1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	x
3	MONROE ACE SETSER, :
4	Petitioner :
5	v. : No. 10-7387
6	UNITED STATES. :
7	x
8	Washington, D.C.
9	Wednesday, November 30, 2011
10	
11	The above-entitled matter came on for oral
12	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States
13	at 10:03 a.m.
14	JASON D. HAWKINS, ESQ., Assistant Federal Public
15	Defender, Dallas, Texas; for Petitioner.
16	WILLIAM M. JAY, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor
17	General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; for
18	Respondent, in support of Petitioner.
19	EVAN A. YOUNG, ESQ., Austin, Texas; for amicus curiae,
20	in support of the judgment below; appointed by this
21	Court.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	JASON D. HAWKINS, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	WILLIAM M. JAY, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent, in support	15
8	of the Petitioner	
9	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
10	EVAN A. YOUNG, ESQ.	
11	On behalf of amicus curiae, in support	30
12	of the judgment below	
13	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
14	JASON D. HAWKINS, ESQ.	
15	On behalf of the Petitioner	57
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:03 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument
4	first this morning in Case 10-7387, Setser v. United
5	States.
6	Mr. Hawkins.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF JASON D. HAWKINS
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	MR. HAWKINS: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
10	please the Court:
11	This case concerns whether, in passing the
12	Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, Congress granted to the
13	Federal district court the authority to order a Federal
14	sentence to run consecutively to a a sentence which
15	has yet to be imposed and may never come to fruition.
16	The text of 3584, its structure, and its history all
17	point to the conclusion that the court lacks this power.
18	We believe the question should start and end
19	with the statute's text. When a defendant receives
20	multiple terms of imprisonment, they must bear one of
21	three relationships to each other. Either one is
22	imposed before the other, the other is imposed before
23	the one, or they are imposed at the same time.
24	In
25	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, in the third

- 1 sentence of this provision, on its face, does Mr. Setser
- 2 fit into it?
- MR. HAWKINS: No, Your Honor. He does not.
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: "Multiple terms of
- 5 imprisonment imposed at different times run
- 6 consecutively." What's unclear about those words?
- 7 MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, that term can
- 8 only --
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The words are not
- 10 unclear. We have to do the statutory interpretation
- 11 that you want?
- MR. HAWKINS: Your -- Your Honor, the words
- 13 can only be read in the context of the first -- of the
- 14 first sentence. We believe that the third sentence only
- 15 applies where the Court had the authority to actually
- 16 order this but remained silent.
- 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Some fairly respected
- 18 jurists below, Judge Easterbrook and Judge Fletcher, two
- 19 different circuits, have read it as taking care of all
- 20 those situations that the other two sentences don't
- 21 cover. Why is that an irrational reading?
- MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, I -- I think it
- 23 has to be read -- the third sentence has to be read in
- 24 its place within the statute, and I think that the third
- 25 sentence refers exclusively to circumstances where the

- 1 defendant was already serving another term of
- 2 imprisonment at the time of the Federal sentencing. And
- 3 I think we know this because of the parallel structure
- 4 of 3584(a). It --
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But that assumes the
- 6 answer, is what I'm saying to you. If you give each
- 7 sentence its plain meaning, why is -- why is Mr. Setser
- 8 not within the plain meaning of the third? He -- he had
- 9 multiple terms of imprisonment imposed at different
- 10 times.
- 11 MR. HAWKINS: Yes, but those terms of
- 12 imprisonment weren't -- there was no term of
- imprisonment imposed at the time of his Federal
- 14 sentencing. He was not serving an undischarged term of
- 15 imprisonment. And we believe that the natural flow of
- 16 the statute -- the default rule only comes in place if
- 17 the court has the power to sentence under the first
- 18 sentence --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: You would say that at the
- time of sentencing, there were no multiple terms of
- 21 imprisonment; is that your point?
- MR. HAWKINS: That is correct, Your Honor.
- 23 At the time of the Federal sentencing, Mr. -- Mr. Setser
- 24 was not subject to an undischarged term of imprisonment.
- 25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What difference does it

- 1 make for him? Now, he served his State time. He came
- 2 over to serve his Federal sentence. He didn't get
- 3 credit for the 2-1/2 years he spent in -- in the State.
- 4 But what is the consequence? How much -- what is the
- 5 difference to the defendant in this case?
- 6 MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, we -- we believe
- 7 that the order -- what the order did was bind the court.
- 8 I'm sorry. The -- the order bound the Bureau of
- 9 Prisons. And so, what it does -- what happens is Mr.
- 10 Setser is not able to petition the Bureau of Prisons to
- 11 allow that sentence to begin running from the time of
- 12 the Federal sentencing. And so, the difference, Your
- 13 Honor, is 1 year, 6 months, and 23 days that we believe
- 14 that he is entitled to credit for.
- 15 JUSTICE ALITO: And this is --
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Entitled to credit or you
- 17 could seek it? I mean, what wouldn't -- he has the
- 18 State time and he -- 2-1/2 years, and then he has his
- 19 Federal sentence. Why would you be entitled to any
- 20 credit?
- MR. HAWKINS: Because -- because, Your
- 22 Honor, the court ordered that the -- the Federal
- 23 sentence to run concurrently to that 10-year sentence
- 24 that he received in State court. And so, we believe he
- 25 is entitled to credit for that -- for that sentence.

Τ	JUSTICE ALITO: In situations like this,
2	somebody is going to have to make the decision whether
3	the Federal sentence and the subsequently imposed State
4	sentence run concurrently or consecutively. And now
5	you're arguing that that should be done by the Bureau of
6	Prisons. Do you think in general that is better for
7	defendants than a rule that would allow the sentencing
8	judge in Federal court to make that determination?
9	MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, in our estimation
10	the question is not the who, but the when. And at the
11	time, at the Federal sentencing, the Federal judge did
12	not have the complete information to make the proper
13	judgment in this case. He had no idea what that State
14	term of imprisonment was going to be. So, in our
15	estimation, it is better that that the Bureau of
16	Prisons has all the information to make this decision.
17	It will actually know what the State term of
18	imprisonment is.
19	I'm not here to advocate that the system
20	that the Bureau of Prisons uses uses is perfect.
21	JUSTICE ALITO: Why would the why would
22	the exact length of the sentence imposed by the State
23	court be relevant to the determination made by the
24	sentencing judge? I thought the sentencing judge's
25	reasoning was that the the undischarged term of

- 1 imprisonment that was going to be imposed on the offense
- 2 for which probation had previously been granted and
- 3 there had been a violation of the probation, that that
- 4 had nothing to do with his subsequent Federal drug
- 5 charges, and, therefore, the Federal drug charges should
- 6 run consecutively to that but should be concurrent to
- 7 any sentence imposed by the State court on the State
- 8 drug charges.
- 9 What -- you know, what's wrong with that
- 10 reasoning, and what additional insight relevant to that
- 11 reasoning would be obtained by waiting until after the
- 12 sentence was imposed?
- MR. HAWKINS: Well, Your Honor, at the time
- 14 that the Federal judge passed this sentence, he had no
- 15 idea what was going to happen in either case. But more
- 16 importantly, with regard to the term of probation, the
- 17 Federal judge had no idea whether that term was going to
- 18 be revoked, whether it would be modified, or whether he
- 19 would receive any sentence of -- of imprisonment at all.
- 20 And in making the judgment on whether those
- 21 terms should run concurrently or consecutively, Your
- 22 Honor, 3584(b) directs the court to look at the factors
- 23 of 3553(a) in making that determination. And it would
- 24 be impossible to make that determination under 3553(a)
- 25 whether the sentence is adequate to deter, whether that

- 1 sentence protects the public, without knowing what that
- 2 State term of --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, that's interesting.
- 4 MR. HAWKINS: -- imprisonment actually is.
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: Do you think that the Bureau
- 6 of Prisons is bound by those factors when they make the
- 7 decision later?
- MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, the -- the Bureau
- 9 of -- of Prisons is bound by the factors of 3621(b), and
- 10 several of those factors match up with the factors in
- 11 3553(a). It has to look at the nature and circumstance
- 12 of the crime, the characteristics of the defendant. It
- 13 has to look to the United States Sentencing Guidelines,
- 14 and it also has to take in the view of what the Federal
- 15 judge believes should have happened.
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Where is --
- 17 MR. HAWKINS: To the extent --
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Where is all that
- 19 that you just read, that they're bound by all these
- 20 things? Where do I find that?
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: It's 3621(b). Is it cited
- 22 in any of your briefs? Or pardon me. Is 3621(b) set
- 23 forth in any of the materials?
- I have it in front of me, but I -- is it
- 25 in the Government's brief or --

- 1 MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, I believe it is
- 2 in -- in the Solicitor General's -- in the appendix to
- 3 the Solicitor General's brief, Your Honor.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I'm looking
- 5 at -- I guess I got this off -- somebody got this off
- 6 line for me. I'm looking at the program statement of
- 7 the Bureau of Prisons. And it says what the regional
- 8 directors are supposed to look at is the intent of the
- 9 Federal sentencing court or the goals of the criminal
- 10 justice system.
- 11 So, you've got some guy deciding whether the
- 12 goals of the criminal justice system require this person
- 13 to serve an extra 10 years or not.
- 14 MR. HAWKINS: Well, Your Honor, I think that
- 15 what the -- the law requires is that the Bureau of
- 16 Prisons has to look at these factors under 3621(b), and
- 17 those program statements are trying to define what those
- 18 exact factors are.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I mean, doesn't it
- 20 seem strange to you that a Federal bureaucrat sitting --
- 21 a regional director -- and I guess there are about a
- 22 half dozen of them -- sits somewhere and decides whether
- 23 a defendant -- say there's a 10-year Federal sentence, a
- 24 10-year State sentence, and that person says, well, I
- 25 think he ought to serve another 10 years, or I think

- 1 he's done.
- MR. HAWKINS: Well, Your Honor, to -- to be
- 3 clear, I mean, Mr. Setser is going to have to serve a
- 4 151 -- a 151-month term of imprisonment no matter what.
- 5 But the bureaucrat that -- that you're talking about,
- 6 the bureaucrat will be -- only be making that
- 7 determination after having the complete information
- 8 which the Federal judge --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I know, but --
- 10 but it's a big deal to be sentenced to, in my
- 11 hypothetical, another 10 years in prison. I don't care
- 12 how much information the bureaucrat has.
- MR. HAWKINS: Well, Your Honor, but still
- 14 that -- that person has the -- has the information
- 15 before it, and it's also subject to judicial review
- 16 under 2241. I would point out that there is a process
- 17 where the petitioner can -- or the prisoner can ask for
- 18 this --
- 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, it's judicial
- 20 review of what? Not of the -- not of whether that was
- 21 the desire of the State court or not. Judicial review
- 22 as to whether they abused their discretion.
- 23 MR. HAWKINS: Yes, Your Honor. And I
- 24 believe that's -- that's the same discretion that the
- 25 court has when its deciding a sentence on direct appeal.

```
1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So, you're going to make
```

- 2 a bureaucrat equal to a judge in making the most
- 3 important decision that a defendant faces: how much
- 4 time he should spend in jail. So, a bureaucrat, rather
- 5 than a judge, decides whether he's going to tack on a
- 6 year and a half, 5, or 10, or whether he's going to let
- 7 the defendant serve it concurrently?
- MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, again, it -- it's
- 9 not the who for us, but the when. And --
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It's not a -- it's not a
- 11 who or when, because the State court judge's
- 12 recommendation is not binding on BOP. It has said that
- 13 repeatedly, hasn't it?
- 14 MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, I'm aware of no --
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Just answer that
- 16 question. Hasn't BOP said that a State court
- 17 recommendation is not binding on it?
- 18 MR. HAWKINS: That -- that is correct, Your
- 19 Honor. But I would point to the fact that -- what that
- 20 -- I mean -- I guess --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So, it can't be just who
- 22 -- it can't be just when. It's who's going to make the
- 23 decision.
- MR. HAWKINS: Well, yes, Your Honor. But
- 25 the bureaucrat at least has all the information before

- 1 it. And if we go to look to --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, isn't it true that
- 3 the bureaucrat used to make that decision not too long
- 4 ago?
- 5 MR. HAWKINS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: When we had a parole
- 7 system. Before we had the sentencing guidelines, it was
- 8 up to the Bureau of Prisons whether to give parole or
- 9 not, right?
- MR. HAWKINS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: Some bureaucrat in the
- 12 Bureau of Prisons, I guess.
- MR. HAWKINS: Yes, Your Honor, along with
- 14 good time credits --
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: It's not unthinkable.
- MR. HAWKINS: No, Your Honor, prior to the
- 17 passage of the SRA, the --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But wasn't the SRA
- 19 passed and this provision passed in part to take that
- 20 decision away from the bureaucrat?
- MR. HAWKINS: Well, it was a -- it was
- 22 passed to take the decision away from the bureaucrat,
- 23 that the courts could not order a Federal sentence to
- 24 run concurrently with an undischarged State term of
- 25 imprisonment. That gave that power back to the court,

- 1 but --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, let's answer
- 3 Justice Scalia's point. Wasn't the SRA passed in part
- 4 because of the dissatisfaction with the fact that the
- 5 parole board used to make this decision, and they wanted
- 6 to put it back in the hands of judges?
- 7 MR. HAWKINS: Well, that's part of the
- 8 reason, Your Honor, but in passage of 3621, it also
- 9 highlighted the fact that it was not seeking to take
- 10 away the bureaucratic authority that the Bureau of
- 11 Prisons have for designation. And back to the --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I don't know why it
- 13 takes away from them on that score. They can choose
- 14 whatever facility they want within the constraints
- imposed by a judge in terms of the length of the
- 16 sentence.
- 17 MR. HAWKINS: Well, I mean -- I guess, yes,
- 18 Your Honor, that is part of it, but that only comes into
- 19 play when the first sentence does not apply and when the
- 20 court does not have the requisite information. In our
- 21 estimation, it is better for the latter sentencing
- 22 entity, that with the most sentencing information, to be
- 23 able to make this -- this ultimate determination in --
- 24 in looking at the Federal court's views, versus allowing
- 25 a Federal judge who's prognosticating about what the

- 1 sentence might be and issue a binding order.
- 2 And if there are no further questions, I'll
- 3 reserve the remainder of my rebuttal time.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 5 Mr. Jay.
- 6 ORAL ARGUMENT OF WILLIAM M. JAY
- 7 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT,
- 8 IN SUPPORT OF THE PETITIONER
- 9 MR. JAY: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
- 10 please the Court:
- 11 Federal district courts do decide how long a
- 12 defendant should be in prison for his Federal crime, but
- 13 for many years, both before and after the Sentencing
- 14 Reform Act, the Attorney General through the Bureau of
- 15 Prisons has decided where the sentence will be served
- 16 and when it shall commence.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: I'm not clear on what the
- 18 -- what the Government's view of, whatever you want to
- 19 call it, inherent judicial sentencing power is. You --
- 20 you -- before section 3584 was passed, there -- there
- 21 was the power on the part of the Federal courts to
- 22 decide whether sentences should run concurrently or
- 23 sequentially, right?
- MR. JAY: Not with a State sentence, Your
- 25 Honor. Before section 3584(a) was passed, a Federal

- 1 district court had no authority to specify that its
- 2 Federal sentence should run concurrently with a State
- 3 sentence that the defendant was already serving.
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: How do you know?
- 5 MR. JAY: Well, that's --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: I mean, it did not -- what
- 7 authority it did have did not come from a statute,
- 8 right?
- 9 MR. JAY: Well, if it had had such
- 10 authority, Justice Scalia, it would have overridden the
- 11 Attorney General's authority. That's why we know it
- 12 didn't have it. That's why the --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Where is the Attorney
- 14 General's authority prescribed?
- MR. JAY: The Attorney General's
- 16 authority --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: His authority to say where
- 18 the sentence will be served?
- MR. JAY: Precisely, Justice Scalia.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Oh, that's -- that seems to
- 21 be quite --
- JUSTICE BREYER: If you look that up --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: -- quite fanciful.
- JUSTICE BREYER: It's pretty interesting,
- 25 because I did go back and look at the '79 Senate report

- on S.1, which was the whole reform from beginning to
- 2 end, and my reading of that section suggests to me that
- 3 they thought past practice was exactly what they wrote
- 4 in this statute. All right. Now -- at least that's how
- 5 I read it. Maybe I didn't read it carefully enough.
- 6 But I thought they were thinking that the Federal judge
- 7 does have the power to sentence concurrently or
- 8 consecutively with a term that a Federal court or a
- 9 State court has imposed in the past, but -- but you
- 10 can't do this monkey business that they're -- I agree
- 11 with you on that.
- 12 There was nothing about trying to make
- 13 something concurrent or consecutive with a -- a State
- 14 term that hasn't yet been imposed. You couldn't do it;
- 15 you can't do it; it just gets into a -- at least not
- 16 with a consecutive.
- 17 MR. JAY: Well, let me see if I can answer
- 18 both Justice Scalia and Justice Breyer. There are cases
- 19 that we cite at page 16 of our reply brief. Those same
- 20 cases, Justice Breyer, you may want to look at the
- 21 Senate report on the -- on what actually became section
- 22 3584, page 126 -- sorry -- page 127 and note 314, which
- 23 says that it changes the law. It recognized that the
- 24 law -- specifically citing a Ninth Circuit decision,
- 25 which we also cite in our brief, because the Attorney

- 1 General has the authority to designate any facility,
- 2 Federal or State, and that's -- that is carried forward
- 3 today in section 3621(b). Because the Attorney General
- 4 has the authority to designate any such facility, a
- 5 Federal court before the passage of section 3584(a) had
- 6 no authority to order that the Federal sentence commence
- 7 right away and that the defendant be allowed to serve it
- 8 while also serving his -- State sentence.
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: He could recommend it.
- 10 He could recommend it, could he not?
- MR. JAY: Absolutely, Justice Ginsburg. He
- 12 could recommend it, just as he can today. And --
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You said Federal or
- 14 State. Where does it say that in 3621?
- MR. JAY: Section 3621(b), Your Honor. If
- 16 you look at subsection (b) --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes.
- 18 MR. JAY: -- the second sentence, "the
- 19 Bureau may designate any available penal or correctional
- 20 facility" -- skip forward a little bit -- "whether
- 21 maintained by the Federal Government or otherwise."
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: In other words, what the
- 23 statute does -- it's phrased in terms of place, but it
- 24 really has consequences as to time. Einstein would have
- 25 loved it: You can't define space without time.

- 1 (Laughter.)
- 2 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But -- but I take it that
- 3 it can also be retroactive. If you have a prisoner who
- 4 has served -- has been sentenced in the Federal system,
- 5 then goes to the State and is serving in a State
- 6 facility, he then comes back to the BOP, the BOP can
- 7 retroactively say we designate the place of imprisonment
- 8 for the last 3 years as that State prison where you've
- 9 been serving and we credit you with time served; is that
- 10 the way it works?
- 11 MR. JAY: That is how it works.
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Nunc pro tunc, right?
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: That -- that's an amazing
- 14 interpretation.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: And you get that out of
- 16 this -- this lean language here?
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That's an amazing
- 18 interpretation of the statute, but I understand that
- 19 that's the way it's being done.
- 20 MR. JAY: That is the way it's being done,
- 21 Justice Kennedy. Indeed, every time the bureau
- 22 designates a Federal prison or a State prison, it's
- 23 after the person comes into Federal custody, except in
- 24 cases where the person voluntarily surrenders.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Or -- or what if

- 1 it's a situation where he goes into one of these prisons
- 2 that are run by a private entity, right? It says
- 3 whether the Federal Government or otherwise, right? And
- 4 so, maybe the Federal Government -- I don't know how
- 5 often it might do it -- they -- you use facilities that
- 6 are privately run, right?
- 7 MR. JAY: Privately run --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay. So, why --
- 9 MR. JAY: -- State facilities, Federal
- 10 facilities.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- isn't that what
- 12 they meant when they said "whether maintained by the
- 13 Federal Government or otherwise"? I think if they
- 14 wanted to say State or Federal, that's what they would
- 15 have said.
- 16 MR. JAY: Mr. Chief Justice, Federal
- 17 inmates, since the passage of the first Federal crime in
- 18 1790, have served their time in State prisons. There
- 19 were no Federal penitentiaries for more than 100 years.
- 20 The attorney -- service of a Federal sentence in State
- 21 prison was the norm, even after the construction of --
- 22 of Federal penitentiaries.
- 23 JUSTICE ALITO: It seems to me that the
- 24 question of how long someone should spend in prison,
- 25 which is what's involved in determining whether --

- 1 deciding whether a sentence is going to be served
- 2 consecutively or concurrently, is very different from
- 3 determining where the sentence is going to be -- where a
- 4 sentence is going to be served.
- 5 Isn't this a very strange reading of -- of
- 6 3621, to say that that grants the BOP the authority to
- 7 make this concurrent/consecutive determination?
- 8 MR. JAY: I don't think so at all, Justice
- 9 Alito. Let me give two reasons why. The first is that
- 10 before section 3584 was enacted, this -- the predecessor
- 11 of this statute, which was section 4082, was the reason
- 12 that Federal courts recognized that they didn't have the
- 13 power to prescribe concurrent treatment of a Federal
- 14 sentence with a previously existing State sentence.
- 15 That's one point.
- The second point is, as Mr. Hawkins
- 17 mentioned, the quantum of Federal punishment, a
- 18 punishment for the Federal offense, that's up to the
- 19 Federal district judge to prescribe; but where -- where
- 20 that time will be served and whether the time has to
- 21 commence before, after, or during the defendant's
- 22 service of another sentence, that's a where and when
- 23 question. And where and when questions have always been
- 24 up to the Attorney General.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Jay?

- 1 MR. JAY: Yes.
- 2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Can I -- can I take you back
- 3 to 3584? So, 3584 talks about these two situations,
- 4 simultaneously imposed terms and undischarged terms, and
- 5 let's assume that all three sentences talk about only
- 6 those two situations. The premise of your argument is
- 7 that, in talking about those two situations, Congress
- 8 rejected judicial authority when it came to a third
- 9 situation. And I guess I want to find out from you why
- 10 that is. I mean, I want to stipulate, I guess, that
- 11 nobody had this third situation in mind. The third
- 12 situation is a very uncommon situation. And so, just
- 13 assume with me that Congress simply just wasn't thinking
- 14 about this third situation. That's an assumption of the
- 15 question.
- What should we do, then? Why would we treat
- 17 this as exclusive?
- 18 MR. JAY: Well, I will -- I will assume with
- 19 you, Justice Kagan, although you know that I disagree,
- 20 that the -- that this is conscious. But two points:
- 21 First, there was no inherent authority beforehand. So,
- 22 Congress couldn't have been carrying forward existing
- 23 practice, because, as I've said, there was no inherent
- 24 authority for district courts to make this decision
- 25 before.

- 1 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, let's just say
- 2 Congress just didn't know. It was not on Congress's
- 3 radar screen. Why would we treat this as exclusive as
- 4 to this third situation?
- 5 MR. JAY: You would do it this way because
- 6 the -- because the limitations are so clear and because,
- 7 as Mr. Hawkins said, there's a universe with sort of
- 8 three possible relationships. Either the Federal term
- 9 comes before the State term, after the State term, or
- 10 two Federal sentences can come at the same time. So,
- 11 Congress prescribed very carefully that if one of those
- or if the second of those is met, then the terms may run
- 13 concurrently or consecutively. But by allowing the
- 14 third, the only other possibility --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, now you're battling my
- 16 assumption. You're suggesting that Congress must have
- 17 had this third situation in mind. But I'm saying, no,
- 18 the third situation is peculiar, and Congress didn't
- 19 have it in mind. Then what?
- 20 MR. JAY: Well, its peculiarity, Justice
- 21 Kagan, doesn't take away from the fact that if you let
- 22 this situation in, then the limitations have no meaning,
- 23 because if -- you know, if --
- JUSTICE BREYER: You're talking
- 25 linguistically, but I thought one Congress probably did

- 1 have it in mind. I agree with you about that. But
- 2 leave that out. How do you do it? You are a Federal
- 3 judge. The point of the Federal guideline is to create
- 4 a sentence with qualifications that reflects the real
- 5 conduct in the world that the defendant engaged in. All
- 6 right? So, we work that out. That's now 3 years.
- Now, our problem is that the State judge may
- 8 eat up some of that 3 years or may make the sentence
- 9 concurrent when it should be consecutive, because the
- 10 conduct's different. So, I, the Federal judge, say:
- 11 You are convicted of a drug crime; you get 3 years. I
- 12 know there's a question here about whether there's a
- 13 separate gun crime. That's State. Now, I want these 3
- 14 years to run consecutive with the State conviction for a
- 15 separate behavior. Okay? Now, that's what I want.
- Now, if it's in the past, the State
- 17 sentence, I can do it. But where it hasn't been
- 18 happening yet, how do I do it? I say I want it
- 19 consecutive, but the State court judge who later will
- 20 have control of the case can say: I put my extra 2
- 21 years and make it concurrent with the State sentence.
- You see? It's a problem. It's a practical
- 23 problem. Now, maybe I'm wrong in what I've just said,
- 24 which is why I said it, because I'm prepared to have you
- 25 tell me I'm wrong, there is no practical problem. But I

- 1 want to hear it.
- 2 MR. JAY: It's not a practical problem,
- 3 Justice Breyer, for a couple of reasons.
- 4 (Laughter.)
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Good.
- 6 MR. JAY: Number one --
- JUSTICE BREYER: That's why I asked.
- 8 MR. JAY: Number one, the judge doesn't
- 9 know -- you asked -- you asked us to assume that there
- 10 has been a conviction in the State, maybe just not --
- JUSTICE BREYER: No --
- MR. JAY: Maybe just not --
- 13 JUSTICE BREYER: There has not been a
- 14 conviction.
- MR. JAY: That just highlights my point.
- 16 There hasn't been a conviction yet, let alone a
- 17 sentence. There may never be a conviction, and -- but
- 18 if the judge wants to guard against that eventuality,
- 19 the judge can make a recommendation. And there are two
- 20 salutary things about making a recommendation rather
- 21 than a judgment.
- JUSTICE BREYER: How does the judge stop the
- 23 State court judge later from making his conviction for a
- 24 separate form of behavior run concurrently with the
- 25 Federal sentence? How does he stop that? What power

- 1 does he have over State courts?
- 2 MR. JAY: I don't think I or either of my
- 3 friends who are going to argue today or -- are
- 4 suggesting that the Federal judge has power to order the
- 5 State court not to do something.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Correct. Then how can he
- 7 stop it?
- 8 MR. JAY: Well, the way that sovereigns work
- 9 out who gets to punish defendants --
- JUSTICE BREYER: My point --
- 11 MR. JAY: -- if they both want to punish --
- JUSTICE BREYER: You may have missed my
- 13 point. My point is because he can't stop it is why
- 14 you're right in this case.
- MR. JAY: I am delighted to hear that you
- 16 think we're right, Justice Breyer. But --
- 17 (Laughter.)
- 18 MR. JAY: But I want to -- I do want to give
- 19 you an answer to your question about why this is not a
- 20 practical problem. The Federal judge can give -- can
- 21 make a recommendation that says if he's convicted and if
- 22 he's sentenced to a particular term in the State court,
- 23 I recommend that the Bureau of Prisons not let him serve
- 24 them -- concurrently.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So that -- so that

- 1 20 years later after the defendant has served his
- 2 mandatory minimum sentence, your friend in the Bureau of
- 3 Prisons regional office is supposed to go look back and
- 4 see what the judge said 20 years ago?
- 5 MR. JAY: Judges make recommendations all
- 6 the time, Mr. Chief Justice. They -- there is a section
- 7 of the --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I don't think that
- 9 is responsive to the point I just made, that they make
- 10 recommendations all the time. I'm talking about the
- 11 effectiveness of the recommendation 20 years later.
- MR. JAY: As the Court is aware, the
- 13 Administrative Office's standard form for the judgment
- 14 in a criminal case allows the judge to make
- 15 recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons. So, this will
- 16 be in the judgment, the very judgment that the Bureau of
- 17 Prisons will be looking at, whether it's a week later or
- 18 20 years later. And if --
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Jay, what does the
- 20 "or" mean? Maybe the judge -- it was 20 years ago;
- 21 maybe the judge said nothing. Nevertheless, it's: What
- 22 was the intent of the sentencing court or the goals of
- 23 the criminal justice system?
- MR. JAY: Your Honor is reading from the
- 25 Bureau of Prisons policy statement.

- 1 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Uh-huh.
- 2 MR. JAY: If you go on in that policy
- 3 statement, it alludes to other considerations that the
- 4 bureau looks at. And what that maps onto is the factors
- 5 in section 3621(b). And I can represent to the Court
- 6 that when -- when the -- when an inmate asks for
- 7 concurrent treatment in this fashion, the bureau's
- 8 central facility for designation and sentence
- 9 computation goes through those factors in an
- 10 individualized way and makes a -- makes a decision.
- 11 That then is reviewable.
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Jay --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Do they take -- do they
- 14 take account of the -- the defendant's behavior in the
- 15 State facility? Is that a factor?
- 16 MR. JAY: It can -- in Federal or State
- 17 custody, Justice Ginsburg, it may be a factor. Yes.
- 18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Which is something that
- 19 the judge couldn't know.
- 20 MR. JAY: That -- that's certainly correct.
- 21 And on the flip side is that if the defendant has
- 22 behaved in an exemplary way, then either the judge --
- 23 the judge may indeed change his recommendation. We've
- 24 cited a case in our brief where the -- where a judge --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: I thought we tried to get

- 1 rid of all of that when we abolished the parole system.
- 2 I thought we tried to take away from the bureaucrats the
- 3 decision to let somebody out earlier because he's been a
- 4 good boy and hold him longer because he hasn't.
- 5 MR. JAY: Mr. Setser has been sentenced to
- 6 151 months for his Federal crime. Nothing the Attorney
- 7 General does is going to shorten that in a way not
- 8 authorized by statute. It's not -- it doesn't make the
- 9 sentence an indeterminant one. It's about where he's
- 10 going to serve it.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I suppose --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Jay, there is some
- 13 force to your -- to Petitioner's argument that
- 14 federalism should be respected, that Federal courts and
- 15 the State judges and their individual wishes should be
- 16 respected and followed by BOP actually. The system
- 17 you're proposing actually takes away from both Federal
- 18 judges control over the sentencing decision.
- 19 If Federal judges recommend the consecutive
- 20 sentence, then the State judge can take that into
- 21 account in setting how much time they think is warranted
- 22 for their crime in addition or different from, and the
- 23 judge -- if he wants it to run concurrently the way
- 24 Justice Breyer said, he could just give a zero. He
- 25 knows what the Federal judge wants.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You may answer 2 briefly. 3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The bottom line --MR. JAY: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice. 4 5 The -- the State judge can still know what 6 the Federal judge recommends. If it's not -- it just 7 won't be binding under our view of the statute. And in 8 any event, having the -- the second decisionmaker make 9 the decision armed with all the information is still 10 preferable to having a premature determination locked 11 in, in a judgment. 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel. 13 Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice. 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Young. 15 ORAL ARGUMENT OF EVAN A. YOUNG 16 ON BEHALF OF AMICUS CURIAE, 17 IN SUPPORT OF THE JUDGMENT BELOW 18 MR. YOUNG: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it 19 please the Court: 20 As this Court stated nearly a century ago in 21 Ex parte United States, under our constitutional system 22 the right to impose the punishment provided by law is 23 judicial. 24 Congress does not transfer such core

authority from one branch to another without clearly and

- 1 expressly saying so. Neither section 3584 nor any other
- 2 provision of the Sentencing Reform Act even remotely
- 3 approaches the clarity that Congress would use if it
- 4 intended to restrict judicial sentencing in cases like
- 5 Setser's.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: The Government says that
- 7 the Federal courts never had that power anyway, so that
- 8 nothing is being restricted. And they contest the cases
- 9 that you've cited as demonstrating the existence of that
- 10 power in the situation involved here to -- to determine
- 11 whether a future -- a sentence to be imposed in the
- 12 future by State courts will be concurrent or consecutive
- 13 with the Federal one.
- MR. YOUNG: Against -- that is wrong,
- 15 because against a number of cases that we cite -- and I
- 16 would commend them to the Court -- in which Federal
- 17 judges previous to the Sentencing Reform Act
- 18 anticipatorily sentenced, the Government and Mr. Setser
- 19 have provided zero cases before the Sentencing Reform --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Nobody found that out in
- 21 1980 -- in 1980 or '79. If you look through the Senate
- 22 report on that, they don't refer to any of those cases.
- 23 They write it as if it was just as Justice Scalia and
- 24 the -- and the Government said.
- 25 And, honestly, my question really is the

- 1 same one -- maybe I didn't put it clearly, but I think
- 2 you understood it -- that the reason that they want the
- 3 Federal judge to be able to shape his sentence in light
- 4 of other sentences that either the Federal courts or the
- 5 State courts have given in the past is because you can
- 6 do it so that a single behavior gets a single sentence
- 7 and a different behavior is going to be sentenced
- 8 consecutively, presumptively.
- 9 But you just can't do that where the State
- 10 court hasn't yet acted, because -- at least you can't do
- 11 it in the consecutive case, because the State court
- 12 judge sees what you did, and he may decide: I don't
- 13 want it to be consecutive. So, here I am; I write in my
- 14 sentence to be served concurrently with the Federal
- 15 court sentence.
- Now, you can have every agency you want in
- 17 the Federal Government, but there's no way to get around
- 18 that. You can't force that State judge to do something
- 19 different, and you can't muck around with your Federal
- 20 sentence in a way that will make it consecutive to a
- 21 State court sentence that says it's going to run
- 22 concurrent.
- So, there's a practical problem, and that's
- 24 why it's left out. It's quite -- I mean, when I
- 25 finished reading it, I thought this is very logical.

- 1 MR. YOUNG: Your Honor, I think --
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: Can you tell me what the
- 3 answer to that is?
- 4 MR. YOUNG: I think the answer is that it
- 5 turns much more on the order of imprisonment than the
- 6 order of sentencing, because the Federal court in Mr.
- 7 Setser's case, for instance, is imposing no obligation
- 8 whatsoever on the State. The State imprisoned first --
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: I don't deny that there are
- 10 many instances where you could get it to work,
- 11 particularly where you're going concurrent. I do deny
- 12 that there's -- it's all smooth sailing. There are a
- 13 lot of cases you can't get it to work. I don't want to
- 14 repeat myself again. I've given you the example. I
- 15 gave him the example. And I want to know how you would
- 16 overcome that could be quite common situation where the
- 17 State judge hasn't done it yet; so, there's no way to
- 18 require the Federal court sentence to be served
- 19 consecutively, if the State judge decides it shouldn't
- 20 be.
- MR. YOUNG: Well, let's take this very case,
- 22 for instance. Mr. Setser was sentenced in Federal court
- 23 first, and the Federal court said: I see that there is
- 24 coming a State probation revocation. I want this
- 25 Federal sentence, which will be served last, to have no

- 1 credit for whatever happens in State court.
- JUSTICE BREYER: He can do that.
- 3 MR. YOUNG: And that's all he did do.
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, I know.
- 5 MR. YOUNG: That's all that matters --
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: I don't deny there can be
- 7 some. I say there is a concern that if he were to say
- 8 in a different case, I want the gun thing which is going
- 9 to State court to be consecutive, that he can't control
- 10 that. Because the State court judge could say I want my
- 11 gun sentence, State, to run concurrently with Federal.
- MR. YOUNG: What the State judge could not
- do is to say I want this State sentence, which is going
- 14 to be served first, to run concurrent to the
- 15 later-served Federal sentence. Because that would
- 16 require the Federal sovereign to let someone go.
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, well, now we're getting
- 18 awfully complicated.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Young --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Actually, it becomes
- 21 easier than that. The State court can't force the
- 22 Federal Bureau of Prisons to take the prisoner back,
- 23 correct?
- MR. YOUNG: Can't force the Federal Bureau
- 25 of Prisons to do anything. Release --

- 1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Exactly. And so, all it
- 2 can do is sentence the defendant to whatever time it's
- 3 going to sentence the defendant. The defendant serves
- 4 that time. Then the Federal sovereign takes over and
- 5 does whatever the Federal judge said.
- 6 MR. YOUNG: Precisely, and --
- 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Runs it consecutively or
- 8 concurrently. Whatever the Federal judge said controls
- 9 in every situation.
- 10 MR. YOUNG: The Bureau of Prisons can
- 11 effectuate that order very easily once that's happened.
- 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Young, the Government
- 13 says that there are three situations in which this
- 14 consecutive/concurrent problem comes up. This statute
- 15 deals with two of them. And the Government wants --
- 16 argues that in dealing with two of them, it impliedly
- 17 stated a rule on the third. What's the best argument --
- 18 what's your best argument against that?
- MR. YOUNG: I think the best argument is
- 20 that the statute plainly does not withdraw any
- 21 authority. It doesn't describe it at all. What the
- 22 statute does -- in --
- 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I think that that's
- 24 not right. It seems to me that the first sentence of
- 25 this statute grants authority to the courts in these two

- 1 situations. And the second and third say what happens
- 2 when that authority isn't exercised. The question I'm
- 3 struggling with -- and it's the same question I put to
- 4 Mr. Jay -- is why we should think that the grant of
- 5 authority over situation A and situation B is a denial
- of authority over situation C? So, what's your best
- 7 argument?
- 8 MR. YOUNG: Well, my best argument, assuming
- 9 the premise that it's a grant, which I think is not the
- 10 best way to read it, but if it's a grant of authority,
- 11 still the correct answer is it says nothing at all about
- 12 the anticipatory context. And Congress must speak
- 13 clearly if it will withdraw power from the courts.
- 14 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, it does to this
- 15 extent: If it's two -- if the second sentence that
- 16 hasn't yet been imposed, but there's an indictment in
- 17 another Federal court, if there's two consecutive
- 18 prosecutions, the first judge can't say I want my
- 19 sentence to run consecutive to the one that may or may
- 20 not be imposed by another Federal judge. That would not
- 21 be possible, right?
- MR. YOUNG: I think it would not be
- 23 possible.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: So, why should it -- if
- 25 the order is one way for successive Federal

- 1 prosecutions, why should it be different when the second
- 2 prosecution, instead of being Federal, is State?
- 3 MR. YOUNG: For several reasons, one of
- 4 which is -- I think it ties into Justice Breyer's
- 5 question. If the Federal judge sentences first and
- 6 imprisons first, it could not impose a consecutive or
- 7 concurrent term as to the later-served State sentence
- 8 either. The first imposed Federal sentence will
- 9 presumably be served first in the same Bureau of
- 10 Prisons. And so, there's nothing for it yet to be
- 11 consecutive or concurrent to.
- 12 A second answer is that all Federal
- 13 sentences are served under the jailer of the same
- 14 sovereign, the Federal; whereas in the anticipatory
- 15 context, we have two different systems. And so, the
- 16 first sentencing federal judge is the only judge that
- 17 can compel the jailer of the Federal sovereign to either
- 18 credit or not to credit; whereas in the Federal/Federal
- 19 system, under the statute, the second judge is
- 20 explicitly given the power to alter the default rule.
- 21 So, in all Federal cases, either a default rule or a
- 22 judge will determine whether or not a credit should be
- 23 given to the defendant.
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But it will be the second
- 25 judge.

- 1 MR. YOUNG: The second Federal judge can do
- 2 it, but the second State judge cannot, because this
- 3 statute can neither empower the State judge --
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But the State judge can
- 5 decide what's going to happen to the second sentence.
- 6 MR. YOUNG: If the second sentence is served
- 7 second. But as in this case and many others, the second
- 8 sentence is served first. And, consequently, the second
- 9 sentencing judge, the State judge in Mr. Setser's case,
- 10 has no power to determine whether or not that sentence,
- 11 which will be served first, will be consecutive or
- 12 concurrent.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: What do you do --
- 14 MR. YOUNG: And I know there's a lot of
- 15 firsts and seconds going on here, but the point is --
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But what do you do with
- 17 the -- the argument that the judge who anticipates a
- 18 second sentence may be wrong? He doesn't know what that
- 19 will be. And when I asked, how does the bureau make
- 20 these judgments -- does it take into account the conduct
- 21 of the prisoner in the State facility -- that's
- 22 something that the judge who sentences first can't
- 23 possibly know.
- MR. YOUNG: It's true, but the same
- 25 prisoner -- if the State sentence had happened 10

- 1 minutes before the Federal sentence, the Federal judge
- 2 would have plenary authority to impose a consecutive
- 3 sentence, even though it would be served last. And all
- 4 of that conduct that will happen in the State system
- 5 would be irrelevant. The sentence happened at the time
- 6 of sentencing.
- 7 Now, there is a statutory provision that
- 8 does describe exactly how the Bureau of Prisons should
- 9 interact with the courts in the context of a sentence
- 10 that needs to be changed, and that's section 3582(c).
- 11 And in that statute, the -- the judge will remain the
- 12 decider because the Bureau of Prisons goes as a
- 13 petitioner and says to the court there are compelling
- 14 and extraordinary reasons to modify this sentence.
- 15 And then the court, always in the position
- of the decider and using the section 3553(a) factors,
- 17 will decide whether or not the Bureau of Prisons'
- 18 petition should be granted. But never in any statute is
- 19 the Bureau of Prisons given the authority to use the
- 20 sentencing factors under section 3553.
- 21 And, in fact, the sentencing factors that
- 22 the Government contends would allow it to make a
- 23 sentencing determination under section 3621, page 2a of
- 24 the Government's merits brief, starts off with the very
- 25 preliminary requirement. And I'll read from the second

- 1 sentence of 3621(b): "The Bureau may designate any
- 2 available penal or correctional facility that meets
- 3 minimum standards of health and habitability established
- 4 by the Bureau." Which means that if this is the power
- 5 that the Bureau of Prisons has to make a sentence
- 6 concurrent, a State prisoner in a true hellhole would
- 7 not be able to get a concurrent sentence. The person
- 8 most in need of that judicial mercy would be precluded
- 9 by statute if we subject this statute to anything like
- 10 the textual rigor that the Government wants to subject
- 11 3584 to.
- 12 Plainly, what 3621(b) does is articulate a
- 13 set of principles that allows the Bureau of Prisons to
- 14 decide to which prisons defendants should go, not how
- 15 long they must stay there. That is an element of
- 16 punishment, which is quintessentially judicial. This
- 17 Court said in Ex parte United States -- it's been quoted
- 18 and cited by courts across this country for a hundred
- 19 years. And, in fact, in that case, it was said to be so
- 20 historically established that it hardly merited comment.
- 21 And now --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I'd be a little
- 23 worried in this case at deciding whether section -- what
- 24 is that section -- the place of imprisonment, section
- 3621(b), whether that does or does not give the power to

- 1 the Bureau of Prisons, the power that they've assumed
- 2 for many, many years. Do we have to decide that
- 3 question here? I didn't realize I was deciding that. I
- 4 thought I was just deciding whether -- whether the
- 5 judge, the sentencing judge, has the power to impose,
- 6 say, a consecutive sentence, say my sentence will run
- 7 consecutively to a State court sentence that has not yet
- 8 been imposed. I thought that was all I had to decide.
- 9 MR. YOUNG: That is all you have to decide,
- 10 but in so deciding, you're confronting the argument that
- 11 the Government makes which is: No, no; Congress has
- 12 exclusively vested this sentencing function in us.
- JUSTICE BREYER: I don't think you have to.
- 14 I mean, maybe we do have to get to that.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, somebody has to make
- 16 that call.
- 17 MR. YOUNG: Should it be the judge or the
- 18 jailer?
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: I mean, if you say -- it's
- 20 either the judge or the jailer. There's nobody -- who
- 21 else is going to make it?
- MR. YOUNG: That's precisely the point. And
- 23 the argument --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: So, if you say the judge
- 25 can't, it's going to be the Bureau of Prisons.

- 1 MR. YOUNG: Precisely. And to say that
- 2 Congress has never given it to the Bureau of Prisons
- 3 necessarily means that it is the judge.
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, let me ask you this
- 5 then: Is it -- is it -- if we want the judge to be able
- 6 to say this particular prisoner will serve his Federal
- 7 sentence after the State gun sentence is served or,
- 8 alternatively, with the State gun sentence, the 3621
- 9 says that -- that the judge can, if that sentence, State
- 10 sentence, has not yet been imposed, we don't know what
- 11 it is -- we don't know if it will be imposed. We don't
- 12 know what they're going to say. We don't know whether
- 13 they're going to sentence him to -- count his time, time
- 14 served in the Federal jail. I mean, I don't know what
- 15 they're going to say in Federal prison.
- 16 But he can write down what he wants, as (4)
- is "any statement by the court that imposed the
- 18 sentence." A statement "concerning the purposes for
- 19 which the sentence of imprisonment" has been imposed.
- 20 You would say I'm trying to get a single behavior
- 21 punished once with 4 years, and then that separate
- 22 behavior I would like punished by 2 years more. Okay?
- So, you write it down and the Bureau of
- 24 Prisons follows it. And if they don't follow it, they
- 25 could get reversed by a district court, abuse of

- 1 discretion. Now, will that solve the problem.
- 2 MR. YOUNG: It doesn't, Your Honor, because
- 3 what that factor allows the Bureau of Prisons to do is
- 4 to decide, based upon the judgment here, is this someone
- 5 who needs to be in the super-max or is it someone that
- 6 can be in a much more minimum security type prison?
- 7 None of this has anything to do with the
- 8 determination of how long someone should spend in
- 9 prison, 10 years, 20 years. The Government says it's
- 10 all the same; you'll serve your Federal prison term. It
- 11 doesn't seem that from the perspective of an identically
- 12 situated person who will spend 20 years rather than 10
- 13 years of his life in prison.
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: Am -- am I correct, Mr.
- 15 Young, that if -- if the Federal sentencing judge is
- 16 erroneous in his prediction of what the State court
- 17 later sentencing will do, that his order, based upon
- 18 that erroneous prediction, can be altered upon appeal by
- 19 the Bureau of Prisons?
- 20 MR. YOUNG: That's correct. And the only
- 21 situation in which a prisoner would be harmed is if the
- 22 Federal judge says, I want it to be consecutive, and
- 23 then the Bureau of Prisons comes in later, 20 years
- later after he is done with the State term, let's say,
- 25 and says, boy, we would have made this concurrent, and

- 1 here are all reasons why. Congress has provided a means
- 2 to do that, section 3582(c). Go to the Federal court as
- 3 the petitioner, not the decider. Congress did not
- 4 unilaterally give the Bureau of Prisons the power it is
- 5 now claiming. And so, for that reason --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm interested in
- 7 pursuing the point Justice Breyer raised. I am troubled
- 8 by the idea that someone in the Bureau of Prisons makes
- 9 this determination, but I -- I wonder how that -- how
- 10 that helps you.
- 11 You said it's either the jailer or the
- 12 judge, and, therefore, you do have to decide it. But
- 13 maybe it's either the first judge or the second judge.
- 14 MR. YOUNG: In -- in --
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Why -- why isn't --
- doesn't it make sense to say that the Federal court
- 17 doesn't -- whoever is second can always tailor their
- 18 sentence to what they want. Whoever is first just has
- 19 to give the sentence that he or she thinks is
- 20 appropriate.
- MR. YOUNG: Because in the dual sovereignty
- 22 context, that second sentencing judge cannot compel the
- 23 Federal sovereign to either reduce --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Doesn't compel --
- 25 no, doesn't compel the Federal sovereign. He would say:

- 1 Look, I want to give 10 years.
- 2 MR. YOUNG: Right.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I see you've already
- 4 got, you know, a -- a 15-year sentence under the
- 5 Federal, but I don't want it to be 25 years. So, I'm
- 6 going to, in fact, just give you a 5-year sentence.
- 7 Do understand what I'm making --
- 8 MR. YOUNG: Yes.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Whoever the second
- 10 judge is can figure out exactly how long he thinks the
- 11 sentence should run and give a sentence accordingly.
- MR. YOUNG: That cannot be the case if it's
- 13 a State court and the State court does not know, with
- 14 respect to a later-served Federal sentence, whether or
- 15 not the time will be credited. So, in other words, in
- 16 your example --
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, but I suppose in the
- 18 Chief's example a super-cautious State court judge would
- 19 say: I see you've got a 15-year sentence here. I don't
- 20 know if it's going to be concurrent or consecutive. So,
- 21 I'll sentence you to nothing at all.
- I mean, I suppose -- which shows that
- 23 there -- if -- if you follow your rule, you may be
- 24 infringing on the Federal balance, but then you would
- 25 say the BOP can do the same thing.

- 1 MR. YOUNG: In the case in which the -- the
- 2 State judge says, I want it to be zero, then we know
- 3 that the State relinquishes its custody. And whatever
- 4 happens later in Federal prison, a pardon, let's say, or
- 5 a reversal, that's -- that's gone and done for the State
- 6 no longer has the claim on him. They've sentenced him
- 7 to zero.
- If the State judge knows, however, that the
- 9 Federal court has sentenced someone to 5 years and it
- 10 will be consecutive because it's served last to whatever
- 11 the State judge imposes, the State judge now is in a
- 12 position, and only in that situation, is in a position
- 13 to say, okay, if I give you 2 years, you will spend 7,
- 14 because I know that the Federal sentence will be
- 15 consecutive.
- On the other hand, if the curtain is only
- 17 pulled up by the Bureau of Prisons at the end and the
- 18 State judge says I want you to have 10 years, I'll
- 19 sentence you to 5, and the Bureau of Prisons pulls up
- 20 the curtain, it's concurrent. Then only 5 years has
- 21 been sentenced.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, you're saying that
- 23 your position is really more consistent with the Federal
- 24 balance because it allows the State to know what it's
- 25 deal with?

- 1 MR. YOUNG: Precisely. The only way to
- 2 really respect the second sentencing State judge is to
- 3 provide clarity, rather than to force that State judge
- 4 to guess, to sentence in the dark, about what will
- 5 actually happen to this defendant later on when he
- 6 eventually, after State confinement, is transported to
- 7 the Federal prisons.
- 8 This case is only about that situation in
- 9 which the first sentence is imposed by the Federal
- 10 court, but before the Federal sentence is enforced, all
- 11 of the State -- that's why the Federal bookends, I
- 12 describe it. We start with the Federal sentence, and we
- 13 end with the imposition, the service of the Federal
- 14 service.
- And in between those two things, the State
- 16 sentencing and imprisonment occurs. And so, the State
- 17 judge cannot make his sentence be concurrent or
- 18 consecutive to the Federal sentence, because the Federal
- 19 sentence hasn't been imposed yet. Consequently --
- 20 hasn't been served yet. Consequently, providing that
- 21 State judge with knowledge about what will happen is the
- 22 only way to give that State judge the respect the State
- judge needs and requires to be able to implement State
- 24 goals in a meaningful way. Otherwise, it's a guess.
- As you heard, the Bureau of Prisons does not

- 1 follow, as a matter of course, a second sentencing State
- 2 judge's preference that it be concurrent or consecutive.
- 3 That is something that the Bureau of Prisons, as
- 4 responsive to the Federal courts, Federal sovereign,
- 5 will decide based upon what happens in the Federal
- 6 court.
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: This is perhaps something
- 8 that I should have asked the Solicitor General, but do
- 9 you have any idea how often this situation comes up?
- 10 MR. YOUNG: I don't have specific numbers.
- 11 It's difficult to find them, but I think it's
- 12 increasing. And the reason for that is the explosion of
- 13 Federal criminal law. There's still far more State and
- 14 local law enforcement officers in this country. And the
- 15 doctrine why these -- why these sentences can be imposed
- 16 first but served last is because of the primary custody
- 17 rule. Because a local or State law enforcement officer
- 18 will most likely arrest someone whose act will violate
- 19 the laws of both sovereigns, that person will be in
- 20 State custody.
- 21 We now have so many more offenses under the
- 22 Federal Criminal Code than we did even back when
- 23 Congress passed the Sentencing Reform Act, which goes,
- 24 perhaps, to Justice Kagan's point. Congress may not
- 25 really have been thinking about this at all.

- 1 JUSTICE KAGAN: So, is there evidence one
- 2 way or the other on that question, whether this
- 3 situation was in any meaningful sense before the
- 4 Congress?
- 5 MR. YOUNG: Everything is silent. I think
- 6 it was not. As to the question about whether courts
- 7 could impose concurrent consecutive sentences, what the
- 8 report says, footnotes 310, 314, 318, pages 126, 127,
- 9 and 129 of the sentencing report, there were some courts
- 10 that thought that a prior statute stopped them from
- 11 imposing only concurrent sentences in the dual
- 12 sovereignty context.
- Congress made very clear -- in fact, it
- 14 cited by name United States v. Segal, one of the cases
- 15 the Government cites for this proposition, as being
- 16 incorrect. We want to make it clear, Congress says in a
- 17 report, you can impose concurrent sentences, but all
- 18 along consecutive sentences were imposed anticipatorily.
- And so, this is sort of like, you know, the
- 20 rule that someone cannot have M&M's at all being held to
- 21 mean that you cannot have candy after dinner if you have
- 22 Snickers after dinner every night. Once you remove the
- obstacle to having M&M's, then presumably you can have
- 24 them after dinner as well. There was no rule that you
- 25 couldn't have candy after dinner.

- 1 There was no rule that Federal courts could
- 2 not sentence anticipatorily. There was simply a
- 3 statutory bar that some courts thought stopped them from
- 4 imposing concurrent sentences in the dual sovereignty
- 5 context.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, in order for you to
- 7 prevail, I think we -- is it correct, we would have to
- 8 determine that there was this authority inherent in the
- 9 judiciary prior to the enactment of this statute?
- 10 MR. YOUNG: I don't think that that is
- 11 necessarily true. I think it makes it much easier. And
- 12 there can be no question that concurrent and consecutive
- 13 sentencing is inherently and quintessentially judicial.
- 14 This court in Oregon v. Ice only two terms ago regarded
- 15 it that way.
- 16 JUSTICE ALITO: Yes, with respect to Federal
- 17 sentences, certainly that's true. But with respect to
- 18 Federal and State sentences, it may be, as you
- 19 suggested, that this just didn't come up very often
- 20 until the enactment probably of the Federal drug laws
- 21 and -- and a few other statutes that created offenses
- 22 where you have -- where the same conduct would
- 23 constitute a violation of both Federal and State law.
- 24 And so, you have this situation coming up with greater
- 25 frequency.

- 1 MR. YOUNG: That's true. With greater
- 2 frequency, it did happen, and the courts recognized this
- 3 and, without any concern, sentenced anticipatorily.
- 4 JUSTICE KAGAN: But -- but if one had -- you
- 5 know, what I take as the view of Justice Alito's
- 6 question is that there was no -- no practice supporting
- 7 courts sentencing in this way. It -- it just wasn't
- 8 done. Mostly, it wasn't on anybody's radar screen that
- 9 this was a significant issue. What would we do then, if
- 10 we thought Congress didn't speak to it, but we also
- 11 didn't see a past practice inconsistent with what the
- 12 Government is suggesting?
- 13 MR. YOUNG: In that case, if the choice is
- 14 between the judge and the jailer, I think the choice is
- 15 clear. If Congress did not specifically say that
- 16 something as quintessentially judicial as deciding how
- 17 long someone would spend in prison must be decided by
- 18 the executive branch, questionable whether it could, but
- 19 unless it explicitly said this is how we want it to
- 20 happen, there can be no doubt that imposing extra
- 21 punishment or withdrawing punishment is so judicial in
- 22 nature that, even if Congress didn't think about it and
- 23 explicitly address the problem, the tie certainly has to
- 24 go to the judge.
- 25 JUSTICE BREYER: No, it isn't a tie. It's

- 1 -- I mean, there's one thing on each side. On your side
- 2 is the fact that the sentencing judge, Federal, is
- 3 trying to figure out his own sentence, and he does --
- 4 either he does want or he doesn't want that particular
- 5 individual to serve additional time, should a State
- 6 court judge later decide on some related or unrelated
- 7 matter. All right?
- 8 And if that were all that was at issue, and
- 9 the choice is between his saying -- just doing it,
- 10 writing it in the sentence, or he's writing down his
- 11 reasons what he'd like to have happen and letting the
- 12 Bureau implement that as best they can under the section
- 13 we are talking about. That's on the one side. And that
- 14 says let the judge do it; don't give the implementation.
- On the other side is to let the judge do it
- 16 risks complex interference with the second to sentence,
- 17 who is the State court judge.
- It may be you're right that there's some way
- 19 of working it out, but it sounds complicated to me,
- 20 particularly in -- in the consecutive case.
- So, we have federalism principles on one
- 22 hand, versus the judge, versus the bureaucracy on the
- 23 other. And so, it isn't so easy. That's -- that's why
- 24 I think this is not such an easy case.
- 25 MR. YOUNG: Well, let me address what the

- 1 anticipatory sentencing, the Federal judge, how he could
- 2 possibly interfere with the State. I don't think that
- 3 he can if the State is sentencing second and imprisoning
- 4 first.
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: No, no. It's the State
- 6 court judge that wants to sentence a person to an
- 7 unrelated offense --
- 8 MR. YOUNG: Right.
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: -- but he wants -- he
- 10 decides he wants it to run -- concurrently with the --
- 11 ongoing Federal sentence. There's no way to stop it.
- MR. YOUNG: Well, the question -- that's --
- 13 that's the key point. If it's an ongoing Federal
- 14 sentence, I certainly agree. But the point here is his
- 15 Federal sentence hasn't begun. Setser doesn't begin to
- 16 serve --
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Then you -- but
- 18 you can't break this thing down. Either they have the
- 19 power in the Federal district court under this
- 20 particular provision, with all its presumptions, to run
- 21 this mechanism, the one that's in the statute, in
- 22 respect to State court sentences that have not yet been
- 23 imposed or they do not have that power. We can't break
- 24 it down and say sometimes you have it, and sometimes you
- 25 don't.

- 1 MR. YOUNG: If the State court sentence has
- 2 not been imposed and will run second, a Federal court
- 3 can say consecutive or concurrent, but it wouldn't have
- 4 any meaning. Just as the State court, if it had tried
- 5 to bar the Federal Bureau of Prisons from keeping
- 6 someone, would have no meaning. There's nothing for it
- 7 to be consecutive or concurrent to, if it's the first
- 8 sentence being served.
- 9 And so, in -- in that regard, I think the
- 10 key point is a Federal court cannot say, I'm the first
- judge to sentence and my sentence will immediately
- 12 begin; I want it to be consecutive to another State -- a
- 13 future State sentence. It wouldn't mean anything,
- 14 because the State would get that prisoner after he
- 15 satisfied his Federal term, and the State can do what it
- 16 wants. Let him go, keep him longer. That's the dual
- 17 sovereignty principle.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It can't -- it
- 19 can't if, for example, they're dealing with a mandatory
- 20 minimum. Right? If the State court judge has to
- 21 sentence the person to 10 years, then your explanation
- 22 falls apart.
- MR. YOUNG: If State law has a particular
- 24 requirement as Federal law in some cases -- 924(c)
- 25 does -- then that's the way dual sovereignty works as

- 1 well. But there is never a situation in which a State
- 2 judge is worse off by having the knowledge of what the
- 3 Federal court will sentence -- has sentenced and how
- 4 that sentence will be imposed.
- 5 Again, if there's a problem with it, the
- 6 Bureau of Prisons has a way to solve it, and it's
- 7 through section 3582(c). It's not through a unilateral
- 8 determination, 20 years later perhaps, seeking the
- 9 advice of a judge. Maybe the advice of the judge is
- 10 provided at the time of sentencing. And if it can do
- 11 that, there's no reason why it couldn't be an order that
- 12 can be enforced rather than a piece of advice that is
- 13 given at the time of sentencing.
- 14 Judges decide how much punishment someone
- 15 should receive. In Federal court, Federal judges decide
- 16 how long someone should spend in the Federal Bureau of
- 17 Prisons. State courts can't do it, but they can adjust
- 18 sentences within the strictures of State law to account
- 19 for what they know is coming if Federal courts are able
- 20 to provide that advice.
- 21 And if they cannot decide that issue and
- 22 advise the State court judge of what will happen, then
- 23 there are situations that will occur when the Bureau of
- 24 Prisons administers these sentences, and there's no
- 25 question about that either. But the point is there is

- 1 never a situation in which a judge, able and willing --
- 2 able to follow the section 3553(a) factors and willing
- 3 to impose that sentence, is doing something that will be
- 4 worse for the defendant or worse for the States than if
- 5 he does not do it.
- 6 You contrast the -- the two situations that
- 7 two equally situated people would be in. On the one
- 8 hand, sentencing in open court by an Article III judge
- 9 subject to the section 3553(a) factors with direct
- 10 review in the courts for reasonableness. On the other
- 11 hand, sentencing by administrator without any of those
- 12 salutary procedural protections, without direct review
- 13 in the courts, and based on factors that determine to
- 14 which prison someone should go, not how long they must
- 15 stay there for purposes of punishment.
- 16 And for that reason alone, if for none
- 17 other, the Court should affirm the judgment because it
- 18 allows district judges, subject to their wise exercise
- 19 and sound exercise of discretion, to make these
- 20 sentences to clarify things upfront for everyone: the
- 21 defendant, the State courts, and the Bureau of Prisons.
- 22 Twenty years, this country has had half of
- 23 the circuits following this practice, and there's not
- one case cited on the other side showing that any
- 25 mal-administration of justice has resulted, any lack of

- 1 clarity, any problems with respect to how these
- 2 sentences are enforced.
- 3 And that's because it does the opposite.
- 4 Allowing judges who are able, in cases like Setser's, no
- 5 matter how much time the State gives for probation
- 6 revocation, no Federal credit should be given to it. He
- 7 knows enough. He knows everything he needs to know to
- 8 make that sentence. He made it. It's effectuated by
- 9 the Bureau of Prisons. The Government has not said once
- 10 that it cannot enforce that sentence.
- 11 And to the extent that Setser wishes to
- 12 challenge how the Bureau of Prisons credits the State
- order, the mechanism to do that is to exhaust his
- 14 administration -- administrative remedies in the BOP and
- 15 then seek judicial review to determine whether that
- 16 calculation was done rationally and fairly.
- 17 This appeal is not the place for that. This
- 18 appeal is to determine whether district courts never
- 19 have such authority.
- I thank the Court.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Hawkins, you have 2 minutes remaining.
- 23 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF JASON D. HAWKINS
- ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- MR. HAWKINS: Thank you.

- 1 Justice Breyer, if I could go back to your
- 2 hypothetical, I think that the way that the Federal
- 3 judge can get this accomplished is simply by waiting.
- 4 After -- after the -- the conviction, Your
- 5 Honor, they can send a State prisoner back down to State
- 6 court, allow for that State sentence to be imposed, and
- 7 then he can come back into Federal custody. And in that
- 8 situation, that is when the court has the authority to
- 9 issue this binding order. That is the -- because it has
- 10 all of the information.
- 11 And -- and I would also --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's preferable, to
- 13 clog the judicial system with untold number of Federal
- 14 convictions that have not been reduced to judgment?
- 15 That's preferable to giving judges or recognizing their
- 16 power to state their views up front?
- 17 MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, the judge can
- 18 state the views -- her views up front in a
- 19 recommendation. It cannot do so if it doesn't know all
- 20 the facts. It cannot bind --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you tell me what
- 22 facts would affect the sentence here? The judge here
- 23 very clearly believed that some of the State charges
- 24 overlapped and some didn't. And so, it ran some
- 25 consecutive to one set of State charges and concurrent

1	to the other.
2	What are the facts with respect to the
3	defendant that the Federal court needed to know?
4	MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, I think in looking
5	at 3584, it may well seem reasonable for the Federal
6	court to have done this, but the the fact is, is that
7	Congress drew a bright line, and he has to be subject to
8	this undischarged term of imprisonment.
9	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
10	MR. HAWKINS: Thank you.
11	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The case is
12	submitted.
13	(Whereupon, at 11:05 a.m., the case in the
14	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			I	I
A	53:14	44:20	27:12	bookends 47:11
able 6:10 14:23	Alito 6:15 7:1,21	argue 26:3	awfully 34:18	BOP 12:12,16
32:3 40:7 42:5	9:3,5 20:23	argues 35:16	a.m 1:13 3:2	19:6,6 21:6
47:23 55:19	21:9 48:7 50:6	arguing 7:5	59:13	29:16 45:25
56:1,2 57:4	50:16	argument 1:12		57:14
abolished 29:1	Alito's 51:5	2:2,5,9,13 3:3	<u>B</u>	bottom 30:3
above-entitled	allow 6:11 7:7	3:7 15:6 22:6	b 18:16 36:5	bound 6:8 9:6,9
1:11 59:14	39:22 58:6	29:13 30:15	back 13:25 14:6	9:19
Absolutely	allowed 18:7	35:17,18,19	14:11 16:25	boy 29:4 43:25
18:11	allowing 14:24	36:7,8 38:17	19:6 22:2 27:3	branch 30:25
abuse 42:25	23:13 57:4	41:10,23 57:23	34:22 48:22	51:18
abused 11:22	allows 27:14	armed 30:9	58:1,5,7	break 53:18,23
accomplished	40:13 43:3	arrest 48:18	balance 45:24	Breyer 16:22,24
58:3	46:24 56:18	Article 56:8	46:24	17:18,20 23:24
account 28:14	alludes 28:3	articulate 40:12	bar 50:3 54:5	25:3,5,7,11,13
29:21 38:20	alter 37:20	asked 25:7,9,9	based 43:4,17	25:22 26:6,10
55:18	altered 43:18	38:19 48:8	48:5 56:13	26:12,16 29:24
ACE 1:3	alternatively	asks 28:6	battling 23:15	31:20 33:2,9
act 3:12 15:14	42:8	Assistant 1:14	bear 3:20	34:2,4,6,17
31:2,17 48:18	amazing 19:13	1:16	beginning 17:1	40:22 41:13
48:23	19:17	assume 22:5,13	begun 53:15	42:4 44:7
acted 32:10	amicus 1:19	22:18 25:9	behalf 2:4,7,11	51:25 53:5,9
addition 29:22	2:11 30:16	assumed 41:1	2:15 3:8 15:7	53:17 58:1
additional 8:10	answer 5:6	assumes 5:5	30:16 57:24	Breyer's 37:4
52:5	12:15 14:2	assuming 36:8	behaved 28:22	brief 9:25 10:3
address 51:23	17:17 26:19	assumption	behavior 24:15	17:19,25 28:24
52:25	30:1 33:3,4	22:14 23:16	25:24 28:14	39:24
adequate 8:25	36:11 37:12	attorney 15:14	32:6,7 42:20 42:22	briefly 30:2
adjust 55:17	anticipates	16:11,13,15	believe 3:18	briefs 9:22
administers	38:17	17:25 18:3	4:14 5:15 6:6	bright 59:7
55:24	anticipatorily	20:20 21:24	6:13,24 10:1	bureau 6:8,10
administration	31:18 49:18	29:6	11:24	7:5,15,20 9:5,8
57:14	50:2 51:3	Austin 1:19	believed 58:23	10:7,15 13:8
administrative	anticipatory	authority 3:13	believes 9:15	13:12 14:10
27:13 57:14	36:12 37:14	4:15 14:10	best 35:17,18,19	15:14 18:19
administrator	53:1	16:1,7,10,11	36:6,8,10	19:21 26:23
56:11	anybody's 51:8	16:14,16,17	52:12	27:2,15,16,25
advice 55:9,9,12	anyway 31:7	18:1,4,6 21:6	better 7:6,15	28:4 34:22,24
55:20	apart 54:22	22:8,21,24	14:21	35:10 37:9
advise 55:22	appeal 11:25 43:18 57:17,18	30:25 35:21,25	big 11:10	38:19 39:8,12 39:17,19 40:1
advocate 7:19	,	36:2,5,6,10	bind 6:7 58:20	,
affect 58:22	appendix 10:2 applies 4:15	39:2,19 50:8 57:19 58:8	binding 12:12	40:4,5,13 41:1 41:25 42:2,23
affirm 56:17	applies 4.13 apply 14:19	authorized 29:8	12:17 15:1	43:3,19,23
agency 32:16	apply 14.19 appointed 1:20	available 18:19	30:7 58:9	43.3,19,23
ago 13:4 27:4,20	approaches 31:3	40:2	bit 18:20	46:19 47:25
30:20 50:14	approaches 31.3	aware 12:14	board 14:5	48:3 52:12
agree 17:10 24:1	appropriate	await 12.14		TO.J J2.12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				0.
54:5 55:6,16	50:17 51:23	clearly 30:25	50:4,12 54:3,7	consecutively
55:23 56:21	53:14	32:1 36:13	58:25	3:14 4:6 7:4
57:9,12	challenge 57:12	58:23	concurrently	8:6,21 17:8
bureaucracy	change 28:23	clog 58:13	6:23 7:4 8:21	21:2 23:13
52:22	changed 39:10	Code 48:22	12:7 13:24	32:8 33:19
bureaucrat	changes 17:23	come 3:15 16:7	15:22 16:2	35:7 41:7
10:20 11:5,6	characteristics	23:10 50:19	17:7 21:2	consecutive/co
11:12 12:2,4	9:12	58:7	23:13 25:24	35:14
12:25 13:3,11	charges 8:5,5,8	comes 5:16	26:24 29:23	consequence 6:4
13:20,22	58:23,25	14:18 19:6,23	32:14 34:11	consequences
bureaucratic	Chief 3:3,9 9:16	23:9 35:14	35:8 53:10	18:24
14:10	9:18 10:4,19	43:23 48:9	concurrent/co	consequently
bureaucrats	11:9 15:4,9	coming 33:24	21:7	38:8 47:19,20
29:2	18:13,17 19:25	50:24 55:19	conduct 24:5	considerations
bureau's 28:7			38:20 39:4	28:3
business 17:10	20:8,11,16 26:25 27:6,8	commence 15:16 18:6	50:22	consistent 46:23
Dusiness 1/.10		21:21	conduct's 24:10	consistent 46:23
	29:11 30:1,4	commend 31:16	conduct \$ 24.10	
C 2:1 3:1 36:6	30:12,13,14,18	comment 40:20	47:6	constitutional
calculation	44:6,15,24			30:21
57:16	45:3,9 54:18	common 33:16	confronting	constraints
call 15:19 41:16	57:21 59:9,11	compel 37:17	41:10	14:14
candy 49:21,25	Chief's 45:18	44:22,24,25	Congress 3:12	construction
care 4:19 11:11	choice 51:13,14	compelling	22:7,13,22	20:21
carefully 17:5	52:9	39:13	23:2,11,16,18	contends 39:22
23:11	choose 14:13	complete 7:12	23:25 30:24	contest 31:8
carried 18:2	Circuit 17:24	11:7	31:3 36:12	context 4:13
carrying 22:22	circuits 4:19	complex 52:16	41:11 42:2	36:12 37:15
case 3:4,11 6:5	56:23	complicated	44:1,3 48:23	39:9 44:22
7:13 8:15	circumstance	34:18 52:19	48:24 49:4,13	49:12 50:5
24:20 26:14	9:11	computation	49:16 51:10,15	contrast 56:6
27:14 28:24	circumstances	28:9	51:22 59:7	control 24:20
	4:25	concern 34:7	Congress's 23:2	29:18 34:9
32:11 33:7,21 34:8 38:7,9	cite 17:19,25	51:3	conscious 22:20	controls 35:8
,	31:15	concerning	consecutive	convicted 24:11
40:19,23 45:12 46:1 47:8	cited 9:21 28:24	42:18	17:13,16 24:9	26:21
	31:9 40:18	concerns 3:11	24:14,19 29:19	conviction 24:14
51:13 52:20,24	49:14 56:24	conclusion 3:17	31:12 32:11,13	25:10,14,16,17
56:24 59:11,13	cites 49:15	concurrent 8:6	32:20 34:9	25:23 58:4
cases 17:18,20	citing 17:24	17:13 21:13	36:17,19 37:6	convictions
19:24 31:4,8	claim 46:6	24:9,21 28:7	37:11 38:11	58:14
31:15,19,22	claiming 44:5	31:12 32:22	39:2 41:6	core 30:24
33:13 37:21	clarify 56:20	33:11 34:14	43:22 45:20	correct 5:22
49:14 54:24	clarity 31:3 47:3	37:7,11 38:12	46:10,15 47:18	12:18 26:6
57:4	57:1	40:6,7 43:25	48:2 49:7,18	28:20 34:23
central 28:8	clear 11:3 15:17	45:20 46:20	50:12 52:20	36:11 43:14,20
century 30:20	23:6 49:13,16	47:17 48:2	54:3,7,12	50:7
certainly 28:20	51:15	49:7,11,17	58:25	correctional

			ı	l
18:19 40:2	56:21 57:18	decides 10:22	14:11 28:8	dual 44:21 49:11
counsel 3:25	court's 14:24	12:5 33:19	desire 11:21	50:4 54:16,25
15:4 30:12	cover 4:21	53:10	deter 8:25	D.C 1:8,17
57:21 59:9	create 24:3	deciding 10:11	determination	
count 42:13	created 50:21	11:25 21:1	7:8,23 8:23,24	E
country 40:18	credit 6:3,14,16	40:23 41:3,4	11:7 14:23	E 2:1 3:1,1
48:14 56:22	6:20,25 19:9	41:10 51:16	21:7 30:10	earlier 29:3
couple 25:3	34:1 37:18,18	decision 7:2,16	39:23 43:8	easier 34:21
course 48:1	37:22 57:6	9:7 12:3,23	44:9 55:8	50:11
court 1:1,12,21	credited 45:15	13:3,20,22	determine 31:10	easily 35:11
3:10,13,17	credits 13:14	14:5 17:24	37:22 38:10	Easterbrook
4:15 5:17 6:7	57:12	22:24 28:10	50:8 56:13	4:18
6:22,24 7:8,23	crime 9:12	29:3,18 30:9	57:15,18	easy 52:23,24
8:7,22 10:9	15:12 20:17	decisionmaker	determining	eat 24:8
11:21,25 12:11	24:11,13 29:6	30:8	20:25 21:3	effectiveness
12:16 13:25	29:22	default 5:16	difference 5:25	27:11
14:20 15:10	criminal 10:9,12	37:20,21	6:5,12	effectuate 35:11
16:1 17:8,9	27:14,23 48:13	defendant 3:19	different 4:5,19	effectuated 57:8
18:5 24:19	48:22	5:1 6:5 9:12	5:9 21:2 24:10	Einstein 18:24
25:23 26:5,22	curiae 1:19 2:11	10:23 12:3,7	29:22 32:7,19	either 3:21 8:15
27:12,22 28:5	30:16	15:12 16:3	34:8 37:1,15	23:8 26:2
30:19,20 31:16	curtain 46:16,20	18:7 24:5 27:1	difficult 48:11	28:22 32:4
32:10,11,15,21	custody 19:23	28:21 35:2,3,3	dinner 49:21,22	37:8,17,21
33:6,18,22,23	28:17 46:3	37:23 47:5	49:24,25	41:20 44:11,13
34:1,9,10,21	48:16,20 58:7	56:4,21 59:3	direct 11:25	44:23 52:4
36:17 39:13,15		defendants 7:7	56:9,12	53:18 55:25
40:17 41:7		26:9 40:14	director 10:21	element 40:15
42:17,25 43:16	D 1:14 2:3,14	defendant's	directors 10:8	empower 38:3
44:2,16 45:13	3:1,7 57:23	21:21 28:14	directs 8:22	enacted 21:10
45:13,18 46:9	Dallas 1:15	Defender 1:15	disagree 22:19	enactment 50:9
47:10 48:6	dark 47:4	define 10:17	discretion 11:22	50:20 enforce 57:10
50:14 52:6,17	days 6:13	18:25	11:24 43:1	
53:6,19,22	deal 11:10 46:25	delighted 26:15	56:19	enforced 47:10 55:12 57:2
54:1,2,4,10,20	dealing 35:16 54:19	demonstrating	dissatisfaction	enforcement
55:3,15,22	deals 35:15	31:9	14:4	48:14,17
56:8,17 57:20	decide 15:11,22	denial 36:5	district 3:13	engaged 24:5
58:6,8 59:3,6	32:12 38:5	deny 33:9,11	15:11 16:1	entitled 6:14,16
courts 13:23	39:17 40:14	34:6	21:19 22:24	6:19,25
15:11,21 21:12	41:2,8,9 43:4	Department	42:25 53:19	entity 14:22
22:24 26:1	44:12 48:5	1:17	56:18 57:18	20:2
29:14 31:7,12	52:6 55:14,15	describe 35:21	doctrine 48:15	equal 12:2
32:4,5 35:25	55:21	39:8 47:12	doing 52:9 56:3 doubt 51:20	equally 56:7
36:13 39:9 40:18 48:4	decided 15:15	designate 18:1,4 18:19 19:7	doubt 51:20 dozen 10:22	erroneous 43:16
49:6,9 50:1,3	51:17	40:1	drew 59:7	43:18
51:2,7 55:17	decider 39:12,16	designates 19:22	drug 8:4,5,8	ESQ 1:14,16,19
55:19 56:10,13	44:3	designation	24:11 50:20	2:3,6,10,14
33.17 30.10,13		ucsignation	2 1 .11 30.20	2.5,0,10,11
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

established 40:3 40:20 faces 12:3 Formulation 7:9 7:15 14:21 EVAN 1:19 2:10 30:15 sevent 30:8 Eventuality face 4:1 face 12:19 14:14 40:2 28:8,15 38:21 28:8,15 38:21 28:8,15 38:21 28:8,15 38:21 28:8,15 38:21 28:8,15 38:21 40:2 28:8,15 38:21 28:8,15 38:21 28:8,15 38:21 28:11,15,16,22 33:22,33,25 34:11,15,16,22 33:22,33,25 34:11,15,16,22 42:6,14,15 42:23 43:1 42:19 45:6 42:24 43:10,15,22 42:6,14,15 43:10 15:22 42:6,14,15 43:10 15:22 44:2,16,23,25 45:10 8x13 39:14 45:10 33:15 45:16,18 33:13 45:16,18 33:13 45:16,18 33:13 45:16,18 34:19 exclusively 4:25 41:12 excentive 51:18 excelusively 4:25 41:12 excentive 51:18 excentive 51:1					
40:20 estimation 7:9 r.15 14:21 cvAn 1:19 2:10 facilities 20:5.9 / 31:7,13,16 firsts 38:15 give 5:6 13:8 20:10 32:3,4,14,17 fift 4:2 give 5:6 13:8 21:9 33:6,18 filp 28:21 32:21 33:21 33:22,23,25 give event 30:8 eventuality 2:5 fact 12:19 14:4,9 32:19 33:6,18 filp 28:21 give 5:6 13:8 21:9 26:18.20 40:2 34:24 35:4,5,8 follow 42:24 40:2 34:24 35:4,5,8 follow 42:24 40:2 34:24 35:4,5,8 follow 42:24 45:11 46:13 47:22 52:14 give 5:6 13:8 21:9 26:18.20 40:2 34:24 35:4,5,8 follow 42:24 45:14 46:13 47:22 52:14 give 3:32:13 39:21 50:2 38:13 39:1,1 follows 42:24 follows 42	established 40:3	face 4:1	29:6,14,17,19	48:16 53:4	28:17,18 36:14
estimation 7:9 facilities 20:5,9 31:7,13,16 firsts 38:15 38:4,13,16 38:4,13,16 38:4,13,16 38:4,13,16 38:4,13,16 38:4,13,16 38:4,13,16 38:4,13,16 38:4,13,16 gives 5:6 13:8 38:4,13,16 gives 5:6 13:8 38:4,13,16 gives 5:6 13:8 38:4,13,16 give 5:6 13:8 21:9 26:18.20 38:4,13,16 give 5:6 13:8 21:9 26:18.20 38:4,13,16 give 5:6 13:8 21:9 29:24 40:25 29:22 44:2,15 44:4,19 45:1,6 44:4,19 45:1,6 45:15 44:4,19 45:1,6 45:11 46:13 47:22 5:14 47:23 5:16					
7:15 14:21 EVAN 1:19 2:10 facility 14:14 32:19 33:6,18	estimation 7:9	facilities 20:5,9		,	38:4,13,16
EVAN 1:19 2:10 facility 14:14 32:19 33:6,18 Fletcher 4:18 18:1,4,20 19:6 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 29:24 40:25 40:2 40:2 40:2 33:21,23,25 45:23 48:1 40:2 40:19 45:6 37:16,17,21 56:2 50:2 50:20 20:24 10:18 40:19 45:6 37:16,17,21 56:2 50:2 50:10 42:6,14,15 50:2 43:10,15,22 40:24 40:25 5:13 57:6 60llowing 56:23 67:20 33:14 40:10,14,15 4					
30:15 event 30:8 event 40:2		facility 14:14		Fletcher 4:18	0
event 30:8 28:8,15 38:21 34:11,15,16,22 flow 5:15 44:4,19 45:1,6 44:4,19 45:1,6 45:11 46:13 42:25:18 44:4,19 45:1,6 45:11 46:13 45:13 48:1 45:13 48:1 45:25 2:14 49:13 52:2 36:17,20,25 45:23 48:1 47:22 52:14 49:12 52:2 41:14 56:2 45:10 6llowed 29:16 47:22 52:14 47:22 52:14 47:22 52:14 47:22 52:13 47:22 52:14		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
eventuality 40:2 34:24 35:4,5,8 fact 12:19 14:4,9 fact 12:19 14:4,9 45:21 46:13 47:22 52:14 given 32:5 33:14 47:22 52:14 given 32:5 33:14 47:22 52:14 given 32:5 33:13 9:1,1 cxact 7:22 10:18 factor 28:15,17 49:13 52:2 38:1 39:1,1 following 56:23 52:13 53:139:8 43:3 44:2,16,23,25 factors 8:22 9:6 example 33:14 33:15 45:16,18 54:19 42:9 39:16,20 47:12,13,18,18 42:12 47:3 39:21 56:2,9 48:4,4,5,13,22 56:13 56	event 30:8		7 7	_	44:4,19 45:1,6
25:18 eventually 47:6 eventually 47:6 evidence 49:1 Ex 30:21 40:17 exact 7:22 10:18 exactly 17:3	eventuality			follow 42:24	45:11 46:13
evidence 49:1 40:19 45:6 37:16,17,21 followed 29:16 37:20,23 39:19 exact 7:22 10:18 49:13 52:2 38:1 39:1,1 following 56:23 following 56:23 57:6 exactly 17:3 35:1 39:8 43:3 42:6,14,15 follows 42:24 force 29:13 57:6 example 33:14 43:3 44:2,16,23,25 45:5,14,24 42:6,49,14,23 47:3 32:18 34:21,24 42:25 5:13 example 33:14 9:9,10,10 46:49,14,23 47:3 32:18 34:21,24 47:3 27:3 28:2 27:3 28:2 27:3 28:2 27:3 28:2 27:3 28:2 27:3 28:2 34:16 40:14 44:2 51:24 44:2 51:24 47:3		fact 12:19 14:4,9	36:17,20,25	45:23 48:1	47:22 52:14
Ex 30:21 40:17 exact 7:22 10:18 exactly 17:3	eventually 47:6	23:21 39:21	37:2,5,8,12,14	56:2	given 32:5 33:14
exact 7:22 10:18 59:6 42:6,14,15 follows 42:24 57:6 gives 57:5 57:6 gives 57:5 gives 67:5	evidence 49:1	40:19 45:6	37:16,17,21	followed 29:16	37:20,23 39:19
exactly 17:3 factor 28:15,17 43:10,15,22 footnotes 49:8 gives 57:5 45:10 factors 8:22 9:6 44:2,16,23,25 45:5,14,24 52:13 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 47:3 32:18 34:21,24 47:3 27:3 28:2 27:3 28:2 27:3 28:2 34:16 40:14 44:2 51:24 47:2,13,18,18 47:2,13,18,18 47:3 52:13 56:14 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 56:13 6acts 58:20,22 50:2,3 52:2 52:22 22:22 52:16,52,4 55:3,15,15,16 6rward 18:2,20 58:1 60:2 7:3,8,11 7:1 48:2 58:2,7,13 59:3 58:18 58:1,11,13,15 58:18 58:1,11,13,15 58:18 58:1,11,13,15 58:18 58:1,11 58:18 58:18	Ex 30:21 40:17	49:13 52:2	38:1 39:1,1	following 56:23	42:2 55:13
35:1 39:8 43:3 44:2,16,23,25 force 29:13 giving 58:15 45:10 factors 8:22 9:6 45:5,14,24 46:4,9,14,23 32:18 34:21,24 giving 58:15 33:15 45:16,18 9:9,10,10 46:4,9,14,23 47:7,9,10,11 form 25:24 34:16 40:14 44:2 51:24 exclusive 22:17 39:21 56:2,9 48:4,4,5,13,22 forth 9:23 56:13 50:1,16,18,20 exclusively 4:25 facts 58:20,22 50:23 52:2 50:1,16,18,20 forward 18:2,20 58:1 exemplary fails 54:22 faciful 16:23 55:31,51,51,6 form 48:12 70:22 70:24 7:24 70:22 70:24 7:24 70:22 70:24 7:24 70:22 70:24 7:24 70:22 70:24 7:24 70:22<	exact 7:22 10:18	59:6	42:6,14,15	follows 42:24	57:6
35:139:8 45:10 factors 8:22 9:6 45:5,14,24 47:3 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18 34:21,24 32:18	exactly 17:3	factor 28:15,17	, ,	footnotes 49:8	gives 57:5
example 33:14 33:15 45:16,18 54:19 9:9,10,10 10:16,18 28:4 28:9 39:16,20 46:4,9,14,23 47:7,9,10,11 47:12,13,18,18 47:3 50rm 25:24 27:13 27:3 28:2 34:16 40:14 44:2 51:24 44:2 51:24 exclusive 22:17 23:3 39:21 56:2,9 56:13 48:4,4,5,13,22 50:1,16,18,20 50:23 52:2 59:2 forth 9:23 50:10,16,18,20 50:23 52:2 53:1,11,13,15 6xecutive 51:18 6xemplary forth 9:23 forward 18:2,20 22:22 54:16 56:14 55:19 50 exemilary 28:22 failts 54:22 fanciful 16:23 fashion 28:7 6xercise 56:18 56:19 53:19 54:2,5 54:10,15,24 55:31,51,5,16 far 48:13 55:39 57:6 fashion 28:7 6xercise 31:9 55:31,51,5,16 federal 1:14 59:5 friend 27:2 friends 26:3 front 9:24 58:16 58:18 fruition 3:15 58:18 fruition 3:15 58:18 fruition 3:15 58:18 fruition 3:15 function 41:12 guilare 45:10 54:13 29:14 52:21 29:7,10 32:7 36:15 57:11 extra 10:13 24:20 51:20 extraordinary 39:14 Gairly 4:17 76:16 53:19 54:2,5 53:19,57:6 58:19,57:6 58:27,713 59:3 friends 26:3 58:27,713 59:3 58:27,713 59:3 58:18 fruition 3:15 function 41:12 further 15:2 future 31:11,12 29:7,10 32:7 36:15 57:11 extra 10:13 24:20 51:20 34:3,414 29:7,13 34:3,13 29:14 34:3,13 20:13 31:4,24,25 first 3:4,413,14 5:17 14:19 34:3,13 38:5,15 41:21 34:3,13 38:5,15 41:21 34:8,13 38:5,15 41:21 34:8,13 42:15 45:6,20 36:11 11,14,12 34:8,13 38:5,15 41:21 34:8,13 38:			44:2,16,23,25	force 29:13	giving 58:15
33:15 45:16,18	45:10	factors 8:22 9:6		32:18 34:21,24	
54:19 28:9 39:16,20 47:12,13,18,18 27:13 44:2 51:24 23:3 39:21 56:2,9 48:4,4,5,13,22 forth 9:23 54:16 56:14 exclusively 4:25 42:25 58:20,22 50:13 50:2 50:23 52:2 22:22 goals 10:9,12 executive 51:18 exemplary fairly 4:17 57:16 fairly 4:17 57:16 falls 54:22 53:1,11,13,15 50:19 57:6 frequency 50:25 goes 19:5 20:1 goals 10:9,12 27:22 47:24 goals 10:9,12 27:22 47:24 exercise 56:18 cemplary fax 48:13 fashion 28:7 federal 1:14 exhaust 57:13 existence 31:9 55:3,15,15,16 forth 9:23 fround 31:20 frequency 50:25 goes 19:5 20:1 55:19 57:6 friend 27:2 friends 26:3 front 9:24 58:16 front 9:24 58:16 still 28:9 39:12 48:23 going 7:2,14 8:1 exhaust 57:13 existence 31:9 existing 21:14 22:22 fill explicitly 37:20 51:19,23 explosion 48:12 explosion 48:12 expressly 31:1 extent 9:17 36:15 57:11 20:3,4,9,13,14 extra 10:13 24:20,51:20 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 extraordinary 39:14 22:8,10 24:2,3 39:14 55:17 14:19 22:21 33:8,23 31:6,18 General's 10:2,3 31:6,18 23:14 43:24 51:24 33:11,415 33:14 43:24 51:24 33:11,415 33:12,43:21:7 33:14,43:24 32:17 40:10 41:11 40:11 43:14 51:14 41:15 51:12 57:9 extraordinary 39:14 extraordinary 39:14 extraordinary 39:14 extraordinary 39:14 extraordinary 39:14 friend 27:2 f	example 33:14	9:9,10,10	46:4,9,14,23	47:3	27:3 28:2
exclusive 22:17 39:21 56:2,9 48:4,4,5,13,22 forth 9:23 54:16 56:14 58:1 exclusively 4:25 41:12 59:2 50:1,16,18,20 22:22 22:22 30eals 10:9,12 27:22 47:24 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:11 28:14 22:22 28:14 29:14	33:15 45:16,18	10:16,18 28:4	47:7,9,10,11	form 25:24	34:16 40:14
23:3 exclusively 4:25 41:12 executive 51:18 exemplary 28:22 exercise 56:18 56:19 exercised 36:2 exhaust 57:13 existence 31:9 existing 21:14 22:22 explanation 54:21 explaination 62:27:3,8,11 7:11 8:4,5,14 37:18 66erall'Federal 37:18 66erall'Federal 37:18 66erall'Federal 37:18 66erall'Federal 66:27:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 37:18 66erall'Federal 66:27:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 66:22 7:3,8,11 67:11 8:4,5,14 67:11 8:4,5,14 67:12 60:4:23 67:12 60:4:23 67:12 60:4:23 60:17 1:0 60:11 1,4,15 60:1	54:19	28:9 39:16,20	47:12,13,18,18	27:13	44:2 51:24
exclusively 4:25 facts 58:20,22 50:23 52:2 22:22 goals 10:9,12 27:22 47:24 goals 12:14 goals 12:14 27:22 47:24 27:24	exclusive 22:17	39:21 56:2,9	48:4,4,5,13,22	forth 9:23	54:16 56:14
41:12 59:2 53:1,11,13,15 found 31:20 27:22 47:24 exemplary 28:22 falls 54:22 53:19 54:2,5 54:10,15,24 51:2 28:9 39:12 exercise 56:18 far 48:13 55:19 57:6 freind 27:2 48:23 secroised 36:2 federal 1:14 59:5 58:2,7,13 59:3 front 9:24 58:16 8:15,17 11:3 exercised 36:2 exhaust 57:13 6:22 7:3,8,11 Federall/Federal fruition 3:15 8:15,17 11:3 existing 21:14 6:22 7:3,8,11 Federal/Federal figure 45:10 54:21 further 15:2 32:1 33:11 42:1 34:8,13 35:3 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 figure 45:10 54:13 63:1 54:11 6:22 17:6,8 finished 32:25 63:1 63:1 63:1 42:1 42:2 42:1 42:2 48:11 42:2 42:1 42:2 42:1 42:1 42:2 43:1 43:2 44:1 42:1 42:2 42:1 42:2 42:1 42:2 42:1 42:2 43:1 43:2 44:1 42:1 42:1 42:1 42:1 42:2 42:1 42:2 44:1 42:1 44:1 42:1 44:1 42:1 44:1 42:1	23:3	56:13	50:1,16,18,20	forward 18:2,20	58:1
executive 51:18 exemplary fairly 4:17 57:16 falls 54:22 fanciful 16:23 far 48:13 53:19 54:2,5 54:10,15,24 55:3,15,15,16 55:19 57:6 55:3,15,15,16 56:19 fashion 28:7 federal 1:14 59:5 58:2,7,13 59:3 existence 31:9 existing 21:14 22:22 7:3,8,11 22:22 7:11 8:4,5,14 22:22 7:11 8:4,5,14 8:17 9:14 10:9 54:21 8xplosion 48:12 expressly 31:1 extent 9:17 36:15 57:11 extent 9:17 36:15 57:11 extra 10:13 24:20 51:20 extraordinary 39:14 fairly 4:17 57:16 falls 54:2,5 54:10,15,24 55:19 57:6 55:3,15,15,16 55:3,15,15,16 55:3,15,15,16 55:3,15,15,16 58:18 front 9:24 58:16 58:18 front 9:24 58:16 58:18 front 9:24 58:16 58:18 front 9:24 58:16 function 3:15 function 41:12 further 15:2 gardinary 37:18 find 9:20 22:9 find 9:20 22:0 find 9:20 2	exclusively 4:25	facts 58:20,22	50:23 52:2	22:22	goals 10:9,12
exemplary falls 54:22 54:10,15,24 51:2 28:9 39:12 exercise 56:18 far 48:13 55:3,15,15,16 55:19 57:6 friend 27:2 friends 26:3 going 7:2,14 8:1 56:19 fashion 28:7 58:2,7,13 59:3 front 9:24 58:16 8:15,17 11:3 exercised 36:2 federal 1:14 59:5 58:18 12:1,5,6,22 exhaust 57:13 3:13,13 5:2,13 federalism 59:5 function 41:12 8:15,17 11:3 existing 21:14 6:22 7:3,8,11 Federal/Federal 37:18 further 15:2 32:21 33:11 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 finished 32:25 finished 32:25 finished 32:25 explosion 48:12 16:2 17:6,8 first 3:4 4:13,14 General 1:17 7:6 Government extera 10:13 20:3,4,9,13,14 20:17 21:9 48:8 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 24:20 51:20 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 Ginsburg 5:25 40:10 41:11 93:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 51:12 57:9		59:2	53:1,11,13,15	found 31:20	27:22 47:24
28:22	executive 51:18	fairly 4:17 57:16	53:19 54:2,5	frequency 50:25	goes 19:5 20:1
exercise 56:18 far 48:13 55:19 57:6 friends 26:3 going 7:2,14 8:1 56:19 fashion 28:7 58:2,7,13 59:3 front 9:24 58:16 8:15,17 11:3 exercised 36:2 federal 1:14 59:5 58:18 12:1,5,6,22 exhaust 57:13 3:13,13 5:2,13 federalism 29:14 52:21 fruition 3:15 12:1,3,4 26:3 existing 21:14 6:22 7:3,8,11 7:11 8:4,5,14 37:18 further 15:2 32:21 33:11 22:22 7:11 8:4,5,14 figure 45:10 52:3 find 9:20 22:9 48:13 38:5,15 41:21 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 52:3 find 9:20 22:9 48:11 63:1 38:5,15 41:21 exploicitly 37:20 15:11,12,21,25 48:11 finished 32:25 G3:1 general 1:17 7:6 15:14 18:1,3 29:4 expressly 31:1 19:4,22,23 5:17 14:19 21:24 29:7 48:8 60eral's 10:2,3 18:21 20:3,4 24:20 51:20 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 6eneral's 10:2,3 16:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 2	exemplary	falls 54:22	54:10,15,24	51:2	28:9 39:12
56:19 fashion 28:7 58:2,7,13 59:3 front 9:24 58:16 8:15,17 11:3 exercised 36:2 exhaust 57:13 3:13,13 5:2,13 federal 1:14 59:5 fruition 3:15 21:1,5,6,22 existence 31:9 5:23 6:2,12,19 6:22 7:3,8,11 7:11 8:4,5,14 Federal/Federal further 15:2 32:21 33:11 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 52:3 find 9:20 22:9 future 31:11,12 34:8,13 35:3 exploicitly 37:20 15:11,12,21,25 find 9:20 22:9 48:11 G G3:1 general 1:17 7:6 42:15 45:6,20 good 13:14 25:5 29:4 explosion 48:12 18:2,5,6,13,21 first 3:4 4:13,14 5:17 14:19 21:24 29:7 48:8 Government extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 22:21 33:8,23 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 43:9 49:15 39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 6:16 18:9,11 51:12 57:9		fanciful 16:23	55:3,15,15,16	friend 27:2	48:23
exercised 36:2 federal 1:14 59:5 58:18 12:1,5,6,22 exhaust 57:13 3:13,13 5:2,13 federalism 29:14 52:21 fruition 3:15 29:7,10 32:7 existing 21:14 6:22 7:3,8,11 Federal/Federal function 41:12 29:7,10 32:7 existing 21:14 6:22 7:3,8,11 Federal/Federal further 15:2 32:21 33:11 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 figure 45:10 54:13 explicitly 37:20 13:23 14:24,25 find 9:20 22:9 G 51:19,23 16:2 17:6,8 finished 32:25 G explosion 48:12 18:2,5,6,13,21 first 3:4 4:13,14 5:17 14:19 15:14 18:1,3 29:4 extent 9:17 19:4,22,23 5:17 14:19 20:3,4,9,13,14 20:17 21:9 48:8 General's 10:2,3 18:21 20:3,4 extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 22:21 33:8,23 16:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 21:17,18,19 36:18 37:5,6,8 General's 10:2,3 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 38:11,22 44:13	exercise 56:18		55:19 57:6	friends 26:3	
exhaust 57:13 3:13,13 5:2,13 federalism 29:14 52:21 fruition 3:15 29:7,10 32:7 existing 21:14 5:23 6:2,12,19 6:22 7:3,8,11 Federal/Federal 37:18 further 15:2 32:21 33:11 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 figure 45:10 52:3 future 31:11,12 34:8,13 35:3 explicitly 37:20 13:23 14:24,25 find 9:20 22:9 48:11 G G3:1 general 1:17 7:6 42:15 45:6,20 good 13:14 25:5 good 13:14 25:5 29:4 Government extent 9:17 19:4,22,23 19:4,22,23 20:17 21:9 48:8 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 31:24 32:17 extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 22:21 33:8,23 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 extraordinary 21:17,18,19 36:18 37:5,6,8 37:9,16 38:8 Genting 34:17 40:10 41:11 93:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 38:11,22 44:13 6:16 18:9,11 51:12 57:9					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
existence 31:9 5:23 6:2,12,19 29:14 52:21 function 41:12 29:7,10 32:7 existing 21:14 6:22 7:3,8,11 7:11 8:4,5,14 Federal/Federal further 15:2 32:21 33:11 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 figure 45:10 54:13 34:8,13 35:3 explicitly 37:20 13:23 14:24,25 find 9:20 22:9 48:11 G 51:19,23 15:11,12,21,25 finished 32:25 G G3:1 general 1:17 7:6 good 13:14 25:5 29:4 explosion 48:12 18:2,5,6,13,21 finished 32:25 first 3:4 4:13,14 15:14 18:1,3 21:24 29:7 48:8 Government extent 9:17 20:3,4,9,13,14 20:17 21:9 48:8 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 extra 10:13 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 General's 10:2,3 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 21:17,18,19 36:18 37:5,6,8 getting 34:17 Ginsburg 5:25 40:10 41:11 39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 38:11,22 44:13 51:12 57:9	exercised 36:2				
existing 21:14 6:22 7:3,8,11 Federal/Federal further 15:2 32:21 33:11 22:22 7:11 8:4,5,14 37:18 37:18 34:8,13 35:3 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 52:3 54:13 38:5,15 41:21 explicitly 37:20 13:23 14:24,25 52:3 52:3 48:11 63:1 63:1 63:1 63:1 63:1 60:11:17 7:6 63:1 60:11:17 7:6 60:15:14 18:1,3 60:15:14 18:1,3 60:11:14 18:1,3 60:11:14 18:1,3 60:11:14 18:1,3 60:11:14 18:1,3 60:16:11,14,15 60:16:11,14,15 60:16:11,14,15 60:16:18:9,11 60:16					, , ,
22:22 7:11 8:4,5,14 37:18 future 31:11,12 34:8,13 35:3 explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 figure 45:10 54:13 38:5,15 41:21 54:21 10:20,23 11:8 52:3 G 38:5,15 41:21 explicitly 37:20 13:23 14:24,25 find 9:20 22:9 G G G G 51:19,23 15:11,12,21,25 48:11 general 1:17 7:6 G Good 13:14 25:5 29:4 expressly 31:1 19:4,22,23 5:17 14:19 20:3,4,9,13,14 20:17 21:9 48:8 General's 10:2,3 18:21 20:3,4 extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 22:21 33:8,23 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Genting 34:17 40:10 41:11 43:9 49:15 51:12 57:9					
explanation 8:17 9:14 10:9 figure 45:10 54:13 38:5,15 41:21 54:21 10:20,23 11:8 52:3 41:25 42:12,13 explicitly 37:20 13:23 14:24,25 find 9:20 22:9 48:11 G 42:15 45:6,20 good 13:14 25:5 explosion 48:12 16:2 17:6,8 finished 32:25 general 1:17 7:6 29:4 expressly 31:1 19:4,22,23 5:17 14:19 21:24 29:7 48:8 Government extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 20:21 33:8,23 48:14 35:24 20:13 31:6,18 24:20 51:20 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 6:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 General's 10:2,3 40:10 41:11 39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 38:11,22 44:13 5:12 57:9	_				
54:21 10:20,23 11:8 52:3 explicitly 37:20 13:23 14:24,25 find 9:20 22:9 51:19,23 15:11,12,21,25 48:11 explosion 48:12 16:2 17:6,8 finished 32:25 general 1:17 7:6 29:4 extent 9:17 19:4,22,23 20:3,4,9,13,14 5:17 14:19 20:17 21:9 48:8 General's 10:2,3 6:21 3:1 Government extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 22:21 33:8,23 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 41:25 42:12,13 41:25 42:12,13 42:15 45:6,20 good 13:14 25:5 29:4 Government 18:21 20:3,4 20:13 31:6,18 20:13 31:6,18 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 35:12,15 39:22 40:10 41:11 37:9,16 38:8 6:16 18:9,11 41:25 42:12,13 42:15 45:6,20 20:4 Extraordinary 39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 6:16 18:9,11 43:9 49:15 51:12 57:9				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
explicitly 37:20 13:23 14:24,25 find 9:20 22:9 G 42:15 45:6,20 51:19,23 15:11,12,21,25 48:11 G3:1 good 13:14 25:5 explosion 48:12 16:2 17:6,8 finished 32:25 general 1:17 7:6 29:4 expressly 31:1 19:4,22,23 5:17 14:19 21:24 29:7 48:8 36:15 57:11 20:3,4,9,13,14 20:17 21:9 48:8 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 extra 10:13 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 16:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 43:9 49:15 39:14 23:8,10 25:25 38:11,22 44:13 51:12 57:9	_		_	54:13	,
Explicitly 37:20 51:19,23 15:11,12,21,25 48:11 G 3:1 good 13:14 25:5 expressly 31:1 18:2,5,6,13,21 finished 32:25 15:14 18:1,3 29:4 extent 9:17 20:3,4,9,13,14 5:17 14:19 21:24 29:7 48:8 60vernment extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 22:21 33:8,23 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 24:20 51:20 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 16:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 43:9 49:15 39:14 23:8,10 25:25 38:11,22 44:13 51:12 57:9					,
explosion 48:12 expressly 31:1 16:2 17:6,8 18:2,5,6,13,21 finished 32:25 first 3:4 4:13,14 general 1:17 7:6 15:14 18:1,3 21:24 29:7 48:8 29:4 Government 18:21 20:3,4 20:17 21:9 48:8 extra 10:13 24:20 51:20 extraordinary 39:14 20:22 21:12,13 23:8,10 24:2,3 24:10 25:25 34:14 35:24 37:9,16 38:8 37:5,6,8 38:11,22 44:13 General 1:17 7:6 15:14 18:1,3 21:24 29:7 48:8 Government 18:21 20:3,4 20:13 31:6,18 31:24 32:17 33:24 32					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
expressly 31:1 18:2,5,6,13,21 first 3:4 4:13,14 15:14 18:1,3 Government extent 9:17 36:15 57:11 20:3,4,9,13,14 20:17 21:9 48:8 20:13 31:6,18 extra 10:13 20:22 21:12,13 22:21 33:8,23 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 24:20 51:20 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 6:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 43:9 49:15 39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 38:11,22 44:13 6:16 18:9,11 51:12 57:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				0
extent 9:17 19:4,22,23 5:17 14:19 21:24 29:7 18:21 20:3,4 36:15 57:11 20:3,4,9,13,14 20:17 21:9 48:8 20:13 31:6,18 extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 22:21 33:8,23 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 24:20 51:20 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 16:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 43:9 49:15 39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 38:11,22 44:13 6:16 18:9,11 51:12 57:9				O	
36:15 57:11					
extra 10:13 20:16,17,19,20 22:21 33:8,23 General's 10:2,3 31:24 32:17 24:20 51:20 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 16:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 extraordinary 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 43:9 49:15 24:10 25:25 38:11,22 44:13 57:12 57:9		, ,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24:20 51:20 extraordinary 39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 24:10 25:25 20:22 21:12,13 34:14 35:24 36:18 37:5,6,8 36:18 37:5,6,8 37:9,16 38:8 37:9,16 38:8 38:11,22 44:13 6:11,14,15 35:12,15 39:22 40:10 41:11 Ginsburg 5:25 6:16 18:9,11 51:12 57:9					· ·
extraordinary 21:17,18,19 36:18 37:5,6,8 getting 34:17 40:10 41:11 39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 43:9 49:15 24:10 25:25 38:11,22 44:13 6:16 18:9,11 51:12 57:9		, , ,			
39:14 23:8,10 24:2,3 37:9,16 38:8 Ginsburg 5:25 43:9 49:15 24:10 25:25 38:11,22 44:13 6:16 18:9,11 51:12 57:9				, ,	
24:10 25:25 38:11,22 44:13 6:16 18:9,11 51:12 57:9	•	, ,		0	
E 2.110 20.20 20.11,22 1110 20.112 27.50	39:14		·	<u> </u>	
20.4,20 28.10 44.18 47.9 27.19 26.1,13 Government's	F				
		20:4,20 28:16	44.18 47.9	27.17 20.1,13	Government's
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		I	I	
9:25 15:18	9:17 10:1,14	implement	29:9	2:14 3:7 57:23
39:24	11:2,13,23	47:23 52:12	indictment	Jay 1:16 2:6
grant 36:4,9,10	12:8,14,18,24	implementation	36:16	15:5,6,9,24
granted 3:12 8:2	13:5,10,13,16	52:14	individual 29:15	16:5,9,15,19
39:18	13:21 14:7,17	impliedly 35:16	52:5	17:17 18:11,15
grants 21:6	21:16 23:7	important 12:3	individualized	18:18 19:11,20
35:25	57:22,23,25	importantly	28:10	20:7,9,16 21:8
greater 50:24	58:17 59:4,10	8:16	information	21:25 22:1,18
51:1	health 40:3	impose 30:22	7:12,16 11:7	23:5,20 25:2,6
guard 25:18	hear 3:3 25:1	37:6 39:2 41:5	11:12,14 12:25	25:8,12,15
guess 10:5,21	26:15	49:7,17 56:3	14:20,22 30:9	26:2,8,11,15
12:20 13:12	heard 47:25	imposed 3:15,22	58:10	26:18 27:5,12
14:17 22:9,10	held 49:20	3:22,23 4:5 5:9	infringing 45:24	27:19,24 28:2
47:4,24	hellhole 40:6	5:13 7:3,22 8:1	inherent 15:19	28:12,16,20
guideline 24:3	helps 44:10	8:7,12 14:15	22:21,23 50:8	29:5,12 30:4
guidelines 9:13	highlighted 14:9	17:9,14 22:4	inherently 50:13	30:13 36:4
13:7	highlights 25:15	31:11 36:16,20	inmate 28:6	judge 4:18,18
gun 24:13 34:8	historically	37:8 41:8	inmates 20:17	7:8,11,24 8:14
34:11 42:7,8	40:20	42:10,11,17,19	insight 8:10	8:17 9:15 11:8
guy 10:11	history 3:16	47:9,19 48:15	instance 33:7,22	12:2,5 14:15
	hold 29:4	49:18 53:23	instances 33:10	14:25 17:6
<u>H</u>	honestly 31:25	54:2 55:4 58:6	intended 31:4	21:19 24:3,7
habitability	Honor 4:3,7,12	imposes 46:11	intent 10:8	24:10,19 25:8
40:3	4:22 5:22 6:6	imposing 33:7	27:22	25:18,19,22,23
half 10:22 12:6	6:13,22 7:9	49:11 50:4	interact 39:9	26:4,20 27:4
56:22	8:13,22 9:8	51:20	interested 44:6	27:14,20,21
hand 46:16	10:1,3,14 11:2	imposition	interesting 9:3	28:19,22,23,24
52:22 56:8,11	11:13,23 12:8	47:13	16:24	29:20,23,25
hands 14:6	12:14,19,24	impossible 8:24	interfere 53:2	30:5,6 32:3,12
happen 8:15	13:5,10,13,16	imprisoned 33:8	interference	32:18 33:17,19
38:5 39:4 47:5	14:8,18 15:25	imprisoning	52:16	34:10,12 35:5
47:21 51:2,20	18:15 27:24	53:3	interpretation	35:8 36:18,20
52:11 55:22	33:1 43:2 58:5	imprisonment	4:10 19:14,18	37:5,16,16,19
happened 9:15	58:17 59:4	3:20 4:5 5:2,9	involved 20:25	37:22,25 38:1
35:11 38:25	hundred 40:18	5:12,13,15,21	31:10	38:2,3,4,9,9,17
39:5	hypothetical	5:24 7:14,18	irrational 4:21	38:22 39:1,11
happening	11:11 58:2	8:1,19 9:4 11:4	irrelevant 39:5	41:5,5,17,20
24:18	т	13:25 19:7	issue 15:1 51:9	41:24 42:3,5,9
happens 6:9	<u> </u>	33:5 40:24	52:8 55:21	43:15,22 44:12
34:1 36:1 46:4	Ice 50:14	42:19 47:16	58:9	44:13,13,22
48:5	idea 7:13 8:15	59:8		45:10,18 46:2
harmed 43:21	8:17 44:8 48:9	imprisons 37:6	J., 112, 4, 42, 14	46:8,11,11,18
Hawkins 1:14	identically	inconsistent	jail 12:4 42:14	47:2,3,17,21
2:3,14 3:6,7,9	43:11	51:11	jailer 37:13,17	47:22,23 51:14
4:3,7,12,22	III 56:8	incorrect 49:16	41:18,20 44:11	51:24 52:2,6
5:11,22 6:6,21	immediately	increasing 48:12	51:14	52:14,15,17,22
7:9 8:13 9:4,8	54:11	indeterminant	JASON 1:14 2:3	53:1,6 54:11

		Ì	Ì	l
54:20 55:2,9,9	23:1,15,20,24	24:12 25:9	linguistically	14:17 16:6
55:22 56:1,8	25:3,5,7,11,13	28:19 30:5	23:25	22:10 27:20
58:3,17,22	25:22 26:6,10	33:15 34:4	little 18:20	32:24 41:14,19
judges 14:6 27:5	26:12,16,25	38:14,18,23	40:22	42:14 45:22
29:15,18,19	27:6,8,19,23	42:10,11,12,12	local 48:14,17	49:21 52:1
31:17 55:14,15	28:1,12,13,17	42:14 45:4,13	locked 30:10	54:13
56:18 57:4	28:18,25 29:11	45:20 46:2,14	logical 32:25	meaning 5:7,8
58:15	29:12,24 30:1	46:24 49:19	long 13:3 15:11	23:22 54:4,6
judge's 7:24	30:3,4,12,13	51:5 55:19	20:24 40:15	meaningful
12:11 48:2	30:14,18 31:6	57:7 58:19	43:8 45:10	47:24 49:3
judgment 1:20	31:20,23 33:2	59:3	51:17 55:16	means 40:4 42:3
2:12 7:13 8:20	33:9 34:2,4,6	knowing 9:1	56:14	44:1
25:21 27:13,16	34:17,19,20	knowledge	longer 29:4 46:6	meant 20:12
27:16 30:11,17	35:1,7,12,23	47:21 55:2	54:16	mechanism
43:4 56:17	36:14,24 37:4	knows 29:25	look 8:22 9:11	53:21 57:13
58:14	37:24 38:4,13	46:8 57:7,7	9:13 10:8,16	meets 40:2
judgments	38:16 40:22		13:1 16:22,25	mentioned
38:20	41:13,15,19,24	L	17:20 18:16	21:17
judicial 11:15	42:4 43:14	lack 56:25	27:3 31:21	mercy 40:8
11:19,21 15:19	44:6,7,15,24	lacks 3:17	45:1	merited 40:20
22:8 30:23	45:3,9,17	language 19:16	looking 10:4,6	merits 39:24
31:4 40:8,16	46:22 48:7,24	later-served	14:24 27:17	met 23:12
50:13 51:16,21	49:1 50:6,16	34:15 37:7	59:4	mind 22:11
57:15 58:13	51:4,5,25 53:5	45:14	looks 28:4	23:17,19 24:1
judiciary 50:9	53:9,17 54:18	Laughter 19:1	lot 33:13 38:14	minimum 27:2
jurists 4:18	56:25 57:21	25:4 26:17	loved 18:25	40:3 43:6
justice 1:17 3:3	58:1,12,21	law 10:15 17:23	10104 10.23	54:20
3:9,25 4:4,9,17	59:9,11	17:24 30:22	M	minutes 39:1
5:5,19,25 6:15	37.7,11	48:13,14,17	M 1:16 2:6 15:6	57:22
6:16 7:1,21 9:3	K	50:23 54:23,24	maintained	missed 26:12
9:5,16,18,21	Kagan 21:25	55:18	18:21 20:12	modified 8:18
10:4,10,12,19	22:2,19 23:1	laws 48:19 50:20	making 8:20,23	modify 39:14
11:9,19 12:1	23:15,21 34:19		11:6 12:2	monkey 17:10
12:10,15,21	35:12,23 49:1	leave 24:2	25:20,23 45:7	MONROE 1:3
13:2,6,11,15	51:4	left 32:24	mal-administr	months 6:13
13:18 14:2,3	Kagan's 48:24	length 7:22	56:25	29:6
14:12 15:4,9	keep 54:16	14:15	mandatory 27:2	morning 3:4
15:17 16:4,6	keeping 54:5	letting 52:11	54:19	muck 32:19
,	Kennedy 5:19	let's 14:2 22:5	maps 28:4	multiple 3:20
16:10,13,17,19	9:21 18:22	23:1 33:21	match 9:10	_
16:20,22,23,24	19:2,13,17,21	43:24 46:4	materials 9:23	4:4 5:9,20 MR-M's 40:20
17:18,18,20	45:17 46:22	life 43:13	matter 1:11 11:4	M&M's 49:20
18:9,11,13,17	key 53:13 54:10	light 32:3	48:1 52:7 57:5	49:23
18:22 19:2,12	know 5:3 7:17	limitations 23:6	59:14	N
19:13,15,17,21	8:9 11:9 14:12	23:22	matters 34:5	$\frac{1}{N}$ 2:1,1 3:1
19:25 20:8,11	16:4,11 20:4	line 10:6 30:3	mean 6:17 10:19	name 49:14
20:16,23 21:8	22:19 23:2,23	59:7	11:3 12:20	natural 5:15
21:25 22:2,19	44.19 43.4,43	39.1	11.3 12.20	naturar 3.13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	•	•	ı	ı
nature 9:11	42:21 49:22	13:19,19,22	48:24 53:13,14	prescribed
51:22	57:9	14:3 15:20,25	54:10 55:25	16:14 23:11
nearly 30:20	ongoing 53:11	48:23	points 22:20	presumably
necessarily 42:3	53:13	passing 3:11	policy 27:25	37:9 49:23
50:11	open 56:8	peculiar 23:18	28:2	presumptions
need 40:8	opposite 57:3	peculiarity	position 39:15	53:20
needed 59:3	oral 1:11 2:2,5,9	23:20	46:12,12,23	presumptively
needs 39:10 43:5	3:7 15:6 30:15	penal 18:19 40:2	possibility 23:14	32:8
47:23 57:7	order 3:13 4:16	penitentiaries	possible 23:8	pretty 16:24
neither 31:1	6:7,7,8 13:23	20:19,22	36:21,23	prevail 50:7
38:3	15:1 18:6 26:4	people 56:7	possibly 38:23	previous 31:17
never 3:15 25:17	33:5,6 35:11	perfect 7:20	53:2	previously 8:2
31:7 39:18	36:25 43:17	person 10:12,24	power 3:17 5:17	21:14
42:2 55:1 56:1	50:6 55:11	11:14 19:23,24	13:25 15:19,21	primary 48:16
57:18	57:13 58:9	40:7 43:12	17:7 21:13	principle 54:17
Nevertheless	ordered 6:22	48:19 53:6	25:25 26:4	principles 40:13
27:21	Oregon 50:14	54:21	31:7,10 36:13	52:21
night 49:22	ought 10:25	perspective	37:20 38:10	prior 13:16
Ninth 17:24	overcome 33:16	43:11	40:4,25 41:1,5	49:10 50:9
norm 20:21	overlapped	petition 6:10	44:4 53:19,23	prison 11:11
note 17:22	58:24	39:18	58:16	15:12 19:8,22
November 1:9	overridden	petitioner 1:4,15	practical 24:22	19:22 20:21,24
number 25:6,8	16:10	1:18 2:4,8,15	24:25 25:2	42:15 43:6,9
31:15 58:13		3:8 11:17 15:8	26:20 32:23	43:10,13 46:4
numbers 48:10	P	39:13 44:3	practice 17:3	51:17 56:14
Nunc 19:12	P 3:1	57:24	22:23 51:6,11	prisoner 11:17
	page 2:2 17:19	Petitioner's	56:23	19:3 34:22
0	17:22,22 39:23	29:13	precisely 16:19	38:21,25 40:6
O 2:1 3:1	pages 49:8	phrased 18:23	35:6 41:22	42:6 43:21
obligation 33:7	parallel 5:3	piece 55:12	42:1 47:1	54:14 58:5
obstacle 49:23	pardon 9:22	place 4:24 5:16	precluded 40:8	prisons 6:9,10
obtained 8:11	46:4	18:23 19:7	predecessor	7:6,16,20 9:6,9
occur 55:23	parole 13:6,8	40:24 57:17	21:10	10:7,16 13:8
occurs 47:16	14:5 29:1	plain 5:7,8	prediction 43:16	13:12 14:11
offense 8:1	part 13:19 14:3	plainly 35:20	43:18	15:15 20:1,18
21:18 53:7	14:7,18 15:21	40:12	preferable	26:23 27:3,15
offenses 48:21	parte 30:21	play 14:19	30:10 58:12,15	27:17,25 34:22
50:21	40:17	please 3:10	preference 48:2	34:25 35:10
office 27:3	particular 26:22	15:10 30:19	preliminary	37:10 39:8,12
officer 48:17	42:6 52:4	plenary 39:2	39:25	39:17,19 40:5
officers 48:14	53:20 54:23	point 3:17 5:21	premature	40:13,14 41:1
Office's 27:13	particularly	11:16 12:19	30:10	41:25 42:2,24
Oh 16:20 34:4	33:11 52:20	14:3 21:15,16	premise 22:6	43:3,19,23
34:17	passage 13:17	24:3 25:15	36:9	44:4,8 46:17
okay 20:8 24:15	14:8 18:5	26:10,13,13	prepared 24:24	46:19 47:7,25
42:22 46:13	20:17	27:9 38:15	prescribe 21:13	48:3 54:5 55:6
once 35:11	passed 8:14	41:22 44:7	21:19	55:17,24 56:21

	1	ı	1	1
57:9,12	42:22	27:24 32:25	reform 3:12	1:18 2:7 15:7
private 20:2	punishment	real 24:4	15:14 17:1	responsive 27:9
privately 20:6,7	21:17,18 30:22	realize 41:3	31:2,17,19	48:4
pro 19:12	40:16 51:21,21	really 18:24	48:23	restrict 31:4
probably 23:25	55:14 56:15	31:25 46:23	regard 8:16 54:9	restricted 31:8
50:20	purposes 42:18	47:2 48:25	regarded 50:14	resulted 56:25
probation 8:2,3	56:15	reason 14:8	regional 10:7,21	retroactive 19:3
8:16 33:24	pursuing 44:7	21:11 32:2	27:3	retroactively
57:5	put 14:6 24:20	44:5 48:12	rejected 22:8	19:7
problem 24:7,22	32:1 36:3	55:11 56:16	related 52:6	reversal 46:5
24:23,25 25:2	32.1 30.3	reasonable 59:5	relationships	reversed 42:25
26:20 32:23	0	reasonableness	3:21 23:8	review 11:15,20
35:14 43:1	qualifications	56:10	Release 34:25	11:21 56:10,12
51:23 55:5	24:4		relevant 7:23	57:15
	quantum 21:17	reasoning 7:25	8:10	reviewable
problems 57:1	question 3:18	8:10,11 reasons 21:9		28:11
procedural	7:10 12:16		relinquishes	
56:12	20:24 21:23	25:3 37:3	46:3	revocation
process 11:16	22:15 24:12	39:14 44:1	remain 39:11	33:24 57:6
prognosticating	26:19 31:25	52:11	remainder 15:3	revoked 8:18
14:25		rebuttal 2:13	remained 4:16	rid 29:1
program 10:6	36:2,3 37:5	15:3 57:23	remaining 57:22	right 13:9 15:23
10:17	41:3 49:2,6	receive 8:19	remedies 57:14	16:8 17:4 18:7
proper 7:12	50:12 51:6	55:15	remotely 31:2	19:12 20:2,3,6
proposing 29:17	53:12 55:25	received 6:24	remove 49:22	24:6 25:5
proposition	questionable	receives 3:19	repeat 33:14	26:14,16 30:22
49:15	51:18	recognized	repeatedly	35:24 36:21
prosecution	questions 15:2	17:23 21:12	12:13	45:2 52:7,18
37:2	21:23	51:2	reply 17:19	53:8,17 54:20
prosecutions	quintessentially	recognizing	report 16:25	rigor 40:10
36:18 37:1	40:16 50:13	58:15	17:21 31:22	risks 52:16
	F1.17			
protections	51:16	recommend	49:8,9,17	ROBERTS 3:3
protections 56:12	quite 16:21,23	recommend 18:9,10,12	49:8,9,17 represent 28:5	
-	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16		7 7	ROBERTS 3:3
56:12	quite 16:21,23	18:9,10,12	represent 28:5	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4
56:12 protects 9:1	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19	represent 28:5 require 10:12	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R 3:1	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat 27:5,10,15	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1 13:19 31:2	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16 read 4:13,19,23	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3 respect 45:14	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21 59:9,11
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1 13:19 31:2 39:7 53:20	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16 read 4:13,19,23 4:23 9:19 17:5	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat 27:5,10,15 recommends 30:6	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3 respect 45:14 47:2,22 50:16	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21 59:9,11 rule 5:16 7:7
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1 13:19 31:2 39:7 53:20 public 1:14 9:1	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16 read 4:13,19,23	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat 27:5,10,15 recommends 30:6 reduce 44:23	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3 respect 45:14 47:2,22 50:16 50:17 53:22	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21 59:9,11 rule 5:16 7:7 35:17 37:20,21
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1 13:19 31:2 39:7 53:20 public 1:14 9:1 pulled 46:17	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16 read 4:13,19,23 4:23 9:19 17:5	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat 27:5,10,15 recommends 30:6 reduce 44:23 reduced 58:14	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3 respect 45:14 47:2,22 50:16 50:17 53:22 57:1 59:2	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21 59:9,11 rule 5:16 7:7 35:17 37:20,21 45:23 48:17
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1 13:19 31:2 39:7 53:20 public 1:14 9:1 pulled 46:17 pulls 46:19	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16 read 4:13,19,23 4:23 9:19 17:5 17:5 36:10	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat 27:5,10,15 recommends 30:6 reduce 44:23 reduced 58:14 refer 31:22	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3 respect 45:14 47:2,22 50:16 50:17 53:22 57:1 59:2 respected 4:17	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21 59:9,11 rule 5:16 7:7 35:17 37:20,21 45:23 48:17 49:20,24 50:1
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1 13:19 31:2 39:7 53:20 public 1:14 9:1 pulled 46:17 pulls 46:19 punish 26:9,11	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16 read 4:13,19,23 4:23 9:19 17:5 17:5 36:10 39:25	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat 27:5,10,15 recommends 30:6 reduce 44:23 reduced 58:14 refer 31:22 refers 4:25	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3 respect 45:14 47:2,22 50:16 50:17 53:22 57:1 59:2 respected 4:17 29:14,16	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21 59:9,11 rule 5:16 7:7 35:17 37:20,21 45:23 48:17 49:20,24 50:1 run 3:14 4:5
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1 13:19 31:2 39:7 53:20 public 1:14 9:1 pulled 46:17 pulls 46:19	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16 read 4:13,19,23 4:23 9:19 17:5 17:5 36:10 39:25 reading 4:21	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat 27:5,10,15 recommends 30:6 reduce 44:23 reduced 58:14 refer 31:22	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3 respect 45:14 47:2,22 50:16 50:17 53:22 57:1 59:2 respected 4:17	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21 59:9,11 rule 5:16 7:7 35:17 37:20,21 45:23 48:17 49:20,24 50:1
56:12 protects 9:1 provide 47:3 55:20 provided 30:22 31:19 44:1 55:10 providing 47:20 provision 4:1 13:19 31:2 39:7 53:20 public 1:14 9:1 pulled 46:17 pulls 46:19 punish 26:9,11	quite 16:21,23 32:24 33:16 quoted 40:17 R R 3:1 radar 23:3 51:8 raised 44:7 ran 58:24 rationally 57:16 read 4:13,19,23 4:23 9:19 17:5 17:5 36:10 39:25 reading 4:21	18:9,10,12 26:23 29:19 recommendat 12:12,17 25:19 25:20 26:21 27:11 28:23 58:19 recommendat 27:5,10,15 recommends 30:6 reduce 44:23 reduced 58:14 refer 31:22 refers 4:25	represent 28:5 require 10:12 33:18 34:16 requirement 39:25 54:24 requires 10:15 47:23 requisite 14:20 reserve 15:3 respect 45:14 47:2,22 50:16 50:17 53:22 57:1 59:2 respected 4:17 29:14,16	ROBERTS 3:3 9:16,18 10:4 10:19 11:9 15:4 18:13,17 19:25 20:8,11 26:25 27:8 29:11 30:1,12 30:14 44:6,15 44:24 45:3,9 54:18 57:21 59:9,11 rule 5:16 7:7 35:17 37:20,21 45:23 48:17 49:20,24 50:1 run 3:14 4:5

13:24 15:22	52:16 53:3	38:5,6,8,10,18	50:13 51:7	56:24
16:2 20:2,6,7	54:2	38:25 39:1,3,5	52:2 53:1,3	significant 51:9
23:12 24:14	seconds 38:15	39:9,14 40:1,5	55:10,13 56:8	silent 4:16 49:5
25:24 29:23	section 15:20,25	40:7 41:6,6,7	56:11	simply 22:13
32:21 34:11,14	17:2,21 18:3,5	42:7,7,8,9,10	separate 24:13	50:2 58:3
36:19 41:6	18:15 21:10,11	42:13,18,19	24:15 25:24	simultaneously
45:11 53:10,20	27:6 28:5 31:1	44:18,19 45:4	42:21	22:4
54:2	39:10,16,20,23	45:6,11,11,14	sequentially	single 32:6,6
running 6:11	40:23,24,24	45:19,21 46:14	15:23	42:20
Runs 35:7	44:2 52:12	46:19 47:4,9	serve 6:2 10:13	sits 10:22
	55:7 56:2,9	47:10,12,17,18	10:25 11:3	sitting 10:20
S	security 43:6	47:19 50:2	12:7 18:7	situated 43:12
S 2:1 3:1	see 17:17 24:22	52:3,10,16	26:23 29:10	56:7
sailing 33:12	27:4 33:23	53:6,11,14,15	42:6 43:10	situation 20:1
salutary 25:20	45:3,19 51:11	54:1,8,11,11	52:5 53:16	22:9,11,12,12
56:12	seek 6:17 57:15	54:13,21 55:3	served 6:1 15:15	22:14 23:4,17
satisfied 54:15	seeking 14:9	55:4 56:3 57:8	16:18 19:4,9	23:18,22 31:10
saying 5:6 23:17	55:8	57:10 58:6,22	20:18 21:1,4	33:16 35:9
31:1 46:22	sees 32:12	sentenced 11:10	21:20 27:1	36:5,5,6 43:21
52:9	Segal 49:14	19:4 26:22	32:14 33:18,25	46:12 47:8
says 10:7,24	Senate 16:25	29:5 31:18	34:14 37:9,13	48:9 49:3
17:23 20:2	17:21 31:21	32:7 33:22	38:6,8,11 39:3	50:24 55:1
26:21 31:6	send 58:5	46:6,9,21 51:3	42:7,14 46:10	56:1 58:8
32:21 35:13	sense 44:16 49:3	55:3	47:20 48:16	situations 4:20
36:11 39:13	sentence 3:14,14	sentences 4:20	54:8	7:1 22:3,6,7
42:9 43:9,22	4:1,14,14,23	15:22 22:5	serves 35:3	35:13 36:1
43:25 46:2,18	4:25 5:7,17,18	23:10 32:4	service 20:20	
	1 4.43 3.7.17.10	1 23.10.32.4	I SULVICE ZV.ZV	1 55:23 56:6
49:8,16 52:14	, ,			55:23 56:6 skip 18:20
	6:2,11,19,23	37:5,13 38:22	21:22 47:13,14	skip 18:20
49:8,16 52:14	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 score 14:13	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 screen 23:3 51:8	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21 25:17,25 27:2	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22 29:18 31:2,4	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5 33:7 38:9 57:4	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR 3:25 4:4,9,17
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 screen 23:3 51:8 second 18:18	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21 25:17,25 27:2 28:8 29:9,20	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22 29:18 31:2,4 31:17,19 33:6	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5 33:7 38:9 57:4 setting 29:21	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR 3:25 4:4,9,17 5:5 11:19 12:1
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 score 14:13 screen 23:3 51:8 second 18:18 21:16 23:12	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21 25:17,25 27:2 28:8 29:9,20 31:11 32:3,6	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22 29:18 31:2,4 31:17,19 33:6 37:16 38:9	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5 33:7 38:9 57:4 setting 29:21 shape 32:3	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR 3:25 4:4,9,17 5:5 11:19 12:1 12:10,15,21
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 score 14:13 screen 23:3 51:8 second 18:18 21:16 23:12 30:8 36:1,15	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21 25:17,25 27:2 28:8 29:9,20 31:11 32:3,6 32:14,15,20,21	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22 29:18 31:2,4 31:17,19 33:6 37:16 38:9 39:6,20,21,23	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5 33:7 38:9 57:4 setting 29:21 shape 32:3 shorten 29:7	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR 3:25 4:4,9,17 5:5 11:19 12:1 12:10,15,21 13:18 14:2,12
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 screen 23:3 51:8 second 18:18 21:16 23:12 30:8 36:1,15 37:1,12,19,24	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21 25:17,25 27:2 28:8 29:9,20 31:11 32:3,6 32:14,15,20,21 33:18,25 34:11	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22 29:18 31:2,4 31:17,19 33:6 37:16 38:9 39:6,20,21,23 41:5,12 43:15	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5 33:7 38:9 57:4 setting 29:21 shape 32:3 shorten 29:7 showing 56:24	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR 3:25 4:4,9,17 5:5 11:19 12:1 12:10,15,21 13:18 14:2,12 28:12 29:12
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 score 14:13 screen 23:3 51:8 second 18:18 21:16 23:12 30:8 36:1,15 37:1,12,19,24 38:1,2,5,6,7,7	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21 25:17,25 27:2 28:8 29:9,20 31:11 32:3,6 32:14,15,20,21 33:18,25 34:11 34:13,15 35:2	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22 29:18 31:2,4 31:17,19 33:6 37:16 38:9 39:6,20,21,23 41:5,12 43:15 43:17 44:22	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5 33:7 38:9 57:4 setting 29:21 shape 32:3 shorten 29:7 showing 56:24 shows 45:22	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR 3:25 4:4,9,17 5:5 11:19 12:1 12:10,15,21 13:18 14:2,12 28:12 29:12 30:3 34:20
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 score 14:13 screen 23:3 51:8 second 18:18 21:16 23:12 30:8 36:1,15 37:1,12,19,24 38:1,2,5,6,7,7 38:8,18 39:25	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21 25:17,25 27:2 28:8 29:9,20 31:11 32:3,6 32:14,15,20,21 33:18,25 34:11 34:13,15 35:2 35:3,24 36:15	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22 29:18 31:2,4 31:17,19 33:6 37:16 38:9 39:6,20,21,23 41:5,12 43:15 43:17 44:22 47:2,16 48:1	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5 33:7 38:9 57:4 setting 29:21 shape 32:3 shorten 29:7 showing 56:24 shows 45:22 side 28:21 52:1	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR 3:25 4:4,9,17 5:5 11:19 12:1 12:10,15,21 13:18 14:2,12 28:12 29:12 30:3 34:20 35:1,7 58:12
49:8,16 52:14 Scalia 13:2,6,11 13:15 15:17 16:4,6,10,13 16:17,19,20,23 17:18 19:12,15 28:25 31:6,23 41:15,19,24 43:14 Scalia's 14:3 screen 23:3 51:8 second 18:18 21:16 23:12 30:8 36:1,15 37:1,12,19,24 38:1,2,5,6,7,7 38:8,18 39:25 44:13,17,22	6:2,11,19,23 6:23,25 7:3,4 7:22 8:7,12,14 8:19,25 9:1 10:23,24 11:25 13:23 14:16,19 15:1,15,24 16:2,3,18 17:7 18:6,8,18 20:20 21:1,3,4 21:14,14,22 24:4,8,17,21 25:17,25 27:2 28:8 29:9,20 31:11 32:3,6 32:14,15,20,21 33:18,25 34:11 34:13,15 35:2	37:5,13 38:22 48:15 49:7,11 49:17,18 50:4 50:17,18 53:22 55:18,24 56:20 57:2 sentencing 3:12 5:2,14,20,23 6:12 7:7,11,24 7:24 9:13 10:9 13:7 14:21,22 15:13,19 27:22 29:18 31:2,4 31:17,19 33:6 37:16 38:9 39:6,20,21,23 41:5,12 43:15 43:17 44:22	21:22 47:13,14 serving 5:1,14 16:3 18:8 19:5 19:9 set 9:22 40:13 58:25 Setser 1:3 3:4 4:1 5:7,23 6:10 11:3 29:5 31:18 33:22 53:15 57:11 Setser's 31:5 33:7 38:9 57:4 setting 29:21 shape 32:3 shorten 29:7 showing 56:24 shows 45:22	skip 18:20 smooth 33:12 Snickers 49:22 Solicitor 1:16 10:2,3 48:8 solve 43:1 55:6 somebody 7:2 10:5 29:3 41:15 sorry 6:8 17:22 sort 23:7 49:19 SOTOMAYOR 3:25 4:4,9,17 5:5 11:19 12:1 12:10,15,21 13:18 14:2,12 28:12 29:12 30:3 34:20

		_	_	_
sound 56:19	34:10,11,12,13	stipulate 22:10	systems 37:15	56:20
sounds 52:19	34:21 37:2,7	stop 25:22,25	S.1 17:1	think 4:22,24
sovereign 34:16	38:2,3,4,9,21	26:7,13 53:11		5:3 7:6 9:5
35:4 37:14,17	38:25 39:4	stopped 49:10	T	10:14,25,25
44:23,25 48:4	40:6 41:7 42:7	50:3	T 2:1,1	20:13 21:8
sovereigns 26:8	42:8,9 43:16	strange 10:20	tack 12:5	26:2,16 27:8
48:19	43:24 45:13,13	21:5	tailor 44:17	29:21 32:1
sovereignty	45:18 46:2,3,5	strictures 55:18	take 9:14 13:19	33:1,4 35:19
44:21 49:12	46:8,11,11,18	structure 3:16	13:22 14:9	35:23 36:4,9
50:4 54:17,25	46:24 47:2,3,6	5:3	19:2 22:2	36:22 37:4
space 18:25	47:11,15,16,21	struggling 36:3	23:21 28:13,14	41:13 48:11
speak 36:12	47:22,22,23	subject 5:24	29:2,20 33:21	49:5 50:7,10
51:10	48:1,13,17,20	11:15 40:9,10	34:22 38:20	50:11 51:14,22
specific 48:10	50:18,23 52:5	56:9,18 59:7	51:5	52:24 53:2
specifically	52:17 53:2,3,5	submitted 59:12	takes 14:13	54:9 58:2 59:4
17:24 51:15	53:22 54:1,4	59:14	29:17 35:4	thinking 17:6
specify 16:1	54:12,13,14,15	subsection	talk 22:5	22:13 48:25
spend 12:4	54:20,23 55:1	18:16	talking 11:5	thinks 44:19
20:24 43:8,12	55:17,18,22	subsequent 8:4	22:7 23:24	45:10
46:13 51:17	56:21 57:5,12	subsequently	27:10 52:13	third 3:25 4:14
55:16	58:5,5,6,16,18	7:3	talks 22:3	4:23,24 5:8
spent 6:3	58:23,25	successive 36:25	tell 24:25 33:2	22:8,11,11,14
SRA 13:17,18	stated 30:20	suggested 50:19	58:21	23:4,14,17,18
14:3	35:17	suggesting	term 4:7 5:1,12	35:17 36:1
standard 27:13	statement 10:6	23:16 26:4	5:14,24 7:14	thought 7:24
standards 40:3	27:25 28:3	51:12	7:17,25 8:16	17:3,6 23:25
start 3:18 47:12	42:17,18	suggests 17:2	8:17 9:2 11:4	28:25 29:2
starts 39:24	statements	super-cautious	13:24 17:8,14	32:25 41:4,8
state 6:1,3,18,24	10:17	45:18	23:8,9,9 26:22	49:10 50:3
7:3,13,17,22	States 1:1,6,12	super-max 43:5	37:7 43:10,24	51:10
8:7,7 9:2 10:24	3:5 9:13 30:21	support 1:18,20	54:15 59:8	three 3:21 22:5
11:21 12:11,16	40:17 49:14	2:7,11 15:8	terms 3:20 4:4	23:8 35:13
13:24 15:24	56:4	30:17	5:9,11,20 8:21	tie 51:23,25
16:2 17:9,13	statute 4:24 5:16	supporting 51:6	14:15 18:23	ties 37:4
18:2,8,14 19:5	16:7 17:4	suppose 29:11	22:4,4 23:12	time 3:23 5:2,13
19:5,8,22 20:9	18:23 19:18	45:17,22	50:14 Toyog 1:15 10	5:20,23 6:1,11
20:14,18,20	21:11 29:8	supposed 10:8	Texas 1:15,19	6:18 7:11 8:13
21:14 23:9,9	30:7 35:14,20	27:3	text 3:16,19	12:4 13:14
24:7,13,14,16	35:22,25 37:19	Supreme 1:1,12	textual 40:10 thank 15:4 30:4	15:3 18:24,25
24:19,21 25:10	38:3 39:11,18	surrenders	30:12,13 57:20	19:9,21 20:18
25:23 26:1,5	40:9,9 49:10	19:24	57:21,25 59:9	21:20,20 23:10
26:22 28:15,16	50:9 53:21	system 7:19	59:10	27:6,10 29:21
29:15,20 30:5	statutes 50:21	10:10,12 13:7	thing 34:8 45:25	35:2,4 39:5
31:12 32:5,9	statute's 3:19	19:4 27:23	52:1 53:18	42:13,13 45:15
32:11,18,21	statutory 4:10	29:1,16 30:21	things 9:20	52:5 55:10,13
33:8,8,17,19	39:7 50:3	37:19 39:4	25:20 47:15	57:5
33:24 34:1,9	stay 40:15 56:15	58:13	23.20 77.13	times 4:5 5:10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

today 10.2 12	40.17 40.14	20.22 25 25.15	would 24.5	52.0 12 54.1
today 18:3,12	40:17 49:14	29:23,25 35:15	world 24:5	53:8,12 54:1
26:3	universe 23:7	40:10 42:16	worried 40:23	54:23
transfer 30:24	unrelated 52:6	53:6,9,10	worse 55:2 56:4	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
transported	53:7	54:16	56:4	zero 29:24 31:19
47:6	unthinkable	warranted	wouldn't 6:17	46:2,7
treat 22:16 23:3	13:15	29:21	54:3,13	40.2,7
treatment 21:13	untold 58:13	Washington 1:8	write 31:23	1
28:7	upfront 56:20	1:17	32:13 42:16,23	16:13
tried 28:25 29:2	use 20:5 31:3	wasn't 13:18	writing 52:10,10	10 10:13,25
54:4	39:19	14:3 22:13	wrong 8:9 24:23	11:11 12:6
troubled 44:7	uses 7:20,20	51:7,8	24:25 31:14	38:25 43:9,12
true 13:2 38:24	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	way 19:10,19,20	38:18	45:1 46:18
40:6 50:11,17 51:1	v 1:5 3:4 49:14	23:5 26:8	wrote 17:3	54:21
trying 10:17	50:14	28:10,22 29:7	X	10-year 6:23
17:12 42:20	versus 14:24	29:23 32:17,20 33:17 36:10,25	$\frac{1}{x}$ 1:2,7	10:23,24
52:3	52:22,22	,	A 1.2,7	10-7387 1:5 3:4
52:3 tunc 19:12	vested 41:12	47:1,22,24 49:2 50:15	<u> </u>	10:03 1:13 3:2
tune 19:12 turns 33:5	view 9:14 15:18	51:7 52:18	year 6:13 12:6	100 20:19
Twenty 56:22	30:7 51:5	53:11 54:25	years 6:3,18	11:05 59:13
two 4:18,20 21:9	views 14:24	55:6 58:2	10:13,25 11:11	126 17:22 49:8
22:3,6,7,20	58:16,18,18	Wednesday 1:9	15:13 19:8	127 17:22 49:8
23:10 25:19	violate 48:18	week 27:17	20:19 24:6,8	129 49:9
35:15,16,25	violation 8:3	week 27.17 weren't 5:12	24:11,14,21	15 2:7
36:15,17 37:15	50:23	We'll 3:3	27:1,4,11,18	15-year 45:4,19
47:15 50:14	voluntarily	we're 26:16	27:20 40:19	151 11:4 29:6
56:6,7	19:24	34:17	41:2 42:21,22	151-month 11:4
type 43:6		We've 28:23	43:9,9,12,13	16 17:19
турс 43.0	W	whatsoever 33:8	43:23 45:1,5	1790 20:18
U	waiting 8:11	WILLIAM 1:16	46:9,13,18,20	1980 31:21,21
Uh-huh 28:1	58:3	2:6 15:6	54:21 55:8	1984 3:12
ultimate 14:23	want 4:11 14:14	willing 56:1,2	56:22	
unclear 4:6,10	15:18 17:20	wise 56:18	Young 1:19 2:10	2
uncommon	22:9,10 24:13	wishes 29:15	30:14,15,18	2 24:20 42:22
22:12	24:15,18 25:1	57:11	31:14 33:1,4	46:13 57:22
understand	26:11,18,18	withdraw 35:20	33:21 34:3,5	2a 39:23
19:18 45:7	32:2,13,16	36:13	34:12,19,24	2-1/2 6:3,18
understood 32:2	33:13,15,24	withdrawing	35:6,10,12,19	20 27:1,4,11,18
undischarged	34:8,10,13	51:21	36:8,22 37:3	27:20 43:9,12
5:14,24 7:25	36:18 42:5	wonder 44:9	38:1,6,14,24	43:23 55:8
13:24 22:4	43:22 44:18	words 4:6,9,12	41:9,17,22	2011 1:9
59:8	45:1,5 46:2,18	18:22 45:15	42:1 43:2,15	2241 11:16
unilateral 55:7	49:16 51:19	work 24:6 26:8	43:20 44:14,21	23 6:13
unilaterally	52:4,4 54:12	33:10,13	45:2,8,12 46:1	25 45:5
44:4	wanted 14:5	working 52:19	47:1 48:10	3
United 1:1,6,12	20:14	works 19:10,11	49:5 50:10	3 2:4 19:8 24:6,8
3:4 9:13 30:21	wants 25:18	54:25	51:1,13 52:25	24:11,13
				47.11,1 <i>J</i>

			7
2010211	1	1	1
30 1:9 2:11			
310 49:8			
314 17:22 49:8			
318 49:8			
3553 39:20			
3553(a) 8:23,24			
9:11 39:16			
56:2,9			
3582(c) 39:10			
44:2 55:7			
3584 3:16 15:20			
17:22 21:10			
22:3,3 31:1			
40:11 59:5			
3584(a) 5:4			
15:25 18:5			
3584(b) 8:22			
3621 14:8 18:14			
21:6 39:23			
42:8			
3621(b) 9:9,21			
9:22 10:16			
18:3,15 28:5			
40:1,12,25			
4			
4 42:16,21			
4082 21:11			
5			
5 12:6 46:9,19			
46:20			
5-year 45:6			
57 2:15			
6			
6 6:13			
7			
7 46:13			
79 16:25 31:21			
9			
924(c) 54:24			
	l	<u> </u>	l