.6.** *The real parts of functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  are uniformly dense in  $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ . In other words, if  $\mu$  is finite signed Borel measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $\int f d\mu = 0$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $\mu$  is the zero measure.*

*Proof.* We first show that any trigonometric polynomial of the form

$$p(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikt} \tag{2.1.1}$$

where  $c_{-k} = \overline{c_k}$  for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  is a real part of a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Note that  $p(e^{it})$  in Equation 2.1.1 is the real part of the function:

$$f(e^{it}) = c_0 + 2c_1 e^{it} + 2c_2 e^{2it} + \dots + 2c_n e^{int}$$

Now, we claim that every function  $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$  is a uniform limit of a trigonometric polynomial of the form 2.1.1. We will be done if we show that the negative Fourier coefficients of real valued function is the conjugate of the its positive counterpart, that is, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we have that  $\hat{f}(-n) = \overline{\hat{f}(n)}$ . To show this, take

any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and then observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(-n) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{it}) e^{int} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= \overline{\int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{f(e^{it})} e^{-int} \frac{dt}{2\pi}} \\ &= \overline{\hat{f}(n)}\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the Cesaro means of a real valued function is a trigonometric polynomial of the form 2.1.1 and since the Cesaro means converges to  $f$  uniformly. Thus, the closure of the real parts of  $\mathcal{A}$  is indeed  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ .

Now, let  $\mu$  be a finite signed measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $\int f d\mu = 0$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . We show that  $\mu$  is the zero measure. Notice that if  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  then

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Re(f) d\mu + i \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Im(f) d\mu$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Re(f) d\mu = 0$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now, if  $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$  then by the first part of this theorem, there is a sequence  $\{f_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Re(f_n)$  converges to  $g$  uniformly. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem (which holds, thanks to Jordan Decomposition Theorem), we have that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} g d\mu = 0$ .

Now to prove that every  $\mu = 0$ , it suffices to show that  $\hat{\mu}(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For a proof of this fact, we refer the reader to take a look at [Mas09] or [Kat04].

Now, notice that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mu}(n) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-int} d\mu(e^{it}) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\cos(nt) - i \sin(nt)) d\mu(e^{it}) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cos(nt) d\mu(e^{it}) - i \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sin(nt) d\mu(e^{it}) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof! □

**Corollary 2.1.7.** *Let  $\mu$  be a finite signed measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $\int f d\mu = 0$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which vanishes at the origin then  $\mu$  is a constant multiple of Lebesgue measure.*

*Proof.* We first prove the following claim: If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu = \frac{f(0)}{2\pi}$ . Since the negative Fourier coefficients are zero and  $f$  is continuous, we have that the Cesaro means converge uniformly to  $f$ , that is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{int} \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{int} \right) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\hat{f}(n) e^{int} dt) \\
 &= \frac{\hat{f}(0)}{2\pi}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we proceed to the proof. We define a measure  $d\nu = d\mu - \frac{1}{2\pi}\mu(\mathbb{T}) dt$ . Now,



we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{it}) d\nu(e^{it}) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} [f - f(0)](e^{it}) d\mu(e^{it}) + f(0) \int_{\mathbb{T}} d\nu(e^{it}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that  $d\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mu(\mathbb{T}) dt$ .  $\square$

We will be working entirely on the  $\mathbb{T}$ . So,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $H^2$  will be the spaces on the unit circle rather than on the open unit disc.

Now, consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as a subset of  $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}), \mu)$  where  $\mu$  is any finite positive measure. Let  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \frac{\hat{f}(0)}{2\pi} = 0 \right\}$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is a subspace of  $L^2(d\mu)$ . Therefore, we have the closed subspace spanned by  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $[\mathcal{A}_0] = \overline{\text{span}(\mathcal{A}_0)} = \overline{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . By a theorem of Hilbert spaces, we have that there is some vector  $F \in [\mathcal{A}_0]$  such that

$$\inf_{f \in [\mathcal{A}_0]} \int |1 - f^2| d\mu = \int |1 - F^2| d\mu$$

But since  $d(1, [\mathcal{A}_0]) = d(1, \overline{\mathcal{A}_0}) \stackrel{1}{=} d(1, \mathcal{A}_0)$ , we have

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int |1 - f^2| d\mu = \int |1 - F^2| d\mu$$

Note that this  $F$  is the orthogonal projection of 1 into the closed subspace spanned by  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.8.** *Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  and suppose that the constant function 1 is not in  $[\mathcal{A}_0]$ . Then let  $f = P_{[\mathcal{A}_0]}(1)$ . Then the following holds:*

1. *The measure  $d\nu = |1 - F^2| d\mu$  is a nonzero constant multiple of the Lebesgue measure. In particular, Lebesgue measure is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .*
2. *The function  $(1 - F)^{-1} \in H^2$ .*

3. If  $h = \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\theta}\right)$  then  $(1 - F)h \in L^2 = L^2\left(\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\right)$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let  $S = \llbracket \mathcal{A}_0 \rrbracket$ . We begin to prove part one of the theorem. Let  $F = P_S(1)$ . Then we have by the uniqueness of the decomposition that

$$1 = \underbrace{F}_{P_S(1)} + \underbrace{1 - F}_{P_{S^\perp}(1)}$$

Thus, we have that  $(1 - F)$  is orthogonal to every element in  $S$  and hence, in particular, any element in  $\mathcal{A}_0$  (because  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset S \rightsquigarrow S^\perp \subset \mathcal{A}_0^\perp$ ). We claim that  $1 - F$  is orthogonal to  $(1 - F)f$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . But before, we do this, we need to show that  $(1 - F)f \in L^2(d\mu)$ . Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |(1 - F)f|^2 d\mu \leq \|f\|_\infty^2 \|1 - F\|_2^2 < \infty$$

To prove this, note that we showed that  $S = \overline{\mathcal{A}_0}$  in the paragraph before the statement of this theorem and since  $F \in S$ , there is a sequence  $\{f_n\} \in \mathcal{A}_0$  converging to  $F$ . Hence, we have that  $\{f(1 - f_n)\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}_0^2$  converges to  $f(1 - F)$  in the  $L^2$ -norm. Hence, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(1 - F), (1 - F) \rangle &= \left\langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(1 - f_n), (1 - F) \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(1 - f_n), (1 - F) \rangle \quad \text{continuity of the inner product} \\ &= 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 - F \text{ is orthogonal to } \mathcal{A}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $d\nu = |1 - F|^2 d\mu$ . We have shown that for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f |1 - F|^2 d\mu = \langle f(1 - F), (1 - F) \rangle = 0$$

---

<sup>2</sup> $\mathcal{A}_0$  is an algebra!

Hence, by Corollary 2.1.7, we have that  $d\mu = k d\lambda$  for some  $k \geq 0$ .

Now, we claim that this  $k \neq 0$ . If  $k = 0$  then we would have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} d\nu = 0 \rightsquigarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - F|^2 d\mu = 0$$

Hence, we have that  $F = 1$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere<sup>3</sup>. But then we have that  $1 \in S$  which contradicts our assumption. Hence  $k \neq 0$ .

2. Observe that part 1 of the theorem tells us that

$$|1 - F|^2 d\mu = k d\lambda \text{ where } k \neq 0$$

Then we have that by Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem

$$d\mu = h d\lambda + d\mu_s$$

for some positive  $\mathcal{L}^1$ -function  $h$  and some singular measure  $\mu_s$ . Hence, we have that

$$|1 - F|^2 d\mu = |1 - F|^2 (h d\lambda + d\mu_s) \tag{2.1.2}$$

$$= \underbrace{|1 - F|^2 h d\lambda}_{(1)} + \underbrace{|1 - F|^2 d\mu_s}_{(2)} \tag{2.1.3}$$

By the uniqueness of the Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem (one needs to verify that the measures obtained in (1) and (2) are absolutely continuous and singular), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - F|^2 h &= k \lambda\text{-almost everywhere.} \\ \rightsquigarrow \frac{1}{|1 - F|^2} &= \frac{h}{k} \lambda\text{-almost everywhere.} \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that  $(1 - F)^{-1} \in L^2(d\lambda)$  where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>See Corollary 2.3.12 of [Coh13].

Also, note that  $F = 1$   $d\mu_s$ -almost everywhere. Hence, we have that

$$|1 - F|^2 d\mu = |1 - F|^2 h d\lambda = k d\lambda \rightsquigarrow d\mu = |1 - F|^{-2} k d\lambda \quad (2.1.4)$$

Now, we proceed to show that the negative Fourier coefficients of  $(1 - F)^{-1}$  is 0 then we would have shown that  $(1 - F)^{-1} \in H^2$ . Consider the following for  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} k \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - F)^{-1} f d\lambda &= k \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - \overline{F}) f |1 - F|^{-2} d\lambda \\ &= k \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - \overline{F}) f d\mu \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The last line follows from the fact that  $1 - F \perp f$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Hence this holds for any  $e^{in\theta}$  and hence we are done.

3. Note that the derivative of  $\mu$  with respect to normalized Lebesgue measures is  $h$  as demonstrated in Equation 2.1.3. Now, Equation 2.1.4 shows that

$$|1 - F| h = k |1 - F|^{-1} \quad \lambda\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Since  $|1 - F|^{-1} \in L^2(\lambda)$ , so is  $(1 - F)h$ .

□

**Corollary 2.1.9.** *Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  with absolutely continuous part  $\mu_a$ , then*

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\mu = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\mu_a$$

where  $\mu_a$  is the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu$ . In particular, for any singular measure  $\mu$ , the function 1 is in the  $L^2(d\mu)$  closure of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the orthogonal projection of 1 into the closed subspace spanned by

$\mathcal{A}_0$ . Then we have that

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\mu = \|1 - F\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - F|^2 d\mu$$

From Equation 2.1.4, we see that

$$|1 - F|^2 d\mu = |1 - F|^2 h d\lambda = |1 - F|^2 d\mu_a$$

where  $h$  is the Radon Nikodym derivative of  $\mu$ . Integrating both sides, we have that

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\mu = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\mu_a$$

which is what we wanted to prove. Now if  $\mu$  is a singular measure then we have that the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu$  is the zero measure and hence we have that

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\mu = \|1 - F\|^2 = 0$$

From the fact that  $\|1 - F\| = 0$ , we conclude that  $1 = F$  almost everywhere and hence 1 is in the closed subspace spanned by  $\mathcal{A}_0$  which is in fact  $\overline{\mathcal{A}_0}$ . This completes the proof of the Corollary.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.10.** *Let  $\mu$  be a finite complex Borel measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  which is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , that is,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Then the absolutely continuous part and singular parts of  $\mu$  are separately orthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . That is, if  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_s$  are the absolutely continuous and the singular parts of the measure  $\mu$  then we have that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu_a = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu_s = 0$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho$  be any finite positive measure satisfying

1.  $\mu \ll \rho$  and  $\frac{d\mu}{d\rho}$  is bounded, and

2.  $\frac{d\rho}{d\theta} \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}$ , that is, the Radon Nikodym derivative with respect to the normalised Lebesgue measure is bounded below by  $1/2\pi$ .

Let  $d\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}h d\theta + d\mu_s$  be the Lebesgue decomposition of the measure  $\mu$ . We define a new measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  by

$$d\rho = (1 + |h|) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + d|\mu_s|$$

where  $|\mu_s|$  is the variation measure of the complex measure  $\mu_s$ , the singular part of  $\mu$ .

We show that  $\rho$  is a finite positive measure satisfying properties as in items 1 and 2 above. First, we show that  $\rho$  is a finite positive measure. But before that note that the variation measure of any complex measure is a finite measure. For a proof of the aforementioned fact, we advice the reader to see [Coh13]. Now, consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbb{T}) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} d\rho \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 + |h|) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \int_{\mathbb{T}} d|\mu_s| && \text{by definition} \\ &= 1 + |\mu_a|(\mathbb{T}) + |\mu_s|(\mathbb{T}) && \mu_a \text{ is the absolutely continuous part of } \mu \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Now we proceed to show that item 1 holds for  $\rho$ . First, we prove that  $\mu \ll \rho$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$  with  $\rho(A) = 0$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A (1 + |h|) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &= 0 \text{ and} \\ \int_A d|\mu_s| &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

From this, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_a|(A) &= \int_A |h| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \int_A (1 + |h|) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\mu(A)| \leq |\mu_a|(A)$ , we have that  $\mu_a(A) = 0$ . Also, it follows by the definition of the variation measure that  $\mu_s(A) = 0$ . Hence, we have that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\rho$ . Now, we need to show that  $\frac{d\mu}{d\rho}$  is bounded.

We proceed to show that item 2 holds. Observe that

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\theta} = (1 + |h|) \frac{1}{2\pi} \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

and hence we are done showing that  $\rho$  is such a measure.

Now, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\rho &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 \frac{d\rho}{d\theta} d\theta + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\mu_s \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 \frac{d\rho}{d\theta} d\theta \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \|1 - f\|^2 \\ &= \|1\|^2 + \|f\|^2 && \langle f, 1 \rangle = 0 \text{ as } f \in \mathcal{A}_0 \\ &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $F$  be the orthogonal projection of 1 into the closed subspace spanned by  $\mathcal{A}_0$  of  $L^2(d\rho)$ . Thus, by definition, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - F|^2 = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - f|^2 d\rho \geq 1$$

Hence, we have that 1 is not in the closed subspace spanned by  $\mathcal{A}_0$  of  $L^2(d\rho)$ . Hence, we have by Theorem 2.1.8 that  $(1 - F)^{-1} \in H^2$  and that

$$(1 - F)(1 + |h|) \in L^2 = L^2\left(\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\right)$$

From this, we can conclude that  $h \in L^2$  by the following fashion:

$$|h| = \underbrace{(1 - F)(1 + |h|)}_{\in L^2} \underbrace{(|1 - F|^{-1})}_{\in H^2 \rightsquigarrow \in L^2} - 1$$

Hence, we have that  $(1 - F)h \in L^2$ .

Suppose  $g \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . We claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - F) g d\mu = 0$$

□

Since  $F \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_0}$ , there is a sequence  $f_n \in \mathcal{A}_0$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow F$  in  $L^2(d\rho)$ . Hence,

$$(1 - f_n)g \rightarrow (1 - F)g$$

in  $L^2(d\rho)$ .

Since  $\frac{d\mu}{d\rho}$  is bounded, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - F) g d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - F) g \frac{d\mu}{d\rho} d\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - f_n) \frac{d\mu}{d\rho} d\rho = 0.$$

Now,  $1 - F = 0$  with respect to  $d|\mu_s|$  which implies that  $1 - F = 0$  with respect to  $d\mu_s$ .

Thus, we have that

$$(1 - F) d\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 - F) h d\theta$$



Hence, we have for  $g \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - F) g h d\theta &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1 - F) g d\mu \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\overline{A} = H^2$ . There is a sequence of functions  $g_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  converging to  $(1 - F)^{-1} \in L^2\left(\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\right)$  in  $L^2$ -norm. Hence, we have for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} g_n f (1 - F) h d\theta = 0$$

Also, note that  $(1 - F) h \in L^2$ . From this, we can conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f h d\theta = 0$$

**Theorem 2.1.11** (Frigyez and Marcel Riesz, 1916). *Let  $\mu$  be a complex Borel measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{in\theta} d\mu(e^{i\theta}) = 0$$

*for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mu$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Then from the previous corollary, we have that  $\mu_a$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mu_s$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Since  $|\mu_s|$  is singular (definition?), we can find a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  converging to 1 in  $L^2(d|\mu_s|)$ . Since  $\mu_s$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} d\mu_s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n d\mu_s = 0$$

Thus,  $\mu_s$  is orthogonal to 1. The singular measure  $e^{-i\theta} d\mu_s$  is now orthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ ;

hence it is orthogonal to 1, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-i\theta} d\mu_s = 0$$

Similarly,  $e^{-2i\theta} d\mu_s$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , and consequently, orthogonal to 1. Repeating the process, we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{in\theta} d\mu_s = 0$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\mu_s$  must be the zero measure. Therefore our original measure  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous.  $\square$

## 2.2 Szegő's Theorem

**Theorem 2.2.1** (Szegő). *Let  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and suppose that  $h \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  (that makes  $h$  real valued!). Then the following holds:*

$$\exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log h(e^{it}) dt \right] = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h e^{\Re(f)} dt$$

*Proof.* We first claim that  $\log h$  is integrable iff  $\int \log h d\theta = -\infty$ . To see this, first we decompose

$$\int \log h d\theta = \underbrace{\int (\log h)^+ d\theta}_{(i)} - \underbrace{\int (\log h)^- d\theta}_{(ii)}$$

First observe that  $\log h \leq h$  (this follows by definition of  $\log$ ) and hence, we have that  $(\log h)^+ \leq h^+ = h$ . Thus, we have that integral (i) is finite. Therefore,  $\log h$  is not integrable iff  $\int \log h d\theta = -\infty$ .

Regardless of integrability of  $\log h$ , we have the following relation:

$$\exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log h(e^{it}) dt \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} h(e^{it}) dt \quad (2.2.1)$$

One can prove the familiar arithmetic and geometric means inequality from the above inequality. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be positive real numbers. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be the disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$  which cover it. Therefore, we have that

$$\mu(A_i) = \frac{2\pi}{n} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(a_1 \chi_{A_1} + \dots + a_n \chi_{A_n}) &= \log(a_1 \chi_{A_1} + \dots + a_n \chi_{A_n}) \\ &= \log(a_1) \chi_{A_1} + \dots + \log(a_n) \chi_{A_n} \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(a_1 \chi_{A_1} + \dots + a_n \chi_{A_n}) dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(a_1) \chi_{A_1} + \dots + \log(a_n) \chi_{A_n} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (\log(a_1) \mu(A_1) + \dots + \log(a_n) \mu(A_n)) \\ &= \log(\sqrt[n]{a_1 \dots a_n}) \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen now that it follows immediately.

Now, if  $h \in L^{\mathbb{T}}$  and is nonnegative,  $g$  is any real valued continuous function on  $\mathbb{T}$  then we have that  $he^g$  is an nonnegative  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  because product of an  $L^1$  function with a bounded function on a finite measure space retains it back and therefore, we can apply Equation 2.2.1. Furthermore, if we assume that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} g dt = 0$  then we have

that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left( h(e^{it}) e^{g(e^{it})} \right) dt \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} h(e^{it}) e^{g(e^{it})} dt \\
 & \rightsquigarrow \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log (h(e^{it})) dt + \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(e^{it}) dt \right] \overset{0}{\leq} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} h(e^{it}) e^{g(e^{it})} dt \\
 & \rightsquigarrow \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log (h(e^{it})) dt \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} h(e^{it}) e^{g(e^{it})} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $g = \Re f$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Then we have that  $g$  is continuous and real valued and hence the previous inequality holds, therefore, we have that

$$\exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log (h(e^{it})) dt \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} h(e^{it}) e^{\Re(f(e^{it}))} dt.$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$  is arbitrary, we have that

$$\exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log (h(e^{it})) dt \right] \leq \inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} h(e^{it}) e^{\Re(f(e^{it}))} dt.$$

Now, we claim the set of functions  $\Re f$  where  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is dense subset of  $L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ . This is easy to see. Let  $f \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ . Then denseness of continuous functions in  $L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ , we have that there is some function  $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$\|f - g\|_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Also, by Theorem 2.1.6, we have that there is some function  $h \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\|g - \Re h\|_{\infty} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f - \Re h\|_1 &\leq \|f - g\|_1 + \|g - \Re h\| && \text{Minkowski inequality} \\
 &\leq \|f - g\|_1 + \|f - g\|_\infty && \text{finite measure space} \\
 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows the denseness.

Now, we show that the  $\{f \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T}) : \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\theta = 0\}$  is dense in set of all functions  $f \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $\int f d\theta = 0$ . This is immediate because closure distributes over intersections and  $\{f \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T}) : \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\theta = 0\}$  is a closed subspace of  $L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\text{Now, we claim that } \underbrace{\inf\left\{\int h e^g d\theta : g \in L^1, \int g = 0\right\}}_{:=\alpha} = \underbrace{\inf\left\{\int h e^g d\theta : g \in L^\infty, \int g = 0\right\}}_{:=\beta}.$$

Since  $L^\infty \subset L^1$ , we have that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  is clear. To show the reverse inequality, let  $g \in L^1$  with  $\int g d\theta = 0$ . By the Simple Function Approximation Theorem, there is a sequence  $g_n \in L^\infty$  such that  $0 \leq |g_1| \leq |g_2| \leq \dots \leq |g|$  and  $g_n$  converging to  $g$  pointwise.

□

**Theorem 2.2.2** (Szegő, Kolmogoroff-Krein). *Let  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $h$  be the derivative of  $\mu$  with respect to the normalised Lebesgue measure. Then*

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0} \int |1 - f|^2 d\mu = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} \log h(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right]$$

Most of the work to prove this theorem has already been done. We relegate it to the references. For a proof of this, the reader may take a look at [Hof07].

## 2.3 Completing the Discussion of $H^1$

Let  $F \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ . We showed in Chapter 1 that  $F$  is a Poisson integral of a complex measure. That is,

$$F = P[\mu]$$

for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ . Furthermore, we showed that the measures  $d\mu_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} F_r dt$  converge to  $d\mu$  in the weak\* topology of measures.

As promised earlier, we have shown that an analytic measure  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous that

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \tilde{f} dt$$

for some  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ .

By the previous paragraph, we have that  $F_r$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^1$  and we have that

$$\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} f(z)$$

exists for almost all  $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$ . Thus, we may identify this boundary function  $\tilde{f} \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$  with  $F \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ .

The proof of the following theorem relies on Szego's theorem. We state the theorem with proof and the proof of the theorem can be found in [Hof07].

**Theorem 2.3.1.** *Let  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$  be nonzero then the function  $\log |f(e^{i\theta})|$  is integrable and we have that*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |f| d\theta \geq \log |f(e^{i0})|.$$

**Corollary 2.3.2.** *If  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $f$  cannot vanish on a set of positive Lebesgue measure unless  $f \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{T}$*

*Proof.* Assume  $f \not\equiv 0$ . We wish to show that  $f$  cannot vanish on a set of positive Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Since  $\log |f|$  is integrable on  $\mathbb{T}$  (by Szego's theorem), we

have that

$$\lambda(\{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} : |\log |f(e^{i\theta})|| = +\infty\}) = 0$$

since integrability implies finite almost everywhere. Therefore we have that

$$\lambda(\{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} : f(e^{i\theta}) = 0\}) = \lambda(\{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} : \log |f(e^{i\theta})| = -\infty\}) = 0$$

Thus, if  $S$  is any measurable subset of the  $\mathbb{T}$  where  $f$  vanishes then we must be have that  $\lambda(S) = 0$ . This shows that  $f$  cannot vanish on a set of positive Lebesgue measure.  $\square$

# Chapter 3

## Factorization for $H^p$ Functions

### 3.1 Inner and Outer Functions

Let  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$  be nonzero, that is, not identically zero. Then  $f$  has nontangential limits almost everywhere, due to Fatou's theorem. Define

$$\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} f(z)$$

for almost every  $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$  and

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{f}(e^{it}) P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) dt.$$

As a consequence of Szegő's theorem, we have that  $\log(|f(e^{i\theta})|)$  is Lebesgue integrable. Let

$$F(z) = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right]$$

Then  $F$  is an analytic function in the unit disc. To prove this, let  $g(e^{i\theta}) = \log |f(e^{i\theta})|$ . Then we have that Let  $(h_n)$  be sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  of nonzero terms converging to 0 and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  Then  $|e^{i\theta} - z - h_n| \rightarrow |e^{i\theta} - z| > 0$ . Thus there exists  $M > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|e^{i\theta} - z - h_n| > M$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Let  $g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} u(e^{i\theta})$  Consider the following for  $n \geq N$ :



$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g(z + h_n) - g(z)}{h_n} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{2h_n e^{i\theta}}{(e^{i\theta} - z - h_n)(e^{i\theta} - z)} \right| |u(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{2h_n}{M \inf_{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}} |e^{i\theta} - z|} \|u\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $g$  is analytic and hence  $e^g$  is analytic.

**Definition 3.1.1** (Inner & Outer Function). Let  $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ . Then  $g$  is said to be an **inner function** if

- $|g(z)| \leq 1$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and
- $|g(e^{i\theta})| = 1$  for every  $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$ .

A function  $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be an **outer function** if

$$F(z) = \lambda \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} k(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right]$$

for some integrable function  $k : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ .

*Remark 3.1.2.* Few remarks follow for Definition 3.1.1:

- An outer function  $F \in H^{z1}(\mathbb{D})$  iff  $e^k$  is integrable.

*Proof.* It immediately follows by definition that

$$|F| = \exp [P[k]] \text{ on } \mathbb{D}$$

Hence  $\exp(k(e^{i\theta})) = \hat{F}(e^{i\theta})$  almost everywhere by Fatou's lemma. By the isometric isomorphism, we have that

$$\|\exp(k)\|_{H^1(T)} = \|F\|_{H^1(\mathbb{D})}$$

Thus,  $\exp(k)$  is integrable.

To prove the converse, assume that  $e^k$  is integrable. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(re^{i\theta})| &= \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) k(e^{it}) d\theta \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(k(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \quad (\text{Jensen Inequality}) \\ &= \|\exp(k)\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the remark.  $\square$

- If  $F$  is an outer function in  $H^1$  then we have that

$$k(e^{i\theta}) = \log |F(e^{i\theta})| \text{ almost everywhere}$$

*Proof.* This is evident from Fatou's lemma.  $\square$

### 3.1.1 Characterising Outer Functions

**Theorem 3.1.3.** *Let  $F$  be a nonzero function in  $H^1$ . TFAE:*

- (i)  $F$  is an outer function.
- (ii) If  $f$  is any function in  $H^1$  such that  $|f| = |F|$  almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{T}$  then  $|F(z)| \geq |f(z)|$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ .
- (iii)  $\log |F(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta$ .

Proving this (i) implies (ii) will take a while. To prove this direction, we need a result due to Jensen (1915) which we will state later.

*Proof of (ii) implies (i).* Suppose that (ii) holds. Since  $F$  is nonzero function and is in  $H^1$ , we have that  $\log |F(e^{i\theta})|$  is integrable and hence, we have the following function

$$G(z) = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right] \quad (3.1.1)$$

is an outer function. Since  $G$  is an outer function, we have by Remark 3.1.2 that  $|F(e^{i\theta})| = |G(e^{i\theta})|$  for almost every  $\theta$ . Consequently,  $\|G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D})} = \|F\|_{H^1(\mathbb{D})} < +\infty$ . By (ii), we have that  $|F(z)| \geq |G(z)|$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Since  $G$  is nonzero, we have that  $|F(z)| \leq |G(z)|$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . By interchanging the roles of  $F$  and  $G$ , we have that  $|F(z)| = |G(z)|$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Thus,  $F/G$  is analytic and everywhere of absolute value 1. Define the function  $\lambda : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\lambda = F/G$ . Then we have by the open mapping theorem, that  $\lambda(\mathbb{D})$  must be an open set. However, we have that  $\lambda$  maps an open set to a subset of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  must be a constant.  $\square$

We proceed to show that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).

*Proof of (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).* Note that  $(\Rightarrow)$  is immediate. We proceed to show that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Define  $G$  as in 3.1.1. Then we have that  $F/G$  is bounded by 1 in the disc. This is a direct consequence of definition of  $G$  and Fubini's theorem. Also,  $F/G$  has modulus 1 at zero. Thus, by Maximum modulus theorem, we have that  $F/G = \lambda$ , a constant of modulus 1 in  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\square$

We finally prove (i) implies (ii). We state the following theorem without proof. However, the proof of this theorem can be found in [Rud87] and [Ash14].

**Theorem 3.1.4** (Poisson-Jensen (1915)). *Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $f$  has no zeroes on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the distinct zeros of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  with multiplicities  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  respectively. Then for  $re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , we have*

$$\ln |f(re^{i\theta})| = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j \ln \left| \frac{z - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j z} \right| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(e^{i(\theta-t)}) \ln |f(e^{it})| dt.$$

Before we start the proof of this direction, we prove an immediate consequence of this theorem 3.1.4. Setting  $r = 0$  in the previous theorem and observing that

$$\left| \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right| < 1$$

we have that

$$\ln |f(0)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln |f(e^{it})| dt.$$

Now, we begin the proof of this theorem. Let  $F$  be an outer function. Then there exists  $k(e^{i\theta})$  an real valued integrable function on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$F(z) = \lambda \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} k(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right].$$

We showed earlier that  $k(e^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta})$  almost everywhere where  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$  corresponding to  $F \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ .

Hence, we have that

$$F(z) = \lambda \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right].$$

## 3.2 Infinite Products, Blaschke Products & Singular Functions

**Theorem 3.2.1.** *Let  $f$  be a bounded analytic function in the unit disc and further suppose that  $f(0) \neq 0$ . If  $(\alpha_n)$  is the sequence of zeros in the open disc repeated as often as the multiplicity of the zero of  $f$  then the product is convergent, that is,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_n|) < \infty$$

*Proof.* We may assume wlog that  $|f| \leq 1$  for convenience. If  $f$  has finitely many zeroes there is no question of convergence. Now, suppose that there are infinitely many zeroes. We claim that there can be only countably many of them.

Let  $r < 1$ . We claim that there are only finitely many zeroes of  $f$  in  $\overline{B(0, r)}$ . Suppose not. Then by Bolzano Weierstrass theorem, we have that  $Z(f)$  have a limit point in  $\overline{B(0, r)}$ . By the identity theorem, we have that  $f$  must be identically zero

in  $\overline{B(0, r)}$ . But then this would contradict the fact that  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Thus, every closed disk contains at most finitely many zeroes of  $f$ . Let  $(r_n)$  be a strictly increasing sequence converging to 1. Then zeroes of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is the union of zeroes of  $f$  in the disk  $\overline{B(0, r_n)}$ . This shows that the zeroes of the  $f$  is countable.

Let  $(\alpha_n)$  be the sequence of zeroes counting multiplicities. We define a partial product  $B_n(z)$  in the following fashion:

$$B_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{z - \alpha_k}{1 - \overline{\alpha_k} z_k}$$

Observe that each  $B_n$  is a rational function, analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$  and whose absolute value is 1 on the boundary of the disc.

We now claim that  $f/B_n$  is a bounded analytic function on the unit disc. This can be seen as follows. First observe that  $f/B_n$  is bounded above by 1 on the boundary of the disc:

$$\left| \frac{f(e^{i\theta})}{B_n(e^{i\theta})} \right| = |f(e^{i\theta})| \leq 1$$

for almost all  $\theta$ . Hence, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have that  $|f(z)| \leq |B_n(z)|$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Thus, we have that

$$0 < |f(0)| \leq |B_n(0)| = \prod_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k|$$

Since  $|\alpha_k| < 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that the product is decreasing and is bounded by a nonnegative number and hence the product converges.  $\square$

We now prove a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of product of zeroes of an analytic function.

**Theorem 3.2.2.** *Let  $(\alpha_n)$  be a sequence of nonzero complex numbers in the open unit disc. A necessary and sufficient condition that the infinite product*

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\alpha_n}}{|\alpha_n|} \frac{\alpha_n - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n} z}$$

should converge uniformly on compact subsets of the disc is that the product  $\prod |\alpha_n|$  should converge, that is, that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_n|) < \infty$$

When either of the conditions are satisfied, the product defines an inner function whose zeros are exactly  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$

*Proof.* We first prove that last statement. Consider the partial product

$$B_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\bar{\alpha}_k}{\alpha_k} \frac{\alpha_k - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z}.$$

Each  $B_n$  is analytic in the closed unit disc and has modulus 1 on the unit circle. If the sequence  $(B_n)$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $|z| < 1$  to a function  $B$ , it is clear that  $B$  is bounded by 1 and is analytic in the interior of the unit disc. Uniform convergence of the infinite product on compact sets yields an analytic function whose zeros are  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ . In particular, this convergence implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_n|) < \infty.$$

□

Conversely, suppose that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_n|) < \infty$  is satisfied. We show that the partial product  $B_n$  converges in  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ . Consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |B_m - B_n|^2 d\theta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [|B_m|^2 + |B_n|^2 - 2\Re(B_n \bar{B}_m)] d\theta \\ &= 2 \left[ 1 - \Re \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{B_n}{B_m} d\theta \right] \end{aligned} \quad \left( |B_m|^2 = 1 \text{ and } \bar{B}_m = \frac{1}{B_m} \right)$$

Now if  $n > m$  we have that

$$\frac{B_n}{B_m}$$

is analytic and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{B_n}{B_m} d\theta &= \frac{B_n}{B_m}(0) && \text{(by Mean Value Theorem)} \\ &= \prod_{k=m+1}^n |\alpha_k| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |B_m - B_n|^2 d\theta = 2 \left( 1 - \prod_{k=m+1}^n |\alpha_k| \right)$$

Since the infinite product  $\prod |\alpha_k|$  converges, we have that  $B_n \rightarrow B$  in  $H^2$ .

Thus, we have that  $B_n$  converges to  $B$  on the compact subsets of the discs by using the  $L^2$  convergence on the boundary.

Also, convergence in  $L^2$  implies convergence in  $L^1$  and convergence in  $L^1$  implies pointwise convergence, hence, we have that  $B$  has modulus 1 almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

This shows that the Blaschke product is an inner function provided it satisfies the Blaschke condition.

**Definition 3.2.3** (Blaschke product). A Blaschke product is an analytic function of the form

$$B(z) = z^p \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\overline{\alpha_n}}{|\alpha_n|} \frac{\alpha_n - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n} z} \right]^{p_n}$$

where

- (i)  $p, p_1, p_2, \dots$  are nonnegative integers;
- (ii) the  $\alpha_n$  are distinct nonzero integers in the open unit disc;
- (iii) the product  $\prod |\alpha_n|^{p_n}$  is convergent.

**Theorem 3.2.4.** *Let  $f \in H^\infty$  and suppose that  $f \not\equiv 0$ , that is, not identically zero. Then  $f$  is uniquely expressible in the form  $f = Bg$  where  $B$  is a Blaschke product and  $g$  is a bounded analytic function without any zeros.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in H^\infty$  and suppose that  $f$  is not identically zero. We wish to show that  $f$  can be factored as a Blaschke product as in the previous definition and a bounded analytic function which is zero-free.

Since  $f$  is not identically zero, we write  $f(z) = z^p h(z)$  where  $h$  is analytic and  $h(0) \neq 0$  by Taylor's theorem on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the roots of  $h$  with multiplicities  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  respectively. We then consider the Blaschke product  $B$  formed by the zeroes of  $h$ . Hence,

$$B(z) = z^p \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\overline{\alpha_n}}{|\alpha_n|} \frac{\alpha_n - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n} z} \right]^{p_n}$$

Let us suppose that  $\|f\|_{H^\infty} \leq M$ . Then by the isometry isomorphism, we have that  $\|f\|_{H^\infty(T)} \leq M$ . Hence, we have that

$$\left| \frac{f(e^{i\theta})}{B(e^{i\theta})} \right| = |f(e^{i\theta})| \leq M$$

almost all  $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$ . Thus by the maximum modulus theorem, we have that  $|f(z)| \leq |B(z)|$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Thus  $g = f/B$  is bounded and analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Hence, we have that  $f = Bg$  is unique because a Blaschke product is uniquely determined by its zeros.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.5.** *Let  $g$  be an inner function without zeroes, and suppose that  $g(0)$  is positive. Then there is a unique singular positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that*

$$g(z) = \exp \left[ - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu(e^{i\theta}) \right]$$

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be analytic function in the disc which is zero-free. Then  $g$  has an analytic



logarithm. Therefore, we may write

$$g = e^{-h}$$

for some analytic function  $h$  in the disc. Since  $g$  is bounded by 1, it must be that  $h$  must be nonnegative on the disc. Let  $h = u + iv$  for some real valued functions  $u, v$ . Then  $u \geq 0$ .

Now, the nonnegative harmonic function  $u$  is uniquely expressible in the form

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \int P_r(\theta - t) d\mu(t)$$

where  $\mu$  is a positive measure on the circle. Since  $g(0) > 0$  we have that  $v(0) = 0$ . Thus, we have that

$$h(z) = \int \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\theta.$$

Now,  $|g| = 1$  almost everywhere on the circle. Since  $|g| = e^{-u}$ , this means the non-tangential limits of  $u$  must vanish almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{T}$ . But these non-tangential limits are equal to  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\mu}{d\theta}$ . So  $\mu$  is singular and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.6.** *Let  $f \neq 0$  be an  $H^1$  function in the unit disc. Then  $f$  is uniquely expressible in the form of  $f = BSF$  where  $B$  is a Blaschke product,  $S$  is a singular function and  $F$  is an outer function (in  $H^1$ ).*

*Proof.* Since  $f \neq 0$  and is in  $H^1$ , we have that  $f = gF$  for some inner function  $g$  and outer function  $F$ . We know that this factorization is unique up to constant multiple of modulus 1. If  $B$  is the Blaschke product formed from the zeroes of  $g$  (that is, the zeroes of  $f$ ) then  $g = BS$ , where  $S$  is an inner function without zeroes. By multiplying  $g$  by a constant of modulus 1, we can arrange that so that  $S(0) > 0$ , that is a singular function. We can adjust that into the outer function  $F$  and we are done.  $\square$

### 3.2.1 Final Description of the Factorization

Let  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $f \not\equiv 0$ . By the previous theorem, we have that  $f$  can be factorized to  $BSF$  as in the previous theorem. Let  $p$  be the order of zero of  $f$  at the origin and let  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  be the multiplicities of the remaining zeroes  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$  of  $f$ .

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} B(z) &= z^p \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\overline{\alpha_n}}{|\alpha_n|} \frac{\alpha_n - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n} z} \right]^{p_n} \\ F(z) &= \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} (\log |f(e^{i\theta})| + ia) d\theta \right] \\ S(z) &= \frac{f(z)}{B(z)F(z)} = \exp \left[ - \int \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu(\theta) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for some positive singular measure  $\mu$  and where  $a = \arg(f/B)(0)$ .

We can deduce a generalised Jensen formula from this factorisation. If  $f(0) \neq 0$  then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta = \log |f(0)| + \sum_n p_n \log |\alpha_n|^{-1} + \int d\mu.$$

**Definition 3.2.7.** If a function  $f$  can be factorised into  $BSF$  where the notations are as in the previous theorem, we will call  $F$  the **outer part** of  $f$  and  $B \cdot S$  the **inner part** of  $f$ .

**Theorem 3.2.8.** *The Blaschke product whose zeroes are*

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \quad 0 < |\alpha_n| < 1$$

*converges at all points  $z$  in the complex plane except those in the compact set  $K$  consisting of*

- (i) *the points  $z = 1/\overline{\alpha_n}$ ;*
- (ii) *the points  $z$  on the unit circle which are accumulation points of the sequence  $(\alpha_n)$ .*

The convergence is uniform on any closed set in the plane which is disjoint from  $K$ , and the product  $B(z)$  is thus analytic off  $K$ .

*Proof.* First, we need to show that the set  $K$  which is defined by

$$K = \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{T} : z \text{ is an accumulation point of the sequence } (\alpha_n)\}$$

is indeed a compact set.

By the Blaschke condition, we have that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - |\alpha_n|)$  and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n| = 1$ . This tells us that the sequence  $K$  is bounded. To show that  $K$  is compact, it suffices to show that the set  $K$  is closed. It is easy to see that the sequence  $\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_n}\right)$  must accumulate on the boundary because  $(\alpha_n)$  can only accumulate on the boundary. Thus,  $K$  is closed. This shows that the set  $K$  is compact.

Let  $F$  be any closed set disjoint from  $K$ . Then let  $M = d(F, K) > 0$ . Then we have that for every  $z \in F$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_n - z} \right| \geq N \iff |1 - \bar{\alpha}_n z| \geq M.$$

Now, for  $z \in K$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - f_n(z) &= \frac{1 - |\alpha_n|}{|\alpha_n|} \left[ \frac{1 + |\alpha_n|}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n z} - 1 \right] \\ \rightsquigarrow |1 - f_n(z)| &\leq \frac{1 - |\alpha_n|}{|\alpha_n|} \left[ \frac{2}{M} + 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  satisfies the Blaschke condition, we have that  $\sum_n (1 - |\alpha_n|)$  converges and as a consequence we have that  $\sum |1 - f_n(z)|$  is uniformly summable and hence the product  $\prod_n f_n(z)$  is uniformly and absolutely convergent on the closed sets disjoint from  $K$ . This shows that the Blaschke product  $B(z)$  is analytic off  $K$ .  
<sup>1</sup>. □

Before we go on the next theorem, we make the following definition:

---

<sup>1</sup>This relies on notions of infinite product. For a proof of this fact, we ask the reader to look at Theorem 6.1.7 in [Ash14] or [Rud87]

**Definition 3.2.9.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite signed or a complex measure. The support of the measure  $\mu$  is defined in the following manner:

$$\text{supp}(\mu) = X \setminus \bigcup \{G \subset \mathbb{T} : G \text{ open}, \mu(G) = 0\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.10.** Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  be a positive singular measure. Consider the singular function  $S$  that is determined by  $\mu$ . Note that  $S : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by:

$$S(z) = \exp \left[ - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu(\theta) \right]$$

for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then we have that  $S$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp}(\mu)$ . Also, the function  $S$  (or even  $|S|$ ) is not continuously extendable from the interior of the disc to any point in  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ .

Since the proof of this is no longer relevant to our discussion, we refer the reader to see [Gar07] or [Hof07].

### 3.3 Two theorems due to Hardy

Before we prove the theorems due to Hardy and an another one which due to Haryd and Littlewood, we prove a lemma which is nontrivial, in the sense, that every  $H^1$  function can be factored as a product of two  $H^2$  functions. On the other hand, it can be easily seen that product of two  $H^2$  functions is always a  $H^1$  function.

**Theorem 3.3.1** (Hardy's inequality). Let  $F \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ . Then there exists  $G, K \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$F = GK$$

and

$$\|F\|_1 = \|G\|_2^2 = \|K\|_2^2.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2.4,  $F$  can be factored into  $F = B\Phi$  where  $B$  is a Blaschke product and  $\Phi \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$  is free of zeroes on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\|\Phi\|_1 = \|F\|_1$ . Since  $\Phi$  is zerofree on  $\mathbb{D}$ , it must have an analytic square root (A proof of this can be found in [Ash14]). Let

$$G = B\Phi^{1/2} \text{ and } K = \Phi^{1/2}.$$

Clearly then  $GK = F$  and  $\|K\|_2^2 = \|\Phi\|_1 = \|F\|_1$ . Moreover, again by Theorem 3.2.4, we have that

$$\|G\|_2^2 = \|B\Phi^{1/2}\|_2^2 = \|\Phi^{1/2}\|_2^2 = \|\Phi\|_1 = \|F\|_1.$$

□

Now, we state Hardy's inequality:

**Theorem 3.3.2** (Hardy). *Let  $f$  be a function in  $H^1$  with power series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

*Then we have that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |a_n| \leq \pi \|f\|_1.$$

*Proof.* First, we consider the case when the "Fourier" coefficients of  $f$  are  $a_n \geq 0$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Then we have that

$$\Im f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n \sin(n\theta).$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\pi - \theta) \sin(n\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Using the above, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} a_n r^n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\pi - \theta) \Im f(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \pi \|f\|_1$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we have the theorem where we assumed that  $a_n \geq 0$ .

Using the above theorem 3.3.1, we have that the function  $f$  can be factored into two  $H^2$  functions. Hence, we have that

$$f = gh.$$

Now, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \\ h(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n \end{aligned}$$

Then by the Riesz Fischer theorem, we have that the functions

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &= \sum |b_n| z^n \\ H(z) &= \sum |c_n| z^n \end{aligned}$$

are also in  $H^2$ ; in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|G\|_2 &= \|g\|_2 \\ \|H\|_2 &= \|h\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $F = GH$ . Certainly  $F \in H^1$  and we have that

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_n z^n$$

where  $\tilde{a}_n \geq 0$ . It is also apparent that  $|a_n| \leq \tilde{a}_n$ . It follows by the first part of the proof that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \tilde{a}_n \leq \pi \|F\|_1.$$

But

$$\|F\|_1 \leq \|G\|_2 \|H\|_2 = \|g\|_2 \|h\|_2 = \|f\|_1$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.3.** *Let  $f$  be a function on the unit circle which is both of bounded variation and in  $H^1$ . Then*

- (i)  *$f$  is an absolutely continuous function;*
- (ii) *the Fourier series for  $f$  is absolutely convergent.*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is of bounded variation, the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  are

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{i}{n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} df(\theta) \quad \text{for } n \neq 0$$

This shows that  $df$  is analytic. By F and M Riesz,  $df$  is absolutely continuous, i.e.,  $df = g d\theta$ , where  $g \in H^1$ . Thus  $a_n = \frac{i}{n} b_n$  where  $b_n$  is the  $n$ th Fourier coefficient of  $g$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . By the last theorem, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |b_n| < \infty$$

$\square$

# References

- [ABW13] Sheldon Axler, Paul Bourdon, and Ramey Wade. *Harmonic function theory*. Vol. 137. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Ash14] Robert B Ash. *Complex variables*. Academic Press, 2014.
- [Axl20] Sheldon Axler. *Measure, integration & real analysis*. Springer Nature, 2020.
- [Coh13] Donald L Cohn. *Measure theory*. Vol. 1. Springer, 2013.
- [Dur70] Peter L Duren. *Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces*. Academic press, 1970.
- [Fis83] S.D. Fisher. *Function Theory on Planar Domains: A Second Course in Complex Analysis*. A Wiley-Interscience publication. Wiley, 1983. ISBN: 9780471873143. URL: <https://books.google.co.in/books?id=CzxPAQAAIAAJ>.
- [Gar07] John Garnett. *Bounded analytic functions*. Vol. 236. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [Hof07] Kenneth Hoffman. *Banach spaces of analytic functions*. Courier Corporation, 2007.
- [Kat04] Yitzhak Katznelson. *An introduction to harmonic analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [Koo98] Paul Koosis. *Introduction to  $H^p$  spaces*. 115. Cambridge University Press, 1998.



- [KV18] Nikolaos Katzourakis and Eugen Varvaruca. *An Illustrative Introduction to Modern Analysis*. CRC Press, 2018.
- [Mas09] Javad Mashreghi. *Representation theorems in Hardy spaces*. Vol. 74. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [Rud87] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. Mathematics series. McGraw-Hill, 1987. ISBN: 9780071002769. URL: <https://books.google.co.in/books?id=NmW7QgAACAAJ>.
- [RY07] Bryan Rynne and Martin A Youngson. *Linear functional analysis*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.