| 1 | IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES |
|----|--|
| 2 | x |
| 3 | UNITED STATES, : |
| 4 | Petitioner : No. 12-562 |
| 5 | v. : |
| 6 | GARY WOODS, AS TAX MATTERS PARTNER: |
| 7 | OF TESORO DRIVE PARTNERS, ET AL. : |
| 8 | x |
| 9 | Washington, D.C. |
| 10 | Wednesday, October 9, 2013 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | The above-entitled matter came on for oral |
| 13 | argument before the Supreme Court of the United States |
| 14 | at 10:03 a.m. |
| 15 | APPEARANCES: |
| 16 | MALCOLM L. STEWART, ESQ., Deputy Solicitor General, |
| 17 | Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; on behalf of |
| 18 | Petitioner. |
| 19 | GREGORY G. GARRE, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf of |
| 20 | Respondents. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | CONTENTS | |
|----|------------------------------|------|
| 2 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF | PAGE |
| 3 | MALCOLM L. STEWART, ESQ. | |
| 4 | On behalf of the Petitioner | 3 |
| 5 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF | |
| 6 | GREGORY G. GARRE, ESQ. | |
| 7 | On behalf of the Respondents | 24 |
| 8 | REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF | |
| 9 | MALCOLM L. STEWART, ESQ. | |
| 10 | On behalf of the Petitioner | 53 |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|-----|--|
| 2 | (10:03 a.m.) |
| 3 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear |
| 4 | argument first this morning in Case 12-562, United |
| 5 | States v. Woods. |
| 6 | Mr. Stewart. |
| 7 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF MALCOLM L. STEWART |
| 8 | ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER |
| 9 | MR. STEWART: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it |
| LO | please the Court: |
| L1 | The merits question in this case is whether |
| L2 | the substantial valuation misstatement penalty applies |
| L3 | when a taxpayer overstates his basis in property in |
| L 4 | connection with the transaction that is later determined |
| L5 | to be a sham. The threshold jurisdictional question is |
| L 6 | whether the court, in this TEFRA partnership level |
| L7 | proceeding, has authority to decide that merits issue. |
| L8 | I'd like to begin with the jurisdictional |
| L 9 | question. And before I focus the Court's attention on |
| 20 | the text, I'd like to make two quick preliminary |
| 21 | observations about jurisdiction. The first is that the |
| 22 | question we say is appropriate for resolution in |
| 23 | partnership level proceedings is not whether any |
| 24 | individual partner will actually be made to pay the |
| 25 | penalty. |

| 1 | Thorola | 20 | question | in | +hia | 0000 | + h - + | + h a |
|---|---------|-----|----------|-----|------|------|---------|-------|
| | mere s | 110 | question | T11 | cnrs | Case | LIIdl | LHE |

- 2 determination whether the penalty will actually be
- 3 imposed on individual partners and, if so, in what
- 4 amount is properly reserved for partner level
- 5 proceedings.
- 6 The question is simply whether the Court, in
- 7 the partnership level proceeding, can make the threshold
- 8 determination whether the sort of error that the IRS
- 9 identifies on the partnership return can trigger a
- 10 penalty down the road if the individual partner prepares
- 11 his or her return in a manner consistent with the
- 12 partnership return.
- 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Excuse me. I thought I
- 14 understood that to be your point in your brief, but I --
- 15 there is one thing missing from here. I also thought
- 16 you were saying that you could impose the penalty before
- 17 the amount was determined on the partnership level, that
- 18 the tax that you could, without a notice of deficiency,
- 19 require a payment upfront.
- 20 MR. STEWART: There are two separate
- 21 questions here. The first is what can be determined at
- 22 the partnership level? And once the partnership level
- 23 proceedings are complete, there are subsidiary partner
- 24 level proceedings. And some partner level proceedings
- 25 require a deficiency notice, some partner level

- 1 proceedings do not.
- Now, it is part of our position that, once
- 3 the applicability of the penalty has been determined at
- 4 the partnership level, the penalty can then be imposed
- 5 on individual partners in partner level proceedings
- 6 without a deficiency notice. It can still be challenged
- 7 through a refund proceeding, but because -- there's
- 8 never the imposition of additional tax or penalties on
- 9 the partnership itself.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That is the incongruity
- of your position in my mind. You claim that the
- 12 decision of -- of whether or not or what the true value
- is of the basis and how much needs to be paid can't be
- 14 determined until the partnership level -- until the
- 15 partner level determination, yet you're claiming that
- 16 you're entitled to an amount of money beforehand, before
- 17 that decision is made. There's a tension in my mind
- 18 about that.
- 19 MR. STEWART: Let me explain, as best I can,
- 20 the sequence of events that we think would unfold if
- 21 this Court affirmed our view of the -- both held that
- 22 the courts below had jurisdiction and agreed with our
- 23 view of the way the penalty is supposed to operate.
- 24 If the Court agreed with the position that
- 25 we take in Part 2 of our merits brief, namely, that a

- 1 deduction that is claimed in connection with a
- 2 transaction that is later determined to be a sham can
- 3 trigger -- if they agree -- if you agree with us on that
- 4 legal issue, then the IRS would examine the returns of
- 5 the individual partners, and it would verify that they
- 6 did, in fact, claim deductions in connection with this
- 7 transaction because they would have this Court's
- 8 agreement with the proposition that that's the sort of
- 9 thing that can trigger the penalty.
- They would then determine what the amount of
- 11 the overpayment -- of the underpayment was, and they
- 12 would, presumably, assess a 40 percent penalty on that.
- 13 There would be a subsidiary question because the FPAA,
- 14 the Final Partnership Administrative Adjustment, said
- 15 that the partnerships were shams. But it also said that the
- 16 individual transactions, the purchases and sales of the
- 17 options and the currency and the stock, they would be
- 18 treated as though they had been engaged in -- by the
- 19 individual partners.
- 20 And so at the partner level, there might be
- 21 further determinations about what -- what a relatively
- 22 small amount of tax the individual partners would --
- 23 would owe on that. And then if a partner -- if a
- 24 penalty were assessed on the partner, the partner would
- 25 have to pay the penalty before challenging it in through

- 1 a refund action. But -- but we might want to ask on
- 2 what ground could the partner want to challenge the
- 3 penalty at that point.
- 4 The partner couldn't at that stage want to
- 5 make the argument that's being made in this Court,
- 6 namely, that this is just not the sort of situation to
- 7 which the substantial valuation misstatement penalty
- 8 applies because that issue would have already been
- 9 resolved against the partner in this proceeding by
- 10 hypothesis, if the Court agrees with us on the merits.
- 11 And so the partner would have had an opportunity to get
- 12 that threshold legal issue resolved without prepaying
- 13 the penalty first.
- Now, if an individual partner wanted to
- 15 raise the good faith reasonable cause defects that's
- 16 provided in 26 USC 6664(c), the partner would have to
- 17 pay the penalty first before seeking a refund. But
- 18 that's pretty clearly consistent with Congress's intent
- 19 because Congress specified in TEFRA itself that, after
- 20 the court in the partnership level proceeding has
- 21 determined the applicability of the penalty, the partner
- 22 can still, through refund proceedings, contend that the
- 23 penalty was erroneously imposed.
- And that language tells us two things. It
- 25 tells us, first, that Congress didn't see any necessary

- 1 unfairness in requiring a partner to pay the penalty
- 2 first before raising certain sorts of challenges.
- 3 And it also indicates that, by
- 4 applicability, Congress must have meant something
- 5 different from will the penalty ultimately be imposed
- 6 because, if the partnership level determination that the
- 7 penalty was applicable meant that all the requirements
- 8 for imposition were satisfied, there'd be no room for
- 9 the partner to argue down the road that the penalty was
- 10 erroneously assessed after all. So --
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Stewart, can you
- 12 explain the difference in the two proceedings? First,
- 13 your position that the proper review is of the final
- 14 partnership administrative adjustment.
- How would the penalty be adjudicated in that
- 16 format, and if your -- if the taxpayer is right, that
- 17 the adjudication must be made at the partner level
- 18 proceeding, what would be the difference in the
- 19 character of the adjudication?
- 20 MR. STEWART: When we say that the
- 21 applicability of the penalty should be determined in the
- 22 partnership level proceeding, all we mean is that the
- 23 court in the partnership level proceeding should resolve
- 24 the legal issue that is addressed in Part 2 of the
- 25 respective briefs for the Petitioner and the Respondent;

- 1 that is, the court should determine is the substantial
- 2 valuation misstatement penalty the sort of penalty that
- 3 can apply to a basis overstatement that is produced
- 4 through a sham transaction.
- 5 We wouldn't ask the court in the partnership
- 6 level proceeding to go beyond that legal determination
- 7 and to ask whether individual partners had actually
- 8 underpaid their tax or whether they had actually
- 9 misstated basis. It's always theoretically possible in
- 10 a case like this that the partnership could be
- 11 determined -- that the partner could participate in sham
- 12 transactions.
- But by the time it was -- he had to file his
- 14 own return, he could get cold feet or he could get legal
- 15 advice that indicated this just isn't going to work, and
- 16 so it's possible that the partner could prepare his
- 17 return in a way that was lawful. And the IRS, after the
- 18 partnership level proceedings were complete, would have
- 19 to look at the partner return in order to see what that
- 20 had -- what had happened.
- 21 I think the main practical -- I'm sorry.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: I was just going to ask, if
- the question were determined of whether the sham
- 24 transaction counts as an erroneous statement of the
- 25 basis, if that were determined at the partner level and

- 1 not in a partnership proceeding, would it be possible to
- 2 have different outcomes --
- 3 MR. STEWART: Yes, absolutely.
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- with respect to
- 5 different partners?
- 6 MR. STEWART: Absolutely. And I think
- 7 that's the main practical difference between the way the
- 8 system would operate under our view of TEFRA and the way
- 9 it would operate under Respondent's view. That is,
- 10 under --
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: And -- and you have to
- 12 relitigate the same issue.
- 13 MR. STEWART: Exactly. Under Respondent's
- 14 view, the IRS was not required to say anything at all in
- 15 the FPAA about the potential imposition of basis
- 16 overstatement penalties down the road. If the FPAA
- 17 adjustments -- the shamming determination had been
- 18 upheld at the partnership level, under Respondent's
- 19 view, the IRS could then assess penalties against
- 20 individual partners.
- 21 And if the individual partners raised an
- 22 objection, the same arguments that are raised in Part 2
- 23 of the Respondent's merits briefs, that would have to be
- 24 litigated potentially by different judges in different
- 25 partnership -- in different partner level proceedings

- 1 with potentially inconsistent outcomes.
- 2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Would it be a fair way to
- 3 look at this to say that what you do at the partnership
- 4 level is anything that doesn't require looking at an
- 5 individual's tax return?
- 6 MR. STEWART: I think that's a fair way to
- 7 put it. And another way we would put it is any question
- 8 that will necessarily have the same answer for all
- 9 partners should presumptively be resolved at the
- 10 partnership level. That is, the legal issue that's
- 11 briefed in Part 2 of the parties' respective merits
- 12 briefs, we may be right, Respondent may be right, but the
- 13 answer is going to be the same for all partners. Either
- 14 this is the sort of basis overstatement that can trigger
- 15 the penalty or it isn't.
- The second practical difference that I
- 17 wanted to -- to allude to, at least briefly, between our
- 18 position and the Respondent's is that 6226(f) is the
- 19 provision that deals with the Court's jurisdiction in a
- 20 partnership level proceeding. 6221 is the provision
- 21 that tells the IRS what it's supposed to do at the
- 22 partnership level, and it also tells the IRS determine
- 23 the applicability of any penalty that's related to an
- 24 adjustment to a partnership item.
- Now -- now, one advantage of requiring the

- 1 IRS to make at least this sort of threshold
- determination of penalties at the outset is that, if the
- 3 IRS makes an adjustment to a partnership item, and the
- 4 IRS believes that it is the sort of adjustment that down
- 5 the road could trigger the imposition of penalties,
- 6 that's the sort of thing an individual partner would
- 7 want to know in deciding whether to challenge the
- 8 adjustment.
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: Is it correct that your
- 10 position would allow the IRS to evade the normal statute
- 11 of limitations?
- 12 MR. STEWART: I don't see --
- 13 JUSTICE ALITO: Or deficiency? No?
- 14 MR. STEWART: I don't see how. I'm not sure
- 15 exactly what argument you're referring to. But there
- 16 are -- I mean, there are provisions that deal with the
- 17 way the limitations periods runs, depending on when the
- 18 partnership return is filed and when the partner returns
- 19 are filed, but I don't see how that would happen.
- 20 We -- we would still be subject in assessing
- 21 penalties against any individual partners to whatever
- 22 limitations period the code provides and either we would
- 23 or would not have obtained a legal ruling on -- on the
- 24 legal issue whether the penalties are -- are the sort
- 25 that could follow from this partnership item adjustment,

- 1 but I don't think it would have implications for the
- 2 statute of limitations.
- 3 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Using the language of the
- 4 statute that you just quoted, can you explain to us what
- 5 is the adjustment of the partnership item? That is, the
- 6 statute says, "Determine the applicability of any
- 7 penalty which relates to the adjustment of a partnership
- 8 item." So what is -- what was the partnership item
- 9 adjustment?
- 10 MR. STEWART: Yes, Justice Ginsburg. This
- is on Page 6-A of the appendix to the government's
- 12 brief, and the adjustment to the partnership item is the
- 13 shamming determination. The determination that the
- 14 partnerships were not engaged in for business purposes,
- 15 that they were engaged in purely as tax avoidance
- 16 measures.
- 17 And Respondent concedes that this is a
- 18 partnership item because Respondent concedes that the
- 19 district court had authority to review the shamming
- 20 determination, decide whether that determination was
- 21 appropriate. And that concession necessarily depends
- 22 upon the proposition that the determination that the
- 23 partnerships are shams was an adjustment to a
- 24 partnership item.
- 25 And it makes sense for two reasons. First,

- 1 because the determination whether these are valid
- 2 partnerships necessarily underlies any other
- 3 determination that the IRS would make about the proper
- 4 tax treatment of items reported on the partnership
- 5 return.
- 6 And second, it is the sort of determination
- 7 that is going to have one answer for every partner,
- 8 either the partnership is a sham or it's not. But it
- 9 can't be the case that a particular partnership is a
- 10 sham with respect to some partners and not others.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What Judge Sentelle
- 12 said in his opinion for the D.C. Circuit is that
- 13 based -- agreeing with everything you've said, that
- 14 means that the misstatement of basis might be obvious on
- 15 the individual partner's returns. What's wrong with it
- 16 might be obvious, but it still is made on those returns,
- 17 and therefore, that doesn't fall as a partnership item.
- 18 MR. STEWART: Now, we would agree with Judge
- 19 Sentelle that outside basis, in and of itself, is not a
- 20 partnership item. An outside basis, in and of itself,
- 21 is not designated as one of the things that the court in
- 22 a partnership level proceeding can determine. But
- 23 there -- and usually, it would be inappropriate to
- 24 determine outside basis at that stage because,
- 25 typically, outside basis will vary from partner to

- 1 partner.
- 2 But there are some instances in which a
- 3 court needs to determine outside basis --
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: Excuse me. Why would it
- 5 vary from partner to partner?
- 6 MR. STEWART: I mean, in the -- in the more
- 7 typical case, the outside basis would depend upon the
- 8 amount that a particular partner had paid for his own
- 9 partnership interest. And so, in that situation, not
- 10 every partner would necessarily have -- have paid the
- 11 same thing. But there are fairly rare situations in
- 12 which -- in order to make some determination that is
- 13 specified in 6226(f), the court and the IRS along the
- 14 way have to determine outside basis.
- And one example we gave on page 32 of our
- 16 brief, we have a footnote that says, it's not implicated
- 17 here, but outside basis is sometimes a component of a
- 18 partnership item, such as inside basis. And we cite a
- 19 case that was ultimately decided by this Court, but it's
- 20 a case in which a partnership took advantage of code
- 21 proceedings that said you can step up your inside basis
- 22 to max the outside basis of your partner -- partners.
- 23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, isn't it your
- 24 position in this case that outside basis in this case is
- 25 necessarily related to inside basis?

1 MR. STEWART: I think -- I think --JUSTICE KENNEDY: 2 Or am I misstating that? MR. STEWART: 3 I think what we are saying is, 4 in order to determine whether the substantial valuation 5 misstatement penalty would be triggered down the road, the IRS and the Court would have to make certain --6 7 would have to decide what is the proper outside basis in 8 a sham partnership. 9 If a lawyer were asked for -- if a lawyer 10 saw an adjustment that said we regard these partnerships 11 as shams, and the lawyer were asked, does that mean that 12 I could be subject to the substantial valuation 13 misstatement penalty if I reported deductions on 14 purported losses from that partnership, the only way the 15 lawyer would answer that question is to ask, well, 16 what's true basis in a sham partnership? But -- but if we were to 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: write an opinion which says -- an opinion ruling in your 18 favor, that, in this case, outside basis is necessarily 19 20 related to inside basis in this transaction, you would 21 say that's wrong? 22 MR. STEWART: I wouldn't -- I wouldn't put 23 it that way. I mean, I think in this --24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Why -- and why is that? 25 MR. STEWART: Because I think that's not

- 1 really the reason we're saying the Court needs to -- I
- 2 pointed the Court to a different case in which outside
- 3 basis had to be determined at the partnership level for
- 4 a different reason; namely, because it was a -- in that
- 5 case, it was a component of inside basis. And since
- 6 inside basis is a partnership item, you can only
- 7 determine that partnership item by reference to outside
- 8 basis.
- 9 Here, we have a somewhat different argument.
- 10 We're saying the thing that had to be determined at the
- 11 partnership level was the applicability of the
- 12 penalties. And the only way you can decide whether the
- 13 substantial valuation misstatement penalty is applicable
- 14 is to determine what would be true basis in a sham
- 15 partnership.
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Stewart --
- 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Stewart --
- 18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If we -- if we go over to
- 19 the merits, if this case came up today, and today, we
- 20 have a penalty that wasn't there originally and that is
- 21 for a non -- noneconomic substance penalty, would --
- 22 would the government today be going under that
- 23 noneconomic substance penalty or would it be going under
- the 662(d)(3), that is the substantial valuation
- 25 misstatement?

- Or is it the government's option, it can
- 2 pick one or the other?
- 3 MR. STEWART: I think it's the government's
- 4 option. And if you -- it may be helpful to look at page
- 5 18a of the appendix to -- to the Respondent's brief
- 6 because that actually reproduces the current version of
- 7 the code that contains the 2010 penalty that -- that
- 8 you're referring to.
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If the government could
- 10 choose either one, what would determine its choice?
- 11 MR. STEWART: I mean, in some instances, the
- 12 government will -- the government will pick the one that
- 13 it thinks is easiest to prove. Some of the penalties
- 14 are limited to 20 percent, whereas some can be bumped up
- 15 to 40 percent, and we would look for the 40 percent
- 16 penalty.
- 17 But if I could, on page 18a, we're talking
- 18 about Section 6662(b), and it says, "Portion of
- 19 underpayment to which section applies." And then it
- 20 says, "This section shall apply to the portion of any
- 21 underpayment which is attributable to one or more of the
- 22 following," and then it lists six items. Subsection (3)
- 23 is the substantial valuation misstatement penalty that
- 24 we're relying on here.
- 25 Subsection (6) is a disallowance of claimed

- 1 tax benefits by reason of a transaction lacking economic
- 2 substance. That's the -- the 2010 penalty.
- Now, the two points I would make are, first,
- 4 it's very clear that many, many cases that would fall
- 5 under Subsection (6) would also fall under Subsection
- 6 (1) or (2); that is, they could involve negligence or
- 7 disregard of rules or regulations.
- 8 They could also involve a substantial
- 9 understatement of income tax, which basically means any
- 10 understatement of income tax that's 10 percent or more
- 11 of the true tax owed. And so if there's no incongruity
- 12 in saying Subsection (6) should apply to some cases
- 13 where (1) and (2) would also apply, there shouldn't be
- 14 any greater incongruity in saying it can apply to some
- 15 cases where Subsection (3) would apply.
- The other point I would make pertains to the
- 17 introductory language of that provision, and it says,
- 18 "This section shall apply to the portion of any
- 19 underpayment, which is attributable to one or more of
- 20 the following." And I think the primary practical
- 21 significance of the "one or more" language is that it
- 22 functions as an anti-stacking provision. It tells you
- 23 it doesn't matter whether your underpayment triggers
- 24 only one of these penalties or all six of them; you're
- 25 still limited to 20 percent, unless you can get the --

- 1 the 40 percent through some other provision.
- 2 So we can't take advantage of the fact that
- 3 the -- that more than one penalty applies to a
- 4 particular transaction by getting 20 percent on top of
- 5 20 percent on top of 20 percent. But the very fact that
- 6 Congress used that language "which is attributable to
- 7 one or more of the following" indicates that it
- 8 anticipated situations in which particular underpayments
- 9 would be attributable to more than one of those
- 10 penalties.
- It didn't see any anomaly in the idea that a
- 12 penalty that triggers Subsection (3) could trigger
- 13 Subsection (1) or (2). And, again, there's no reason to
- 14 think that there's a greater anomaly with respect to
- 15 Subsection (6).
- The other thing I would say is that, in this
- 17 case, (3) and -- if it arose in connection with a
- 18 transaction that occurred today, (3) and (6) would be
- 19 coterminous. Either of them would apply. But there
- 20 will be plenty of cases in which a substantial valuation
- 21 misstatement penalty on our view could be triggered by a
- 22 legal error in computing basis, such as use of the wrong
- 23 depreciation rate. That would not --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Stewart, if I
- 25 could focus at a somewhat higher level of abstraction.

- 1 I understand the general underlying thrust of your
- 2 friend's position to be that overstatement of basis goes
- 3 to -- you know, miscalculations. It was actually
- 4 \$20,000, you say it's \$40,000, and that's where the
- 5 penalty comes from.
- 6 Well, this case is quite different. We are
- 7 kind of wiping out the whole transaction, and then
- 8 you're kind of artificially saying, well, if you wipe
- 9 out the whole thing, when you come to basis it should be
- 10 this and that. And -- and it's not sort of a fraud or
- 11 misstatement with respect to the basis itself. It
- 12 follows from a broad sham determination, and that sham
- 13 determination is made at the partnership level, not the
- 14 partner level.
- 15 MR. STEWART: I quess the two things that --
- or at least two things I would say in response to that
- 17 are that here the whole point of the avoidance scheme
- 18 was to create an artificially inflated basis; that is,
- 19 the high, high basis that's claimed on the individual's
- 20 returns was not simply a fortuitous result of an
- 21 avoidance scheme that operated through some other means.
- 22 The whole point -- if you want to claim a
- 23 loss on a transaction where you didn't incur an actual
- 24 economic loss, you can do it either by under -- by
- 25 understating the amount that you were paid for the asset

- 1 or by overstating your basis. And this is one of a
- 2 number of tax avoidance schemes that operate by
- 3 overstating basis.
- 4 So it's true that the transaction was
- 5 determined to be a sham, but the sham determination was
- 6 intimately bound up with the fact that the whole purpose
- 7 of the scheme was to create an inflated basis.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I understand that,
- 9 but if you were telling people what happened here --
- 10 maybe you would -- I don't know that your first
- 11 statement would be, they overstated their basis. I
- think you would say, they engaged in a completely sham
- 13 transaction, which had -- which had some obvious, as the
- 14 D.C. Circuit put it, some obvious consequences. But
- 15 still, the -- the driving determination was that it was
- 16 a sham transaction.
- 17 MR. STEWART: I quess the -- the other
- 18 couple of points I would make are, there's nothing
- 19 illegal about engaging in a transaction that lacks
- 20 economic substance; that is, if the partners had engaged
- 21 in these offsetting currency transactions, but then had
- 22 decided before filing their return that either we -- we
- 23 no longer believe that this is right conduct or we
- 24 believe we're going to get caught, and they had prepared
- 25 their returns in a lawful way, nothing bad would have

- 1 happened to them.
- 2 The -- the thing that subjects them to
- 3 potential penalties is the fact that they claimed a
- 4 large loss on their tax returns, and they did that by
- 5 claiming a large false basis in the -- the partnership.
- 6 The second thing I would say is -- you know,
- 7 when I took math in junior high and high school, the
- 8 teacher would always tell us to show your work when you
- 9 handed in an assignment, don't just give the answer at
- 10 the end; indicate the process by which you arrived at
- 11 that number.
- 12 And in essence, when the code says impose
- 13 penalties on underpayments that are attributable to the
- 14 following things, it says -- it means we're going to
- 15 look at your work. When we determine that you have paid
- 16 too little tax, we're going to look at the calculation
- 17 process by which you arrived at the amount on your own
- 18 return and figure out where you went wrong.
- 19 And if they did that here, they would say
- 20 the mistake these taxpayers made, the reason that they didn't
- 21 pay as much tax as they owed, was not that they claimed
- 22 to have sold the assets -- it was not that they claimed
- 23 to have sold the assets for less than they actually
- 24 realized; it was that they claimed a basis that had --
- 25 had no founding in reality.

| 4 | - 1 | | - · | | _ | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|------|-------|---|-------|-----|----|--------|
| 1 | And | the | ⊥ast | thing | | would | sav | ın | ın |

- 2 connection with that is it's no accident that this
- 3 scheme operated through the creation of sham
- 4 partnerships; that is, if the taxpayers themselves had
- 5 bought the offsetting long and short currency options,
- 6 there would have been no colorable argument that they
- 7 could have claimed the costs --
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Stewart, what --
- 9 what is this case a fight about? And -- and -- I'm
- 10 sorry. Perhaps I'll just ask it on rebuttal, so you can
- 11 save your time for rebuttal.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 13 Mr. Garre.
- ORAL ARGUMENT OF GREGORY G. GARRE
- ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- 16 MR. GARRE: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,
- 17 and may it please the Court:
- On both jurisdiction and the merits, the
- 19 government is asking this Court to adopt an overly
- 20 expansive interpretation of the code to reach a result
- 21 that would upset the statutory scheme devised by
- 22 Congress and lead to further problems down the road.
- Now, on jurisdiction, I think the most
- 24 important thing for the Court to recognize is that
- outside basis, the very thing, as you can tell from my

- 1 friend's arguments on the merits, that the imposition of
- 2 this penalty depends on is not a partnership item. In
- 3 fact --
- 4 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Garre, it seems as
- 5 though you and the government agree on sort of the
- 6 nature of this problem, right, which is you have a
- 7 partnership item, which is the sham determination. That
- 8 leads to an adjustment in outside basis which, as you
- 9 just said, is not a partnership item, is instead an
- 10 affected item; and that leads to a penalty, right?
- 11 So there's kind of three things, two steps
- 12 in the process. And you say, well, that's not enough,
- 13 essentially, because the penalty has to directly relate
- 14 to a partnership item. And they say it is enough
- 15 because it's okay if it indirectly relates to the
- 16 partnership item.
- 17 And I quess the question is, in some sense,
- 18 you're both adding adjectives to the statute. You add
- 19 directly, they add indirectly. How do we pick between
- 20 those?
- 21 MR. GARRE: Well, I think the government is
- 22 asking the Court to add a great deal more than that.
- 23 Just to go to the statutory text, with the provision at
- 24 6226, and it's on page 2A of the red brief, and what
- 25 that says is that first it gives the court jurisdiction

- 1 to determine all partnership items. Everybody agrees
- 2 that outside basis is not a partnership item.
- 3 And then it gives jurisdiction to the court
- 4 to determine penalties that relate to partnership items.
- 5 And what -- and what the government is asking this Court
- 6 to do is, essentially, to read this to say that relates
- 7 to partnership items or that relates to non-partnership
- 8 affected items, like outside basis.
- 9 And the reason why the Court shouldn't do
- 10 that is, first, in a scheme that divides the world into
- 11 partnership items that can be determined at the
- 12 partnership level and non-partnership items that must go
- 13 to the partner level, when Congress says "partnership
- 14 item," that's significant.
- 15 It -- it adds defined terms of
- 16 "non-partnership item" or "affected item." It said
- 17 "partnership item." So we think that it necessarily
- 18 excluded non-partnership affected items here, and that's
- 19 the way to read it.
- 20 And second, if you read the "relates to" as
- 21 broadly as the government says, then it makes no sense.
- 22 The partnership item here might as well say "affected
- 23 item" because you're right, at some level of
- 24 abstraction, you can always say that the penalty relates
- 25 to the partnership item. That's going to be true for

- 1 lots of these.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: But it doesn't just say
- 3 partnership items. Yes, "A court in which jurisdiction
- 4 a petition is filed shall have jurisdiction to determine
- 5 all partnership items." But then it goes on, "The
- 6 proper allocation of such items among the partners and
- 7 the applicability of any penalty, addition to tax, or
- 8 additional amount which relates to an adjustment to a
- 9 partnership item."
- 10 MR. GARRE: You're right, Justice Scalia,
- 11 and --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: What -- what can that
- 13 possibly mean when you're talking about the
- 14 applicability of any penalty?
- MR. GARRE: Well, let me tell you,
- 16 Justice Scalia --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: That penalty is going to be
- 18 applicable at the partner stage.
- 19 MR. GARRE: Justice Scalia, let me answer it
- 20 this way: Partnerships can do many things, just like
- 21 individuals and corporations, and they can engage in
- 22 things that subject -- that trigger penalties. A
- 23 partnership can misreport its income. A partnership can
- 24 make a valuation misstatement. A partnership can engage
- 25 in negligence, and the court can determine those -- the

- 1 applicability of those penalties.
- Now, it's true that, down the road, in -- in
- 3 a mathematical adjustment, the court is looking to
- 4 whether or not the partner repeated that error on its
- 5 return. But what's fundamentally different about this
- 6 case is the penalty depends not on the partnership, just
- 7 the partnership item; it depends on this outside basis
- 8 determination that a court can't make. I mean, to put
- 9 it another way --
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, are there cases in
- 11 which the partnership is liable for a penalty?
- MR. GARRE: Ultimately, Your Honor, the
- 13 partner --
- 14 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I mean, I understand it's
- 15 all passed through and so forth, But suppose the
- 16 partnership does something that's a sham, that's a
- 17 fraud, and then -- and -- and files a partnership
- 18 information return with that -- with that information,
- 19 but then the partners find out, either because of a
- 20 ruling of the court that it's void or because they have
- 21 second thoughts that they're not going to do that, so
- 22 they change their individual -- they change their --
- 23 their own tax return.
- 24 Could there be any penalty against the
- 25 partnership in that instance?

- 1 MR. GARRE: Your Honor, the partners don't
- 2 actually -- the partnership does not pay the penalty,
- 3 but the partner -- the partnership --
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Would there be any penalty
- 5 against the partners in that instance that I put?
- 6 MR. GARRE: No, I don't believe so, but the
- 7 partnership --
- 8 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Would there be criminal
- 9 liability for filing a false information return?
- 10 MR. GARRE: I mean, ultimately, I think that
- 11 would trickle down to the partners. But, Your Honor, I
- 12 think there are two different schemes here. One is
- where the partnership is doing things that actually does
- 14 trigger the penalty. Take the 2010 noneconomic
- 15 substance transaction.
- 16 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But then -- then that --
- 17 that just fortifies the point that Justice Scalia made,
- 18 that applicability of the penalty, it's -- it's
- 19 always going to relate to the partners.
- 20 MR. GARRE: Yes and no, Your Honor. Yes, in
- 21 the sense that, ultimately, what you're looking in the
- 22 proper proceeding is to determine whether or not the
- 23 partner repeated the error that's on the partnership
- 24 return, but you can say, at the partnership level, that
- 25 a penalty is -- is applicable because everything is

- 1 complete. All the elements can be determined. The
- 2 partnership has misreported its income.
- In this case, you just can't say that
- 4 because outside basis isn't reported anywhere at all on
- 5 the partnership --
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: So what?
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: So what?
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: So what? That is, I mean,
- 9 as I understand it, you agree that, on the partnership
- 10 level, the IRS could say the following in a
- 11 hypothetical, I'll now give you. The partnership says
- 12 that this asset has a basis of \$10 million. We sold it
- 13 for 8. Therefore, the partnership has a loss of 2. The
- 14 IRS says the real value is not \$10 million basis, it was
- 15 a \$2 million basis, and therefore, in fact, you don't
- 16 have a loss of 2, you have a gain of 8.
- 17 Moreover, your understatement was more than
- 18 400 percent or whatever the percent is -- you know, it
- 19 was a -- it was huge. It was an \$8 million -- you know,
- 20 overstatement and, therefore, penalties of 400 percent
- 21 attach. Okay? You agree they can say that.
- 22 MR. GARRE: At the partnership level --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes.
- 24 MR. GARRE: -- because you're talking
- 25 about --

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: At the -- right correct.
- 2 MR. GARRE: -- inside basis, not outside
- 3 basis, correct.
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. I understand you're
- 5 making this, but what they've actually done there, since
- 6 it doesn't say anything about inside/outside, is they're
- 7 saying, partners, to the extent that you use this on
- 8 your own return, remember, there's a 400 percent penalty
- 9 attached. Okay. You agree they can do that.
- Now, what they've done here is they've said,
- 11 there is no partnership. So to the extent that you use
- 12 this as your -- as a basis, as you use this on your
- individual return, remember there's a 400 percent
- 14 penalty attached because 4 times zero or whatever it is,
- 15 you understand the mathematics.
- 16 So we haven't got any reference to
- 17 inside/outside basis here. In both cases, it seems to
- 18 me they're doing roughly the same thing. And so where
- in the statute does it say they can't do it? They're
- 20 saying, indeed, a penalty attaches to --
- 21 MR. GARRE: Your Honor, I think --
- 22 JUSTICE BREYER: -- the use of this
- 23 partnership by you, the partner, to reduce your taxes.
- MR. GARRE: And --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Now, to the extent you

- don't use it, of course, you don't have to pay anything,
- 2 but to the extent you use it, you have to pay whatever
- 3 it is, plus the 400 percent.
- 4 MR. GARRE: What the court in doing -- what
- 5 the court is doing in both of those situations is
- 6 fundamentally different. In one case, it's looking at
- 7 the partnership return, looking at how the partnership
- 8 reported the basis and determining that the basis
- 9 overstatement penalty would apply because of the error
- 10 committed by the partnership.
- 11 That is everything that we think the court
- 12 can do under the statutory provision we just referred
- 13 to, to determine the applicability of that penalty
- 14 because it relates to a partnership item, the
- 15 partnership's statement of its income or basis on the
- 16 partnership return.
- 17 Now, what's happening here is the partner --
- 18 the penalty is applying to the partner's statement of
- 19 basis. That outside basis doesn't appear anywhere.
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: So then the question is --
- 21 the question is do the words "partnership item" in the
- 22 section "scope of judicial review" refer only to those
- 23 items that the partnership in fact is concerned with?
- Or do they consider the partnership itself?
- 25 MR. GARRE: Right. And the three --

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: That's the issue. Okay.
- 2 MR. GARRE: And the three circuit courts
- 3 that have addressed that have agreed with us. And as
- 4 Justice --
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: We're interpreting the word
- 6 "partnership items" in that statute, and you are saying
- 7 the partnership itself is not a partnership item.
- 8 MR. GARRE: No, not at all, Your Honor.
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: What? No?
- 10 MR. GARRE: What we're saying is outside
- 11 basis is not a partnership item.
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, no, no, But I'm --
- 13 that's just a question of how they use it on the return.
- 14 There are many ways in which a person could use a
- 15 partnership item on the return. If this is a
- 16 partnership item -- I mean, a person might, for example,
- 17 have no tax, in which case --
- 18 MR. GARRE: Your Honor, I think that the
- 19 confusion maybe is between the statement at issue here.
- 20 The statement at issue in this case is the basis that
- 21 the partners reported on their individual returns as a
- 22 result of these transactions.
- 23 If you go to the partnership return and go
- 24 to page 169 of the Joint Appendix, and it may be
- 25 difficult to find now because of these fold-outs, but

- 1 you'll find what the partnership reported, and it
- 2 reported all of the transactions at issue, and it
- 3 reported accurately --
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: I understand how someone
- 5 could be confused, and I am genuinely confused. I have
- 6 read this several times.
- 7 MR. GARRE: Right, and --
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: And the reason I'm confused
- 9 is this: That I -- I understand your difference between
- 10 the outside basis and the inside basis. Now, what I'm
- 11 trying to do is to figure out, via the statute, I think
- 12 like what Justice Scalia was trying to do, I think,
- 13 where does that matter?
- MR. GARRE: Well, it matters in the scope of
- 15 jurisdiction, Your Honor. And again --
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: No, I understand that, too.
- 17 I'm just trying to get the precise words of the statute
- 18 that it would make a difference because, in common
- 19 sense, it doesn't seem to me to make much difference,
- 20 but -- but maybe in this statutory language it does, so
- 21 I want to know what words.
- 22 MR. GARRE: The words that matter is
- 23 "partnership item." This is a statutory scheme that --
- that talks about non-partnership items and partnership
- 25 items.

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. Now, you just told
- 2 me. I said that, I thought, and you said, no, it
- 3 didn't, those weren't the right words. But if you say
- 4 those are the right words, then explain to me why a
- 5 partnership item cannot include a partnership itself.
- 6 MR. GARRE: The partnership item, Your
- 7 Honor, can include the partnership. We're not -- we're
- 8 not disputing that part of the sham determination. My
- 9 point is that the imposition of the penalty depends on
- 10 an additional determination, which is a non-partnership
- 11 item. And the Court --
- 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: And in that sense,
- 13 Mr. Garre, it strikes me as wrong to say the words in
- 14 dispute are "partnership item." Actually, everybody
- 15 agrees what "partnership item" means, what it includes,
- 16 and what it doesn't include. It doesn't include outside
- 17 basis. The government is perfectly happy to concede
- 18 that.
- 19 It seems as though the words in dispute are
- 20 what does "relate to" mean and does "relate to" have to
- 21 be "relate to" in this very direct way that excludes
- 22 this intermediate step of adjusting outside basis.
- 23 MR. GARRE: Right. And the reason why --
- 24 and I think that gets back to partnership item because,
- 25 if you read "relates to" in the broad sense that the

- 1 government is asking you to read it, then, in essence,
- 2 you are adding -- you're taking away the limitation of
- 3 "partnership item," and you are adding words that says
- 4 "or affected item," because what they're saying is,
- 5 look, anytime you have a partnership item that is in any
- 6 way related to the imposition of a penalty down the
- 7 road, then you can do it.
- 8 But another way of saying that, and the way
- 9 that Congress would have said if it meant it was,
- 10 courts, you can determine the applicability of any
- 11 penalty that relates to a partnership item or an
- 12 affected item. But Congress didn't say that.
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: But it is in addition to
- 14 partnership item. You say, oh, you can't do that
- 15 because it would add to partnership items. But the
- 16 statute does not say "just partnership item." It says
- 17 "partnership items, the proper allocation of such items,
- 18 and the applicability of any penalty, addition to tax,
- 19 or additional amount."
- 20 MR. GARRE: Right.
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: It's in addition to
- 22 partnership items.
- MR. GARRE: Now --
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: And -- and it's -- it seems
- 25 to me, not enough to say, well, if you interpret that

- 1 third part to go beyond partnership items, you're
- destroying the statute. I don't think so.
- 3 MR. GARRE: Our point is the one that the
- 4 D.C. Circuit and the other circuits have adopted, which
- 5 is that to make this determination you have to go beyond
- 6 the partnership item; you have to determine a
- 7 non-partnership item, and this grant of jurisdiction --
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: When would you not have to
- 9 do that, if you are applying the third item, "the
- 10 applicability of any penalty, addition to tax, or
- 11 additional amount which relates to adjustment to a
- 12 partnership"?
- 13 MR. GARRE: Again --
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: That will always require
- 15 you to go down to the partner level.
- 16 MR. GARRE: No. When the penalty is -- is
- 17 complete based on what the partnership has done, you can
- 18 determine the applicability of the penalty. You can say
- 19 all of the elements are met because of what the
- 20 partnership did. And then, later, you're only looking
- 21 to whether or not the partners repeated that error.
- 22 Here, that's not -- that's not what's happening.
- 23 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Garre, suppose the
- 24 government had asserted this penalty under sub (6), or
- 25 the transaction lacking economic substance. Would you

- 1 be -- would you say it doesn't make any difference, it's
- 2 the same? Or would you say that, under (6), your
- 3 argument is not applicable to that and the determination
- 4 could be made at the partnership level?
- 5 MR. GARRE: We would, Your Honor. The
- 6 noneconomic substance penalty that Congress passed to
- 7 cover this situation here solves all the problems. As
- 8 to jurisdiction, courts could determine it at the
- 9 partnership level because looking to whether or not the
- 10 partnership is a sham is a partnership item.
- And so courts have jurisdiction to do that.
- 12 And of course, that solves the merits question, too,
- 13 because Congress actually addressed the situation here
- 14 on the merits. Instead, we have the government trying
- 15 to fit a square peg into a round hole.
- I mean, on jurisdiction, before I go to the
- 17 merits, I just want to talk about the practical
- 18 consequences of this ruling. It's very significant from
- 19 the standpoint of the taxpayers. What the government
- 20 wants to do is funnel all of these penalty determinations
- 21 into a computational adjustment, as opposed to the
- 22 deficiency proceeding, which is the default rule under the
- 23 statute, Section 6230.
- And from the taxpayers' perspective, that
- 25 has huge consequence. It means that the taxpayers have

- 1 to pay the refund up front, as Justice Sotomayor
- 2 recognized. That means that, even in disputed
- 3 penalties, they've got to pay all that up front, and
- 4 then that limits their ability to challenge it. It
- 5 means they can't go to the Tax Court to challenge it.
- 6 They have to do it in a more expedited fashion.
- 7 The default rule is deficiency proceedings.
- 8 That is where Congress intended these penalty issues of
- 9 the type that we have here that pertain to
- 10 non-partnership items --
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Let me ask you
- 12 something. There's no reason to go into a sham
- 13 transaction, except to misstate the outside basis in the
- 14 individual partnership level, so I -- it's low-hanging
- 15 fruit, according to the D.C. court. But why shouldn't
- 16 you be able to pick it?
- 17 MR. GARRE: Well --
- 18 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I mean, it's sort of
- 19 obvious, just as it's obvious that, if a partnership
- 20 item has a miscalculation that the partner is going to
- 21 include it in their tax return later. That's why we
- 22 permit the penalty to be imposed up front and to pay the
- 23 tax up front because you're making an assumption that
- 24 it's been included erroneously on the partner level.
- 25 MR. GARRE: And I think what you would

- 1 be doing is assuming a fact necessary to the penalty,
- 2 that outside basis was reported as zero, for purposes of
- 3 finding jurisdiction, and we don't think the court could
- 4 do that.
- 5 The government acknowledges that it -- that
- 6 it's at least possible that the taxpayer, in a fit of
- 7 conscience or having fully -- more fully understood the
- 8 transactions, would not inflate its basis, it would
- 9 report a zero basis, and yet nobody would know that, in
- 10 a partnership-level proceeding, because the partners'
- 11 outside basis isn't even before the court -- before the
- 12 IRS or the court in that proceeding.
- 13 JUSTICE BREYER: Try with me again. Again,
- 14 just try once more. Suppose that a person owes a gift
- 15 tax and what he gave to his children or whatever was in
- 16 part an interest in a partnership. Now, go back to my
- 17 example, all right, because I want to get -- my example
- 18 is everybody agrees that the 8, 10 million versus 8
- 19 million, there's a penalty attached. Well, he -- he
- 20 doesn't take that into account when he gives the gift.
- 21 Now, if he did give the gift, it would --
- 22 he'd have to pay a tax on the gift, on his gift tax
- 23 return. Okay? He -- they assess that on the -- they
- 24 would assess that, wouldn't they, even though it's a
- 25 gift tax return, not an -- not an income tax return.

- 1 MR. GARRE. Right. I mean, ultimately --
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. So no matter what
- 3 kind of return you use, no matter what the tax
- 4 situation, if the partnership real -- real value makes a
- 5 difference, you have to put it in, don't you?
- 6 MR. GARRE: In the individual return.
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, yes, in individual
- 8 gift tax return. Maybe it's in a State tax return.
- 9 Maybe it's an income tax return.
- 10 MR. GARRE: You do, but the partner --
- JUSTICE BREYER: So it affects the taxpayer
- 12 differently, and I'm just saying, why does it matter?
- MR. GARRE: Every --
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: -- that the way this
- 15 affects the taxpayer is through what you call his
- 16 outside basis. Why does that matter?
- MR. GARRE: Well, Your Honor, every
- 18 partner's outside basis is going to vary in the typical
- 19 situation.
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, yes. But of
- 21 course, any -- in my example, too, it will vary. Of
- 22 course, it will vary. Some people will use -- have no
- 23 tax to pay, no extra tax, because their -- their income
- 24 tax that they paid was zero. In fact, the government
- 25 owed them a refund, so it didn't matter. It varies in

- 1 many ways.
- 2 So since it varies in many ways and varies
- 3 by many returns, it might vary depending upon whether it
- 4 affected your outside basis or something else.
- 5 MR. GARRE: And the fact that it can vary,
- 6 Your Honor, is one of the reasons why Congress wanted
- 7 these determinations made at the partner level.
- 8 And another thing on the jurisdictional
- 9 question. I don't think the Court could resolve this
- 10 question looking only to the sham partnership situation
- 11 here. Sometimes, transactions are shams; sometimes,
- 12 partnerships are shammed. And the jurisdictional question
- or answer to the question should apply across the board.
- 14 And yet, if you have a situation where you
- 15 have only a transaction shammed, then even the government
- 16 would have to acknowledge that basis could be affected
- 17 in many different ways in that situation. And, again,
- 18 getting back --
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: Couldn't the government
- 20 have pursued this, instead of saying -- you know, it's a
- 21 sham partnership, just -- just -- couldn't the
- 22 government simply have said that the partnership
- 23 overstated its basis?
- 24 MR. GARRE: It couldn't because -- and it
- 25 didn't because, again, if you go back to page 186 -- 169

- of the Joint Appendix, everything about these
- 2 transactions is accurately reported on that form which
- 3 is in the partnership return. The partnership actually
- 4 reported a gain on these transactions.
- 5 The error comes in at the partner level and
- 6 is only on the partner return in this situation. And
- 7 that's why you can't determine outside basis at the
- 8 partnership level, and that's why you can't determine
- 9 the applicability of this penalty at the partnership
- 10 level.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: Now, I have a second
- 12 question, which I asked your friend as well. Is he
- 13 correct that, if we rule for you, each partner may have
- 14 a different result because different courts will find
- 15 this to be a sham or not to be a sham?
- MR. GARRE: No, no, Your Honor, in this
- 17 sense, if this Court resolves the merits question, then
- 18 that -- that ruling whether the penalty applies or not
- 19 in this context is going to apply to all partners. So
- 20 that -- that issue is not going to vary by partner.
- 21 What can happen by partner is different
- 22 partners may have different outside basis. Even in this
- 23 situation, my friend acknowledged you could have a
- 24 partner that, nevertheless, reports zero as his basis in
- 25 this situation and not the inflated basis

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, but why -- why
- 2 wouldn't -- couldn't one court say I don't think it's a
- 3 sham partnership?
- 4 MR. GARRE: Well, that determination, Your
- 5 Honor, is being made at the partnership level, and we
- 6 agree that it can be made at that level, and that
- 7 determination applies to all the partners. There is no
- 8 inconsistency about that.
- 9 The only question here is whether the -- the
- 10 partnership level court can determine the applicability
- 11 of the -- the basis misstatement penalty as the
- 12 government calls it. And -- and it doesn't have
- 13 jurisdiction to do that because it depends on that
- 14 outside basis to do it.
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: But I think that --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You say that -- you
- 17 say that that's not true because individual partners may
- 18 respond differently to the partnership determination
- 19 with respect to basis.
- 20 MR. GARRE: Yes.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Some of them are
- 22 going to put in something else, but somebody may put in
- 23 zero for a number of the reasons that the IRS's counsel
- 24 suggested.
- MR. GARRE: Yes.

- 1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And now, I suspect
- 2 that those will be only in rare circumstances, and I
- 3 guess that's why the D.C. Circuit said, even though the
- 4 result here may be obvious, it nonetheless depends on
- 5 the outside basis determination.
- 6 MR. GARRE: Exactly.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And I think what I
- 8 understand your friend to be saying is it's not just
- 9 that it's obvious, but it's ineluctable, and therefore,
- 10 it doesn't depend on the outside partnership
- 11 determinations.
- So does your case hinge on the perhaps
- 13 unusual situations where you have one of these partners
- 14 having a fit of conscience and decides to put down the
- 15 real number or has some other adjustment to it?
- 16 MR. GARRE: I -- I think largely, yes, but
- 17 if I can explain that. First, that presents the
- 18 low-hanging fruit situation the D.C. Circuit resolved.
- 19 And we think they were right to say, even if you think
- 20 it's low hanging, you're forbidden to pick it.
- 21 Second, here, the whole partnership is
- 22 shammed, but there's certainly cases where individual
- 23 transactions are shammed. And if individual
- 24 transactions are shammed, then the -- the outside basis
- 25 can vary widely based on the individual circumstances of

- 1 the partners.
- 2 And so there, in that situation, it's not at
- 3 all obvious or -- or necessarily true that the basis is
- 4 going to be overstated. You have to look. And, again,
- 5 that's why it's a completely separate
- 6 determination made -- partner level.
- 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you give me a
- 8 concrete example? Because I'm not quite sure about what
- 9 you're talking about.
- 10 MR. GARRE: Well, you could have a
- 11 partnership, Your Honor, that engages in many
- 12 transactions. And the IRS would determine that one of
- 13 the many transactions that it entered into was a sham.
- 14 That particular transaction was only designed for tax
- 15 purposes, but other transactions that it engaged in were
- 16 legitimate.
- Now, in this case, the IRS is saying that
- 18 the whole -- everything the partnership did is a sham.
- 19 But in my case, some transactions are okay, some are
- 20 different. In that case, the individual partners'
- 21 outside basis, they may have -- they may have tried to
- 22 take advantage of the sham transaction, but yet, all the
- 23 other transactions affect their basis as well in the
- 24 partnership.
- 25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm -- I'm a little

- 1 confused on this example. Presumably, it's only if they
- 2 carried forward, which we're assuming they would have
- 3 done, carried forward the outside basis, the penalty
- 4 would have been determined just on that one transaction.
- 5 MR. GARRE: No, because, Your Honor, again,
- 6 the penalty is based on what the individual partner
- 7 claims as his basis, and that partner is going to be
- 8 looking to everything that goes into his partnership
- 9 interest, the costs or investment in the partnership,
- 10 pertaining not only to the one transaction that we have
- 11 hypothesized has been shammed, but many other
- 12 transactions as well.
- 13 So you -- you can't conclude either that
- 14 there's been any misstatement or that any misstatement
- 15 triggers the valuation misstatement penalty here.
- 16 If I could talk a little bit about the
- 17 merits, on the merits, our fundamental question is that
- 18 the valuation misstatement penalty that Congress devised
- 19 in 1981 was not intended at all to apply to the
- 20 fundamentally different situation here where the
- 21 government is claiming not that you misstated the
- 22 correct amount of the value or that you didn't have an
- 23 accurate amount of the value or the number that you put
- 24 for basis or value, but that the thing that's the
- 25 subject of the valuation or the basis doesn't exist at

- 1 all.
- I mean, we know if you look at the -- the
- 3 pre-enactment history, the post-enactment history, we
- 4 know that this is not what Congress had in mind. If you
- 5 look at the pre-enactment history, it's all about
- 6 resolving a problem of a backlog of cases where
- 7 taxpayers were misvaluing property and the tax would --
- 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, that was the
- 9 prototypical case, Mr. Garre. There's no question that
- 10 that's the central case that -- that Congress had in
- 11 mind, but it doesn't have to be the only case.
- 12 And they wrote words that seem to be
- 13 applicable to this case, as well as to the kind of case
- 14 that you're talking about.
- 15 MR. GARRE: Your Honor, they have basis, and
- 16 we have context, punctuation, pre-enactment history,
- 17 post-enactment history, and structure.
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: I'm sorry. You're saying
- 19 they have text, and you have a bunch of other things.
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 MR. GARRE: No, not at all, Your Honor,
- 22 because this is a valuation misstatement penalty. The
- 23 reference to "or adjusted basis" comes in a
- 24 parenthetical, subordinate way.
- 25 And let me give you a hypothetical. One of

- 1 my associates came up with a good example, I think. If
- 2 you had a contract for a wedding that provided for
- 3 flowers or plants in parentheses, you would -- you would
- 4 understand that to mean flowers or plants like -- like
- 5 lilies or ferns that would accompany flowers in the
- 6 wedding. You wouldn't read that to include an oak tree
- 7 in the middle of the reception area.
- 8 Well, the government's basis overstatement
- 9 penalty is the oak tree in the middle of the reception
- 10 area here. The most common situation in which basis
- 11 misstatements are made, the government acknowledges
- 12 throughout its brief, is where you misstate the price or
- 13 cost of a good. And yet, they're moving -- which is --
- 14 which is why the reference to adjusted basis makes sense
- in the statutory scheme here. It covers that situation.
- But -- but they're saying, you don't need
- 17 to -- it goes far beyond that, not only to the prosaic
- 18 situation as they call it, but to a situation where
- 19 you're not complaining about whether the thing -- what
- 20 the correct number is or what the correct amount is.
- 21 You're saying the thing doesn't exist at all.
- I mean, if I donate a painting that I say is
- 23 worth \$1 million to a church, and I put that on my
- 24 return, but, in fact, it turns out that I didn't donate
- 25 the painting, I may have committed a fraud. I may have

- 1 lied about contributing the painting, but I haven't made
- 2 a valuation misstatement, nor have I misstated my basis.
- 3 And I think our -- our position is here
- 4 that, if you look at everything, as I mentioned, the
- 5 words of the statute, the context in which a basis is --
- 6 appears, the structure, there's a graduated scheme that
- 7 makes no sense with a zero basis situation, which is
- 8 essentially a nullity.
- 9 If you look at the fact that Congress
- 10 addressed this in 2010, not by amending the valuation
- 11 misstatement penalty, but by enacting a penalty designed
- 12 to apply to this situation, the noneconomic transaction
- 13 situation.
- 14 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So just to be clear, if
- 15 this is -- 6 had been on the books, then you would have
- 16 no quarrel with the government's position, they could do
- 17 this at the partnership level and --
- 18 MR. GARRE: Yes, absolutely. That's the way
- 19 Congress designed it. And unless --
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: I didn't get the question.
- 21 If -- if what was --
- 22 MR. GARRE: If the noneconomic substance
- 23 transaction penalty that was enacted in 2010 was on the
- 24 books, what would happen is a court could determine the
- 25 applicability of that penalty, which is based on what

- 1 the partnership did at the partnership proceeding, and
- 2 we would agree that penalty applies.
- 3 All the problems are solved by what Congress
- 4 did to address this particular situation. The
- 5 government is trying to put that square peg in a round
- 6 hole.
- 7 And if you add everything up, I think
- 8 what's -- what's interesting about the government's
- 9 reply brief is it doesn't contest that -- that if
- 10 there's any ambiguity here, the statute has to be read
- in favor of the taxpayer. And that's because of the
- 12 canon that this Court has recognized that tax penalties
- 13 are strictly construed in favor of the taxpayer.
- 14 Here, at a bare minimum, there is ambiguity
- 15 as to whether the Congress that passed the valuation
- 16 misstatement penalty ever intended it to apply to this
- 17 fundamentally different situation where no one disagrees
- 18 about the numbers reported on the return.
- 19 Again, if you go to the partnership return,
- 20 the transactions are accurately reported. If you go to
- 21 the outside basis, it's true that they reported a loss,
- 22 but that's because they were following the IRS' rules
- 23 about how you treat contingent liabilities. So that
- 24 number is actually accurate under the IRS' rules.
- 25 That's why the IRS has to come up with a

- 1 sham to get rid of the property altogether and say that
- 2 we are going to pretend that it doesn't exist at all.
- But, again, that's not a valuation
- 4 misstatement. When the penalty talks about correct
- 5 amounts, about accuracy, about value, it's trying to get
- 6 at the number that the thing is worth. It is not
- 7 concerned with a situation in which the IRS is claiming
- 8 that the property doesn't exist at all.
- 9 That -- that is a different problem.
- 10 Congress addressed it in a direct way, in a noneconomic
- 11 substance penalty. So this Court doesn't have to worry
- 12 about this problem being unaddressed.
- But what it should do is correctly interpret
- 14 the penalty that Congress enacted, which was on the
- 15 books when these events occurred, which is the valuation
- 16 misstatement penalty it is not the all-encompassing basis
- 17 overstatement penalty.
- 18 I think, if you're going to read one of the
- 19 amicus briefs, read the Shakow amicus brief. It talks
- 20 about all the additional situations, which IRS or
- 21 Congress never applied this penalty to which would be
- 22 swept in by the government's position here today.
- 23 Thank you very much.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Stewart, you have five minutes left.

| 1 | REBUTTAL | ARGUMENT | OF. | MALCOLM | ⊥. | STEWART |
|---|----------|----------|-----|---------|----|---------|
| | | | | | | |

- 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- 3 MR. STEWART: Mr. Chief Justice.
- 4 Justice Kagan, I agree with your point that,
- 5 on the jurisdictional issue, the crucial contested
- 6 language is "relates to," and the issue is whether the
- 7 basis overstatement penalty here relates to the sham --
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: How does it not?
- 9 "Partnership items" is defined to include legal and
- 10 factual determinations that underlie the determination,
- 11 among other things, of income, credit, gain/loss.
- Okay. Whether there is a partnership at all
- 13 does underlie the determination of whether the
- 14 partnership return, which had all kinds of numbers on
- 15 it, if it shows anything.
- 16 MR. STEWART: That's correct.
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. So therefore,
- 18 it's a partnership item. Does this penalty relate to a
- 19 partnership item? I don't want to say that you are
- 20 right for the wrong reasons, so you better be sure I'm
- 21 right.
- 22 That is, the -- the -- does it relate to a
- 23 partnership item? I just told you what a partnership
- 24 item was. It certainly seems to because zero is what it
- 25 relates to.

1 MR. STEWART: I mean --2 JUSTICE BREYER: End of case? CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Perhaps --3 4 JUSTICE BREYER: It can't be that simple. 5 We have three courts here --CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Let me -- let me 6 7 pose perhaps a less friendly question. 8 (Laughter.) CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What do you do with 9 your friend's hypothetical? On the tax returns, you 10 11 say, I gave a painting to a charity worth a million 12 dollars. Andin fact, he did not. 13 And he says, what you are doing is you are 14 going to go in and say, That wasn't worth a million 15 dollars; it was worth nothing, when, in fact, what you 16 should be saying is, You didn't give the painting at 17 all. MR. STEWART: I think this is a different 18 situation because the IRS did not determine that the 19 20 underlying transactions, the purchases and sale of currency options and so forth, didn't occur. It 21 22 determined that the partnerships were shams. And I 23 think that this is an important point. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well -- but if you 24 25 determine that the partnerships were shams, that's like

- 1 saying that there were no partnerships.
- 2 MR. STEWART: There were no partnerships --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And if you
- 4 say -- you know, I didn't really give the painting, that
- 5 means that there wasn't any painting. It seems to me
- 6 they're pretty closely parallel.
- 7 MR. STEWART: But what the FPAA also said
- 8 was, because there were no partnerships, the
- 9 transactions should be treated as though they had --
- 10 were engaged in by the individual partners.
- 11 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Maybe it was a frame with
- 12 a blank canvas.
- MR. STEWART: Well -- and I -- and I think,
- 14 as -- as I was starting to say at the close of my
- opening argument, it's no accident that partnerships
- 16 were used to effectuate this scheme because, if the
- 17 individuals had bought and sold the offsetting foreign
- 18 currency options, they would have had no colorable
- 19 rationale for contending that they were entitled to a
- 20 deduction for the cost of the long option, but they were
- 21 not required to treat as income the amount they received
- 22 from the short option.
- 23 It would have been absolutely clear that the
- 24 transaction, taken as a whole, was a wash. The only way
- 25 that they could try to create the appearance of a paper

- 1 loss was by manipulating the rules that govern the
- 2 computation of basis in partnerships. And so the
- 3 shamming determination, in effect, was a determination
- 4 that, for tax purposes, you can't try to take advantage
- 5 of the Helmer rule that says that, for computing basis
- 6 in a partnership, we will ignore the -- the contingent
- 7 liability created by the short option.
- 8 The -- the one thing -- other thing I would
- 9 say on the merits as to why we care about this case is
- 10 that Respondent's argument doesn't just go to -- on --
- on Subsection 3, doesn't just go to basis overstatements
- 12 that are produced through sham transactions. It goes to
- 13 all basis overstatements that are produced through legal
- 14 errors. And I think that --
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Do you -- do you
- 16 agree that the new legislation completely resolves this
- 17 problem?
- 18 MR. STEWART: It completely resolves the
- 19 specific problem posed by this -- almost completely
- 20 resolves the specific problem.
- 21 Subsection 6 undoubtedly would cover this
- 22 case. Now, Subsection 6, the trigger for having a
- 23 40 percent penalty, rather than a 20 percent penalty is
- 24 slightly different. Under Subsection 6, you are -- if
- 25 you disclose the relevant information on your tax

- 1 return, then even if it's later determined that the
- 2 transaction lacked economic substance, you would be
- 3 subject only to the 20 percent penalty.
- 4 Under Subsection 3, you can get the
- 5 40 percent if the overstatement is 400 percent or more
- 6 regardless of disclosure. But it almost completely
- 7 covers it. But other -- other --
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you -- could you
- 9 go back just one moment to the practical point that your
- 10 brother made? Is this issue only about whether you
- 11 collect the tax beforehand or after? Because he says
- 12 that they are bound in a partner-level proceeding to the
- 13 finding that the outside basis was -- benefit was
- 14 claimed in the partnership level it was zero.
- 15 MR. STEWART: With respect to jurisdiction,
- 16 the question simply goes to the allocation of
- 17 responsibilities between the partnership-level court and
- 18 the partner-level court.
- Now, when he says we are trying to avoid
- 20 deficiency proceedings, I -- I think it ignores the fact
- 21 that, under our reading, the important legal objections
- 22 that Respondent has made to the penalty, namely the arguments
- 23 that are set forth in Part 2 of their brief, can resolve -
- 24 under our theory, can be resolved at the partnership level
- 25 without prepayment of penalties.

| 1 | CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: | | Thank | you, | counsel. |
|----|-------------------------------|----------|---------|------|----------|
| 2 | The case is submitted. | | | | |
| 3 | (Whereupon, at 11:03 a.r | n., the | case in | the | |
| 4 | above-entitled matter was sub | omitted. |) | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |

| ability 39:4 able 39:16 aboveentitled 1:12 58:4 absolutely 10:3,6 50:18 55:23 abstraction 20:25 26:24 accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjuctives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 adjustment 6:14 | |
|---|------------------|
| able 39:16 aboveentitled 1:12 58:4 absolutely 10:3,6 50:18 55:23 abstraction 20:25 26:24 accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | A |
| aboveentitled 1:12 58:4 absolutely 10:3,6 50:18 55:23 abstraction 20:25 26:24 accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 58:4 absolutely 10:3,6 50:18 55:23 abstraction 20:25 26:24 accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| absolutely 10:3,6 50:18 55:23 abstraction 20:25 26:24 accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 50:18 55:23 abstraction 20:25 26:24 accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| abstraction 20:25 26:24 accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 26:24 accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| accident 24:2 55:15 accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| accompany 49:5 account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| account 40:20 accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| accuracy 52:5 accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| accurate 47:23 51:24 accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| accurately 34:3 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 43:2 51:20 acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| acknowledge 42:16 acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| acknowledged 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 43:23 acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | <u> </u> |
| acknowledges 40:5 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 49:11 action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| action 7:1 actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | 0 |
| actual 21:23 add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| add 25:18,19,22 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 36:15 51:7 adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| adding 25:18 36:2 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 36:3 addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| addition 27:7 36:13 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | _ |
| 36:18,21 37:10 additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| additional 5:8 27:8 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 35:10 36:19 37:11 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 52:20 address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| address 51:4 addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| addressed 8:24 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 33:3 38:13 50:10 52:10 adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| adds 26:15 adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| adjectives 25:18 adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| adjudicated 8:15 adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | adjectives 25:18 |
| adjudication 8:17 8:19 adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| adjusted 48:23 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| 49:14 adjusting 35:22 | |
| adjusting 35:22 | |
| | 49:14 |
| adjustment 6:14 | |
| | adjustment 6:14 |
| | |

| 8:14 11:24 12:3,4 12:8,25 13:5,7,9 13:12,23 16:10 25:8 27:8 28:3 37:11 38:21 45:15 adjustments 10:17 administrative 6:14 8:14 adopt 24:19 adopted 37:4 advantage 11:25 |
|--|
| 15:20 20:2 46:22 |
| 56:4 advice 9:15 |
| affect 46:23 affirmed 5:21 |
| agree 6:3,3 14:18 25:5 30:9,21 31:9 44:6 51:2 53:4 56:16 |
| agreed 5:22,24 33:3 |
| agreeing 14:13 agreement 6:8 |
| agrees 7:10 26:1 |
| 35:15 40:18 al 1:7 |
| alito 12:9,13 |
| allencompassing |
| 52:16 |
| allocation 27:6 |
| 36:17 57:16 |
| allow 12:10 |
| allude 11:17 altogether 52:1 |
| ambiguity 51:10,14 |
| amending 50:10 |
| amicus 52:19,19 |
| amount 4:4,17 5:16 |
| 6:10,22 15:8 21:25 23:17 27:8 36:19 37:11 47:22 47:23 49:20 55:21 |
| amounts 52:5 |
| andin 54:12 |
| anomaly 20:11,14 |

| answer 11:8,13 |
|--|
| 14:7 16:15 23:9 |
| 27:19 42:13 |
| anticipated 20:8 |
| antistacking 19:22 |
| anytime 36:5 appear 32:19 |
| appear 32.19 appearance 55:25 |
| appearances 1:15 |
| appears 50:6 |
| appendix 13:11 |
| 18:5 33:24 43:1 |
| applicability 5:3 |
| 7:21 8:4,21 11:23 |
| 13:6 17:11 27:7 |
| 27:14 28:1 29:18 |
| 32:13 36:10,18 |
| 37:10,18 43:9 |
| 44:10 50:25 |
| applicable 8:7 |
| 17:13 27:18 29:25 |
| 38:3 48:13 |
| applied 52:21 |
| applies 3:12 7:8 18:19 20:3 43:18 |
| 44:7 51:2 |
| apply 9:3 18:20 |
| 19:12,13,14,15,18 |
| 20:19 32:9 42:13 |
| 43:19 47:19 50:12 |
| 51:16 |
| applying 32:18 |
| 37:9 |
| appropriate 3:22 |
| 13:21 |
| area 49:7,10 |
| argue 8:9 |
| argument 1:13 2:2 |
| 2:5,8 3:4,7 7:5 |
| 12:15 17:9 24:6 24:14 38:3 53:1 |
| 55:15 56:10 |
| arguments 10:22 |
| 25:1 57:22 |
| arose 20:17 |
| arrived 23:10,17 |
| |

| artificially 21:8,18 |
|---------------------------|
| asked 16:9,11 |
| 43:12 |
| asking 24:19 25:22 |
| 26:5 36:1 |
| asserted 37:24 |
| |
| assess 6:12 10:19 |
| 40:23,24 |
| assessed 6:24 8:10 |
| assessing 12:20 |
| asset 21:25 30:12 |
| assets 23:22,23 |
| assignment 23:9 |
| |
| associates 49:1 |
| assuming 40:1 47:2 |
| assumption 39:23 |
| attach 30:21 |
| attached 31:9,14 |
| 40:19 |
| attaches 31:20 |
| |
| attention 3:19 |
| attributable 18:21 |
| 19:19 20:6,9 |
| 23:13 |
| authority 3:17 |
| 13:19 |
| avoid 57:19 |
| avoidance 13:15 |
| |
| 21:17,21 22:2 |
| В |
| |
| b 18:18 |
| back 35:24 40:16 |
| 42:18,25 57:9 |
| backlog 48:6 |
| bad 22:25 |
| bare 51:14 |
| based 14:13 37:17 |
| |
| 45:25 47:6 50:25 |
| basically 19:9 |
| basis 3:13 5:13 9:3 |
| 9:9,25 10:15 |
| 11:14 14:14,19,20 |
| 14:24,25 15:3,7 |
| 15:14,17,18,21,22 |
| |
| 15:24,25 16:7,16 |

16:19,20 17:3,5,6 17:8,14 20:22 21:2,9,11,18,19 22:1,3,7,11 23:5 23:24 24:25 25:8 26:2,8 28:7 30:4 30:12,14,15 31:2 31:3,12,17 32:8,8 32:15,19,19 33:11 33:20 34:10,10 35:17,22 39:13 40:2,8,9,11 41:16 41:18 42:4,16,23 43:7,22,24,25 44:11,14,19 45:5 45:24 46:3,21,23 47:3,7,24,25 48:15,23 49:8,10 49:14 50:2,5,7 51:21 52:16 53:7 56:2,5,11,13 57:13 behalf 1:17,19 2:4 2:7,10 3:8 24:15 53:2 believe 22:23,24 29:6 believes 12:4 **benefit** 57:13 benefits 19:1 **best** 5:19 **better** 53:20 **beyond** 9:6 37:1,5 49:17 **bit** 47:16 **blank** 55:12 **board** 42:13 **books** 50:15,24 52:15 **bought** 24:5 55:17 **bound** 22:6 57:12 breyer 30:6,8,23 31:1,4,22,25 32:20 33:1,5,9,12 34:4,8,16 35:1 40:13 41:2,7,11

| | I | | I | I |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 41:14,20 53:8,17 | caught 22:24 | collect 57:11 | consequence 38:25 | 44:10 50:24 51:12 |
| 54:2,4 | cause 7:15 | colorable 24:6 | consequences | 52:11 57:17,18 |
| brief 4:14 5:25 | central 48:10 | 55:18 | 22:14 38:18 | courts 3:19 5:22 |
| 13:12 15:16 18:5 | certain 8:2 16:6 | come 21:9 51:25 | consider 32:24 | 6:7 11:19 33:2 |
| 25:24 49:12 51:9 | certainly 45:22 | comes 21:5 43:5 | consistent 4:11 | 36:10 38:8,11 |
| 52:19 57:23 | 53:24 | 48:23 | 7:18 | 43:14 54:5 |
| briefed 11:11 | challenge 7:2 12:7 | committed 32:10 | construed 51:13 | cover 38:7 56:21 |
| briefly 11:17 | 39:4,5 | 49:25 | contains 18:7 | covers 49:15 57:7 |
| briefs 8:25 10:23 | challenged 5:6 | common 34:18 | contend 7:22 | create 21:18 22:7 |
| 11:12 52:19 | challenges 8:2 | 49:10 | contending 55:19 | 55:25 |
| broad 21:12 35:25 | challenging 6:25 | complaining 49:19 | contest 51:9 | created 56:7 |
| broadly 26:21 | change 28:22,22 | complete 4:23 9:18 | contested 53:5 | creation 24:3 |
| brother 57:10 | character 8:19 | 30:1 37:17 | context 43:19 48:16 | credit 53:11 |
| bumped 18:14 | charity 54:11 | completely 22:12 | 50:5 | criminal 29:8 |
| bunch 48:19 | chief 3:3,9 14:11 | 46:5 56:16,18,19 | contingent 51:23 | crucial 53:5 |
| business 13:14 | 20:24 22:8 24:12 | 57:6 | 56:6 | currency 6:17 |
| <u>C</u> | 24:16 44:16,21 | component 15:17 | contract 49:2 | 22:21 24:5 54:21 |
| | 45:1,7 52:24 53:3 | 17:5 | contributing 50:1 | 55:18 |
| c 1:9,17,19 2:1 3:1 | 54:3,6,9,24 55:3 | computation 56:2 | corporations 27:21 | current 18:6 |
| 7:16 14:12 22:14 | 56:15 58:1 | computational | correct 12:9 31:1,3 | D |
| 37:4 39:15 45:3 | children 40:15 | 38:21 | 43:13 47:22 49:20 | |
| 45:18 | choice 18:10 | computing 20:22 | 49:20 52:4 53:16 | d 1:9,17,19 3:1 |
| calculation 23:16 | choose 18:10 | 56:5 | correctly 52:13 | 14:12 17:24 22:14 |
| call 41:15 49:18 | church 49:23 | concede 35:17 | cost 49:13 55:20 | 37:4 39:15 45:3 |
| calls 44:12 | circuit 14:12 22:14 | concedes 13:17,18 | costs 24:7 47:9 | 45:18 |
| canon 51:12 | 33:2 37:4 45:3,18 | concerned 32:23 | coterminous 20:19 | deal 12:16 25:22 |
| cant 5:13 14:9 20:2 28:8 30:3 31:19 | circuits 37:4 | 52:7 | couldnt 7:4 42:19 | deals 11:19 decide 3:17 13:20 |
| | circumstances 45:2 | concession 13:21 | 42:21,24 44:2 | 16:7 17:12 |
| 36:14 39:5 43:7,8 47:13 54:4 56:4 | 45:25 | conclude 47:13 | counsel 24:12 | decided 15:19 |
| canvas 55:12 | cite 15:18 | concrete 46:8 | 44:23 52:24 58:1 | 22:22 |
| canvas 55.12 care 56:9 | claim 5:11 6:6 | conduct 22:23 | counts 9:24 | decides 45:14 |
| carried 47:2,3 | 21:22 | confused 34:5,5,8 | couple 22:18 | deciding 12:7 |
| case 3:4,11 4:1 9:10 | claimed 6:1 18:25 | 47:1 | course 32:1 38:12 | decision 5:12,17 |
| 1 | 21:19 23:3,21,22 | confusion 33:19 | 41:21,22 | deduction 6:1 |
| 14:9 15:7,19,20 15:24,24 16:19 | 23:24 24:7 57:14 | congress 7:19,25 | court 1:1,13 3:10 | 55:20 |
| 17:2,5,19 20:17 | claiming 5:15 23:5 | 8:4 20:6 24:22 | 3:16 4:6 5:21,24 | deductions 6:6 |
| 21:6 24:9 28:6 | 47:21 52:7 | 26:13 36:9,12 | 7:5,10,20 8:23 9:1 | 16:13 |
| 30:3 32:6 33:17 | claims 47:7 | 38:6,13 39:8 42:6 | 9:5 13:19 14:21 | default 38:22 39:7 |
| 33:20 45:12 46:17 | clear 19:4 50:14 | 47:18 48:4,10 | 15:3,13,19 16:6 | defects 7:15 |
| 46:19,20 48:9,10 | 55:23 | 50:9,19 51:3,15 | 17:1,2 24:17,19 | deficiency 4:18,25 |
| 48:11,13,13 54:2 | clearly 7:18 | 52:10,14,21 | 24:24 25:22,25 | 5:6 12:13 38:22 |
| 56:9,22 58:2,3 | close 55:14 | congresss 7:18 | 26:3,5,9 27:3,25 | 39:7 57:20 |
| cases 19:4,12,15 | closely 55:6 | connection 3:14 6:1 | 28:3,8,20 32:4,5 | defined 26:15 53:9 |
| 20:20 28:10 31:17 | code 12:22 15:20 | 6:6 20:17 24:2 | 32:11 35:11 39:5 | department 1:17 |
| 45:22 48:6 | 18:7 23:12 24:20 | conscience 40:7 | 39:15 40:3,11,12 | depend 15:7 45:10 |
| 13.22 70.0 | cold 9:14 | 45:14 | 42:9 43:17 44:2 | асрена 15.7 т5.10 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| depending 12:17 | 47:22 49:24 50:20 | drive 1:7 | examine 6:4 | finding 40:3 57:13 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 42:3 | 54:16,21 55:4 | driving 22:15 | example 15:15 | first 3:4,21 4:21 |
| depends 13:21 25:2 | difference 8:12,18 | | 33:16 40:17,17 | 7:13,17,25 8:2,12 |
| 28:6,7 35:9 44:13 | 10:7 11:16 34:9 | E | 41:21 46:8 47:1 | 13:25 19:3 22:10 |
| 45:4 | 34:18,19 38:1 | e 2:1 3:1,1 | 49:1 | 25:25 26:10 45:17 |
| depreciation 20:23 | 41:5 | easiest 18:13 | excluded 26:18 | fit 38:15 40:6 45:14 |
| deputy 1:16 | different 8:5 10:2,5 | economic 19:1 | excludes 35:21 | five 52:25 |
| designated 14:21 | 10:24,24,25 17:2 | 21:24 22:20 37:25 | excuse 4:13 15:4 | flowers 49:3,4,5 |
| designed 46:14 | 17:4,9 21:6 28:5 | 57:2 | exist 47:25 49:21 | focus 3:19 20:25 |
| 50:11,19 | 29:12 32:6 42:17 | effect 56:3 | 52:2,8 | foldouts 33:25 |
| destroying 37:2 | 43:14,14,21,22 | effectuate 55:16 | expansive 24:20 | follow 12:25 |
| determination 4:2 | 46:20 47:20 51:17 | either 11:13 12:22 | expedited 39:6 | following 18:22 |
| 4:8 5:15 8:6 9:6 | 52:9 54:18 56:24 | 14:8 18:10 20:19 | explain 5:19 8:12 | 19:20 20:7 23:14 |
| 10:17 12:2 13:13 | differently 41:12 | 21:24 22:22 28:19 | 13:4 35:4 45:17 | 30:10 51:22 |
| 13:13,20,20,22 | 44:18 | 47:13 | extent 31:7,11,25 | follows 21:12 |
| 14:1,3,6 15:12 | difficult 33:25 | elements 30:1 | 32:2 | footnote 15:16 |
| 21:12,13 22:5,15 | direct 35:21 52:10 | 37:19 | extra 41:23 | forbidden 45:20 |
| 25:7 28:8 35:8,10 | directly 25:13,19 | enacted 50:23 | | foreign 55:17 |
| 37:5 38:3 44:4,7 | disagrees 51:17 | 52:14 | F | form 43:2 |
| 44:18 45:5 46:6 | disallowance 18:25 | enacting 50:11 | f 11:18 15:13 | format 8:16 |
| 53:10,13 56:3,3 | disclose 56:25 | engage 27:21,24 | fact 6:6 20:2,5 22:6 | forth 28:15 54:21 |
| determinations | disclosure 57:6 | engaged 6:18 13:14 | 23:3 25:3 30:15 | 57:23 |
| 6:21 38:20 42:7 | dispute 35:14,19 | 13:15 22:12,20 | 32:23 40:1 41:24 | fortifies 29:17 |
| 45:11 53:10 | disputed 39:2 | 46:15 55:10 | 42:5 49:24 50:9 | fortuitous 21:20 |
| determine 6:10 9:1 | disputing 35:8 | engages 46:11 | 54:12,15 57:20 | forward 47:2,3 |
| 11:22 13:6 14:22 | disregard 19:7 | engaging 22:19 | factual 53:10 | founding 23:25 |
| 14:24 15:3,14 | district 13:19 | entered 46:13 | fair 11:2,6 | fpaa 6:13 10:15,16 |
| 16:4 17:7,14 | divides 26:10 | entitled 5:16 55:19 | fairly 15:11 | 55:7 |
| 18:10 23:15 26:1 | doesnt 11:4 14:17 | erroneous 9:24 | faith 7:15 | frame 55:11 |
| 26:4 27:4,25 | 19:23 27:2 31:6 | erroneously 7:23 | fall 14:17 19:4,5 | fraud 21:10 28:17 |
| 29:22 32:13 36:10 | 32:19 34:19 35:16 | 8:10 39:24 | false 23:5 29:9 | 49:25 |
| 37:6,18 38:8 43:7 | 35:16 38:1 40:20 | error 4:8 20:22 | far 49:17 | friend 43:12,23 |
| 43:8 44:10 46:12 | 44:12 45:10 47:25 | 28:4 29:23 32:9 | fashion 39:6 | 45:8 |
| 50:24 54:19,25 | 48:11 49:21 51:9 | 37:21 43:5 | favor 16:19 51:11 | friendly 54:7 |
| determined 3:14 | 52:2,8,11 56:10 | errors 56:14 | 51:13 | friends 21:2 25:1 |
| 4:17,21 5:3,14 6:2 | 56:11 | esq 1:16,19 2:3,6,9 | feet 9:14 | 54:10 |
| 7:21 8:21 9:11,23 | doing 29:13 31:18 | essence 23:12 36:1 | ferns 49:5 | front 39:1,3,22,23 |
| 9:25 17:3,10 22:5 | 32:4,5 40:1 54:13 | essentially 25:13 | fight 24:9 | fruit 39:15 45:18 |
| 26:11 30:1 47:4 | dollars 54:12,15 | 26:6 50:8 | figure 23:18 34:11 | fully 40:7,7 |
| 54:22 57:1 | donate 49:22,24 | et 1:7 | file 9:13 | functions 19:22 |
| determining 32:8 | dont 12:12,14,19 | evade 12:10 | filed 12:18,19 27:4 | fundamental 47:17 |
| devised 24:21 | 13:1 22:10 23:9 | events 5:20 52:15 | files 28:17 | fundamentally |
| 47:18 | 29:1,6 30:15 32:1 | everybody 26:1 | filing 22:22 29:9 | 28:5 32:6 47:20 |
| didnt 7:25 20:11 | 32:1 37:2 40:3 | 35:14 40:18 | final 6:14 8:13 | 51:17 |
| 21:23 23:20 35:3 | 41:5 42:9 44:2 | exactly 10:13 12:15 | find 28:19 33:25 | funnel 38:20 |
| 36:12 41:25 42:25 | 49:16 53:19 | 45:6 | 34:1 43:14 | further 6:21 24:22 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | 22 24 22 14 16 | 22.7 | | 52.12 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| G | 22:24 23:14,16 | 23:7 | included 39:24 | 52:13 |
| g 1:19 2:6 3:1 24:14 | 26:25 27:17 28:21 | higher 20:25 | includes 35:15 | interpretation |
| gain 30:16 43:4 | 29:19 39:20 41:18 | hinge 45:12 | income 19:9,10 | 24:20 |
| 53:11 | 43:19,20 44:22 | history 48:3,3,5,16 | 27:23 30:2 32:15 | interpreting 33:5 |
| garre 1:19 2:6 | 46:4 47:7 52:2,18 | 48:17 | 40:25 41:9,23 | intimately 22:6 |
| 24:13,14,16 25:4 | 54:14 | hole 38:15 51:6 | 53:11 55:21 | introductory 19:17 |
| 25:21 27:10,15,19 | good 7:15 49:1,13 | honor 28:12 29:1 | incongruity 5:10 | investment 47:9 |
| 28:12 29:1,6,10 | govern 56:1 | 29:11,20 31:21 | 19:11,14 | involve 19:6,8 |
| 29:20 30:22,24 | government 13:11 | 33:8,18 34:15 | inconsistency 44:8 | irs 4:8 6:4 9:17 |
| 31:2,21,24 32:4 | 17:22 18:9,12,12 | 35:7 38:5 41:17 | inconsistent 11:1 | 10:14,19 11:21,22 |
| 32:25 33:2,8,10 | 24:19 25:5,21 | 42:6 43:16 44:5 | incur 21:23 | 12:1,3,4,10 14:3 |
| 33:18 34:7,14,22 | 26:5,21 35:17 | 46:11 47:5 48:15 | indicate 23:10 | 15:13 16:6 30:10 |
| 35:6,13,23 36:20 | 36:1 37:24 38:14 | 48:21 | indicated 9:15 | 30:14 40:12 46:12 |
| 36:23 37:3,13,16 | 38:19 40:5 41:24 | huge 30:19 38:25 | indicates 8:3 20:7 | 46:17 51:22,24,25 |
| 37:23 38:5 39:17 | 42:15,19,22 44:12 | hypothesis 7:10 | indirectly 25:15,19 | 52:7,20 54:19 |
| 39:25 41:1,6,10 | 47:21 49:8,11 | hypothesized 47:11 | individual 3:24 4:3 | irss 44:23 |
| 41:13,17 42:5,24 | 50:16 51:5,8 | hypothetical 30:11 | 4:10 5:5 6:5,16,19 | isnt 9:15 11:15 |
| 43:16 44:4,20,25 | governments 18:1 | 48:25 54:10 | 6:22 7:14 9:7 | 15:23 30:4 40:11 |
| 45:6,16 46:10 | 18:3 52:22 | | 10:20,21 12:6,21 | issue 3:17 6:4 7:8 |
| 47:5 48:9,15,21 | graduated 50:6 | I | 14:15 28:22 31:13 | 7:12 8:24 10:12 |
| 50:18,22 | grant 37:7 | id 3:18,20 | 33:21 39:14 41:6 | 11:10 12:24 33:1 |
| gary 1:6 | great 25:22 | idea 20:11 | 41:7 44:17 45:22 | 33:19,20 34:2 |
| general 1:16 21:1 | greater 19:14 20:14 | identifies 4:9 | 45:23,25 46:20 | 43:20 53:5,6 |
| genuinely 34:5 | gregory 1:19 2:6 | ignore 56:6 | 47:6 55:10 | 57:10 |
| getting 20:4 42:18 | 24:14 | ignores 57:20 | individuals 11:5 | issues 39:8 |
| gift 40:14,20,21,22 | ground 7:2 | ill 24:10 30:11 | 21:19 27:21 55:17 | item 11:24 12:3,25 |
| 40:22,25 41:8 | guess 21:15 22:17 | illegal 22:19 | ineluctable 45:9 | 13:5,8,8,12,18,24 |
| ginsburg 8:11 13:3 | 25:17 45:3 | im 9:21 12:14 24:9 | inflate 40:8 | 14:17,20 15:18 |
| 13:10 17:16,18 | | 33:12 34:8,10,17 | inflated 21:18 22:7 | 17:6,7 25:2,7,9,10 |
| 18:9 37:23 50:14 | H | 41:12 46:8,25,25 | 43:25 | 25:14,16 26:2,14 |
| give 23:9 30:11 | handed 23:9 | 48:18 53:20 | information 28:18 | 26:16,16,17,22,23 |
| 40:21 46:7 48:25 | hanging 45:20 | implicated 15:16 | 28:18 29:9 56:25 | 26:25 27:9 28:7 |
| 54:16 55:4 | happen 12:19 | implications 13:1 | inside 15:18,21,25 | 32:14,21 33:7,11 |
| gives 25:25 26:3 | 43:21 50:24 | important 24:24 | 16:20 17:5,6 31:2 | 33:15,16 34:23 |
| 40:20 | happened 9:20 | 54:23 57:21 | 31:6,17 34:10 | 35:5,6,11,14,15 |
| go 9:6 17:18 25:23 | 22:9 23:1 | impose 4:16 23:12 | instance 28:25 29:5 | 35:24 36:3,4,5,11 |
| 26:12 33:23,23 | happening 32:17 | imposed 4:3 5:4 | instances 15:2 | 36:12,14,16 37:6 |
| 37:1,5,15 38:16 | 37:22 | 7:23 8:5 39:22 | 18:11 | 37:7,9 38:10 |
| | happy 35:17 | imposition 5:8 8:8 | intended 39:8 | 39:20 53:18,19,23 |
| 39:5,12 40:16 | havent 31:16 50:1 | 10:15 12:5 25:1 | 47:19 51:16 | 53:24 |
| 42:25 51:19,20 | hear 3:3 | 35:9 36:6 | intent 7:18 | items 14:4 18:22 |
| 54:14 56:10,11 | hed 40:22 | inappropriate | interest 15:9 40:16 | 26:1,4,7,8,11,12 |
| 57:9 | held 5:21 | 14:23 | 47:9 | 26:18 27:3,5,6 |
| goes 21:2 27:5 47:8 | helmer 56:5 | include 35:5,7,16 | interesting 51:8 | 32:23 33:6 34:24 |
| 49:17 56:12 57:16 | helpful 18:4 | 35:16 39:21 49:6 | intermediate 35:22 | 34:25 36:15,17,17 |
| going 9:15,22 11:13 | high 21:19,19 23:7 | 53:9 | intermediate 33.22 | 36:22 37:1 39:10 |
| 14:7 17:22,23 | <i>a</i> ,-,, | | mtci pi ct 30.23 | 30.22 31.1 33.10 |
| | | l | 1 | ı |

| J J J J J J J J J J | 53:9 | kagan 11:2 25:4 | 30:22 37:15 38:4 | math 23:7 | misstatement 3:12 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| | | <u> </u> | | | |
| ioint 33:24 43:1 judge 14:11,18 judge 14:11,18 judge 19:24 judicial 32:22 judicial 32:22 judicial 32:23 jurisdiction 3:21 41:3 48:13 kind 21:7,8 25:11 41:3 48:13 kinds 21:7,8 25:11 48:30 48:13 38:14,15 37:7 38:8,11,16 40:3 42:20 48:2,4 55:4 41:13 5:10 8:11 31:8 42:8,12 53:5 justice 1:173:3,9 44:13 5:10 8:11 31:22 lacking 19:1 37:25 lacking 19:1 37:25 largely 45:16 largely 45:16 largely 42:16 largely 42:16 largely 42:16 largely 43:16 47:12 8:24 lawful 9:1 72:2.5 largely 43:16 largely 43:16 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:13,14,5,9,12 34:4 34:13,14,5,9,12 34:4 34:14,14,20 largely 43:11 44:1 legislation 56:16 level 3:16,23 4:4,7 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,76,21 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 legislation 56:16 level 3:16,23 4:4,7 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,6,21 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,21 45:1 44:15,76,22 45:1 44:15,76,2 | J | | , | | |
| judgel 4:11,18 16:17,24 28:10,14 29:4,8,16 55:11 49:4,8 15:13 16:2,21 11:19 24:18 24:23 25:25 26:3 22:10 23:6 30:18 27:23,4 34:15 37:7 38:8,11,16 40:3 44:13 57:15 21:10 11:12 11:16 2:3,9 3:7 53:1 21:17,22 13:2 13:18 42:8,12 53:5 14:11 15:4,23 14:11 15:4,23 14:11 15:4,23 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18:9 21:19,14 17:14 13:18 42:12,16 25:4 18:18 29:13 19:17,12 20:6 34:20 43:2,4 53:4 18:18 29:13 19:17,12 20:6 34:20 53:6 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:28 24:8 22:42,26 25:4 18:18 23:15 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:28 24:8 23:24 23:34,5 18:19 23:16 23:1 | joint 33:24 43:1 | | 2 2 | | |
| Unified 32.22 pudicial 32.22 pudicial 32.23 purisdiction 32.13 purisdiction 32.13 purisdiction 32.14 purisdiction 32.14 purisdiction 32.15 purisdicti | | • | ′ ′ | | |
| indicial 32:22 innior 23:7 41:3 48:13 tinds 53:14 tiled 50:1 | • 0 | , , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Junior 23:7 | • 0 | , , | | | , , |
| Size | • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · | | , |
| 5:22 11:19 24:18 24:23 25:25 26:3 22:10 23:6 30:18 30:19 34:21 40:9 42:20 48:2,4 55:4 42:20 48:2,4 55:4 11:16 2:39 3:7 53:1 12:17,22 13:2 11:18 42:2 12:9,13 13:3,10 14:11 15:4,23 12:19,13 13:3,10 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,10 12,16,17 27:19 28:10,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 130:7,8,23 31:1,4 30:18,42:2 32:0 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 30:18 42:20 48:2,4 55:4 14 | U | | | | , |
| 24:23 25:25 26:3 27:3,4 34:15 37:7 38:8,1,1,1,6 40:3 44:13 57:15 jurisdictional 3:15 L L 11:16 2:3,9 3:7 53:1 justice 1:17 3:3,9 4:13 5:10 8:11 9:22 10:4,11 11:2 12:9,13 13:3,10 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18.9 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,1,0,12,1,6,17 29:24 18:19 29:21 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,10,12,1,6,17 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 30:1,17 30:1 30:1,17 30:1 30:1,17 30:1 30:1,17 30:1 30:1,17 30:1 30:1,17 30:1 30:1,17 30:1 30 | | | | | |
| 27:3,4 34:15 37:7 38:8,11,16 40:3 44:13 57:15 jurisdictional 3:15 3:18 42:8,12 53:5 justice 1:17 3:3,9 12:29,13 13:3,10 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,10,12,16,17 27:19 28:10,14 13:12,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 39:18 42:8,12 63:1 18 awful 9:17 22:25 18 awful 9:17 22:25 18 awful 9:17 22:25 18 aughter 48:20 54:8 13 1:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 39:18 42:8,12 63:1 18:15 23:15,16 36:5 46:4 48:2,5 50:4,9 18 awful 9:17 22:25 18 aughter 48:20 54:8 13:22 13:26,7 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 39:18 42:14 29:10 30:8 33:16 35:20 38:16 39:18 42:19 42:1 49:2,2 18:2 18 imited 18:14 19:25 18 imited 18:14 | | | | | |
| 38:8,11,16 40:3 44:13 57:15 jursidetional 3:15 3:18 42:8,12 53:5 justice 1:17 3:3,9 4:13 5:10 8:11 9:22 10:4,11 11:2 lacks 22:19 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,10,12,16,17 27:19 28:10,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 lagle 6:4 7:12 8:24 33:16 35:20 38:16 limited 18:14 19:25 limited 18:14 19:25 limited 10:24 little 23:16 46:25 47:16 long 24:5 55:20 longer 22:23 look 9:19 11:3 18:4 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,17 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:16 23:9 3:7 53:1 18:16 35:20 38:16 39:18 41:1 48:2 49:4,22 54:1 means 14:14 19:9 morning 3:4 moving 49:13 N 12:1,1 3:1 18:11 15:42 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,17 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:14 19:25 18:16 35:20 38:16 18:14 19:25 18:16 35:20 38:16 18:14 19:25 18:16 35:20 38:16 18:14 19:25 18:16 35:20 38:16 18:15 35:20 8:18 14:1 41:9:9 12:12 12:14 23:14 35:15 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:14 45:19 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:14,19 18:16 23:14 49:2 29:11 3:18:4 18:16 23:14 49:2 29:12 12:12 2:14 35:15 18:15 23:14,19 19:17,21 20:6 18:18 49:22 11:11 19:4 18:25 16:19 18:25 16:19 26:17 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,17 19:17,12 24:18 25:1 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,17 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:14,17 19:17,17 26:9 18:17 19:42:18 25:1 18:15 23:15,16 18:15 23:15 | | | | | <u> </u> |
| 44:13 57:15 Imited 18:14 19:25 Imited 18:14 19:25 Imited 18:14 | | | | | |
| jurisdictional 3:15 3:18 42:8,12 53:5 justice 1:17 3:3,9 4:13 5:10 8:11 9:22 10:4,11 11:2 12:9,13 13:3,10 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 24:12,16 25:4 24:12,16 25:4 24:12,16 25:4 23:19,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 35:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:5,16,21 45:1 45:18 50:14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 45:7,41,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 45:7,41,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 45:7,41,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 45:7,41,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 45:7,41,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 45:16,21 45:1 45:18 50:14,20 55:2,24 53:3,4,8,17 52:2,24 53:3,4,8,17 52:2,24 53:3,4,8,17 52:2,24 53:3,4,8,17 53:13 16:15 51:13,14 20:12,24,24 44:7,46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 55:3,11 56:15 51:3,11 20:25 57:8 58:1 Lilitis 39:4 lists 18:22 lilitigated 10:24 lititle 23:16 46:25 lititgated 10:24 lititle 23:16 46:25 lititgated 10:24 lititle 23:16 46:25 lititgated 10:24 lititle 23:16 46:25 longer 22:23 longer 22:23 longer 22:23 looke 41:25 looke 9:19 11:3 18:4 little 23:16 46:25 longe 22:55:20 longer 22:23 looke 49:12 lititle 23:16 46:25 longer 22:23 looke 48:2,5 looke 9:19 11:3 18:4 little 23:16 46:25 longer 22:23 looke 48:2,5 looke 9:19 11:3 18:4 little 23:16 46:25 looke 9:19 11:3 18:4 looke 48:2,5 looke 9:19 11:3 18:4 looke 11:4 28:3 looke 12:4 little 23:16 46:25 looke 9:19 11:3 18:4 little 23:16 46:25 looke 9:19 11:3 18:4 looke 9:19 1:3 18:4 loo | · · · · · · | 42.20 46.2,4 33.4 | - | | <u> </u> |
| 3:18 42:8,12 53:5 justice 1:17 3:3,9 4:13 5:10 8:11 lacked 57:2 lacking 19:1 37:25 litigated 10:24 little 23:16 46:25 | | $\overline{}$ | | | |
| justice 1:17 3:3,9 4:13 5:10 8:11 9:22 10:4,11 11:2 12:9,13 13:3,10 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 27:2,10,12,16,17 27:19 28:10,14 29:48,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 44:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:74 67:725 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 Litigated 10:24 lititle 23:16 46:25 47:16 long 24:5 55:20 long 24:5 55:20 long 22:23 look 9:19 11:3 18:4 18:15 23:15,16 38:25 39:2,5 55:5 meant 8:4,7 36:9 measures 13:16 mentioned 50:4 merits 3:11,17 5:25 7:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 47:17,17 56:9 met 37:19 million 30:12,14,15 30:13,14,5,9,12 34:4 48:18 50:14,20 53:19 43:18 48:18 50:14,20 53:24 53:3,4,8,17 55:24 53:3,4,8,17 55:24 53:3,4,8,17 55:23,11 56:15 17:3,11 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:23:13 39:13 37:53:1 mainpulating 56:1 Litigated 10:24 little 23:16 46:25 47:16 long 24:5 55:20 longe 22:23 look 9:19 11:3 18:4 18:15 23:15,16 38:25 39:2,5 55:5 meant 8:4,7 36:9 measures 13:16 mentioned 50:4 merits 3:11,17 5:25 7:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,17 30:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,17 30:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,17 30:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,17 30:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:11,17 5:25 7:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,17 3:10 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,17 3:10 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,17 3:10 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:11,17 48:4 48:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,147 43:17 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10 | • | | | | |
| 4:13 5:10 8:11 9:22 10:4,11 11:2 12:9,13 13:3,10 14:11 15:4,23 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,10,12,16,17 29:24,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 42:19,43:11 42:1 55:3,3,48,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 1 lacking 19:1 37:25 lacks 22:19 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:19 lacks 22:19 lacks 22:19 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:19 lacks 22:19 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:19 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:15 lacks 22:19 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:23 lacks 22:35 lacks 22:15 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:13 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:23 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:23 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:23 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:23 lack 48:2,5 lacks 22:5 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:23 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:25 lacks 22:23 la | | | | | S |
| Arrivalue Arri | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | moving 49.13 |
| 12:9,13 13:3,10 14:11 15:4,23 16:2,17,24 17:16 34:20 53:6 1arge 23:4,5 1arge 23:4,5 1argely 45:16 1aughter 48:20 54:8 27:2,10,12,16,17 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 17:19 24:12,13 10ok 9:19 11:3 18:4 18:15 23:15,16 36:5 46:4 48:2,5 50:4,9 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 30:19 40:18,15 5:11 10owanging 39:14 45:18 10ok 9:19 11:3 18:4 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:10 10:23 11:11 13:21 14:2 15:10 15:25 16:19 26:17 46:3 11:20 22:5 11:31 15:25 16:19 26:17 17:12 24:13 25:6 11:20 23:12:3 11:4 48:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:10 10:23 11 | | _ | | | N |
| 14:11 15:4,23 | _ | | | * | |
| 16:2,17,24 17:16 17:17,18 18:9 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 18:9 24:12,16 25:4 18:9 (27:10,12,16,17) 27:19 28:10,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 19:11,19 40:13 19 | | 0 0 | U U | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 17:17,18 18:9 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,10,12,16,17 27:19 28:10,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,3,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 18:15 23:15,16 36:5 46:4 48:2,5 50:4,9 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 10oking 11:4 28:3 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 30:19 40:18,19 49:23 54:11,14 10ok 27:25 10ok 45:20 10ok 45:20 10ok 45:20 10ok 45:10 10ok 45:20 10ok 45:20 10ok 45:10 10ok 45:20 10ok 45:10 10ok 45:10 10ok 45:20 10ok 45:10 10ok 45:20 10ok 45:10 10ok 27:1 10ok 45:10 10ok 27:1 10ok 27:1 10ok 45:20 10ok 39:20 10oking 11:4 28:3 30:19 40:18,19 49:23 54:11,14 17:19 24:18 25:1 38:12,14,17 43:17 46:3 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 18:15 23:15,16 36:5 46:4 48:2,5 50:4,9 10oking 11:4 28:3 38:12,14,17,17 56:9 10oking 11:4 28:3 30:19 40:18,19 10ok 18:19 11:10 33:11 1:10 15:25 16:19 26:17 46:3 17:10 10:23 11:11 17:19 24:18 25:1 18:15 23:16,7 47:17,17 56:9 10ok 49:7,9 10ok 18:19 11:11 17:10 10:23 11:11 1 | | , | 0 | | |
| 20:24 22:8 24:8 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,10,12,16,17 27:19 28:10,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 Largely 45:16 36:5 46:4 48:2,5 50:4,9 looking 11:4 28:3 38:12,14,17 43:17 46:3 necessary 7:25 40:1 need 49:16 need 49:16 need 5:13 15:3 17:1 need 49:16 need 5:14 need 5:16 non 17:21 need 49:16 need 5:14 need 5:14 need 5:14 need 5:16 non 17:21 need 49:16 need 5:14 need 5:16 non 17:21 need | | | | | |
| 24:12,16 25:4 27:2,10,12,16,17 27:19 28:10,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 45:7,41,14,20 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 laughter 48:20 54:8 looking 11:4 28:3 | * | 9 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 27:2,10,12,16,17 27:19 28:10,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 1:20,22 14:22 57:8 58:1 1:20,22 14:22 17:3,11 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:23,14 26:12,13 21:23,24 20:20 33:1,45,9,12 4 30:13,16 51:21 53:11 56:1 10ses 16:14 | | | , | | |
| 27:19 28:10,14 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,45,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 adyver 16:9,9,11,15 29:21 32:6,7 37:20 38:9 42:10 47:8 loss 21:23,24 23:4 30:13,16 51:21 30:19 40:18,19 midlle 49:7,9 million 30:12,14,15 30:19 40:18,19 49:23 54:11,14 minimum 5:11,17 48:4 48:11 minimum 5:11,17 48:4 48:11 minimum 5:11,4 minimum 5:11,4 minimum 5:11,4 10st 27:1 low 45:20 low hanging 39:14 45:18 minimum 5:11,4 minimum 5:11,4 10st 27:1 minimum 5:1:14 10st 27:2 miscalculation 39:20 miscalculation | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | , | | |
| 29:4,8,16,17 30:6 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 Comparison of the desiration of the comparison of the comp | | | | | • |
| 30:7,8,23 31:1,4 31:22,25 32:20 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 34:8,12,16 35:1 35:12 36:13,21,24 37:8,14,23 39:1 39:11,18 40:13 41:2,7,11,14,20 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 leads 25:8,10 | 1 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 31:22,25 32:20 left 52:25 loss 21:23,24 23:4 30:19 40:18,19 negligence 19:6 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 9:6,14 11:10 30:13,16 51:21 49:23 54:11,14 27:25 35:12 36:13,21,24 12:23,24 20:22 53:9 56:13 57:21 losses 16:14 mind 5:11,17 48:4 never 5:8 52:21 39:11,18 40:13 12:23,24 20:22 53:9 56:13 57:21 losses 16:14 minmum 51:14 new 56:16 41:2,7,11,14,20 legitimate 46:16 legitimate 46:16 level 3:16,23 4:4,7 4:17,22,22,24,24 45:18 minutes 52:25 mon 17:21 48:18 50:14,20 42:25 5:4,5,14,15 6:20 7:20 8:6,17 m 1:14 3:2 58:3 miscalculation 21:3 50:12,22 52:10 52:24 53:3,4,6,9,24 10:18,25 11:4,10 making 31:5 39:23 misreported 30:2 39:10 57:8 58:1 17:3,11 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 malpulating 56:1 misstate 39:13 normal 12:10 M 11:20,22 14:22 manipulating 56:1 misstated 9:9 47:21 notice 4:18,25 5:6 | | | | _ | |
| 33:1,4,5,9,12 34:4 legal 6:4 7:12 8:24 30:13,16 51:21 49:23 54:11,14 27:25 34:8,12,16 35:1 9:6,14 11:10 12:23,24 20:22 53:9 56:13 57:21 losses 16:14 48:11 never 5:8 52:21 39:11,18 40:13 12:23,24 20:22 53:9 56:13 57:21 legislation 56:16 losses 16:14 minimum 51:14 new 56:16 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:18 level 3:16,23 4:4,7 45:18 miscalculation 39:20 17:23 29:14 38:6 48:18 50:14,20 6:20 7:20 8:6,17 8:22,23 9:6,18,25 main 9:21 10:7 misreport 27:23 misreported 30:2 misreported 30:2 missing 4:15 39:10 normal 12:10 39:10 normal 12:10 normal 12:10 <td< td=""><td></td><td>*</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | * | | | |
| 34:8,12,16 35:1 9:6,14 11:10 35:12 36:13,21,24 12:23,24 20:22 37:8,14,23 39:1 53:9 56:13 57:21 39:11,18 40:13 legislation 56:16 41:2,7,11,14,20 legitimate 46:16 42:19 43:11 44:1 level 3:16,23 4:4,7 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 4:25 5:4,5,14,15 48:18 50:14,20 6:20 7:20 8:6,17 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 8:22,23 9:6,18,25 55:3,11 56:15 10:18,25 11:4,10 57:8 58:1 17:3,11 20:25 21:3,14 26:12,13 34:8,17,17 48:4 48:11 minutes 52:25 minutes 52:25 miscalculation 39:20 miscalculations 21:3 misreport 27:23 misreported 30:2 missing 4:15 missing 4:15 misstate 39:13 49:12 misstated 9:9 47:21 | | | , | , | 0 0 |
| 12:23,24 20:22 53:9 56:13 57:21 legislation 56:16 legitimate 46:16 level 3:16,23 4:4,7 4:15,16,21 45:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 10:4 | | C | - | , | |
| Sign | | , | | | |
| legislation 56:16 | | | | | |
| d1:2,7,11,14,20 | f | | | | |
| 42:19 43:11 44:1 44:15,16,21 45:1 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 57:8 58:1 level 3:16,23 4:4,7 4:17,22,22,24,24 4:25 5:4,5,14,15 6:20 7:20 8:6,17 8:22,23 9:6,18,25 10:18,25 11:4,10 11:20,22 14:22 17:3,11 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 M m 1:14 3:2 58:3 making 31:5 39:23 making 31:5 39:23 malcolm 1:16 2:3,9 3:7 53:1 manipulating 56:1 39:20 miscalculations 21:3 misreport 27:23 misreported 30:2 missing 4:15 misstate 39:13 49:12 17:23 29:14 38:6 50:12,22 52:10 nonpartnership 34:24 35:10 37:7 39:10 normal 12:10 notice 4:18,25 5:6 nullity 50:8 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u> </u> | | | |
| 44:15,16,21 45:1 4:17,22,22,24,24 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 4:25 5:4,5,14,15 48:18 50:14,20 6:20 7:20 8:6,17 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 8:22,23 9:6,18,25 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 10:18,25 11:4,10 55:3,11 56:15 11:20,22 14:22 57:8 58:1 17:3,11 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 3:7 53:1 manipulating 56:1 misstated 9:9 47:21 50:12,22 52:10 nonpartnership 26:7,12,16,18 34:24 35:10 37:7 missing 4:15 misstated 9:9 47:21 misstated 9:9 47:21 | | <u> </u> | 0 0 | | |
| 45:7 46:7,25 48:8 4:25 5:4,5,14,15 6:20 7:20 8:6,17 8:22,23 9:6,18,25 10:18,25 11:4,10 55:3,11 56:15 17:3,11 20:25 17:3,11 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 21:13,14 26:12, | | | 45:18 | | |
| 48:18 50:14,20 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 6:20 7:20 8:6,17 8:22,23 9:6,18,25 10:18,25 11:4,10 11:20,22 14:22 17:3,11 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 Making 31:5 39:23 making 31:5 39:23 malcolm 1:16 2:3,9 3:7 53:1 manipulating 56:1 Making 31:5 39:23 misstate 39:13 49:12 misstated 9:9 47:21 Making 31:5 39:23 misstate 39:13 49:12 misstated 9:9 47:21 | , , | | M | | · · |
| 52:24 53:3,4,8,17 8:22,23 9:6,18,25 main 9:21 10:7 misreported 30:2 34:24 35:10 37:7 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 10:18,25 11:4,10 making 31:5 39:23 missing 4:15 39:10 55:3,11 56:15 17:3,11 20:25 37:7 53:1 misstate 39:13 normal 12:10 57:8 58:1 21:13,14 26:12,13 manipulating 56:1 misstated 9:9 47:21 nullity 50:8 | | | | | |
| 54:2,3,4,6,9,24 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 10:18,25 11:4,10 11:20,22 14:22 17:3,11 20:25 21:13,14 26:12,13 Making 31:5 39:23 missing 4:15 misstate 39:13 49:12 misstated 9:9 47:21 Misstated 9:9 47:21 Misstated 9:9 47:21 | | · · | | _ | |
| 55:3,11 56:15 57:8 58:1 11:20,22 14:22 malcolm 1:16 2:3,9 misstate 39:13 normal 12:10 notice 4:18,25 5:6 misstated 9:9 47:21 manipulating 56:1 misstated 9:9 47:21 malcolm 1:16 2:3,9 misstated 9:9 47:21 normal 12:10 notice 4:18,25 5:6 nullity 50:8 | | | | | |
| 57:8 58:1 | | , , | | | |
| 21:13,14 26:12,13 manipulating 56:1 misstated 9:9 47:21 nullity 50:8 | _ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | | |
| K 2000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 37.8 38.1 | , | | | - |
| 20:23 29:24 30:10 manner 4:11 50:2 number 22:2 23:11 | K | | | | |
| | | 20:23 29:24 30:10 | manner 4:11 | 50:2 | number 22:2 23:11 |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| 44.00.45.15.45.00 | 1 | 1 | 120121024 | 1 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 44:23 45:15 47:23 | outset 12:2 | part 5:2,25 8:24 | 13:8,12,18,24 | payment 4:19 |
| 49:20 51:24 52:6 | outside 14:19,20,24 | 10:22 11:11 35:8 | 14:4,8,9,17,20,22 | peg 38:15 51:5 |
| numbers 51:18 | 14:25 15:3,7,14 | 37:1 40:16 57:23 | 15:9,18,20 16:8 | penalties 5:8 10:16 |
| 53:14 | 15:17,22,24 16:7 | participate 9:11 | 16:14,16 17:3,6,7 | 10:19 12:2,5,21 |
| 0 | 16:19 17:2,7 | particular 14:9 | 17:11,15 21:13 | 12:24 17:12 18:13 |
| | 24:25 25:8 26:2,8 | 15:8 20:4,8 46:14 | 23:5 25:2,7,9,14 | 19:24 20:10 23:3 |
| 0 2:1 3:1 | 28:7 30:4 31:2,6 | 51:4 | 25:16 26:1,2,4,7 | 23:13 26:4 27:22 |
| oak 49:6,9 | 31:17 32:19 33:10 | parties 11:11 | 26:11,12,13,17,22 | 28:1 30:20 39:3 |
| objection 10:22 | 34:10 35:16,22 | partner 1:6 3:24 | 26:25 27:3,5,9,23 | 51:12 57:25 |
| objections 57:21 | 39:13 40:2,11 | 4:4,10,23,24,25 | 27:23,24 28:6,7 | penalty 3:12,25 4:2 |
| observations 3:21 | 41:16,18 42:4 | 5:5,15 6:20,23,24 | 28:11,16,17,25 | 4:10,16 5:3,4,23 |
| obtained 12:23 | 43:7,22 44:14 | 6:24 7:2,4,9,11,14 | 29:2,3,7,13,23,24 | 6:9,12,24,25 7:3,7 |
| obvious 14:14,16 | 45:5,10,24 46:21 | 7:16,21 8:1,9,17 | 30:2,5,9,11,13,22 | 7:13,17,21,23 8:1 |
| 22:13,14 39:19,19 | 47:3 51:21 57:13 | 9:11,16,19,25 | 31:11,23 32:7,7 | 8:5,7,9,15,21 9:2 |
| 45:4,9 46:3 | overly 24:19 | 10:25 12:6,18 | 32:10,14,16,21,23 | 9:2 11:15,23 13:7 |
| occur 54:21 | overpayment 6:11 | 14:7,25 15:1,5,5,8 | 32:24 33:6,7,7,11 | 16:5,13 17:13,20 |
| occurred 20:18 | overstated 22:11 | 15:10,22 21:14 | 33:15,16,23 34:1 | 17:21,23 18:7,16 |
| 52:15 | 42:23 46:4 | 26:13 27:18 28:4 | 34:23,24 35:5,5,6 | 18:23 19:2 20:3 |
| october 1:10 | overstatement 9:3 | 28:13 29:3,23 | 35:7,14,15,24 | 20:12,21 21:5 |
| offsetting 22:21 | 10:16 11:14 21:2 | 31:23 32:17 37:15 | 36:3,5,11,14,15 | 25:2,10,13 26:24 |
| 24:5 55:17 | 30:20 32:9 49:8 | 39:20,24 41:10 | 36:16,17,22 37:1 | 27:7,14,17 28:6 |
| oh 33:12 36:14 | 52:17 53:7 57:5 | 42:7 43:5,6,13,20 | 37:6,12,17,20 | 28:11,24 29:2,4 |
| okay 25:15 30:21 | overstatements | 43:21,24 46:6 | 38:4,9,10,10 | 29:14,18,25 31:8 |
| 31:4,9 33:1 35:1 | 56:11,13 | 47:6,7 | 39:14,19 40:16 | 31:14,20 32:9,13 |
| 40:23 41:2 46:19 53:12 | overstates 3:13 | partnerlevel 57:12 | 41:4 42:10,21,22 | 32:18 35:9 36:6 |
| once 4:22 5:2 40:14 | overstating 22:1,3 | 57:18 | 43:3,3,8,9 44:3,5 | 36:11,18 37:10,16 |
| opening 55:15 | owe 6:23 | partners 1:7 4:3 | 44:10,18 45:10,21 | 37:18,24 38:6,20 |
| operate 5:23 10:8,9 | owed 19:11 23:21 | 5:5 6:5,19,22 9:7 | 46:11,18,24 47:8 | 39:8,22 40:1,19 |
| 22:2 | 41:25 | 10:5,20,21 11:9 | 47:9 50:17 51:1,1 | 43:9,18 44:11 |
| operated 21:21 | owes 40:14 | 11:13 12:21 14:10 | 51:19 53:9,12,14 | 47:3,6,15,18 |
| 24:3 | P | 14:15 15:22 22:20 | 53:18,19,23,23 | 48:22 49:9 50:11 |
| opinion 14:12 | p 3:1 | 27:6 28:19 29:1,5 | 56:6 57:14,24 | 50:11,23,25 51:2 |
| 16:18,18 | page 2:2 13:11 | 29:11,19 31:7 32:18 33:21 37:21 | partnershiplevel 40:10 57:17 | 51:16 52:4,11,14 |
| opportunity 7:11 | 15:15 18:4,17 | 40:10 41:18 43:19 | partnerships 6:15 | 52:16,17,21 53:7 53:18 56:23,23 |
| opposed 38:21 | 25:24 33:24 42:25 | 43:22 44:7,17 | 13:14,23 14:2 | 57:3,22 |
| option 18:1,4 55:20 | paid 5:13 15:8,10 | 45:13 46:1,20 | 16:10 24:4 27:20 | people 22:9 41:22 |
| 55:22 56:7 | 21:25 23:15 41:24 | 55:10 | 32:15 42:12 54:22 | percent 6:12 18:14 |
| options 6:17 24:5 | painting 49:22,25 | partnership 3:16 | 54:25 55:1,2,8,15 | 18:15,15 19:10,25 |
| 54:21 55:18 | 50:1 54:11,16 | 3:23 4:7,9,12,17 | 56:2 | 20:1,4,5,5 30:18 |
| oral 1:12 2:2,5 3:7 | 55:4,5 | 4:22,22 5:4,9,14 | passed 28:15 38:6 | 30:18,20 31:8,13 |
| 24:14 | paper 55:25 | 6:14 7:20 8:6,14 | 51:15 | 32:3 56:23,23 |
| order 9:19 15:12 | parallel 55:6 | 8:22,23 9:5,10,18 | pay 3:24 6:25 7:17 | 57:3,5,5 |
| 16:4 | parentheses 49:3 | 10:1,18,25 11:3 | 8:1 23:21 29:2 | perfectly 35:17 |
| originally 17:20 | parenthetical | 11:10,20,22,24 | 32:1,2 39:1,3,22 | period 12:22 |
| outcomes 10:2 11:1 | 48:24 | 12:3,18,25 13:5,7 | 40:22 41:23 | periods 12:17 |
| | | 12.5,10,25 15.5,7 | 10.22 11.23 | P |
| | 1 | • | 1 | • |

| permit 39:22 person 33:14,16 40:14 perspective 38:24 pertain 39:9 pertaining 47:10 pertains 19:16 petition 27:4 petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 48:5,16 | |
|--|-------------------|
| person 33:14,16 40:14 perspective 38:24 pertain 39:9 pertaining 47:10 pertains 19:16 petition 27:4 petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | ., 20, 22 |
| perspective 38:24 pertain 39:9 pertaining 47:10 pertains 19:16 petition 27:4 petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | _ - |
| perspective 38:24 pertain 39:9 pertaining 47:10 pertains 19:16 petition 27:4 petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| pertain 39:9 pertaining 47:10 pertains 19:16 petition 27:4 petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| pertaining 47:10 pertains 19:16 petition 27:4 petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| pertains 19:16 petition 27:4 petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | pertain 39:9 |
| petition 27:4 petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | pertaining 47:10 |
| petitioner 1:4,18 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | pertains 19:16 |
| 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | petition 27:4 |
| 2:4,10 3:8 8:25 53:2 pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | petitioner 1:4,18 |
| pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| pick 18:2,12 25:19 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| 39:16 45:20 plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 | |
| plants 49:3,4 please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 | |
| please 3:10 24:17 plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| plenty 20:20 plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 | - |
| plus 32:3 point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | - |
| point 4:14 7:3 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | <u> </u> |
| 19:16 21:17,22 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | _ |
| 29:17 35:9 37:3 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | * |
| 53:4 54:23 57:9 pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| pointed 17:2 points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| points 19:3 22:18 portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| portion 18:18,20 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | _ - |
| 19:18 pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| pose 54:7 posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| posed 56:19 position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| position 5:2,11,24 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | - |
| 8:13 11:18 12:10 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | _ |
| 15:24 21:2 50:3 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| 50:16 52:22 possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | 8:13 11:18 12:10 |
| possible 9:9,16 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | 15:24 21:2 50:3 |
| 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | 50:16 52:22 |
| 10:1 40:6 possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | possible 9:9,16 |
| possibly 27:13 postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| postenactment 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| 48:3,17 potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| potential 10:15 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | _ _ |
| 23:3 potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| potentially 10:24 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | * |
| 11:1 practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| practical 9:21 10:7 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | <u> </u> |
| 11:16 19:20 38:17 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| 57:9 precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | _ |
| precise 34:17 preenactment 48:3 | |
| preenactment 48:3 | |
| - | - |
| 48:5,16 | - |
| | 48:5,16 |
| | |

preliminary 3:20 prepare 9:16 prepared 22:24 prepares 4:10 prepaying 7:12 prepayment 57:25 presents 45:17 presumably 6:12 47:1 presumptively 11:9 pretend 52:2 pretty 7:18 55:6 price 49:12 primary 19:20 problem 25:6 48:6 52:9,12 56:17,19 56:20 problems 24:22 38:7 51:3 proceeding 3:17 4:7 5:7 7:9,20 8:18,22,23 9:6 10:1 11:20 14:22 29:22 38:22 40:10 40:12 51:1 57:12 proceedings 3:23 4:5,23,24,24 5:1,5 7:22 8:12 9:18 10:25 15:21 39:7 57:20 process 23:10,17 25:12 **produced** 9:3 56:12 56:13 **proper** 8:13 14:3 16:7 27:6 29:22 36:17 properly 4:4 **property** 3:13 48:7 52:1.8 proposition 6:8 13:22 prosaic 49:17 prototypical 48:9

prove 18:13

provided 7:16 49:2

provides 12:22 **provision** 11:19.20 19:17,22 20:1 25:23 32:12 provisions 12:16 punctuation 48:16 purchases 6:16 54:20 **purely** 13:15 purported 16:14 purpose 22:6 purposes 13:14 40:2 46:15 56:4 **pursued** 42:20 **put** 11:7,7 16:22 22:14 28:8 29:5 41:5 44:22,22 45:14 47:23 49:23 51.5

Q quarrel 50:16 **question** 3:11,15,19
3:22 4:1,6 6:13
9:23 11:7 16:15
25:17 32:20,21
33:13 38:12 42:9
42:10,12,13 43:12
43:17 44:9 47:17
48:9 50:20 54:7
57:16 **questions** 4:21 **quick** 3:20 **quite** 21:6 46:8

R r 3:1 raise 7:15 raised 10:21,22 raising 8:2 rare 15:11 45:2 rate 20:23 rationale 55:19 reach 24:20 read 26:6,19,20

quoted 13:4

34:6 35:25 36:1 49:6 51:10 52:18 52.19 reading 57:21 real 30:14 41:4,4 45:15 reality 23:25 realized 23:24 really 17:1 55:4 reason 17:1,4 19:1 20:13 23:20 26:9 34:8 35:23 39:12 reasonable 7:15 reasons 13:25 42:6 44:23 53:20 rebuttal 2:8 24:10 24:11 53:1 received 55:21 reception 49:7,9 recognize 24:24 recognized 39:2 51:12 red 25:24 **reduce** 31:23 refer 32:22 reference 17:7 31:16 48:23 49:14 referred 32:12 referring 12:15 18:8 refund 5:7 7:1,17 7:22 39:1 41:25 regard 16:10 regardless 57:6 regulations 19:7 relate 25:13 26:4 29:19 35:20,20,21 53:18,22 related 11:23 15:25 16:20 36:6 relates 13:7 25:15 26:6,7,20,24 27:8 32:14 35:25 36:11 37:11 53:6,7,25

relatively 6:21

relevant 56:25

relitigate 10:12 relying 18:24 **remember** 31:8,13 repeated 28:4 29:23 37:21 **reply** 51:9 report 40:9 reported 14:4 16:13 30:4 32:8 33:21 34:1,2,3 40:2 43:2,4 51:18 51:20,21 reports 43:24 reproduces 18:6 require 4:19,25 11:4 37:14 required 10:14 55:21 requirements 8:7 **requiring** 8:1 11:25 reserved 4:4 resolution 3:22 resolve 8:23 42:9 57:23 **resolved** 7:9,12 11:9 45:18 57:24 resolves 43:17 56:16,18,20 resolving 48:6 respect 10:4 14:10 20:14 21:11 44:19 57:15 respective 8:25 11:11 **respond** 44:18 respondent 8:25 11:12 13:17,18 57:22 respondents 1:20 2:7 10:9,13,18,23 11:18 18:5 24:15 56:10 response 21:16 responsibilities 57:17 result 21:20 24:20

| 33:22 43:14 45:4 | s 2:1 3:1 13:11 49:8 | 49:14 50:7 | six 18:22 19:24 | 3:6,7,9 4:20 5:19 |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| return 4:9,11,12 | 50:16 51:8 | sentelle 14:11,19 | slightly 56:24 | 8:11,20 10:3,6,13 |
| 9:14,17,19 11:5 | sale 54:20 | separate 4:20 46:5 | small 6:22 | 11:6 12:12,14 |
| 12:18 14:5 22:22 | sales 6:16 | sequence 5:20 | sold 23:22,23 30:12 | 13:10 14:18 15:6 |
| 23:18 28:5,18,23 | satisfied 8:8 | set 57:23 | 55:17 | 16:1,3,22,25 |
| 29:9,24 31:8,13 | save 24:11 | shakow 52:19 | solicitor 1:16 | 17:16,17 18:3,11 |
| 32:7,16 33:13,15 | saw 16:10 | sham 3:15 6:2 9:4 | solved 51:3 | 20:24 21:15 22:17 |
| 33:23 39:21 40:23 | saying 4:16 16:3 | 9:11,23 14:8,10 | solves 38:7,12 | 24:8 52:25 53:1,3 |
| 40:25,25 41:3,6,8 | 17:1,10 19:12,14 | 16:8,16 17:14 | somebody 44:22 | 53:16 54:1,18 |
| 41:8,9 43:3,6 | 21:8 31:7,20 33:6 | 21:12,12 22:5,5 | somewhat 17:9 | 55:2,7,13 56:18 |
| 49:24 51:18,19 | 33:10 36:4,8 | 22:12,16 24:3 | 20:25 | 57:15 |
| 53:14 57:1 | 41:12 42:20 45:8 | 25:7 28:16 35:8 | sorry 9:21 24:10 | stock 6:17 |
| returns 6:4 12:18 | 46:17 48:18 49:16 | 38:10 39:12 42:10 | 48:18 | strictly 51:13 |
| 14:15,16 21:20 | 49:21 54:16 55:1 | 42:21 43:15,15 | sort 4:8 6:8 7:6 9:2 | strikes 35:13 |
| 22:25 23:4 33:21 | says 13:6 15:16 | 44:3 46:13,18,22 | 11:14 12:1,4,6,24 | structure 48:17 |
| 42:3 54:10 | 16:18 18:18,20 | 52:1 53:7 56:12 | 14:6 21:10 25:5 | 50:6 |
| review 8:13 13:19 | 19:17 23:12,14 | shammed 42:12,15 | 39:18 | sub 37:24 |
| 32:22 | 25:25 26:13,21 | 45:22,23,24 47:11 | sorts 8:2 | subject 12:20 16:12 |
| rid 52:1 | 30:11,14 36:3,16 | shamming 10:17 | sotomayor 4:13 | 27:22 47:25 57:3 |
| right 8:16 11:12,12 | 54:13 56:5 57:11 | 13:13,19 56:3 | 5:10 17:17 24:8 | subjects 23:2 |
| 22:23 25:6,10 | 57:19 | shams 6:15 13:23 | 39:1,11,18 46:7 | submitted 58:2,4 |
| 26:23 27:10 31:1 | scalia 9:22 10:4,11 | 16:11 42:11 54:22 | 46:25 57:8 | subordinate 48:24 |
| 32:25 34:7 35:3,4 | 15:4 27:2,10,12 | 54:25 | specific 56:19,20 | subsection 18:22 |
| 35:23 36:20 40:17 | 27:16,17,19 29:17 | short 24:5 55:22 | specified 7:19 | 18:25 19:5,5,12 |
| 41:1 45:19 53:17 | 30:7 34:12 36:13 | 56:7 | 15:13 | 19:15 20:12,13,15 |
| 53:20,21 | 36:21,24 37:8,14 | shouldnt 19:13 | square 38:15 51:5 | 56:11,21,22,24 |
| road 4:10 8:9 10:16 | 42:19 43:11 44:1 | 26:9 39:15 | stage 7:4 14:24 | 57:4 |
| 12:5 16:5 24:22 | 50:20 | show 23:8 | 27:18 | subsidiary 4:23 |
| 28:2 36:7 | scheme 21:17,21 | shows 53:15 | standpoint 38:19 | 6:13 |
| roberts 3:3 14:11 | 22:7 24:3,21 | significance 19:21 | starting 55:14 | substance 17:21,23 |
| 20:24 22:8 24:12 | 26:10 34:23 49:15 | significant 26:14 | state 41:8 | 19:2 22:20 29:15 |
| 44:16,21 45:1,7 | 50:6 55:16 | 38:18 | statement 9:24 | 37:25 38:6 50:22 |
| 52:24 54:3,6,9,24 | schemes 22:2 29:12 | simple 54:4 | 22:11 32:15,18 | 52:11 57:2 |
| 55:3 56:15 58:1 | school 23:7 | simply 4:6 21:20 | 33:19,20 | substantial 3:12 |
| room 8:8 | scope 32:22 34:14 | 42:22 57:16 | states 1:1,3,13 3:5 | 7:7 9:1 16:4,12 |
| roughly 31:18 | second 11:16 14:6 | situation 7:6 15:9 | statute 12:10 13:2 | 17:13,24 18:23 |
| round 38:15 51:5 | 23:6 26:20 28:21 | 38:7,13 41:4,19 | 13:4,6 25:18 | 19:8 20:20 |
| rule 38:22 39:7 | 43:11 45:21 | 42:10,14,17 43:6 | 31:19 33:6 34:11 | suggested 44:24 |
| 43:13 56:5 | section 18:18,19,20 | 43:23,25 45:18 | 34:17 36:16 37:2 | suppose 28:15 |
| rules 19:7 51:22,24 | 19:18 32:22 38:23 | 46:2 47:20 49:10 | 38:23 50:5 51:10 | 37:23 40:14 |
| 56:1 | see 7:25 9:19 12:12 | 49:15,18,18 50:7 | statutory 24:21 | supposed 5:23 |
| ruling 12:23 16:18 | 12:14,19 20:11 | 50:12,13 51:4,17 | 25:23 32:12 34:20 | 11:21 |
| 28:20 38:18 43:18 | seeking 7:17 | 52:7 54:19 | 34:23 49:15 | supreme 1:1,13 |
| runs 12:17 | sense 13:25 25:17 | situations 15:11 | step 15:21 35:22 | sure 12:14 46:8 |
| <u>S</u> | 26:21 29:21 34:19 | 20:8 32:5 45:13 | steps 25:11 | 53:20 |
| | 35:12,25 43:17 | 52:20 | stewart 1:16 2:3,9 | suspect 45:1 |
| | l | l | l | |

| arriant 52.22 | 4h a4a 6.0 7.5 15 10 | 45.16.10.10.40.1 | 20.21 | f a : |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| swept 52:22 | thats 6:8 7:5,15,18 | 45:16,19,19 49:1 | 20:21 | unfairness 8:1 |
| system 10:8 | 10:7 11:6,10,23 | 50:3 51:7 52:18 | triggers 19:23 | unfold 5:20 |
| T | 12:6 16:21,25 | 54:18,23 55:13 | 20:12 47:15 | united 1:1,3,13 3:4 |
| t2:1,1 | 19:2,10 21:4,19 | 56:14 57:20 | true 5:12 16:16 | unusual 45:13 |
| take 5:25 20:2 | 25:12 26:14,18,25 | thinks 18:13 | 17:14 19:11 22:4 | upfront 4:19 |
| 29:14 40:20 46:22 | 28:16,16 29:23 | third 37:1,9 | 26:25 28:2 44:17 | upheld 10:18 |
| 56:4 | 33:1,13 37:22,22 | thought 4:13,15 | 46:3 51:21 | upset 24:21 |
| taken 55:24 | 39:21 43:7,8 | 35:2 | try 40:13,14 55:25 | usc 7:16 |
| talk 38:17 47:16 | 44:17 45:3 46:5 | thoughts 28:21 | 56:4 | use 20:22 31:7,11 |
| talking 18:17 27:13 | 47:24 48:10 50:18 | three 25:11 32:25 | trying 34:11,12,17 | 31:12,22 32:1,2 |
| 30:24 46:9 48:14 | 51:11,22,25 52:3 | 33:2 54:5 | 38:14 51:5 52:5 | 33:13,14 41:3,22 |
| | 53:16 54:25 | threshold 3:15 4:7 | 57:19 | usually 14:23 |
| talks 34:24 52:4,19 | theoretically 9:9 | 7:12 12:1 | turns 49:24 | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ |
| tax 1:6 4:18 5:8 6:22 9:8 11:5 | theory 57:24 | thrust 21:1 | two 3:20 4:20 7:24 | v 1:5 3:5 |
| | thered 8:8 | time 9:13 24:11 | 8:12 13:25 19:3 | |
| 13:15 14:4 19:1,9 19:10,11 22:2 | theres 4:1 5:7,17 | times 31:14 34:6 | 21:15,16 25:11 | valid 14:1 valuation 3:12 7:7 |
| , | 19:11 20:13,14 | today 17:19,19,22 | 29:12 | |
| 23:4,16,21 27:7 | 22:18 25:11 31:8 | 20:18 52:22 | type 39:9 | 9:2 16:4,12 17:13 |
| 28:23 33:17 36:18 | 31:13 39:12 40:19 | told 35:1 53:23 | typical 15:7 41:18 | 17:24 18:23 20:20 |
| 37:10 39:5,21,23 | 45:22 47:14 48:9 | top 20:4,5 | typically 14:25 | 27:24 47:15,18,25 |
| 40:15,22,22,25,25 | 50:6 51:10 | transaction 3:14 | U | 48:22 50:2,10 |
| 41:3,8,8,9,23,23 | theyre 28:21 31:6 | 6:2,7 9:4,24 16:20 | | 51:15 52:3,15 |
| 41:24 46:14 48:7 | 31:18,19 36:4 | 19:1 20:4,18 21:7 | ultimately 8:5 15:19 28:12 29:10 | value 5:12 30:14 |
| 51:12 54:10 56:4 | 49:13,16 55:6 | 21:23 22:4,13,16 | | 41:4 47:22,23,24 |
| 56:25 57:11 taxes 31:23 | theyve 31:5,10,10 | 22:19 29:15 37:25 | 29:21 41:1 | 52:5 |
| | 39:3 | 39:13 42:15 46:14 | unaddressed 52:12 | varies 41:25 42:2,2 |
| taxpayer 3:13 8:16 | thing 4:15 6:9 12:6 | 46:22 47:4,10 | underlie 53:10,13 underlies 14:2 | vary 14:25 15:5 |
| 40:6 41:11,15 | 15:11 17:10 20:16 | 50:12,23 55:24 | | 41:18,21,22 42:3 |
| 51:11,13 | 21:9 23:2,6 24:1 | 57:2 | underlying 21:1 54:20 | 42:5 43:20 45:25 |
| taxpayers 23:20 | 24:24,25 31:18 | transactions 6:16 | | verify 6:5 |
| 24:4 38:19,24,25 | 42:8 47:24 49:19 | 9:12 22:21 33:22 | underpaid 9:8 | version 18:6 |
| 48:7 | 49:21 52:6 56:8,8 | 34:2 40:8 42:11 | underpayment | versus 40:18 |
| teacher 23:8 tefra 3:16 7:19 10:8 | things 7:24 14:21 | 43:2,4 45:23,24 | 6:11 18:19,21 | view 5:21,23 10:8,9 |
| | 21:15,16 23:14 | 46:12,13,15,19,23 | 19:19,23 | 10:14,19 20:21 |
| tell 23:8 24:25 | 25:11 27:20,22 | 47:12 51:20 54:20 | underpayments | void 28:20 |
| 27:15 | 29:13 48:19 53:11 | 55:9 56:12 | 20:8 23:13 | \mathbf{W} |
| telling 22:9 | think 5:20 9:21 | treat 51:23 55:21 | understand 21:1 | want 7:1,2,4 12:7 |
| tells 7:24,25 11:21 | 10:6 11:6 13:1 | treated 6:18 55:9 | 22:8 28:14 30:9 | 21:22 34:21 38:17 |
| 11:22 19:22 | 16:1,1,3,23,25 | treatment 14:4 | 31:4,15 34:4,9,16 | 40:17 53:19 |
| tension 5:17 | 18:3 19:20 20:14 | tree 49:6,9 | 45:8 49:4 | wanted 7:14 11:17 |
| terms 26:15 | 22:12 24:23 25:21 | trickle 29:11 | understatement | 42:6 |
| tesoro 1:7 | 26:17 29:10,12 | tried 46:21 | 19:9,10 30:17 | wants 38:20 |
| text 3:20 25:23 | 31:21 32:11 33:18 | trigger 4:9 6:3,9 | understating 21:25 | wash 55:24 |
| 48:19 | 34:11,12 35:24 | 11:14 12:5 20:12 | understood 4:14 | washington 1:9,17 |
| thank 24:12,16 | 37:2 39:25 40:3 | 27:22 29:14 56:22 | 40:7 | 1:19 |
| 52:23,24 58:1 | 42:9 44:2,15 45:7 | triggered 16:5 | undoubtedly 56:21 | 1.17 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| | | | rage |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| wasnt 17:20 54:14 | youre 5:15,16 | 3 | |
| 55:5 | 12:15 18:8 19:24 | | |
| way 5:23 9:17 10:7 | 21:8 25:18 26:23 | 3 2:4 17:24 18:22 | |
| 10:8 11:2,6,7 | 27:10,13 29:21 | 19:15 20:12,17,18 | |
| 12:17 15:14 16:14 | 30:24 31:4 36:2 | 56:11 57:4 | |
| | | 32 15:15 | |
| 16:23 17:12 22:25 | 37:1,20 39:23 | 4 | |
| 26:19 27:20 28:9 | 45:20 46:9 48:14 | | |
| 35:21 36:6,8,8 | 48:18 49:19,21 | 431:14 | |
| 41:14 48:24 50:18 | 52:18 | 40 6:12 18:15,15 | |
| 52:10 55:24 | youve 14:13 | 20:1 21:4 56:23 | |
| ways 33:14 42:1,2 | $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ | 57:5 | |
| 42:17 | | 400 30:18,20 31:8 | |
| wedding 49:2,6 | zero 31:14 40:2,9 | 31:13 32:3 57:5 | |
| wednesday 1:10 | 41:24 43:24 44:23 | 5 | |
| went 23:18 | 50:7 53:24 57:14 | | |
| whats 14:15 16:16 | 0 | 53 2:10 | |
| 28:5 32:17 37:22 | | 6 | |
| 51:8,8 | 000 21:4,4 | | |
| widely 45:25 | 03 1:14 3:2 58:3 | 6 18:25 19:5,12 | |
| wipe 21:8 | 1 | 20:15,18 37:24 | |
| wiping 21:7 | 1 19:6,13 20:13 | 38:2 50:15 56:21 | |
| woods 1:6 3:5 | 49:23 | 56:22,24 | |
| word 33:5 | 10 1:14 3:2 19:10 | 6221 11:20 | |
| words 32:21 34:17 | 30:12,14 40:18 | 6226 11:18 15:13 | |
| 34:21,22 35:3,4 | 11 58:3 | 25:24 | |
| 35:13,19 36:3 | | 6230 38:23 | |
| 48:12 50:5 | 12562 1:4 3:4 | 662 17:24 | |
| work 9:15 23:8,15 | 169 33:24 42:25 | 6662 18:18 | |
| world 26:10 | 186 42:25 | 6664 7:16 | |
| worry 52:11 | 18a 18:5,17 | 6a 13:11 | |
| worth 49:23 52:6 | 1981 47:19 | | |
| 54:11,14,15 | 2 | 7 | |
| wouldnt 9:5 16:22 | 2 5:25 8:24 10:22 | 8 | |
| 16:22 40:24 44:2 | 11:11 19:6,13 | | |
| 49:6 | 20:13 30:13,15,16 | 8 30:13,16,19 40:18 | |
| write 16:18 | | 40:18 | |
| wrong 14:15 16:21 | 57:23 20 18:14 19:25 20:4 | 9 | |
| 20:22 23:18 35:13 | 20:5,5 21:4 56:23 | 91:10 | |
| 53:20 | , | 71.10 | |
| wrote 48:12 | 57:3 | | |
| | 2010 18:7 19:2 | | |
| X | 29:14 50:10,23 | | |
| x 1:2,8 | 2013 1:10 | | |
| | 24 2:7 | | |
| Y | 26 7:16 | | |
| youll 34:1 | 2a 25:24 | | |
| | | | |
| | I | I | l l |