1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	x
3	FANE LOZMAN, :
4	Petitioner : No. 11-626
5	v. :
6	THE CITY OF RIVIERA BEACH, FLORIDA:
7	x
8	Washington, D.C.
9	Monday, October 1, 2012
10	
11	The above-entitled matter came on for oral
12	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States
13	at 11:04 a.m.
L4	APPEARANCES:
15	JEFFREY L. FISHER, ESQ., Stanford, California; on
16	behalf of Petitioner.
L7	CURTIS E. GANNON, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor
18	General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.;
19	for United States, as amicus curiae, supporting
20	Petitioner.
21	DAVID C. FREDERICK, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf of
22	Respondent.
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	JEFFREY L. FISHER, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	CURTIS E. GANNON, ESQ.	
7	For United States, as amicus curiae,	20
8	supporting the Petitioner	
9	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
10	DAVID C. FREDERICK, ESQ.	
11	On behalf of the Respondent	31
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
13	JEFFREY L. FISHER, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioner	55
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:04 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument
4	next in Case 11-626, Lozman v. The City of Riviera
5	Beach.
6	Mr. Fisher.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF JEFFREY L. FISHER
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	MR. FISHER: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
-0	please the Court:
.1	To be a vessel, a structure must be
_2	practically capable of maritime transportation, and this
_3	case turns on how to assess such practical capability.
_4	And that's a question this Court answered
.5	over a century ago in Cope and Perry, explaining that
<b>.</b> 6	practical capability depends not on any physical
_7	attribute the structure might have, but rather, on "its
-8	purpose," that is, whether its function is to move
_9	people or things across water.
20	And that test has been applied numerous
21	times before and since, across decades, providing
22	stability and overall coherence to general maritime law.
23	And of course
24	JUSTICE SCALIA: You should have phrased the
25	test that way then, because it really

- 1 MR. FISHER: Pardon me?
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: That doesn't seem to me a
- 3 very felicitous description of what -- of what the test
- 4 is -- is enunciated to be.
- 5 MR. FISHER: Well, I think --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: The test is whether it's,
- 7 what, practically able?
- 8 MR. FISHER: Practically capable.
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: Practically capable. Well,
- 10 you could be practically capable of doing something,
- 11 even though the purpose of -- of setting the thing up
- 12 has nothing to do with that.
- MR. FISHER: Well, that's not what this
- 14 Court -- case is saying --
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: I understand. I'm just
- 16 saying we ought to get a different test, and let's --
- 17 let's get rid of this. If we agree with you, let's get
- 18 rid of this practically capable test, because
- 19 practically capable, frankly, would make us come out the
- 20 other way in this case.
- 21 MR. FISHER: With all due respect, I don't
- 22 think that's correct. In Evansville in 1926, this Court
- 23 used that exact phrase, practical capability. And it
- 24 assessed that practical capability by looking at "the
- 25 function of the structure."

1	7~~;~	~ ~ ~	00010		Evansville	~~~~	a+ba
1	Again	and	again,	T11	Fransville	anu	other

- 2 cases, this Court asked, was the function of the
- 3 structure to carry people or things across water.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, that just
- 5 has -- I understand that argument. It's got no
- 6 connection whatever to the statutory language, right?
- 7 MR. FISHER: Well, I think the word capable
- 8 obviously is in the statute. And what this Court said
- 9 as recently as Stewart is that capable --
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Capable is in the
- 11 statute, purpose is not, right?
- 12 MR. FISHER: Correct.
- 13 And what this Court said in Stewart is that
- 14 capable means practically capable, not theoretically
- 15 capable. There's a range of how broad the word capable
- 16 can be.
- 17 And again, going back over a century, every
- 18 single time this Court's been confronted with that
- 19 question, it's used the term function to describe
- 20 whether or not something is practically capable of
- 21 carrying people or things over water.
- 22 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You -- you described
- 23 cases with this purpose -- or function, the briefs cited
- 24 the district court decision, Sea Village Marina, that
- 25 says floating homes like the one here that can be towed

- and are not in the business of carrying people or goods,
- 2 but can be towed miles across the water, that those
- 3 constitute vessels.
- 4 And this district court decision,
- 5 Sea Village Marina, cited many, many cases. And you say
- 6 that that district judge got it wrong, or the cases were
- 7 wrong?
- 8 MR. FISHER: Justice Ginsburg, I think
- 9 there's a confusion of terminology that I hope I can --
- 10 that I hope I can straighten out at the outset.
- 11 The term floating home is generally
- 12 described to mean a residence that is designed to sit
- 13 still and is not designed to carry people or things over
- 14 water.
- 15 The term houseboat is something that is
- 16 self-propelled, generally moves people or things over
- 17 water.
- 18 What happened in the Sea Village Marina
- 19 case, to my understanding, is the Court simply used the
- 20 wrong term. It cited a lot of cases that held that
- 21 houseboats, as we describe a houseboat as something that
- is designed to move its owner and the owner's things
- 23 from here to there, are vessels. And we don't dispute
- 24 that.
- But on the other hand, you have something

- 1 called floating homes, which the brief filed by the
- 2 Seattle and Sausalito floating homes associations give a
- 3 very thorough description of what a floating home is and
- 4 how it's different.
- 5 And a primary way that it's different is
- 6 that, as opposed to a houseboat, which is doing its
- 7 function, it's doing its job when it's moving things
- 8 from place to place, a floating home can't function when
- 9 it's out in the water being towed. None of the
- 10 utilities work, none of the power, no equipment is
- 11 aboard to do anything --
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, but in -- in your
- 13 brief, I really lost count, but I think it's six times
- on the first two pages, you talk about indefinitely
- 15 moored.
- Now, the facts are in dispute, and we're not
- 17 quite clear of the facts, but let's assume that this
- 18 magnificent structure is -- which was mercifully
- 19 destroyed -- let's assume that it was attached to the
- 20 dock by a rope, a garden hose and an extension cord, and
- 21 that it could leave within 30 minutes notice. Is that
- 22 indefinitely moored? And if the answer is yes, is that
- 23 because of subjective intent of the owner?
- MR. FISHER: Justice Kennedy, it would be
- 25 indefinitely moored. That's the term this Court used in

- 1 Stewart to describe whether something was being used to
- 2 transport people or goods.
- It had said -- I have to emphasize that some
- 4 of the assumptions we do in fact dispute in your
- 5 hypothetical. But the fact that it sat still for three
- 6 years performing its function as a stationary residence
- 7 shows that it was indefinitely moored.
- The importance of indefinite mooring,
- 9 though, I want to emphasize, is actually less important
- 10 in this case than it might be if this were a dead ship
- 11 case, where you had something that was concededly a
- 12 vessel --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: But suppose --
- MR. FISHER: -- in the question --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- you know the law school
- 16 game, suppose it was moved every month.
- 17 MR. FISHER: It would still not be a vessel.
- 18 And you don't have to look any further than this Court's
- 19 Evansville case.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: That would be indefinitely
- 21 moored, in your view?
- MR. FISHER: Well, I'm not sure if you'd use
- 23 the term indefinitely moored at that point, but it
- 24 certainly wouldn't be transformed into a vessel because
- 25 look at this Court's Evansville case. The structure --

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Before you	aet	to
-------------------------------------	-----	----

- 2 Evansville, let's say it moved around, which is one
- 3 thing, but also it had a raked bow; it wasn't square.
- 4 Then -- then it starts to look more like a boat. It
- 5 moves around more frequently.
- 6 Its mooring -- I mean, if you have a
- 7 sailboat and you pull it up to a dock, you hook up for
- 8 water and plug in for power. It doesn't seem to me to
- 9 be terribly significant.
- 10 MR. FISHER: I think that's right if you
- 11 start with something that is a vessel; the fact that you
- 12 simply leave it at the dock for a long time doesn't take
- 13 away vessel status. That's what this Court held in
- 14 Stewart.
- 15 But if you start with something that isn't a
- 16 vessel -- and I give you the Roper case, which did have
- 17 a raked bow; it was an old Liberty ship that everybody
- 18 agreed had been decommissioned and turned into a
- 19 non-vessel. Then, they brought it in, they towed
- 20 it -- Justice Ginsburg, they towed it -- they loaded it
- 21 up with grain. Towed it again, let it sit still for a
- 22 couple years, towed it back, unloaded the grain. And
- 23 this Court said, it's not a vessel.
- 24 And why did this Court say it's not a
- 25 vessel? And I will quote from the opinion. It said:

- 1 "Because unlike a barge, the Harry Lane was not moved in
- 2 order to transport commodities from one place to
- 3 another; it served as a mobile warehouse performing its
- 4 function of storing grain." In the Evansville's case --
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counselor, can I -- can
- 6 I -- I have been lost even as I have read the briefs,
- 7 because there's a lot of terminology that I'm not sure
- 8 -- and standards that have been proposed that -- what
- 9 concepts they're tied to, okay?
- 10 As I see our cases, I'm -- I'm not quite
- 11 sure where indefinitely moored came from. I've seen the
- word permanently moored.
- 13 You seem to be suggesting a difference
- 14 between the two things, and I'm not sure where you get
- 15 the latter, indefinitely moored, from, and how that ties
- 16 to the concept of purpose.
- Does it -- does the permanent status or
- 18 indefinite mooring of a vessel not make it -- of a
- 19 structure not make it a vessel, and/or does purpose get
- 20 layered on top of mooring?
- 21 MR. FISHER: No. Purpose is the overall
- 22 question. The way where --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But it applies to
- 24 whether something is permanently moored or floating on
- 25 the sea?

- 1 MR. FISHER: That helps you determine its
- 2 purpose. So the word -- where the word indefinite comes
- 3 from, Justice Sotomayor, is from the Stewart case, where
- 4 this Court cited the Fifth Circuit's Pavone case with
- 5 approval, which had held that an indefinitely moored
- 6 floating casino was not a vessel.
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: I just don't see how you can
- 8 get purpose into this statutory language. It says
- 9 nothing about purpose. It says, capable of being used
- 10 as a means of transportation on water. How does purpose
- 11 get in there? Whose purpose are we talking about?
- MR. FISHER: We're talking about an
- 13 objective purpose, Justice Alito --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, then you're not
- 15 talking about purpose; you're talking about function,
- 16 right? You're just using purpose as a kind of strange
- 17 synonym for function.
- 18 But you're not talking about purpose of
- 19 either the homeowner or the manufacturer of the boat.
- 20 You're just saying what does this -- or what does this
- 21 thing, this floating home, do.
- MR. FISHER: Exactly. And I'm doing -- if I
- 23 can just say this directly, I'm trying to do exactly
- 24 what this Court did in Cope and Evansville and Roper.
- 25 The exact analysis this Court applied in those cases is

- 1 precisely what we want this Court to apply here. But I
- 2 think what we're --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Can I ask about that
- 4 definition? That definition comes from the Rules of
- 5 Construction Act, right --
- 6 MR. FISHER: Yes.
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- which provides the
- 8 meaning of all -- of the word vessel as used in the
- 9 United States Code. Okay?
- 10 MR. FISHER: Correct.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: What -- what meaning of
- 12 vessel in the United States Code is at issue here?
- 13 MR. FISHER: The word vessel in the Maritime
- 14 Lien Act, which is what provides the Federal forum,
- 15 assertedly, for the plaintiff, the City, to bring this
- 16 case. So the word vessel is -- is its jurisdictional
- 17 and substantive hook.
- Justice Sotomayor, if I can return to your
- 19 question about indefinite mooring, the importance of
- 20 indefinite mooring in this case, where you have
- 21 something that was not a vessel to begin with, is simply
- 22 to ask whether it's been transformed into a vessel,
- 23 exactly as this Court asked in Roper. So is it being
- 24 used for its function for which it was created and --
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Aren't you just

- 1 begging the question? You keep saying it was not a
- 2 vessel to begin with. Why -- doesn't it just restate
- 3 the question?
- 4 MR. FISHER: I'm not trying to beg the
- 5 question; I'm just trying to describe our argument to
- 6 you. There are some cases where --
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, doesn't your
- 8 argument beg the question?
- 9 MR. FISHER: I hope not. I'm trying to
- 10 distinguish between two lines of cases, one being where
- 11 you have things that were -- like the Roper case, that
- 12 were made as boats, as vessels undisputedly, and now the
- 13 question is whether they've been pulled out of
- 14 navigation; as opposed to another set of cases, which we
- 15 believe this falls into, where the question itself is
- 16 whether this was ever a vessel. In those kinds of
- 17 cases, the indefinite mooring shows that it's being used
- 18 for its function.
- 19 It hasn't -- for example, if I could give a
- 20 hypothetical, maybe it would help, imagine a piece of
- 21 floating dock. Now, under their test, that would be a
- 22 vessel because you can unhook the dock, load it up with
- 23 stuff and tow it around, if a company wanted to use that
- 24 as a makeshift barge.
- 25 But no maritime case has ever held that a

- 1 floating dock is a vessel. But if somebody did that,
- 2 then it would no longer be indefinitely moored and would
- 3 be using a different function and might be transformed
- 4 into a --
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: I think you may -- you may
- 6 very well have a good argument, but if you're relying
- 7 either on purpose or on indefinite mooring, then you've
- 8 lost me. I don't see how they get -- how you get those
- 9 into the words of the statute.
- 10 Suppose you have a boat, and it's tied up at
- 11 the harbor here in Washington. It hasn't been moved for
- 12 five years. It's indefinitely moved -- or 10 years or
- 13 20 years. But if it's capable, if you could untie it
- 14 and sail it out into the river, doesn't it fall within
- 15 the definition?
- 16 MR. FISHER: It absolutely does because the
- 17 function of a boat is to move people or things over
- 18 water. So when it's sitting still, Justice Alito, it's
- 19 not performing its function.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Right. So you are really
- 21 talking about a function test. And you are using
- 22 strange words, because they come out of our opinions --
- 23 kind of not your fault. But you're really saying that
- 24 what should apply here is a function test.
- 25 We are looking at this floating home. What

- 1 does it do? Is it just a thing that sits, or is it a
- 2 thing that transports things over water.
- 4 MR. FISHER: Yes, it is. In addition --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So it changes -- one
- 6 -- the same thing is not a boat sometimes, and it is a
- 7 boat. You've got a casino that's tied up for a month.
- 8 During that time, it's not a boat. And then they move
- 9 it around to go to the other side of the river, and
- 10 during that time it is a boat?
- 11 MR. FISHER: No, this Court in Stewart
- 12 rejected the snapshot test that I think is what you just
- 13 described.
- 14 The question is whether what -- whether it
- 15 has the function of moving people and things over water
- 16 or not. Now, some casinos go up and down the river --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But -- but I'm just
- 18 -- the hypothetical -- the hypothetical I've posed was
- 19 meant to pose the question, well, sometimes things do
- 20 both, and how do we tell which it is --
- 21 MR. FISHER: If it actually does the latter
- 22 and is performing its function while moving, then it is
- 23 a vessel. And that's what this Court held in Stewart.
- 24 There's not a primary purpose test.
- 25 If one of its purposes is to move people or

- 1 things over water, then it's a vessel. But that's not
- 2 the purpose of a floating home. That's not the purpose
- 3 of a floating restaurant or something else that might
- 4 be float --
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, how do we know that.
- 6 MR. FISHER: But you could tie it up and
- 7 move it.
- 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: How do we know that, Mr.
- 9 Fisher? I mean, maybe these floating homes are just a
- 10 poor man's houseboat, right? That the point of getting
- 11 a floating home is actually to have a home that you can
- 12 hook up to a boat and move from place to place, and so
- 13 you don't have to, you know, have the motor running all
- 14 the time or have the capacity to move it all the time,
- 15 but when you want to move it on water and when you want
- 16 to move your possessions on water, you have the capacity
- 17 to do so.
- 18 MR. FISHER: Well, with all due respect,
- 19 Justice Kagan, that's not why people have floating
- 20 homes. The amicus brief explains that. Don't look
- 21 at -- but you don't have to look any further than the
- 22 history of this. The only two times it moved any
- 23 significant distance were: One, when it changed
- 24 ownership; and two, when a hurricane struck, so it had
- 25 to be moved.

- 1 And look at your own cases. In Pavone,
- 2 which this Court cited with approval in Stewart, that
- 3 structure moved hundreds of miles over several years.
- 4 This Court said not a vessel. The structure in
- 5 Evansville moved three different ways. It moved up and
- 6 down the Mississippi-Ohio River as it changed ownership
- 7 several times over the course of 14 years. It also
- 8 moved every winter to avoid the ice that would come in.
- 9 And thirdly it was repositioned on literally almost a
- 10 daily basis to accord with the stages of the river. And
- 11 again, applying this Court's well settled function test,
- 12 this Court said that's not a vessel.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: But it was still -- it was
- 14 still tied to the land with roads and ramps and so
- 15 forth. Here you've got the hose and the extension cord
- 16 and the rope.
- MR. FISHER: Well, the important --
- 18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And it seems -- and it
- 19 seems to me, suppose you -- you want us to make some
- 20 universal definition of we know what a floating home is.
- 21 Suppose this -- suppose there were -- another owner of a
- 22 structure like this, and it moved to a different slip
- 23 every week to get more shade or more wind or something.
- 24 Then that would be different?
- MR. FISHER: It would sound to me just like

- 1 the floating warehouse and office in Evansville as I
- 2 just described that case. But Justice Kennedy, let me
- 3 say one more thing before I reserve my time.
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And suppose it moved --
- 5 suppose it moved up and down the canal to get better or
- 6 worse weather during different seasons.
- 7 MR. FISHER: If it's simply being
- 8 repositioned and not being used for a transportation
- 9 purpose, that is to move people or things, then it's not
- 10 a vessel. And that's exactly what this Court --
- 11 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, I have the same
- 12 problem Justice Kagan says. The whole point is that it
- 13 can move. That's the whole -- that's the reason you
- 14 have it.
- MR. FISHER: That is not the point,
- 16 Justice Kennedy, with all due respect. There's a
- 17 difference between a floating home and a houseboat, and
- 18 I urge you to look at the briefs on this point.
- 19 And this comes right back to your
- 20 question --
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, outside of your
- 22 floating home, what other structures would be kept out
- 23 of your definition of purpose or function and the city's
- 24 definition of practically capable? Can you imagine any
- 25 other function that's out there floating around?

1	MR. FISHER: Other floating commercial
2	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Because they disavow
3	water skis and garage doors and say they're practical,
4	capable tests with
5	MR. FISHER: Well, I'm not sure they can
6	actually disavow that on their tests, but
7	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, I know. But
8	MR. FISHER: Floating commercial
9	establishments, floating pieces, floating docks,
10	floating trampolines and play structures.
11	And, Justice Kennedy, if I could just answer
12	your question and reserve the rest of my time. The
13	importance of the connecting of the utilities and the
14	water hose, which was actually a specialized water hose,
15	not a garden hose, but the importance of those
16	connections is found in State codes across the country
17	that distinguish between floating homes and houseboats,
18	asking whether they're dependent on those connections to
19	operate.
20	A floating home cannot function if it's not
21	tied to land. It doesn't matter how many amps we want
22	to fight about, it's whether it needs that power from
23	land, whether it needs those connections to land. A
24	houseboat, like any other vessel, can fully function

away from port.

25

1	If I can reserve the remainder of my time.
2	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
3	Mr. Gannon.
4	ORAL ARGUMENT OF CURTIS E. GANNON,
5	FOR UNITED STATES,
6	AS AMICUS CURIAE, SUPPORTING THE PETITIONER
7	MR. GANNON: Mr. Chief Justice and may it
8	please the Court:
9	I think if I could start with Justice
10	Kagan's questions, the government's position is that
11	this is an objective function test and in evaluating
12	when a structure is practically capable of being used as
13	a means of transportation this Court has repeatedly
14	recognized that function is important to that inquiry.
15	It did so as recently as Stewart, when it
16	recognized that the function of the dredge there was to
17	carry crew and equipment across Boston Harbor in the
18	course of dredging a trench.
19	It also did so in the cases that
20	Petitioner's counsel has already talked about,
21	Evansville, Roper
22	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Can I can I
23	interrupt you just there on the dredging? You say the
24	function of the dredge was to carry people and
25	equipment. I would have said the function of the the

- 1 dredge is to dredge in the middle of the river.
- 2 MR. GANNON: Well, the Court --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right? And so --
- 4 and I don't know which of us would be right, so it seems
- 5 to me that that function test is a very difficult one to
- 6 apply.
- 7 MR. GANNON: Well, the Court in Stewart said
- 8 that "dredges" -- and I'm quoting from page 492 --
- 9 "serve a waterborne transportation function, because
- 10 they carry crew and equipment across" --
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I know what it
- 12 said. I guess I would say, obviously, it serves a
- 13 waterborne function, but I'm not sure the first thing I
- 14 would say when I see one of these dredges in the middle
- 15 of the river is its purpose is to move people and
- 16 equipment. I would say its purpose is to dredge.
- MR. GANNON: Well, but in general, it needs
- 18 to dredge not just in one place, because it's not just
- 19 dredging a hole, it's dredging a trench. It usually
- 20 needs to move in order to do that.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, I know but
- 22 that's saying that it has to be able to move, and I
- 23 agree with that. But its purpose is still to dredge,
- 24 not to move.
- MR. GANNON: Well, I think that the Court --

- 1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You could use it if
- 2 you had a -- you know, a transportation boat, right?
- 3 Any equipment you need to move, you put it on another
- 4 boat and drop it off. The people who work, you bring
- 5 them over and drop it off.
- 6 MR. GANNON: Yeah, I think that you could do
- 7 that. I think that's typically not the way the dredges
- 8 that -- not the way the superscoop works in Stewart and
- 9 it's not the way historic dredges worked in the case
- 10 of --
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sure it is, isn't
- 12 it? I mean, the superscoop doesn't go to the -- maybe
- 13 it does -- go to the shore every morning, then come
- 14 right out again? They move people back and forth with
- other boats, don't they?
- 16 MR. GANNON: Oh, but I -- what I meant is
- 17 that there are people and equipment on the superscoop
- 18 when it is moving across Boston Harbor. They didn't
- 19 sort of take it out there all empty every morning and
- 20 then load other things on to it that they -- that they
- 21 brought out there.
- 22 And in Evansville, the Court recognized that
- 23 the wharf boat there, which is a large structure -- it
- 24 was 240 feet long, 48 feet wide -- it served as an
- 25 office, a warehouse, and a wharf on the side of the

- 1 river, and the Court said that it performed no function
- 2 that might not have been performed as well by structures
- 3 permanently attached to the --
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Suppose someone builds a
- 5 replica of an historic watercraft, a Viking boat, the
- 6 kind of outrigger canoes that the Polynesians used
- 7 throughout the Pacific Ocean, and the purpose of this is
- 8 to display it in a museum, no one has any intention
- 9 whatsoever of ever putting it in the water, but it's
- 10 built so that if they did, it would -- it would function
- 11 just like its historic antecedent, is that a vessel?
- 12 MR. GANNON: I think that that would be a
- 13 vessel, because it really -- its objective function, if
- 14 you look at its design and its natural function --
- 15 that's just the phrase that the -- even Respondent's law
- 16 professor amici used. They acknowledged that the
- 17 function and purpose test is appropriate if it takes
- 18 account of the craft's own design and natural function.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: What about the -- I thought
- 20 there was a -- a kind of caveat in one of these cases,
- 21 maybe Stewart, that said take a thing that looks just
- 22 like a boat, the Queen Mary, but if it is permanently --
- 23 they use the word "indefinite." I think they mean
- 24 "permanently."
- MR. GANNON: Well, the Court --

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: But if it is permanently
- 2 moored to the shore and is never going to sea again,
- 3 then it isn't a vessel.
- 4 MR. GANNON: That's true. That's because
- 5 it's no --
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: Then if the Polynesian boat
- 7 is permanently in the museum, there's a lot of objective
- 8 evidence of that, it would not be a vessel. But if it's
- 9 something they really could well take out on the sea,
- 10 then it is, is that right?
- 11 MR. GANNON: It is true that the Court
- 12 recognized in Stewart and the Coast Guard's craft
- 13 routinely operated dockside policy is based upon the
- 14 presumption that something that used to be a vessel can
- 15 cease to be a vessel if it is semi-permanently or
- 16 indefinitely moored. That's the phrase that the Court
- 17 quoted in Stewart. And the Court recognized that even
- 18 something that's anchored to the seabed could --
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: That doesn't come up here.
- 20 That concerns the Queen Mary being sent to Long Beach
- 21 and used as a hotel.
- 22 MR. GANNON: And the Queen Mary is behind
- 23 a -- is essentially behind a cofferdam. It doesn't have
- 24 ready access to open water. It's connected to shore in
- 25 all sorts of permanent ways. We don't think that that's

- 1 the type of case that we have here, because nobody is
- 2 saying that this once was a vessel and it is now no
- 3 longer one just because it's tied up to the dock in the
- 4 way that it was tied up here.
- 5 And so, Justice Kennedy, we think that this
- 6 isn't really a case about indefinite mooring as making
- 7 the difference. This is a case where you need to start
- 8 with the question of was it ever a vessel. And
- 9 if the --
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So I was right. So that
- 11 permanent mooring is a different inquiry in your mind?
- 12 MR. GANNON: Well, permanent mooring is
- 13 usually going to be relevant to the question of whether
- 14 something ceases to be a vessel, as it's no longer
- 15 practically capable of being used as a means of
- 16 transportation. That's the way the Court discussed the
- 17 point in Stewart. And -- and that's true even for a
- 18 case like Roper, which was a former Liberty ship that
- 19 was towed up and down the James River.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, that's a -- that's
- 21 a somewhat easy case, because the hull, I think, was
- 22 removed or something was removed that made it --
- MR. GANNON: Well, there were things that
- 24 had been removed when it had been decommissioned
- 25 originally. But if the court of appeals test were used

- 1 here and the court were to conclude that something is a
- 2 vessel, if it is merely capable of being towed across
- 3 water even to its detriment, then you can't explain the
- 4 answer in cases like Evansville or in Roper where --
- 5 because the Evansville wharf boat was towed at least ten
- 6 times, as described in pages 21 and 22 of the Court's
- 7 opinion, and nobody was asking whether it had all
- 8 the office furniture and light fixtures and things like
- 9 that removed when it was towed at least twice a year for
- 10 the seven years before that suit began.
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: So, Mr. Gannon, you think
- 12 that even at the moment that the thing is being
- 13 transported, and let's say that the thing has, you know,
- 14 various furniture and things on it, you think even at
- 15 that moment under section 3, it's not a -- it might not
- 16 be a vessel?
- 17 MR. GANNON: That's generally going to be
- 18 true, yes. If the purpose of the structure, the
- 19 function, the objective function of the structure is to
- 20 operate, just to be stationary beside the dock, then
- 21 it's not going to be a vessel even when it's being towed
- 22 behind another vessel. There may still be rules about
- 23 how it needs to be lit at night and things like that,
- 24 but --
- 25 JUSTICE ALITO: What if it was more

- 1 seaworthy so that it could be towed 200 miles without
- 2 suffering any damage, even if there are, you know, small
- 3 waves, let's say?
- 4 And the reason why it was built that way was
- 5 so that when the person moves the person wouldn't have
- 6 to hire a moving company to come with a van and take out
- 7 all the person's personal belongings and ship those
- 8 by -- by land. This -- this is capable of moving and
- 9 moving all the stuff that's in it without having
- 10 anything damaged. Would it be the same? What would be
- 11 the result there?
- 12 MR. GANNON: Well, I -- I understand the
- 13 point. I think that, under a case like Evansville, that
- 14 there does seem to be a difference between relocating
- 15 the structure and using the structure to transport
- 16 people and things.
- 17 But under an objective function test, if it
- 18 really is designed to be mobile, and we look at it and
- 19 we say it really looks like a boat and it's designed to
- 20 move through water efficiently, it would probably look
- 21 different from this particular craft.
- But if ultimate mobility is part of the
- 23 function of it, then -- then the answer could well be
- 24 different.
- 25 But, for the most part, I think my answer is

- 1 the same as I was trying to give to Justice Kagan, which
- 2 is that this is either going to be a vessel all the time
- 3 until it becomes so permanently moored that it should no
- 4 longer be deemed a vessel.
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: I really just don't
- 6 understand your answer. Mobility surely was a -- was a
- 7 purpose of this because it was moved.
- 8 MR. GANNON: Well, I --
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: It can be moved.
- 10 MR. GANNON: There is a question about
- 11 practical capability of being used and --
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: Okay. Well, that's
- 13 something different.
- MR. GANNON: And before, you were asking
- 15 about the hook in the statute here. We do think that
- 16 the word contrivance does indicate that it's something
- 17 that has a function that's determinable.
- 18 And there are lots of other areas in
- 19 maritime law where the function of a vessel is a
- 20 relevant question, and this is not an unanswerable
- 21 inquiry. The court uses purpose and function when it's
- 22 deciding whether somebody is a Jones Act seaman --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Gannon, would you
- 24 have said sounds like this structure is not a vessel,
- 25 period. But your bottom line in your brief is that, if

- 1 we disagree with the Court of Appeals, we shouldn't say
- 2 this contrivance is not a vessel, we should send it back
- 3 -- for what?
- 4 What finding?
- 5 MR. GANNON: We think that the record here
- 6 was not really compiled with an object of answering
- 7 these questions, the things that we think are relevant,
- 8 because the district court and the Court of Appeals
- 9 flatly rejected any inquiry into the purpose or function
- 10 of the vessel. And --
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So what would we tell
- 12 them they should look into?
- 13 MR. GANNON: That they should look into the
- 14 purpose and function of the vessel. They should also
- 15 consider whether it would be damaged when it was towed.
- 16 That was something that petitioner tried to get. He was
- 17 proceeding pro se in the district court, offered to
- 18 present evidence about --
- 19 JUSTICE KENNEDY: On the first question,
- 20 purpose or function, what did they know that we can't
- 21 know by looking at this picture and listening to these
- 22 arguments?
- MR. GANNON: Well, I think that they could
- 24 hear more about --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: I mean, I would be willing

- 1 to stipulate they are better at this than we are, but
- 2 let's -- let's assume.
- 3 MR. GANNON: Well, I -- I think that
- 4 somebody -- if somebody -- I can't tell everything about
- 5 the structure. We have these pictures, and we know that
- 6 it has a ten-inch draft, but we don't really know how --
- 7 how well it is that --
- 8 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I want to be fair to the
- 9 Court of Appeals, well, now, you tell us what the
- 10 purpose and function is.
- 11 MR. GANNON: And I -- if --
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Don't we know that?
- MR. GANNON: Well, I think that you -- in --
- 14 this is going to be a somewhat idiosyncratic case. I
- 15 think that this is an unusual structure. That's why the
- 16 surveyor on page J-43 of the Joint Appendix found that
- 17 there were no comparables for sale in the state of
- 18 Florida. And so I think that most cases aren't really
- 19 going to -- to be like this.
- 20 But if I wanted to put on evidence about
- 21 that, I would probably compare -- decide whether this is
- 22 more like the floating homes that are described in the
- 23 Seattle floating homes brief, that are really designed
- 24 just to function in place near the shore. I think that
- 25 there would be more evidence about its capabilities

- 1 while it was actually out on the water and things like
- 2 that.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Counsel.
- 4 Mr. Frederick.
- 5 ORAL ARGUMENT OF DAVID C. FREDERICK
- 6 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT
- 7 MR. FREDERICK: Thank you Mr. Chief Justice,
- 8 and may it please the Court:
- 9 The City brought this In Rem action against
- 10 Mr. Lozman's uninsured houseboat to enforce maritime
- 11 liens. The houseboat was in violation of the wet slip
- 12 agreement, and it posed a hazard to other vessels in the
- 13 marina if, because of its flimsy moorings, it came
- 14 unmoored during a storm.
- The houseboat was located very close to the
- 16 navigable channel of the Atlantic Intercoastal Waterway
- 17 and next to a yacht-building facility next to the
- 18 marina. So the city faced a very real specter of being
- 19 sued if the uninsured houseboat came unmoored and caused
- 20 damage.
- 21 Our position is that the houseboat is a
- vessel under section 3 because it floats, moves, and
- 23 carries people or things on water, as the statutory --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Just like an inner
- 25 tube, right?

- 1 MR. FREDERICK: No. An inner tube actually
- 2 does not --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Floats, can be
- 4 towed, can carry a person.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK: Well, a person actually --
- 6 most of the body parts of a person would be underwater
- 7 and would be through the water, Mr. Chief Justice.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: One of those
- 9 inflatable rafts where most of the parts of the
- 10 people --
- MR. FREDERICK: The test would be what's the
- 12 practical capability, and a raft that has a bottom
- 13 actually could very well be a vessel under the
- 14 appropriate standard of practical capability.
- JUSTICE BREYER: This cup. What about the
- 16 cup?
- 17 MR. FREDERICK: Cup is not because a cup
- 18 doesn't float.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, well, this is lighter
- 20 than you think.
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No. How about a garage
- 22 door?
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Take the inner tube and, you
- 24 know, paste a couple of pennies on the inner tube. Now
- 25 it carries things. There are things on the inner tube,

- 1 and it floats.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK: Justice Kagan, I -- I think
- 3 we could imagine all kinds of de minimis types of
- 4 hypotheticals that would satisfy the basic criteria.
- 5 But what the Court in Stewart said was practical
- 6 capability as viewed in a real world sense. And I'm not
- 7 aware of any case -- and they've certainly not pointed
- 8 to anything -- that identifies anything with those kind
- 9 of practical attributes that would be subject to
- 10 litigation.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, practical
- 12 capability viewed in a real-world sense -- and you're
- 13 about transportation -- you're talking about things that
- 14 were built for transportation, right?
- MR. FREDERICK: You're -- yes. You're --
- 16 that is true in the sense that one of the purposes, as
- 17 manifested through its physical characteristics, is the
- 18 ability to be moved across water.
- 19 And just as Mr. Lozman's houseboat here was
- 20 moved 200 miles in the first towage after the hurricane
- 21 wiped out every other vessel in the docks in the north
- 22 bay marina, and he had it towed with a speed boat
- 23 70 miles to the city of Riviera Beach, those physical
- 24 characteristics and attributes were recognized by the
- 25 Court of Appeals when it applied the practical

- 1 capability test.
- 2 And it said on page 15(a) of the petition
- 3 appendix that certainly living, a domicile, is a purpose
- 4 of a floating home, but mobility is also a purpose, and
- 5 then demonstrated that mobility here.
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Under your definition,
- 7 how do you deal with Evansville?
- 8 MR. FREDERICK: Evansville is a case with
- 9 many layers, but let me just start first start with the
- 10 fact that the Court announced a practical capability
- 11 test in Evansville itself. That's what it applied. It
- 12 reviewed a district court record that had found no
- 13 practical capability on the basis of the driveways and
- 14 the more permanent connections to the utility system of
- 15 the city, and it reviewed that factual record for clear
- 16 error, which, of course, it didn't find, by --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What you are basically
- 18 saying, we reached the wrong conclusion because the
- 19 wharf boat floated, and it regularly was moved, and
- 20 there was nothing to suggest that it couldn't carry
- 21 people or things. It happened not to because they would
- 22 empty it, I understand, before they moved it, but it
- 23 could have.
- 24 So if it was practically capable of
- 25 floating, whether it was semi-tied to land or not, it

- 1 was released from land on somewhat of a regular basis.
- 2 So are you suggesting that in Stewart we change the
- 3 Evansville rule?
- 4 MR. FREDERICK: No. In Stewart you said
- 5 what the holding of the case was, which was that it was
- 6 not practically capable of movement at the time that it
- 7 sank.
- 8 Now, I would like to just step back for a
- 9 second because I think Evansville needs to be understood
- 10 in the time in which it was decided. At that time, many
- 11 courts, including this one, at times, applied a snapshot
- 12 test, what is happening to this particular watercraft at
- 13 the moment in time where an admiralty tort occurs, where
- 14 the contract ensues, and the like.
- 15 This Court subsequently disavowed the
- 16 snapshot test; but, in Stewart, what the Court did was
- 17 to describe Evansville and Cope as cases about not
- 18 practically capable of movement or carriage because of
- 19 their connections to the land.
- In Evansville, the owner of the wharf boat
- 21 also owned the adjoining land and had control over the
- 22 dock and built driveways so that trucks could come on
- 23 and off, and had an eight-inch concrete lining on the
- 24 houseboat which are not typically -- sorry, on the wharf
- 25 boat, which are not typically attributes one would think

- 1 of as ordinarily for vessels.
- JUSTICE BREYER: I -- I got stopped here,
- 3 back at Stewart. "Practically capable of maritime
- 4 transport." Now, those are words I thought you have to
- 5 interpret with some degree of common sense, and the
- 6 reason for that is because each of us can of course
- 7 imagine all kinds of things, from Styrofoam sofas to --
- 8 to just dozens of absurd things that have nothing to do
- 9 with ships or vessels and really could be used
- 10 theoretically to carry something on the water.
- 11 So what we think of is that practical
- 12 capability means that there must -- this must really
- 13 have as a function, as one of its functions -- I'd like
- 14 to say purpose, but some people apparently don't like
- 15 that --
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: -- because it it has some
- 18 other implication that I don't understand. Okay.
- 19 So call it the function or the capacity, and
- 20 it really does as a significant matter of carrying
- 21 things, and not just accoutrements like nails in its
- 22 walls, but -- but things from place to place to some
- 23 significant degree, okay? So I've just taken the words
- 24 of the test and through voice -- and trying to focus
- 25 your mind, I've said you have to do that really as a --

- 1 it has to be some significant degree there, which this
- one doesn't seem to have, all right?
- 3 So you see what I'm trying to do, and really
- 4 it's a way of getting you to respond to that.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK: I think it certainly did
- 6 have that. It had that capability, Justice Breyer,
- 7 because it was actually moved on multiple occasions.
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: But it wasn't carrying
- 9 things.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK: It was carrying his personal
- 11 effects.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, that's true. And of
- 13 course a Styrofoam sofa is -- is carrying the holes, or
- 14 it's carrying the -- you know, the -- the coffee can or
- 15 something that is on top. But when you have a thing
- 16 that carries itself, that isn't good enough.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK: I --
- 18 JUSTICE BREYER: It has to be something to
- 19 do with transporting a thing, transporting some stuff.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK: It transported his
- 21 computers; it transported his clothes. Except for the
- 22 fact that his guns were confiscated before the marshals
- 23 took it --
- JUSTICE BREYER: That's what part of the
- 25 house.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK: -- it was moved, that -- no,
- 2 they're not part of the house. They're part of the
- 3 personal effects, just as someone had personal effects
- 4 in his or her dwelling. And when the marshal towed it,
- 5 it carried two people as part of the crew for the
- 6 transit between --
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Think of what
- 8 it's doing and compare that with the dredge that every
- 9 day the workmen get on, they go into the middle of
- 10 Boston Harbor, and then they start to work, and they
- 11 dredge.
- 12 And so you'd say, well, I see one of the purposes of
- 13 this boat is to carry those people out there.
- Now think of this one. This one is carrying
- 15 things, but that which it carries is just what is part
- 16 of a normal house which has nothing to do with
- 17 transporting things on water.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK: Well, actually, I think Mr.
- 19 Fisher conceded that if this had a motor and it was
- 20 carrying exactly the same personal effects, it would be
- 21 a vessel.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. How do you then
- 23 distinguish -- I see where you're -- you're just saying
- 24 my distinction is not going to work, and so then I'd ask
- 25 you to say what one you want to come up with that will

- 1 get rid of all the absurd examples that are lurking in
- 2 the back of my mind, which I will avoid -- and yet
- 3 include --
- 4 (Laughter.)
- 5 MR. FREDERICK: I think that a vessel that
- 6 has practical capability, a watercraft that has
- 7 practical capability to float, move and carry goods or
- 8 people, that's a vessel.
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: The floating sofa? The
- 10 floating sofa? Somebody is retired, he likes to see it
- 11 float around in the water, and you know, and it carries
- 12 a cushion. I mean, really that's absurd. So -- so how
- 13 do you distinguish -- I gave you an absurd example. I
- 14 don't need to think of more.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK: I think I've given up the
- 16 absurd hypos because there are no litigation on them.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, suppose, Mr.
- 19 Frederick, this. Suppose we had a trial on the question
- 20 of whether these floating homes or this floating home
- 21 was a vessel, and we found out that actually 99 percent
- 22 of people who buy floating homes move it exactly once.
- 23 They purchase the floating home and then they move it to
- 24 the place where they want the home to be, and then it
- 25 sits there. And this was just a clear evidence that,

- 1 you know, except if there's a hurricane or a tornado,
- 2 people do not move floating homes. They buy it, they
- 3 move it to where they want to live, and then it sits.
- In that case, do you think the thing is a
- 5 vessel?
- 6 MR. FREDERICK: Yes, if it has the practical
- 7 capability. That's what the statute says, Justice
- 8 Kagan. It depends on if you want to rewrite the statute
- 9 to have subjective intent --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, it's a statute --
- 11 MR. FREDERICK: -- of lots of vessel owners.
- 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: You're reading the statute
- 13 -- you're reading the statute as if it says something
- 14 can be transported over water. But the statute doesn't
- 15 say that. It says something can be used or capable of
- 16 being used as a means of transportation on water. So
- 17 that -- that the question is whether this thing is
- 18 transporting other things over water, and whether that's
- 19 its function; and in my hypothetical it's not its
- 20 function. Its function is to serve as a house. That
- 21 house happens to be on water, but it's just a house.
- 22 MR. FREDERICK: Justice Kagan, the fact that
- 23 a vessel only moves once doesn't mean that it's not a
- 24 vessel if it has -- if it meets the attributes of the
- 25 statute, as explained by this Court in Stewart, of

- 1 practical capability. The Titanic, of course, is a
- 2 perfect example of that.
- 3 The fact that a person may choose mobility
- 4 as a -- as one of the attributes and not exercise that
- 5 attribute of course goes to subjective intent, and as
- 6 the Maritime Law Association's brief points out here,
- 7 you do not want to apply an intent standard that goes to
- 8 what the owner intends to -- which function the owner
- 9 intends to exercise, because that leads to manipulation.
- 10 And the casino --
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Frederick, the city's
- 12 position, it is whatever we want it to be. That is the
- 13 first time Lozman was sued by the city. It was not
- 14 under admiralty jurisdiction, it was a plain old
- 15 landlord/tenant suit in State court, right?
- 16 MR. FREDERICK: Yes, but there are some
- 17 exceptions and if -- I will let you finish your
- 18 question.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Yes, well, my question
- 20 is, is it -- is it a vessel when you want it to be, and
- 21 just an ordinary landlord/tenant situation when you want
- it to be that way?
- MR. FREDERICK: No. I would answer that
- 24 question as no.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, let's take this

- 1 very incident, that is he failed to comply with the
- 2 revised rules and he was behind in his payment of
- 3 dockage fees. Could the city have brought that case in
- 4 an ordinary State court for the arrears?
- 5 MR. FREDERICK: No.
- 6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Why not?
- 7 MR. FREDERICK: Because it's a vessel and
- 8 the exclusive admiralty jurisdiction of the United
- 9 States courts means that it has to be litigated in the
- 10 United States courts.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: What about --
- 12 MR. FREDERICK: That's why in the first one,
- 13 if I could just explain about the State court, because I
- 14 think that there is some misapprehension about what
- 15 happened.
- 16 His dog was not complying with the
- ordinances, and he was not complying with the city
- 18 ordinances; that's why -- that's why the city brought
- 19 the in personam action against him in State court.
- 20 There was no admiralty basis there. He was still paying
- 21 all of his dockage services and fees. It became an in
- 22 rem action when the lien was not being discharged
- 23 through his payment on the dockage fees, and the city
- 24 had a basis under the wet slip agreement to assert a
- 25 maritime lien, which is a classic admiralty action under

- 1 Federal jurisdiction.
- 2 So they are very different actions. Under
- 3 the State court action he could still stay at the
- 4 marina, but he had to be on a houseboat that complied
- 5 with the marina's rules. He had two house boats at the
- 6 marina, and this one was not in compliance, and that is
- 7 why the city brought action against it. It was the only
- 8 one of the 500-plus vessels and boats in this marina
- 9 that wasn't in compliance with the rules.
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: We -- we want I suppose to
- 11 give the courts of appeals a test that works. I -- see
- 12 if this is, sums up your argument, or your position.
- 13 You look to see the objective
- 14 characteristic, the physical capacity of the -- of the
- 15 structure, and then you look not to purpose but to its
- 16 objective function: Does it carry goods under the
- 17 statute. And then I suppose you could under that say
- 18 that this is a vessel, but that this presumption is
- 19 overcome if it's permanently moored in a way the
- 20 Evansville dredge was. Is -- is that your argument?
- 21 MR. FREDERICK: I think that sums up in a
- 22 nutshell what we would regard as a proper statement of
- 23 the law, of what this Court has already said, and that
- 24 is that if it's got practical capability, those
- 25 practical characteristics, Justice Kennedy, will

- 1 manifest itself in the functions. If somebody wants to
- 2 buy a domicile on land, one buys a house or a condo. If
- 3 you buy a floating home, that has the attribute, the
- 4 physical characteristics of floating, movage -- and
- 5 moving and carriage --
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: That's where that's
- 7 exactly -- I mean, I think that works pretty well; and
- 8 you think that works pretty well, but I don't agree with
- 9 you at the moment hypothetically. So something's wrong
- 10 somewhere. And what I'm thinking is that you could have
- 11 very odd things, you know, like an advertising sign,
- 12 floating advertising sign and tow it around. Is that
- 13 floating advertising sign a vessel? No, it doesn't
- 14 carry goods but it does carry, say, the eyes on the
- 15 figure which might move around; and then it does -- and
- 16 Justice Kennedy said carrying goods. All right. Does
- 17 this structure, this houseboat have a function of
- 18 carrying goods? You're tempted to say yes, because his
- 19 personal effects are in it. I'm attempted to say no,
- 20 because there is nothing special about those personal
- 21 effects that isn't exactly similar to their being in a
- 22 similar structure on land.
- That's where I am wondering if there is a
- 24 distinction. That's -- do you see what I -- what's
- 25 bothering me?

1	MR. FREDERICK: Justice Breyer, there is no
2	basis, I mean, with all due respect, there is no basis
3	in your cases to to hold that there is something
4	about transportation that makes it somehow uniquely
5	nautical or maritime as opposed to
6	JUSTICE BREYER: No, it's nothing
7	MR. FREDERICK: household effects or
8	other goods or services or people that are transported
9	over land. And that's why when the normal definition of
10	transportation is to convey a person or a thing from one
11	place to another, that's perfectly satisfied under the
12	facts of this case. And it is an undisputed record as
13	Petitioner says, on page 27 of the cert petition, they
L <b>4</b>	ask for cert here for you to decide whether Mr. Lozman's
15	state of mind about his indefinite mooring is somehow
16	relevant to the definition of a vessel. It clearly
17	isn't.
18	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I guess the problem is
19	the list of absurdities that they point to, not the
20	least of which is a dry dock, which you talk about
21	whether it's permanently moored or not, but most dry
22	docks are held in place by, you know, heavy ropes but
23	you can cut them and you can stick something on them and
24	they can float away. Under so how do you

MR. FREDERICK: I don't accept the premise

25

- 1 of your argument. The --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: For the --
- 3 MR. FREDERICK: The dry docks with which I
- 4 am familiar are anchored to the bottom so that they can
- 5 stay in one place and they don't carry anything, so they
- 6 don't meet the part of the test that requires carriage.
- 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So what do you do with
- 8 the --
- 9 MR. FREDERICK: They are simply physical
- 10 structures --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- trampoline and the
- 12 other examples your adversary gave? Is a trampoline
- 13 that floats on water capable of moving -- it's moving
- 14 the trampoline.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK: I don't think it's
- 16 practically capable of carrying anything.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It's carrying the
- 18 Trampoline.
- MR. FREDERICK: And again --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So is the difference
- 21 whether I attach something permanently or temporarily to
- 22 the top of the floating thing, the floating board, the
- 23 floating whatever?
- 24 MR. FREDERICK: Well, it would not be
- 25 subject to towage. Here the houseboat had -- this is

- 1 important because the houseboat under the testimony Mr.
- 2 Lozman elicited at trial had four towing cleats that
- 3 were welded into the structure of his houseboat so that
- 4 it could be towed without torquing and twisting the
- 5 houseboat and causing it to sink. The hypotheticals
- 6 that the other side has suggested don't have that
- 7 additive feature of towing cleats that are used for the
- 8 purpose of being able to convey the houseboat --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Counsel, your
- 10 example of the towing cleats highlights one of the
- 11 difficulties I have; one, because obviously the question
- 12 of, well, what if they didn't have the towing cleats,
- 13 and then what if they had the towing cleats and then
- 14 took them off, what if they were temporary towing
- 15 cleats. One of the things, this is a jurisdictional
- 16 statute and we like jurisdictional statutes to be clear
- 17 and easy of application. Why do you think your test is
- 18 easier than your friend's test?
- 19 MR. FREDERICK: Because the physical
- 20 characteristics of this houseboat all point to the
- 21 attributes of being a vessel. It floats, it moves, it
- 22 carries. It's got nothing to do --
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's got -- it
- 24 doesn't have -- the thing that makes something look most
- 25 like a boat in my view is a raked bow. That tells you

- 1 that that's what they want to use it for, to move
- 2 through the water. This is straight up and down.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK: Well, Mr. Chief --
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It doesn't have a --
- 5 what are the things called on the side, the elevated
- 6 sides that you'd look for in a boat.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK: We would submit that
- 8 Congress did not intend a you-know-it-when-you-see-it
- 9 test. House barges, barges have been vessels since the
- 10 time of Cleopatra. The fact that it is flat-bottomed
- 11 and it floats and it moves and it carries things does
- 12 not make it not a vessel.
- 13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Frederick, this is
- 14 kind of an idiosyncratic case. There are many cases I
- 15 think in the courts now about floating casinos. I take
- 16 it on your definition the floating casino would be a
- 17 vessel subject to maritime jurisdiction.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK: Yes, unless it has a
- 19 physical impediment that takes it out of one of the
- 20 three attributes that doesn't make it a vessel. If --
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: As long as the vessel
- 22 stays in one place and the gambling goes on in one
- 23 place, then it may be towed to a different location, and
- 24 it stays there. You -- you say because it is able to be
- 25 moved from one place to another it qualifies as a

- 1 vessel?
- 2 MR. FREDERICK: Yes.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Even if it's rather
- 4 permanently moored with a, with a -- like the Intrepid
- 5 on the Hudson River --
- 6 MR. FREDERICK: I'm not familiar --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: With about -- aircraft
- 8 carrier. But it's really fixed in there with regular
- 9 walkways and so forth. Very -- it would cause a lot of
- 10 work in order to move it.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK: We suggest that the way the
- 12 court should think about that problem is as a physical
- 13 impediment. Are physical impediments preclude its moat
- 14 movement or carriage or floating capacity --
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Frederick, if that is
- 16 the case, then your test really comes down to how
- 17 securely is something fastened. I mean, you have to
- 18 deal with Evansville's wharf boat and you have to deal
- 19 with Cage's dry dock, and you have to deal with all
- 20 these floating casinos and restaurants. And you're
- 21 saying that in all these cases we are supposed to look
- 22 to is it a rope or is it a cable, how many cables, how
- 23 quickly can it be disengaged, and that that's going to
- 24 end up being the test that you would have us adopt which
- 25 is how easy it is to get out of the port.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK: I think that's a fair way to
- 2 view it, Justice Kagan, and it's a perfectly appropriate
- 3 one. The Belle of Orleans case --
- 4 JUSTICE KAGAN: That really does become a
- 5 jury question -- a question of fact for everything,
- 6 right? You know, are there six cables, are there nine
- 7 cables, what are they made of, you know, how long is it
- 8 going to take to rip up the -- the I-beams, whatever?
- 9 MR. FREDERICK: Well, I think that as a
- 10 practical matter, this arises in only a couple of
- 11 instances and those are the casino boats, many of which
- 12 were vessels and they traversed the rivers allowing
- 13 people to gamble because that's how state laws required
- 14 them to perform. And they have since stopped trying to
- 15 be vessels because of state law changes that they were
- 16 able to make. And so the question as a practical matter
- 17 is are there physical impediments to the ability of that
- 18 boat to -- to use the capability to move? The Star of
- 19 India, which was referenced in the Belle of Orleans
- 20 case, was not -- was a vessel, a sailing vessel from the
- 21 19th century. In 1926 they took it out of commission as
- 22 a sailing vessel and they towed it to San Diego, where
- 23 it sat for 50 years tied to the dock, and for the
- 24 bicentennial, they decided let's get the boat out and
- 25 sail it and they sailed it for the bicentennial. The

- 1 Ninth Circuit held that's a vessel because it has the
- 2 capability of being used as a vessel. And the fact that
- 3 something is moored for a long time, if it has the
- 4 physical attributes to be a vessel, it is a vessel. The
- 5 United States -- the USS Constitution, the famous USS
- 6 Constitution would be shocked to have heard Mr. Gannon's
- 7 statement about vessel because there are 200 Navy
- 8 service members who service the USS Constitution and
- 9 take it out periodically for sail.
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Is there any problem here,
- 11 which I think maybe the Coast Guard and the other people
- 12 who are responsible for vessels, say once we start
- 13 thinking that everything in the house is a vessel -- I
- 14 overstate -- we're going to have an impossible time
- 15 doing our job. I mean, you know, you are going to see
- 16 some kind of a log next to a beach somewhere and
- 17 somebody's going to start calling it a vessel. We've
- 18 got to limit this somehow to things that really are used
- 19 as vessels.
- MR. FREDERICK: Yes --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Is that a problem, and if
- 22 so, how would you deal with it?
- MR. FREDERICK: If it were a problem the
- 24 Coast Guard would have signed the Solicitor General's
- 25 brief in this case which they have done in other cases

- 1 in which transportation and vessel status have been
- 2 relevant like in Sprietsma v. Mercury Marine, United
- 3 States v. Locke, in which the Coast Guard --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: This is not very
- 5 compelling in this case because they have regulations
- 6 that pretty much echo what the Solicitor General is
- 7 saying, so it's not as if they were going to take a
- 8 different position. The Solicitor General is basically
- 9 saying follow the Coast Guard regulations.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK: And the statute underlying
- 11 those regulations, Justice Sotomayor, is found at 46 USC
- 12 4302, and it provides the secretary very broad
- 13 discretion on what to include within the regulations and
- 14 what not to. After this court decided the Stewart case,
- 15 the secretary suspended many regulations for dockside
- 16 vessels until the Coast Guard could issue new
- 17 regulations. There is a hint, there is a suggestion
- 18 that there might be a problem, but there is not anything
- 19 that is really given in practical terms.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but, I mean,
- 21 there is some easy things to visualize as a problem. If
- 22 this is a vessel, then the maid that comes on twice a
- 23 week is a seaman under the Jones Act, right?
- 24 MR. FREDERICK: No. And the reason why is
- 25 because as this court recognized in Stewart, the in

- 1 navigation requirement is something that has been used
- 2 for limiting the reach of Jones Act seamen in those
- 3 circumstances in which a vessel is taken out of
- 4 navigation. So I think that it would be appropriate in
- 5 a case like this where this is a classic instance of a
- 6 maritime lien, dockage --
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry, taken out
- 8 of navigation, but not every time it's docked, right?
- 9 MR. FREDERICK: No. But no -- and in fact,
- 10 I think the question of who is a Jones Act seaman is a
- 11 different test that this Court last discussed in the
- 12 Chandris case, in terms of its substantial connection to
- 13 the mission of the vessel. And that -- I think that the
- 14 Court could safely leave the Jones Act issues aside,
- 15 because they bring in an entirely different regime that
- 16 focuses on the worker's connection to the vessel as
- 17 opposed to the definition of vessel itself.
- 18 The definition of vessel itself here is, as
- 19 Justice Scalia pointed out, part of the Dictionary Act,
- 20 and it is something that does apply more broadly. But
- 21 as we briefed in this case, there are two provisions
- 22 that take that definition and then they add an intent
- 23 requirement as specific language in different parts. So
- 24 that if that idea, function, or intent or purpose is
- 25 something that is germane to that particular statutory

- 1 function, than that is a question that becomes a
- 2 question for jurisdiction.
- But I'd also like to point out that both, I
- 4 think, the district court and the court of appeals here
- 5 assumed that there was jurisdiction here because there
- 6 had not been evidence that contested the basic
- 7 principles that the City brought when it profiled this
- 8 in rem action.
- 9 And because the case then moved into the
- 10 merits phase, the district judge here initially denied
- 11 the motion to dismiss for want of jurisdiction without
- 12 prejudice. And then as the evidence came in, revisited
- 13 the question to provide a fuller explanation, and at
- 14 that time made the ruling that Mr. Lozman had not put in
- 15 record evidence that affected the practical capability
- 16 of the test.
- 17 The only thing Mr. Lozman argued in the
- 18 court of appeals as a reason for error was that because
- 19 he intended to live there indefinitely, even though he
- 20 had no contractual or property right to do so, and he
- 21 had signed a wet slip agreement that provided the marina
- 22 complete discretion to move his houseboat within any of
- 23 the slips, or to order of the houseboat to leave on 3
- 24 days' notice, the question of whether or not there was
- 25 any record of evidence on practical capability got to

- 1 the Eleventh Circuit, and the Eleventh Circuit, in
- 2 applying a practical capability test, said the things
- 3 that Mr. Lozman had argued, he didn't offer record
- 4 evidence.
- 5 So, Justice Kennedy, to your point, I think
- 6 that with respect to the Court of Appeals and how it did
- 7 do its job here is an important facet of the case as it
- 8 comes.
- 9 They initially asked you in the cert
- 10 petition, grant cert because the Fifth Circuit and the
- 11 Seventh Circuit have applied an onerous intent test.
- 12 They've not defended that test. And it is abjectly
- 13 erroneous because you can't have vessel status be so
- 14 easily manipulated by an individual's intent. And now
- 15 by trying to morph it into some kind of function or
- 16 objective purpose standard, they've essentially done
- 17 exactly what the Eleventh Circuit said they had offered
- 18 no evidence in the district court to try to prove.
- 19 If there are no further questions.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 21 Mr. Fisher, you have 3 minutes left.
- 22 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF JEFFREY L. FISHER
- ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- MR. FISHER: Thank you.
- I think Mr. Frederick's best argument that

- 1 I've heard, and some of this Court has echoed it, is
- 2 that this is a vessel because it was moved around and it
- 3 carried his personal effects. The difficulty is that
- 4 argument runs absolutely headlong into Evansville and
- 5 Roper; it cannot be squared with those cases. And I
- 6 would be willing to rest my entire case on simply this
- 7 Court reading and applying those cases.
- 8 In Evansville, this Court dealt with
- 9 something that carried around the effects of a business
- 10 office. In Roper, this Court dealt with something that
- 11 carried around grain and was far more seaworthy than the
- 12 structure in this case.
- Both instances, the Court said they're not
- 14 vessels because the function was not to carry those
- 15 things around, it was merely -- they were merely
- 16 incidental relocations.
- Now -- so for that reason, the Eleventh
- 18 Circuit simply cannot be right when it says that
- 19 function is irrelevant. And the City can't be right on
- 20 its test either. The only way the City has proposed to
- 21 deal with those cases is to look at how securely the
- 22 structure is fashioned.
- 23 And Justice Kagan, you're exactly right. If
- 24 you want a recipe for disaster on jurisdictional
- 25 questions, start asking whether it's chains or ropes.

- 1 And not only that, if you want something that's utterly
- 2 manipulable, just tell the yacht owner who has his yacht
- 3 down in the harbor that all he has to do is hook it up
- 4 to the dock with chains instead of ropes and now he's
- 5 out of maritime jurisdiction.
- 6 So this Court's cases for almost a century
- 7 have applied the exact test we're asking this Court to
- 8 apply. And even if you're not 100 percent persuaded
- 9 that that's what the statute is best read as doing, that
- 10 is what we have done for over 100 years, and that is how
- 11 maritime law has built up and guaranteed on those -- on
- 12 those understandings.
- And it's not just the questions we've been
- 14 talking today -- it's employment law, tort law, all the
- 15 rest are built on this test. And we're asking this
- 16 Court simply to reaffirm what it's done in the past.
- 17 So I think that leaves the question of, when
- 18 you know the Eleventh Circuit applied the wrong test and
- 19 you know the City's test can't be right, do you vacate
- 20 or do you send -- or do you simply reverse? And we
- 21 think -- Justice Kennedy, we think that you can simply
- 22 reverse. You have everything in the record you need,
- 23 most notably in the surveyor's report.
- 24 And you can look at four things. Look at
- 25 the -- look at the materials used, the shape of the

- 1 structure, its equipment and the utilities. The
- 2 materials used were plywood and ordinary land-based
- 3 structures.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That is -- what was
- 5 used in the Higgins boats in World War II.
- 6 MR. FISHER: I'm -- I'm not saying any of
- 7 these are determinative, Mr. Chief Justice, but it's a
- 8 totality that tells you what it is.
- And the next thing is the shape. Exactly as
- 10 you referred. This is a rectangle that sits 10 inches
- 11 under the water, is not meant to be moved around. Look
- 12 at the -- look at its features. It has French doors on
- 13 three sides a few feet above the water line. That's not
- 14 what a vessel -- not how a vessel is designed.
- 15 And finally, its utilities. Again, at Joint
- 16 Appendix 40, for example, it says this thing has no
- 17 batteries. It is utterly dependent on being hooked up
- 18 to land. That's the only way it can function.
- 19 So if this Court does nothing else between
- 20 now and casting its vote and writing its opinion,
- 21 revisit this Court's prior cases and reassert the rule
- 22 that this Court has always applied.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 24 Counsel.
- The case is submitted.

1		(Wh	nereupon	ı, a	ıt	12:03	p.m.,	the	case	in	the
2	above-entitl	ed	matter	was	5 S	ubmit	ted.)				
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											

	l	l	l	I
A	31:12 42:24	applying 17:11	40:24 41:4	24:6 26:5
ability 33:18	54:21	55:2 56:7	47:21 48:20	27:19 33:22
50:17	aircraft 49:7	appropriate	51:4	34:19 35:20,25
abjectly 55:12	<b>Alito</b> 11:7,13	23:17 32:14	avoid 17:8 39:2	38:13 47:25
able 4:7 21:22	14:5,18 23:4	50:2 53:4	aware 33:7	48:6 49:18
47:8 48:24	26:25 28:5,9	approval 11:5	<b>a.m</b> 1:13 3:2	50:18,24
50:16	28:12	17:2		<b>boats</b> 13:12
aboard 7:11	allowing 50:12	areas 28:18	<u>B</u>	22:15 43:5,8
above-entitled	<b>amici</b> 23:16	argued 54:17	back 5:17 9:22	50:11 58:5
1:11 59:2	<b>amicus</b> 1:19 2:7	55:3	18:19 22:14	<b>body</b> 32:6
absolutely 14:16	16:20 20:6	argument 1:12	29:2 35:8 36:3	<b>Boston</b> 20:17
56:4	<b>amps</b> 19:21	2:2,5,9,12 3:3	39:2	22:18 38:10
absurd 36:8	analysis 11:25	3:7 5:5 13:5,8	barge 10:1	<b>bothering</b> 44:25
39:1,12,13,16	anchored 24:18	14:6 20:4 31:5	13:24	<b>bottom</b> 28:25
absurdities	46:4	43:12,20 46:1	<b>barges</b> 48:9,9	32:12 46:4
45:19	<b>and/or</b> 10:19	55:22,25 56:4	based 24:13	<b>bow</b> 9:3,17
accept 45:25	announced	arguments	<b>basic</b> 33:4 54:6	47:25
access 24:24	34:10	29:22	basically 34:17	<b>Breyer</b> 23:19
accord 17:10	answer 7:22	arises 50:10	52:8	24:1,6,19
account 23:18	19:11 26:4	arrears 42:4	basis 17:10	32:15,19 36:2
accoutrements	27:23,25 28:6	<b>aside</b> 53:14	34:13 35:1	36:17 37:6,8
36:21	41:23	asked 5:2 12:23	42:20,24 45:2	37:12,18,24
acknowledged	answered 3:14	55:9	45:2	38:7,22 39:9
23:16	answering 29:6	asking 19:18	batteries 58:17	39:17 44:6
<b>Act</b> 12:5,14	antecedent	26:7 28:14	bay 33:22	45:1,6 51:10
28:22 52:23	23:11	56:25 57:7,15	beach 1:6 3:5	51:21
53:2,10,14,19	apparently	assert 42:24	24:20 33:23	<b>brief</b> 7:1,13
action 31:9	36:14	assertedly 12:15	51:16	16:20 28:25
42:19,22,25	appeals 25:25	assess 3:13	beg 13:4,8	30:23 41:6
43:3,7 54:8	29:1,8 30:9	assessed 4:24	began 26:10	51:25
actions 43:2	33:25 43:11	Assistant 1:17	begging 13:1	briefed 53:21
add 53:22	54:4,18 55:6	associations 7:2	<b>behalf</b> 1:16,21	<b>briefs</b> 5:23 10:6
addition 15:4	APPEARAN	Association's	2:4,11,14 3:8	18:18
additive 47:7	1:14	41:6	31:6 55:23	<b>bring</b> 12:15 22:4
adjoining 35:21	appendix 30:16	assume 7:17,19	believe 13:15	53:15
admiralty 35:13	34:3 58:16	30:2	<b>Belle</b> 50:3,19	broad 5:15
41:14 42:8,20	application	assumed 54:5	belongings 27:7 best 55:25 57:9	52:12
42:25	47:17	assumptions 8:4		broadly 53:20
adopt 49:24	applied 3:20	Atlantic 31:16	better 18:5 30:1	brought 9:19
adversary 46:12	11:25 33:25	attach 46:21	bicentennial	22:21 31:9
advertising	34:11 35:11	attached 7:19	50:24,25	42:3,18 43:7
44:11,12,13	55:11 57:7,18	23:3	<b>board</b> 46:22	54:7
<b>ago</b> 3:15	58:22	attempted 44:19	<b>boat</b> 9:4 11:19	builds 23:4
<b>agree</b> 4:17 21:23	<b>applies</b> 10:23	attribute 3:17	14:10,17 15:6	<b>built</b> 23:10 27:4
44:8	apply 12:1 14:24	41:5 44:3	15:7,8,10	33:14 35:22
agreed 9:18	21:6 41:7	attributes 33:9	16:12 22:2,4	57:11,15
agreement	53:20 57:8	33:24 35:25	22:23 23:5,22	business 6:1
	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	1	1
56:9	carried 38:5	casino 11:6 15:7	55:17 56:18	company 13:23
<b>buy</b> 39:22 40:2	56:3,9,11	41:10 48:16	57:18	27:6
44:2,3	carrier 49:8	50:11	Circuit's 11:4	comparables
<b>buys</b> 44:2	carries 31:23	casinos 15:16	circumstances	30:17
	32:25 37:16	48:15 49:20	53:3	compare 30:21
C	38:15 39:11	casting 58:20	<b>cited</b> 5:23 6:5,20	38:8
<b>C</b> 1:21 2:1,10	47:22 48:11	<b>cause</b> 49:9	11:4 17:2	compelling 52:5
3:1 31:5	<b>carry</b> 5:3 6:13	<b>caused</b> 31:19	<b>city</b> 1:6 3:4	compiled 29:6
<b>cable</b> 49:22	20:17,24 21:10	causing 47:5	12:15 31:9,18	complete 54:22
<b>cables</b> 49:22	32:4 34:20	caveat 23:20	33:23 34:15	compliance 43:6
50:6,7	36:10 38:13	cease 24:15	41:13 42:3,17	43:9
Cage's 49:19	39:7 43:16	ceases 25:14	42:18,23 43:7	complied 43:4
California 1:15	44:14,14 46:5	century 3:15	54:7 56:19,20	comply 42:1
<b>call</b> 36:19	56:14	5:17 50:21	city's 18:23	complying
<b>called</b> 7:1 48:5	carrying 5:21	57:6	41:11 57:19	42:16,17
calling 51:17	6:1 36:20 37:8	cert 45:13,14	classic 42:25	computers
canal 18:5	37:10,13,14	55:9,10	53:5	37:21
canoes 23:6	38:14,20 44:16	certainly 8:24	<b>clear</b> 7:17 34:15	conceded 38:19
capabilities	44:18 46:16,17	33:7 34:3 37:5	39:25 47:16	concededly 8:11
30:25	<b>case</b> 3:4,13 4:14	<b>chains</b> 56:25	clearly 45:16	concept 10:16
capability 3:13	4:20 6:19 8:10	57:4	<b>cleats</b> 47:2,7,10	concepts 10:9
3:16 4:23,24	8:11,19,25	Chandris 53:12	47:12,13,15	concerns 24:20
28:11 32:12,14	9:16 10:4 11:3	change 35:2	Cleopatra 48:10	conclude 26:1
33:6,12 34:1	11:4 12:16,20	changed 16:23	<b>close</b> 31:15	conclusion
34:10,13 36:12	13:11,25 18:2	17:6	clothes 37:21	34:18
37:6 39:6,7	22:9 25:1,6,7	changes 15:5	Coast 24:12	concrete 35:23
40:7 41:1	25:18,21 27:13	50:15	51:11,24 52:3	<b>condo</b> 44:2
43:24 50:18	30:14 33:7	channel 31:16	52:9,16	confiscated
51:2 54:15,25	34:8 35:5 40:4	characteristic	<b>Code</b> 12:9,12	37:22
55:2	42:3 45:12	43:14	<b>codes</b> 19:16	confronted 5:18
<b>capable</b> 3:12 4:8	48:14 49:16	characteristics	<b>coffee</b> 37:14	confusion 6:9
4:9,10,18,19	50:3,20 51:25	33:17,24 43:25	cofferdam 24:23	Congress 48:8
5:7,9,10,14,14	52:5,14 53:5	44:4 47:20	coherence 3:22	connected 24:24
5:15,15,20	53:12,21 54:9	<b>Chief</b> 3:3,9 5:4	<b>come</b> 4:19 14:22	connecting
11:9 14:13	55:7 56:6,12	5:10 9:1 12:25	17:8 22:13	19:13
18:24 19:4	58:25 59:1	13:7 15:5,17	24:19 27:6	connection 5:6
20:12 25:15	cases 5:2,23 6:5	20:2,7,22 21:3	35:22 38:25	53:12,16
26:2 27:8	6:6,20 10:10	21:11,21 22:1	comes 11:2 12:4	connections
34:24 35:6,18	11:25 13:6,10	22:11 31:3,7	18:19 49:16	19:16,18,23
36:3 40:15	13:14,17 17:1	31:24 32:3,7,8	52:22 55:8	34:14 35:19
46:13,16	20:19 23:20	33:11 47:9,23	commercial	consider 29:15
<b>capacity</b> 16:14	26:4 30:18	48:3,4 52:20	19:1,8	constitute 6:3
16:16 36:19	35:17 45:3	53:7 55:20	commission	Constitution
43:14 49:14	48:14 49:21	58:4,7,23	50:21	51:5,6,8
carriage 35:18	51:25 56:5,7	choose 41:3	commodities	Construction
44:5 46:6	56:21 57:6	Circuit 51:1	10:2	12:5
49:14	58:21	55:1,1,10,11	common 36:5	contested 54:6

	_	_	_	_
contract 35:14	49:12 52:14,25	decide 30:21	detriment 26:3	13:21,22 14:1
contractual	53:11,14 54:4	45:14	Dictionary	25:3 26:20
54:20	54:4,18 55:6	decided 35:10	53:19	35:22 45:20
contrivance	55:18 56:1,7,8	50:24 52:14	<b>Diego</b> 50:22	49:19 50:23
28:16 29:2	56:10,13 57:7	deciding 28:22	difference 10:13	57:4
control 35:21	57:16 58:19,22	decision 5:24	18:17 25:7	dockage 42:3,21
convey 45:10	courts 35:11	6:4	27:14 46:20	42:23 53:6
47:8	42:9,10 43:11	decommission	different 4:16	docked 53:8
Cope 3:15 11:24	48:15	9:18 25:24	7:4,5 14:3 17:5	docks 19:9
35:17	Court's 5:18	deemed 28:4	17:22,24 18:6	33:21 45:22
cord 7:20 17:15	8:18,25 17:11	defended 55:12	25:11 27:21,24	46:3
correct 4:22	26:6 57:6	definition 12:4,4	28:13 43:2	dockside 24:13
5:12 12:10	58:21	14:15 17:20	48:23 52:8	52:15
counsel 18:21	craft 24:12	18:23,24 34:6	53:11,15,23	dog 42:16
20:2,20 31:3	27:21	45:9,16 48:16	difficult 21:5	doing 4:10 7:6,7
47:9 55:20	craft's 23:18	53:17,18,22	difficulties	11:22 38:8
58:23,24	created 12:24	degree 36:5,23	47:11	51:15 57:9
Counselor 10:5	crew 20:17	37:1	difficulty 56:3	domicile 34:3
<b>count</b> 7:13	21:10 38:5	demonstrated	directly 11:23	44:2
country 19:16	criteria 33:4	34:5	disagree 29:1	door 32:22
couple 9:22	<b>cup</b> 32:15,16,17	<b>denied</b> 54:10	disaster 56:24	doors 19:3 58:12
32:24 50:10	32:17	Department	<b>disavow</b> 19:2,6	dozens 36:8
course 3:23 17:7	<b>curiae</b> 1:19 2:7	1:18	disavowed	draft 30:6
20:18 34:16	20:6	dependent	35:15	dredge 20:16,24
36:6 37:13	<b>CURTIS</b> 1:17	19:18 58:17	discharged	21:1,1,16,18
41:1,5	2:6 20:4	depends 3:16	42:22	21:23 38:8,11
<b>court</b> 1:1,12	cushion 39:12	40:8	discretion 52:13	43:20
3:10,14 4:14	cut 45:23	describe 5:19	54:22	<b>dredges</b> 21:8,14
4:22 5:2,8,13		6:21 8:1 13:5	discussed 25:16	22:7.9
5:24 6:4,19	<b>D</b>	35:17	53:11	dredging 20:18
7:25 9:13,23	<b>D</b> 3:1	described 5:22	disengaged	20:23 21:19,19
9:24 11:4,24	<b>daily</b> 17:10	6:12 15:13	49:23	driveways 34:13
11:25 12:1,23	damage 27:2	18:2 26:6	dismiss 54:11	35:22
15:11,23 17:2	31:20	30:22	display 23:8	<b>drop</b> 22:4,5
17:4,12 18:10	damaged 27:10	description 4:3	dispute 6:23	dry 45:20,21
20:8,13 21:2,7	29:15	7:3	7:16 8:4	46:3 49:19
21:25 22:22	<b>DAVID</b> 1:21	design 23:14,18	distance 16:23	due 4:21 16:18
23:1,25 24:11	2:10 31:5	designed 6:12	distinction	18:16 45:2
24:16,17 25:16	day 38:9	6:13,22 27:18	38:24 44:24	dwelling 38:4
25:25 26:1	days 54:24	27:19 30:23	distinguish	<b>D.C</b> 1:8,18,21
28:21 29:1,8,8	<b>de</b> 33:3	58:14	13:10 19:17	
29:17 30:9	<b>dead</b> 8:10	destroyed 7:19	38:23 39:13	E
31:8 33:5,25	<b>deal</b> 34:7 49:18	determinable	<b>district</b> 5:24 6:4	<b>E</b> 1:17 2:1,6 3:1
34:10,12 35:15	49:18,19 51:22	28:17	6:6 29:8,17	3:1 20:4
35:16 40:25	56:21	determinative	34:12 54:4,10	easier 47:18
41:15 42:4,13	<b>dealt</b> 56:8,10	58:7	55:18	easily 55:14
42:19 43:3,23	decades 3:21	determine 11:1	dock 7:20 9:7,12	easy 25:21 47:17
, -			<u> </u>	
	•	•	•	•

	_	_		
49:25 52:21	27:13 34:7,8	51:2 53:9	17:17,25 18:7	Frederick 1:21
echo 52:6	34:11 35:3,9	facts 7:16,17	18:15 19:1,5,8	2:10 31:4,5,7
echoed 56:1	35:17,20 43:20	45:12	38:19 55:21,22	32:1,5,11,17
effects 37:11	56:4,8	factual 34:15	55:24 58:6	33:2,15 34:8
38:3,3,20	<b>Evansville's</b>	failed 42:1	<b>five</b> 14:12	35:4 37:5,10
44:19,21 45:7	10:4 49:18	<b>fair</b> 30:8 50:1	<b>fixed</b> 49:8	37:17,20 38:1
56:3,9	everybody 9:17	<b>fall</b> 14:14	fixtures 26:8	38:18 39:5,15
efficiently 27:20	evidence 24:8	<b>falls</b> 13:15	<b>flatly</b> 29:9	39:19 40:6,11
eight-inch 35:23	29:18 30:20,25	familiar 46:4	flat-bottomed	40:22 41:11,16
<b>either</b> 11:19	39:25 54:6,12	49:6	48:10	41:23 42:5,7
14:7 28:2	54:15,25 55:4	famous 51:5	<b>flimsy</b> 31:13	42:12 43:21
56:20	55:18	<b>FANE</b> 1:3	<b>float</b> 16:4 32:18	45:1,7,25 46:3
elevated 48:5	exact 4:23 11:25	<b>far</b> 56:11	39:7,11 45:24	46:9,15,19,24
Eleventh 55:1,1	57:7	fashioned 56:22	<b>floated</b> 34:19	47:19 48:3,7
55:17 56:17	<b>exactly</b> 11:22,23	fastened 49:17	floating 5:25	48:13,18 49:2
57:18	12:23 18:10	<b>fault</b> 14:23	6:11 7:1,2,3,8	49:6,11,15
elicited 47:2	38:20 39:22	feature 47:7	10:24 11:6,21	50:1,9 51:20
emphasize 8:3,9	44:7,21 55:17	features 58:12	13:21 14:1,25	51:23 52:10,24
employment	56:23 58:9	Federal 12:14	16:2,3,9,11,19	53:9
57:14	example 13:19	43:1	17:20 18:1,17	Frederick's
<b>empty</b> 22:19	39:13 41:2	fees 42:3,21,23	18:22,25 19:1	55:25
34:22	47:10 58:16	feet 22:24,24	19:8,9,9,10,17	<b>French</b> 58:12
enforce 31:10	examples 39:1	58:13	19:20 30:22,23	frequently 9:5
<b>ensues</b> 35:14	46:12	felicitous 4:3	34:4,25 39:9	<b>friend's</b> 47:18
entire 56:6	exceptions	<b>Fifth</b> 11:4 55:10	39:10,20,20,22	<b>fuller</b> 54:13
entirely 53:15	41:17	<b>fight</b> 19:22	39:23 40:2	<b>fully</b> 19:24
enunciated 4:4	exclusive 42:8	<b>figure</b> 44:15	44:3,4,12,13	function 3:18
equipment 7:10	exercise 41:4,9	filed 7:1	46:22,22,23	4:25 5:2,19,23
20:17,25 21:10	explain 26:3	finally 58:15	48:15,16 49:14	7:7,8 8:6 10:4
21:16 22:3,17	42:13	<b>find</b> 34:16	49:20	11:15,17 12:24
58:1	explained 40:25	finding 29:4	<b>floats</b> 31:22 32:3	13:18 14:3,17
erroneous 55:13	explaining 3:15	<b>finish</b> 41:17	33:1 46:13	14:19,21,24
<b>error</b> 34:16	explains 16:20	<b>first</b> 7:14 21:13	47:21 48:11	15:15,22 17:11
54:18	explanation	29:19 33:20	Florida 1:6	18:23,25 19:20
<b>ESQ</b> 1:15,17,21	54:13	34:9 41:13	30:18	19:24 20:11,14
2:3,6,10,13	extension 7:20	42:12	<b>focus</b> 36:24	20:16,24,25
essentially 24:23	17:15	<b>Fisher</b> 1:15 2:3	focuses 53:16	21:5,9,13 23:1
55:16	eyes 44:14	2:13 3:6,7,9	<b>follow</b> 52:9	23:10,13,14,17
establishments		4:1,5,8,13,21	<b>former</b> 25:18	23:18 26:19,19
19:9	$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{r}}$	5:7,12 6:8 7:24	<b>forth</b> 17:15	27:17,23 28:17
evaluating	faced 31:18	8:14,17,22	22:14 49:9	28:19,21 29:9
20:11	facet 55:7	9:10 10:21	<b>forum</b> 12:14	29:14,20 30:10
Evansville 4:22	facility 31:17	11:1,12,22	<b>found</b> 19:16	30:24 36:13,19
5:1 8:19,25 9:2	fact 8:4,5 9:11	12:6,10,13	30:16 34:12	40:19,20,20
11:24 17:5	34:10 37:22	13:4,9 14:16	39:21 52:11	41:8 43:16
18:1 20:21	40:22 41:3	15:4,11,21	<b>four</b> 47:2 57:24	44:17 53:24
22:22 26:4,5	48:10 50:5	16:6,9,18	frankly 4:19	54:1 55:15

56.14.10.50.10	TO 15:0 16 22:12	15.22 45.22	44.17.46.25	52.12
56:14,19 58:18	<b>go</b> 15:9,16 22:12	15:23 45:22	44:17 46:25	52:13
functions 36:13	22:13 38:9	51:1	47:1,3,5,8,20	including 35:11
44:1	goes 41:5,7	help 13:20	54:22,23	indefinite 8:8
furniture 26:8	48:22	helps 11:1	houseboats 6:21	10:18 11:2
26:14	going 5:17 24:2	Higgins 58:5	19:17	12:19,20 13:17
further 8:18	25:13 26:17,21	highlights 47:10	household 45:7	14:7 23:23
16:21 55:19	28:2 30:14,19	hint 52:17	Hudson 49:5	25:6 45:15
	38:24 49:23	<b>hire</b> 27:6	hull 25:21	indefinitely 7:14
$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{A}}$	50:8 51:14,15	historic 22:9	hundreds 17:3	7:22,25 8:7,20
<b>G</b> 3:1	51:17 52:7	23:5,11	hurricane 16:24	8:23 10:11,15
<b>gamble</b> 50:13	<b>good</b> 14:6 37:16	history 16:22	33:20 40:1	11:5 14:2,12
gambling 48:22	<b>goods</b> 6:1 8:2	<b>hold</b> 45:3	<b>hypos</b> 39:16	24:16 54:19
<b>game</b> 8:16	39:7 43:16	holding 35:5	hypothetical 8:5	<b>India</b> 50:19
Gannon 1:17	44:14,16,18	hole 21:19	13:20 15:18,18	indicate 28:16
2:6 20:3,4,7	45:8	holes 37:13	40:19	individual's
21:2,7,17,25	government's	home 6:11 7:3,8	hypothetically	55:14
22:6,16 23:12	20:10	11:21 14:25	44:9	inflatable 32:9
23:25 24:4,11	<b>grain</b> 9:21,22	16:2,11,11	hypotheticals	initially 54:10
24:22 25:12,23	10:4 56:11	17:20 18:17,22	33:4 47:5	55:9
26:11,17 27:12	<b>grant</b> 55:10	19:20 34:4		inner 31:24 32:1
28:8,10,14,23	guaranteed	39:20,23,24	I	32:23,24,25
29:5,13,23	57:11	44:3	ice 17:8	inquiry 20:14
30:3,11,13	<b>Guard</b> 51:11,24	homeowner	idea 53:24	25:11 28:21
Gannon's 51:6	52:3,9,16	11:19	identifies 33:8	29:9
garage 19:3	<b>Guard's</b> 24:12	homes 5:25 7:1	idiosyncratic	instance 53:5
32:21	guess 21:12	7:2 16:9,20	30:14 48:14	instances 50:11
garden 7:20	45:18	19:17 30:22,23	II 58:5	56:13
19:15	guns 37:22	39:20,22 40:2	imagine 13:20	intend 48:8
general 1:18		hook 9:7 12:17	18:24 33:3	intended 54:19
3:22 21:17	H	16:12 28:15	36:7	<b>intends</b> 41:8,9
52:6,8	<b>hand</b> 6:25	57:3	impediment	<b>intent</b> 7:23 40:9
generally 6:11	happened 6:18	hooked 58:17	48:19 49:13	41:5,7 53:22
6:16 26:17	34:21 42:15	<b>hope</b> 6:9,10 13:9	impediments	53:24 55:11,14
General's 51:24	happening	hose 7:20 17:15	49:13 50:17	intention 23:8
germane 53:25	35:12	19:14,14,15	implication	Intercoastal
getting 16:10	happens 40:21	hotel 24:21	36:18	31:16
37:4	<b>harbor</b> 14:11	house 37:25	importance 8:8	interpret 36:5
Ginsburg 5:22	20:17 22:18	38:2,16 40:20	12:19 19:13,15	interrupt 20:23
6:8 9:20 28:23	38:10 57:3	40:21,21 43:5	important 8:9	Intrepid 49:4
29:11 41:11,19	<b>Harry</b> 10:1	44:2 48:9	17:17 20:14	irrelevant 56:19
41:25 42:6,11	<b>hazard</b> 31:12	51:13	47:1 55:7	issue 12:12
48:13,21	headlong 56:4	houseboat 6:15	impossible	52:16
give 7:2 9:16	hear 3:3 29:24	6:21 7:6 16:10	51:14	issues 53:14
13:19 28:1	heard 51:6 56:1	18:17 19:24	inches 58:10	I-beams 50:8
43:11	heavy 45:22	31:10,11,15,19	incident 42:1	
given 39:15	held 6:20 9:13	31:21 33:19	incidental 56:16	J
52:19	11:5 13:25	35:24 43:4	include 39:3	James 25:19
	I	JJ,⊈ I TJ,⊤		1

	İ	İ	ĺ	i
<b>JEFFREY</b> 1:15	37:6,8,12,18	16:8,13 17:20	25:18	<b>lot</b> 6:20 10:7
2:3,13 3:7	37:24 38:7,22	19:7 21:4,11	lien 12:14 42:22	24:7 49:9
55:22	39:9,17,18	21:21 22:2	42:25 53:6	<b>lots</b> 28:18 40:11
<b>job</b> 7:7 51:15	40:7,10,12,22	26:13 27:2	liens 31:11	<b>Lozman</b> 1:3 3:4
55:7	41:11,19,25	29:20,21 30:5	<b>light</b> 26:8	41:13 47:2
<b>Joint</b> 30:16	42:6,11 43:10	30:6,12 32:24	lighter 32:19	54:14,17 55:3
58:15	43:25 44:6,16	37:14 39:11	likes 39:10	<b>Lozman's</b> 31:10
<b>Jones</b> 28:22	45:1,6,18 46:2	40:1 44:11	<b>limit</b> 51:18	33:19 45:14
52:23 53:2,10	46:7,11,17,20	45:22 50:6,7	limiting 53:2	lurking 39:1
53:14	47:9,23 48:4	51:15 57:18,19	line 28:25 58:13	
<b>judge</b> 6:6 54:10	48:13,21 49:3		<b>lines</b> 13:10	M
jurisdiction	49:7,15 50:2,4	L	<b>lining</b> 35:23	magnificent
41:14 42:8	51:10,21 52:4	<b>L</b> 1:15 2:3,13	<b>list</b> 45:19	7:18
43:1 48:17	52:11,20 53:7	3:7 55:22	listening 29:21	<b>maid</b> 52:22
54:2,5,11 57:5	53:19 55:5,20	<b>land</b> 17:14 19:21	lit 26:23	makeshift 13:24
jurisdictional	56:23 57:21	19:23,23 27:8	literally 17:9	making 25:6
12:16 47:15,16	58:4,7,23	34:25 35:1,19	litigated 42:9	manifest 44:1
56:24	<b>J-43</b> 30:16	35:21 44:2,22	litigation 33:10	manifested
<b>jury</b> 50:5		45:9 58:18	39:16	33:17
<b>Justice</b> 1:18 3:3	K	landlord/tenant	live 40:3 54:19	manipulable
3:9,24 4:2,6,9	<b>Kagan</b> 11:14	41:15,21	living 34:3	57:2
4:15 5:4,10,22	14:20 16:5,8	land-based 58:2	load 13:22 22:20	manipulated
6:8 7:12,24	16:19 18:12	<b>Lane</b> 10:1	loaded 9:20	55:14
8:13,15,20 9:1	26:11 28:1	language 5:6	located 31:15	manipulation
9:20 10:5,23	32:23 33:2	11:8 53:23	location 48:23	41:9
11:3,7,13,14	39:18 40:8,10	large 22:23	Locke 52:3	manufacturer
12:3,7,11,18	40:12,22 49:15	Laughter 36:16	log 51:16	11:19
12:25 13:7	50:2,4 56:23	39:4	long 9:12 22:24	man's 16:10
14:5,18,20	<b>Kagan's</b> 20:10	law 3:22 8:15	24:20 48:21	marina 5:24 6:5
15:5,17 16:5,8	keep 13:1	23:15 28:19	50:7 51:3	6:18 31:13,18
16:19 17:13,18	Kennedy 7:12	41:6 43:23	longer 14:2 25:3	33:22 43:4,6,8
18:2,4,11,12	7:24 8:13,15	50:15 57:11,14	25:14 28:4	54:21
18:16,21 19:2	8:20 17:13,18	57:14	look 8:18,25 9:4	marina's 43:5
19:7,11 20:2,7	18:2,4,11,16	laws 50:13	16:20,21 17:1	Marine 52:2
20:9,22 21:3	19:11 25:5	layered 10:20	18:18 23:14	maritime 3:12
21:11,21 22:1	29:19,25 30:8	layers 34:9	27:18,20 29:12	3:22 12:13
22:11 23:4,19	30:12 43:10,25	leads 41:9	29:13 43:13,15	13:25 28:19
24:1,6,19 25:5	44:16 49:3,7	leave 7:21 9:12	47:24 48:6	31:10 36:3
25:10,20 26:11	55:5 57:21	53:14 54:23	49:21 56:21	41:6 42:25
26:25 28:1,5,9	kept 18:22	leaves 57:17	57:24,24,25	45:5 48:17
28:12,23 29:11	kind 11:16	left 55:21	58:11,12	53:6 57:5,11
29:19,25 30:8	14:23 23:6,20	let's 4:16,17,17	looking 4:24	marshal 38:4
30:12 31:3,7	33:8 48:14	7:17,19 9:2	14:25 29:21	marshals 37:22
31:24 32:3,7,8	51:16 55:15	26:13 27:3	looks 23:21	Mary 23:22
32:15,19,21,23	kinds 13:16 33:3	30:2,2 41:25	27:19	24:20,22
33:2,11 34:6	36:7	50:24	<b>lost</b> 7:13 10:6	materials 57:25
34:17 36:2,17	know 8:15 16:5	Liberty 9:17	14:8	58:2

matter 1:11	26:15 35:13	27:5 31:22	27:17 43:13,16	overall 3:22
19:21 36:20	44:9	40:23 47:21	55:16	10:21
50:10,16 59:2	<b>Monday</b> 1:9	48:11	obviously 5:8	overcome 43:19
· ·	month 8:16 15:7		21:12 47:11	
mean 6:12 9:6 16:9 22:12		moving 7:7		overstate 51:14 owned 35:21
	moored 7:15,22	15:15,22 22:18	occasions 37:7	
23:23 29:25	7:25 8:7,21,23	27:6,8,9 44:5	occurs 35:13	owner 6:22 7:23
39:12 40:23	10:11,12,15,24	46:13,13	Ocean 23:7	17:21 35:20
44:7 45:2	11:5 14:2 24:2	<b>multiple</b> 37:7	<b>October</b> 1:9	41:8,8 57:2
49:17 51:15	24:16 28:3	museum 23:8	odd 44:11	owners 40:11
52:20	43:19 45:21	24:7	offer 55:3	ownership
meaning 12:8,11	49:4 51:3	N	offered 29:17	16:24 17:6
means 5:14	mooring 8:8 9:6	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ 2:1,1 3:1	55:17	owner's 6:22
11:10 20:13	10:18,20 12:19	nails 36:21	office 18:1 22:25	P
25:15 36:12	12:20 13:17	natural 23:14,18	26:8 56:10	P 3:1
40:16 42:9	14:7 25:6,11	nautical 45:5	Oh 22:16 32:19	<b>Pacific</b> 23:7
meant 15:19	25:12 45:15	navigable 31:16	okay 10:9 12:9	page 2:2 21:8
22:16 58:11	moorings 31:13	navigation	28:12 36:18,23	30:16 34:2
meet 46:6	morning 22:13	13:14 53:1,4,8	38:22	45:13
meets 40:24	22:19	Navy 51:7	old 9:17 41:14	pages 7:14 26:6
members 51:8	morph 55:15	near 30:24	once 25:2 39:22	Pardon 4:1
mercifully 7:18	<b>motion</b> 54:11	near 30:24 need 22:3 25:7	40:23 51:12	
Mercury 52:2	motor 16:13	39:14 57:22	onerous 55:11	part 27:22,25
merely 26:2	38:19		open 24:24	37:24 38:2,2,5
56:15,15	movage 44:4	needs 19:22,23	operate 19:19	38:15 46:6
merits 54:10	move 3:18 6:22	21:17,20 26:23	26:20	53:19
<b>middle</b> 21:1,14	14:17 15:8,25	35:9	operated 24:13	particular 27:21
38:9	16:7,12,14,15	never 24:2	opinion 9:25	35:12 53:25
miles 6:2 17:3	16:16 18:9,13	new 52:16	26:7 58:20	parts 32:6,9
27:1 33:20,23	21:15,20,22,24	night 26:23	opinions 14:22	53:23
mind 25:11	22:3,14 27:20	nine 50:6	opposed 7:6	paste 32:24
36:25 39:2	39:7,22,23	Ninth 51:1	13:14 45:5	<b>Pavone</b> 11:4
45:15	40:2,3 44:15	non-vessel 9:19	53:17	17:1
minimis 33:3	48:1 49:10	normal 38:16	oral 1:11 2:2,5,9	paying 42:20
minutes 7:21	50:18 54:22	45:9	3:7 20:4 31:5	payment 42:2
55:21	<b>moved</b> 8:16 9:2	north 33:21	order 10:2 21:20	42:23
misapprehens	10:1 14:11,12	<b>notably</b> 57:23	49:10 54:23	pennies 32:24
42:14	16:22,25 17:3	<b>notice</b> 7:21	ordinances	<b>people</b> 3:19 5:3
mission 53:13	17:5,5,8,22	54:24	42:17,18	5:21 6:1,13,16
Mississippi-O	18:4,5 28:7,9	numerous 3:20	ordinarily 36:1	8:2 14:17
17:6	33:18,20 34:19	nutshell 43:22	ordinary 41:21	15:15,25 16:19
moat 49:13	34:22 37:7	0	42:4 58:2	18:9 20:24
mobile 10:3	38:1 48:25	$\frac{\mathbf{O}}{\mathbf{O}}$ 2:1 3:1	originally 25:25	21:15 22:4,14
27:18	54:9 56:2	<b>object</b> 29:6	<b>Orleans</b> 50:3,19	22:17 27:16
mobility 27:22	58:11	_	<b>ought</b> 4:16	31:23 32:10
28:6 34:4,5	movement 35:6	<b>objective</b> 11:13 20:11 23:13	outrigger 23:6	34:21 36:14
41:3	35:18 49:14	24:7 26:19	outset 6:10	38:5,13 39:8
<b>moment</b> 26:12	moves 6:16 9:5	2 <del>4</del> ./ 20.17	outside 18:21	39:22 40:2

			l .	
45:8 50:13	phrased 3:24	19:22	provide 54:13	57:17
51:11	physical 3:16	practical 3:13	provided 54:21	questions 20:10
percent 39:21	33:17,23 43:14	3:16 4:23,24	<b>provides</b> 12:7,14	29:7 55:19
57:8	44:4 46:9	19:3 28:11	52:12	56:25 57:13
perfect 41:2	47:19 48:19	32:12,14 33:5	providing 3:21	quickly 49:23
perfectly 45:11	49:12,13 50:17	33:9,11,25	provisions 53:21	<b>quite</b> 7:17 10:10
50:2	51:4	34:10,13 36:11	<b>pull</b> 9:7	quote 9:25
perform 50:14	picture 29:21	39:6,7 40:6	<b>pulled</b> 13:13	quoted 24:17
performed 23:1	pictures 30:5	41:1 43:24,25	purchase 39:23	quoting 21:8
23:2	<b>piece</b> 13:20	50:10,16 52:19	purpose 3:18	
performing 8:6	pieces 19:9	54:15,25 55:2	4:11 5:11,23	R
10:3 14:19	<b>place</b> 7:8,8 10:2	practically 3:12	10:16,19,21	R 3:1
15:22	16:12,12 21:18	4:7,8,9,10,18	11:2,8,9,10,11	raft 32:12
period 28:25	30:24 36:22,22	4:19 5:14,20	11:13,15,16,18	rafts 32:9
periodically	39:24 45:11,22	18:24 20:12	14:7 15:24	raked 9:3,17
51:9	46:5 48:22,23	25:15 34:24	16:2,2 18:9,23	47:25
permanent	48:25	35:6,18 36:3	21:15,16,23	ramps 17:14
10:17 24:25	plain 41:14	46:16	23:7,17 26:18	range 5:15
25:11,12 34:14	plaintiff 12:15	precisely 12:1	28:7,21 29:9	reach 53:2
permanently	<b>play</b> 19:10	preclude 49:13	29:14,20 30:10	reached 34:18
10:12,24 23:3	<b>please</b> 3:10 20:8	prejudice 54:12	34:3,4 36:14	read 10:6 57:9
23:22,24 24:1	31:8	premise 45:25	43:15 47:8	reading 40:12
24:7 28:3	<b>plug</b> 9:8	present 29:18	53:24 55:16	40:13 56:7
43:19 45:21	plywood 58:2	presumption	purposes 15:25	ready 24:24
46:21 49:4	<b>point</b> 8:23 16:10	24:14 43:18	33:16 38:12	reaffirm 57:16
Perry 3:15	18:12,15,18	<b>pretty</b> 44:7,8	put 22:3 30:20	real 31:18 33:6
person 27:5,5	25:17 27:13	52:6	54:14	really 3:25 7:13
32:4,5,6 41:3	45:19 47:20	primary 7:5	putting 23:9	14:20,23 23:13
45:10	54:3 55:5	15:24	<b>p.m</b> 59:1	24:9 25:6
personal 27:7	pointed 33:7	principles 54:7	0	27:18,19 28:5
37:10 38:3,3	53:19	<b>prior</b> 58:21		29:6 30:6,18
38:20 44:19,20	points 41:6	<b>pro</b> 29:17	qualifies 48:25	30:23 36:9,12
56:3	policy 24:13	probably 27:20	Queen 23:22	36:20,25 37:3
personam 42:19	Polynesian 24:6	30:21	24:20,22	39:12 49:8,16 50:4 51:18
person's 27:7	Polynesians	<b>problem</b> 18:12	<b>question</b> 3:14 5:19 8:14	50:4 51:18
persuaded 57:8	23:6	45:18 49:12	5:19 8:14 10:22 12:19	real-world
petition 34:2	poor 16:10	51:10,21,23	10:22 12:19	33:12
45:13 55:10	port 19:25 49:25	52:18,21	13:15 15:14,19	reason 18:13
<b>petitioner</b> 1:4,16	pose 15:19	proceeding	18:20 19:12	27:4 36:6
1:20 2:4,8,14	posed 15:18	29:17	25:8,13 28:10	52:24 54:18
3:8 20:6 29:16	31:12	<b>professor</b> 23:16	28:20 29:19	56:17
45:13 55:23	position 20:10	profiled 54:7	39:19 40:17	reassert 58:21
Petitioner's	31:21 41:12	proper 43:22	41:18,19,24	REBUTTAL
20:20	43:12 52:8	property 54:20	47:11 50:5,5	2:12 55:22
phase 54:10	possessions	proposed 10:8	50:16 53:10	recipe 56:24
phrase 4:23	16:16	56:20	54:1,2,13,24	recognized
23:15 24:16	<b>power</b> 7:10 9:8	<b>prove</b> 55:18	J <del>1</del> .1,4,13,44	recognizeu
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	I	I	I
20:14,16 22:22	8:6	33:23	14:23 21:22	22:24
24:12,17 33:24	respect 4:21	roads 17:14	25:2 34:18	serves 21:12
52:25	16:18 18:16	ROBERTS 3:3	38:23 49:21	service 51:8,8
record 29:5	45:2 55:6	5:4,10 9:1	52:7,9 58:6	services 42:21
34:12,15 45:12	respond 37:4	12:25 13:7	<b>says</b> 5:25 11:8,9	45:8
54:15,25 55:3	Respondent	15:5,17 20:2	18:12 40:7,13	set 13:14
57:22	1:22 2:11 31:6	20:22 21:3,11	40:15 45:13	setting 4:11
rectangle 58:10	Respondent's	21:21 22:1,11	56:18 58:16	settled 17:11
referenced	23:15	31:3,24 32:3,8	<b>Scalia</b> 3:24 4:2,6	seven 26:10
50:19	responsible	33:11 47:9,23	4:9,15 12:3,7	Seventh 55:11
referred 58:10	51:12	48:4 52:20	12:11 53:19	<b>shade</b> 17:23
regard 43:22	rest 19:12 56:6	53:7 55:20	school 8:15	<b>shape</b> 57:25
<b>regime</b> 53:15	57:15	58:4,23	se 29:17	58:9
regular 35:1	restate 13:2	<b>rope</b> 7:20 17:16	sea 5:24 6:5,18	<b>ship</b> 8:10 9:17
49:8	restaurant 16:3	49:22	10:25 24:2,9	25:18 27:7
regularly 34:19	restaurants	<b>Roper</b> 9:16	seabed 24:18	<b>ships</b> 36:9
regulations 52:5	49:20	11:24 12:23	seaman 28:22	shocked 51:6
52:9,11,13,15	result 27:11	13:11 20:21	52:23 53:10	<b>shore</b> 22:13 24:2
52:17	retired 39:10	25:18 26:4	seamen 53:2	24:24 30:24
rejected 15:12	return 12:18	56:5,10	seasons 18:6	shows 8:7 13:17
29:9	reverse 57:20,22	ropes 45:22	<b>Seattle</b> 7:2 30:23	side 15:9 22:25
released 35:1	reviewed 34:12	56:25 57:4	seaworthy 27:1	47:6 48:5
relevant 25:13	34:15	routinely 24:13	56:11	sides 48:6 58:13
28:20 29:7	revised 42:2	rule 35:3 58:21	second 35:9	sign 44:11,12,13
45:16 52:2	revisit 58:21	rules 12:4 26:22	secretary 52:12	signed 51:24
relocating 27:14	revisited 54:12	42:2 43:5,9	52:15	54:21
relocations	rewrite 40:8	ruling 54:14	section 26:15	significant 9:9
56:16	rid 4:17,18 39:1	running 16:13	31:22	16:23 36:20,23
relying 14:6	<b>right</b> 5:6,11 9:10	runs 56:4	securely 49:17	37:1
rem 31:9 42:22	11:16 12:5		56:21	similar 44:21,22
54:8	14:20 16:10	$\frac{S}{S \times 1.21}$	see 10:10 11:7	<b>simply</b> 6:19 9:12
remainder 20:1	18:19 21:3,4	S 2:1 3:1	14:8 21:14	12:21 18:7
removed 25:22	22:2,14 24:10	safely 53:14	37:3 38:12,23	46:9 56:6,18
25:22,24 26:9	25:10 31:25	sail 14:14 50:25	39:10 43:11,13	57:16,20,21
repeatedly	33:14 37:2	51:9	44:24 51:15	single 5:18
20:13	38:7 41:15	sailboat 9:7	seen 10:11	sink 47:5
replica 23:5	44:16 50:6	sailed 50:25	self-propelled	sit 6:12 9:21
report 57:23	52:23 53:8	sailing 50:20,22	6:16	sits 15:1 39:25
repositioned	54:20 56:18,19	sale 30:17	semi-permane	40:3 58:10
17:9 18:8	56:23 57:19	San 50:22	24:15	sitting 14:18
required 50:13	rip 50:8	sank 35:7	semi-tied 34:25	situation 41:21
requirement	river 14:14 15:9	sat 8:5 50:23	send 29:2 57:20	six 7:13 50:6
53:1,23	15:16 17:6,10	satisfied 45:11	sense 33:6,12,16	skis 19:3
requires 46:6	21:1,15 23:1	satisfy 33:4	36:5	slip 17:22 31:11
reserve 18:3	25:19 49:5	Sausalito 7:2	sent 24:20	42:24 54:21
19:12 20:1	rivers 50:12	saying 4:14,16	serve 21:9 40:20	slips 54:23
residence 6:12	<b>Riviera</b> 1:6 3:4	11:20 13:1	served 10:3	small 27:2
			l	l

	 I		 I	
35:11,16	51:12,17 56:25	straighten 6:10	22:12,17	tempted 44:18
<b>sofa</b> 37:13 39:9	starts 9:4	strange 11:16	supporting 1:19	ten 26:5
39:10	<b>state</b> 19:16	14:22	2:8 20:6	ten-inch 30:6
<b>sofas</b> 36:7	30:17 41:15	struck 16:24	<b>suppose</b> 8:13,16	term 5:19 6:11
Solicitor 1:17	42:4,13,19	structure 3:11	14:10 17:19,21	6:15,20 7:25
51:24 52:6,8	43:3 45:15	3:17 4:25 5:3	17:21 18:4,5	8:23
somebody 14:1	50:13,15	7:18 8:25	23:4 39:18,19	terminology 6:9
28:22 30:4,4	statement 43:22	10:19 17:3,4	43:10,17	10:7
39:10 44:1	51:7	17:22 20:12	supposed 49:21	terms 52:19
somebody's	<b>States</b> 1:1,12,19	22:23 26:18,19	<b>Supreme</b> 1:1,12	53:12
51:17	2:7 12:9,12	27:15,15 28:24	<b>sure</b> 8:22 10:7	terribly 9:9
something's	20:5 42:9,10	30:5,15 43:15	10:11,14 19:5	test 3:20,25 4:3
44:9	51:5 52:3	44:17,22 47:3	21:13 22:11	4:6,16,18
somewhat 25:21	stationary 8:6	56:12,22 58:1	surely 28:6	13:21 14:21,24
30:14 35:1	26:20	structures 18:22	surveyor 30:16	15:3,12,24
<b>sorry</b> 35:24 53:7	status 9:13	19:10 23:2	surveyor's	17:11 20:11
<b>sort</b> 22:19	10:17 52:1	46:10 58:3	57:23	21:5 23:17
sorts 24:25	55:13	<b>stuff</b> 13:23 27:9	suspended	25:25 27:17
Sotomayor 10:5	<b>statute</b> 5:8,11	37:19	52:15	32:11 34:1,11
10:23 11:3	14:9 28:15	Styrofoam 36:7	synonym 11:17	35:12,16 36:24
12:18 18:21	40:7,8,10,12	37:13	<b>system</b> 34:14	43:11 46:6
19:2,7 25:10	40:13,14,25	subject 33:9		47:17,18 48:9
25:20 32:21	43:17 47:16	46:25 48:17	$\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{T}}$	49:16,24 53:11
34:6,17 45:18	52:10 57:9	subjective 7:23	T 2:1,1	54:16 55:2,11
46:2,7,11,17	statutes 47:16	40:9 41:5	take 9:12 22:19	55:12 56:20
46:20 52:4,11	statutory 5:6	submit 48:7	23:21 24:9	57:7,15,18,19
<b>sound</b> 17:25	11:8 31:23	submitted 58:25	27:6 32:23	testimony 47:1
sounds 28:24	53:25	59:2	41:25 48:15	tests 19:4,6
special 44:20	stay 43:3 46:5	subsequently	50:8 51:9 52:7	<b>Thank</b> 20:2 31:3
specialized	stays 48:22,24	35:15	53:22	31:7 55:20,24
19:14	<b>step</b> 35:8	substantial	taken 36:23 53:3	58:23
specific 53:23	<b>Stewart</b> 5:9,13	53:12	53:7	theoretically
specter 31:18	8:1 9:14 11:3	substantive	takes 23:17	5:14 36:10
<b>speed</b> 33:22	15:11,23 17:2	12:17	48:19	<b>thing</b> 4:11 9:3
Sprietsma 52:2	20:15 21:7	<b>sued</b> 31:19	talk 7:14 45:20	11:21 15:1,2,6
square 9:3	22:8 23:21	41:13	talked 20:20	18:3 21:13
squared 56:5	24:12,17 25:17	suffering 27:2	talking 11:11,12	23:21 26:12,13
stability 3:22	33:5 35:2,4,16	suggest 34:20	11:15,15,18	37:15,19 40:4
stages 17:10	36:3 40:25	49:11	14:21 33:13	40:17 45:10
standard 32:14	52:14,25	suggested 47:6	57:14	46:22 47:24
41:7 55:16	stick 45:23	suggesting	tell 15:20 29:11	54:17 58:9,16
standards 10:8	stipulate 30:1	10:13 35:2	30:4,9 57:2	things 3:19 5:3
Stanford 1:15	stopped 36:2	suggestion	tells 47:25 58:8	5:21 6:13,16
Star 50:18	50:14	52:17	temporarily	6:22 7:7 10:14
start 9:11,15	storing 10:4	suit 26:10 41:15	46:21	13:11 14:17
20:9 25:7 34:9	storm 31:14	sums 43:12,21	temporary	15:2,15,19
34:9 38:10	straight 48:2	superscoop 22:8	47:14	16:1 18:9
	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

	•			-
22:20 25:23	19:21 25:3,4	3:12 11:10	52:10	van 27:6
26:8,14,23	50:23	18:8 20:13	understand 4:15	various 26:14
27:16 29:7	ties 10:15	21:9 22:2	5:5 27:12 28:6	vessel 3:11 8:12
31:1,23 32:25	time 5:18 9:12	25:16 33:13,14	34:22 36:18	8:17,24 9:11
32:25 33:13	15:8,10 16:14	40:16 45:4,10	understanding	9:13,16,23,25
34:21 36:7,8	16:14 18:3	52:1	6:19	10:18,19 11:6
36:21,22 37:9	19:12 20:1	transported	understandings	12:8,12,13,16
38:15,17 40:18	28:2 35:6,10	26:13 37:20,21	57:12	12:21,22 13:2
44:11 47:15	35:10,13 41:13	40:14 45:8	understood 35:9	13:16,22 14:1
48:5,11 51:18	48:10 51:3,14	transporting	underwater	15:23 16:1
52:21 55:2	53:8 54:14	37:19,19 38:17	32:6	17:4,12 18:10
56:15 57:24	times 3:21 7:13	40:18	undisputed	19:24 23:11,13
think 4:5,22 5:7	16:22 17:7	transports 15:2	45:12	24:3,8,14,15
6:8 7:13 9:10	26:6 35:11	traversed 50:12	undisputedly	25:2,8,14 26:2
12:2 14:5	Titanic 41:1	trench 20:18	13:12	26:16,21,22
15:12 20:9	today 57:14	21:19	<b>unhook</b> 13:22	28:2,4,19,24
21:25 22:6,7	<b>top</b> 10:20 37:15	<b>trial</b> 39:19 47:2	uninsured 31:10	29:2,10,14
23:12,23 24:25	46:22	<b>tried</b> 29:16	31:19	31:22 32:13
25:5,21 26:11	tornado 40:1	trucks 35:22	uniquely 45:4	33:21 38:21
26:14 27:13,25	torquing 47:4	true 24:4,11	<b>United</b> 1:1,12,19	39:5,8,21 40:5
28:15 29:5,7	tort 35:13 57:14	25:17 26:18	2:7 12:9,12	40:11,23,24
29:23 30:3,13	totality 58:8	33:16 37:12	20:5 42:8,10	41:20 42:7
30:15,18,24	tow 13:23 44:12	<b>try</b> 55:18	51:5 52:2	43:18 44:13
32:20 33:2	<b>towage</b> 33:20	<b>trying</b> 11:23	universal 17:20	45:16 47:21
35:9,25 36:11	46:25	13:4,5,9 28:1	unloaded 9:22	48:12,17,20,21
37:5 38:7,14	towed 5:25 6:2	36:24 37:3	unmoored 31:14	49:1 50:20,20
38:18 39:5,14	7:9 9:19,20,21	50:14 55:15	31:19	50:22 51:1,2,4
39:15 40:4	9:22 25:19	<b>tube</b> 31:25 32:1	<b>untie</b> 14:13	51:4,7,13,17
42:14 43:21	26:2,5,9,21	32:23,24,25	unusual 30:15	52:1,22 53:3
44:7,8 46:15	27:1 29:15	turned 9:18	<b>urge</b> 18:18	53:13,16,17,18
47:17 48:15	32:4 33:22	turns 3:13	<b>USC</b> 52:11	55:13 56:2
49:12 50:1,9	38:4 47:4	twice 26:9 52:22	use 8:22 13:23	58:14,14
51:11 53:4,10	48:23 50:22	twisting 47:4	22:1 23:23	vessels 6:3,23
53:13 54:4	towing 47:2,7,10	<b>two</b> 7:14 10:14	48:1 50:18	13:12 31:12
55:5,25 57:17	47:12,13,14	13:10 16:22,24	uses 28:21	36:1,9 43:8
57:21,21	trampoline	38:5 43:5	USS 51:5,5,8	48:9 50:12,15
thinking 44:10	46:11,12,14,18	53:21	usually 21:19	51:12,19 52:16
51:13	trampolines	<b>type</b> 25:1	25:13	56:14
thirdly 17:9	19:10	<b>types</b> 33:3	utilities 7:10	view 8:21 47:25
thorough 7:3	transformed	typically 22:7	19:13 58:1,15	50:2
<b>thought</b> 23:19	8:24 12:22	35:24,25	utility 34:14	viewed 33:6,12
36:4	14:3		<b>utterly</b> 57:1	Viking 23:5
three 8:5 17:5	transit 38:6	ultimate 27:22	58:17	Village 5:24 6:5
48:20 58:13	transport 8:2	unanswerable		6:18
tie 16:6	10:2 27:15	28:20	v 1:5 3:4 52:2,3	violation 31:11
tied 10:9 14:10	36:4	underlying	vacate 57:19	visualize 52:21
15:7 17:14	transportation	unucitying	vacate 37.17	<b>voice</b> 36:24
			<u> </u>	

	1		ı	ı
vote 58:20	27:4 37:4	wrong 6:6,7,20	4	
***	41:22 43:19	34:18 44:9	<b>40</b> 58:16	
W	49:11 50:1	57:18	<b>4302</b> 52:12	
walkways 49:9	56:20 58:18		<b>46</b> 52:11	
walls 36:22	ways 17:5 24:25	<u>X</u>	<b>48</b> 22:24	
want 8:9 12:1	weather 18:6	<b>x</b> 1:2,7	<b>492</b> 21:8	
16:15,15 17:19	week 17:23	Y		
19:21 30:8	52:23	yacht 57:2,2	5	
38:25 39:24	welded 47:3	yacht-building	<b>50</b> 50:23	
40:3,8 41:7,12	wet 31:11 42:24	31:17	<b>500-plus</b> 43:8	
41:20,21 43:10	54:21	Yeah 22:6	<b>55</b> 2:14	
48:1 54:11	We'll 3:3	year 26:9	7	
56:24 57:1	we're 7:16 11:12	years 8:6 9:22		
wanted 13:23 30:20	12:2 51:14	14:12,12,13	<b>70</b> 33:23	
30:20 wants 44:1	57:7,15	17:3,7 26:10	9	
Wants 44:1 War 58:5	we've 51:17	50:23 57:10	<b>99</b> 39:21	
warehouse 10:3	57:13	you-know-it	39.21	
18:1 22:25	wharf 22:23,25	48:8		
Washington 1:8	26:5 34:19			
1:18,21 14:11	35:20,24 49:18	1		
wasn't 9:3 37:8	whatsoever 23:9	<b>1</b> 1:9		
43:9	wide 22:24	<b>10</b> 14:12 58:10		
water 3:19 5:3	willing 29:25	<b>100</b> 57:8,10		
5:21 6:2,14,17	56:6	<b>11-626</b> 1:4 3:4		
7:9 9:8 11:10	wind 17:23	<b>11:04</b> 1:13 3:2		
14:18 15:2,15	winter 17:8 wiped 33:21	<b>12:03</b> 59:1		
16:1,15,16	wiped 33.21 wondering	<b>14</b> 17:7		
19:3,14,14	44:23	<b>15(a)</b> 34:2		
23:9 24:24	word 5:7,15	<b>19th</b> 50:21		
26:3 27:20	10:12 11:2,2	<b>1926</b> 4:22 50:21		
31:1,23 32:7	12:8,13,16			
33:18 36:10	23:23 28:16	2 2 2 7 14 12		
38:17 39:11	words 14:9,22	<b>20</b> 2:7 14:13		
40:14,16,18,21	36:4,23	<b>200</b> 27:1 33:20		
46:13 48:2	work 7:10 22:4	51:7		
58:11,13	38:10,24 49:10	<b>2012</b> 1:9		
waterborne	worked 22:9	<b>21</b> 26:6 <b>22</b> 26:6		
21:9,13	worker's 53:16	<b>240</b> 22:24		
watercraft 23:5	workmen 38:9	<b>27</b> 45:13		
35:12 39:6	works 22:8	<b>41</b> 43.13		
Waterway	43:11 44:7,8	3		
31:16	world 33:6 58:5	3 2:4 26:15		
waves 27:3	worse 18:6	31:22 54:23		
way 3:25 4:20	wouldn't 8:24	55:21		
7:5 10:22 22:7	27:5	<b>30</b> 7:21		
22:8,9 25:4,16	writing 58:20	<b>31</b> 2:11		