| 1 | IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES |
|----|--|
| 2 | x |
| 3 | CLYDE REED, ET AL., : |
| 4 | Petitioners : |
| 5 | v. : No. 13-502 |
| 6 | TOWN OF GILBERT, ARIZONA, : |
| 7 | ET AL. : |
| 8 | x |
| 9 | Washington, D.C. |
| 10 | Monday, January 12, 2015 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | The above-entitled matter came on for oral |
| 13 | argument before the Supreme Court of the United States |
| 14 | at 10:04 a.m. |
| 15 | APPEARANCES: |
| 16 | DAVID A. CORTMAN, ESQ., Lawrenceville, Ga.; on behalf of |
| 17 | Petitioners. |
| 18 | ERIC J. FEIGIN, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor |
| 19 | General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; for |
| 20 | United States, as amicus curiae, supporting neither |
| 21 | party. |
| 22 | PHILIP W. SAVRIN, ESQ., Atlanta, Ga.; on behalf of |
| 23 | Respondents |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | CONTENTS | |
|----|---|------|
| 2 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF | PAGE |
| 3 | DAVID A. CORTMAN, ESQ. | |
| 4 | On behalf of the Petitioners | 3 |
| 5 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF | |
| 6 | ERIC J. FEIGIN, ESQ. | |
| 7 | On behalf of United States, as amicus curiae, | |
| 8 | supporting neither party | 24 |
| 9 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF | |
| 10 | PHILIP W. SAVRIN, ESQ. | |
| 11 | On behalf of the Respondents | 33 |
| 12 | REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF | |
| 13 | DAVID A. CORTMAN, ESQ. | |
| 14 | On behalf of the Petitioners | 53 |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | (10:04 a.m.) |
| 3 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear |
| 4 | argument first this morning in Case 13-502, Reed v. Town |
| 5 | of Gilbert. |
| 6 | Mr. Cortman. |
| 7 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF DAVID CORTMAN |
| 8 | ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS |
| 9 | MR. CORTMAN: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it |
| 10 | please the Court: |
| 11 | The town's code discriminates on its face by |
| 12 | treating certain signs differently based solely on what |
| 13 | they say. For example, political signs may be 32 square |
| 14 | feet, may be unlimited in number, and may be placed in |
| 15 | the right-of-way of the entire town for five months |
| 16 | before the election; but the church's signs can only be |
| 17 | one-fifth of that side size, only placed in the dark |
| 18 | of night, the night before the church service. |
| 19 | While the church's signs with directional |
| 20 | content are only allowed up for 14 hours, other signs |
| 21 | with directional content are allowed up for much longer. |
| 22 | For example, builders' directional signs to home sales |
| 23 | events are allowed up to be the entire are allowed up |
| 24 | the entire weekend, and homeowners' association event |
| 25 | signs are allowed to be up for 30 days. |

- 1 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, what are -- what
- 2 are you seeking? Do you -- do you want whatever is the
- 3 most favorable rule, say, for ideological signs? Is
- 4 that what you want? Or do you accept that it could be
- 5 some limit on event signs, say, some reasonable time
- 6 limit?
- 7 MR. CORTMAN: We're -- we're seeking the
- 8 same as the -- the favorable signs, which, in this case,
- 9 would be both ideological and political signs.
- 10 And -- and the reason on that --
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But the ideological isn't
- 12 time unlimited.
- MR. CORTMAN: Well, but it's not allowed on
- 14 the right-of-way, and so that's a major factor in this
- 15 case because political signs are allowed on the
- 16 right-of-way, and so the treatment that we're seeking is
- 17 merely equal treatment under the First Amendment.
- 18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: The same treatment as
- 19 political signs, then, is that --
- 20 MR. CORTMAN: The -- the same treatment as
- 21 political signs that are allowed up in the right-of-way,
- 22 that's right.
- 23 And ideological signs, interestingly enough,
- 24 are still based into their own content category, but
- 25 they are not allowed on the right-of-way, yet they are

- 1 allowed up for an unlimited period of time.
- 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Are you -- are you
- 3 seeking unlimited placement?
- 4 MR. CORTMAN: We are not. We're seeking
- 5 placement that any other temporary signs get, which is
- 6 the category that we're talking about.
- 7 And, in fact, the -- the city, the town here
- 8 has freedom to regulate the amount of time signs can go
- 9 up, the size of the signs, the number of the signs. All
- 10 our argument is, is that they do it across the board and
- 11 not treat signs differently based on their content.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Your -- your
- 13 argument does not turn on the fact that it's a church's
- 14 sign, does it? I mean, you -- your argument would be
- 15 the same if this is a temporary sign about where the
- 16 soccer game was going to be?
- 17 MR. CORTMAN: Well, that's right. And --
- 18 and the church's sign merely adds additional components
- 19 to it. For example, the town puts our -- the church's
- 20 sign into a category called directional signs; but, yet,
- 21 the church's sign has religious speech on it. It has,
- 22 obviously, directional speech. It has ideological
- 23 speech. But that's correct, it's -- it's equal
- 24 treatment for that type of sign.
- 25 And -- and the category --

- 1 JUSTICE KAGAN: Can I ask about the category
- 2 for political signs, which is the most favorable?
- 3 Because all the time this Court says that political
- 4 speech is the most valued kind of speech. It's at the
- 5 heart of the First Amendment. It gets special First
- 6 Amendment protection. So in a way, why aren't --
- 7 isn't -- isn't the locality here basically adopting the
- 8 same kind of category-based understanding of political
- 9 speech and its special rule and First Amendment analysis
- 10 that this Court has very frequently articulated?
- 11 MR. CORTMAN: But I think this Court has
- 12 also said, for example, that -- that religious speech
- 13 also handles that category of core speech under the
- 14 First Amendment. And the problem is, is what the --
- 15 what the town does here, it's valuing speech, and I
- 16 think that is one of the problems. It is saying that
- 17 political speech in this case is more valuable than an
- 18 invitation to church.
- 19 And so I think this Court has made clear
- 20 that -- that the government --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I -- I'm sorry.
- 22 That was the significance of my prior question.
- What -- what they're really saying is that
- 24 political speech is more valuable than speech about
- 25 where the soccer game is. In other words, I thought you

- 1 indicated that your argument did not depend on the fact
- 2 that the sign was a sign for the church.
- 3 MR. CORTMAN: Well, that's right. It
- 4 doesn't depend on that fact. I was merely referring to
- 5 the facts of this case. I apologize --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Don't you think that
- 7 political speech is more valuable than directions to the
- 8 soccer game?
- 9 MR. CORTMAN: Maybe in some people's eyes,
- 10 but the problem we have is -- is should the government
- 11 be deciding what speech is more valuable than others,
- 12 because that is exactly what it did in this case. It
- 13 has said that, in fact, your speech is not valuable and
- 14 we can completely ban it. And I think one of the
- 15 problems --
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, let's -- let's
- 17 take a sign that in all other ways is equal except that
- 18 it says no signs on residential property except
- 19 properties that are being sold. And so it's valued the
- 20 homeowner's right to sell its property. Would that be
- 21 contrary of the First Amendment, and if not, why is that
- 22 value any different than valuing political speech?
- 23 MR. CORTMAN: I think it would be. I think
- 24 this Court's case Linmark dealt with a similar
- 25 circumstance, where in that city, it banned all

- 1 residential signs except for -- in fact, it was the
- 2 reverse. It banned for sale signs and allowed some
- 3 residential signs that were exceptions.
- 4 But this Court said exactly that, that the
- 5 town shouldn't value different types of speech,
- 6 especially on private property when you have homeowners'
- 7 rights that also comes into play in addition to speech
- 8 rights.
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: What if it's commercial and
- 10 it relates to a one-time event. For example, for a yard
- 11 sale.
- 12 MR. CORTMAN: Right.
- 13 JUSTICE ALITO: If the State and the city
- 14 allow election-related signs to be put up in the
- 15 right-of-way, then anybody who has a yard sale has an
- 16 equal right?
- 17 MR. CORTMAN: Well, I think -- I think
- 18 commercial speech, under this Court's jurisprudence, can
- 19 be treated differently, and that's one of the important
- 20 things. The category here is narrow because government
- 21 speech -- government can put up whatever signs that it
- 22 would like. It doesn't trigger any problem under the
- 23 First Amendment.
- 24 We hear a lot in the other briefs about
- 25 warning signs and other types of signs. The government

- 1 is free to put up all the signs that it would like
- 2 without triggering this problem. Commercial speech is
- 3 also in a different category, according to this Court.
- 4 So the narrow category that we're talking
- 5 about is temporary signs, not permanent signs, that are
- 6 put up in the right-of-way that can be regulated.
- 7 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Do you think directional
- 8 signs is a valid category?
- 9 MR. CORTMAN: The reason I don't think it is
- 10 is because here, the direction is part of the invitation
- 11 to come and worship or part of the invitation to come to
- 12 a different event. So the directional part of it is in
- 13 addition to the ideological speech, the invitation to
- 14 come in and worship. And so although it appears to be a
- 15 different category of directional, it's important to the
- 16 speech of the church, inviting the community and saying
- 17 come worship with us, and here is where we are located.
- 18 And what's interesting about that, if the
- 19 church, for example, says we're meeting now at a senior
- 20 living center, that is considered to be a directional
- 21 sign because the definition is a sign that is intended
- 22 to invite and direct someone to your service, that would
- 23 then be banned in the right-of-way.
- 24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I quess you see the
- 25 concern, if an affluent person wants to celebrate a

- 1 birthday, he can put "Happy birthday, Uncle Fred" as
- 2 many places as a political sign, and as for long.
- 3 MR. CORTMAN: Well, I think one of the
- 4 issues is if the government has decided that its
- 5 interest -- which, by the way, is what drives this, the
- 6 interest in safety and aesthetics, which is the two
- 7 interests the government proposes. If those have
- 8 already been deemed to be less important than speech,
- 9 then I think speech should be allowed. But --
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But your answer to the
- 11 question is "Happy birthday, Uncle Fred" can have as
- 12 many signs and for as long as the political campaign.
- 13 MR. CORTMAN: I think -- I think that is
- 14 right. And I --
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That has -- that has to
- 16 be -- seem to me -- what about historical marker, the
- 17 birthplace of James Madison or whatever?
- 18 MR. CORTMAN: I think the answer to that is
- 19 the government is free to put up that marker without
- 20 any --
- 21 JUSTICE KENNEDY: No, no, it's privately --
- 22 privately owned.
- 23 MR. CORTMAN: Well, I think if it's -- if
- 24 it's allowing private speech, then we engage in the
- 25 problem of valuing. For example, in that case, we think

- 1 that marker is more important than someone else's
- 2 speech.
- 3 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So again, under your view,
- 4 "Happy birthday, Uncle Fred" and "Save your soul" and
- 5 "Birthplace of James Madison" can all be up for the same
- 6 length of time, same size.
- 7 MR. CORTMAN: I think it can, because
- 8 otherwise I think we have a problem with content-based
- 9 discrimination --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: What about permanent signs?
- 11 I assume that what you say about temporary signs would
- 12 apply equally to permanent signs, wouldn't it?
- 13 MR. CORTMAN: It would, but in a different
- 14 category. In other words, our category that we're
- 15 discussing is temporary signs, so ours wouldn't affect
- 16 permanent signs. I believe you could make -- that's a
- 17 content-neutral category.
- But if you had a permanent sign, I think
- 19 within the permanent sign category, the answer is --
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: Within the permanent sign
- 21 category --
- 22 MR. CORTMAN: Yes.
- 23 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- they all have to have
- 24 the same --
- MR. CORTMAN: I believe so, because --

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- except commercial, I
- 2 assume.
- 3 MR. CORTMAN: And especially if -- most of
- 4 the permanent signs are commercial signs. When we talk
- 5 about billboards and other types of signs, so this Court
- 6 I think has made clear if you have, for example,
- 7 billboards and other permanent signs that do allow
- 8 commercial speech, then the municipality must allow
- 9 noncommercial speech.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, you
- 11 characterize --
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, what would happen if
- one church has a -- always meets in the same place and
- 14 it wants to put up a sign that says, every Sunday this
- is the place to go, and another church moves around, so
- 16 it wants to put up a temporary sign. Do they have to be
- 17 treated the same?
- 18 MR. CORTMAN: I think they would. If we're
- 19 talking about the category of what goes on public
- 20 property, what goes on the right-of-way. On their own
- 21 property is a different question. But here, the town
- 22 has already decided to allow an unlimited number of
- 23 political signs up to 30 square -- 32 square feet for
- 24 nearly the entire year. There are four elections in
- 25 Arizona, so with this time limit of five months, you

- 1 have political signs in an unlimited number. And those
- 2 signs affect the safety and aesthetics interest the same
- 3 way as the church's sign does, the same way as an
- 4 invitation --
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How do you create your
- 6 temporary category without reading the sign? And
- 7 so there is some force, the counterargument that what is
- 8 being regulated here is not the content but the function
- 9 of the sign. So how do you get around that argument?
- 10 Because you've already created a category that requires
- 11 you to read the sign.
- 12 MR. CORTMAN: I don't think it does, and the
- 13 reason the way the temporary sign is defined here is
- 14 merely a sign that's both intended and constructed not
- 15 to be permanent. So it doesn't matter what is on that
- 16 sign; that's why we think that category is content
- 17 neutral, because as long as it's a temporary sign, it
- 18 doesn't matter what the sign says. A permanent sign is
- 19 just duration, and there can be duration requirements,
- 20 there can be size requirements, location requirements.
- 21 That the government --
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So your point is if
- 23 it's -- if it's stuck in the ground with a little
- 24 stake --
- 25 MR. CORTMAN: Right.

| 1 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: then it can be |
|----|--|
| 2 | treated one way, but if it's in concrete but |
| 3 | MR. CORTMAN: That's right. |
| 4 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It seems to me that |
| 5 | you are trying to find a, I don't know, a difficult way |
| 6 | to deal with an issue that could be readily addressed |
| 7 | just by seeing if the sign is for a limited event. In |
| 8 | other words, what if somebody every time you know, |
| 9 | the stake in the ground at least could last for three |
| 10 | weeks, so every three weeks, they come along and stick |
| 11 | the stake back in the ground. You are saying the only |
| 12 | way they can distinguish is by looking at whether it has |
| 13 | a stake in the ground or whether it's in concrete, and |
| 14 | yet that seems to me that doesn't help the that |
| 15 | doesn't answer the city's legitimate concern. |
| 16 | MR. CORTMAN: But I think what is important |
| 17 | here is that if the city, the town has already |
| 18 | agreed that an election is an event, and so we have an |
| 19 | election that's an event, but yet that single event sign |
| 20 | can be up for five months, and yet we have an event |
| 21 | where that single event can only be up overnight. And |
| 22 | so it's already made that determination that it would |
| 23 | allow those types of signs for what I think is a |
| 24 | comparable use, a single event to a single event. |
| 25 | The other thing I would say is if you allow |

- 1 signs to be up for one single event for five months,
- 2 certainly there should be some way to say, well, if we
- 3 have a recurring event as we do here, certainly the sign
- 4 should be allowed up at least equal to the same time,
- 5 and --
- 6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, I mean, to say that
- 7 an election is a single event in the same way as a
- 8 football game, a cookout, a basketball championship,
- 9 it's -- it seems to me is a very difficult thing for
- 10 this Court to have to decide. It's just not -- a
- 11 political campaign is a dynamic that goes on for some
- 12 weeks that the signs initiate a discussion. Now I can see
- 13 where you can say the religious sign does or at least
- 14 should initiate the same discussion of -- on issues that
- 15 are certainly of the same importance, if not more.
- 16 MR. CORTMAN: Certainly --
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But it seems to me you are
- 18 forcing us into making a very wooden distinction that
- 19 could result in a proliferation of signs for birthday
- 20 parties or for every conceivable event that could be up
- 21 for five months.
- 22 MR. CORTMAN: But I think the problem is
- 23 there -- there already is that here, because we have an
- 24 unlimited number of political signs. And so if the
- 25 streets are already littered in an unlimited number of

- 1 political signs, which they are, then how serious is the
- 2 town's interest to reduce clutter? And I think that's
- 3 the problem. The way to reduce clutter is to say, for
- 4 example, many different ways, you can only have one sign
- 5 per block, five signs total. It could only be a certain
- 6 size.
- 7 But it's hard to take the interests
- 8 seriously of reducing clutter when it allows political
- 9 signs to clutter the entire town in an unlimited number
- 10 for the entire year. The church's signs or an event
- 11 signs are not the problem. What we have here is -- is
- 12 carte blanche authority for political signs to clutter
- 13 the landscape, unlimited in number for the entire year,
- 14 and yet the concern is for maybe a few more signs that
- 15 may be placed.
- 16 JUSTICE ALITO: Can the town say that signs
- 17 relating to a one-time event, an election or anything
- 18 else that occurs on a particular date, have to be taken
- 19 down within a period of time after that event? And if
- 20 can say that, isn't that content-based, the way you
- 21 define that concept?
- 22 MR. CORTMAN: I don't believe it is. In
- 23 fact, the Washington, D.C., municipal regulations have
- 24 that exact code, and -- and it's one that we would
- 25 recommend to the Court. I believe it's 13605.

- 1 And what it says is all temporary signs
- 2 should be treated the same, period. You can put -- you
- 3 have to put your date on the sign for when you put it
- 4 up. Every temporary sign can be up for 180 days. If
- 5 it's tied to an event, after the event is over, it needs
- 6 to be down 30 days after the event.
- 7 I think -- our opinion is the reason that is
- 8 content-neutral is whenever something is over, if your
- 9 store is closed, the event is done, then the sign can be
- 10 removed. But the important part is every sign can be up
- 11 for the same amount of time, even if it is that event
- 12 that's over now. And I think that's the way you
- 13 deal with these -- these single event --
- JUSTICE ALITO: I thought you said the way
- 15 you distinguish between temporary signs and permanent
- 16 signs is based on the -- the nature of the sign, not
- 17 what it says.
- 18 MR. CORTMAN: Right.
- 19 JUSTICE ALITO: So that gets you over the
- 20 problem Justice Sotomayor mentioned about having to read
- 21 the sign.
- 22 MR. CORTMAN: Right.
- 23 JUSTICE ALITO: But if this -- if there's a
- 24 rule that says the sign has to be down within a certain
- 25 period of time after the date of the event, which is on

- 1 the sign, I don't see how you get around to reading the
- 2 sign.
- 3 MR. CORTMAN: Well, what you would be
- 4 reading is the date, and -- and the code requires the
- 5 date to be placed on the sign both for when the sign is
- 6 placed and -- and for -- you know, for what the event
- 7 is. But I think that --
- 8 JUSTICE ALITO: So if somebody puts up a
- 9 sign for a yard sale two days before the yard sale, then
- 10 they can -- that can stay up for 48 days after the yard
- 11 sale? It has 50 days or whatever the period of time is?
- MR. CORTMAN: Yes, according to -- according
- 13 to the code. But what is interesting, that time period
- 14 can be anything the town desires. It -- it doesn't need
- 15 to be -- and we're not looking for signs all year long.
- The town can say, for example, temporary
- 17 signs can be up for seven days, they can be a certain
- 18 size. Like Washington, D.C., does, you can only have
- 19 three signs per block, have to be spaced out. And --
- 20 and that's part of our point.
- 21 And I think one of the things to take a --
- 22 to take look at is the amici brief that's been filed on
- 23 behalf of the town by the National League of Cities, and
- the reason that brief is important, for example, on
- 25 page 10 and 13, it lists dozens and --

1 JUSTICE SCALIA: What page? 2 MR. CORTMAN: Page 10 of the amici brief on behalf of the National League of Cities on behalf of the 3 4 town. And the reason I point out this brief is we don't 5 believe that the content-neutral regulation would tie 6 the hands of the town because, as -- as they say, there 7 are dozens and dozens of ways to regulate signs on a content-neutral way. For example, and this has to do 8 9 with permanent signs --10 JUSTICE SCALIA: What page is this again? 11 MR. CORTMAN: This is page 10 on the 12 National League of Cities' amici brief. 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: Got it. 14 MR. CORTMAN: It says you can regulate 15 locational criteria, off-site signs, number of signs, 16 spacing, setbacks, placement criteria, roof sign, ground 17 signs, wall signs, projecting signs. And all my point 18 is, as we look through their brief, there are innumerable ways for the Court -- excuse me -- for the 19 20 town to regulate signs. There is no reason to look at the content of 21 22 the sign, and the reason is the content of the sign 23 affects the -- the government interest of safety and 24 aesthetics in the same way. If -- if you have, for 25 example, clutter, whether it's the church's sign or a

- 1 political sign, it's going to clutter the roadways the
- 2 same way.
- 3 So the way to deal with clutter is an easy
- 4 rule, and, in fact, even the town concedes that the
- 5 content-based test that this Court has -- has used and
- 6 that the majority of the circuits now use in the sign
- 7 context -- the First Circuit, the Second Circuit, the
- 8 Eighth Circuit, and the Eleventh apply this test to sign
- 9 codes, and what they say, it's actually easier for the
- 10 town because you don't--
- 11 JUSTICE BREYER: For the towns it might be.
- 12 What about there are vast areas of the country where
- 13 there is scenery and people want to keep the scenery the
- 14 same, and they don't want signs at all, but they don't
- want to say no signs because someone who wants to put up
- 16 a sign that says Geronimo is buried 50 feet away from
- 17 here.
- 18 MR. CORTMAN: Right.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, if they say, okay,
- 20 we'll make an exception for that, does that mean that
- 21 they have to have an exception for everything, and
- 22 pretty soon the entire State of Wyoming is just filled
- 23 with clutter?
- 24 MR. CORTMAN: I wouldn't say everything.
- 25 But what I would say is -- is content-neutral

- 1 categories. But they can say, for example, each person
- 2 could --
- 3 JUSTICE BREYER: No, no, it's not a
- 4 content-neutral category. What it is, is it is a
- 5 category that says if you want to say Geronimo is buried
- 6 here you can, because that will bring people to look at
- 7 the grave, and that's it; we don't want anything else.
- 8 We're trying to keep the place looking nice.
- 9 Now, that's not a city. Cities are filled
- 10 with clutter anyway, at least most parts. But that's --
- 11 so -- so what is -- I'm trying to drive at what is your
- 12 definition of "content-neutral," which is something that
- 13 I wonder since I think the entire U.S. Code is filled
- 14 with content distinctions. All of crime is filled with
- 15 content distinctions. All of regulation has content
- 16 distinctions.
- 17 So what is it precisely in respect to the
- 18 content-neutral rule that is consistent with the U.S.
- 19 Code and is consistent with the example, if any, that I
- 20 gave?
- 21 MR. CORTMAN: The definition we would
- 22 propose is the same one this Court has used since the
- 23 Mosley case about what is content-based, and that is if
- 24 there -- the restriction or the regulation looks at the
- 25 subject matter, looks at --

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: No, try -- try the criminal 2 code and solicitation, where if you solicit for certain things you commit serious crimes, and if you commit 3 certain -- solicit for certain other things, they are 4 less serious and so forth. We all know that. How does 5 6 your definition apply there? 7 Well, I don't think --MR. CORTMAN: Or how does it apply -- you 8 JUSTICE BREYER: 9 see, I'm -- I'm confused. I understand the words --10 MR. CORTMAN: Right. 11 JUSTICE BREYER: -- but I just have never 12 been able to understand how they apply in many cases. 13 MR. CORTMAN: Only limited here to -- to 14 free speech questions, not criminal laws, not --15 JUSTICE BREYER: No, no, I'm sorry. There's a free speech question under criminal law. Does the 16 First Amendment permit solicitation of drugs to be 17 punished less or more seriously? You understand what I'm 18
- 20 MR. CORTMAN: I do.

driving at --

19

- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: -- think of the U.S. Code,
- 22 and what I want and hoping for is enlightenment.
- 23 MR. CORTMAN: I think if there is -- it's a
- 24 conduct-related offense, we don't get into free speech.
- 25 If there is a free speech offense, even under the Code,

- 1 I believe this Court's cases say, for example, there are
- 2 vagueness cases where there are criminal laws. This, in
- 3 fact, is a criminal law. If you continue to put up your
- 4 signs, you could actually get fined and jail time. So I
- 5 believe the First Amendment would also apply if it's
- 6 speech-related.
- 7 An answer to your hypothetical, I think
- 8 there are many ways for the locality to regulate those
- 9 signs. For example, if it doesn't want many signs, it
- 10 can say one person can put up a temporary sign or a
- 11 permanent sign or whatever have you.
- But to say, for example, you could put up a
- 13 private sign because you think this one location is
- 14 interesting, what if another person has a location they
- 15 want people to come visit? Should the government be
- 16 able to say, well, we think your location is important,
- 17 but your location is not.
- 18 And our response is the government could put
- 19 up the sign if it -- if it wants to make that choice
- 20 about historical landmarks or other types of signs or
- 21 directional types of signs.
- I -- I'd like to reserve the remainder of
- 23 time for rebuttal if I may.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 25 Mr. Cortman.

- 1 Mr. Feigin.
- 2 ORAL ARGUMENT OF ERIC J. FEIGIN.
- 3 FOR THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE,
- 4 SUPPORTING NEITHER PARTY
- 5 MR. FEIGIN: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,
- 6 and may it please the Court:
- 7 We agree with Petitioners that Respondents'
- 8 ordinance here is unconstitutional, but we think that a
- 9 context-specific intermediate scrutiny approach should
- 10 apply in evaluating speech-permissive exceptions to a
- 11 sign ordinance where those exceptions are based on the
- 12 same longstanding traditional rationales that justify
- 13 the sign ordinance as a whole.
- 14 A wooden application of strict scrutiny in
- 15 this context would suggest that it's presumptively
- 16 unconstitutional, for example, for a town to limit signs
- 17 on public property but have an exception if you want to
- 18 paint your street number on your curb.
- Now, that doesn't make a great deal of
- 20 practical sense, and that's not an example I just made
- 21 up. That's essentially the ordinance that this Court
- 22 upheld, albeit without specifically addressing this
- 23 particular issue, in Taxpayers for Vincent.
- On a theoretical level, the normal reasons
- 25 for deep judicial skepticism of exceptions to a

- 1 regulation of speech don't apply in the context of that
- 2 street address exception, exceptions for danger or
- 3 safety signs, or other types of exceptions that track
- 4 the normal safety and nonproliferation rationales for a
- 5 sign regulation. Those kinds of exceptions don't create
- 6 any inference that the government is attempting to favor
- 7 one viewpoint or another, that it's trying to limit the
- 8 set of ideas that are going to come into the public
- 9 marketplace, or that it doesn't truly believe in the
- 10 safety and nonproliferation rationales that underlie the
- 11 sign regulation as a whole.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I mean, you --
- 13 you obviously know the difficulty with that, which is
- 14 how does the government decide when there should be an
- 15 exception or how does a court decide when there should
- 16 be an exception and when there shouldn't be? I mean, I
- 17 understood the whole point of the strict scrutiny for
- 18 content-based restrictions is to find out which are the
- 19 types of speech or the particular types of regulation
- 20 that should be given an exception, rather than starting
- 21 with saying, well, you don't apply this scrutiny because
- 22 there ought to be an exception.
- 23 MR. FEIGIN: Well, I think the main problem
- 24 with strict scrutiny in this context is it sends a
- 25 signal to legislatures that they are on safer ground if

- 1 they enact a broad and undifferentiated restriction on
- 2 signs than if they try to tailor it only to those types
- 3 of signs that actually cause the problem that they are
- 4 trying to prevent.
- 5 And I think that the way the Court can
- 6 manage the situation is to see whether, under
- 7 intermediate scrutiny, there really are safety and-or
- 8 nonproliferation rationales that track onto whatever
- 9 exception is being drawn.
- 10 So if we could use, for example, Justice
- 11 Sotomayor's example of the "For Sale" signs. A town
- 12 might permissibly have an ordinance that limits the
- 13 number of signs you can have on your lawn to two, two
- 14 signs of any type; but it might also say, you know,
- 15 we're not going to count "for Sale" signs against your
- 16 quota of two and the reason is that "For Sale" signs are
- 17 only up on a very small percentage of properties at any
- 18 given of time, they are up for a very limited purpose
- 19 when the property is for sale, and they go down once the
- 20 sale is consummated.
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: And you want us to sort --
- 22 sort these ordinances out one by one and examine each of
- 23 these exceptions and say, you know, this is okay and
- this isn't okay? I don't know that the Federal
- 25 Judiciary is numerous enough to do that, and it's a much

- 1 more simple rule that the other side presents: Treat
- 2 all signs the same. If clutter is the problem, they all
- 3 clutter. And you shouldn't -- you shouldn't allow or
- 4 disallow on the basis of the message.
- 5 MR. FEIGIN: Well, Your Honor, it's a simple
- 6 rule, but I think it's an extremely impractical rule
- 7 that is going to foreclose experimentation and local
- 8 solutions to local problems.
- 9 Let me give you another example of a town
- 10 that has some sort of sign restriction, but it doesn't
- 11 apply that sign restriction to safety signs like
- 12 "Children at Play" or "Hidden Driveway Ahead." If you
- 13 are going to apply strict scrutiny to those kinds of
- 14 exceptions, they are probably not going to pass muster
- 15 unless it's a really watered-down version of strict
- 16 scrutiny that I think is unfamiliar to the courts.
- 17 There is actually a fairly robust
- 18 empirically --
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: What is the problem? You
- 20 make -- you make your sign limits big enough that those
- 21 signs will attract attention, that's all.
- MR. FEIGIN: Well, I think towns
- 23 legitimately should not have to be put to the choice if
- they want to prevent the proliferation of signs that
- 25 would cause safety problems --

| 1 | JUSTICE SCALIA: Or have the town put up the |
|----|---|
| 2 | signs, in which case they can be as big as the town |
| 3 | wants. We're just talking about private signs here. |
| 4 | MR. FEIGIN: Your Honor, I'm not |
| 5 | JUSTICE SCALIA: So you're you're saying |
| 6 | every private individual has to have a big sign, |
| 7 | "Children At Play," right? |
| 8 | MR. FEIGIN: Well, I don't want to resist |
| 9 | too hard the idea that the Government can put up any |
| 10 | signs it wants, but I think the reason we think the |
| 11 | Government could put up the signs here is not because |
| 12 | the Government can say that it can speak on certain |
| 13 | topics and private citizens can't, but because of the |
| 14 | nature of the signs that are being put up, which means |
| 15 | that some work has to be done here by the fact that the |
| 16 | types of signs I described are safety signs. |
| 17 | Now, if we're going to subject that kind of |
| 18 | exception to strict scrutiny, it turns out there's actually |
| 19 | a fairly robust empirical debate about whether "Children |
| 20 | At Play" signs or "Hidden Driveway Ahead" signs actually |
| 21 | do enhance safety. And courts are going to have to make a |
| 22 | one-size-fits-all conclusion about whether the state of |
| 23 | the evidence, which right now is fairly equivocal, |
| 24 | justifies that sort of exception. I think courts are |
| 25 | likely |

| 1 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Counsel, I'm not |
|----|--|
| 2 | sure I'm not sure your whole approach is not |
| 3 | precluded by our decision in McCullen. There, we said |
| 4 | that a facially neutral law does not become content- |
| 5 | based simply because it may disproportionately affect |
| 6 | certain types of certain topics. And we said the |
| 7 | question in such a case in other words, when you are |
| 8 | dealing with a facially neutral law is whether the |
| 9 | law is justified without reference to the content. So |
| 10 | it seems to me that you've got to get over the content |
| 11 | neutrality. Your argument only applies when it's |
| 12 | content neutral and yet here we're dealing with a |
| 13 | situation where you're saying it's an exception to the |
| 14 | content-based rule. |
| 15 | MR. FEIGIN: Well, Your Honor, I think |
| 16 | the Court can deal with the competing interests in this |
| 17 | case more easily not by getting bogged down in the |
| 18 | definition of content-based and content-neutral, which I |
| 19 | can address in a second, but by focusing more on the |
| 20 | bottom-line question of whether this is an appropriate |
| 21 | case for the application of strict scrutiny or |
| 22 | intermediate scrutiny. |
| 23 | JUSTICE GINSBURG: When you use those labels |
| 24 | in the context of the First Amendment, do they mean the |
| 25 | same thing that they mean in equal protection? That is, |

| Τ | intermediate scrutiny is a pretty tough standard in |
|-----|--|
| 2 | equal protection. |
| 3 | MR. FEIGIN: Well, I think there are a |
| 4 | couple of flavors of intermediate scrutiny, both under |
| 5 | the Constitution at large and under the First Amendment |
| 6 | in particular. I think here we would be urging |
| 7 | something more like a reasonable fit test, which we |
| 8 | think would give municipalities enough room to draw the |
| 9 | kinds of distinctions that I think they reasonably |
| LO | should be able to make between painting your street |
| L1 | number on your curb so people can more easily find your |
| L2 | house and restrictions on, you know, particular types of |
| L3 | speech that are much more likely to be motivated by |
| L 4 | disagreement with that speech. |
| L5 | We do think that intermediate scrutiny has a |
| L 6 | fair amount of teeth in the circumstance, I mean, and |
| L7 | we're putting our money where our mouth is because we |
| L8 | think that the particular ordinance at issue here fails |
| L 9 | intermediate scrutiny. |
| 20 | But intermediate scrutiny would give |
| 21 | municipalities enough room to draw these kinds of |
| 22 | distinctions and to draw, in particular, the kinds of |
| 23 | distinctions that are drawn in the Highway |
| 24 | Beautification Act, which allows certain types of signs |
| 25 | that do enhance safety and aesthetics, but generally |

| 1 | doesn't allow the ground on the sides of freeways to |
|----|--|
| 2 | become a breeding ground for signs which would decrease |
| 3 | driver safety and decrease appreciation |
| 4 | JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Do you think that a |
| 5 | I'm sorry. |
| 6 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Sotomayor. |
| 7 | JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Do you think that a |
| 8 | library could say big books are preferable to little |
| 9 | books and it so happens that big books are coffee books |
| 10 | and little books tend to be mostly political, so we're |
| 11 | going to put all the political books in the basement and |
| 12 | all the big books on the main floor? |
| 13 | Is that a distinction that the First |
| 14 | Amendment permits? |
| 15 | MR. FEIGIN: I think a court might be |
| 16 | reasonably fairly skeptical of that kind of distinction. |
| 17 | But I think signs, Your Honor, present particular First |
| 18 | Amendment problems that the Court had to grapple with |
| 19 | and didn't quite resolve in MetroMedia and City of |
| 20 | Ladue. |
| 21 | One distinct problem with signs is that |
| 22 | the it's very difficult for legislatures to tailor |
| 23 | sign regulations and describe types of signs that it |
| | |

interest, thereby allow more speech, without describing

doesn't think it needs to regulate to advance its

24

25

- 1 those types of signs in a manner that could be viewed as
- 2 content-based. And that's what makes sign regulation
- 3 very difficult and why we think some sort of
- 4 context-specific rule in this circumstance would be
- 5 appropriate.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't see why. I mean,
- 7 you say it, but why is it true? Just make whatever the
- 8 sign requirement is big enough that any private signs
- 9 that need to get people's attention will get people's
- 10 attention.
- 11 MR. FEIGIN: Well, I think --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: What you say about signs I
- assume applies to noise as well, right? If the city has
- 14 a noise ordinance, it can distinguish between noises for
- various purposes. A political sound truck before an
- 16 election can be given a higher allowance, and, I don't
- 17 know, a street evangelist given a lower allowance.
- 18 MR. FEIGIN: I don't think that would be
- 19 permissible, Your Honor. I think one key here is that
- 20 the types of exceptions we're talking about, the only
- 21 types we think should be subject to intermediate
- 22 scrutiny, are ones that track the safety and
- 23 nonproliferation rationales for the sign ordinance as a
- 24 whole. If the City is advancing a distinction based on
- 25 the fact that they think political speech or ideological

| 1 | 1 | | | 7 17 | . 1 | | 7 ' ' | 1 | |
|---|--------|----|------|----------|-------|------|-----------|---------|----|
| 1 | speecn | lS | more | valuable | tnan, | sav, | religious | speech. | we |
| | | | | | | | | | |

- 2 think that would be subject to strict scrutiny.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 5 Mr. Savrin.
- 6 ORAL ARGUMENT OF PHILIP W. SAVRIN
- 7 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- 8 MR. SAVRIN: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
- 9 please the Court:
- The problem with applying strict scrutiny in
- 11 this case or this type of case is that it will have, we
- believe, the opposite effect. It will limit speech
- 13 because towns, cities will enact one size fits all. In
- order to do that, as Petitioner's counsel indicated,
- there need to be limitations on the number of signs, on
- 16 the duration of signs. The signs would have to be all
- 17 large enough to accommodate the largest message that
- 18 needs to be communicated.
- 19 And in order to pass strict scrutiny, the
- 20 legislatures in these towns and cities across this
- 21 country would be inclined to ban all signs except those
- 22 that the First Amendment absolutely allows.
- 23 JUSTICE ALITO: You can make that argument
- 24 in all kinds of contexts. I don't know where it gets
- 25 you. Suppose that the question is, whether the town is

| 1 | going to allow anybody to speak in a park, and the |
|----|--|
| 2 | town the town council says, well, you know, we would |
| 3 | like to have people be able to speak on subjects that we |
| 4 | like, but there are some subjects we really don't like. |
| 5 | We don't want people to speak on those. So we have the |
| 6 | choice, we allow everybody to speak or we allow nobody |
| 7 | to speak. |
| 8 | So you can make exactly that same argument in lots |
| 9 | of other contexts where I don't think the distinction |
| 10 | could be justified. Isn't that right? |
| 11 | MR. SAVRIN: I think there is a difference, |
| 12 | Justice Alito, in signs as opposed to speech because |
| 13 | signs do take up physical space. They displace other |
| 14 | uses of land, and they perform different functions. |
| 15 | One thing that we would like to emphasize in |
| 16 | this case is that the temporary directional sign |
| 17 | provision is limited to in signs that are intended to |
| 18 | guide travelers along a route from Point A to Point B. |
| 19 | If they have expressive content on them, then they are |
| 20 | no longer a directional sign but are then an expressive |
| 21 | sign; and there is a different provision that applies |
| 22 | for that. |
| 23 | JUSTICE GINSBURG: So if the sign here said |

"We welcome you to attend our church service," and then

it says on the bottom, meeting place, and it specifies

24

25

| 1 | the meeting place, but the message is "we welcome you to |
|----|--|
| 2 | attend our service," that's ideological? |
| 3 | MR. SAVRIN: That is ideological. That |
| 4 | would not be a directional sign because it's not |
| 5 | directing travelers along along the route to that |
| 6 | location. |
| 7 | JUSTICE GINSBURG: But it says also "welcome |
| 8 | you to attend our church service, it will be held at." |
| 9 | So it's giving the direction, but it's also expressing |
| 10 | the message that everyone is welcome to come worship. |
| 11 | MR. SAVRIN: I believe the way that this |
| 12 | code has been interpreted, Justice Ginsburg, is that |
| 13 | that would not be a directional sign because it's not |
| 14 | saying turn right, turn left, go straight a few miles. |
| 15 | It's not giving directions about how to get there. |
| 16 | So I believe that that type of sign would be |
| 17 | permitted under this ordinance as an ideological sign |
| 18 | and would not be limited to the terms of the temporary |
| 19 | directional. |
| 20 | JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Savrin, could I ask what |
| 21 | the your justification is for these specially |
| 22 | generous provisions on ideological signs? I mean, |

putting aside the level of scrutiny, why do you have

these very generous rules for ideological signs as

23

24

25

compared to others?

| 1 | MR. SAVRIN: Well, specifically on the |
|----|--|
| 2 | ideological signs is to protect the First Amendment |
| 3 | right of anyone to speak on any topic at any time. The |
| 4 | difference in the |
| 5 | JUSTICE KAGAN: So you are not even |
| 6 | purporting to have a content-neutral justification for |
| 7 | this. You are essentially saying, yes, we generally |
| 8 | dislike clutter, but we're willing to make exceptions |
| 9 | for clutter for speech that we think has special First |
| 10 | Amendment significance. |
| 11 | MR. SAVRIN: That would not be our position, |
| 12 | Justice Kagan. Our position is that it's not |
| 13 | content-based in a constitutional sense for purposes of |
| 14 | applying strict scrutiny, that the distinction is |
| 15 | permissible here without relation to the content or in |
| 16 | terms of favoring or censoring certain viewpoints or |
| 17 | ideas. |
| 18 | JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, viewpoint |
| 19 | discrimination is quite different from content |
| 20 | discrimination. And you are trying to reduce our rules |
| 21 | against discriminating on the basis of content to a rule |
| 22 | against viewpoint discrimination. |
| 23 | MR. SAVRIN: Not just view |
| 24 | JUSTICE SCALIA: I mean, ideological signs, |
| 25 | that is a content category, and there is as much a First |

- 1 Amendment right to give somebody directions as there is
- 2 to speak about -- about being green or whatever else.
- 3 Is there no First Amendment right to give somebody
- 4 directions?
- 5 MR. SAVRIN: Justice Scalia, we would say
- 6 that the -- they perform different functions. It
- 7 certainly --
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: They sure do, but is there
- 9 a First Amendment right for these other messages or not?
- 10 MR. SAVRIN: I think they would have a right
- 11 to speak, and I think that they do have that right to
- speak and that intermediate scrutiny applies to
- 13 regulations about how speech can be communicated as
- opposed to what can be communicated.
- 15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But you did say in your
- brief that the First Amendment does not require
- directional signs, so they could be banned altogether.
- 18 MR. SAVRIN: I think if it's narrowly
- 19 tailored and meets the intermediate scrutiny test in a
- 20 particular jurisdiction, then --
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, that is a lot of
- 22 words. I'm giving you an example.
- 23 The law says no directional signs. That's
- 24 the law. Does that -- is -- does that offend the First
- 25 Amendment?

| 1 | MR. SAVRIN: I think that it well, when |
|----|--|
| 2 | you say offend the First Amendment, I think you would |
| 3 | have to apply the intermediate scrutiny analysis and our |
| 4 | position would be that it would that it could survive |
| 5 | that analysis. |
| 6 | If I could return to Justice Kagan's |
| 7 | question about the interests that are served, it's |
| 8 | different. A directional sign, there needs to be more |
| 9 | than in order to direct travelers along a route, so that |
| 10 | justifies a perhaps a smaller size. There is no |
| 11 | contention in the record; in fact, the court of appeals |
| 12 | found that they function as intended. As far as the |
| 13 | duration is concerned, there's no travelers going to an |
| 14 | event that is not presently occurring. In fact, the |
| 15 | Town of Gilbert expanded the time frame from 2 hours to |
| 16 | 12 hours, and I our question is whether or not that |
| 17 | is something that implicates First Amendment |
| 18 | jurisprudence as opposed to |
| 19 | JUSTICE BREYER: You say on suppose we're |
| 20 | talking about the context of signs. You say, well, |
| 21 | let's look to the purpose of forbidding any |
| 22 | prohibition, and I guess it has to do with safety or |
| 23 | beautification. |
| 24 | MR. SAVRIN: Yes. |
| 25 | JUSTICE BREYER: First question would be: |

- 1 Is there some category that you don't allow to put up
- 2 signs? Answer, no. Everybody can put up signs.
- 3 So what about applying strict scrutiny to
- 4 that? If you are going to distinguish on the basis of
- 5 what the sign says, you have to have an awfully good
- 6 reason, if your decision is you can't put up one at all.
- 7 Next, you put them up, but you have all content -- all
- 8 kinds of distinctions how, how long, et cetera.
- 9 Now, in respect to that, maybe you should
- 10 have more leeway, leeway depending upon the purpose of
- 11 the sign. So if, for example, it's about an open air,
- 12 municipal movie, you could say put up signs about movies
- or something. I mean, you know, you maybe have more
- 14 leeway there.
- But still they're saying you would flunk
- 16 even that test here because there isn't really a very
- 17 good reason at all in this case for making the sign
- 18 smaller or for putting it there for such a short time.
- Now, how do you react -- there are three
- 20 parts: One, very tough if you are going to say you
- 21 can't put it up at all, sometimes called strict
- 22 scrutiny; two, somewhat more lenient if it gets up, but
- 23 you are trying to distinguish among how and under what
- 24 circumstances, how long; and then three, do you even
- satisfy that one? That's called time, place and manner,

1 the second one. 2 MR. SAVRIN: Yes, okay. Well, with respect to the first one, the interest most of the times in sign 3 4 ordinance regulations as the -- as you've indicated, is 5 aesthetics and safety. With respect to aesthetics, I don't believe that that would meet the definition of a 6 7 compelling interest, so that it's -- it's automatically, presumptively, and conclusively unconstitutional if the 8 strict scrutiny is applied and that's the -- there is no 9 10 compelling interest. 11 With respect to the interests at stake here, 12 we again believe that directional signs are functionally 13 different from an ideological sign or even from a 14 political sign, that the directional signs do not need 15 to be larger and also that there are more of them. 16 so if there are more of them, the trade-off is -- at 17 least the legislature in this town has decided the 18 trade-off is that they need to be smaller because they 19 need to guide travelers along a route. 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: And political signs are 21 there almost all year, on the rights-of-way. You talk 22 about clutter. What if somebody doesn't like politics, 2.3 and he says politics is spinach. I want ideology. I 24 would like ideological signs on the right-of-way. You

say, I'm sorry, you are wrong, we think politics is more

25

- important, because we are politicians and we're on the
- 2 city council.
- 3 (Laughter.)
- 4 MR. SAVRIN: I have two responses to that,
- 5 Justice Scalia. The first one is that there is a
- 6 statute in Arizona that this ordinance complies with in
- 7 terms of the placement, the duration, and the size of
- 8 the political signs. The town doesn't have any leeway
- 9 in that because it needs to comply with the statute.
- 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Does this State statute
- 11 have the same size and duration? The State statute says
- 12 that you have to allow political signs, but does it
- specify the size and the duration?
- 14 MR. SAVRIN: Yes, Justice Ginsburg, it does.
- 15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So you're saying that the
- town ordinance just mirrors the State?
- 17 MR. SAVRIN: Yes. Yes, it does.
- 18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And do you have that
- 19 State law?
- 20 MR. SAVRIN: I do. It's section 16-1019.
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: So your defense to a First
- 22 Amendment complaint is, what, the State made me do it?
- 23 MR. SAVRIN: Well, our defense -- well, in
- 24 part, yes, because we need to comply with the statute,
- and it doesn't make sense that, as a result, all signs

- 1 need to be -- meet those provisions for purposes of
- 2 preserving beauty, reducing clutter, so on and so forth.
- 3 JUSTICE SCALIA: It makes a lot of sense if
- 4 you believe in the First Amendment.
- 5 MR. SAVRIN: Well --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: If you believe that neither
- 7 the State nor the city is entitled to say politics is
- 8 really important, as opposed to music.
- 9 MR. SAVRIN: The other consideration here,
- Justice Scalia, is that we're talking about public
- 11 property. And one of the issues that has not been
- developed, certainly in the record in this -- at this
- 13 Court, is the extent to which the government can select
- 14 subject matter for what is a non-traditional public
- 15 forum. So there are issues as well that would need to
- 16 be developed a little bit further before any application
- 17 of intermediate scrutiny, we say, could be continued --
- 18 if they -- if the -- if their -- if this Court were to
- 19 find problems with the Ninth Circuit's application of
- 20 intermediate scrutiny, and I think that there would
- 21 still be some issues on location that would need to be
- 22 developed on the public forum, and that --
- 23 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You say the street is not
- 24 a -- not a traditional public forum?
- 25 MR. SAVRIN: That is the -- certainly

- 2 the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit did not resolve
- 3 that issue. But I believe that would be a question of
- 4 Arizona law.
- 5 But, again, we have not developed that and
- 6 that issue has not been developed in this -- at this --
- 7 in this Court, and I think the reason is because the
- 8 issue in this Court is whether or not strict scrutiny
- 9 applies.
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, how do you justify
- 11 the -- the differing treatments of Petitioner's sign, on
- 12 the one hand, and the weekend builder event signs and
- homeowners' association signs? Homeowners' association
- signs can be 80 square feet, and the Petitioner's sign
- 15 can be 6 square feet.
- 16 MR. SAVRIN: The homeowners' signs are not
- 17 really directional signs, because they can only be
- 18 within the residential community. They are not
- 19 directing travelers off site, in a generic sense, to a
- 20 location. There is also a permit requirement.
- 21 And the other thing is -- about the 80
- 22 square feet is, that is total sign area. That's not one
- 23 sign. That's the total sign area you can have.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Has anyone ever been
- denied one of those permits?

| 1 | MR. SAVRIN: I don't know the answer to that |
|-----|--|
| 2 | question, Your Honor. |
| 3 | JUSTICE ALITO: Suppose the Petitioners want |
| 4 | to put up a sign that says, we're having a church |
| 5 | service at 10:00 o'clock on Sunday morning. Under your |
| 6 | code, when can they put that up and when do they have to |
| 7 | take it down? |
| 8 | MR. SAVRIN: They could put it up 12 hours |
| 9 | before, so that would be 10:00 o'clock p.m., and they |
| L 0 | could take it and they have to take down, I believe |
| L1 | it's an hour afterwards. |
| L2 | JUSTICE ALITO: So they can put it up after |
| L3 | dark on Saturday and then they have to take it down |
| L 4 | within an hour after, when, the commencement of the |
| L5 | service, or |
| L 6 | MR. SAVRIN: The end of the event. |
| L7 | JUSTICE ALITO: the end of this |
| L8 | MR. SAVRIN: The end of the event. If |
| L9 | the |
| 20 | JUSTICE ALITO: Do you think that really |
| 21 | gives them an opportunity to invite people to come to |
| 22 | their service? |
| 23 | MR. SAVRIN: The purpose of these signs is |
| 24 | not supposed to be invitational; it's supposed to be |
| > 5 | directional If the event was occurring at 10.00 |

- o'clock at night or at 6:00 o'clock in the evening, it
- 2 could be up during the day. If the event occurs all
- 3 weekend long, it could be up all weekend long. It's --
- 4 it's tied to the event.
- 5 So in that sense, it -- it absolutely serves
- 6 its purpose for having on -- on the 12 hours before,
- 7 because if they want to invite members of the public to
- 8 the services, they can and do have many other
- 9 opportunities and alternatives -- including signage,
- 10 including the ideological sign -- that they can use.
- 11 JUSTICE ALITO: So they could put up a,
- 12 quote/unquote, ideological sign that says, "Come to our
- service on Sunday morning," but no arrow, and then they
- 14 put up another sign that says, this is the arrow? Or
- maybe they put up on the first sign, "Come to our
- service on Sunday morning; we can't tell you now where
- 17 it will be because the town won't let us, but if you
- 18 come -- if you drive by here tomorrow morning at a
- 19 certain time, you will see an arrow."
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 MR. SAVRIN: The sign could say, Your Honor,
- 22 where it is taking place, but if it is intending to
- 23 guide travelers to that location, then it would need to
- 24 comply with -- with the provisions. And the reason,
- 25 again --

| 1 | JUSTICE GINSBURG: What is the what is |
|----|--|
| 2 | the guidance? I'm looking at the Good News signs on |
| 3 | page 3 of the little brief. It just has an arrow. It |
| 4 | says the name of the elementary school, and then it |
| 5 | has it looks like a telephone number and an arrow. |
| 6 | The arrow is the direction? |
| 7 | MR. SAVRIN: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 8 | JUSTICE GINSBURG: This is not, as you |
| 9 | described earlier, turn left on Main Street, turn right |
| 10 | on Front Street. |
| 11 | MR. SAVRIN: It is the same function as |
| 12 | that. But we would say the |
| 13 | JUSTICE BREYER: What is this about, this |
| 14 | argument? I mean, you agree they can put up a big sign. |
| 15 | Can they put up a big sign, ideological, saying, "Come |
| 16 | to the church service next Tuesday, 4th and H Streets, |
| 17 | three blocks right and two blocks left"? All right? Or |
| 18 | are you saying they can't say, "three blocks right and |
| 19 | two blocks left"? And that's what this argument is about |
| 20 | MR. SAVRIN: That is what it comes down to. |
| 21 | JUSTICE BREYER: Well, my goodness. I |
| 22 | mean I mean, on that, it does sound as if the town is |
| 23 | being a little unreasonable, doesn't it? |
| 24 | MR. SAVRIN: Well, we would say that it |
| | |

 $\,$ 25 $\,$ has -- that the sign has an -- what this Court has

- 1 termed an incidental effect on the expression of the
- 2 Petitioners, that certainly they can have the
- 3 ideological sign, the information that they want to
- 4 include --
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: Suppose we said this: That
- 6 where, in fact, the argument is of this nature, where,
- 7 in fact, there are -- you can have a big sign and have
- 8 everything on it except an arrow, and the purpose of the
- 9 sign is, in fact, to tell people both what is happening
- 10 and where. That there is no good, under any test,
- 11 reason for requiring this little dingy thing? End of
- 12 the case. Let's go with that.
- MR. SAVRIN: Well, Your Honor -- our
- 14 response to that, Your Honor, is that the directional
- 15 signs, in order to work, need to guide travelers along
- the route. So there's going to be a whole lot of these
- 17 signs in order for them to function as intended. It can
- be a mile away, it can be two miles away.
- 19 So having just one sign, perhaps, under
- 20 the Court's hypothetical, it seems rather silly, but if
- 21 you are thinking about having a whole bunch of these
- 22 signs over a long distance, then I think then that --
- 23 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Does Good News -- does
- the Good News church have a number of signs -- just as
- 25 illustration, how many signs do they have?

- 1 MR. SAVRIN: I believe the number is 15, but 2 I'm not 100 percent sure about that, Your Honor. I know that they have quite a few. 3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: 4 Can you see that car 5 when you -- can you see that sign at all when you 6 pass --7 MR. SAVRIN: I'm sorry, Your Honor? 8 Can you see that sign at JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: 9 all when you pass in a moving car? 10 Yes. In fact, the court of MR. SAVRIN: 11 appeals has found that it functioned as intended and 12 there is no indication that -- that it did not. So 13 there's -- it seems to me that those are the kind of decisions, as far as size, duration, are ones that 14
- should pass Constitutional muster.

 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: Whatever that is. Whatever

should be the fodder of legislative deliberation. And

as long as it meets the intermediate scrutiny test, it

15

16

25

that is, right?

MR. SAVRIN: Well, the intermediate scrutiny test, I think, is if it's narrowly tailored, and also if there are alternative modes of communication. But I think that applying strict scrutiny to these types of regulations will result in sign ordinances being struck

down uniformly, just about, and the only speech that --

| 1 | that will be allowed will be speech that is |
|----|--|
| 2 | constitutionally required. Everything else will not be |
| 3 | But I think the problem, if there is one, |
| 4 | that Gilbert has gotten into is that it allows a lot of |
| 5 | speech that a lot of other ordinances might not in a lot |
| 6 | of different formats. |
| 7 | JUSTICE KAGAN: Just, again, if I could |
| 8 | understand |
| 9 | MR. SAVRIN: Yes. |
| 10 | JUSTICE KAGAN: let's even assume that |
| 11 | intermediate scrutiny applies, and just focus in on this |
| 12 | special provision for ideological speech which allows |
| 13 | very large signs to stay up as long as possible. And |
| 14 | you would say we're making that exception, if you will, |
| 15 | to the general rule that there shouldn't be clutter and |
| 16 | there shouldn't be a lot of these signs because, why? |
| | |

- 17 MR. SAVRIN: Because directional signs --
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: No, no, no.
- 19 MR. SAVRIN: I'm sorry.
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: I just asked you for the
- 21 exemption for ideological signs, for the specially
- generous provision for ideological signs. Why do
- ideological signs get such generous treatment?
- 24 MR. SAVRIN: Because the -- to protect the
- 25 First Amendment right to speak.

| 1 | JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. So that is a |
|----|---|
| 2 | content-based rationale. And then, you know, on one theory, |
| 3 | you lose regardless of what the standard of review is. |
| 4 | MR. SAVRIN: If the |
| 5 | JUSTICE KAGAN: You are not justifying it on |
| 6 | the grounds of safety or on the grounds of clutter. |
| 7 | You're saying, this is a special kind of speech that we |
| 8 | think there ought to be more of. |
| 9 | MR. SAVRIN: With respect to the ideological |
| 10 | sign? |
| 11 | JUSTICE KAGAN: Yeah. |
| 12 | MR. SAVRIN: Yeah, the purpose of that is |
| 13 | is it is content-neutral in terms of anything can be on |
| 14 | that sign. And what we're saying |
| 15 | JUSTICE KAGAN: So that goes back to what |
| 16 | Justice Scalia said. It's viewpoint neutral, but, you |
| 17 | know, it's content-based. And maybe you are just saying |
| 18 | that we've run amok on this content-based distinction, |
| 19 | and there would be an argument there. But, I mean, it |
| 20 | is content-based to say what is ideology and what is |
| 21 | not. |
| 22 | MR. SAVRIN: And I would agree that that's |
| 23 | what we're arguing, Your Honor, that what we're saying |
| 24 | is that the First Amendment is guards against the |
| 25 | abridgment of speech. And having a rigid content |

- definition to be the on/off switch for whether strict
- 2 scrutiny or intermediate scrutiny applies does -- is not
- 3 workable, does not achieve common-sense results. It
- 4 handicaps the legislatures in their ability to be
- 5 flexible.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, maybe you think that,
- 7 but the guy who doesn't like politics and likes ideology
- 8 doesn't think that.
- 9 MR. SAVRIN: Well, the person --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: So we're -- we're supposed
- 11 to sit here and say, oh, political speech is the most
- 12 valuable and then you can allow that, but ideological speech
- 13 comes in a close second, and then what? Then
- 14 directional speech or whatever else?
- MR. SAVRIN: Well, I --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't want to do that. I
- don't think you should want any governmental official,
- 18 even -- even a judge, to do stuff like that.
- 19 MR. SAVRIN: Your Honor, I think for
- 20 purposes of ruling in this case, I think the question is
- 21 whether or not the temporary directional sign is subject
- 22 to -- is content-based in a constitutional sense such
- that it would be subject to strict scrutiny. We believe
- 24 that that would be improper. We think that it would be
- 25 against the jurisprudence of this Court.

1 JUSTICE SCALIA: What is content-based in a constitutional sense, as opposed to content-based in a 2 3 non-constitutional sense? 4 MR. SAVRIN: Whether it puts the finger on a scale of ideas or viewpoints, whether the substance of 5 6 it -- whether --7 JUSTICE SCALIA: That is viewpoint-based, 8 not content-based. 9 MR. SAVRIN: Well, whether it favors 10 or censors --11 JUSTICE SCALIA: You want to eliminate content-based as the criterion and make it 12 13 viewpoint-based. 14 MR. SAVRIN: No, Your Honor, that is not 1.5 what we're advocating. What we're advocating is that if an ordinance does not -- if an ordinance addresses the 16 function of the sign as opposed to the particular ideas 17 or even the subject matter, then it would not be 18 content-based for purposes of --19 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: Is there -- is there a 21 difference between the function of the sign and the 22 content of the sign? 2.3 MR. SAVRIN: Yes, Your Honor. JUSTICE SCALIA: 2.4 I frankly can't grasp that. 25 What is it?

| 1 | MR. SAVRIN: Well, it depends on how |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUSTICE SCALIA: Doesn't its function depend |
| 3 | upon its content? |
| 4 | MR. SAVRIN: In a literal sense, yes. |
| 5 | JUSTICE SCALIA: Oh, I see. What sense are |
| 6 | we talking here? |
| 7 | (Laughter.) |
| 8 | JUSTICE SCALIA: Poetic? |
| 9 | MR. SAVRIN: Well, both |
| 10 | |
| 11 | MR. SAVRIN: No. We think that there needs |
| 12 | a be a a nuance, as the Federal government has |
| 13 | indicated. So it guides the the content test is a |
| 14 | guide for courts to determine which level of scrutiny |
| 15 | applies. And at some level, if content is the on/off |
| 16 | switch, then such distinctions as temporary and |
| 17 | permanent, commercial and non-commercial, even on-site |
| 18 | and off-site, are going to be content. And we don't |
| 19 | believe that that in and of itself justifies strict |
| 20 | scrutiny. |
| 21 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel. |
| 22 | Mr. Cortman, you have four minutes |
| 23 | remaining. |
| 24 | REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF DAVID A. CORTMAN |
| 25 | ON BEHALF OF PETITIONERS |

| Τ | MR. CORIMAN: Inank you, Mr. Chief Justice. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Just a few quick points in response, if I may. |
| 3 | Clarification point on the State statute. |
| 4 | First of all, when this case began, the State statute |
| 5 | was not in effect, and political signs were actually |
| 6 | allowed up for longer than the period of time. When the |
| 7 | State statute was enacted, it actually lessened that |
| 8 | time. So it's not the State's doing that the town |
| 9 | decided to allow political signs in its in its |
| 10 | right-of-way. |
| 11 | But even with the State statute, the if |
| 12 | the State has decided that its interest in free speech |
| 13 | in political signs outweighs the interest in safety and |
| 14 | aesthetics, then the town should basically adopt an |
| 15 | ordinance that abides by that. And the simple way to do |
| 16 | that is to treat it as a constitutional floor. If the |
| 17 | State has decided that we're going to allow political |
| 18 | signs up for that period of time and by the way, the |
| 19 | statue doesn't mention other signs. So it's not a |
| 20 | restriction like this town code is. All it says is, |
| 21 | we've deemed it important enough for political signs to |
| 22 | be up for |
| 23 | JUSTICE KENNEDY: It's just permissive for |
| 24 | political signs. |

That's right. And so the

25

MR. CORTMAN:

| Τ | response by the town is, okay, if that's the case and |
|----|--|
| 2 | the State has decided that political signs outweigh our |
| 3 | interests in safety and aesthetics, the way we deal with |
| 4 | that is treating other similar signs the same. |
| 5 | I think, a couple other points, the noise |
| 6 | ordinance is a perfect example, whether it's the that |
| 7 | side case or the Kobachs case. This ordinance would be |
| 8 | akin to allowing, for example, political speech to be |
| 9 | much louder in decibels and for longer periods of time, |
| 10 | and someone on the street corner inviting someone to |
| 11 | church must be quieter and for lesser periods of time. |
| 12 | And quickly, if I may address the safety and |
| 13 | beautification interests, they are certainly important |
| 14 | interests. But as this Court said several times in |
| 15 | Carey v. Brown, for example, and Discovery Network, the |
| 16 | distinctions, the content-based distinctions in the code |
| 17 | bear no relationship to the government interest. The |
| 18 | distinctions don't advance those interests. |
| 19 | And as to being under-inclusive, I think |
| 20 | it's similar to what this Court found in Discovery |
| 21 | Network. When there the town wanted to prohibit 62 news |
| 22 | racks and then allow 1,500 to 2,000 news racks to |
| 23 | remain, this Court said that was under-inclusive and |
| 24 | would fail just on that. Here, the town is allowing an |
| 25 | unlimited number of political signs, but then |

| 1 | prohibiting just a few other signs. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Thank you. |
| 3 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel. |
| 4 | The case is submitted. |
| 5 | (Whereupon, at 11:03 a.m., the case in the |
| 6 | above-entitled matter was submitted.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| LO | |
| L1 | |
| L2 | |
| L3 | |
| L 4 | |
| L5 | |
| L 6 | |
| L7 | |
| L8 | |
| L 9 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| | 10 0 22 22 24 12 | 40.11 | | 11 1 1 6 5 10 10 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| A | 18:8 33:23 34:12 | 48:11 | attention 27:21 | block 16:5 18:19 |
| abides 54:15 | 43:10 44:3,12,17 | appearances 1:15 | 32:9,10 | blocks 46:17,17,18 |
| ability 51:4 | 44:20 45:11 | appears 9:14 | attract 27:21 | 46:19 |
| able 22:12 23:16 | allow 8:14 12:7,8 | application 24:14 | authority 16:12 | board 5:10 |
| 30:10 34:3 | 12:22 14:23,25 | 29:21 42:16,19 | automatically 40:7 | bogged 29:17 |
| aboveentitled 1:12 | 27:3 31:1,25 34:1 | applied 40:9 | awfully 39:5 | books 31:8,9,9,9,10 |
| 56:6 | 34:6,6 39:1 41:12 | applies 29:11 32:13 | B | 31:11,12 |
| abridgment 50:25 | 51:12 54:9,17 | 34:21 37:12 43:9 | | bottom 34:25 |
| absolutely 33:22 | 55:22 | 49:11 51:2 53:15 | b 34:18 | bottomline 29:20 |
| 45:5 | allowance 32:16,17 | apply 11:12 20:8 | back 14:11 50:15 | breeding 31:2 |
| accept 4:4 | allowed 3:20,21,23 | 22:6,8,12 23:5 | ban 7:14 33:21 | breyer 20:11,19 |
| accommodate | 3:23,25 4:13,15 | 24:10 25:1,21 | banned 7:25 8:2 | 21:3 22:1,8,11,15 |
| 33:17 | 4:21,25 5:1 8:2 | 27:11,13 38:3 | 9:23 37:17 | 22:21 38:19,25 |
| achieve 51:3 | 10:9 15:4 49:1 | applying 33:10 | based 3:12 4:24 | 46:13,21 47:5 |
| act 30:24 | 54:6 | 36:14 39:3 48:23 | 5:11 17:16 24:11 | brief 18:22,24 19:2 |
| addition 8:7 9:13 | allowing 10:24 | appreciation 31:3 | 29:5 32:24 | 19:4,12,18 37:16 |
| additional 5:18 | 55:8,24 | approach 24:9 29:2 | basement 31:11 | 46:3 |
| address 25:2 29:19 | allows 16:8 30:24 | appropriate 29:20 | basically 6:7 54:14 | briefs 8:24 |
| 55:12 | 33:22 49:4,12 | 32:5 | basis 27:4 36:21 | bring 21:6 |
| addressed 14:6 | alternative 48:22 | area 43:22,23 | 39:4 | broad 26:1 |
| addresses 52:16 | alternatives 45:9 | areas 20:12 | basketball 15:8 | brown 55:15 |
| addressing 24:22 | altogether 37:17 | arent 6:6 | bear 55:17 | builder 43:12 |
| adds 5:18 | amendment 4:17 | argued 43:1 | beautification | builders 3:22 |
| adopt 54:14 | 6:5,6,9,14 7:21 | arguing 50:23 | 30:24 38:23 55:13 | bunch 47:21 |
| adopting 6:7 | 8:23 22:17 23:5 | argument 1:13 2:2 | beauty 42:2 | buried 20:16 21:5 |
| advance 31:24 | 29:24 30:5 31:14 | 2:5,9,12 3:4,7 | began 54:4 | |
| 55:18 | 31:18 33:22 36:2 | 5:10,13,14 7:1 | behalf 1:16,22 2:4 | C |
| advancing 32:24 | 36:10 37:1,3,9,16 | 13:9 24:2 29:11 | 2:7,11,14 3:8 | c 1:9,19 2:1 3:1 |
| advocating 52:15 | 37:25 38:2,17 | 33:6,23 34:8 | 18:23 19:3,3 33:7 | 16:23 18:18 |
| 52:15 | 41:22 42:4 49:25 | 46:14,19 47:6 | 53:25 | called 5:20 39:21 |
| aesthetics 10:6 13:2 | 50:24 | 50:19 53:24 | believe 11:16,25 | 39:25 |
| 19:24 30:25 40:5 | amici 18:22 19:2,12 | arizona 1:6 12:25 | 16:22,25 19:5 | campaign 10:12 |
| 40:5 54:14 55:3 | amicus 1:20 2:7 | 41:6 43:4 | 23:1,5 25:9 33:12 | 15:11 |
| affect 11:15 13:2 | 24:3 | arrow 45:13,14,19 | 35:11,16 40:6,12 | cant 28:13 39:6,21 |
| 29:5 | amok 50:18 | 46:3,5,6 47:8 | 42:4,6 43:3 44:10 | 45:16 46:18 52:24 |
| affluent 9:25 | amount 5:8 17:11 | articulated 6:10 | 48:1 51:23 53:19 | car 48:4,9 |
| agree 24:7 46:14 | 30:16 | aside 35:23 | big 27:20 28:2,6 | carey 55:15 |
| 50:22 | analysis 6:9 38:3,5 | asked 49:20 | 31:8,9,12 32:8 | carte 16:12 |
| agreed 14:18 | andor 26:7 | assistant 1:18 | 46:14,15 47:7 | case 3:4 4:8,15 6:17 |
| ahead 27:12 28:20 | answer 10:10,18 | association 3:24 | billboards 12:5,7 | 7:5,12,24 10:25 |
| air 39:11 | 11:19 14:15 23:7 | 43:13,13 | birthday 10:1,1,11 | 21:23 28:2 29:7 |
| akin 55:8 | 39:2 44:1 | assume 11:11 12:2 | 11:4 15:19 | 29:17,21 33:11,11 |
| al 1:3,7 | anybody 8:15 34:1 | 32:13 49:10 | birthplace 10:17 | 34:16 39:17 47:12 |
| al 1.3,7 albeit 24:22 | anyway 21:10 | atlanta 1:22 | 11:5 | 51:20 54:4 55:1,7 |
| alito 8:9,13 12:12 | apologize 7:5 | attempting 25:6 | bit 42:16 | 55:7 56:4,5 |
| 16:16 17:14,19,23 | appeals 38:11 | attend 34:24 35:2,8 | blanche 16:12 | cases 22:12 23:1,2 |
| 10.10 17.14,19,23 | | | | · |
| | | | | 1 |

| categories 21:1 category 4:24 5:6 5:20,25 6:1,13 8:20 9:3,4,8,15 11:14,14,17,19,21 12:19 13:6,10,16 21:4,5 36:25 39:1 12:19 13:6,10,16 21:4,5 36:25 39:1 12:19 13:6,10,16 21:4,5 36:25 39:1 12:19 13:6,10,16 21:4,5 36:25 39:1 12:19 13:6,10,16 censors 52:10 censors 52:10 censors 52:10 censors 52:10 censors 52:10 center 9:20 certain 3:12 16:5 17:24 18:17 22:2 22:4,4 28:12 29:6 29:6 30:24 36:16 21:24,5 36:25 39:1 10 center 9:20 certain 3:12 16:5 17:24 18:17 22:2 22:4,4 28:12 29:6 29:6 30:24 36:16 22:24 42:54 72:55:13 compenits 5:18 concert 9:25 complies 41:6 complies 41:2 complies 40:7,10 complaint 41:22 complies 41:2 complies 41:2 complies 41:2 complies 41:2 complies 41:2 complies 41:2 complaint 41:2 complies 41:2 complaint 41:2 complaint 41:2 complex 41:2 concert 20:7 context 33:24 context 20:7 context 33:24 context 21:2 conclusion 28:22 condust 21:2 conclusion 28:22 condust 21:2 conclusion 28:22 condust 21:2 conclusion 42:9 consideration 42:9 consideration 42:9 consideration 42:9 consideration 42:9 conside | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| cutegory 4:24.5:6 5:20,25 6:1,13 5:20,25 6:1,20 5:20,25 6:1,13 5:20,25 6:1,13 5:20,25 6:1,13 5:20,25 6:1,13 5:20,25 6:1,14 5:20,20,25 2:1,2,18,12,19 5:20,25 2:1,2,18,12,19 5:20,25 2:1,2,18,12,19 5:20,25 2:1,2,18,12,19 5:20,25 2:1,2,2,12 5:20,23 5:20,25 2:1,2,18 5:20,24,21 5:20,20 5 | categories 21:1 | 30:16 32:4 | comparable 14:24 | 50:17,18,20 51:22 | 6:3,10,11,19 8:4 | | |
| 5-20,25 6:1,13 8:20 9:3,48,15 11:14,14,17,19,21 12:19 13:6,10,16 citizens 28:13 complietly 7:14 complient 41:26 completity 7:14 completely 7:14 complete | <u> </u> | circumstances | _ | | | | |
| 8.20 9.3 4.8, 15 | | 39:24 | _ | | 16:25 19:19 20:5 | | |
| 11:14,14,17,19,21 21:19 31:3,20 citizens 28:13 ci | | cities 18:23 19:3,12 | | contention 38:11 | 21:22 24:6,21 | | |
| 12:19 13:6,10,16 citizens 28:13 completely 7:14 comply 4:19,24 comply 4:19,24 debate 28:13 completely 7:14 comply 4:19,24 debate 28:13 comply 4:19,24 desate 29:25 2:14,12,18 description 36:16 censors 52:10 center 9:20 certain 3:12 16:5 17:24 18:17 22:2 closed 17:9 closed 17:9 certain 3:12 16:5 16:14 27:25 20:1 certain 3:12 16:5 20:3,23 2:1:10 certain 19:52,3,15 27:2,3 36:8,9 closed 3:11 3:16:14 2:25 47:2 55:13 cetra 39:8 characterize 12:11 chief 3:3,9 5:12 characterize 12:11 chief 3:3,9 5:12 chief 3:3,9 5:12 22:2,12,53 35:12 datice 23:19 27:23 34:6 church 3:18 6:18 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:38 commencement church 3:16,19 25:11,3 18,19,21 12:15 34:24 35:8 commencement church 3:16,19 25:11,318,19,21 13:16 10:19:25 circuit 20:7,78 datice 20:19 community 9:16 25:18 29:14,18 completely 7:14 completely 4:19 concerted 3:14 concerted 3:14 concerted 3:13 concerte 9:20 conclusively 40:8 concerted 42:15 conclusively 40:8 concerted 42:17 consistent 21:18,19 consistent 21:18,19 conclusively 40:8 concerted 42:17 consistent 21:18,19 concerted 42:17 | | , | | contentneutral | | | |
| 21:4,5 36:25 39:1 | | , | | 11:17 17:8 19:5,8 | 31:15,18 33:9 | | |
| categorybased 6:8 cause 26:3 27:25 14:17 21:9 31:19 display 14:19,24 | 21:4,5 36:25 39:1 | city 5:7 7:25 8:13 | | 20:25 21:4,12,18 | 38:11 42:13,18 | | |
| cause 26:3 27:25 32:13,24 41:2 45:24 context 20:7 24:15 48:10 51:25 55:14 48:10 51:25 55:14 48:10 51:25 55:14 48:10 51:25 55:14 48:10 51:25 55:14 48:10 51:25 55:14 55:20,23 context 20:7 24:15 55:20,23 55:20,23 courts 7:24 8:18 23:1 27:16 28:21 courts 7:24 8:18 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 courts 7:24 8:18 23:1 27:16 28:21 counts 33:24 34:9 countinue 23:3 countinue 23:3 countinue 23:3 countinue 23:3 countinue 23:3 core 6:13 core 11:14,14,16 core 6:13 core 6:13< | categorybased 6:8 | | comply 41:9,24 | | 43:7,8 46:25 | | |
| celebrate 9:25 42:7 components 5:18 25:1,24 29:24 55:20,23 55:20,23 censors 52:10 center 9:20 clear 6:19 12:6 concedes 20:4 concedes 20:4 conceviable 15:20 concetvs 33:24 34:9 55:20,23 contexts 7:24 8:18 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:1 27:16 28:21 23:2,3 21:10 23:2,3 24:4 contexts specific 24:2 32:4 24:2 32:4 24:2 32:4 25:12 29:14 24:2 32:4 25:12 29:14 23:2 34 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:2 34 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:2 34 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:14 7:16 28:21 23:24 4 23:24 4 23:24 4 23:24 4 23:24 4 23:24 4 23:24 27:25 23:24 27:25 23:24 27:25 23:24 27:25 | S . | 32:13,24 41:2 | | context 20:7 24:15 | 48:10 51:25 55:14 | | |
| censors 52:10 center 9:20 center 9:20 certain 3:12 16:5 clarification 54:3 clase 6:19 12:6 conceivable 15:20 concept 16:21 concert 16:23 concert 9:20 contextspecific created 33:14 st.9 32:4 created 13:10 continue 23:3 continue 23:4 cont | celebrate 9:25 | * | components 5:18 | 25:1,24 29:24 | 55:20,23 | | |
| center 9:20 clear 6:19 12:6 concept 16:21 concept 16:21 concept 16:21 contextspecific 24:9 32:4 28:24 47:20 53:14 create 13:52:5:5 create 13:52:5:5 create 13:52:5:5 create 13:52:5:5 create 13:52:5:5 create 13:52:5:5 create 13:10 contenue 23:14 continue 23:3 conterts 14:2,13 conterts 14:2,13 contents 21:14 contents 21:14 contents 21:14 contents 21:13 contents 22:3 | censoring 36:16 | citys 14:15 | concedes 20:4 | 38:20 | courts 7:24 8:18 | | |
| certain 3:12 16:5 close 51:13 concern 9:25 14:15 24:9 32:4 cereate 13:5 25:5 create 13:6 continue 23:2 conterus 12:1 conterus 13:6 conterus 12:1 conterus 12:1 conductreated correct 13:1 correct 13:1 correct 13:2,1 correct 13:2,1 | censors 52:10 | clarification 54:3 | conceivable 15:20 | contexts 33:24 34:9 | 23:1 27:16 28:21 | | |
| 17:24 18:17 22:2 22:44, 28:12 29:6 29:6 30:24 36:16 45:19 20:3, 23 21:10 27:2, 3 36:8,9 45:19 45:19 40:22 42:2 49:15 50:6 22:24 24:2 49:15 50:6 22:24 24:3 36:8 championship 15:8 characterize 12:11 chief 3:3,9 5:12 6:21 7:6 13:22 44:6 54:20 55:16 23:14, 43:24 24:5 25:12 29:1 31:6 33:4,8 43:24 52:12 29:1 31:6 33:4,8 43:24 53:15 25:12 29:1 31:6 33:4,8 43:24 54:15 53:16 church 3:18 6:18 7:29:16,19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:8 44:4 46:16 47:24 55:11 churchs 3:16,19 5:13,18,19,21 13:18,19,21 13:18,119,22 circuitz 20:6 42:19 communicated 33:18 37:13,14 communicated 33:18 37:13,14 communicated 33:18 37:13,14 communicated 33:2,2 circuitz 20:6 42:19 community 9:16 16:14 concerned 38:13 conclusion 28:22 confucted 21:9 confucted 21:3 confucted 21:3 confucted 21:3 confucted 21:3 confucted 21:3 confucted 21:9 confucted 21:3 confucted 21:3 confucted 21:3 confucted 21: | center 9:20 | clear 6:19 12:6 | concept 16:21 | contextspecific | 28:24 47:20 53:14 | | |
| 22:4,4 28:12 29:6 29:6 30:24 36:16 45:19 20:3,23 21:10 20:4:14:14:13:11 20:4 18:4,13 21:13,19 20:2:2,12,5 35:12 24:6 54:20 55:16 25:12 29:1 31:6 23:2,3 20:11 16:23 20:11 16:24 20:24 29:29 20:01sitution 30:5 20:11 16:23 20:01situtional 36:13 48:17 51:22 20:13 36:15 48:21 45:15 38:20 20:01 48:12 20:01 48:12 20:01 48:13 20:01 48:13 20:01 48:13 20:01 48:21 20:01 48:22 20:01 33:14 20:01 48:22 20:01 33:14 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:01 48:22 20:01 33:13 16:10 19:25 20:01 13:3 16:10 19:25 20:01 13:3 16:10 19:25 20:01 13:3 16:22 20:01 13:4 48:22 20:01 13:4 20:01 48:23 20:01 48:23 20:01 48:23 20:01 48:15 20:01 48:23 20:01 48:15 20:01 48:15 20:01 48:18 | certain 3:12 16:5 | close 51:13 | concern 9:25 14:15 | 24:9 32:4 | create 13:5 25:5 | | |
| 22:4,4 28:12 29:6 29:6 30:24 36:16 45:19 20:3,23 21:10 20:4:14:14:13:11 20:4 18:4,13 21:13,19 20:2:2,12,5 35:12 24:6 54:20 55:16 25:12 29:1 31:6 23:2,3 20:11 16:23 20:11 16:24 20:24 29:29 20:01sitution 30:5 20:11 16:23 20:01situtional 36:13 48:17 51:22 20:13 36:15 48:21 45:15 38:20 20:01 48:12 20:01 48:12 20:01 48:13 20:01 48:13 20:01 48:13 20:01 48:21 20:01 48:22 20:01 33:14 20:01 48:22 20:01 33:14 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:01 48:22 20:01 33:13 16:10 19:25 20:01 13:3 16:10 19:25 20:01 13:3 16:10 19:25 20:01 13:3 16:22 20:01 13:4 48:22 20:01 13:4 20:01 48:23 20:01 48:23 20:01 48:23 20:01 48:15 20:01 48:23 20:01 48:15 20:01 48:15 20:01 48:18 | 17:24 18:17 22:2 | closed 17:9 | 16:14 | continue 23:3 | created 13:10 | | |
| 29:6 30:24 36:16 45:19 | 22:4,4 28:12 29:6 | | concerned 38:13 | continued 42:17 | crime 21:14 | | |
| 45:19 20:3,23 21:10 conclusively 40:8 concrete 14:2,13 cookout 15:8 core 6:13 criminal 22:1,14,16 15:16 37:7 42:12 42:2 49:15 15:16 37:7 42:12 42:2 54:13 50:6 40:22 42:2 49:15 50:6 conductrelated 22:2 corner 55:10 correct 5:23 cortman 1:16 2:3 cortman 1:16 2:3 criteria 19:15,16 crite | | | conclusion 28:22 | contrary 7:21 | crimes 22:3 | | |
| certainly 15:2,3,15 27:2,3 36:8,9 concrete 14:2,13 core 6:13 23:2,3 criteria 19:15,16 criteria 19:15, | 45:19 | 20:3,23 21:10 | conclusively 40:8 | | criminal 22:1,14,16 | | |
| 42:25 47:2 55:13 cetera 39:8 50:6 clyde 1:3 confused 22:9 consideration 42:9 correct 5:23 cortman 1:16 2:3 cortman 1:16 | certainly 15:2,3,15 | 27:2,3 36:8,9 | concrete 14:2,13 | core 6:13 | 23:2,3 | | |
| cetera 39:8 championship 15:8 characterize 12:11 chief 3:3,9 5:12 6:21 7:6 13:22 14:1,4 23:24 24:5 25:12 29:1 31:6 33:4,8 43:24 53:21 54:1 56:3 children 27:12 28:7 28:19 chiece 23:19 27:23 34:6 church 3:18 6:18 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:8 44:4 46:16 47:24 55:11 churchs 3:16,19 5:13,18,19,21 13:3 16:10 19:25 circuit 20:7,7,8 43:2,2 circuits 20:6 42:19 confused 22:9 considered 9:20 considered 9:20 considered 9:20 consistent 21:18,19 constitution 30:5 constitution 30:5 constitution 30:5 constitutionally 36:13 48:17 51:22 15:22 54:16 constitutionally 36:13 48:17 51:22 15:25 54:16 constitutionally 49:2 constructed 13:14 consummated 26:20 content 3:20,21 42:14 55:11 29:12 22 1:14,15 50:12 52:4,9,10 29:12 34:19 30:11 curiae 1:20 2:7 24:3 20:13 3:6,7,9 4:7 41:3,20 5:4,17 61:17:3,9,23 8:12 8:17 99 10:3,13 10:18,23 11:7,13 10:18,23 11:7,13 11:22,25 12:3,18 11:22,25 12:3,18 1 | 15:16 37:7 42:12 | 40:22 42:2 49:15 | conductrelated | corner 55:10 | criteria 19:15,16 | | |
| championship 15:8 characterize 12:11 chief 3:3,9 5:12 di:2.1 3:4,4 23:2.2 44:6 54:20 55:16 codes 20:9 25:12 29:1 31:6 33:4,8 43:24 children 27:12 28:7 28:19 chief 3:18 6:18 7:2.9 16:19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:8 44:4 46:16 47:24 55:11 churchs 3:16,19 5:13,18,19,21 13:3 16:10 19:25 circuits 20:6 42:19 characterize 12:11 chief 3:3,2 2 circuits 20:6 42:19 characterize 12:11 chief 3:3,9 5:12 24:6 54:20 55:16 characterize 12:11 (a.4.1 3 21:13,19 chosidered 9:20 considered 9:20 consistent 21:18,19 chosidered 9:20 ch | 42:25 47:2 55:13 | 50:6 | 22:24 | correct 5:23 | criterion 52:12 | | |
| characterize 12:11 18:4,13 21:13,19 considered 9:20 4:13,20 5:4,17 24:3 chief 3:3,9 5:12 44:6 54:20 55:16 consistent 21:18,19 6:11 7:3,9,23 8:12 5 6:21 7:6 13:22 44:6 54:20 55:16 codes 20:9 constitution 30:5 8:17 9:9 10:3,13 10:18,23 11:7,13 18:18 33:4,8 43:24 come 9:11,11,14,17 53:21 54:1 56:3 14:10 23:15 25:8 52:2 54:16 constitutionally 15:16,22 16:22 dark 3:17 44:13 children 27:12 28:7 28:19 35:10 44:21 45:12 49:2 constructed 13:14 20:24 21:21 22:7 david 1:16 23,13 choice 23:19 27:23 34:6 51:13 commencement 42:4 consummated 20:24 21:21 22:7 david 1:16 2:3,13 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:8 commercial 8:9,18 19:21,22 21:14,15 22:10,13,20,23 3:7 53:24 days 3:25 17:4,6 55:11 churchs 3:16,19 5:13,18,19,21 commit 22:3,3 commit 22:3,3 commit 22:3,3 commit 22:3,3 count 26:15 33:14 53:21 56:3 counterargument 35:13,15,18 20:25 52:22 53:3 countery 20:12 dealing 29:8,12 dealing 29:8,12 dealing 29:8,12 | cetera 39:8 | clyde 1:3 | confused 22:9 | cortman 1:16 2:3 | curb 24:18 30:11 | | |
| chief 3:3,9 5:12 22:2,21,25 35:12 consistent 21:18,19 6:11 7:3,9,23 8:12 D 6:21 7:6 13:22 44:6 54:20 55:16 codes 20:9 constitution 30:5 8:17 9:9 10:3,13 10:18,23 11:7,13 11:2,25 12:3,18 25:12 29:1 31:6 33:4,8 43:24 53:21 54:1 56:3 come 9:11,11,14,17 52:2 54:16 13:12,25 14:3,16 18:18 4anger 25:2 dark 3:17 44:13 6hildren 27:12 28:7 35:10 44:21 45:12 45:15,18 46:15 constitutionally 15:16,22 16:22 17:18,22 18:3,12 18:18 4ate 16:18 17:3,25 18:4,5 18:4,5 18:4,5 18:4,5 19:2,11,14 20:18 4ate 16:18 17:3,25 18:4,5 18:4 | championship 15:8 | code 3:11 16:24 | consideration 42:9 | 2:13 3:6,7,9 4:7 | curiae 1:20 2:7 | | |
| 6:21 7:6 13:22 14:1,4 23:24 24:5 25:12 29:1 31:6 33:4,8 43:24 53:21 54:1 56:3 children 27:12 28:7 28:19 choice 23:19 27:23 34:6 church 3:18 6:18 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:8 churchs 3:16,19 55:11 churchs 3:16,19 55:13 churchs 3:16,19 55:13 churchs 3:16,19 55:13,18,19,21 13:3 16:10 19:25 circuit 20:7,7,8 43:2,2 circuits 20:6 42:19 coffee 31:9 constitutional 36:13 48:17 51:22 52:2 54:16 constitutionally 36:13 48:17 51:22 52:2 54:16 constitutionally 36:13 48:17 51:22 52:2 54:16 constitutionally 10:18,23 11:7,13 11:22,25 12:3,18 13:12,25 14:3,16 15:16,22 16:22 17:18,22 18:3,12 17:18,22 18:3,12 17:18,22 18:3,12 17:18,22 18:3,12 18:18 danger 25:2 dark 3:17 44:13 date 16:18 17:3,25 18:46 constitutionally 49:2 17:18,22 18:3,12 19:2,11,14 20:18 20:24 21:21 22:7 david 1:16:23 18:18 danger 25:2 dark 3:17 44:13 3:7 53:24 day 45:2 22:10,13,20,23 3:7 53:24 day 45:2 day 45:2 day 45:2 council 34:2 41:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:18 danger 25:2 dark 3:17 44:13 date 16:18 17:3,25 18:46 constitutionally 19:2,11,14 20:18 date 16:18 17:3,25 18:45 council 34:2 12:15 28:19 date 16:18 17:3,25 18:45 council 34:2 41:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:9,10,11,17 deal 14:0:23 18:18 date 16:18 17:3,25 18:45 council 34:2 41:2 council 34:2 41:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:9,10,11,17 deal 14:0:23 18:18 date 16:18 18:45 council 34:2 41:2 council 34:2 41:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:9,10,11,17 deal 14:9:19 18:45 18:18 dare 16:18 18:18 dare 16:18 18:18 dare 16:18 18:18 dare 16:18 18:19 18:45 20:20 21:1,1,1,2, | characterize 12:11 | 18:4,13 21:13,19 | considered 9:20 | 4:13,20 5:4,17 | 24:3 | | |
| 14:1,4 23:24 24:5 25:12 29:1 31:6 25:13 25:14 25:14 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:15 25:14 25:1 | chief 3:3,9 5:12 | 22:2,21,25 35:12 | consistent 21:18,19 | 6:11 7:3,9,23 8:12 | | | |
| 25:12 29:1 31:6 33:4,8 43:24 53:21 54:1 56:3 children 27:12 28:7 28:19 choice 23:19 27:23 34:6 church 3:18 6:18 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:8 44:4 46:16 47:24 55:11 churchs 3:16,19 5:13,18,19,21 13:3 16:10 19:25 circuit 20:7,7,8 43:2,2 circuits 20:6 42:19 come 9:11,11,14,17 52:2 54:16 52:2 54:16 52:2 54:16 52:2 54:16 52:2 54:16 52:2 54:16 52:2 54:16 15:16,22 16:22 17:18,22 18:3,12 18:18 danger 25:2 dark 3:17 44:13 date 16:18 17:3,25 18:4,5 david 1:16 2:3,13 13:12,25 14:3,16 15:16,22 16:22 dark 3:17 44:13 date 16:18 17:3,25 18:4,5 david 1:16 2:3,13 3:7 53:24 day 45:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:9,10,11,17 deal 14:6 17:13 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:3 communicated 33:18 37:13,14 communication 48:22 community 9:16 36:13 48:17 51:22 11:22,25 12:3,18 13:12,25 14:3,16 15:16,22 16:22 dark 3:17 44:13 date 16:18 17:3,25 david 1:16 2:3,13 3:7 53:24 day 45:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:9,10,11,17 deal 14:6 17:13 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:3 dealing 29:8,12 dealt 7:24 debate 28:19 decibels 55:9 decide 15:10 25:14 | 6:21 7:6 13:22 | 44:6 54:20 55:16 | constitution 30:5 | 8:17 9:9 10:3,13 | | | |
| Social Strict | 14:1,4 23:24 24:5 | codes 20:9 | constitutional | 10:18,23 11:7,13 | | | |
| 53:21 54:1 56:3 14:10 23:15 25:8 constitutionally 15:16,22 16:22 dark 3:17 44:13 children 27:12 28:7 28:19 45:15,18 46:15 constructed 13:14 19:2,11,14 20:18 date 16:18 17:3,25 choice 23:19 27:23 34:6 comes 8:7 46:20 51:13 constructed 13:14 20:24 21:21 22:7 david 1:16 2:3,13 church 3:18 6:18 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:8 44:14 commencement 44:14 424 5:11 13:8,16 20:21:14,15 23:25 53:22,24 day 45:2 day 45:2 55:11 commit 22:3,3 commit 22:3,3 commit 22:3,3 commonsense 51:3 29:12 34:19 36:15 33:14 53:21 56:3 counterargument 13:7 dealing 29:8,12 513,18,19,21 13:3 16:10 19:25 circuit 20:7,7,8 43:2,2 48:22 communication 48:22 48:22 community 9:16 16:20 20:5 21:23 25:18 29:14,18 33:21 couple 30:4 55:5 decide 15:10 25:14 | | | 36:13 48:17 51:22 | , | | | |
| children 27:12 28:7 28:19 35:10 44:21 45:12 45:12 45:15, 18 46:15 comes 8:7 46:20 51:13 49:2 constructed 13:14 consummated 26:20 consummated 26:20 content 3:20,21 42:15 34:24 35:8 44:14 commercial 8:9,18 44:4 46:16 47:24 55:11 commit 22:3,3 commonsense 51:3 13:3 16:10 19:25 circuit 20:7,7,8 43:2,2 circuits 20:6 42:19 49:2 constructed 13:14 consummated 26:20 consummated 26:20 content 3:20,21 4:24 5:11 13:8,16 19:21,22 21:14,15 29:4,9,10 29:12 34:19 36:15 33:14 53:21 56:3 counterargument 13:3 16:10 19:25 circuits 20:6 42:19 40ate 16:18 17:3,25 18:4,5 david 1:16 2:3,13 3:7 53:24 day 45:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 19:21,22 21:14,15 29:4,9,10 29:12 34:19 36:15 countel 34:2 41:2 counterargument 13:14 content as a single formunication 48:22 communication 48:22 community 9:16 49:2 constructed 13:14 consummated 20:24 21:21 22:7 22:10,13,20,23 23:25 53:22,24 day 45:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:9,10,11,17 deal 14:6 17:13 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:3 counterargument 13:14 content as a single formunication 49:20:20:7,7,8 43:2,2 circuits 20:6 42:19 40:20 20:24 21:21 22:7 22:7 22:10,13,20,23 23:25 53:22,24 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:9,10,11,17 deal 14:6 17:13 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:3 counterargument 13:14 content as a single formunication 49:20:25 52:22 53:3 23:25 53:22,24 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:4,5 20:25 17:4, | 33:4,8 43:24 | come 9:11,11,14,17 | 52:2 54:16 | 13:12,25 14:3,16 | <u> </u> | | |
| 28:19 choice 23:19 27:23 34:6 church 3:18 6:18 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 12:15 34:24 35:8 44:4 46:16 47:24 55:11 churchs 3:16,19 5:13,18,19,21 13:3 16:10 19:25 circuit 20:7,7,8 43:2,2 circuits 20:6 42:19 45:15,18 46:15 comes 8:7 46:20 51:13 comes 8:7 46:20 51:13 comes 8:7 46:20 51:13 comes 8:7 46:20 51:13 comes 8:7 46:20 52:20 consummated 26:20 content 3:20,21 4:24 5:11 13:8,16 19:2,11,14 20:18 20:24 21:21 22:7 22:10,13,20,23 3:7 53:24 day 45:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 18:9,10,11,17 commit 22:3,3 29:12 34:19 36:15 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:3 communicated 33:18 37:13,14 communication 48:22 circuits 20:6 42:19 45:15,18 46:15 constructed 13:14 consummated 20:24 21:21 22:7 22:10,13,20,23 23:25 53:22,24 day 45:2 council 34:2 41:2 counsel 29:1 33:4 33:14 53:21 56:3 count 26:15 counterargument 13:7 cou | | | | * | | | |
| choice 23:19 27:23 comes 8:7 46:20 consummated 20:24 21:21 22:7 david 1:16 2:3,13 34:6 51:13 26:20 22:10,13,20,23 3:7 53:24 church 3:18 6:18 44:14 424 5:11 13:8,16 23:25 53:22,24 4ay 45:2 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 42:14 424 5:11 13:8,16 54:1,25 4ay 45:2 44:4 46:16 47:24 9:2 12:1,4,8 53:17 21:15 29:4,9,10 29:12 34:19 36:15 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:11 55:11 29:12 34:19 36:15 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:3 24:19 29:16 6hurchs 3:16,19 5:13,18,19,21 33:18 37:13,14 33:13,15,18 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:3 50:25 52:22 53:3 53:13,15,18 55:3 counterargument 55:3 43:2,2 48:22 60munity 9:16 60munity 9:16 60munity 9:16 70:20:20:20:21:23 33:21 62:20 62:20 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 62:20:20:21:23 | children 27:12 28:7 | 35:10 44:21 45:12 | 49:2 | | _ | | |
| 34:6 51:13 26:20 22:10,13,20,23 3:7 53:24 church 3:18 6:18 44:14 424 5:11 13:8,16 23:25 53:22,24 4ay 45:2 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 44:14 424 5:11 13:8,16 54:1,25 4ays 3:25 17:4,6 12:15 34:24 35:8 commercial 8:9,18 19:21,22 21:14,15 council 34:2 41:2 48:9,10,11,17 44:4 46:16 47:24 9:2 12:1,4,8 53:17 21:15 29:4,9,10 counsel 29:1 33:4 4eal 14:6 17:13 55:11 commit 22:3,3 29:12 34:19 36:15 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:3 24:19 29:16 5:13,18,19,21 53:13,15,18 53:13,15,18 counterargument 13:7 13:3 16:10 19:25 33:18 37:13,14 contentbased 11:8 13:7 country 20:12 dealt 7:24 43:2,2 48:22 16:20 20:5 21:23 33:21 decibels 55:9 circuits 20:6 42:19 community 9:16 25:18 29:14,18 couple 30:4 55:5 decide 15:10 25:14 | | • | constructed 13:14 | | | | |
| church 3:18 6:18 commencement content 3:20,21 23:25 53:22,24 day 45:2 days 3:25 17:4,6 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 44:14 424 5:11 13:8,16 54:1,25 54:1,25 54:1,25 6424 5:11 13:8,16 54:1,25 6424 5:11 13:8,16 18:9,10,11,17 6424 14:6 17:13 6424 14:6 17:13 6424 14:6 17:13 6424 14:6 17:13 6424 14:6 17:13 6424 14:6 17:13 6424 14:6 17:13 6426 14:6 17:13 6426 14:6 17:13 6426 14:6 17:13 6426 14:6 17:13 6426 14:6 17:13 6426 14:6 17:13 6426 14:6 17:13 6426 14:6 17:13 6426 15:10 | choice 23:19 27:23 | comes 8:7 46:20 | consummated | 20:24 21:21 22:7 | * | | |
| 7:2 9:16,19 12:13 44:14 4:24 5:11 13:8,16 54:1,25 days 3:25 17:4,6 12:15 34:24 35:8 9:2 12:1,4,8 53:17 21:15 29:4,9,10 council 34:2 41:2 counsel 29:1 33:4 44:4 46:16 47:24 9:2 12:1,4,8 53:17 29:12 34:19 36:15 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:11 commonsense 51:3 communicated 36:19,21,25 39:7 count 26:15 55:3 5:13,18,19,21 33:18 37:13,14 53:13,15,18 counterargument 13:7 circuit 20:7,7,8 48:22 contentbased 11:8 13:7 country 20:12 43:2,2 48:22 16:20 20:5 21:23 33:21 couple 30:4 55:5 decibels 55:9 circuits 20:6 42:19 community 9:16 25:18 29:14,18 couple 30:4 55:5 decide 15:10 25:14 | | 51:13 | | | | | |
| 12:15 34:24 35:8 commercial 8:9,18 19:21,22 21:14,15 council 34:2 41:2 18:9,10,11,17 44:4 46:16 47:24 9:2 12:1,4,8 53:17 21:15 29:4,9,10 counsel 29:1 33:4 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:11 commit 22:3,3 commonsense 51:3 communicated 33:14 53:21 56:3 count 26:15 5:13,18,19,21 communicated 50:25 52:22 53:3 counterargument 55:3 13:3 16:10 19:25 33:18 37:13,14 contentbased 11:8 13:7 country 20:12 43:2,2 48:22 16:20 20:5 21:23 33:21 couple 30:4 55:5 decibels 55:9 circuits 20:6 42:19 community 9:16 25:18 29:14,18 couple 30:4 55:5 decide 15:10 25:14 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 44:4 46:16 47:24 9:2 12:1,4,8 53:17 21:15 29:4,9,10 counsel 29:1 33:4 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:3 24:19 29:16 55:11 commit 22:3,3 29:12 34:19 36:15 33:14 53:21 56:3 20:3 24:19 29:16 5:13,18,19,21 5:13,18,19,21 50:25 52:22 53:3 count 26:15 counterargument 13:3 16:10 19:25 33:18 37:13,14 53:13,15,18 13:7 country 20:12 dealt 7:24 circuit 20:7,7,8 48:22 16:20 20:5 21:23 33:21 decibels 55:9 circuits 20:6 42:19 community 9:16 25:18 29:14,18 couple 30:4 55:5 decide 15:10 25:14 | | | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , | | |
| 55:11 | | 5 | , | | | | |
| churchs 3:16,19 commonsense 51:3 36:19,21,25 39:7 count 26:15 55:3 5:13,18,19,21 33:18 37:13,14 50:25 52:22 53:3 counterargument 13:7 circuit 20:7,7,8 communication 48:22 contentbased 11:8 country 20:12 dealt 7:24 dealt 7:24 debate 28:19 decibels 55:9 decibels 55:9 circuits 20:6 42:19 community 9:16 25:18 29:14,18 couple 30:4 55:5 couple 30:4 55:5 | | , , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 5:13,18,19,21 communicated 50:25 52:22 53:3 counterargument dealing 29:8,12 13:3 16:10 19:25 33:18 37:13,14 53:13,15,18 13:7 dealt 7:24 circuit 20:7,7,8 communication 48:22 contentbased 11:8 16:20 20:5 21:23 33:21 decibels 55:9 circuits 20:6 42:19 community 9:16 25:18 29:14,18 couple 30:4 55:5 decide 15:10 25:14 | | | | | | | |
| 13:3 16:10 19:25 33:18 37:13,14 53:13,15,18 13:7 dealt 7:24 circuit 20:7,7,8 communication 48:22 contentbased 11:8 country 20:12 debate 28:19 circuits 20:6 42:19 decibels 55:9 decide 15:10 25:14 | | | | | | | |
| circuit 20:7,7,8 | | | | | Ç , | | |
| 43:2,2 48:22 16:20 20:5 21:23 33:21 decibels 55:9 decide 15:10 25:14 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , , | | | | |
| circuits 20:6 42:19 community 9:16 25:18 29:14,18 couple 30:4 55:5 decide 15:10 25:14 | | | | | | | |
| 23.10 27.11,10 couple 30.1 33.3 | _ | | | | | | |
| circumstance 7:25 43:18 32:2 36:13 50:2 court 1:1,13 3:10 25:15 | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | circumstance 7:25 | 43:18 | 32:2 36:13 50:2 | court 1:1,13 3:10 | 25:15 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | İ | Ì | 1 | 1 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| decided 10:4 12:22 | direct 9:22 38:9 | 31:24 40:22 41:8 | elementary 46:4 | exact 16:24 |
| 40:17 54:9,12,17 | directing 35:5 | 41:25 46:23 51:7 | eleventh 20:8 | exactly 7:12 8:4 |
| 55:2 | 43:19 | 51:8 53:2 54:19 | eliminate 52:11 | 34:8 |
| deciding 7:11 | direction 9:10 35:9 | doing 54:8 | elses 11:1 | examine 26:22 |
| decision 29:3 39:6 | 46:6 | don 20:10 | emphasize 34:15 | example 3:13,22 |
| decisions 48:14 | directional 3:19,21 | dont 7:6 9:9 13:12 | empirical 28:19 | 5:19 6:12 8:10 |
| decrease 31:2,3 | 3:22 5:20,22 9:7 | 14:5 16:22 18:1 | empirically 27:18 | 9:19 10:25 12:6 |
| deemed 10:8 54:21 | 9:12,15,20 23:21 | 19:4 20:14,14 | enact 26:1 33:13 | 16:4 18:16,24 |
| deep 24:25 | 34:16,20 35:4,13 | 21:7 22:7,24 25:1 | enacted 54:7 | 19:8,25 21:1,19 |
| defense 41:21,23 | 35:19 37:17,23 | 25:5,21 26:24 | engage 10:24 | 23:1,9,12 24:16 |
| define 16:21 | 38:8 40:12,14 | 28:8 32:6,16,18 | enhance 28:21 | 24:20 26:10,11 |
| defined 13:13 | 43:17 44:25 47:14 | 33:24 34:4,5,9 | 30:25 | 27:9 37:22 39:11 |
| definition 9:21 | 49:17 51:14,21 | 39:1 40:6 44:1 | enlightenment | 55:6,8,15 |
| 21:12,21 22:6 | directions 7:7 | 51:16,17 53:18 | 22:22 | exception 20:20,21 |
| 29:18 40:6 51:1 | 35:15 37:1,4 | 55:18 | entire 3:15,23,24 | 24:17 25:2,15,16 |
| deliberation 48:15 | disagreement | dozens 18:25 19:7 | 12:24 16:9,10,13 | 25:20,22 26:9 |
| denied 43:25 | 30:14 | 19:7 | 20:22 21:13 | 28:18,24 29:13 |
| department 1:19 | disallow 27:4 | draw 30:8,21,22 | entitled 42:7 | 49:14 |
| depend 7:1,4 53:2 | discovery 55:15,20 | drawn 26:9 30:23 | equal 4:17 5:23 | exceptions 8:3 |
| depending 39:10 | discriminates 3:11 | drive 21:11 45:18 | 7:17 8:16 15:4 | 24:10,11,25 25:2 |
| depends 53:1 | discriminating | driver 31:3 | 29:25 30:2 | 25:3,5 26:23 |
| describe 31:23 | 36:21 | drives 10:5 | equally 11:12 | 27:14 32:20 36:8 |
| described 28:16 | discrimination | driveway 27:12 | equivocal 28:23 | excuse 19:19 |
| 46:9 | 11:9 36:19,20,22 | 28:20 | eric 1:18 2:6 24:2 | exemption 49:21 |
| describing 31:25 | discussing 11:15 | driving 22:19 | especially 8:6 12:3 | expanded 38:15 |
| desires 18:14 | discussion 15:12,14 | drugs 22:17 | esq 1:16,18,22 2:3 | experimentation |
| determination | dislike 36:8 | duration 13:19,19 | 2:6,10,13 | 27:7 |
| 14:22 | displace 34:13 | 33:16 38:13 41:7 | essentially 24:21 | expressing 35:9 |
| determine 53:14 | disproportionately | 41:11,13 48:14 | 36:7 | expression 47:1 |
| developed 42:12,16 | 29:5 | dynamic 15:11 | et 1:3,7 39:8 | expressive 34:19,20 |
| 42:22 43:5,6 | distance 47:22 | | evaluating 24:10 | extent 42:13 |
| didnt 31:19 | distinct 31:21 | | evangelist 32:17 | extremely 27:6 |
| difference 34:11 | distinction 15:18 | e 2:1 3:1,1 earlier 46:9 | evening 45:1 | eyes 7:9 |
| 36:4 52:21 | 31:13,16 32:24 | earner 40.9 easier 20:9 | event 3:24 4:5 8:10 | F |
| different 7:22 8:5 | 34:9 36:14 50:18 | easily 29:17 30:11 | 9:12 14:7,18,19 | face 3:11 |
| 9:3,12,15 11:13 | distinctions 21:14 | eastly 29.17 30.11 easy 20:3 | 14:19,20,21,24,24 | facially 29:4,8 |
| 12:21 16:4 34:14 | 21:15,16 30:9,22 | effect 33:12 47:1 | 15:1,3,7,20 16:10 | fact 5:7,13 7:1,4,13 |
| 34:21 36:19 37:6 | 30:23 39:8 53:16 | 54:5 | 16:17,19 17:5,5,6 | 8:1 16:23 20:4 |
| 38:8 40:13 49:6 | 55:16,16,18 | eighth 20:8 | 17:9,11,13,25 | 23:3 28:15 32:25 |
| differently 3:12 | distinguish 14:12 | election 3:16 14:18 | 18:6 38:14 43:12 | 38:11,14 47:6,7,9 |
| 5:11 8:19 | 17:15 32:14 39:4 | 14:19 15:7 16:17 | 44:16,18,25 45:2 | 48:10 |
| differing 43:11 difficult 14:5 15:9 | 39:23 doesnt 7:4 8:22 | 32:16 | 45:4 events 3:23 | factor 4:14 |
| 31:22 32:3 | | electionrelated | | facts 7:5 |
| difficulty 25:13 | 13:15,18 14:14,15 18:14 23:9 24:19 | 8:14 | everybody 34:6 39:2 | fail 55:24 |
| dingy 47:11 | 25:9 27:10 31:1 | elections 12:24 | evidence 28:23 | fails 30:18 |
| ulligy +/.11 | 25.7 21.10 31.1 | | CVIUCIICE 20.23 | |
| | I . | I | 1 | I |

| fair 30:16 | fowhidding 20.21 | ginghung 4:1 11 10 | magg 0:24 28:22 | id 23:22 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | forbidding 38:21 force 13:7 | ginsburg 4:1,11,18 29:23 34:23 35:7 | guess 9:24 38:22 | idea 28:9 |
| fairly 27:17 28:19 | | | guidance 46:2 | |
| 28:23 31:16 far 38:12 48:14 | forcing 15:18 foreclose 27:7 | 35:12 37:15,21 | guide 34:18 40:19 | ideas 25:8 36:17 |
| | | 41:10,14,15,18 | 45:23 47:15 53:14 | 52:5,17 |
| favor 25:6 | formats 49:6 | 42:23 46:1,8 | guides 53:13 | ideological 4:3,9,11 |
| favorable 4:3,8 6:2 | forth 22:5 42:2 | 47:23 | guy 51:7 | 4:23 5:22 9:13 |
| favoring 36:16 | forum 42:15,22,24 | give 27:9 30:8,20 | H | 32:25 35:2,3,17 |
| favors 52:9 | found 38:12 48:11 | 37:1,3 | h 46:16 | 35:22,24 36:2,24 |
| federal 26:24 53:12 | 55:20 | given 25:20 26:18 | hand 43:12 | 40:13,24 45:10,12 |
| feet 3:14 12:23 | four 12:24 53:22 | 32:16,17 | | 46:15 47:3 49:12 |
| 20:16 43:14,15,22 | frame 38:15 | gives 44:21 | handicaps 51:4 | 49:21,22,23 50:9 |
| feigin 1:18 2:6 24:1 | frankly 52:24 | giving 35:9,15 | handles 6:13 | 51:12 |
| 24:2,5 25:23 27:5 | fred 10:1,11 11:4 | 37:22 | hands 19:6 | ideology 40:23 |
| 27:22 28:4,8 | free 9:1 10:19 | go 5:8 12:15 26:19 | happen 12:12 | 50:20 51:7 |
| 29:15 30:3 31:15 | 22:14,16,24,25 | 35:14 47:12 | happening 47:9 | illustration 47:25 |
| 32:11,18 | 54:12 | goes 12:19,20 15:11 | happens 31:9 | im 6:21 21:11 22:9 |
| filed 18:22 | freedom 5:8 | 50:15 | happy 10:1,11 11:4 | 22:9,15,18 28:4 |
| filled 20:22 21:9,13 | freeways 31:1 | going 5:16 20:1 | hard 16:7 28:9 | 29:1,2 31:5 37:22 |
| 21:14 | frequently 6:10 | 25:8 26:15 27:7 | hear 3:3 8:24 | 40:25 46:2 48:2,7 |
| find 14:5 25:18 | front 46:10 | 27:13,14 28:17,21 | heart 6:5 | 49:19 |
| 30:11 42:19 | function 13:8 38:12 | 31:11 34:1 38:13 | held 35:8 | implicates 38:17 |
| fined 23:4 | 46:11 47:17 52:17 | 39:4,20 47:16 | help 14:14 | importance 15:15 |
| finger 52:4 | 52:21 53:2 | 53:18 54:17 | hidden 27:12 28:20 | important 8:19 |
| first 3:4 4:17 6:5,5 | functionally 40:12 | good 39:5,17 46:2 | higher 32:16 | 9:15 10:8 11:1 |
| 6:9,14 7:21 8:23 | functioned 48:11 | 47:10,23,24 | highway 30:23 | 14:16 17:10 18:24 |
| 20:7 22:17 23:5 | functions 34:14 | goodness 46:21 | historical 10:16 | 23:16 41:1 42:8 |
| 29:24 30:5 31:13 | 37:6 | gotten 49:4 | 23:20 | 54:21 55:13 |
| 31:17 33:22 36:2 | further 42:16 | government 6:20 | home 3:22 | impractical 27:6 |
| 36:9,25 37:3,9,16 | | 7:10 8:20,21,25 | homeowners 3:24 | improper 51:24 |
| 37:24 38:2,17,25 | G | 10:4,7,19 13:21 | 7:20 8:6 43:13,13 | incidental 47:1 |
| 40:3 41:5,21 42:4 | g 3:1 | 19:23 23:15,18 | 43:16 | inclined 33:21 |
| 45:15 49:25 50:24 | ga 1:16,22 | 25:6,14 28:9,11 | honor 27:5 28:4 | include 47:4 |
| 54:4 | game 5:16 6:25 7:8 | 28:12 42:13 53:12 | 29:15 31:17 32:19 | including 45:9,10 |
| fit 30:7 | 15:8 | 55:17 | 44:2 45:21 46:7 | indicated 7:1 33:14 |
| fits 33:13 | general 1:19 49:15 | governmental | 47:13,14 48:2,7 | 40:4 53:13 |
| five 3:15 12:25 | generally 30:25 | 51:17 | 50:23 51:19 52:14 | indication 48:12 |
| 14:20 15:1,21 | 36:7 | grapple 31:18 | 52:23 | individual 28:6 |
| 16:5 | generic 43:19 | grasp 52:24 | hoping 22:22 | inference 25:6 |
| flavors 30:4 | generous 35:22,24 | grave 21:7 | hour 44:11,14 | information 47:3 |
| flexible 51:5 | 49:22,23 | great 24:19 | hours 3:20 38:15 | initiate 15:12,14 |
| floor 31:12 54:16 | geronimo 20:16 | green 37:2 | 38:16 44:8 45:6 | innumerable 19:19 |
| flunk 39:15 | 21:5 | ground 13:23 14:9 | house 30:12 | intended 9:21 |
| focus 49:11 | getting 29:17 | 14:11,13 19:16 | hypothetical 23:7 | 13:14 34:17 38:12 |
| focusing 29:19 | gilbert 1:6 3:5 | 25:25 31:1,2 | 47:20 | 47:17 48:11 |
| fodder 48:15 | 38:15 49:4 | grounds 50:6,6 | | intending 45:22 |
| football 15:8 | gilberts 43:1 | guards 50:24 | I | interest 10:5,6 13:2 |
| | - | 5 | | |
| | | • | | |

| | | | l | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 16:2 19:23 31:25 | 11:20,23 12:1,10 | 15:6,17 54:23 | lenient 39:22 | lower 32:17 |
| 40:3,7,10 54:12 | 12:12 13:5,22 | key 32:19 | lessened 54:7 | N/I |
| 54:13 55:17 | 14:1,4 15:6,17 | kind 6:4,8 28:17 | lesser 55:11 | <u>M</u> |
| interesting 9:18 | 16:16 17:14,19,20 | 31:16 48:13 50:7 | level 24:24 35:23 | m 1:14 3:2 44:9 |
| 18:13 23:14 | 17:23 18:8 19:1 | kinds 25:5 27:13 | 53:14,15 | 56:5 |
| interestingly 4:23 | 19:10,13 20:11,19 | 30:9,21,22 33:24 | library 31:8 | madison 10:17 11:5 |
| interests 10:7 16:7 | 21:3 22:1,8,11,15 | 39:8 | likes 51:7 | main 25:23 31:12 |
| 29:16 38:7 40:11 | 22:21 23:24 24:5 | know 14:5,8 18:6 | limit 4:5,6 12:25 | 46:9 |
| 55:3,13,14,18 | 25:12 26:10,21 | 22:5 25:13 26:14 | 24:16 25:7 33:12 | major 4:14 |
| intermediate 24:9 | 27:19 28:1,5 29:1 | 26:23,24 30:12 | limitations 33:15 | majority 20:6 |
| 26:7 29:22 30:1,4 | 29:23 31:4,6,6,7 | 32:17 33:24 34:2 | limited 14:7 22:13 | making 15:18 |
| 30:15,19,20 32:21 | 32:6,12 33:4,8,23 | 39:13 44:1 48:2 | 26:18 34:17 35:18 | 39:17 49:14 |
| 37:12,19 38:3 | 34:12,23 35:7,12 | 50:2,17 | limits 26:12 27:20 | manage 26:6 |
| 42:17,20 48:16,20 | 35:20 36:5,12,18 | kobachs 55:7 | linmark 7:24 | manner 32:1 39:25 |
| 49:11 51:2 | 36:24 37:5,8,15 | | lists 18:25 | marker 10:16,19 |
| interpreted 35:12 | 37:21 38:6,19,25 | <u>L</u> | literal 53:4 | 11:1 |
| invitation 6:18 9:10 | 40:20 41:5,10,14 | labels 29:23 | littered 15:25 | marketplace 25:9 |
| 9:11,13 13:4 | 41:15,18,21 42:3 | ladue 31:20 | little 13:23 31:8,10 | matter 1:12 13:15 |
| invitational 44:24 | 42:6,10,23 43:10 | land 34:14 | 42:16 46:3,23 | 13:18 21:25 42:14 |
| invite 9:22 44:21 | 43:24 44:3,12,17 | landmarks 23:20 | 47:11 | 52:18 56:6 |
| 45:7 | 44:20 45:11 46:1 | landscape 16:13 | living 9:20 | mccullen 29:3 |
| inviting 9:16 55:10 | 46:8,13,21 47:5 | large 30:5 33:17 | local 27:7,8 | mean 5:14 15:6 |
| isnt 4:11 6:7,7 | 47:23 48:4,8,18 | 49:13 | locality 6:7 23:8 | 20:20 25:12,16 |
| 16:20 26:24 34:10 | 49:7,10,18,20 | larger 40:15 | located 9:17 | 29:24,25 30:16 |
| 39:16 | 50:1,5,11,15,16 | largest 33:17 | location 13:20 | 32:6 35:22 36:24 |
| issue 14:6 24:23 | 51:6,10,16 52:1,7 | laughter 41:3 45:20 | 23:13,14,16,17 | 39:13 46:14,22,22 |
| 30:18 43:3,6,8 | 52:11,20,24 53:2 | 53:7 | 35:6 42:21 43:20 | 50:19 |
| issues 10:4 15:14 | 53:5,8,21 54:1,23 | law 22:16 23:3 29:4 | 45:23 | means 28:14 |
| 42:11,15,21 | 56:3 | 29:8,9 37:23,24 41:19 43:4 | locational 19:15 | meet 40:6 42:1 |
| J | justification 35:21 | lawn 26:13 | long 10:2,12 13:17 | meeting 9:19 34:25 35:1 |
| <u>j</u> 1:18 2:6 24:2 | 36:6 | lawrenceville 1:16 | 18:15 39:8,24 | meets 12:13 37:19 |
| jail 23:4 | justified 29:9 34:10 | laws 22:14 23:2 | 45:3,3 47:22 | 48:16 |
| james 10:17 11:5 | justifies 28:24 | league 18:23 19:3 | 48:16 49:13 | members 45:7 |
| january 1:10 | 38:10 53:19 | 19:12 | longer 3:21 34:20 | mention 54:19 |
| judge 51:18 | justify 24:12 43:10 | leeway 39:10,10,14 | 54:6 55:9 | mention 34.19 mentioned 17:20 |
| judicial 24:25 | justifying 50:5 | 41:8 | longstanding 24:12 | merely 4:17 5:18 |
| judiciary 26:25 | K | left 35:14 46:9,17 | look 18:22 19:18,21 | 7:4 13:14 |
| jurisdiction 37:20 | kagan 6:1 35:20 | 46:19 | 21:6 38:21 | message 27:4 33:17 |
| jurisprudence 8:18 | 36:5,12 49:7,10 | legislative 48:15 | looking 14:12 | 35:1,10 |
| 38:18 51:25 | 49:18,20 50:1,5 | legislature 40:17 | 18:15 21:8 46:2 | messages 37:9 |
| justice 1:19 3:3,9 | 50:11,15 | legislatures 25:25 | looks 21:24,25 46:5 | metromedia 31:19 |
| 4:1,11,18 5:2,12 | kagans 38:6 | 31:22 33:20 51:4 | lose 50:3 | mile 47:18 |
| 6:1,21 7:6,16 8:9 | keep 20:13 21:8 | legitimate 14:15 | lot 8:24 37:21 42:3 | miles 35:14 47:18 |
| 8:13 9:7,24 10:10 | kennedy 9:7,24 | legitimately 27:23 | 47:16 49:4,5,5,16 | minutes 53:22 |
| 10:15,21 11:3,10 | 10:10,15,21 11:3 | length 11:6 | lots 34:8 | mirrors 41:16 |
| 10.10,21 11.0,10 | 10.10,10,2111.3 | 10115011 11.0 | louder 55:9 | 11111010111110 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| modes 48:22 | never 22:11 | ones 32:22 48:14 | pass 27:14 33:19 | please 3:10 24:6 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| monday 1:10 | news 46:2 47:23,24 | onesizefitsall 28:22 | 48:6,9,17 | 33:9 |
| money 30:17 | 55:21,22 | onetime 8:10 16:17 | people 20:13 21:6 | poetic 53:8 |
| months 3:15 12:25 | nice 21:8 | onsite 53:17 | 23:15 30:11 34:3 | point 13:22 18:20 |
| 14:20 15:1,21 | night 3:18,18 45:1 | open 39:11 | 34:5 44:21 47:9 | 19:4,17 25:17 |
| morning 3:4 44:5 | ninth 42:19 43:2,2 | opinion 17:7 | peoples 7:9 32:9,9 | 34:18,18 54:3 |
| 45:13,16,18 | noise 32:13,14 55:5 | opportunities 45:9 | percent 48:2 | points 54:2 55:5 |
| mosley 21:23 | noises 32:14 | opportunity 44:21 | percentage 26:17 | political 3:13 4:9 |
| motivated 30:13 | noncommercial | opposed 34:12 | perfect 55:6 | 4:15,19,21 6:2,3,8 |
| mouth 30:17 | 12:9 53:17 | 37:14 38:18 42:8 | perform 34:14 37:6 | 6:17,24 7:7,22 |
| moves 12:15 | nonconstitutional | 52:2,17 | period 5:1 16:19 | 10:2,12 12:23 |
| movie 39:12 | 52:3 | opposite 33:12 | 17:2,25 18:11,13 | 13:1 15:11,24 |
| movies 39:12 | nonproliferation | oral 1:12 2:2,5,9 | 54:6,18 | 16:1,8,12 20:1 |
| moving 48:9 | 25:4,10 26:8 | 3:7 24:2 33:6 | periods 55:9,11 | 31:10,11 32:15,25 |
| municipal 16:23 | 32:23 | order 33:14,19 | permanent 9:5 | 40:14,20 41:8,12 |
| 39:12 | nontraditional | 38:9 47:15,17 | 11:10,12,16,18,19 | 51:11 54:5,9,13 |
| municipalities 30:8 | 42:14 | ordinance 24:8,11 | 11:20 12:4,7 | 54:17,21,24 55:2 |
| 30:21 | normal 24:24 25:4 | 24:13,21 26:12 | 13:15,18 17:15 | 55:8,25 |
| municipality 12:8 | nuance 53:12 | 30:18 32:14,23 | 19:9 23:11 53:17 | politicians 41:1 |
| music 42:8 | number 3:14 5:9 | 35:17 40:4 41:6 | permissible 32:19 | politics 40:22,23,25 |
| muster 27:14 48:17 | 12:22 13:1 15:24 | 41:16 52:16,16 | 36:15 | 42:7 51:7 |
| | 15:25 16:9,13 | 54:15 55:6,7 | permissibly 26:12 | position 36:11,12 |
| N | 19:15 24:18 26:13 | ordinances 26:22 | permissive 54:23 | 38:4 43:1 |
| n 2:1,1 3:1 | 30:11 33:15 46:5 | 48:24 49:5 | permit 22:17 43:20 | possible 49:13 |
| name 46:4 | 47:24 48:1 55:25 | ought 25:22 50:8 | permits 31:14 | practical 24:20 |
| narrow 8:20 9:4 | numerous 26:25 | outweigh 55:2 | 43:25 | precisely 21:17 |
| narrowly 37:18 | | outweighs 54:13 | permitted 35:17 | precluded 29:3 |
| 48:21 | 0 | overnight 14:21 | person 9:25 21:1 | preferable 31:8 |
| national 18:23 19:3 | o 2:1 3:1 | owned 10:22 | 23:10,14 51:9 | present 31:17 |
| 19:12 | obviously 5:22 | | petitioners 1:4,17 | presently 38:14 |
| nature 17:16 28:14 | 25:13 | <u>P</u> | 2:4,14 3:8 24:7 | presents 27:1 |
| 47:6 | occurring 38:14 | p 3:1 44:9 | 33:14 43:11,14 | preserving 42:2 |
| nearly 12:24 | 44:25 | page 2:2 18:25 19:1 | 44:3 47:2 53:25 | presumptively |
| need 18:14 32:9 | occurs 16:18 45:2 | 19:2,10,11 46:3 | philip 1:22 2:10 | 24:15 40:8 |
| 33:15 40:14,18,19 | oclock 44:5,9 45:1 | paint 24:18 | 33:6 | pretty 20:22 30:1 |
| 41:24 42:1,15,21 | 45:1 | painting 30:10 | physical 34:13 | prevent 26:4 27:24 |
| 45:23 47:15 | offend 37:24 38:2 | park 34:1 | place 12:13,15 21:8 | prior 6:22 |
| needs 17:5 31:24 | offense 22:24,25 | part 9:10,11,12 | 34:25 35:1 39:25 | private 8:6 10:24 |
| 33:18 38:8 41:9 | official 51:17 | 17:10 18:20 41:24 | 45:22 | 23:13 28:3,6,13 |
| 53:11 | offsite 19:15 53:18 | particular 16:18 | placed 3:14,17 | 32:8 |
| neither 1:20 2:8 | oh 51:11 53:5 | 24:23 25:19 30:6 | 16:15 18:5,6 | privately 10:21,22 |
| 24:4 42:6 | okay 20:19 26:23 | 30:12,18,22 31:17 | placement 5:3,5 | probably 27:14 |
| network 55:15,21 | 26:24 40:2 50:1 | 37:20 52:17 | 19:16 41:7 | problem 6:14 7:10 |
| neutral 13:17 29:4 | 55:1 | parties 15:20 | places 10:2 | 8:22 9:2 10:25 |
| 29:8,12 50:16 | once 26:19 onefifth 3:17 | parts 21:10 39:20 | play 8:7 27:12 28:7 | 11:8 15:22 16:3 |
| neutrality 29:11 | onemul 3.1/ | party 1:21 2:8 24:4 | 28:20 | 16:11 17:20 25:23 |
| | l | l | l | l |

putting 30:17 35:23 **ruling** 51:20 26:3 27:2,19 **reduce** 16:2,3 responses 41:4 31:21 33:10 49:3 39:18 36:20 restriction 21:24 run 50:18 **reducing** 16:8 42:2 **problems** 6:16 7:15 26:1 27:10,11 O S 27:8,25 31:18 reed 1:3 3:4 54:20 question 6:22 10:11 s 2:1 3:1 21:13,18 42:19 reference 29:9 restrictions 25:18 12:21 22:16 29:7 22:21 prohibit 55:21 referring 7:4 30:12 29:20 33:25 38:7 safer 25:25 prohibiting 56:1 regardless 50:3 result 15:19 41:25 38:16,25 43:3 safety 10:6 13:2 prohibition 38:22 **regulate** 5:8 19:7 48:24 44:2 51:20 19:23 25:3,4,10 projecting 19:17 19:14,20 23:8 results 51:3 questions 22:14 26:7 27:11,25 proliferation 15:19 31:24 return 38:6 quick 54:2 28:16,21 30:25 27:24 **regulated** 9:6 13:8 reverse 8:2 quickly 55:12 31:3 32:22 38:22 properties 7:19 regulation 19:5 review 50:3 40:5 50:6 54:13 quieter 55:11 26:17 21:15,24 25:1,5 **right** 4:22 5:17 7:3 quite 31:19 36:19 55:3,12 25:11,19 32:2 7:20 8:12,16 **property** 7:18,20 sale 8:2,11,15 18:9 48:3 8:6 12:20,21 regulations 16:23 10:14 13:25 14:3 quota 26:16 18:9,11 26:11,15 24:17 26:19 42:11 31:23 37:13 40:4 17:18,22 20:18 **quote** 45:12 26:16,19,20 48:24 22:10 28:7,23 propose 21:22 sales 3:22 32:13 34:10 35:14 proposes 10:7 relates 8:10 R **satisfy** 39:25 protect 36:2 49:24 relating 16:17 36:3 37:1,3,9,10 r 3:1 saturday 44:13 protection 6:6 relation 36:15 37:11 46:9,17,17 racks 55:22,22 save 11:4 29:25 30:2 relationship 55:17 46:18 48:19 49:25 rationale 50:2 savrin 1:22 2:10 **provision** 34:17,21 **religious** 5:21 6:12 54:25 rationales 24:12 33:5,6,8 34:11 15:13 33:1 49:12.22 rightofway 3:15 25:4,10 26:8 35:3,11,20 36:1 provisions 35:22 remain 55:23 4:14,16,21,25 32:23 36:11,23 37:5,10 42:1 45:24 remainder 23:22 8:15 9:6,23 12:20 react 39:19 37:18 38:1,24 **public** 12:19 24:17 remaining 53:23 40:24 54:10 read 13:11 17:20 40:2 41:4,14,17 25:8 42:10,14,22 removed 17:10 **rights** 8:7,8 readily 14:6 41:20,23 42:5,9 42:24 45:7 require 37:16 rightsofway 40:21 reading 13:6 18:1,4 42:25 43:16 44:1 punished 22:18 required 49:2 **rigid** 50:25 really 6:23 26:7 44:8,16,18,23 purporting 36:6 requirement 32:8 roadways 20:1 27:15 34:4 39:16 45:21 46:7,11,20 43:20 **purpose** 26:18 roberts 3:3 5:12 42:8 43:17 44:20 46:24 47:13 48:1 38:21 39:10 44:23 requirements 6:21 7:6 13:22 reason 4:10 9:9 48:7,10,20 49:9 45:6 47:8 50:12 13:19,20,20 14:1,4 23:24 13:13 17:7 18:24 49:17,19,24 50:4 requires 13:10 18:4 25:12 29:1 31:6 purposes 32:15 19:4.21.22 26:16 50:9,12,22 51:9 36:13 42:1 51:20 requiring 47:11 33:4 43:24 53:21 28:10 39:6,17 51:15,19 52:4,9 52.19 reserve 23:22 56.3 43:7 45:24 47:11 52:14,23 53:1,4,9 put 8:14,21 9:1,6 residential 7:18 8:1 robust 27:17 28:19 reasonable 4:5 30:7 53:11 10:1,19 12:14,16 8:3 43:18 roof 19:16 reasonably 30:9 saying 6:16,23 9:16 17:2,3,3 20:15 resist 28:8 room 30:8,21 31:16 14:11 25:21 28:5 23:3,10,12,18 resolve 31:19 43:2 route 34:18 35:5 reasons 24:24 29:13 35:14 36:7 27:23 28:1,9,11 respect 21:17 39:9 38:9 40:19 47:16 rebuttal 2:12 23:23 39:15 41:15 46:15 28:14 31:11 39:1 40:2,5,11 50:9 rule 4:3 6:9 17:24 53:24 46:18 50:7,14,17 respondents 1:23 20:4 21:18 27:1,6 39:2,6,7,12,21 recommend 16:25 50:23 44:4,6,8,12 45:11 2:11 24:7 33:7 27:6 29:14 32:4 record 38:11 42:12 says 6:3 7:18 9:19 36:21 49:15 45:14,15 46:14,15 response 23:18 recurring 15:3 12:14 13:18 17:1 rules 35:24 36:20 47:14 54:2 55:1 puts 5:19 18:8 52:4

| 17:17,24 19:14 | 41:25 42:3 43:19 | 50:14 51:21 52:17 | 55:20 | 34:5,6,7 36:3 37:2 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 20:16 21:5 34:2 | 45:5 51:22 52:2,3 | 52:21,22 | simple 27:1,5 54:15 | 37:11,12 49:25 |
| 34:25 35:7 37:23 | 53:4,5 | signage 45:9 | simply 29:5 | special 6:5,9 36:9 |
| 39:5 40:23 41:11 | serious 16:1 22:3,5 | signal 25:25 | single 14:19,21,24 | 49:12 50:7 |
| 44:4 45:12,14 | seriously 16:8 | significance 6:22 | 14:24 15:1,7 | specially 35:21 |
| 46:4 54:20 | 22:18 | 36:10 | 17:13 | 49:21 |
| scale 52:5 | served 38:7 | signs 3:12,13,16,19 | sit 51:11 | specifically 24:22 |
| scalia 11:10,20,23 | serves 45:5 | 3:20,22,25 4:3,5,8 | site 43:19 | 36:1 |
| 12:1 19:1,10,13 | service 3:18 9:22 | 4:9,15,19,21,23 | situation 26:6 | specifies 34:25 |
| 26:21 27:19 28:1 | 34:24 35:2,8 44:5 | 5:5,8,9,9,11,20 | 29:13 | specify 41:13 |
| 28:5 32:6,12 | 44:15,22 45:13,16 | 6:2 7:18 8:1,2,3 | size 3:17 5:9 11:6 | speech 5:21,22,23 |
| 36:18,24 37:5,8 | 46:16 | 8:14,21,25,25 9:1 | 13:20 16:6 18:18 | 6:4,4,9,12,13,15 |
| 40:20 41:5,21 | services 45:8 | 9:5,5,8 10:12 | 33:13 38:10 41:7 | 6:17,24,24 7:7,11 |
| 42:3,6,10 48:18 | set 25:8 | 11:10,11,12,15,16 | 41:11,13 48:14 | 7:13,22 8:5,7,18 |
| 50:16 51:6,10,16 | setbacks 19:16 | 12:4,4,5,7,23 13:1 | skeptical 31:16 | 8:21 9:2,13,16 |
| 52:1,7,11,20,24 | seven 18:17 | 13:2 14:23 15:1 | skepticism 24:25 | 10:8,9,24 11:2 |
| 53:2,5,8 | short 39:18 | 15:12,19,24 16:1 | small 26:17 | 12:8,9 22:14,16 |
| scenery 20:13,13 | shouldnt 8:5 25:16 | 16:5,9,10,11,12 | smaller 38:10 | 22:24,25 25:1,19 |
| school 46:4 | 27:3,3 49:15,16 | 16:14,16 17:1,15 | 39:18 40:18 | 30:13,14 31:25 |
| scrutiny 24:9,14 | side 3:17 27:1 55:7 | 17:16 18:15,17,19 | soccer 5:16 6:25 | 32:25 33:1,1,12 |
| 25:17,21,24 26:7 | sides 31:1 | 19:7,9,15,15,17 | 7:8 | 34:12 36:9 37:13 |
| 27:13,16 28:18 | sign 5:14,15,18,20 | 19:17,17,20 20:14 | sold 7:19 | 48:25 49:1,5,12 |
| 29:21,22 30:1,4 | 5:21,24 7:2,2,17 | 20:15 23:4,9,9,20 | solely 3:12 | 50:7,25 51:11,12 |
| 30:15,19,20 32:22 | 9:21,21 10:2 | 23:21 24:16 25:3 | solicit 22:2,4 | 51:14 54:12 55:8 |
| 33:2,10,19 35:23 | 11:18,19,20 12:14 | 26:2,3,11,13,14 | solicitation 22:2,17 | speechpermissive |
| 36:14 37:12,19 | 12:16 13:3,6,9,11 | 26:15,16 27:2,11 | solicitor 1:18 | 24:10 |
| 38:3 39:3,22 40:9 | 13:13,14,16,17,18 | 27:21,24 28:2,3 | solutions 27:8 | speechrelated 23:6 |
| 42:17,20 43:8 | 13:18 14:7,19 | 28:10,11,14,16,16 | somebody 14:8 | spinach 40:23 |
| 48:16,20,23 49:11 | 15:3,13 16:4 17:3 | 28:20,20 30:24 | 18:8 37:1,3 40:22 | square 3:13 12:23 |
| 51:2,2,23 53:14 | 17:4,9,10,16,21 | 31:2,17,21,23 | somewhat 39:22 | 12:23 43:14,15,22 |
| 53:20 | 17:24 18:1,2,5,5,9 | 32:1,8,12 33:15 | soon 20:22 | stake 13:24 14:9,11 |
| second 20:7 29:19 | 19:16,22,22,25 | 33:16,16,21 34:12 | | 14:13 40:11 |
| 40:1 51:13 | 20:1,6,8,16 23:10 | 34:13,17 35:22,24 | 31:5 40:25 48:7 | standard 30:1 50:3 |
| section 41:20 | 23:11,13,19 24:11 | 36:2,24 37:17,23 | 49:19 | starting 25:20 |
| see 9:24 15:12 18:1 | 24:13 25:5,11 | 38:20 39:2,2,12 | sort 26:21,22 27:10 | state 8:13 20:22 |
| 22:9 26:6 32:6 | 27:10,11,20 28:6 | 40:12,14,20,24 | 28:24 32:3 | 28:22 41:10,11,16 |
| 45:19 48:4,5,8 | 31:23 32:2,8,23 | 41:8,12,25 43:12 | sotomayor 5:2 7:16 | 41:19,22 42:7 |
| 53:5 | 34:16,20,21,23 | 43:13,14,16,17 | 12:10 13:5 17:20 | 54:3,4,7,11,12,17 |
| seeing 14:7 | 35:4,13,16,17 | 44:23 46:2 47:15 | 31:4,6,7 48:4,8 | 55:2 |
| seeking 4:2,7,16 | 38:8 39:5,11,17 | 47:17,22,24,25 | sotomayors 26:11 | states 1:1,13,20 2:7 |
| 5:3,4 | 40:3,13,14 43:11 | 49:13,16,17,21,22 | soul 11:4 | 24:3 54:8 |
| select 42:13 | 43:14,22,23,23 | 49:23 54:5,9,13 | sound 32:15 46:22 | statue 54:19 |
| sell 7:20 | 44:4 45:10,12,14 | 54:18,19,21,24 | space 34:13 | statute 41:6,9,10,11 |
| sends 25:24 | 45:15,21 46:14,15 | 55:2,4,25 56:1 | spaced 18:19 | 41:24 54:3,4,7,11 |
| senior 9:19 | 46:25 47:3,7,9,19 | silly 47:20 | spacing 19:16 | stay 18:10 49:13 |
| sense 24:20 36:13 | 48:5,8,24 50:10 | similar 7:24 55:4 | speak 28:12 34:1,3 | stick 14:10 |
| | l | l | l | I |

| store 17:9 | talk 12:4 40:21 | think 6:11,16,19 | 5:19 6:15 8:5 | 41:4 46:17,19 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| straight 35:14 | talking 5:6 9:4 | 7:6,14,23,23 8:17 | 12:21 14:17 16:9 | 47:18 |
| street 24:18 25:2 | 12:19 28:3 32:20 | 8:17 9:7,9 10:3,9 | 16:16 18:14,16,23 | type 5:24 26:14 |
| 30:10 32:17 42:23 | 38:20 42:10 53:6 | 10:13,13,18,23,25 | 19:4,6,20 20:4,10 | 33:11 35:16 |
| 46:9,10 55:10 | taxpayers 24:23 | 11:7,8,18 12:6,18 | 24:16 26:11 27:9 | types 8:5,25 12:5 |
| streets 15:25 46:16 | teeth 30:16 | 13:12,16 14:16,23 | 28:1,2 33:25 34:2 | 14:23 23:20,21 |
| strict 24:14 25:17 | telephone 46:5 | 15:12,16 14:16,23 | 34:2 38:15 40:17 | 25:3,19,19 26:2 |
| 25:24 27:13,15 | tell 45:16 47:9 | 17:12 18:7,21 | 41:8,16 45:17 | 28:16 29:6 30:12 |
| 28:18 29:21 33:2 | temporary 5:5,15 | 21:13 22:7,21,23 | 46:22 54:8,14,20 | 30:24 31:23 32:1 |
| 33:10,19 36:14 | 9:5 11:11,15 | 23:7,13,16 24:8 | 55:1,21,24 | 32:20,21 48:23 |
| 39:3,21 40:9 43:8 | 12:16 13:6,13,17 | 25:23 26:5 27:6 | towns 3:11 16:2 | 32.20,21 46.23 |
| 48:23 51:1,23 | 17:1,4,15 18:16 | 27:16,22 28:10,10 | 20:11 27:22 33:13 | U |
| 53:19 | 23:10 34:16 35:18 | 28:24 29:15 30:3 | 33:20 | u 21:13,18 22:21 |
| struck 48:24 | 51:21 53:16 | 30:6,8,9,15,18 | track 25:3 26:8 | uncle 10:1,11 11:4 |
| stuck 13:23 | tend 31:10 | 31:4,7,15,17,24 | 32:22 | unconstitutional |
| stuck 13.23 stuff 51:18 | termed 47:1 | 32:3,11,18,19,21 | tradeoff 40:16,18 | 24:8,16 40:8 |
| subject 21:25 28:17 | terms 35:18 36:16 | 32:25 33:2 34:9 | traditional 24:12 | underinclusive |
| 32:21 33:2 42:14 | 41:7 50:13 | 34:11 36:9 37:10 | 42:24 | 55:19,23 |
| 51:21,23 52:18 | test 20:5,8 30:7 | 37:11,18 38:1,2 | travelers 34:18 | underlie 25:10 |
| subjects 34:3,4 | 37:19 39:16 47:10 | 40:25 42:20 43:7 | 35:5 38:9,13 | understand 22:9,12 |
| submitted 56:4,6 | 48:16,21 53:13 | 44:20 47:22 48:21 | 40:19 43:19 45:23 | 22:18 49:8 |
| substance 52:5 | thank 23:24 24:5 | 48:23 49:3 50:8 | 47:15 | understanding 6:8 |
| suggest 24:15 | 33:3,4 53:21 54:1 | 51:6,8,17,19,20 | treat 5:11 27:1 | understood 25:17 |
| suggest 24.13 sunday 12:14 44:5 | 56:2,3 | 51:24 53:11 55:5 | 54:16 | undifferentiated |
| 45:13,16 | thats 4:14,22 5:17 | 55:19 | treated 8:19 12:17 | 26:1 |
| supporting 1:20 | 5:23 7:3 8:19 | thinking 47:21 | 14:2 17:2 | unfamiliar 27:16 |
| 2:8 24:4 | 11:16 13:14,16 | thought 6:25 17:14 | treating 3:12 55:4 | uniformly 48:25 |
| suppose 33:25 | 14:3,19 16:2 | three 14:9,10 18:19 | treatment 4:16,17 | united 1:1,13,20 |
| 38:19 44:3 47:5 | 17:12,12 18:20,22 | 39:19,24 46:17,18 | 4:18,20 5:24 | 2:7 24:3 |
| supposed 44:24,24 | 21:7,9,10 24:20 | tie 19:5 | 49:23 | unlimited 3:14 4:12 |
| 51:10 | 24:21 27:21 32:2 | tied 17:5 45:4 | treatments 43:11 | 5:1,3 12:22 13:1 |
| supreme 1:1,13 | 35:2 37:23 39:25 | time 4:5,12 5:1,8 | trigger 8:22 | 15:24,25 16:9,13 |
| sure 29:2,2 37:8 | 40:9 43:22,23 | 6:3 11:6 12:25 | triggering 9:2 | 55:25 |
| 48:2 | 46:19 50:22 54:25 | 14:8 15:4 16:19 | truck 32:15 | unquote 45:12 |
| survive 38:4 | 55:1 | 17:11,25 18:11,13 | true 32:7 | unreasonable |
| switch 51:1 53:16 | theoretical 24:24 | 23:4,23 26:18 | truly 25:9 | 46:23 |
| | theory 50:2 | 36:3 38:15 39:18 | try 22:1,1 26:2 | upheld 24:22 |
| T | theres 17:23 22:15 | 39:25 45:19 54:6 | trying 14:5 21:8,11 | urging 30:6 |
| t 2:1,1 20:10 | 28:18 38:13 47:16 | 54:8,18 55:9,11 | 25:7 26:4 36:20 | use 14:24 20:6 |
| tailor 26:2 31:22 | 48:13 | times 40:3 55:14 | 39:23 | 26:10 29:23 45:10 |
| tailored 37:19 | theyre 6:23 39:15 | tomorrow 45:18 | tuesday 46:16 | uses 34:14 |
| 48:21 | thing 14:25 15:9 | topic 36:3 | turn 5:13 35:14,14 | T 7 |
| take 7:17 16:7 | 29:25 34:15 43:21 | topics 28:13 29:6 | 46:9,9 | V |
| 18:21,22 34:13 | 47:11 | total 16:5 43:22,23 | turns 28:18 | v 1:5 3:4 55:15 |
| 44:7,10,10,13 | things 8:20 18:21 | tough 30:1 39:20 | two 10:6 18:9 26:13 | vagueness 23:2 |
| taken 16:18 | 22:3,4 | town 1:6 3:4,15 5:7 | 26:13,16 39:22 | valid 9:8 |
| | | ĺ | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | raye |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|---|------|
| valuable 6:17,24 | ways 7:17 16:4 | 04 1:14 3:2 | |
| 7:7,11,13 33:1 | 19:7,19 23:8 | 04 1.14 <i>3.2</i> | |
| 51:12 | weekend 3:24 | 1 | |
| value 7:22 8:5 | 43:12 45:3,3 | 1 55:22 | |
| | , | 10 1:14 3:2 18:25 | |
| valued 6:4 7:19 | weeks 14:10,10 | 19:2,11 44:5,9,25 | |
| valuing 6:15 7:22 | 15:12 | 19 .2,11 44.3,9,23 100 48:2 | |
| 10:25 | welcome 34:24 | | |
| various 32:15 | 35:1,7,10 | 11 56:5 | |
| vast 20:12 | weve 50:18 54:21 | 12 1:10 38:16 44:8 | |
| version 27:15 | whats 9:18 | 45:6 | |
| view 11:3 36:23 | willing 36:8 | 13 18:25 | |
| viewed 32:1 | wonder 21:13 | 13502 1:5 3:4 | |
| viewpoint 25:7 | wont 45:17 | 13605 16:25 | |
| 36:18,22 50:16 | wooden 15:18 | 14 3:20 | |
| viewpointbased | 24:14 | 15 48:1 | |
| 52:7,13 | words 6:25 11:14 | 161019 41:20 | |
| viewpoints 36:16 | 14:8 22:9 29:7 | 180 17:4 | |
| 52:5 | 37:22 | | |
| vincent 24:23 | work 28:15 47:15 | 2 | |
| visit 23:15 | workable 51:3 | 2 38:15 55:22 | |
| | worship 9:11,14,17 | 2015 1:10 | |
| \mathbf{W} | 35:10 | 24 2:8 | |
| w 1:22 2:10 33:6 | wouldnt 11:12,15 | | |
| wall 19:17 | 20:24 | 3 | |
| want 4:2,4 20:13,14 | | 3 2:4 46:3 | |
| 20:15 21:5,7 | wrong 40:25 | 30 3:25 12:23 17:6 | |
| 22:22 23:9,15 | wyoming 20:22 | 32 3:13 12:23 | |
| 24:17 26:21 27:24 | X | 33 2:11 | |
| | | | |
| 28:8 34:5 40:23 | x 1:2,8 | 4 | |
| 44:3 45:7 47:3 | Y | 48 18:10 | |
| 51:16,17 52:11 | yard 8:10,15 18:9,9 | 4th 46:16 | |
| wanted 55:21 | 18:10 | | |
| wants 9:25 12:14 | | 5 | |
| 12:16 20:15 23:19 | yeah 50:11,12 | 50 18:11 20:16 | |
| 28:3,10 | year 12:24 16:10 | 500 55:22 | |
| warning 8:25 | 16:13 18:15 40:21 | 53 2:14 | |
| washington 1:9,19 | youre 28:5,5 29:13 | | |
| 16:23 18:18 | 41:15 50:7 | 6 | |
| watereddown | youve 13:10 29:10 | 6 43:15 45:1 | |
| 27:15 | 40:4 | 62 55:21 | |
| way 6:6 10:5 13:3,3 | 7 | | |
| 13:13 14:2,5,12 | Z | 7 | |
| 15:2,7 16:3,20 | 0 | | |
| 17:12,14 19:8,24 | 00 44:5,9,25 45:1 | 8 | |
| , | IIII /1/1:3 U /3 /15:1 | 1 00 42 14 21 | |
| 20:2,3 26:5 35:11 | | 80 43:14,21 | |
| 20:2,3 26:5 35:11 54:15,18 55:3 | 000 55:22 03 56:5 | 80 43:14,21 ———————————————————————————————————— | |