

Lecture Notes in Functional Analysis

Lectures by Dr. Md Ramiz Reza *

Contents

§1 Lecture 1 — <i>Introduction to Hilbert Spaces and some examples</i> — 9th January, 2023	4
§1.1 Inner Product Spaces	4
§1.2 Hilbert Spaces	5
§2 Lecture 2 — <i>Hilbert Spaces!</i> — 11th January, 2023	7
§2.1 Closed and Convex!	7
§2.2 Projections	10
§3 Lecture 3 — <i>Riesz Representation Theorem for Hilbert Spaces</i> — 13th January, 2023	14
§3.1 Lecture 2 continued	14
§3.2 Existence of closed subspaces of Hilbert Spaces	15
§3.3 Statement and Proof of Riesz Representation Theorem	16
§4 Lecture 4 — <i>Projection for subspaces having countable orthonormal basis</i> — 17th January, 2022	18
§4.1 Projections and Orthonormal Sets in finite dimensions...	18
§4.2 Generalising projections to infinite dimensions...	18
§4.3 Existence of a maximal orthonormal set in a inner product space	20
§4.4 Separability of Hilbert Spaces	21
§5 Lecture 5 — <i>Examples Galore!</i> — 18th January, 2023	22
§5.1 Properties of Finite Dimensional Hilbert Spaces	22
§5.2 Revisiting the examples of Hilbert spaces	22

*Notes by Ashish Kujur, Last Updated: January 25, 2023

\$6 Lecture 6 — <i>Nonseparable Hilbert Spaces & Generalising Sums...</i> —	
23rd January, 2023	23
§6.1 Non separable Hilbert Spaces	23
\$7 Lecture 7 — <i>Banach Spaces and some examples</i> — 25th January, 2023	26
§7.1 Possibly, final	26
§7.2 Class Sketch	26
§7.2.1 Definition	26
§7.2.2 Examples	26

References

The following textbooks will be used for this course:

1. John B. Conway – A Course in Functional Analysis
2. Walter Rudin – Real and Complex Analysis
3. Bhatia – Notes on Functional Analysis
4. Erwin Kreyzsig – Introductory functional analysis with applications

§1 Lecture 1 — *Introduction to Hilbert Spaces and some examples* — 9th January, 2023

§1.1 Inner Product Spaces

Definition §1.1.1 (Inner Product). Let V be a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} (where \mathbb{F} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}). A function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is called an *inner product* if it satisfies the following properties

1. $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$
2. $\langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle$
3. $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$
4. $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$
5. $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ only if $x = 0$.

for all $x, y, z \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. A vector space V with an inner product is called an *inner product space*.

Example §1.1.2 (Examples of inner product spaces). Here are some examples of inner product spaces:

1. The obvious first example is that of \mathbb{C}^n with the standard 2-inner product given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i}$$

2. One can then consider the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ which is the vector space of all square summable sequences on \mathbb{C} . That is,

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) = \left\{ (x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

We define an inner product on this vector space by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \overline{y_i}$$

One can show using Holder's inequality that the sum turns out to be finite and the "inner product" is indeed an inner product.

3. Next, we consider the vector space of all polynomials over \mathbb{C} which we denote by $\mathbb{C}[x]$. If $p, q \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, we define an inner product on $\mathbb{C}[x]$ by

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_0^1 p \bar{q} dx$$

4. One can define inner products on $C[0, 1]$ and $L^2(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ in an similar fashion as in item 3. Note that (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a measure space.

Definition §1.1.3. Let V be an inner product space. We can define a function $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$$

We call this function *norm induced by the inner product*. (This norm is indeed a norm as one can check!)

The proof of the following theorems are skipped:

Theorem §1.1.4 (Cauchy Schwarz inequality). *Let V be an inner product space, $x, y \in V$. Then we have that*

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

Theorem §1.1.5 (Triangle Inequality). *Let V be an inner product space, $x, y \in V$. Then we have that*

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

§1.2 Hilbert Spaces

Definition §1.2.1. Let V be an inner product space. One can consider V as a metric space by defining the following metric d :

$$d(v, w) = \|v - w\|$$

for all $v, w \in V$. Then (V, d) is a metric space (**Check!**). We say that V is a *Hilbert Space* if (V, d) is a complete metric space.

Example §1.2.2. We consider some examples and not-so-example of Hilbert Space:

1. \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^n with the standard inner product are complete!
2. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is complete.
3. $L^2(X)$ is complete where (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a measure space.
4. $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ is not complete w.r.t $L^2[0, 1]$ inner product.
5. Consider $c_{00} = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) : (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is eventually zero}\}$. c_{00} has the induced inner product. We show that c_{00} with this induced product is not complete! One consider the sequence of sequences given by

$$f_n = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, \dots\right)$$

One can then easily show that (f_n) is Cauchy sequence in c_{00} but it does not converge in c_{00} .

§2 Lecture 2 — Hilbert Spaces! — 11th January, 2023

The important goal of this lecture is to show that if H is a Hilbert Space then we show that under certain conditions an element can be projected onto a set. But before that, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem §2.0.1 (Norm is uniformly continuous). *Let H be a Hilbert space. The norm function on H , that is, $\|\cdot\| : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$, $x \in H$, is continuous.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in H$. Then by the triangle inequality, we have the following:

$$\|x\| = \|(x - y) + y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \|y\|$$

and hence

$$\|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

Interchanging the role of x and y in the previous inequality, we have that

$$\|y\| - \|x\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

and thus, we have proved that

$$|\|x\| - \|y\|| \leq \|x - y\|$$

which says that $\|\cdot\|$ is uniformly continuous. □

Note that theorem §2.0.1 holds for any normed linear space, that is, there is no use of completeness there.

§2.1 Closed and Convex!

Theorem §2.1.1. *Let S be a closed convex set in a Hilbert space H . Let $x \in H$. The distance of x from S , denoted as $d(x, S)$, is given by*

$$d(x, S) = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in S\}.$$

It follows that there exist a unique $s_0 \in S$ such that $d(x, S) = \|x - s_0\|$.

Proof. First of all, recall the parallelogram identity which holds for any inner product spaces, and hence in particular for Hilbert spaces,

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)$$

The parallelogram law plays a crucial role in the proof of this theorem. Now, let's get busy to prove the theorem. First of all, by definition of infimum, we can find a sequence (s_n) in S such that $d(s_n, x) \rightarrow d(x, S)$. To be economical, let us denote $\delta := d(x, S)$. We show that (s_n) is Cauchy sequence in H . To do so, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given.

Observe that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| \frac{x - s_n}{2} - \frac{x - s_m}{2} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{x - s_n}{2} + \frac{x - s_m}{2} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\|x - s_n\|^2 + \|x - s_m\|^2)$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{4} \|s_m - s_n\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\|x - s_n\|^2 + \|x - s_m\|^2) - \frac{1}{4} \left\| x - \frac{s_n + s_m}{2} \right\|^2 \quad (\S 2.1.1)$$

Now since $d(s_n, x)$ converges to δ , we must have that $d(s_n, x)^2$ converges to δ^2 and hence there is some $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $i \geq K$,

$$\|x - s_i\|^2 < \delta^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$$

Now for all $n, m \geq K$ and from equation §2.1.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_m - s_n\|^2 &= 2 (\|x - s_n\|^2 + \|x - s_m\|^2) - \left\| x - \frac{s_n + s_m}{2} \right\|^2 \\ &\stackrel{(!)}{<} 2 \cdot 2 \left(\delta^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \right) - 4\delta^2 \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that in inequality (!), we made use of the convexity of S to conclude that $\frac{s_n + s_m}{2} \in S$. This shows that (s_n) is Cauchy. Now, since H is a Hilbert space, (s_n) must converge to some $s_0 \in H$. Closedness of S allows us to conclude that s_0 must be in S .

Hence, $x - s_n$ converges to $x - s_0$. By Theorem §2.0.1, we conclude that $\|x - s_n\|$ converges to $\|x - s_0\|$. Since $\|x - s_n\|$ also converges to δ , we have by uniqueness of limits that $\delta = \|x - s_0\|$.

It remains to prove the uniqueness of such a vector. Let us suppose that s_0 and t_0 be two vectors such that $\|x - s_0\| = \|x - t_0\| = \delta$.

Applying parallelogram identity on the vectors s_0 and t_0 as in Equation §2.1.1,

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4} \|s_0 - t_0\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\|x - s_0\|^2 + \|x - t_0\|^2) - \frac{1}{4} \left\| x - \frac{s_0 + t_0}{2} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \delta^2 - \left\| x - \frac{s_0 + t_0}{2} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $s_0 = t_0$ and this completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Example §2.1.2 (distance is achieved but the vector may not be unique). Consider the normed linear space $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_1)$. Now consider the subset S of \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$S = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 + x_2 = 1\}.$$

Note that $d((0,0), S) = 1 = d((0,0), (1,0)) = d((0,0), (0,1))$. Hence, the uniqueness is not guaranteed.

Exercise §2.1.3. Consider the space $(C[0,1])$ with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, that is, $\|f\|_\infty = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in [0,1]\}$. Let S be the set

$$S = \left\{ f \in C[0,1] : \int_0^{1/2} f(x) dx - \int_{1/2}^1 f(x) dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Show that the set S is closed and convex but the distance $d(0, S) = 1$, is never achieved at any point in S . That is, it is not the case that there is some $f \in S$ such that $d(0, f) = \|f\|_\infty = 1$.

Solution. We begin by showing that S is convex. Let $f, g \in S$ and $t \in [0,1]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{1/2} (tf(x) + (1-t)g(x)) dx - \int_{1/2}^1 (tf(x) + (1-t)g(x)) dx &= t + (1-t) \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Note that the second equality follows by the virtue of $f, g \in S$.

Now, we proceed to show that the S is closed. Let (f_n) be a sequence of functions in S converging to $f \in C[0,1]$. We need to prove that $f \in S$. Now convergence in supremum norm is the same as the uniform convergence, so, we have that following:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^{1/2} f_n(x) dx - \int_{1/2}^1 f_n(x) dx \right) = 1$$

implies

$$\int_0^{1/2} f(x) dx - \int_{1/2}^1 f(x) dx = 1$$

and thus $f \in S$. Consider the zero function and the set S , we show that there is no $f \in S$ such that $d(0, S) = d(f, 0) = \|f\|_\infty$. **Incomplete!** \square

§2.2 Projections

Theorem §2.2.1. *Let H be a Hilbert space. For any fixed $y \in H$, consider the map $L_y : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $L_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$, $x \in H$. Then L_y is a continuous linear functional on H .*

Proof. Let $y \in H$ be fixed. Consider the function $L_y : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $L_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ for each $x \in H$. We show that L_y is Lipschitz continuous. Let $x_0 \in H$. If $x \in H$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_y(x) - L_y(x_0)| &= |\langle x, y \rangle - \langle x_0, y \rangle| \\ &= |\langle x - x_0, y \rangle| \\ &\leq \|x - x_0\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

Note the inequality follows from Cauchy Schwarz and this completes the proof. \square

Definition §2.2.2. Let H be a Hilbert space. For any $y \in H$, the symbol y^\perp denote the subspace defined by

$$y^\perp := \{x \in H : \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$$

Observe that y^\perp is a closed subspace of H . This is because y^\perp is the kernel of the continuous map L_y as given by Theorem §2.2.1.

Definition §2.2.3. Let H be a Hilbert space. Let M be any subspace of H . Let the symbol M^\perp denote the subspace given by

$$M^\perp = \{x \in H : \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in M\} = \bigcap_{y \in M} y^\perp.$$

Observe that M^\perp is always closed since it is intersection of closed subspaces of H .

Theorem §2.2.4 (Existence of an Orthogonal Projection onto a closed subspaces).
Let M be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space H . Then

(a) *Every $x \in H$ has a unique decomposition*

$$x = Px + Qx$$

into a sum of $Px \in M$ and $Qx \in M^\perp$. Thus $H = M \oplus M^\perp$.

(b) *Px and Qx are the nearest points to x in M and in M^\perp respectively.*

(c) *The mappings $P : H \rightarrow M$ and $Q : H \rightarrow M^\perp$ are linear and satisfies $P^2 = P$ and $Q^2 = Q$. The map P and Q are called the **orthogonal projection onto M and M^\perp** respectively.*

(d) *$\|x\|^2 = \|Px\|^2 + \|Qx\|^2$ for every $x \in H$.*

Proof. Since subspaces are convex, we can appeal to Theorem §2.1.1 as we please. We now start to prove each of the statements of theorem:

(a) Let $x \in H$ be arbitrary. Then by the Theorem §2.1.1 there is a unique vector $Px \in M$ such that

$$d(x, M) = \|x - Px\|$$

Define $Qx \in M$ by $Qx = x - Px$. We need to show that $Qx \in M^\perp$. Let $y \in M$. We want to show that $\langle x - Px, y \rangle = 0$. To do so, observe that

$$\left\langle Qx - \langle Qx, y \rangle \frac{y}{\|y\|^2}, y \right\rangle = \langle Qx, y \rangle - \left\langle \langle Qx, y \rangle \frac{y}{\|y\|^2}, y \right\rangle = 0 \quad (\S 2.2.1)$$

Now,

$$Qx = \underbrace{\left(Qx - \langle Qx, y \rangle \frac{y}{\|y\|^2} \right)}_{=:v_1} + \underbrace{\langle Qx, y \rangle \frac{y}{\|y\|^2}}_{=:v_2}$$

Note that by equation §2.2.1, v_1 and v_2 are orthogonal and hence by Pythagoras theorem for inner product spaces, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^2 = \|Qx\|^2 &= \left\| Qx - \langle Qx, y \rangle \frac{y}{\|y\|^2} \right\|^2 + \left\| \langle Qx, y \rangle \frac{y}{\|y\|^2} \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| Qx - \langle Qx, y \rangle \frac{y}{\|y\|^2} \right\|^2 + \frac{|\langle Qx, y \rangle|^2}{\|y\|^4} \\
&= \left\| x - Px - \langle Qx, y \rangle \frac{y}{\|y\|^2} \right\|^2 + \frac{|\langle Qx, y \rangle|^2}{\|y\|^4} \geq \delta^2 + \frac{|\langle Qx, y \rangle|^2}{\|y\|^4}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus, we have that $|\langle Qx, y \rangle| = 0$. This completes the proof of (a).

- (b) By uniqueness of part (a), it follows that Px is the nearest point to x in M . It remains to prove that Qx is the nearest point to x in M^\perp . Note that $x - Qx = Px \in M$. Now for any $y \in M^\perp$ we have $Qx - y \in M^\perp$. Thus we get

$$\|x - y\|^2 = \|(x - Qx) + (Qx - y)\|^2 = \|x - Qx\|^2 + \|Qx - y\|^2 \geq \|x - Qx\|^2.$$

This shows that Qx is the nearest point to x in M^\perp .

- (c) Let $x_1, x_2 \in M$. By part (a), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= Px_1 + Qx_1 \\
x_2 &= Px_2 + Qx_2 \\
x_1 + x_2 &= P(x_1 + x_2) + Q(x_1 + x_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Now taking sums and rearranging, we have that

$$\underbrace{Px_1 + Px_2 - P(x_1 + x_2)}_{\in M} = \underbrace{Q(x_1 + x_2) - Qx_1 - Qx_2}_{\in M^\perp}$$

Since $M \cap M^\perp = \{0\}$, the linearity of P and Q follows.

Now, let $x \in P$. We need to prove that $P^2x = Px$. Now note that $Px \in M$. Thus by part (a) we have

$$Px = P^2x + QPx$$

By uniqueness of part (a), we must have that $Px = P^2x$. This completes the proof. $Q^2 = Q$ can be proved similarly.

(d) This follows immediately from Pythagoras theorem.

□

Corollary §2.2.5. *Let M be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space H . Then $(M^\perp)^\perp = M$. In case M is a subspace then $(M^\perp)^\perp = \overline{M}$, the closure of M in H .*

§3 Lecture 3 — *Riesz Representation Theorem for Hilbert Spaces* — 13th January, 2023

§3.1 Lecture 2 continued ...

Before we move onto prove the Riesz Representation Theorem, we finish the proof of Corollary §2.2.5. It follows immediately from the following results:

Proposition §3.1.1 (orthogonal complement of a set and the orthogonal complement of its closure are same!). *Let M be a subset of a inner product space H . Then $M^\perp = (\overline{M})^\perp$*

Proof. It follows by definition that $M \subset \overline{M}$ and hence $(\overline{M})^\perp \subset M^\perp$. Now for reverse the inclusion, let $v \in M^\perp$ and let $y \in \overline{M}$. We need to show that $\langle v, y \rangle = 0$. Since $y \in \overline{M}$ there is a sequence (y_n) in M such that $y_n \rightarrow y$. Since $v \in M^\perp$, we have that $\langle v, y_n \rangle = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\langle v, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle v, y \rangle$, we have by uniqueness of limits that $\langle v, y \rangle = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition §3.1.2 (orthogonal complement of orthogonal complement). *Let M be a closed subspace of the Hilbert space H . Then*

$$M = (M^\perp)^\perp$$

Proof. Let us first show that $M \subset (M^\perp)^\perp$ (which in fact holds for any set M). Let $v \in M$ and $w \in M^\perp$. It is clearly by definition of M^\perp that $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. Hence, $v \in (M^\perp)^\perp$.

Let us proceed to show the inclusion in the other direction. Let $v \in (M^\perp)^\perp$. Since M is closed, by Theorem §2.2.4, we have that $v = Pv + Qv$ where $Pv \in M$ and $Qv \in M^\perp$. By the previous paragraph, we have that $M \subset (M^\perp)^\perp$ and hence $Pv \in (M^\perp)^\perp$. Hence, we have that $Qv \in (M^\perp)^\perp$. Now, $Qv \in M^\perp \cap (M^\perp)^\perp$. Hence, $Qv = 0$ and thus, $v = Pv \in M$. \square

Note that Proposition §3.1.1 does not depend on H being a Hilbert Space while Proposition §3.1.2 does!

Now, proof of Corollary §2.2.5 follows immediately:

Proof of Corollary §2.2.5. The first part of Corollary §2.2.5 is basically Proposition §3.1.2. Now to prove the second part, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (M^\perp)^\perp &= \left((\overline{M})^\perp \right)^\perp && \text{by Proposition §3.1.1} \\
 &= \overline{\overline{M}} && \text{by Proposition §3.1.2} \\
 &= \overline{M}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

§3.2 Existence of closed subspaces of Hilbert Spaces

Let H be a Hilbert space of dimension at least 1. Does there always exist a closed subspace of H ? The answer is *Yes!*

Let us proceed to prove this: Let H be any Hilbert space of dimension at least one. So, there is at least one nonzero vector v . Let M be the subspace spanned by v . We show that M is closed. Let (y_n) be a sequence in M converging to some $x \in H$. By definition of M , we have that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_n = c_n v$ for some $c_n \in \mathbb{F}$. We claim that c_n is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{F} .

To show that (c_n) is Cauchy in \mathbb{F} , let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $(c_n v)$ is convergent, it is Cauchy. So there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n, m \geq N$, we have $\|c_n v - c_m v\| < \|v\| \varepsilon$. Which in turn implies that for $n, m \geq N$, $|c_n - c_m| < \varepsilon$.

Now, since (c_n) is Cauchy in \mathbb{F} , it must converge to some $c \in \mathbb{F}$. Now, the sequence $(c_n v)$ converges to $c v$ in M and by the uniqueness of limits, we have that $y = c v$ and hence $y \in M$.

This argument generalises, *mutatis mutandis*, and the following result holds:

Theorem §3.2.1. *Every finite dimensional subspace of a Hilbert space is closed.*

Proof. We do a proof by induction on the dimension of finite dimensional subspace. The base case is clear by the argument given before the statement of this theorem.

Suppose the theorem is true for all subspaces of dimension n .

Let H be an inner product space and U be a finite dimensional subspace of H of dimension $n + 1$.

Let v be a nonzero vector of U . Then let

$$v_U^\perp = v^\perp \cap U$$

It is easy to see that $U = \text{span } v \oplus v_U^\perp$. Since v_U^\perp is a subspace of dimension n , it is closed by the induction hypothesis.

We now proceed to show that U is closed in H . Let (u_n) be a sequence in U converging to $x \in H$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $u_n = c_n v + v_n$ for some $c_n \in F$ and some $v_n \in v_U^\perp$. Since (u_n) is convergent, we have that (u_n) is Cauchy. Thus,

$$|c_n - c_m|^2 \|v\|^2 + \|v_n - v_m\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty$$

and note that this is due to Pythagoras theorem.

Then (c_n) converges to c and (v_n) is Cauchy and hence converges to some $y \in H$. Since v_U^\perp is closed, we have that $y \in v_U^\perp$. Thus, u_n converges to $cv + y$ and by uniqueness of limits, we have that $x = cv + y \in U$. \square

Example §3.2.2. Consider the subspace c_{00} in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. We showed that c_{00} is not complete with inner product on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, so, it cannot be closed (because closed subspaces of a complete metric space are closed!). So, we may ask what is the closure of c_{00} in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$?

It is precisely $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. One can show this as follows: if $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ then we may consider the sequence (g_n) in c_{00} given by

$$g_n = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

It is easily seen that g_n converges to f .

Exercise §3.2.3. If M is a subspace of a Hilbert Space H then so is \overline{M} .

§3.3 Statement and Proof of Riesz Representation Theorem

In Theorem §2.2.1, we saw that for any $y \in H$ where H is a Hilbert space, the linear functional $L_y : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $x \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$ is continuous. But does it happen that given a continuous linear functional $L : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ there is a $y_0 \in H$ such that $L = L_{y_0}$? The answer to this question is:

Theorem §3.3.1 (Riesz Representation Theorem for Hilbert Spaces). *Let $L : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous linear functional. Then there exists a $y_0 \in H$ such that $L = L_{y_0}$.*

But before proving Riesz Representation Theorem, let us prove that following theorem:

Proposition §3.3.2 (necessary and sufficient condition for a subspace to be dense). *Suppose M is a subspace of a Hilbert space V . Then $\overline{M} = V$ iff $M^\perp = 0$.*

Proof of Proposition §3.3.2. First suppose $\overline{M} = V$. We need to show that $M^\perp = 0$. By §3.1.1, we have that $V^\perp = (\overline{M})^\perp = M^\perp$. But since $V^\perp = \{0\}$, we have that $M^\perp = \{0\}$.

To prove the converse, suppose that $M^\perp = 0$. Taking \perp both sides, we have that $\overline{M} = 0$ by using §2.2.5. \square

Proof of §3.3.1. Let $L : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous linear functional. Since L is continuous, $\ker L$ is closed. Let $M := \ker L$.

Now, there are two possible cases: either $M^\perp = 0$ or $M^\perp \supsetneq \{0\}$.

If $M^\perp = \{0\}$, then $M = \overline{M} = (M^\perp)^\perp = \{0\}^\perp = H$. Hence, we have that $L = L_0$ and this case is done.

Let us consider the other case where $M^\perp \supsetneq \{0\}$. We claim that $\dim M^\perp = 1$. Since $M^\perp \supsetneq \{0\}$, we may select a nonzero vector $w \in M^\perp$. We show that $M^\perp = \text{span } \{w\}$. To do so, let $\tilde{w} \in M^\perp$. Consider the vector $x := L(\tilde{w})w - L(w)\tilde{w}$. Clearly, $L(x) = 0$. Hence $x \in M$. Since $w, \tilde{w} \in M^\perp$, we also have that $x \in M^\perp$. But then $x \in M \cap M^\perp = \{0\}$ and hence $x = 0$. Thus, $L(\tilde{w})w = L(w)\tilde{w}$. Observe that $L(w) \neq 0$ for otherwise $w \in M$ and $w \in M \cap M^\perp$ forcing $w = 0$. Hence $\tilde{w} = (L(w))^{-1} L(\tilde{w})w$. Hence $\tilde{w} \in \text{span } \{w\}$ which completes the proof of the claim.

Let w be as in previous paragraph. We have that $H = M \oplus M^\perp = \ker L \oplus \text{span } w$. Hence, $(\text{span } \{w\})^\perp = (M^\perp)^\perp = \overline{M} = M$. Since $(\text{span } \{w\}) = w^\perp$, we have that $w^\perp = M$.

Now, let $x \in H$. Now,

$$x = \underbrace{x - \frac{\langle x, w \rangle w}{\|w\|^2}}_{w^\perp = M} + \underbrace{\frac{\langle x, w \rangle w}{\|w\|^2}}_{\in M^\perp}$$

Hence, we have that

$$L(x) = \left\langle x, \frac{\overline{L(w)}w}{\|w\|^2} \right\rangle$$

This completes the proof! \square

§4 Lecture 4 — *Projection for subspaces having countable orthonormal basis* — 17th January, 2022

§4.1 Projections and Orthonormal Sets in finite dimensions...

Suppose M is a finite dimensional subspace of an Hilbert space H and dimension of M is n . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{u_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of M (existence of such basis follows from the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to any basis of M). For any $x \in H$, consider the vector $\sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j$ in M . Thus we obtain

$$x = \left(x - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j \right)$$

We verify that $x - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j \in M^\perp$. Observe that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle x - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j, u_i \right\rangle &= \langle x, u_i \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle \langle u_j, u_i \rangle \\ &= \langle x, u_i \rangle - \langle x, u_i \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now by the uniqueness of the decomposition (see Theorem §2.2.4) $H = M \oplus M^\perp$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_M x &= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j, \\ P_{M^\perp} x &= x - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j, \end{aligned}$$

where P_M and P_{M^\perp} denotes the orthogonal projection onto M and M^\perp respectively. Furthermore, it follows that

$$\|P_M x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|P_{M^\perp} x\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2, \quad x \in H. \quad (\S 4.1.1)$$

§4.2 Generalising projections to infinite dimensions...

Proposition §4.2.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space H . Then for any $x \in H$, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2.$$

This is known as the Bessel's inequality. Let $M = \overline{\text{span}\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ be the smallest closed subspace spanned by the orthonormal set \mathcal{B} . It follows that $S_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j$ is a Cauchy sequence in M . Hence the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j$ exist in the Hilbert space M . Moreover, $P_M(x)$, the orthogonal projection of x onto M , is given by

$$P_M(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x).$$

Furthermore equality occurs in the Bessel's inequality if and only if $x \in M$.

Proof. Fix a natural number k and consider $M_k = \text{span}\{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$. By Equation §4.1.1 we find that

$$\|P_{M_k} x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2, \quad x \in H,$$

where P_{M_k} denotes the orthogonal projection onto M_k . Since this holds true for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2.$$

For $S_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j$, note that

$$\|S_m(x) - S_k(x)\|^2 = \sum_{j=k+1}^m |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2, \quad k \geq m.$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2 < \infty$, it follows that $\{S_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in M and converges to some vector in M . Let $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x)$. It is straightforward to verify that $\langle x - S_n(x), u_j \rangle = 0$ for every $n \geq j$. Since $x - z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x - S_n(x))$, it follows that $\langle x - z, u_j \rangle = 0$. This holds true for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we get that $x - z \in M^{\perp}$. Thus we have

$$x = z + (x - z), \quad x - z \in M^{\perp}, \quad z \in M.$$

By the uniqueness of the decomposition $H = M \oplus M^{\perp}$, we obtain that

$$P_M(x) = z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x), \quad P_{M^{\perp}}(x) = x - z.$$

Now, we proceed to show that the Bessel inequality holds iff $x \in M$. Suppose that $x \in M$. Then we have that $P_M(x) = x$ and hence $x = z + (x - z) = x + (x - z)$ and hence $x = z$. But note that $\|z\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2$ but this follows from

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2$$

and then taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2 \quad (\S 4.2.1)$$

Conversely, suppose that equality in Bessel's inequality holds then from equation §4.2.1 and the fact that $\|x\|^2 = \|z\|^2 + \|x - z\|^2$ that $\|x - z\|^2 = 0$ and hence $x \in M$. \square

Corollary §4.2.2. Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a maximal orthonormal set in a Hilbert space H . Let $M = \overline{\text{span}\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ be the smallest closed subspace spanned by the orthonormal set \mathcal{B} . Then it follows that $M = H$ and for any $x \in H$ we have

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x), \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, u_j \rangle|^2.$$

Proof. If $M^\perp \neq \{0\}$, then consider a non zero unit vector u in M^\perp . Then $\mathcal{B} \cup \{u\}$ is another family of orthonormal set containing \mathcal{B} . This contradicts the maximality of \mathcal{B} . Hence by the maximality of \mathcal{B} , it follows that $M^\perp = \{0\}$. Now the corollary follows from the proposition. \square

§4.3 Existence of a maximal orthonormal set in a inner product space

Let (X, \leq) be the collection of all orthonormal set in V equipped with the partial ordering of set inclusion, that is, for $A, B \in X$, we have $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq B$. It is straightforward to verify that if \mathcal{C} is a chain (totally ordered set) in the partially ordered set (X, \leq) , then the chain \mathcal{C} has an upper bound in X namely the union of the members of \mathcal{C} . Hence by Zorn's Lemma it follows that X has a maximal element, that is, V has a maximal orthonormal set. Now, we make a definition:

Definition §4.3.1 (orthonormal basis). A maximal orthonormal set in a Hilbert space is called an *orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space*.

§4.4 Separability of Hilbert Spaces

Proposition §4.4.1. *Let H be a Hilbert space. Then H is separable (that is it has a countable dense set) if and only if H admits an at most countable orthonormal basis.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{u_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be an collection of orthonormal set in H . It is straightforward to verify that $\|u_\alpha - u_\beta\| = \sqrt{2}$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in I$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. Thus the collection of balls $\{B(u_\alpha, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ are pairwise disjoint. If I is uncountable then we have uncountable such balls which are pairwise disjoint. This contradicts any existence of countable dense set in H . Thus if H is separable then any orthonormal collection in H has to be at most countable (finite or countably infinite). Hence any maximal orthonormal set in H must be at most countable. This proves that for a separable Hilbert space H we have an at most countable orthonormal basis.

For the converse direction assume that H admits a countable orthonormal basis, say $\mathcal{B} = \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $D = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$, where D_n is given by

$$D_n = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j u_j : c_j \in \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

Note that each D_n is countable and hence D is countable. It is straightforward to see that $\overline{D_n} = \text{span} \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\text{span} \{u_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \overline{D}$. This gives us that $\overline{\text{span} \{u_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}} \subseteq \overline{D}$. In view of the Corollary §4.2.2 we obtain that D is dense in H and hence H is separable. \square

§5 Lecture 5 — *Examples Galore!* — 18th January, 2023

§5.1 Properties of Finite Dimensional Hilbert Spaces

Proposition §5.1.1. *Suppose H is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Then H is isometrically isomorphic to the Euclidean space $(\mathbb{C}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is the standard Euclidean*

Proposition §5.1.2. *Suppose H is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then H is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.*

§5.2 Revisiting the examples of Hilbert spaces

- (a) Consider $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Let e_n be the sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ defined by $e_n(i) = \delta_{i,n}$ for every $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the set $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.
- (b) Consider c_{00} as a subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. It is an inner product space but not complete w.r.t the metric induced by the associated inner product. In fact c_{00} is dense in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, that is, the closure of c_{00} in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ itself.
- (c) Consider $(L^2(\mathbb{T}), d\sigma)$, where σ is the Lebesgue measure (the normalised arc length measure) on the unit circle \mathbb{T} . Consider the function $z^n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$z^n(e^{it}) = e^{int}, t \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Note that

$$\langle z^n, z^m \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-m)t} dt, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus $\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ forms an orthogonal set. In view of Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, we have $\text{span}\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ in uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Since $\|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for every $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$, it follows that $\text{span}\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ in L^2 norm $\|\cdot\|_2$.

§6 Lecture 6 — *Nonseparable Hilbert Spaces & Generalising Sums...* — 23rd January, 2023

§6.1 Non separable Hilbert Spaces

In previous lectures, we saw a couple of examples of separable Hilbert spaces but did not even see an example of a nonseparable Hilbert space. To remedy this situation, we define a Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{R})$.

First of all, note how we define $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(i)|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

where the inner product is given by the standard 2-inner product. Can we do the same for $\ell^2(\mathbb{R})$? To answer that question, we make a definition:

Definition §6.1.1. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. For any finite $F \subset \mathbb{R}$, define $S_F = \sum_{x \in F} g(x)$. We say that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x) < +\infty$ if $\sup_F S_F < \infty$ where F varies over all finite subsets of \mathbb{R} .

With the above definition, we have an easy proposition:

Proposition §6.1.2. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Suppose that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x) < \infty$. Then $A := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) \neq 0\}$ is countable!

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |g(x)| > 1/n\}$. It is easy to see that $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ (the infamous Archimedean property) and $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of sets.

We claim that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, A_n is finite. If our claim is false then there must be some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A_{n_0} is infinite. Let $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable subset of A_{n_0} . Then note that $\sup_F S_F \geq \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But then $\sup_F S_F \geq \frac{n}{n_0}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\sup_F S_F = +\infty$ which contradicts our assumption. This proves our claim.

Since A is countable union of finite sets, we have that A is countable. \square

What the above proposition says is that every nonnegative function whose domain is the reals is zero almost everywhere!¹

But then we want to define this notion for $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as is the case in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ functions. How do we do that?

¹this is both in the measure theoretic sense and literal sense!

Definition §6.1.3. Let $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function. Let $S_F = \sum_{i \in F} g(i)$ where F is any finite subset of \mathbb{R} . We will say that S_F converges to some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite subset F_0 of X such that for every finite subset $F \supset F_0$, we have that $|S_F - \lambda| < \varepsilon$.

<++>

$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) = \{f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(i)|^2 < \infty\}$ consider $\ell^2(\mathbb{R})$
 for define $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
 we trying to define $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x)$
 for $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we define $S_n = g(1) + \dots + g(n)$. note $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g(i)$ iff S_n is bounded
 back to $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ case, we have
 for any $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ finite, define $S_F = \sum_{x \in F} g(x)$. we say that $\sum_{x \in F} g(x) < +\infty$ if $\sup_F S_F < \infty$ where F varies over all finite subsets of \mathbb{R} .
 remark: this is same as integral with the counting measure!

Proposition §6.1.4. Suppose that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x) < \infty$. Then $A := \{x : g(x) \neq 0\}$ is countable!

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $A_n = \{x : |g(x)| > 1/n\}$. $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and observe that A_i is an increasing class.

Observe that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, A_n is finite. (prove this!)

Since A is countable union of finite sets, we have that A is countable. □

back to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$

define $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in F} f(x) \overline{g(x)}$

we need to make a sense for function taking values in \mathbb{C}

Definition §6.1.5. $\{S_F\}$ is a net $\lim_F S_F$ if there is a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite set F_0 such that $|S_F - \lambda| \leq \varepsilon$ for any set $F \supset F_0$.

one can check that the notions when $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |g(i)|^2 < +\infty$ iff S_F is convergent where $S_F = \sum_{i \in F} g(i)$ where F is a finite set convergent.

back to defining the inner product

define $\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_F \sum_{x \in F} f(x) \overline{g(x)}$

we now show that that for any f, g the sum is indeed finite consider $\left| \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \overline{g(x_i)} \right| \leq$

$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |g(x_i)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$

then $\sup_F |S_F| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$

define $e_x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in the natural way

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{e_x : x \in \mathbb{R}\}^\perp = \{0\}$$

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{e_x : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = \ell^2(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\text{hence } \langle f, e_x \rangle = f(x)$$

hence $f \in \overline{\text{span}}\{e_x : x \in \mathbb{R}\}^\perp$ hence $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$

now suppose M has onb $\{u_i : i \in I\}$ then one can show that $P_M(x) = \lim_F \sum_{i \in F} \langle x, u_i \rangle u_i$.

now we intend to show the bessel inequality, this immediately follows from the finite case though:

$$\sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, u_i \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

then one can show that $x - \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, u_i \rangle u_i$ is orthogonal to u_i for each $i \in I$

hence, it follows that $P_M(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, u_i \rangle u_i$

one can possibly show that $\{u_i : i \in I\}$ is an orthonormal set where I is any indexing set then

$$H \cong \ell^2(I)$$

We saw $\mathbb{C}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2, \ell^2(\mathbb{N}), L^2[0, 1], L^2(X, \mu)$

$H^2(\mathbb{D})$ set of all analytic functions on the disc such that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$

such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |a_i|^2 < \infty$ and we have that $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!}$

given $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, define

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \overline{b_n}$$

one can establish a one-to-one correspondence between the $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{C})$

$$f \mapsto (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

where a_i is defined as above. this is a separable hilbert space which can be easily by the isometry as one can check!

§7 Lecture 7 — *Banach Spaces and some examples* — 25th January, 2023

§7.1 Possibly, final

§7.2 Class Sketch

§7.2.1 Definition

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . A norm $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a function (we call this the length) if the following properties are satisfied:

1. $\|v\| \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$
2. $\|v\| = 0$ iff $v = 0$
3. $\|cv\| = |c| \|v\|$ for all $c \in F$
4. $\|v + w\| \leq \|v\| + \|w\|$

One can define a function on a normed linear space $d(v, w) = \|v - w\|$. It is not hard to see that (V, d) is a metric space. $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a Banach space if the metric space (V, d) is complete where d is the metric induced by the norm.

§7.2.2 Examples

Every Hilbert space is a Banach space since inner product induces a norm.

$L^p(X, \mu)$ is Banach space where (X, μ) is any measure space where $p \in [1, \infty)$.
 $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and μ is the counting measure then $L^p(X, \mu)$ is the \mathbb{C}^n with the $\|x\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$

One can also consider case such that $X = [0, 1]$ or $X = \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu = \lambda$ the Lebesgue measure.

Also, $X = C[0, 1]$ with $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x)|$.

Consider $X = \mathbb{N}$ and μ be the counting measure, we get what we call $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ whenever $p \in [1, \infty)$. If $p = \infty$, we have $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$, we have the set of all bounded sequences. **explicitly try to write out the norms!**

Consider $C[0, 1]$ as a subset of $L^p[0, 1]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. For any p , then $C(X)$ is a dense subset of $L^p(X)$ where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

See tutorial problem for an example of a Banach space such that the distance of point and a closed convex set is not achieved!

Let B be a Banach space. Let M be a subspace of B . Does there exist subspace N such that $B = M \oplus N$?

Consider \mathbb{R}^2 with 1-norm. Consider the subspace M spanned by e_1 . Then any subspace spanned by a single vector linearly dependent does the work.

If H is a Hilbert space then $\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)$.

Suppose $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space. Suppose that for all $x, y \in V$, we have that $\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)$. We claim that $\|\cdot\|$ is induced by a unique inner product.

One can define

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^4 \|v + i^k w\|^2$$

It can be shown that the above defines an inner product indeed!

Let V be a real inner product space. Then we have that

$$\|v + w\|^2 - \|v - w\|^2 = 4\langle v, w \rangle$$

Suppose $V, \|\cdot\|$ satisfies the parallelogram law then one can define the inner product as above and one can check that it is indeed an inner product.

A set $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is called a Schauder basis of a normed linear space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ if there exists a *unique* sequence in \mathbb{F} , $\{c_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $x = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i u_i$ for every $x \in V$.

Any basis of a finite dimensional vector space is a Schauder basis.

Consider $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$. As expected, $\{e_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Schauder basis for $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$. Let $x = (x_i) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$. Then the sequence terms give the necessary sequence, that is,

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$$

We claim that $S_n \rightarrow x$ in $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$. that is, $\|S_n - x\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. Note that

$$\|S_n - x\|_1 = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} |x_i| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

It remains to show uniqueness. Let $x = (x_i)$. Suppose $x = \sum c_i e_i = \sum d_i e_i$. But this is the same as $\sum c_i e_i = 0$. Consider $p_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i$ and hence $p_n \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\|p_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^n |c_i| \rightarrow 0$ and hence $c_i = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and this completes the proof of the claim.

Orthonormal basis are always an example of a separable Hilbert space (Verify!)

Proposition §7.2.1. *Let B be a Banach space which admits a Schauder basis $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then B is separable that is, it admits a countable dense set.*

We consider an example first. In the case of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, consider the set

$$\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum c_i e_i \mid \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

This does the job!

We claim the set

$$\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum c_i u_i \mid \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

Consider $M_n = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and D_n be the set of vectors which are span of u_1, \dots, u_n with rational coefficients. Then closure of D_n is M_n . Then

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{D_n} \subset M_n \subset \text{span} \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Since $\{u_i\}$ is a Schauder basis, we can write $B = \overline{\text{span} \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}}$. Observe that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{D_n} \subset \overline{B}$. But then $\overline{D_n} = M_n$. Hence, we have that $\text{span} \{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n \subset \overline{B}$. Taking closure again, we have that **complete this!**

One can show that $\{e_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not a Schauder basis for $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$. In fact, it does not admit a Schauder basis at all!