

# Contents

<b>§1 Measures</b>	<b>2</b>
§1.1 Algebras and Sigma-Algebras . . . . .	2
§1.2 Measures . . . . .	2
§1.3 Outer Measures . . . . .	2
§1.4 Lebesgue Measure . . . . .	2
§1.5 Completeness and Regularity . . . . .	2
§1.6 Dynkin Classes . . . . .	2
<b>§2 Functions and Integrals</b>	<b>3</b>
§2.1 Measurable Functions . . . . .	3
§2.1.1 Question 1 . . . . .	3
§2.1.2 Question 2 . . . . .	3
§2.1.3 Question 3 . . . . .	3
§2.1.4 Question 4 . . . . .	3
§2.1.5 Question 5 . . . . .	3
§2.1.6 Question 6 . . . . .	4
§2.1.7 Question 7 . . . . .	4
§2.1.8 Question 8 . . . . .	4
§2.1.9 Question 9 . . . . .	4
§2.1.10 Question 10 . . . . .	4
§2.2 Properties That Hold Almost Everywhere . . . . .	5
§2.2.1 Question 1 . . . . .	5
§2.2.2 Question 2 . . . . .	5
§2.2.3 Question 3 . . . . .	5
§2.2.4 Question 4 . . . . .	5
§2.2.5 Question 5 . . . . .	5
§2.2.6 Question 6 . . . . .	5

## **§1 Measures**

### **§1.1 Algebras and Sigma-Algebras**

### **§1.2 Measures**

### **§1.3 Outer Measures**

### **§1.4 Lebesgue Measure**

### **§1.5 Completeness and Regularity**

### **§1.6 Dynkin Classes**

## §2 Functions and Integrals

### §2.1 Measurable Functions

#### §2.1.1 Question 1

Observe that all this question wants us to prove is that

$$\chi_{\limsup A_n} = \limsup \chi_{A_n}$$

This is easy and simply follows from the definition.

#### §2.1.2 Question 2

Let  $Y$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is not Borel measurable. Then  $\chi_Y$  cannot be Borel measurable (See Example 2.1.2 (b) in the book). Observe that  $Y$  cannot be countable for otherwise we could write  $Y$  as union of its singleton members of  $Y$  and hence  $Y$  would be Borel set. Thus,  $Y$  is uncountable. Now, consider the set of functions  $J := \{\chi_{\{y\}} : y \in Y\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\chi_Y = \sup \{\chi_{\{y\}} : y \in Y\}$ . Clearly,  $J$  is set of Borel measurable functions whose supremum is not Borel measurable.

#### §2.1.3 Question 3

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function which is differentiable everywhere. Consider the sequence of function  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which is given by  $f_n(x) = \frac{f(x + \frac{1}{n}) - f(x)}{1/n}$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Clearly,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}$  and each  $f_n$  is measurable. Since limit of Borel measurable functions is measurable, we have that  $f$  is Borel measurable.

#### §2.1.4 Question 4

Let  $A := \{x \in X : \lim_n f_n(x) \text{ exists and finite}\}$ . Let  $B := \{x \in X : \limsup_n f_n(x) = \liminf_n f_n(x)\}$ . Then  $B$  is measurable by virtue of Proposition 2.1.5 and 2.1.3. Note that  $B$  is the set of all points  $x$  in  $X$  where  $\lim_n f_n(x)$  exists. Now  $|f|$  is a measurable function. So the set  $C := \bigcap_n \{x \in X : |f|(x) \leq n\}$  is measurable. Notice that set  $A = B \cap C$  and this completes the proof.

#### §2.1.5 Question 5

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and let  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be simple, measurable functions. We first show the following statement is true: A measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is simple iff there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$ .

Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable. We start the proof of the above statement. ( $\implies$ ) Suppose that  $f$  is simple. Then, by definition,  $f$  takes only finitely many values, say,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Since  $f$  is measurable, the set  $A_i := \{x \in X : f(x) = \alpha_i\}$  is measurable. Then it is easy to check that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$ . ( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose that there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n$ . It is fairly easy to check by cases that  $f$  is measurable (Just see what happens when  $\alpha_i < t$  for each  $i$ ).

1. Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be simple measurable functions. Then there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$  and there exists  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$  and measurable sets  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m$  such that  $g = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \chi_{B_j}$ . It is then easy to see that  $f + g = \sum_i \sum_j (\alpha_i + \beta_j) \chi_{A_i \cap B_j}$  and  $fg = \sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \beta_j \chi_{A_i \cap B_j}$ . By equivalent statement that we proved above, we are done.
2. Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable functions. Then by Proposition 2.1.8, there exist a nondecreasing sequences of real valued measurable simple functions  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  converging pointwise to  $f$  and  $g$  respectively. By part (1) of this problem  $\{f_n + g_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of real valued measurable simple functions converging pointwise to  $f + g$ . By Proposition 2.1.5, we have that limits of measurable functions are measurable and we are done.

### §2.1.6 Question 6

Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable function. We first show that  $x \mapsto t - f(x)$  is a measurable function for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed, let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  be some arbitrary real number. Then  $\{x \in X : t - f(x) < s\} = \{x \in X : f(x) > t - s\}$ . Since the set on the right hand side of the previous inequality is measurable as  $f$  is measurable, we are done.

Now, let  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable functions. To show that  $f + g$  is measurable, let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) + g(x) < t\} = \{x \in X : g(x) < t - f(x)\}$ . Since  $g$  is measurable and the function  $x \mapsto t - f(x)$  is measurable by the previous paragraph, Proposition 2.1.6 implies that  $f + g$  is measurable.

### §2.1.7 Question 7

### §2.1.8 Question 8

### §2.1.9 Question 9

### §2.1.10 Question 10

Let  $\mathcal{V}_0$  be the collection of all Borel functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Proposition 2.1.7. shows that  $\mathcal{V}_0$  is a vector space. Since every continuous function is Borel measurable, we have the set of all continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{V}_0$ . The third property is satisfied due to Proposition 2.1.5.

We follow the hint given in the book. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a collection of functions satisfying the three criteria given. Let  $S(\mathcal{V}) = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \chi_A \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . Clearly

## §2.2 Properties That Hold Almost Everywhere

### §2.2.1 Question 1

Consider the function  $\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}}$  and the constant function 1. Both are Borel measurable. and they both agree on a dense set of  $\mathbb{R}$ , namely,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . But the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}} \neq 1\} = \mathbb{Q}$  which is a countable set and hence  $\lambda$ -negligible.

### §2.2.2 Question 2

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers and let  $\mu$  be the measure defined by  $\mu = \sum_n \delta_{x_n}$ . Exercise 1.2.6 shows that  $\mu$  is indeed a measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two functions.

We want to show that  $f, g$  agree  $\mu$ -almost everywhere iff  $f(x_n) = g(x_n)$  holds for every  $n$ .

( $\implies$ ) Suppose that  $f, g$  agree almost everywhere. Then  $A := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is  $\mu$  negligible. Since  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , we have that  $\mu(A) = 0$ . So if there was some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(x_k) \neq g(x_k)$  then  $x_k \in A$  and then  $\mu(\{x_k\}) = 1$ . But since  $\{x_k\} \subseteq A$ , we have that  $1 < 0$  which is absurd. Hence, we are done!

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose that  $f(x_n) = g(x_n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We need to prove that  $A$  is  $\mu$ -negligible. Since  $A \cap \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$ , we have that  $\mu(A) = \sum_n \delta_{x_n}(A) = 0$ .

### §2.2.3 Question 3

We show the following is true: If  $f$  is a continuous real-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f = 0$   $\lambda$ -almost everywhere then  $f$  is the zero function.

By assumption,  $\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}) = 0$ .

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We claim that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is some  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(y) = 0$  and  $|y - x| < \varepsilon$ .

Suppose not. Then there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|y - x| < \varepsilon$  we have  $f(y) \neq 0$ .

But note that previous statement is a contradiction as  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

### §2.2.4 Question 4

### §2.2.5 Question 5

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable  $[-\infty, \infty]$ -valued functions on  $X$ . Suppose that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  converges  $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

Let  $N = \{x \in X : \lim_n f_n(x) \neq f(x)\}$ . Define  $g_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  by  $g_n = f_n \circ \chi_{N^c} + f \circ \chi_N$  for every  $x \in X$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We claim that  $g_n \rightarrow f$  everywhere. This is easy to see. If  $x \in N$  then  $g_n(x) = f(x)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and if  $x \in N^c$  then  $g_n(x) = f_n(x)$  and  $\lim_n g_n(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$ . Since  $x \in N^c$ ,  $\lim_n g_n(x) = f(x)$ . It is easy to see that  $N^c \subset \{x \in X : g_n(x) = f_n(x)\}$  so  $\{x \in X : g_n(x) \neq f_n(x)\} \subseteq N$ . Since  $N$  is  $\mu$ -negligible, we are done.

### §2.2.6 Question 6

See Wikipedia! Thomae's function!