1	IN THE SUPREME COURT O	F THE UNITED STATES					
2		x					
3	THOMAS CARR,	:					
4	Petitioner	: No. 08-1301					
5	v.	:					
6	UNITED STATES	:					
7		x					
8	Washington, D.C.						
9	We	dnesday, February 24, 2010					
10							
11	The above-e	ntitled matter came on for oral					
12	argument before the Supre	me Court of the United States					
13	at 10:09 a.m.						
14	APPEARANCES:						
15	CHARLES A. ROTHFELD, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf						
16	of Petitioner.						
17	CURTIS E. GANNON, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor						
18	General, Department of	Justice, Washington, D.C.; on					
19	behalf of Respondent.						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	CHARLES A. ROTHFELD, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	CURTIS E. GANNON, ESQ.	
6	On behalf of the Respondent	26
7	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
8	CHARLES A. ROTHFELD, ESQ.	
9	On behalf of the Petitioner	51
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:09 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear
4	argument first this morning in Case 08-1301, Carr v.
5	United States.
6	Mr. Rothfeld.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF CHARLES A. ROTHFELD
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	MR. ROTHFELD: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,
10	and may it please the Court:
11	When Congress uses ordinary words in the
12	statute, those words should get their ordinary meaning.
13	In SORNA, the Sex Offender Registration and Notification
14	Act, Congress did use ordinary words, and it used them
15	in an ordinary way. But the government proposes that
16	those words be given a most extraordinary reading. It
17	suggests that Congress wrote one of the elements of
18	SORNA's criminal offense in a sort of shorthand, and it
19	should be taken to mean something quite different than
20	what Congress actually said. It proposes
21	JUSTICE ALITO: Mr. Rothfeld, I wondered if
22	I could ask you about three interrelated points
23	concerning your textual argument. And if I could just
24	lay those on the table and get your reaction to them, I
25	would appreciate it.

1	The	first	is	that	it	appears	that	there	is	а
---	-----	-------	----	------	----	---------	------	-------	----	---

- 2 pretty universally accepted modern legislative drafting
- 3 convention that statutes should be phrased in the
- 4 present tense. The Senate drafting manual, for example,
- 5 says: Always use the present tense unless the
- 6 provision addresses only the past, the future, or a
- 7 sequence of events that requires use of a different
- 8 tense. And the House manual is to the same effect.
- 9 The second is that when the section that's
- involved here, 2250, was drafted, the drafters didn't
- 11 know whether SORNA would apply to pre-SORNA sex offense
- 12 convictions. That was left up to the Attorney General.
- 13 And so when they were drafting this, they had -- it was
- 14 natural, perhaps, for them not to make a special
- 15 provision for the possibility that there might be some
- 16 pre-SORNA conduct involved. If the Attorney General had
- 17 determined that only post-SORNA convictions would qualify,
- 18 then only -- then the only travel that would qualify would
- 19 be -- would be post-SORNA travel. It was only when the
- 20 Attorney General decided that pre-SORNA convictions
- 21 could qualify that the question that's presented here
- 22 became a possibility.
- 23 And the third is that once the Attorney
- 24 General decided that SORNA would apply to pre-SORNA sex
- 25 offense convictions, that necessarily meant that conduct

- 1 constituting a -- a SORNA -- constituting a qualifying
- 2 sex offense could occur in the past. And yet there are
- 3 at least four provisions of SORNA that refer to the
- 4 conduct that constitutes a sex offense and uses only the
- 5 present tense, although in those instances it seems that
- 6 those provisions have to be read as also covering past
- 7 conduct, pre-SORNA conduct.
- 8 These are all in 42 U.S.C. 16911, which is
- 9 reproduced -- the relevant provisions are on 3a to 3-6
- 10 of the government's brief. I'll just mention a couple of
- 11 them to provide a flavor for this. Under 42 U.S.C. section
- 12 16911(3)(C), on 3a of the government's brief, an offense may
- 13 qualify as a tier II offense if, among other things, it,
- 14 quote, "occurs after the offender becomes a tier I sex
- 15 offender." But there "occurs" and "becomes" have to be
- 16 read as applying to past conduct.
- 17 42 U.S.C. 1691(4) on the same page says that
- 18 an offense may qualify as a tier III offense if, among
- 19 other things, it involves a kidnapping of a minor. But
- 20 "involves" there has to mean also "involved."
- 21 And the other two are subsection (7) on 5a
- 22 and subsection (8) on 6a.
- So I wondered if you could comment on that.
- 24 Maybe you have a reaction to it.
- MR. ROTHFELD: Well, I'll try to keep

- 1 straight each of the parts of the question. A couple of
- 2 reactions.
- First of all, I think that the ordinary
- 4 assumption is that when the present tense is used in a
- 5 statute that's creating a criminal offense, it refers to
- 6 conduct that takes place after the statute was enacted.
- 7 We are not aware of and the government has not cited any
- 8 decision of this Court in which it has interpreted a
- 9 present tense verb used in a criminal statute as
- 10 attaching criminal consequences to conduct that took
- 11 place before the Act -- before the statute was enacted.
- But before delving too deeply into the
- 13 present tense question, I think it's helpful to take a
- 14 look at how that fits into the other elements of the SORNA
- 15 criminal offense, because there are a number of things
- 16 about the statutory language that we think compel the
- 17 conclusion that Congress had in mind only the attachment
- 18 of criminal consequences to travel that took place after
- 19 SORNA was enacted.
- 20 For example, and to begin with, the first
- 21 element of the offense, which provides that the
- 22 defendant is required to register under SORNA, the
- 23 government says, and we agree, that the elements of the
- 24 SORNA offense have to be read sequentially so that the
- 25 defendant is guilty only if he or she commits them in

- 1 order.
- The first element, as I said, the
- 3 statutory text, is "is required to register" under SORNA.
- 4 And it seems undeniable that a defendant is not and
- 5 cannot possibly be required to register under SORNA
- 6 until SORNA is enacted and is on the books. And that is
- 7 enough to dispose of this case because, as the
- 8 government agrees, the elements are sequential. The
- 9 first element is that there is a requirement to register
- 10 under SORNA.
- 11 The second element, the travel in interstate
- 12 commerce, has to follow the first element. The travel,
- 13 therefore, must follow the enactment of SORNA. That we
- 14 think is sufficient to dispose of this case. The
- 15 government's answer to that point is to say -- really,
- 16 to candidly acknowledge that the statutory language has
- 17 to be rewritten if they're to prevail. They say when
- 18 Congress said "is required" --
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Rothfeld, would you
- 20 clarify one thing? You're not questioning the Attorney
- 21 General's determination that the underlying sex offense
- 22 can have occurred pre-SORNA?
- 23 MR. ROTHFELD: We are not questioning that.
- 24 Congress specifically authorized in SORNA that the
- 25 Attorney General had the authority to designate

- 1 pre-SORNA offenses as triggering the registration
- 2 requirement.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is that -- that's
- 4 pretty unusual, isn't it, to have Congress say it's up
- 5 to the Attorney General whether their laws apply
- 6 prospectively or retroactively or --
- 7 MR. ROTHFELD: It -- it certainly is unusual.
- 8 I think it's not for us to comment on whether that was a
- 9 sensible thing for them to do. But we don't dispute
- 10 here that -- that Congress did it and that the Attorney
- 11 General was authorized to do what he did. But --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, it's not as though he
- 13 was authorized to make something a crime which wasn't --
- 14 which wasn't a crime.
- 15 MR. ROTHFELD: That's absolutely right,
- 16 Justice Scalia, and I think --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: He was authorized to say
- 18 you have to register.
- 19 MR. ROTHFELD: It's -- it's actually quite
- 20 helpful to our argument in this case that Congress was
- 21 aware of how to confer retroactive authority on the
- 22 Attorney General for some things, which it did, the
- 23 designation of pre-SORNA sex offenses as triggering
- 24 the registration requirement.
- 25 JUSTICE ALITO: I thought that the sequence

- 1 argument that the government was making was that the
- 2 events have to occur in this sequence: the conviction,
- 3 the interstate travel, and the failure to register.
- 4 MR. ROTHFELD: That is their argument. But
- 5 the way that they reach that conclusion is to say that
- 6 the first element of the offense, which is "is required
- 7 to register" under SORNA, was really a shorthand by
- 8 which Congress meant "committed a sex offense" that
- 9 Congress --
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, they might be wrong
- 11 on that. And I understood that you agreed that the
- 12 interstate travel has to take effect -- has to occur
- 13 after the sex offense conviction. It wouldn't --
- 14 you couldn't violate -- you wouldn't violate SORNA if
- 15 there's interstate travel, then the conviction, and
- 16 then the failure to register.
- 17 MR. ROTHFELD: We -- we agree, but I
- 18 think -- we do not agree, obviously, with the
- 19 government's understanding of the first element of the
- 20 offense. It's not that the sex offense took place.
- 21 It's that the SORNA registration requirement attached.
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: You -- you're saying it has
- 23 to take place not just after the offense, but after the
- 24 obligation to register.
- 25 MR. ROTHFELD: That's absolutely right.

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: Which is what the statute
- 2 says.
- 3 MR. ROTHFELD: Which is what the statute
- 4 says. And, again, the government's only attempt to
- 5 answer that point is to say that Congress actual meant
- 6 something different when it wrote the first element of
- 7 the offense.
- 8 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, but they might be
- 9 wrong that the sequence -- that the way these set out --
- 10 are set out in the statute dictates a temporal
- 11 sequence --
- MR. ROTHFELD: They --
- 13 JUSTICE ALITO: -- that you can argue that
- 14 the temporal sequence that's necessary -- conviction,
- 15 travel, failure to register -- follows from the purpose
- of this provision, which is to catch people who, after
- 17 having committing a sex offense and being convicted of a
- 18 sex offense in State A, move to State B. It would
- 19 follow from the purpose of the statute, not necessarily
- 20 from the sequence of subsections in this provision.
- MR. ROTHFELD: Well, we of course don't
- 22 agree with the government on everything, but we do agree
- 23 that they are right about the sequence, for a number of
- 24 reasons. One is that it follows -- I think it's the
- 25 most natural reading of the statutory language that one

- 1 is required to register, travels in commerce, and
- 2 knowingly fails to register. It explains why Congress
- 3 put the interstate travel element second, which is
- 4 somewhat a peculiar thing to do otherwise.
- 5 And if that were not the case, it creates
- 6 the problem of what we we've been calling the "Lincoln
- 7 Tunnel baby." If someone were an infant traveling and
- 8 went through the Lincoln Tunnel from New York to New
- 9 Jersey, lived in New Jersey for the rest of his life,
- 10 committed a sex offense at age 50 -- if sequential
- 11 fulfillment of the elements was not necessary, that
- 12 person would be subject to criminal prosecution under
- 13 SORNA. So --
- 14 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, that makes -- that
- 15 makes a lot of sense. But you can get that from the
- 16 purpose of the statute, rather than from the sequence
- 17 in which these elements are listed. Is it -- is it
- 18 usually the case in a criminal statute that sets out
- 19 a number of element that they have to be satisfied in
- 20 some kind of temporal sequence? I'm not aware of that.
- 21 MR. ROTHFELD: I think sometimes it is and
- 22 sometimes it isn't. It's certainly not a universal rule
- 23 that it has to be. But, again, the language here makes
- 24 that a sensible rule.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, assuming it does

- 1 depend on the purpose of the statute, what would the
- 2 purpose -- it wouldn't cover his transportation as an
- 3 infant. What does he have to be, 20 years old?
- 4 MR. ROTHFELD: No. I --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: Or 15 years old?
- 6 MR. ROTHFELD: Looking for --
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: Or is it 20 years before
- 8 the offense or 25 years before the offense? I guess
- 9 we could make it up, couldn't we?
- 10 MR. ROTHFELD: You would have to make it up,
- 11 but if one were to depart from the text of the statute,
- 12 which says "is required to register under SORNA." So,
- 13 necessarily, the travel took place after SORNA was
- 14 enacted.
- 15 And I think the statutory language disposes
- of the case. There's no reason to look beyond that to
- 17 broader purposes. But if one does look to the purpose
- 18 of SORNA and what Congress had in mind, the interstate
- 19 travel requirement and attaching that the travel took
- 20 place after SORNA was enacted is what Congress wanted
- 21 to do. It accomplishes the purpose. Congress wrote
- 22 SORNA because it was concerned that there was divergent
- 23 approach to registrations that were taken by -- by States,
- 24 that they had inconsistent applications of registration
- 25 programs. This was creating loopholes that allowed sex

- 1 offenders who were unregistered not to register, and the
- 2 congressional response to that was to create a uniform
- 3 universal system of registration that it hoped all the
- 4 States would enact that would facilitate exchange of
- 5 information between the States and with the Federal
- 6 Government.
- 7 And the purpose of the SORNA criminal
- 8 provision in that context is that it was designed to
- 9 discourage people from traveling, unregistered sex
- 10 offenders from traveling, after SORNA was enacted to
- 11 evade the new SORNA registration requirements. For
- 12 that purpose, travel before SORNA is immaterial. It's
- 13 travel after SORNA is enacted that -- that brings into
- 14 effect the congressional purpose that they were trying
- 15 to accomplish. Congress wanted to keep out of the
- 16 channels of interstate commerce unregistered sex
- 17 offenders who were trying to evade the SORNA
- 18 requirements. That's necessarily prospective.
- 19 As to people who were unregistered sex
- 20 offenders who either had never traveled in interstate
- 21 commerce at all or who had traveled before SORNA was
- 22 enacted, they are identically situated for SORNA's
- 23 purposes. They are outside the system. They are not
- 24 registered. No one knows where they are. They are not
- 25 attempting to evade SORNA at that point. They are

- 1 subject to prosecution, not by the Federal Government,
- 2 but by the States under the new, more punitive regime
- 3 of criminal punishments that Congress tried to induce the
- 4 States to enact as part of the States' --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: But which the States didn't
- 6 enact.
- 7 MR. ROTHFELD: And States have
- 8 generally -- States have not complied with SORNA.
- 9 Almost universally, they have not complied with SORNA.
- 10 But one thing many States have done is, in fact, enact
- 11 these new, more punitive criminal provisions for people
- 12 who have failed to register, as did, for example, Indiana,
- 13 the State in which Petitioner here was not registered.
- 14 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, let's compare two cases.
- 15 We have this case, where you have conviction, interstate
- 16 travel, SORNA takes effect, failure to register. We
- 17 change that. That's case A. Case B is just like this
- 18 case except the sequence is different. You have
- 19 conviction, SORNA takes effect, interstate travel,
- 20 failure to register.
- 21 Now, why would Congress have treated those
- 22 two situations differently?
- 23 MR. ROTHFELD: I think Congress had in
- 24 mind -- as I say, it was addressing a particular
- 25 problem. It was concerned that people were evading

- 1 registration requirements because the States had
- 2 different diverging systems, and it was allowing some
- 3 people in some States simply not to register, not
- 4 because necessarily they were evading State registration
- 5 requirements, because the State didn't require them
- 6 to register. States had very different systems as to
- 7 what offenses triggered registration requirements.
- 8 And so the congressional reaction was to
- 9 say: We want the States to enact these new, much more
- 10 comprehensive and intrusive and elaborate registration
- 11 requirements. And they are so -- so elaborate and
- 12 intrusive that the States are refusing to do it. But --
- 13 but that was the congressional goal, that the States
- 14 would enact these -- these regulatory regimes; people
- 15 would then register under them. Everybody was now going
- 16 to have to be registered, or they would be in violation of
- 17 some State law, State registration requirement.
- 18 And if people after that were trying to get
- 19 off the grid, disappear by moving in interstate
- 20 commerce, the SORNA criminal provisions would come into
- 21 effect at that point. For people who stayed put, people
- 22 who had committed a sex offense before SORNA was enacted
- 23 and just stayed there, they are identically situated, as I
- 24 said, to someone who never traveled in interstate
- 25 commerce at all, and they are subject to prosecution by

- 1 the States.
- 2 Clearly, Congress did not intend that it was
- 3 going to federalize the entire regime of prosecuting
- 4 people.
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, what is the basic
- 6 purpose of this statute? I'm having a hard time with
- 7 it. Is it -- is the purpose of the statute to try to
- 8 get a lot of people to register who haven't registered
- 9 at all? Or is the purpose of the statute to get the
- 10 people who had registered in one State and then moved,
- 11 and make sure they register in another State?
- 12 MR. ROTHFELD: I think that the purpose was
- 13 generally to encourage registration of sex offenders.
- 14 Now, of course, when -- when Congress wrote the statute,
- 15 as -- as has been pointed out, it was not apparent to
- 16 them that it was going to apply to people who had
- 17 committed sex offenses before SORNA was enacted at all.
- 18 That turned upon the Attorney General's subsequent
- 19 determination.
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: No, I mean, if they are just
- 21 trying to get people to register in general, and they
- 22 are not particularly worried about travel, then they are
- 23 using this travel as a kind of jurisdictional hook. And
- 24 if they are using it as a jurisdictional hook, they'd
- 25 like to get everybody, as many as possible. That

- 1 argues against you.
- 2 MR. ROTHFELD: Well, two points --
- JUSTICE BREYER: I -- I have a hard
- 4 time seeing just what they're aiming at.
- 5 MR. ROTHFELD: Well, it -- it's -- to -- to
- 6 be honest, I think it's not entirely clear that Congress
- 7 had anything specific in mind beyond a reaction to the
- 8 prior regime in which there were inconsistent approaches
- 9 being taken by the States.
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Basically, at the time they
- 11 passed this --
- MR. ROTHFELD: At the time they --
- 13 JUSTICE BREYER: -- most States didn't
- 14 require registration.
- MR. ROTHFELD: All States did require
- 16 registration of some sort or another, but they had
- 17 different registration systems and different
- 18 requirements in their registration systems. There
- 19 were -- there were inconsistencies in them.
- The one thing which appears from the
- 21 legislative background of SORNA is that Congress was
- 22 concerned about loopholes in various State registration
- 23 regimes, and it wanted to have a much more
- 24 comprehensive, universal, uniform system of
- 25 registration. So to address your point

- 1 specifically about the jurisdictional hook, I think
- 2 there are two reactions to that.
- One is, even if it were a jurisdictional
- 4 hook, it is an element of the offense. No one denies
- 5 that. It has to be interpreted as written. It says
- 6 interstate travel, as we read it, after SORNA was
- 7 enacted. So I think that answers the -- the question.
- 8 But -- but it -- but it was, I would add,
- 9 more than a jurisdictional hook, because Congress had in
- 10 mind this particular problem of -- of people who,
- 11 post-SORNA, were going to be evading these new, more
- 12 comprehensive requirements by simply disappearing. Not
- 13 that they were complying with State regimes which --
- 14 which didn't require them to register, but they would
- 15 simply cross State lines to vanish.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That begs the question.
- 17 They were concerned with people who had disappeared, but
- 18 why is it logical for them to be worried about people
- 19 who disappear prospectively as opposed to the people who
- 20 have already disappeared and have failed to -- that's
- 21 basically the -- the government's argument, which is:
- 22 One of the main purposes of the statute is to capture
- 23 those people who have disappeared. And so why limit it?
- 24 MR. ROTHFELD: Well, that's -- that's right.
- 25 The government's argument is -- is an appeal to what it

- 1 sees as the gestalt of SORNA, rather than the statutory
- 2 language.
- But I -- I -- but I think the answer to
- 4 your -- your question specifically, Justice Sotomayor,
- 5 is that they were -- they -- that when Congress passed
- 6 the statute, it -- it had in mind this division of
- 7 responsibility in -- in criminal enforcement. It --
- 8 it expected that the States, in order to comply
- 9 with SORNA, were going to enact these new and much
- 10 more -- more punitive criminal regimes to punish people
- 11 who did not register. And so far as SORNA was
- 12 concerned, people who never traveled in interstate
- 13 commerce and people who traveled in interstate commerce
- 14 before SORNA was enacted are identically situated.
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The problem is that the
- 16 people who had traveled previously and failed to
- 17 register would no longer be subject to any -- either any
- 18 registration process or presumably any punishment
- 19 either, because they were no longer in the State in
- 20 which the conviction occurred, so any change in that
- 21 statute wouldn't affect them.
- 22 MR. ROTHFELD: Well, that -- under the --
- 23 the new regime that Congress anticipated would -- would
- 24 be put in place, every State would enact, would have in
- 25 place a -- a criminal punishment. And these were --

- 1 these States don't punish people simply who committed a
- 2 sex offense in that State and failed to register. They
- 3 require registration of sex offenders who committed sex
- 4 offenses anywhere.
- 5 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And do -- do they
- 6 generally require registration even if the offense was
- 7 committed before the registration act was passed in the
- 8 State?
- 9 MR. ROTHFELD: Yes, they do. So -- and in
- 10 that sense mirroring the current interpretation of SORNA
- 11 by the Attorney General.
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So what you're saying is
- 13 that, even under your interpretation, there is going to
- 14 be registration in at least one State?
- MR. ROTHFELD: Absolutely.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Would you say this at the
- 17 moment -- you may not know, but I think it would be
- 18 helpful. At the time this was passed, would you say
- 19 almost all States had some kind of registration act?
- MR. ROTHFELD: Yes.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes. Okay.
- 22 MR. ROTHFELD: All States --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Now, thinking of that --
- 24 thinking of that set of registration acts in virtually
- 25 every State, did most of those or none of them or a few

- of them or how many made it required that a person
- 2 register who had committed a crime, a sex crime, in a
- 3 different State and had moved to that State? Most, all
- 4 of them, none of them?
- 5 MR. ROTHFELD: I -- I believe that
- 6 universally --
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: Universally.
- 8 MR. ROTHFELD: -- they did not distinguish
- 9 based on the location of where the sex offense took
- 10 place. So --
- 11 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. So -- so,
- 12 therefore, every person who has committed a sex offense,
- or almost everyone, would have been subject to a
- 14 requirement to move when he committed the offense and
- 15 would have been subject to a requirement to register
- 16 when he moved under some law. Now, Congress's purpose
- 17 then must have been just to try to get uniformity here.
- 18 MR. ROTHFELD: Well, the -- the various
- 19 registration -- State registration laws that existed
- 20 pre-SORNA, that -- all the States had registration
- 21 requirements, but -- but they differed in a number of
- 22 respects. Which sex offenses would trigger the
- 23 registration requirement, for example.
- So -- so, there were people who -- who may
- 25 well have been sex offenders in -- in the broadest sense

- 1 under -- under the SORNA, a very broad definition, but
- 2 who were not required to register in the State in which
- 3 they -- they lived because that State's law did not
- 4 have -- list their offense as a triggering --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: And would that be the case
- 6 after SORNA, that some States would have less extensive
- 7 coverage than others?
- 8 MR. ROTHFELD: It is possible after SORNA
- 9 that -- that States will enact criminal regimes that
- 10 don't -- that don't mirror the SORNA -- the SORNA
- 11 definition, but --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, unless that could be
- 13 the case, then I don't see what is achieved by -- why
- 14 you worry about somebody moving to another State in
- 15 order to evade the registration.
- MR. ROTHFELD: Well, SORNA --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: I mean, if the new State
- 18 requires you to register just as much as the old one,
- 19 what are you worried about?
- 20 MR. ROTHFELD: Well, under the SORNA regime
- 21 if the States all implemented SORNA as Congress
- 22 anticipated that they would, if they all enacted these
- 23 statutes, I think that there wouldn't be -- the only
- 24 concern would be that people would simply fail to
- 25 register, they would then disappear --

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: Fail to register entirely?
- 2 MR. ROTHFELD: -- altogether. That's right.
- 3 And I think that is what SORNA is directed at. SORNA is
- 4 directed at people -- they are now all subject to
- 5 registration requirements. SORNA is directed at the
- 6 concern that people simply won't register. And -- and
- 7 it's designed after SORNA goes into effect, and there are
- 8 these new requirements on the books. The people --
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: Isn't the concern that the
- 10 State of conviction knows that an individual who has
- 11 been convicted of a sex offense has been released from
- 12 custody and, if that person is a resident of the State,
- 13 presumably knows that the person is likely to still be
- in the State, but if the person moves to another State,
- 15 the State to which the person moves doesn't know that a
- 16 sex offender has moved into the State, and that's the
- 17 reason for the Federal law that imposes a penalty for
- 18 failing to register in the new State after having
- 19 traveled across interstate lines?
- 20 MR. ROTHFELD: That's -- that's -- that's
- 21 quite right. And SORNA addresses these problems by
- 22 saying, first of all, the States all have to -- have to
- 23 pool their information and exchange them.
- 24 Secondly, when the -- when the sex offender
- 25 moves from one State to another, he or she is required

- 1 to register in the new State, has to notify both -- both
- 2 the State and Federal authorities. So it is designed --
- 3 SORNA itself is designed to be a comprehensive response
- 4 to this problem, encouraging State cooperation. And
- 5 that is why if we are looking at the policy and -- and
- 6 just not paying attention to the language for the
- 7 moment, the focus was on post-SORNA activity, because
- 8 Congress has put in place this new regime which is
- 9 supposed to address the problem of missing sex
- 10 offenders. People who are taking steps after SORNA goes
- 11 into effect, you know, offenders, to evade their
- 12 registration requirements are now subject to these new,
- 13 more comprehensive Federal penalties as well as State
- 14 penalties.
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I think that I
- 16 remain a little bit confused by the question one of my
- 17 colleagues answered, and I'm not sure if this last
- 18 answer by you is helping me understand it, which is if
- 19 SORNA now -- if every State is supposed to pass
- 20 legislation which requires sex offenders who have been
- 21 convicted elsewhere and moved to their State to
- 22 register, why do you -- I think that's what you -- that
- 23 you answered affirmatively for Justice Kennedy, correct?
- 24 SORNA requires every State to pass laws that obligate
- 25 people who have been convicted in other States to

- 1 register, correct?
- 2 MR. ROTHFELD: That -- that's right.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. So why do you
- 4 need SORNA? Why can't those States that the individual
- 5 has moved to simply prosecute the person for a failure to
- 6 register? Why do you need SORNA?
- 7 MR. ROTHFELD: Those States could do that.
- 8 And I -- as to why we need SORNA, why Congress thought
- 9 that SORNA was a good idea, I -- I think there are a
- 10 couple of reasons. One is that there are Federal
- 11 offenders and Congress, I think, believed that it was a
- 12 special Federal responsibility to -- to make sure that
- 13 Federal sex offenders were registered. And in addition,
- 14 Congress regarded the problem of -- of unregistered sex
- 15 offenders as a Federal problem, and it was one that,
- 16 after SORNA was enacted and this new systematic regime
- 17 was put in place, was more appropriate for Federal
- 18 prosecution. But I think --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Perhaps Congress --
- 20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Do you think part of it
- 21 is there was a -- SORNA requires a lot more information
- 22 than was required under the State statutes?
- 23 MR. ROTHFELD: Yes. SORNA is much more
- 24 expansive both in -- in the type of information that's
- 25 required and in the mechanism, in requiring in-person

- 1 registration by --
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: It's conceivable also that
- 3 Congress was -- was not confident that the States would
- 4 be as active in prosecuting violations as the Federal
- 5 Government would be.
- 6 MR. ROTHFELD: That -- that is -- that's
- 7 possible, too, and again that is a prospective focus.
- 8 And, of course, I -- my final point is that discussion of
- 9 the purpose I think illuminates this to some extent, but
- 10 the language itself is absolutely clear. There is no
- 11 reason to go beyond the plain text of the statute.
- 12 And if I can reserve the remainder of my
- 13 time.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 15 MR. ROTHFELD: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Gannon.
- 17 ORAL ARGUMENT OF CURTIS E. GANNON
- 18 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT
- MR. GANNON: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
- 20 please the Court:
- 21 Petitioner's offense under 18 U.S.C. 2250(a)
- 22 occurred when he failed to register as required by SORNA
- 23 well after SORNA was enacted. As Justice Ginsburg
- 24 elucidated, he does not dispute that the first paragraph
- 25 of SORNA can be triggered by a pre-SORNA conviction, and

- 1 adopting his construction of paragraph (2)(B) would
- 2 create a serious structural anomaly between the Federal
- 3 offenders, with whom there is no requirement that they
- 4 engage in any post-SORNA conduct other than the failure
- 5 to register, and the State sex offenders, who are the
- 6 majority of the missing sex offenders that Congress
- 7 intended to capture by enacting the new registration
- 8 regime and ensuring that there would be a serious
- 9 Federal penalty that would encourage offenders who had
- 10 used interstate travel to evade their registration
- 11 requirements to get back on the registration rolls.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. -- Mr. Carr, was
- in violation of the law the instant it was passed,
- 14 right?
- 15 MR. GANNON: We don't think he was in
- 16 violation of the law the instant it was passed for
- 17 purposes of the Ex Post Facto Clause; under cases like
- 18 Tranbarger and Samuels, we think that he did have a
- 19 reasonable period of time to comply with the new
- 20 obligation. This is a problem that would occur with all
- 21 sorts of Federal criminal statutes based on a status
- 22 that somebody was in at the time something was made
- 23 criminal.
- 24 In the -- in the case prohibiting possession
- 25 of handguns by persons who had been convicted of

- 1 misdemeanor crimes of domestic violence, that this Court
- 2 upheld that statute last year, when that statute came
- 3 into effect, if somebody had the relevant conviction on
- 4 the books and possessed a handgun, they would have been
- 5 guilty at the instant the statute came into effect, but
- 6 they would have been allowed a reasonable period to come
- 7 into compliance. That's the reasoning that the Court
- 8 used in Tranbarger and in Samuels; somebody who acquired
- 9 alcohol legally before a statutory prohibition provision
- 10 came into effect would be given a reasonable period of time
- 11 to divest himself of possession.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What's -- an obvious
- 13 question. What's the government's view on what a
- 14 reasonable time is?
- 15 MR. GANNON: Well, it's going to depend upon
- 16 the facts of the individual case, as the Tranbarger
- 17 Court recognized. In -- in the context of this
- 18 statute, where somebody is typically allowed only 3
- 19 business days to update their registration, we think it
- 20 would be a fairly short period. It's something that --
- 21 that -- that may depend on all sorts of circumstances.
- 22 If Petitioner -- or if a defendant, a sex offender, was
- 23 in the hospital for a long period of time and unable to
- 24 make it to the registry, that would provide him with an
- 25 -- an affirmative defense under the text of 2250(b).

- 1 And -- and so -- but we do think that this is a --
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So -- but the
- 3 reasonable time question doesn't come up in your --
- 4 in your hypothetical.
- 5 MR. GANNON: In -- in --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: First because
- 7 he's in the hospital and he has got a defense there,
- 8 so --
- 9 MR. GANNON: Well, that -- that's right,
- 10 and -- but I -- but we do think that it is a background
- 11 principle in -- in all of these cases that if somebody
- 12 is literally unable to avoid the criminal consequences
- 13 of their pre-enactment conduct, that that would raise the
- 14 concerns that the Ex Post Facto Clause is intended to
- 15 solve. And in cases like --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But no -- but as far
- 17 as a reasonable time goes, nobody's literally incapable
- 18 of doing it the same day the law passed.
- MR. GANNON: Well, I --
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Unless they meet one
- 21 of the other exceptions.
- MR. GANNON: Well, this -- I mean, this is
- 23 -- that's -- we -- we think that somebody does need a
- 24 reasonable time to come into compliance. It doesn't
- 25 need to be a long time. But this is an issue that --

- 1 that exists in the statute completely separate from the
- 2 travel requirement here. The Federal offenders who
- 3 are -- who are covered by paragraph (2)(A) -- there's no
- 4 requirement, there's no actus reus for them under 2250,
- 5 other than the fact that they have a previous
- 6 conviction, which can be pre-SORNA.
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: Where -- where?
- 8 (2)(A)? Which is where?
- 9 MR. GANNON: This is in 2250(a)(2)(A). It's
- 10 on page 1a of the government's appendix. And so
- 11 paragraph (2) is divided between (A) and (B) --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: I see. "Or" --
- MR. GANNON: (A) applies to sex offenders
- 14 who -- who are sex offenders by virtue of a conviction
- 15 under Federal or tribal law. Federal law --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: And they don't have to
- 17 travel in interstate commerce.
- 18 MR. GANNON: They don't have to travel in
- 19 interstate commerce because of the "or" between (A) and
- 20 (B). The only thing they have to do --
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: Right.
- MR. GANNON: -- is then knowingly fail to
- 23 register or update a registration as required by SORNA
- in paragraph (3).
- 25 And so the reasonable grace period question

- 1 for purposes of the Ex Post Facto Clause here is not
- 2 something that the Court can -- can sidestep by deciding
- 3 that interstate travel needs to occur after the statute
- 4 was enacted. And, indeed, if somebody were traveling on
- 5 the day the statute was enacted, there would still be a
- 6 question about whether they had a reasonable time to
- 7 comply.
- 8 JUSTICE ALITO: Do you happen to know what
- 9 Indiana law provided at the time? Within what period of
- 10 time after moving to Indiana was the Respondent required
- 11 to -- rather, the Petitioner required to register?
- 12 MR. GANNON: It -- it was a few days at the
- 13 time. He was also required under Alabama law -- when he
- 14 registered and signed a sex offender registration form
- 15 in Alabama in 2004, it said that he was required to
- 16 notify the law enforcement authorities in the
- 17 jurisdiction of his new residence within 10 days of his
- 18 arrival there. And the Indiana law was -- was I believe
- 19 a period of 7 or 10 days at -- at the time.
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, should the reasonable
- 21 time -- should the period under SORNA, which isn't
- 22 specified by statute, be the same as the period under
- 23 the law of the State into which the person moves?
- MR. GANNON: Well, the -- the period is
- 25 specified under -- under SORNA with -- when, once the

- 1 SORNA registration --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Right.
- 3 MR. GANNON: -- regime comes into effect.
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Right.
- 5 MR. GANNON: And it does require in -- in
- 6 16913(c), that somebody update the registration after a
- 7 change in residence within 3 business days of -- of
- 8 coming to the new residence. And so we -- we do think
- 9 that that would be relevant in evaluating what would be
- 10 a reasonable time period to come into compliance here.
- 11 This is the sort of thing that -- that after the statute
- 12 already comes into effect, that it would -- it would require
- 13 somebody to comply within 3 days. If they moved a
- 14 year later, then -- then that should be a reasonable time
- 15 period to --
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Can you clarify --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: How long ago --
- 18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Can you clarify what you
- 19 mean by "the statute comes into effect"? Because if I
- 20 understand correctly, there's only one State and one
- 21 Indian tribe that are in compliance.
- 22 MR. GANNON: Well, that's -- that's partly
- 23 true, Justice Ginsburg. Since -- since the press
- 24 release that's cited in the briefs, another Indian tribe
- 25 has come into substantial compliance. But what's

- 1 important here is that that's just a question of whether
- 2 the State is in substantial compliance with SORNA's
- 3 requirements for purposes of receiving Federal funding
- 4 under -- under the Byrne program.
- 5 And here even if a State has not come into
- 6 substantial compliance -- and Indiana has not yet been
- 7 certified as having come into substantial compliance --
- 8 it still had a functioning sex offender registry that
- 9 would take most of the information that SORNA required
- 10 Petitioner to provide, things like his name, his
- 11 physical characteristics --
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But that would be what
- 13 their --
- 14 MR. GANNON: -- his -- his address --
- 15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- what their old law was.
- 16 It wouldn't be -- you have -- SORNA is in effect, but what
- 17 the State is implementing is the pre-SORNA State law, right?
- 18 MR. GANNON: It's true that, especially
- 19 before SORNA was enacted, that that's all the State was
- 20 doing, if the State has amended its law since then, and
- 21 Indiana did update its law in 2006.
- But to the extent -- so the State may well
- 23 accept Congress's invitation to restructure its
- 24 registration system to match what SORNA requires, but
- 25 even when a State has not yet done that, there's no

- 1 doubt that someone like Petitioner can go in and
- 2 register. He was supposed to be registered, and, indeed,
- 3 after he was arrested in a -- in an incident in 2007, he
- 4 did register under Indiana law, and he provided the
- 5 information that Indiana was willing to take. And so --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What -- what do you
- 7 do with Mr. Rothfeld's hypothetical about someone who
- 8 travels in interstate commerce as a young child and,
- 9 20 years later, is covered by SORNA?
- MR. GANNON: Well, we think that that's not
- 11 covered under the sequencing argument that we've made,
- 12 which -- which partakes of the purpose of the statute
- 13 that Justice Alito was talking about and -- and the
- 14 order in which the relevant acts occur.
- 15 As long as somebody is already a convicted
- 16 sex offender of the kind that SORNA requires to
- 17 register, and they are within the time period within
- 18 which SORNA would require them to register -- and
- 19 Petitioner here is a tier II sex offender, so he would
- 20 be required to register for 15 years after his 2004 sex
- 21 offense conviction. As long as he is within that period
- 22 when he engages in the travel, then we think that it's
- 23 within the heartland of what Congress was concerned
- 24 about, which is a sex offender who is engaging in
- 25 interstate travel --

- 1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. I'm not
- 2 -- I'm missing your answer to my question. The answer
- 3 to the child traveling and then 20 years later is --
- 4 MR. GANNON: Is --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- is because it's
- 6 -- you have to require under SORNA before the travel?
- 7 MR. GANNON: It's -- no, it's -- you have to
- 8 have been convicted of a sex offense, because that --
- 9 that's what brings you within the category of persons --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't know where you get
- 11 that from. I can understand how you can say, which is
- 12 what Mr. Rothfeld says, that it has to follow the
- 13 requirement to register. That's the way the statute
- 14 reads: Whoever, one, is required to register, not
- 15 whoever has committed an offense that -- that would
- 16 later justify registration. It seems to me you are just
- 17 making up the -- the prior act that -- that triggers the
- 18 interstate travel requirement.
- MR. GANNON: Well, I don't think that we are
- 20 making it up, Justice Scalia.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, what text do you base
- 22 it on? (1) says "is required to register," and the
- 23 position of the Petitioner is: After you are required
- 24 to register, you must travel in interstate commerce.
- 25 And you say: No, it's after you commit the offense that

- 1 you must travel in interstate. Where do you get that
- 2 from?
- 3 MR. GANNON: Well, we get that from the
- 4 facts -- from the context here, from the anomaly that
- 5 would be created, the structural anomaly about the
- 6 differential treatment between Federal and State sex
- 7 offenders. The fact that the purpose of the statute is
- 8 to recapture missing sex offenders, which are persons
- 9 who engaged in interstate travel to elude the
- 10 registration requirements that already apply to them as
- 11 sex offenders. And so we think that when Congress
- 12 invoked the -- its powers to regulate travel and
- interstate commerce, in order to give that element
- 14 meaning, we think that it makes sense to apply it to
- 15 persons who already have the type of sex offense
- 16 convictions that SORNA requires them to register for.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So your answer to
- 18 Justice Scalia is that you don't get it from the
- 19 language? You get it from the anomaly; you get it from
- 20 the purpose.
- MR. GANNON: We get it from the context.
- 22 That's right. And we know that the plain language of
- 23 the statute can't completely control this inquiry,
- 24 because the Congress changed the language that existed
- 25 earlier in the drafting process of the --

1 JUSTICE BREYER:	Well	, what	about	going	back
-------------------	------	--------	-------	-------	------

- 2 to the purpose? There's a section on page 26 of the House
- 3 report where they go in some length to saying that the
- 4 purpose is this is going to help with 100,000 missing
- 5 people. Don't worry; if you can't remember, it doesn't
- 6 matter. What they say is there are 100,000 missing.
- 7 What they do is they travel, let's say, from Alabama to
- 8 California and they don't register. Now, this statute
- 9 is going to help with that.
- 10 Well, how does it help with that? They are
- 11 already supposed to register in California. And I
- 12 thought, well, maybe the way it helps with that is that
- 13 it imposes some new information requirements, so that
- 14 Alabama, if it were complying, would now have a lot of
- 15 information about the sex offender, and it would have an
- 16 obligation -- it could more easily track him down, or at
- 17 least California could or somebody could more easily
- 18 track him down, because he has to give information to
- 19 Alabama, and Alabama has a registry up.
- Is there something like that in this?
- MR. GANNON: Well, there is something like that,
- 22 but that's not all that's going on. On page 26 of the
- 23 House report --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes.
- MR. GANNON: -- that you're talking about,

- 1 Justice Breyer, it specifically says that sex offenders
- 2 who fail to comply will face felony criminal
- 3 prosecution. And this -- this was a way --
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, but that's -- that's
- 5 true of everybody whether they have moved or not moved.
- 6 MR. GANNON: Well, it's --
- JUSTICE BREYER: That is, what I'm --
- 8 the reason I brought up the other is because if this
- 9 is just a jurisdictional hook, I can see why Congress
- 10 might be trying to get as many people as they want
- 11 to register.
- 12 But this -- this also serves some purpose,
- 13 like we're going to make Alabama get some information,
- 14 makes it easier to catch these people, that purpose
- 15 wouldn't be served when the travel takes place before
- 16 this takes effect because Alabama wouldn't have kept the
- 17 information then.
- 18 MR. GANNON: Well, I think that Congress did
- 19 pass the statute for -- for multiple reasons in -- in
- 20 order to encourage there to be a more effective,
- 21 comprehensive nationwide registration scheme. And one
- 22 of the things that that required was -- anticipated, was
- 23 standardization among the States.
- 24 But it was also -- these -- Mr. Carr was
- 25 required to register both by the law of Alabama and

- 1 by the law of Indiana at the time when he committed his
- 2 sex offense and when he moved from one State to the
- 3 other. Congress considered that type of regime as
- 4 being inadequate. Congress obviously thought that
- 5 the State violations that were occurring with
- 6 100,000 sex offenders who had eluded registration,
- 7 gone underground, was a problem they wanted to solve.
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. So Congress passes
- 9 this statute. And how does it help specifically with
- 10 that?
- 11 MR. GANNON: Well, it -- it first of all
- 12 imposes a Federal registration requirement. So in --
- 13 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. So the person who
- 14 hasn't registered in California --
- 15 MR. GANNON: -- in 16913 --
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: He's afraid of the Feds.
- 17 He didn't -- he wasn't afraid of the California police,
- 18 but he's afraid of the Feds. Okay. I've got it. I've
- 19 got that. Any other thing?
- 20 MR. GANNON: It's -- it's not just that he's
- 21 afraid of the Feds when they can come with
- 22 prosecutorial powers under section 2250. It is also, as
- 23 you say, that there are several aspects of SORNA that
- 24 will encourage there to be much more cooperation among
- 25 jurisdictions in standardizing this information,

- 1 notifying different jurisdictions when somebody moves
- 2 from one to another --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. As far as I can read
- 4 that page, it seemed to me, insofar as what you've just said
- 5 is true, that would happen only after this statute is
- 6 passed. And, therefore, the fact that he had moved
- 7 before the statute is passed would not trigger the
- 8 cooperation. It might trigger the Fed prosecution part,
- 9 but it wouldn't trigger the cooperation part.
- MR. GANNON: Well, that -- that's true, but
- 11 we already know that this is true without regard to
- 12 post-SORNA travel for persons who have Federal sex
- 13 offense convictions.
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: The only reason I bring it
- 15 up is if this is a very close case. That tends to cut
- 16 somewhat against you; namely, that the thing applies
- 17 full-force in terms of its purposes to people who travel
- 18 after, but it only applies as sort of this weak thing to
- 19 people who travel before.
- 20 MR. GANNON: Well, I think, to the extent
- 21 that the committee report identified 100,000 missing sex
- 22 offenders as the most significant enforcement problem in
- 23 the sex offender context, 10 years after every single
- 24 State and the Federal government had passed a panoply of
- 25 sex offender registration requirements, shows that they

- 1 were concerned about persons who had fallen off the
- 2 sex offender registry rolls. And that this provision,
- 3 if it is -- if it is read to treat Federal and State sex
- 4 offenders more consistently, which is to require them
- 5 both -- to subject them both to potential Federal
- 6 prosecution if they knowingly fail to register after
- 7 SORNA comes into effect --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: No, but -- but it doesn't,
- 9 because if you haven't been -- if you are convicted of a
- 10 Federal offense, you are automatically in, but if you
- 11 are convicted of a State offense, you're in only if you
- 12 travel in interstate commerce after that offense. So
- 13 you don't resolve the inconsistency between (A) and (B).
- 14 There is still going to be some inconsistency between
- 15 the two.
- 16 MR. GANNON: There is going to be some
- 17 inconsistency --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Exactly.
- MR. GANNON: -- but we think that it's
- 20 dramatically lessened, and it's important in this
- 21 context to --
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: That -- that's a much less
- 23 powerful point. There is inconsistency between (A) and
- 24 (B), no matter what you do.
- MR. GANNON: There is, but the vast majority

- of the 100,000 sex offenders that were missing were people
- 2 who would have been convicted under State sex offenses.
- 3 And most of the -- most of the 705,000 registered sex
- 4 offenders in the country right now have been convicted
- 5 under -- under State provisions, rather than Federal --
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: Have you been able to
- 7 find -- when in doubt about the purpose, let's turn to
- 8 the language. Is it the case that you found any other
- 9 statute, any other statute, where Congress phrased a
- 10 jurisdictional hook in the present tense?
- 11 MR. GANNON: I think that lots of
- 12 jurisdictional hooks referring to travel and interstate
- 13 commerce are phrased in present tense. There are --
- 14 there are a handful that -- that are -- are specifically
- 15 tailored and have extra language, like the one we cite
- 16 dealing with gambling devices that have been transported
- 17 in interstate commerce after the effective date of that
- 18 particular statute. But, for the most part, I think
- 19 that they are phrased in present tense and --
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: Do you find anywhere where
- 21 they're both phrased in present tense and it was
- 22 pretty clear that Congress intended to catch activity
- 23 that was -- at least where the jurisdictional part took
- 24 place before the statute took effect? You find that
- 25 good an analogy anywhere?

- 1 MR. GANNON: I -- I'm not aware of -- of a
- 2 provision that's -- that's phrased like that --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I tried to --
- 4 MR. GANNON: -- where that -- where those
- 5 are the only things that are at issue.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I tried to find
- 7 one and -- and couldn't. I mean, looking up travels
- 8 in -- in the Code, and in each of those cases that I
- 9 found it's always -- it looks like it's -- it's linked
- 10 directly to the activity that's meant to be covered,
- 11 you know, traveling for the purpose of the -- the
- 12 activity that's against the law.
- 13 MR. GANNON: That's -- that's true. In
- 14 most instances in which Congress has an interstate
- 15 travel element, that's true. In some -- in
- 16 some cases like the -- the statute at issue in the
- 17 Trupin case about possession of -- of stolen goods
- 18 that have traveled in interstate commerce, that --
- 19 that's -- that's -- that's an invocation of --
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: Yes, where -- where it
- 21 means prior travel, it says so, use of a firearm that
- 22 has traveled in interstate commerce. They use the past
- 23 tense when they mean it.
- 24 MR. GANNON: In those cases in context,
- 25 I think it was easiest to say that when it has

- 1 traveled vis-à-vis the act that was in question there.
- 2 And -- and here -- neither side is contending that --
- 3 that the travel can happen after the failure to register
- 4 and somebody immediately then becomes guilty of the
- 5 offense.
- 6 We think that the sequencing requirement
- 7 makes sense on both ends, that somebody needs to have
- 8 the sex offense conviction before they travel, and then
- 9 they need to fail to register after they have -- they
- 10 have engaged in the travel, because that's the concern
- 11 that Congress was trying to get at, persons who were
- 12 able to use the fact of interstate travel to evade
- 13 registration.
- 14 And the reason, Mr. Chief Justice, why I
- 15 think that Congress didn't include a purpose requirement
- 16 there is because Congress didn't want sex offenders to
- 17 be able to take advantage of the fact that they had
- 18 another good reason to travel. If my employer transfers
- 19 me from one State to another, and then I take advantage
- 20 of that situation to go underground and not re-register,
- 21 that's one of the 100,000 missing sex offenders that
- 22 Congress was concerned about, even though I would have
- 23 had a good defense to the charge that I had traveled with
- 24 the purpose or for the purpose of evading sex offender
- 25 registration requirements.

- 1 Justice Sotomayor, I think you were asking a
- 2 question about -- about why the -- what the purpose
- 3 would be served here if States would already have the
- 4 underlying offense that would be made criminal by SORNA.
- 5 I think that there are a couple different answers to
- 6 that.
- 7 Mr. Rothfeld acknowledged that Congress may
- 8 well have intended there to be extra force that would
- 9 come from the -- from the Federal prosecution itself.
- 10 But separately I think it's important to note that --
- 11 that States were given time to comply with SORNA, to
- 12 come into substantial compliance with SORNA. And -- and
- 13 even though no State at this point has said that they
- 14 don't intend to come into compliance with SORNA,
- 15 Congress couldn't necessarily have expected States to
- 16 adopt the particular offense that they -- that
- 17 they were concerned about. And I think that -- that --
- 18 that here Congress did want to -- to cover that
- 19 situation.
- 20 One other factual point that's associated
- 21 with the -- the effectiveness there that was brought up
- 22 in the briefs and hasn't come up today is the question
- 23 of -- of when the prior Wetterling Act offenses were
- 24 repealed.
- 25 And I -- I -- in section 129 of SORNA, the

- 1 Wetterling Act offense was repealed effective 3
- 2 years after the date of SORNA's effective date, even
- 3 without regard to the 1-year extensions issued by the
- 4 Attorney General, notwithstanding a point in the
- 5 Petitioner's reply brief, simply because section 129
- 6 doesn't incorporate 124(B), which has the extension
- 7 provision for the Attorney General.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- understand some of
- 9 the delay Congress may have in passing a law with
- 10 specifics about how things are done. Are you worried at
- 11 all under Lambert whether or not there might be a due
- 12 process violation in all the indeterminate provisions of
- 13 this law? Where do you -- yes, you're supposed to
- 14 register, but States don't have a place for you to
- 15 register, and now you are supposed to know that you are
- 16 supposed to register under the old systems, and you
- don't know how much time to do it in.
- 18 MR. GANNON: Well, I -- I think here that
- 19 there -- the question of notice and knowledge has not
- 20 been an issue because it's --
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I --
- 22 MR. GANNON: And I think that's -- this --
- 23 one of the things you said is the States may not have a
- 24 place to register. And that's -- that's just not true.
- 25 Since -- since before 1996, every State has had a sex

- 1 offender registry.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Absolutely --
- 3 MR. GANNON: And -- and --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- but they don't have a
- 5 SORNA place to register.
- 6 MR. GANNON: Well, it's the same place.
- 7 What SORNA requires is that you provide the following
- 8 types of information to the relevant officials that run
- 9 the sex offender registry for the jurisdiction. And
- 10 "jurisdiction" is defined to include the State.
- 11 And, so, in -- in these cases, even if
- 12 the State hasn't changed the name on the door to SORNA
- 13 registration facility -- it's just sex offender
- 14 registration facility under, you know, Zachary's Law,
- 15 which is the name of the Indiana sex offender registration
- 16 law, as opposed to Megan's Law -- there is -- they are
- 17 still required by SORNA to register, which requires them
- 18 to give information to the relevant officials in the
- 19 relevant jurisdiction.
- 20 And the jurisdiction is there; the officials
- 21 are there. They are taking the information. As long as
- 22 the officials will take the information, the failure to
- 23 do that is a violation of 2250.
- 24 And there's one -- one other point that
- 25 Petitioner was making in the reply brief was that there

- 1 seemed to be some confusion about -- about whether there
- 2 was an offense under SORNA when States had not yet come
- 3 into compliance or whether that would have been an
- 4 offense only under the pre-SORNA Wetterling Act.
- 5 And -- and the reason that there isn't a
- 6 SORNA violation there, if -- if a State has not yet
- 7 decided to accept things like digital palm prints or
- 8 whatever the extra information is that SORNA would
- 9 require, the -- the defendant is still required to
- 10 provide that, what information the State will accept.
- 11 And the affirmative defense in 2250(b) would only be
- 12 applicable in circumstances where the State wouldn't
- 13 take that extra information.
- 14 So it's only those aspects of SORNA that are
- 15 above and beyond what the State will allow the offender
- 16 to do, that he's excused from complying with by the
- 17 affirmative defense, that it's uncontrollable circumstances
- 18 that he -- that he can't provide a DNA sample or a palm
- 19 print in a particular State that doesn't do that yet.
- 20 But as long as the State is taking the rest of the
- 21 information, he needs to give that.
- 22 And this is a case where Petitioner utterly
- 23 failed to give any of the information to Indiana once he
- 24 arrived there at the end of 2004 or beginning of 2005.
- 25 So, there's -- there's no dispute that the State would

- 1 have taken that information had he been in compliance
- 2 with that law. Once SORNA later came into effect, he
- 3 probably wouldn't have been in violation of SORNA at
- 4 that point.
- 5 But -- but that -- there's nothing unusual
- 6 about the fact that he could have engaged in pre-enactment
- 7 conduct that would have prevented him from being in
- 8 violation, just as the person convicted of a misdemeanor
- 9 possession -- misdemeanor crime of domestic violence, if
- 10 he doesn't possess a gun before the -- the new provision
- in 922 comes into effect, then he hasn't committed the
- 12 offense. If somebody doesn't acquire the alcohol before
- 13 the statutory prohibition comes into effect that was at
- 14 issue in Samuels before that law came into effect, then
- 15 he hadn't committed the offense.
- 16 So, there's nothing unusual about saying
- 17 that he could have complied with SORNA effectively in
- 18 anticipation of its being enacted, even though it
- 19 didn't yet exist.
- 20 The -- the -- the question here is whether
- 21 he knowingly failed to register as required by SORNA
- 22 after it came into effect. And -- and we think that --
- 23 that -- that he did, because he had already engaged in
- 24 the interstate travel after he had been convicted of a
- 25 sex offense.

1	If there are no further questions, we would
2	urge the Court to affirm the Seventh Circuit
3	JUSTICE BREYER: One last one. It should
4	help with a minor point. But but I take it, under the
5	statute, you have to register if you are a sex offender.
6	And that's true whether you've moved or not moved?
7	MR. GANNON: That's that's true under
8	Section 42 U.S.C. 16913.
9	JUSTICE BREYER: Yes.
10	MR. GANNON: That's true. The registration
11	requirement applies without regard
12	JUSTICE BREYER: But it's a crime it's a
13	crime under the section we're talking about only if you
14	are both the person who had to register and you didn't
15	and you moved?

- MR. GANNON: If you fall within (2)(B) --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes. Okay.
- MR. GANNON: -- the person who -- who has to --
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Everybody in the State has
- 20 to register if they meet that definition?
- MR. GANNON: In order to -- to meet the --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Moved or not?
- MR. GANNON: To meet the registration
- 24 requirement of 16913, that's true. That's correct.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Okay.

1	MR. GANNON: And and and, obviously,
2	that that makes sense in terms of implementing the
3	scheme, that if somebody registers beforehand, it makes
4	it much easier to catch them once they move afterwards.
5	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
6	Mr. Rothfeld, you have 4 minutes remaining.
7	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF CHARLES A. ROTHFELD
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	JUSTICE SCALIA: Mr. Rothfeld, I hate to eat
10	up any of your rebuttal time, but this is sort of by way
11	of unfinished business. Justice Alito asked three
12	questions at the beginning of this interesting exercise.
13	I think you only answered the first. I don't even
14	remember the third anymore.
15	(Laughter.)
16	JUSTICE SCALIA: But I was interested in the
17	second, which mentioned other provisions in this in
18	this very statute that that use the present tense.
19	MR. ROTHFELD: The those all appear in
20	the civil registration provision, not in the criminal
21	provision. And my answer to Justice Alito's question is
22	that we are not aware of any case in which the Congress
23	has used a present-tense verb in a criminal statute to
24	attach criminal consequences to conduct that took place
25	before the statute was enacted. And

-					_		
	JUSTICE	A I , I 'I'():	Rut	all	\circ t	those	provisions

- 2 refer now -- as a result of the Attorney General's
- 3 determination that pre-SORNA convictions qualify, all of
- 4 those provisions use the present tense to refer to
- 5 activities that can have taken place in the past.
- 6 MR. ROTHFELD: But at the time that
- 7 Congress --
- 8 JUSTICE ALITO: This very -- this very
- 9 statute. Isn't that correct?
- 10 MR. ROTHFELD: That is correct. At the time
- 11 that Congress wrote those civil provisions, this
- 12 statute, on its face, applied prospectively only. The
- 13 Attorney General had not yet retroactively applied it.
- 14 Congress specifically gave the Attorney General the
- 15 authority to apply it retroactively in defining which
- 16 offenders had to register. It did not give him any
- 17 authority to retroactively change the scope of the --
- 18 JUSTICE ALITO: No, but your main argument
- 19 is that "travels" is in the present tense, and that
- 20 means present and future. But there are provisions of
- 21 this very statute that use the present tense to refer to
- 22 past conduct. So why doesn't that knock the legs out
- 23 from under your textual argument?
- MR. ROTHFELD: Well, the -- that's --
- 25 I'm not sure that I would say that's our principal

- 1 argument. That's one of our arguments, and the
- 2 argument which I think is dispositive. Given the way
- 3 that the Attorney General -- the Solicitor General
- 4 has presented the case relates to the first element
- of the offense, which is that the action -- the
- 6 offender is required to register under SORNA, which, as
- 7 we have said, has to take place after SORNA is enacted.
- 8 I think Mr. Gannon candidly acknowledged
- 9 that basically, that has to be read to mean something
- 10 different. It has to be read to mean "is a sex
- 11 offender, " and that is simply not a plausible reading of
- 12 the statute. Not only because of the plain words "is
- 13 required to register," but the provision of the offense
- 14 which addresses Federal sex offenders sets out three
- 15 elements as to them. As to a Federal sex offender, it
- 16 must be someone who is required to register.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, Mr. Gannon may have
- 18 made an argument that's not helpful to his position,
- 19 but you can accept that the first provision means
- 20 exactly what it says: "is required to register." And
- 21 that takes effect on day when SORNA is enacted.
- 22 There's nothing in the statute that says
- 23 that those three events have to take place in -- in a
- 24 temporal sequence. It doesn't say "is required to
- 25 register and thereafter travels in interstate commerce."

- 1 It doesn't say that. In fact, an earlier version did
- 2 say that, and it was taken out, wasn't it?
- 3 MR. ROTHFELD: An earlier version said
- 4 "travels thereafter." I -- that was dropped, I would
- 5 suggest, as superfluous, because the present-tense
- 6 language encompasses that. But it -- it would not make
- 7 sense to say -- if one disregards the temporal sequence,
- 8 that brings back the Lincoln Tunnel baby. It would mean
- 9 that someone could have traveled as an infant, and that
- 10 satisfies the SORNA travel requirements.
- 11 JUSTICE ALITO: It doesn't if that results
- 12 from the aim of the statute, rather than the order in
- 13 which those elements are set out in the statute.
- MR. ROTHFELD: But I'd suggest,
- 15 Justice Alito, that requires an extensive rewriting of
- 16 the language of the statute.
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: It doesn't. He said, first
- 18 the crime has to take place, before the travel.
- 19 MR. ROTHFELD: And one has to -- one would
- 20 have to --
- JUSTICE BREYER: And, moreover, there's a
- 22 statutory limitation, because after a certain period of
- 23 years, you don't have to register anymore. So the
- 24 hypotheticals about the infant and 20 years ago are out,
- 25 because the longest it could last is 15 years.

- 1 MR. ROTHFELD: But one has to --
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: And then you wouldn't have
- 3 committed the crime as an infant, so there we are.
- 4 But it's still a long time, 15 years, I grant you.
- 5 MR. ROTHFELD: Well, and even so, one has to
- 6 read into that limitation as to where -- why it is that
- 7 there is that limit on -- on the --
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: You have to change the
- 9 language "is required to register" to "has committed
- 10 a" --
- 11 MR. ROTHFELD: "Has committed a sex
- 12 offense."
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, you don't have
- 14 to -- you don't have to do that. I guess my problem
- 15 with your argument -- you say, "is required to register
- 16 under SORNA." But you can be required to register under
- 17 SORNA before SORNA is enacted to the extent that SORNA
- 18 is retroactive. You ask someone: Why are you
- 19 registering? Well, SORNA tells me I -- I have to.
- 20 MR. ROTHFELD: Well, I'd suggest that that's
- 21 a peculiar reading of the term "is required to
- 22 register, "that, as written, it seems to me as a present-
- 23 tense requirement. You are now currently required to
- 24 comply with the terms of the statute. It does not say:
- 25 You are required -- you are a sex offender within -- as

Τ	subsequently defined by SURNA. As Congress did expressiy
2	say in the Federal offender provision in section $(2)(A)$.
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
4	MR. ROTHFELD: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The case is
6	submitted.
7	(Whereupon, at 11:07 a.m., the case in the
8	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
9	
L O	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A able 42:6 44:12 affect 19:21 affect 19:21 affert 19:21 affert 19:21 affert 19:21 affert 19:21 affirmative 19:23 22:22 anticipated 48:14 associated 45:20 assuming 11:25 ascopted 4:2 ago 32:17 54:24 accept 33:23 48:7 48:10 53:19 age 11:10 accepted 4:2 accomplishe 12:21 accomplishes 12:21 acknowledge 45:7 53:8 acquire 49:12 acknowledged 45:7 53:8 acquire 49:12 acquired 28:8 acquire 49:12 activities 52:5 activity 24:7 42:24 33:14 addresses 4:6 23:21 53:14 addresses 4:6 23:21 53:14 addressing addressing date and many 27:2 anticipation 49:13 associated 45:20 associated 45:20 associated 45:20 associated 45:20 associated 45:20 associated 45:20 assumption 6:4 attach 69:21 attaching 6:10 12:19 appear 51:19 applications 13:25 attempting 13:25 applications 12:24 applications 13:16 ap	basic 16:5
44:17 affirm 50:2 affirmative anticipated 48:14 1:11 56:8 absolutely 8:15 9:25 20:15 26:10 47:2 accept 33:23 48:7 48:10 53:19 accept 33:23 48:7 48:10 53:19 accept 4:2 accomplish 13:15 9:18 10:22,22 accomplishes 12:21 achieved 22:13 achieved 22:13 acknowledge 7:16 Alabama 31:13 acknowledge 45:7 53:8 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 actis 20:24 34:14 action 53:5 23:93 18:20 activites 52:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activites 52:13 address 17:25 24:9 33:14 addressing affirmatively 36:45:19 anticipation 49:12 appear 51:14 anymore 51:14 attach 51:24 attached 9:21 attaching 6:10 appear 51:19 appear 51:19 appear 51:19 appear 51:19 attaching 6:10 appears 4:1 altaching 6:10 appears 4:1 applicable 48:12 applicable 48:12 applications 12:24 ap	Lhogically, 17,10
above-entitled 1:11 56:8 absolutely 8:15 9:25 20:15 26:10 47:2 accept 33:23 48:7 48:10 53:19 accepted 4:2 accomplish 13:15 accomplishes 12:21 acchieved 22:13 acknowledge 7:16 acknowledge 45:7 53:8 acquired 49:12 acquired 28:8 act 3:14 6:11 20:7 20:19 35:17 48:4 145:23 46:1 48:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activet 26:4 activet 26:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:4 activet 26:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 address 17:25 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 address 17:25 activity 24:7 42:21 address 84:6 23:21 53:14 address 84:6 23:21 53:14 addressing affirmative 28:25 48:11,17 38:22 anticipation 49:18 antymore 51:14 antymore 5:11 antymore 5:12 antymore 5:12 antymore 6:12 antymore 5:12 antymore 5:12 antymore 5:14 antymore 6:23 antymore 6:12 antymore 6:23 antymore 6:13 antech(9:12 antymore	basically 17:10
28:25 48:11,17 38:22 anticipation 49:18 attach 49:21 attach 69:21 a	18:21 53:9
absolutely 8:15 9:25 20:15 26:10 47:2 accept 33:23 48:7 48:10 53:19 accomplish 13:15 accomplishes 12:21 achieved 22:13 acknowledge 45:7 53:8 acquire 49:12 acquired 28:8 act 3:14 6:11 20:7 20:19 35:17 44:1 45:23 46:1 48:4 action 53:5 active 26:4 asim 54:12 allowed 12:25 active 26:4 active 26:4 active 26:3 active 26:4 active 26:23 active 26:4	beginning 48:24
9:25 20:15 26:10 47:2 accept 33:23 48:7 48:10 53:19 accomplish 13:15 accomplishes 12:21 accineved 22:13 acknowledge 7:16 Alabama 31:13 acknowledged 45:7 53:8 37:19,19 38:13 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 acquired 28:8 act 3:14 6:11 20:7 20:19 35:17 44:1 45:23 46:1 48:4 activities 52:5 active 26:4 activities 52:5 active 26:4 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,1	51:12
26:10 47:2 accept 33:23 48:7 48:10 53:19 accepted 4:2 accomplish 13:15 accomplishes 12:21 achieved 22:13 acknowledge 7:16 Alabama 31:13 acknowledge 45:7 53:8 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 activite 52:5 active 26:4 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activities 52:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activi	begs 18:16
accept 33:23 48:7 39:18,21 54:23 attached 9:21 48:10 53:19 age 11:10 apparent 16:15 apparent 16:15 attaching 6:10 accomplish 13:15 9:18 10:22,22 appeal 18:25 appeal 18:25 attaching 6:10 accomplishes agreed 9:11 agreed 9:11 appear 51:19 attachment 6:17 acknowledge aim 54:12 aim 54:12 appears 4:1 attachment 6:17 acknowledged Alabama 31:13 appendix 30:10 applications 13:25 acknowledged 37:19,19 38:13 applications 12:24 applications acquire 49:12 38:16,25 applications 12:24 applications activit 26:4 49:12 Alito 3:21 8:25 applied 52:12,13 applications 49:12 49:12 Alito 3:21 8:25 applied 52:12,13 applications 49:12 49:12 49:12 49:12 49:12 40:16,18 50:11 applies 30:13 authorities 24:2 31:16 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 43:14 41:14 14:14 42:	behalf 1:15,19
As:10 53:19 age 11:10 ago 32:17 54:24 agree 6:23 9:17 9:18 10:22,22 achieved 22:13 acknowledge	2:4,6,9 3:8
accepted 4:2 ago 32:17 54:24 appeal 18:25 12:19 accomplish agree 6:23 9:17 4PEARAN 12:19 accomplishes agreed 9:11 appear 51:19 APPEARAN attempt 10:4 12:21 agreed 9:11 appear 51:19 APPEARAN attempt 10:4 achieved 22:13 aim 54:12 appear 51:19 APPEARAN attempt 10:4 7:16 Alabama 31:13 applications 31:15 37:7,14 applicable 48:12 4:20,23 7:20,25 acknowledged 37:19,19 38:13 37:19,19 38:13 applicable 48:12 4:20,23 7:20,25 acquire 49:12 38:16,25 applications 12:24 20:11 46:47 acquire 49:12 38:16,25 appliced 52:12,13 applications 8:5,10,22 16:14 48:1 45:23 46:1 49:12 49:12 40:16,18 50:11 applies 30:13 authorities 24:2 42:19 35:17 Alito 3:21 8:25 apply 4:11,24 8:5 8:21 52:15,17 authorities 24:2 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 approachs 17:23 approachs 17:23 authorities 24:2	26:18 51:8
accomplish agree 6:23 9:17 appear 51:19 attachment 6:17 13:15 9:18 10:22,222 APPEARAN attachment 6:17 12:21 agreed 9:11 appears 4:1 1:14 acknowledge 7:16 Alabama 31:13 applicable 48:12 attention 24:6 acknowledged 31:15 37:7,14 applicable 48:12 4:20,23 7:20,25 acquire 49:12 38:16,25 applications 8:5,10,22 16:18 active 28:8 alcohol 28:9 49:12 40:16,18 50:11 authorities 24:2 20:19 35:17 Alito 3:21 8:25 applied 52:12,13 authorities 24:2 48:4 11:14 14:14 52:15 apply4:11,24 8:5 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 applying 5:16 approciate 3:25 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 allow 48:15 appropriate appropriate actus 30:4 add 18:8 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 argue 10:13 actus 30:4 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 am 1:13 3:25 6:7 address 17:25 anomaly 27:2 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 <	believe 21:5
13:15	31:18
accomplishes agreed 1:14 attempting acknowledge aim 54:12 appears 4:1 17:20 attention 24:6 Aknowledge Alabama 31:13 applicable 48:12 4:20,23 7:20,25 acknowledged 31:15 37:7,14 applicable 48:12 4:20,23 7:20,25 acquire 49:12 38:16,25 applications 8:5,10,22 16:18 acquired 28:8 alcohol 28:9 applied 52:12,13 20:11 46:4,7 activited 28:8 alcohol 28:9 49:12 40:16,18 50:11 applies 30:13 48:4 41:14 41:14 52:15 apply 4:11,24 8:5 authorities 24:2 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 51:11 52:1,8,18 53:17 54:11,15 approach 12:23 approach 12:23 authority 7:25 activity 24:7 53:17 54:11,15 42:22 43:10,12 allow 48:15 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:8 appropriate aware 6:7 8:21 actus 30:4 allowed 12:25 actus 30:4 actus 30:4 actus 30:4 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 am 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 andoget 42:25 </td <td>believed 25:11</td>	believed 25:11
12:21 agrees 7:8 appears 4:1 13:25 acknowledge aim 54:12 appendix 30:10 Attorney 4:12,16 acknowledged 31:15 37:7,14 applicable 48:12 4:20,23 7:20,25 acquire 49:12 38:16,25 applications 8:5,10,22 16:18 acquired 28:8 alcohol 28:9 applied 52:12,13 authorities 24:2 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 apply 4:11,24 8:5 authority 7:25 activities 52:5 32:2,4 34:13 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:8 activity 24:7 Alito's 51:21 approaches 17:8 appropriate acts 20:24 34:14 allowed 12:25 actual 10:5 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 arm 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 addition 25:13 anlogy 42:25 arguent 1:12 arguent 1:12 addresses 4:6 33:14 addresses 4:6 33:20 35:18 55:15 23:21 53:14 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 35:18 55:15 baby 11:7 54:8 back 27:11 37:1 52:18 35:18 52:18 35:18 52:18 35:18 52:18 35:15	beyond 12:16
achieved 22:13 acknowledge 7:16 Alabama 31:13 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 acquire 49:12 active 26:4 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 actus 30:4 actus 30:10 applicable 48:12 applications 12:24 applications 12:24 applied 52:12,13 applied 52:12,13 applies 30:13 apply 4:11,24 8:5 16:16 36:10,14 52:15 approach 12:23 applying 5:16 approach 28:8 applying 5:16 approach 28:8 apply 4:11,24 8:5 16:16 36:10,14 52:15 approach 12:23 approach 12:23 approach 12:23 approaches 17:8	17:7 26:11
acknowledge aiming 17:4 Alabama 31:13 appendix 30:10 Attorney 4:12,16 4:20,23 7:20,25 acknowledged 45:7 53:8 37:19,19 38:13 12:24 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:20,11 4:20,23 7:20,25 8:21,31,14 8:3 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:5,10,22 16:18 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:21,12,12 8:11,13,17 8.21,12 8:11,13,17	48:15
Alabama 31:13 applicable 48:12 4:20,23 7:20,25 acknowledged 31:15 37:7,14 applications 8:5,10,22 16:18 45:7 53:8 37:19,19 38:13 applications 12:24 20:11 46:4,7 acquire 49:12 alcohol 28:9 applied 52:12,13 52:2,13,14 53:3 activite 3:17 Alito 3:21 8:25 applied 52:12,13 authorities 24:2 action 53:5 23:9 31:8,20 32:2,4 34:13 apply 4:11,24 8:5 authority 7:25 activite 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 approach 12:23 approach 12:23 authorized 7:24 activity 24:7 Alito's 51:21 approach 12:23 appropriate avaid 29:12 acts 20:24 34:14 allowed 12:25 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 argue 10:13 ammended 33:20 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 allowed 2:25 anended 33:20 8:20 9:1,4 24:9 33:14 andoresse 4:6 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 3	bit 24:16
acknowledged 31:15 37:7,14 applications 8:5,10,22 16:18 45:7 53:8 37:19,19 38:13 applications 12:24 acquire 49:12 38:16,25 applied 52:12,13 authorities 24:2 act 3:14 6:11 20:7 Alito 3:21 8:25 applied 52:12,13 authorities 24:2 20:19 35:17 Alito 3:21 8:25 p:10 10:8,13 16:16 36:10,14 authority 7:25 48:4 11:14 14:14 52:15 authority 7:25 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 applying 5:16 authority 7:25 activity 24:7 53:17 54:11,15 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:8 activity 24:7 Alito's 51:21 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:8 authorites 24:2 activity 24:7 53:17 54:11,15 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:8 authorites 24:2 actus 30:4 allow 48:15 allow 48:15 argue 10:13 argue 10:13 am 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argue 10:13 argues 17:1 B B 10:18 14:17 2:2:2,7 3:4,7,23 B B 10:18 14:17 27:1 30:11,20 41:13,24 50:16 address 17:25 36:4	
45:7 53:8 acquire 49:12 acquired 28:8 act 3:14 6:11 20:7 20:19 35:17 44:1 45:23 46:1 48:4 action 53:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 activity 24:7 actival 10:5 actual 10:5 actual 10:5 add 18:8 address 17:25 24:9 33:14 addressing acquire 49:12 38:16,25 alcohol 28:9 49:12 40:16,18 50:11 apply 4:11,24 8:5 apply 4:11,24 8:5 apply 4:11,24 8:5 apply 4:11,24 8:5 action 53:5 active 26:4 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 actival 10:5 actival 10:5 actival 10:5 actival 10:5 actival 10:5 actival 10:5 actival 20:14 actival 10:5	28:4
acquire 49:12 38:16,25 applied 52:12,13 52:2,13,14 53:3 act 3:14 6:11 20:7 49:12 40:16,18 50:11 31:16 20:19 35:17 Alito 3:21 8:25 40:16,18 50:11 31:16 44:1 45:23 46:1 41:14 14:14 52:15 authorities 24:2 action 53:5 23:9 31:8,20 applying 5:16 applying 5:16 authorized 7:24 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 approach 12:23 approach 12:23 authority 7:25 activity 24:7 53:17 54:11,15 approach 12:23 approach 12:23 authority 7:25 activity 24:7 53:17 54:11,15 approach 12:23 approaches 17:8 avoid 29:12 acts 20:24 34:14 allow 48:15 25:17 argue 10:13 aum 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 address 17:25 amended 33:20 8:20 9:1,4 B 10:18 14:17 24:9 33:14 addresses 4:6 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19	Breyer 16:5,20
acquired 28:8 alcohol 28:9 applies 30:13 authorities 24:2 20:19 35:17 Alito 3:21 8:25 apply 4:11,24 8:5 31:16 44:1 45:23 46:1 9:10 10:8,13 16:16 36:10,14 8:21 52:15,17 48:4 11:14 14:14 52:15 authority 7:25 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 applying 5:16 8:11,13,17 activities 52:5 51:11 52:1,8,18 approaches 17:8 8:11,13,17 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 allow 48:15 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:8 4d:10's 51:21 approaches 17:8 appropriate aware 6:7 8:21 25:17 11:20 43:1 51:22 actus 20:24 34:14 allow 48:15 argue 10:13 am 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 am 1:13 3:2 56:7 address 17:25 analogy 42:25 arguent 1:12 2:2,7 3:4,7,23 addresses 4:6 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 34:11 51:7 52:18,23 53:1,2 53:18 55:15 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 53:18 55:15 54:8	17:3,10,13
act 3:14 6:11 20:7 49:12 40:16,18 50:11 31:16 20:19 35:17 Alito 3:21 8:25 40:16,18 50:11 31:16 44:1 45:23 46:1 Alito 3:21 8:25 9:10 10:8,13 16:16 36:10,14 8:21 52:15,17 48:4 23:9 31:8,20 applying 5:16 authorized 7:24 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 appreciate 3:25 automatically activity 24:7 Alito's 51:21 approach 12:23 avoid 29:12 acts 20:24 34:14 allowed 12:25 appropriate avoid 29:12 actual 10:5 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 51:22 actus 30:4 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 51:22 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 B address 17:25 analogy 42:25 anomaly 27:2 34:11 51:7 52:18,23 53:1,2 B B 10:18 14:17 27:1 30:11,20 41:13,24 50:16 back 27:11 37:1 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	20:16,21,23
Alito 3:21 8:25	21:7,11 37:1,24
44:1 45:23 46:1 9:10 10:8,13 16:16 36:10,14 8:21 52:15,17 48:4 11:14 14:14 52:15 authorized 7:24 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 applying 5:16 8:11,13,17 activities 52:5 51:11 52:1,8,18 approach 12:23 automatically activity 24:7 53:17 54:11,15 approach 12:23 avoid 29:12 acts 20:24 34:14 actual 10:5 allow 48:15 25:17 argue 10:13 avare 6:7 8:21 actual 10:5 28:6,18 argues 17:1 amm 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 24:9 33:14 addresse 4:6 23:21 53:14 anomaly 27:2 34:11 51:7 27:1 30:11,20 41:13,24 50:16 answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	38:1,4,7 39:8
48:4 11:14 14:14 52:15 authorized 7:24 action 53:5 32:2,4 34:13 applying 5:16 8:11,13,17 active 26:4 32:2,4 34:13 approach 12:23 automatically activity 24:7 53:17 54:11,15 approach 12:23 avoid 29:12 42:22 43:10,12 Alito's 51:21 approaches 17:8 avoid 29:12 acts 20:24 34:14 allow 48:15 25:17 argue 10:13 aware 6:7 8:21 actus 30:4 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 51:22 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 address 17:25 amended 33:20 8:20 9:1,4 B addresses 4:6 36:4,5,19 36:4,5,19 34:11 51:7 27:1 30:11,20 41:13,24 50:16 41:13,24 50:16 41:13,24 50:16 baby 11:7 54:8 back 27:11 37:1	39:13,16 40:3
action 53:5 active 26:4 activities 52:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 acts 20:24 34:14 actual 10:5 actus 30:4 add 18:8 addition 25:13 address 17:25 24:9 33:14 addresses 4:6 23:9 31:8,20 32:2,4 34:13 51:11 52:1,8,18 53:17 54:11,15 Alito's 51:21 allow 48:15 allowed 12:25 allowed 12:25 actus 30:4 add 18:8 addition 25:13 address 17:25 24:9 33:14 addresses 4:6 23:21 53:14 addressing 23:9 31:8,20 32:2,4 34:13 approach 12:23 approaches 17:8 approach 12:23 approaches 17:8 automatically 41:10 avoid 29:12 aware 6:7 8:21 11:20 43:1 51:22 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 amml:13 3:2 56:7 baby 11:7 54:8 back 27:11 37:1	40:14 42:6,20
active 26:4 activities 52:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 acts 20:24 34:14 actual 10:5 actual 10:5 acdd 18:8 addition 25:13 address 17:25 24:9 33:14 addresses 4:6 23:21 53:14 addressing 32:2,4 34:13 51:11 52:1,8,18 53:17 54:11,15 approach 12:23 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:1 approaches	50:3,9,12,17,19
activities 52:5 activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 acts 20:24 34:14 actual 10:5 actus 30:4 add 18:8 addition 25:13 address 17:25 24:9 33:14 addresses 4:6 23:21 53:14 addressing 51:11 52:1,8,18 53:17 54:11,15 Alito's 51:21 approach 12:23 approaches 17:8 approaches 17:8 approach 12:23 avoid 29:12 aware 6:7 8:21 11:20 43:1 51:22 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 amule 10:13 argument 1:12 argument 1:12 2:2,7 3:4,7,23 8:20 9:1,4 18:21,25 26:17 27:1 30:11,20 41:13,24 50:16 baby 11:7 54:8 back 27:11 37:1	50:22,25 54:17
activity 24:7 42:22 43:10,12 acts 20:24 34:14 actual 10:5 actus 30:4 add 18:8 addition 25:13 address 17:25 24:9 33:14 addresses 4:6 23:21 53:14 addressing 53:17 54:11,15 Alito's 51:21 approaches 17:8 appropriate 25:17 argue 10:13 argues 17:1 argument 1:12 2:2,7 3:4,7,23 8:20 9:1,4 18:21,25 26:17 34:11 51:7 52:18,23 53:1,2 answer 7:15 10:5 54:8 avoid 29:12 aware 6:7 8:21 11:20 43:1 51:22 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 B B 10:18 14:17 27:1 30:11,20 41:13,24 50:16 baby 11:7 54:8 back 27:11 37:1	54:21 55:2
Alito's 51:21 appropriate aware 6:7 8:21 actual 10:5 allow 48:15 argue 10:13 51:22 actus 30:4 allowing 15:2 argues 17:1 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 B address 17:25 amended 33:20 8:20 9:1,4 B 24:9 33:14 analogy 42:25 analogy 42:25 34:11 51:7 27:1 30:11,20 addresses 4:6 36:4,5,19 52:18,23 53:1,2 baby 11:7 54:8 back 27:11 37:1 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 54:8	brief 5:10,12
acts 20:24 34:14 allow 48:15 25:17 argue 10:13 11:20 43:1 actus 30:4 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 address 17:25 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 B addresses 4:6 analogy 42:25 analogy 42:25 34:11 51:7 27:1 30:11,20 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	46:5 47:25
actual 10:5 allowed 12:25 argue 10:13 51:22 actus 30:4 allowing 15:2 argues 17:1 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 B address 17:25 amended 33:20 8:20 9:1,4 B 10:18 14:17 24:9 33:14 analogy 42:25 anomaly 27:2 34:11 51:7 27:1 30:11,20 addressing 36:4,5,19 52:18,23 53:1,2 baby 11:7 54:8 answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	briefs 32:24
actus 30:4 28:6,18 argues 17:1 a.m 1:13 3:2 56:7 add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 B address 17:25 amended 33:20 8:20 9:1,4 B 10:18 14:17 24:9 33:14 andogy 42:25 anomaly 27:2 34:11 51:7 27:1 30:11,20 addresses 4:6 36:4,5,19 52:18,23 53:1,2 baby 11:7 54:8 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	45:22
add 18:8 allowing 15:2 argument 1:12 B address 17:25 altogether 23:2 2:2,7 3:4,7,23 B address 17:25 amended 33:20 8:20 9:1,4 27:1 30:11,20 addresses 4:6 anomaly 27:2 34:11 51:7 41:13,24 50:16 23:21 53:14 addressing 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	bring 40:14
addition 25:13 altogether 23:2 2:2,7 3:4,7,23 B address 17:25 amended 33:20 8:20 9:1,4 B 10:18 14:17 24:9 33:14 analogy 42:25 18:21,25 26:17 27:1 30:11,20 addresses 4:6 36:4,5,19 52:18,23 53:1,2 baby 11:7 54:8 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	brings 13:13
addition 25:13 altogether 23.2 23:21 53:14 analogy 42:25 analogy 42:25 anomaly 27:2 34:11 51:7 B 10:18 14:17 23:21 53:14 anomaly 27:2 34:11 51:7 41:13,24 50:16 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	35:9 54:8
address 17:25 24:9 33:14 addresses 4:6 23:21 53:14 addressing analogy 42:25 anomaly 27:2 36:4,5,19 answer 7:15 10:5 33:18 55:15 27:1 30:11,20 41:13,24 50:16 baby 11:7 54:8 back 27:11 37:1	broad 22:1
addresses 4:6 23:21 53:14 addressing anomaly 27:2 36:4,5,19 answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 41:13,24 50:16 baby 11:7 54:8 back 27:11 37:1	broader 12:17
23:21 53:14 addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	broadest 21:25
addressing answer 7:15 10:5 53:18 55:15 back 27:11 37:1	brought 38:8
addressing answer 7.13 10.3 33.16 33.13 54.8	45:21
1000410070	business 28:19
11,21	32:7 51:11
adopt 45:16 35:2 36:17 arrested 34:3 background	Byrne 33:4
adopting 27:1 51:21 arrival 31:18 17:21 29:10	
advantage 44:17 answered 24:17 arrived 48:24 base 35:21	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{C}^{2}}$
24:23 51:13 asked 51:11 based 21:9 27:21	C 2:1 3:1
1 1	<u> </u>

California 37:8	34:6 35:1,5	49:11,15 55:3,9	confusion 48:1	conviction 9:2,13
37:11,17 39:14	36:17 43:3,6	55:11	Congress 3:11	9:15 10:14
39:17	44:14 51:5	committee 40:21	3:14,17,20 6:17	14:15,19 19:20
calling 11:6	55:13 56:3,5	committing	7:18,24 8:4,10	23:10 26:25
candidly 7:16	child 34:8 35:3	10:17	8:20 9:8,9 10:5	28:3 30:6,14
53:8	Circuit 50:2	compare 14:14	11:2 12:18,20	34:21 44:8
capture 18:22	circumstances	compel 6:16	12:21 13:15	convictions 4:12
27:7	28:21 48:12,17	completely 30:1	14:3,21,23 16:2	4:17,20,25
Carr 1:3 3:4	cite 42:15	36:23	16:14 17:6,21	36:16 40:13
27:12 38:24	cited 6:7 32:24	compliance 28:7	18:9 19:5,23	52:3
case 3:4 7:7,14	civil 51:20 52:11	29:24 32:10,21	22:21 24:8 25:8	cooperation 24:4
8:20 11:5,18	clarify 7:20	32:25 33:2,6,7	25:11,14,19	39:24 40:8,9
12:16 14:15,17	32:16,18	45:12,14 48:3	26:3 27:6 34:23	correct 24:23
14:17,18 22:5	Clause 27:17	49:1	36:11,24 38:9	25:1 50:24 52:9
22:13 27:24	29:14 31:1	complied 14:8,9	38:18 39:3,4,8	52:10
28:16 40:15	clear 17:6 26:10	49:17	42:9,22 43:14	correctly 32:20
42:8 43:17	42:22	comply 19:8	44:11,15,16,22	counsel 26:14
48:22 51:22	Clearly 16:2	27:19 31:7	45:7,15,18 46:9	51:5 56:3
53:4 56:5,7	close 40:15	32:13 38:2	51:22 52:7,11	country 42:4
cases 14:14	Code 43:8	45:11 55:24	52:14 56:1	couple 5:10 6:1
27:17 29:11,15	colleagues 24:17	complying 18:13	congressional	25:10 45:5
43:8,16,24	come 15:20 28:6	37:14 48:16	13:2,14 15:8,13	course 10:21
47:11	29:3,24 32:10	comprehensive	Congress's	16:14 26:8
catch 10:16	32:25 33:5,7	15:10 17:24	21:16 33:23	Court 1:1,12
38:14 42:22	39:21 45:9,12	18:12 24:3,13	consequences	3:10 6:8 26:20
51:4	45:14,22 48:2	38:21	6:10,18 29:12	28:1,7,17 31:2
category 35:9	comes 32:3,12,19	conceivable 26:2	51:24	50:2
certain 54:22	41:7 49:11,13	concern 22:24	considered 39:3	cover 12:2 45:18
certainly 8:7	coming 32:8	23:6,9 44:10	consistently 41:4	coverage 22:7
11:22	comment 5:23	concerned 12:22	constitutes 5:4	covered 30:3
certified 33:7	8:8	14:25 17:22	constituting 5:1	34:9,11 43:10
change 14:17	commerce 7:12	18:17 19:12	5:1	covering 5:6
19:20 32:7	11:1 13:16,21	34:23 41:1	construction	create 13:2 27:2
52:17 55:8	15:20,25 19:13	44:22 45:17	27:1	created 36:5
changed 36:24	19:13 30:17,19	concerning 3:23	contending 44:2	creates 11:5
47:12	34:8 35:24	concerns 29:14	context 13:8	creating 6:5
channels 13:16	36:13 41:12	conclusion 6:17	28:17 36:4,21	12:25
characteristics	42:13,17 43:18	9:5	40:23 41:21	crime 8:13,14
33:11	43:22 53:25	conduct 4:16,25	43:24	21:2,2 49:9
charge 44:23	commit 35:25	5:4,7,7,16 6:6	control 36:23	50:12,13 54:18
CHARLES 1:15	commits 6:25	6:10 27:4 29:13	convention 4:3	55:3
2:3,8 3:7 51:7	committed 9:8	49:7 51:24	convicted 10:17	crimes 28:1
Chief 3:3,9 8:3	11:10 15:22	52:22	23:11 24:21,25	criminal 3:18 6:5
26:14,15,16,19	16:17 20:1,3,7	confer 8:21	27:25 34:15	6:9,10,15,18
27:12 28:12	21:2,12,14	confident 26:3	35:8 41:9,11	11:12,18 13:7
29:2,6,16,20	35:15 39:1	confused 24:16	42:2,4 49:8,24	14:3,11 15:20

	1	I	ı	
19:7,10,25 22:9	designation 8:23	drafted 4:10	54:13	44:12
27:21,23 29:12	designed 13:8	drafters 4:10	elucidated 26:24	evading 14:25
38:2 45:4 51:20	23:7 24:2,3	drafting 4:2,4,13	elude 36:9	15:4 18:11
51:23,24	determination	36:25	eluded 39:6	44:24
cross 18:15	7:21 16:19 52:3	dramatically	employer 44:18	evaluating 32:9
current 20:10	determined 4:17	41:20	enact 13:4 14:4,6	events 4:7 9:2
currently 55:23	devices 42:16	dropped 54:4	14:10 15:9,14	53:23
CURTIS 1:17	dictates 10:10	due 46:11	19:9,24 22:9	everybody 15:15
2:5 26:17	differed 21:21	D.C 1:8,15,18	enacted 6:6,11	16:25 38:5
custody 23:12	different 3:19		6:19 7:6 12:14	50:19
cut 40:15	4:7 10:6 14:18	E	12:20 13:10,13	Ex 27:17 29:14
	15:2,6 17:17,17	E 1:17 2:1,5 3:1,1	13:22 15:22	31:1
D	21:3 40:1 45:5	26:17	16:17 18:7	exactly 41:18
D 3:1	53:10	earlier 36:25	19:14 22:22	53:20
date 42:17 46:2,2	differential 36:6	54:1,3	25:16 26:23	example 4:4 6:20
day 29:18 31:5	differently 14:22	easier 38:14 51:4	31:4,5 33:19	14:12 21:23
53:21	digital 48:7	easiest 43:25	49:18 51:25	exceptions 29:21
days 28:19 31:12	directed 23:3,4,5	easily 37:16,17	53:7,21 55:17	exchange 13:4
31:17,19 32:7	directly 43:10	eat 51:9	enacting 27:7	23:23
32:13	disappear 15:19	effect 4:8 9:12	enactment 7:13	excused 48:16
dealing 42:16	18:19 22:25	13:14 14:16,19	encompasses	exercise 51:12
decided 4:20,24	disappeared	15:21 23:7	54:6	exist 49:19
48:7	18:17,20,23	24:11 28:3,5,10	encourage 16:13	existed 21:19
deciding 31:2	disappearing	32:3,12,19	27:9 38:20	36:24
decision 6:8	18:12	33:16 38:16	39:24	exists 30:1
deeply 6:12	discourage 13:9	41:7 42:24 49:2	encouraging	expansive 25:24
defendant 6:22	discussion 26:8	49:11,13,14,22	24:4	expected 19:8
6:25 7:4 28:22	dispose 7:7,14	53:21	ends 44:7	45:15
48:9	disposes 12:15	effective 38:20	enforcement	explains 11:2
defense 28:25	dispositive 53:2	42:17 46:1,2	19:7 31:16	expressly 56:1
29:7 44:23	dispute 8:9 26:24	effectively 49:17	40:22	extension 46:6
48:11,17	48:25	effectiveness	engage 27:4	extensions 46:3
defined 47:10	disregards 54:7	45:21	engaged 36:9	extensive 22:6
56:1	distinguish 21:8	either 13:20	44:10 49:6,23	54:15
defining 52:15	divergent 12:22	19:17,19	engages 34:22	extent 26:9 33:22
definition 22:1	diverging 15:2	elaborate 15:10	engaging 34:24	40:20 55:17
22:11 50:20	divest 28:11	15:11	ensuring 27:8	extra 42:15 45:8
delay 46:9	divided 30:11	element 6:21 7:2	entire 16:3	48:8,13
delving 6:12	division 19:6	7:9,11,12 9:6	entirely 17:6	extraordinary
denies 18:4	DNA 48:18	9:19 10:6 11:3	23:1	3:16
depart 12:11	doing 29:18	11:19 18:4	especially 33:18	
Department	33:20	36:13 43:15	ESQ 1:15,17 2:3	<u>F</u>
1:18	domestic 28:1	53:4	2:5,8	face 38:2 52:12
depend 12:1	49:9	elements 3:17	evade 13:11,17	facilitate 13:4
28:15,21	door 47:12	6:14,23 7:8	13:25 22:15	facility 47:13,14
designate 7:25	doubt 34:1 42:7	11:11,17 53:15	24:11 27:10	fact 14:10 30:5
			<u> </u>	

	1	1	1	1
36:7 40:6 44:12	23:22 26:24	20:11 46:4,7	H	22:21
44:17 49:6 54:1	29:6 39:11	52:13,14 53:3,3	handful 42:14	implementing
Facto 27:17	51:13 53:4,19	generally 14:8	handgun 28:4	33:17 51:2
29:14 31:1	54:17	16:13 20:6	handguns 27:25	important 33:1
facts 28:16 36:4	fits 6:14	General's 7:21	happen 31:8 40:5	41:20 45:10
factual 45:20	flavor 5:11	16:18 52:2	44:3	imposes 23:17
fail 22:24 23:1	focus 24:7 26:7	gestalt 19:1	hard 16:6 17:3	37:13 39:12
30:22 38:2 41:6	follow 7:12,13	Ginsburg 7:19	hate 51:9	inadequate 39:4
44:9	10:19 35:12	25:20 26:23	hear 3:3	incapable 29:17
failed 14:12	following 47:7	32:16,18,23	heartland 34:23	incident 34:3
18:20 19:16	follows 10:15,24	33:12,15	help 37:4,9,10	include 44:15
20:2 26:22	force 45:8	give 36:13 37:18	39:9 50:4	47:10
48:23 49:21	form 31:14	47:18 48:21,23	helpful 6:13 8:20	inconsistencies
failing 23:18	found 42:8 43:9	52:16	20:18 53:18	17:19
fails 11:2	four 5:3	given 3:16 28:10	helping 24:18	inconsistency
failure 9:3,16	fulfillment 11:11	45:11 53:2	helps 37:12	41:13,14,17,23
10:15 14:16,20	full-force 40:17	go 26:11 34:1	he's 39:16,18,20	inconsistent
25:5 27:4 44:3	functioning 33:8	37:3 44:20	honest 17:6	12:24 17:8
47:22	funding 33:3	goal 15:13	Honor 56:4	incorporate 46:6
fairly 28:20	further 50:1	goes 23:7 24:10	hook 16:23,24	indeterminate
fall 50:16	future 4:6 52:20	29:17	18:1,4,9 38:9	46:12
fallen 41:1		going 15:15 16:3	42:10	Indian 32:21,24
far 19:11 29:16	G	16:16 18:11	hooks 42:12	Indiana 14:12
40:3	G 3:1	19:9 20:13	hoped 13:3	31:9,10,18 33:6
February 1:9	gambling 42:16	28:15 37:1,4,9	hospital 28:23	33:21 34:4,5
Fed 40:8	Gannon 1:17 2:5	37:22 38:13	29:7	39:1 47:15
Federal 13:5	26:16,17,19	41:14,16	House 4:8 37:2	48:23
14:1 23:17 24:2	27:15 28:15	good 25:9 42:25	37:23	individual 23:10
24:13 25:10,12	29:5,9,19,22	44:18,23	hypothetical	25:4 28:16
25:13,15,17	30:9,13,18,22	goods 43:17	29:4 34:7	induce 14:3
26:4 27:2,9,21	31:12,24 32:3,5	government	hypotheticals	infant 11:7 12:3
30:2,15,15 33:3	32:22 33:14,18	3:15 6:7,23 7:8	54:24	54:9,24 55:3
36:6 39:12	34:10 35:4,7,19	9:1 10:22 13:6		information 13:5
40:12,24 41:3,5	36:3,21 37:21	14:1 26:5 40:24	I	23:23 25:21,24
41:10 42:5 45:9	37:25 38:6,18	government's	idea 25:9	33:9 34:5 37:13
53:14,15 56:2	39:11,15,20	5:10,12 7:15	identically 13:22	37:15,18 38:13
federalize 16:3	40:10,20 41:16	9:19 10:4 18:21	15:23 19:14	38:17 39:25
Feds 39:16,18,21	41:19,25 42:11	18:25 28:13	identified 40:21	47:8,18,21,22
felony 38:2	43:1,4,13,24	30:10	II 5:13 34:19	48:8,10,13,21
final 26:8	46:18,22 47:3,6	grace 30:25	III 5:18	48:23 49:1
find 42:7,20,24	50:7,10,16,18	grant 55:4	illuminates 26:9	inquiry 36:23
43:6	50:21,23 51:1	grid 15:19	immaterial	insofar 40:4
firearm 43:21	53:8,17	guess 12:8 55:14	13:12	instances 5:5
first 3:4 4:1 6:3	general 1:18 4:12	guilty 6:25 28:5	immediately	43:14
6:20 7:2,9,12	4:16,20,24 7:25	44:4	44:4	instant 27:13,16
9:6,19 10:6	8:5,11,22 16:21	gun 49:10	implemented	28:5
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

			i	İ
intend 16:2	jurisdiction	55:2,8,13 56:3	laws 8:5 21:19	main 18:22 52:18
45:14	31:17 47:9,10	56:5	24:24	majority 27:6
intended 27:7	47:19,20	justify 35:16	lay 3:24	41:25
29:14 42:22	jurisdictional		left 4:12	making 9:1
45:8	16:23,24 18:1,3	<u>K</u>	legally 28:9	35:17,20 47:25
interested 51:16	18:9 38:9 42:10	keep 5:25 13:15	legislation 24:20	manual 4:4,8
interesting 51:12	42:12,23	Kennedy 20:5,12	legislative 4:2	match 33:24
interpretation	jurisdictions	24:23	17:21	matter 1:11 37:6
20:10,13	39:25 40:1	kept 38:16	legs 52:22	41:24 56:8
interpreted 6:8	Justice 1:18 3:3	kidnapping 5:19	length 37:3	mean 3:19 5:20
18:5	3:9,21 7:19 8:3	kind 11:20 16:23	lessened 41:20	16:20 22:17
interrelated 3:22	8:12,16,17,25	20:19 34:16	let's 14:14 37:7	29:22 32:19
interstate 7:11	9:10,22 10:1,8	knock 52:22	42:7	43:7,23 53:9,10
9:3,12,15 11:3	10:13 11:14,25	know 4:11 20:17	life 11:9	54:8
12:18 13:16,20	12:5,7 14:5,14	23:15 24:11	limit 18:23 55:7	meaning 3:12
14:15,19 15:19	16:5,20 17:3,10	31:8 35:10	limitation 54:22	36:14
15:24 18:6	17:13 18:16	36:22 40:11	55:6	means 43:21
19:12,13 23:19	19:4,15 20:5,12	43:11 46:15,17	Lincoln 11:6,8	52:20 53:19
27:10 30:17,19	20:16,21,23	47:14	54:8	meant 4:25 9:8
31:3 34:8,25	21:7,11 22:5,12	knowingly 11:2	lines 18:15 23:19	10:5 43:10
35:18,24 36:1,9	22:17 23:1,9	30:22 41:6	linked 43:9	mechanism
36:13 41:12	24:15,23 25:3	49:21	list 22:4	25:25
42:12,17 43:14	25:19,20 26:2	knowledge 46:19	listed 11:17	meet 29:20 50:20
43:18,22 44:12	26:14,15,16,19	knows 13:24	literally 29:12,17	50:21,23
49:24 53:25	26:23 27:12	23:10,13	little 24:16	Megan's 47:16
intrusive 15:10	28:12 29:2,6,16	L	lived 11:9 22:3	mention 5:10
15:12	29:20 30:7,12	Lambert 46:11	location 21:9	mentioned 51:17
invitation 33:23	30:16,21 31:8	language 6:16	logical 18:18	mind 6:17 12:18
invocation 43:19	31:20 32:2,4,16	7:16 10:25	long 28:23 29:25	14:24 17:7
invoked 36:12	32:17,18,23	11:23 12:15	32:17 34:15,21	18:10 19:6
involved 4:10,16	33:12,15 34:6	19:2 24:6 26:10	47:21 48:20	minor 5:19 50:4
5:20	34:13 35:1,5,10	36:19,22,24	55:4	minutes 51:6
involves 5:19,20	35:20,21 36:17	42:8,15 54:6,16	longer 19:17,19	mirror 22:10
in-person 25:25	36:18 37:1,24	55:9	longest 54:25	mirroring 20:10
issue 29:25 43:5	38:1,4,7 39:8	Laughter 51:15	look 6:14 12:16	misdemeanor
43:16 46:20	39:13,16 40:3	law 15:17 21:16	12:17	28:1 49:8,9
49:14	40:14 41:8,18	22:3 23:17	looking 12:6 24:5	missing 24:9
issued 46:3	41:22 42:6,20	27:13,16 29:18	43:7	27:6 35:2 36:8
it's 13:12 34:22	43:3,6,20 44:14	30:15,15 31:9	looks 43:9	37:4,6 40:21
46:20	45:1 46:8,21	31:13,16,18,23	loopholes 12:25	42:1 44:21
I'd 55:20	47:2,4 50:3,9	33:15,17,20,21	17:22	modern 4:2
I'll 5:10,25	50:12,17,19,22	34:4 38:25 39:1	lot 11:15 16:8	moment 20:17
I'm 38:7	50:25 51:5,9,11	43:12 46:9,13	25:21 37:14	24:7
-	51:16,21 52:1,8	47:14,16,16	lots 42:11	morning 3:4
	52:18 53:17	49:2,14	M	move 10:18
Jersey 11:9,9	54:11,15,17,21	12.2,1	141	21:14 51:4
	1		ı	1

				Page 62
moved 16:10	Notification 3:13	offense 3:18 4:11	page 2:2 5:17	28:6,10,20,23
21:3,16 23:16	notify 24:1 31:16	4:25 5:2,4,12	30:10 37:2,22	30:25 31:9,19
24:21 25:5	notifying 40:1	5:13,18,18 6:5	40:4	31:21,22,24
32:13 38:5,5	notwithstandi	6:15,21,24 7:21	palm 48:7,18	32:10,15 34:17
39:2 40:6 50:6	46:4	9:6,8,13,20,20	panoply 40:24	34:21 54:22
50:6,15,22	number 6:15	9:23 10:7,17,18	paragraph 26:24	person 11:12
moves 23:14,15	10:23 11:19	11:10 12:8,8	27:1 30:3,11,24	21:1,12 23:12
23:25 31:23	21:21	15:22 18:4 20:2	part 14:4 25:20	23:13,14,15
40:1		20:6 21:9,12,14	40:8,9 42:18,23	25:5 31:23
moving 15:19	0	22:4 23:11	partakes 34:12	39:13 49:8
22:14 31:10	O 2:1 3:1	26:21 34:21	particular 14:24	50:14,18
multiple 38:19	obligate 24:24	35:8,15,25	18:10 42:18	persons 27:25
	obligation 9:24	36:15 39:2	45:16 48:19	35:9 36:8,15
N	27:20 37:16	40:13 41:10,11	particularly	40:12 41:1
N 2:1,1 3:1	obvious 28:12	41:12 44:5,8	16:22	44:11
name 33:10	obviously 9:18	45:4,16 46:1	partly 32:22	Petitioner 1:4,16
47:12,15	39:4 51:1	48:2,4 49:12,15	parts 6:1	2:4,9 3:8 14:13
nationwide	occur 5:2 9:2,12	49:25 53:5,13	pass 24:19,24	28:22 31:11
38:21	27:20 31:3	55:12	38:19	33:10 34:1,19
natural 4:14	34:14	offenses 8:1,23	passed 17:11	35:23 47:25
10:25	occurred 7:22	15:7 16:17 20:4	19:5 20:7,18	48:22 51:8
necessarily 4:25	19:20 26:22	21:22 42:2	27:13,16 29:18	Petitioner's
10:19 12:13	occurring 39:5	45:23	40:6,7,24	26:21 46:5
13:18 15:4	occurs 5:14,15	officials 47:8,18	passes 39:8	phrased 4:3 42:9
45:15	offender 3:13	47:20,22	passing 46:9	42:13,19,21
necessary 10:14	5:14,15 23:16	Okay 20:21 39:8	paying 24:6	43:2
11:11	23:24 28:22	39:13,18 40:3	peculiar 11:4	physical 33:11
need 25:4,6,8	31:14 33:8	50:17,25	55:21	place 6:6,11,18
29:23,25 44:9	34:16,19,24	old 12:3,5 22:18	penalties 24:13	9:20,23 12:13
needs 31:3 44:7	37:15 40:23,25	33:15 46:16	24:14	12:20 19:24,25
48:21	41:2 44:24 47:1	once 4:23 31:25	penalty 23:17	21:10 24:8
neither 44:2	47:9,13,15	48:23 49:2 51:4	27:9	25:17 38:15
never 13:20	48:15 50:5 53:6	opposed 18:19	people 10:16	42:24 46:14,24
15:24 19:12	53:11,15 55:25	47:16	13:9,19 14:11	47:5,6 51:24
new 11:8,8,9	56:2	oral 1:11 2:2 3:7	14:25 15:3,14	52:5 53:7,23
13:11 14:2,11	offenders 13:1	26:17	15:18,21,21	54:18
15:9 18:11 19:9	13:10,17,20	order 7:1 19:8	16:4,8,10,16,21	plain 26:11 36:22
19:23 22:17	16:13 20:3	22:15 34:14	18:10,17,18,19	53:12
23:8,18 24:1,8	21:25 24:10,11	36:13 38:20	18:23 19:10,12	plausible 53:11
24:12 25:16	24:20 25:11,13	50:21 54:12	19:13,16 20:1	please 3:10 26:20
27:7,19 31:17	25:15 27:3,5,6	ordinary 3:11,12	21:24 22:24	point 7:15 10:5
32:8 37:13	27:9 30:2,13,14	3:14,15 6:3	23:4,6,8 24:10	13:25 15:21
49:10	36:7,8,11 38:1	outside 13:23	24:25 37:5	17:25 26:8
nobody's 29:17 note 45:10	39:6 40:22 41:4	P	38:10,14 40:17	41:23 45:13,20
	42:1,4 44:16,21 52:16 53:14	P 3:1	40:19 42:1	46:4 47:24 49:4
notice 46:19	32.10 33:14	1 3.1	period 27:19	50:4
	1	1	1	1

				Page 63
pointed 16:15	pre-SORNA	provided 31:9	6:13 18:7,16	receiving 33:3
points 3:22 17:2	4:11,16,20,24	34:4	19:4 24:16	recognized 28:17
police 39:17	5:7 7:22 8:1,23	provides 6:21	28:13 29:3	refer 5:3 52:2,4
policy 24:5	21:20 26:25	provision 4:6,15	30:25 31:6 33:1	52:21
pool 23:23	30:6 33:17 48:4	10:16,20 13:8	35:2 44:1 45:2	referring 42:12
position 35:23	52:3	28:9 41:2 43:2	45:22 46:19	refers 6:5
53:18	principal 52:25	46:7 49:10	49:20 51:21	refusing 15:12
possess 49:10	principle 29:11	51:20,21 53:13	questioning 7:20	regard 40:11
possessed 28:4	print 48:19	53:19 56:2	7:23	46:3 50:11
possession 27:24	prints 48:7	provisions 5:3,6	questions 50:1	regarded 25:14
28:11 43:17	prior 17:8 35:17	5:9 14:11 15:20	51:12	regime 14:2 16:3
49:9	43:21 45:23	42:5 46:12	quite 3:19 8:19	17:8 19:23
possibility 4:15	probably 49:3	51:17 52:1,4,11	23:21	22:20 24:8
4:22	problem 11:6	52:20	quote 5:14	25:16 27:8 32:3
possible 16:25	14:25 18:10	punish 19:10		39:3
22:8 26:7	19:15 24:4,9	20:1	R	regimes 15:14
possibly 7:5	25:14,15 27:20	punishment	R 3:1	17:23 18:13
Post 27:17 29:14	39:7 40:22	19:18,25	raise 29:13	19:10 22:9
31:1	55:14	punishments	reach 9:5	register 6:22 7:3
post-SORNA	problems 23:21	14:3	reaction 3:24	7:5,9 8:18 9:3,7
4:17,19 18:11	process 19:18	punitive 14:2,11	5:24 15:8 17:7	9:16,24 10:15
24:7 27:4 40:12	36:25 46:12	19:10	reactions 6:2	11:1,2 12:12
potential 41:5	program 33:4	purpose 10:15	18:2	13:1 14:12,16
powerful 41:23	programs 12:25	10:19 11:16	read 5:6,16 6:24	14:20 15:3,6,15
powers 36:12	prohibiting	12:1,2,17,21	18:6 40:3 41:3	16:8,11,21
39:22	27:24	13:7,12,14 16:6	53:9,10 55:6	18:14 19:11,17
present 4:4,5 5:5	prohibition 28:9	16:7,9,12 21:16	reading 3:16	20:2 21:2,15
6:4,9,13 42:10	49:13	26:9 34:12 36:7	10:25 53:11	22:2,18,25 23:1
42:13,19,21	proposes 3:15,20	36:20 37:2,4	55:21	23:6,18 24:1,22
51:18 52:4,19	prosecute 25:5	38:12,14 42:7	reads 35:14	25:1,6 26:22
52:20,21 55:22	prosecuting 16:3	43:11 44:15,24	really 7:15 9:7	27:5 30:23
presented 4:21	26:4	44:24 45:2	reason 12:16	31:11 34:2,4,17
53:4	prosecution	purposes 12:17	23:17 26:11	34:18,20 35:13
present-tense	11:12 14:1	13:23 18:22	38:8 40:14	35:14,22,24
51:23 54:5	15:25 25:18	27:17 31:1 33:3	44:14,18 48:5	36:16 37:8,11
press 32:23	38:3 40:8 41:6	40:17	reasonable 27:19	38:11,25 41:6
presumably	45:9	put 11:3 15:21	28:6,10,14 29:3	44:3,9 46:14,15
19:18 23:13	prosecutorial	19:24 24:8	29:17,24 30:25	46:16,24 47:5
pretty 4:2 8:4	39:22	25:17	31:6,20 32:10	47:17 49:21
42:22	prospective		32:14	50:5,14,20
prevail 7:17	13:18 26:7	Q	reasoning 28:7	52:16 53:6,13
prevented 49:7	prospectively	qualify 4:17,18	reasons 10:24	53:16,20,25
previous 30:5	8:6 18:19 52:12	4:21 5:13,18	25:10 38:19	54:23 55:9,15
previously 19:16	provide 5:11	52:3	rebuttal 2:7 51:7	55:16,22
pre-enactment	28:24 33:10	qualifying 5:1	51:10	registered 13:24
29:13 49:6	47:7 48:10,18	question 4:21 6:1	recapture 36:8	14:13 15:16
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			_	rage o
16:8,10 25:13	report 37:3,23	residence 31:17	12:4,6,10 14:7	scope 52:17
31:14 34:2	40:21	32:7,8	14:23 16:12	second 4:9 7:11
39:14 42:3	reproduced 5:9	resident 23:12	17:2,5,12,15	11:3 51:17
registering	require 15:5	resolve 41:13	18:24 19:22	Secondly 23:24
55:19	17:14,15 18:14	respects 21:22	20:9,15,20,22	section 4:9 5:11
registers 51:3	20:3,6 32:5,12	Respondent 1:19	21:5,8,18 22:8	37:2 39:22
registration 3:13	34:18 35:6 41:4	2:6 26:18 31:10	22:16,20 23:2	45:25 46:5 50:8
8:1,24 9:21	48:9	response 13:2	23:20 25:2,7,23	50:13 56:2
12:24 13:3,11	required 6:22	24:3	26:6,15 35:12	see 22:13 30:12
15:1,4,7,10,17	7:3,5,18 9:6	responsibility	45:7 51:6,7,9	38:9
16:13 17:14,16	11:1 12:12 21:1	19:7 25:12	51:19 52:6,10	seeing 17:4
17:17,18,22,25	22:2 23:25	rest 11:9 48:20	52:24 54:3,14	sees 19:1
19:18 20:3,6,7	25:22,25 26:22	restructure	54:19 55:1,5,11	Senate 4:4
20:14,19,24	30:23 31:10,11	33:23	55:20 56:4	sense 11:15
21:19,19,20,23	31:13,15 33:9	result 52:2	Rothfeld's 34:7	20:10 21:25
22:15 23:5	34:20 35:14,22	results 54:11	rule 11:22,24	36:14 44:7 51:2
24:12 26:1 27:7	35:23 38:22,25	retroactive 8:21	run 47:8	54:7
27:10,11 28:19	47:17 48:9	55:18		sensible 8:9
30:23 31:14	49:21 53:6,13	retroactively 8:6	$\frac{S}{S^{2,1,2,1}}$	11:24
32:1,6 33:24	53:16,20,24	52:13,15,17	S 2:1 3:1	separate 30:1
35:16 36:10	55:9,15,16,21	reus 30:4	sample 48:18	separately 45:10
38:21 39:6,12	55:23,25	rewriting 54:15	Samuels 27:18	sequence 4:7
40:25 44:13,25	requirement 7:9	rewritten 7:17	28:8 49:14	8:25 9:2 10:9
47:13,14,15	8:2,24 9:21	re-register 44:20	satisfied 11:19	10:11,14,20,23
50:10,23 51:20	12:19 15:17	right 8:15 9:25	satisfies 54:10	11:16,20 14:18
registrations	21:14,15,23	10:23 18:24	saying 9:22	53:24 54:7
12:23	27:3 30:2,4	21:11 23:2,21	20:12 23:22	sequencing
registry 28:24	35:13,18 39:12	25:2,3 27:14	37:3 49:16	34:11 44:6
33:8 37:19 41:2	44:6,15 50:11	29:9 30:21 32:2	says 4:5 5:17	sequential 7:8
47:1,9	50:24 55:23	32:4 33:17	6:23 10:2,4 12:12 18:5	11:10
regulate 36:12	requirements	36:22 42:4		sequentially
regulatory 15:14	13:11,18 15:1,5	ROBERTS 3:3	35:12,22 38:1 43:21 53:20,22	6:24
relates 53:4	15:7,11 17:18	8:3 26:14,16	Scalia 8:12,16,17	serious 27:2,8
release 32:24	18:12 21:21	27:12 28:12	9:22 10:1 11:25	served 38:15
released 23:11	23:5,8 24:12	29:2,6,16,20	12:5,7 14:5	45:3
relevant 5:9 28:3	27:11 33:3	34:6 35:1,5	22:5,12,17 23:1	serves 38:12
32:9 34:14 47:8	36:10 37:13	36:17 43:3,6	25:19 26:2 30:7	set 10:9,10 20:24
47:18,19	40:25 44:25	51:5 55:13 56:3	30:12,16,21	54:13
remain 24:16	54:10	56:5	32:17 35:10,20	sets 11:18 53:14
remainder 26:12	requires 4:7	rolls 27:11 41:2	35:21 36:18	Seventh 50:2
remaining 51:6	22:18 24:20,24	Rothfeld 1:15	41:8,18,22	sex 3:13 4:11,24
remember 37:5	25:21 33:24	2:3,8 3:6,7,9,21	43:20 51:9,16	5:2,4,14 7:21
51:14	34:16 36:16	5:25 7:19,23	55:8	8:23 9:8,13,20
repealed 45:24	47:7,17 54:15	8:7,15,19 9:4	scheme 38:21	10:17,18 11:10
46:1	requiring 25:25	9:17,25 10:3,12	51:3	12:25 13:9,16
reply 46:5 47:25	reserve 26:12	10:21 11:21	01.0	13:19 15:22

				rage 03
16:13,17 20:2,3	somewhat 11:4	special 4:14	25:4,7 26:3	10:20
20:3 21:2,9,12	40:16	25:12	38:23 45:3,11	subsequent
21:22,25 23:11	SORNA 3:13	specific 17:7	45:15 46:14,23	16:18
23:16,24 24:9	4:11,24 5:1,3	specifically 7:24	48:2	subsequently
24:20 25:13,14	6:14,19,22,24	18:1 19:4 38:1	State's 22:3	56:1
27:5,6 28:22	7:3,5,6,10,13	39:9 42:14	status 27:21	substantial
30:13,14 31:14	7:24 9:7,14,21	52:14	statute 3:12 6:5,6	32:25 33:2,6,7
33:8 34:16,19	11:13 12:12,13	specifics 46:10	6:9,11 10:1,3	45:12
34:20,24 35:8	12:18,20,22	specified 31:22	10:10,19 11:16	sufficient 7:14
36:6,8,11,15	13:7,10,11,12	31:25	11:18 12:1,11	suggest 54:5,14
37:15 38:1 39:2	13:13,17,21,25	standardization	16:6,7,9,14	55:20
39:6 40:12,21	14:8,9,16,19	38:23	18:22 19:6,21	suggests 3:17
40:23,25 41:2,3	15:20,22 16:17	standardizing	26:11 28:2,2,5	superfluous 54:5
42:1,2,3 44:8	17:21 18:6 19:1	39:25	28:18 30:1 31:3	supposed 24:9
44:16,21,24	19:9,11,14	State 10:18,18	31:5,22 32:11	24:19 34:2
46:25 47:9,13	20:10 22:1,6,8	14:13 15:4,5,17	32:19 34:12	37:11 46:13,15
47:15 49:25	22:10,10,16,20	15:17 16:10,11	35:13 36:7,23	46:16
50:5 53:10,14	22:21 23:3,3,5	17:22 18:13,15	37:8 38:19 39:9	Supreme 1:1,12
53:15 55:11,25	23:7,21 24:3,10	19:19,24 20:2,8	40:5,7 42:9,9	sure 16:11 24:17
short 28:20	24:19,24 25:4,6	20:14,25 21:3,3	42:18,24 43:16	25:12 52:25
shorthand 3:18	25:8,9,16,21,23	21:19 22:2,14	50:5 51:18,23	system 13:3,23
9:7	26:22,23,25	22:17 23:10,12	51:25 52:9,12	17:24 33:24
shows 40:25	30:23 31:21,25	23:14,14,15,16	52:21 53:12,22	systematic 25:16
side 44:2	32:1 33:9,16,19	23:18,25 24:1,2	54:12,13,16	systems 15:2,6
sidestep 31:2	33:24 34:9,16	24:4,13,19,21	55:24	17:17,18 46:16
signed 31:14	34:18 35:6	24:24 25:22	statutes 4:3	
significant 40:22	36:16 39:23	27:5 31:23	22:23 25:22	T
simply 15:3	41:7 45:4,11,12	32:20 33:2,5,17	27:21	T 2:1,1
18:12,15 20:1	45:14,25 47:5,7	33:17,19,20,22	statutory 6:16	table 3:24
22:24 23:6 25:5	47:12,17 48:2,6	33:25 36:6 39:2	7:3,16 10:25	tailored 42:15
46:5 53:11	48:8,14 49:2,3	39:5 40:24 41:3	12:15 19:1 28:9	take 6:13 9:12,23
single 40:23	49:17,21 53:6,7	41:11 42:2,5	49:13 54:22	33:9 34:5 44:17
situated 13:22	53:21 54:10	44:19 45:13	stayed 15:21,23	44:19 47:22
15:23 19:14	55:16,17,17,17	46:25 47:10,12	steps 24:10	48:13 50:4 53:7
situation 44:20	55:19 56:1	48:6,10,12,15	stolen 43:17	53:23 54:18
45:19	SORNA's 3:18	48:19,20,25	straight 6:1	taken 3:19 12:23
situations 14:22	13:22 33:2 46:2	50:19	structural 27:2	17:9 49:1 52:5
Solicitor 1:17	sorry 35:1	States 1:1,6,12	36:5	54:2
53:3	sort 3:18 17:16	3:5 12:23 13:4	subject 11:12	takes 6:6 14:16
solve 29:15 39:7	32:11 40:18	13:5 14:2,4,4,5	14:1 15:25	14:19 38:15,16
somebody 22:14	51:10	14:7,8,10 15:1	19:17 21:13,15	53:21
27:22 28:3,8,18	sorts 27:21 28:21	15:3,6,9,12,13	23:4 24:12 41:5	talking 34:13
29:11,23 31:4	Sotomayor 18:16	16:1 17:9,13,15	submitted 56:6,8	37:25 50:13
32:6,13 34:15	19:4,15 24:15	19:8 20:1,19,22	subsection 5:21	tells 55:19
37:17 40:1 44:4	25:3 45:1 46:8	21:20 22:6,9,21	5:22	temporal 10:10
44:7 49:12 51:3	46:21 47:2,4	23:22 24:25	subsections	10:14 11:20
	l	<u> </u>	l .	

				rage of
53:24 54:7	17:6 18:1,7	travel 4:18,19	try 5:25 16:7	unusual 8:4,7
tends 40:15	19:3 20:17	6:18 7:11,12	21:17	49:5,16
tense 4:4,5,8 5:5	22:23 23:3	9:3,12,15 10:15	trying 13:14,17	update 28:19
6:4,9,13 42:10	24:15,22 25:9	11:3 12:13,19	15:18 16:21	30:23 32:6
42:13,19,21	25:11,18,20	12:19 13:12,13	38:10 44:11	33:21
43:23 51:18	26:9 27:15,18	14:16,19 16:22	Tunnel 11:7,8	upheld 28:2
52:4,19,21	28:19 29:1,10	16:23 18:6	54:8	urge 50:2
55:23	29:23 32:8	27:10 30:2,17	turn 42:7	use 3:14 4:5,7
term 55:21	34:10,22 35:19	30:18 31:3	turned 16:18	43:21,22 44:12
terms 40:17 51:2	36:11,14 38:18	34:22,25 35:6	two 5:21 14:14	51:18 52:4,21
55:24	40:20 41:19	35:18,24 36:1,9	14:22 17:2 18:2	uses 3:11 5:4
text 7:3 12:11	42:11,18 43:25	36:12 37:7	41:15	usually 11:18
26:11 28:25	44:6,15 45:1,5	38:15 40:12,17	type 25:24 36:15	utterly 48:22
35:21	45:10,17 46:18	40:19 41:12	39:3	U.S.C 5:8,11,17
textual 3:23	46:22 49:22	42:12 43:15,21	types 47:8	26:21 50:8
52:23	51:13 53:2,8	44:3,8,10,12,18	typically 28:18	
Thank 3:9 26:14	thinking 20:23	49:24 54:10,18		V
26:15 51:5 56:3	20:24	traveled 13:20	U	v 1:5 3:4
56:4	third 4:23 51:14	13:21 15:24	unable 28:23	vanish 18:15
that's 13:18	THOMAS 1:3	19:12,13,16	29:12	various 17:22
32:22 37:22	thought 8:25	23:19 43:18,22	uncontrollable	21:18
38:4,4 41:22	25:8 37:12 39:4	44:1,23 54:9	48:17	vast 41:25
44:21 45:20	three 3:22 51:11	traveling 11:7	undeniable 7:4	verb 6:9 51:23
53:18 55:20	53:14,23	13:9,10 31:4	underground	version 54:1,3
there's 9:15	tier 5:13,14,18	35:3 43:11	39:7 44:20	view 28:13
12:16 30:3,4	34:19	travels 11:1 34:8	underlying 7:21	violate 9:14,14
32:20 47:24	time 16:6 17:4,10	43:7 52:19	45:4	violation 15:16
49:16 53:22	17:12 20:18	53:25 54:4	understand	27:13,16 46:12
54:21	26:13 27:19,22	treat 41:3	24:18 32:20	47:23 48:6 49:3
they'd 16:24	28:10,14,23	treated 14:21	35:11 46:8	49:8
they're 17:4	29:3,17,24,25	treatment 36:6	understanding	violations 26:4
42:21	31:6,9,10,13,19	tribal 30:15	9:19	39:5
thing 7:20 8:9	31:21 32:10,14	tribe 32:21,24	understood 9:11	violence 28:1
11:4 14:10	34:17 39:1	tried 14:3 43:3,6	unfinished 51:11	49:9
17:20 30:20	45:11 46:17	trigger 21:22	uniform 13:2	virtually 20:24
32:11 39:19	51:10 52:6,10	40:7,8,9	17:24	virtue 30:14
40:16,18	55:4	triggered 15:7	uniformity 21:17	vis-à-vis 44:1
things 5:13,19	today 45:22	26:25	United 1:1,6,12	
6:15 8:22 33:10	track 37:16,18	triggering 8:1,23	3:5	<u>W</u>
38:22 43:5	Tranbarger	22:4	universal 11:22	want 15:9 38:10
46:10,23 48:7	27:18 28:8,16	triggers 35:17	13:3 17:24	44:16 45:18
think 6:3,13,16	transfers 44:18	true 32:23 33:18	universally 4:2	wanted 12:20
7:14 8:8,16	transportation	38:5 40:5,10,11	14:9 21:6,7	13:15 17:23
9:18 10:24	12:2	43:13,15 46:24	unregistered	39:7
11:21 12:15	transported	50:6,7,10,24	13:1,9,16,19	Washington 1:8
14:23 16:12	42:16	Trupin 43:17	25:14	1:15,18
		· •	l	

18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x 1:2,7 Y year 28:2 32:14 35.0 44:21 44:21 55 5a 5:21 50 11:10 512:9 6 6a 5:22 7 75:21 31:19 75:21 31:19 705,000 42:3 8 85:22 9 922 49:11				Page 6
39:17 54:2 wasn't 8:14 Wasn't 8:14 Work 11:8 young 34:8 young 34:9 2250(a) 26:21 48:11 24:19 25:12 48:11 24:19 25:12 48:11 24:19 25:12 48:11 24:19 25:12 32:4 28:18 30:24	wasn't 8:13	54:23.24.25	2006 33:21	
wasn't 8:14 way 3:15 9:5 10:9 35:13 37:12 38:3 51:10 53:2 weak 40:18 Wednesday 1:9 wen't 11:6 We'll 3:3 we've 38:13 50:13 we've 34:11 What's 28:13 willing 34:5 wondered 3:21 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 words 3:13,16 53:12 words 3:15,16 40:9 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 45:2 written 18:5 55:22 written 18:5 55:21 X X X 1:2,7 Year 28:2 3:2:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 44:24 24:24 25:24 12:1 44:21 52:11 Y year 28:2 3:2:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 44:24 24:24 25:26(a) 26:21 2250(a) (2)(A) 30:9 2250(b) 28:25 48:11 24 1:9 25 12:8 26 26:6 37:2,22 32:71,3 46:1 33:24 28:18 30:24 32:71,3 46:1 33:24 28:18 30:24 32:71,3 46:1 33:22 36:3 40:1 43:4 44:1 24 1:9 44:10 55:25:2 55:22 55:22 55:22 55:22 55:22 55:22 50(a) 26:21 2250(a) (2)(A) 30:9 2250(a) 26:21 2250(a) (2)(A) 30:9 2250(b) 28:25 48:11 24 1:9 24 1:9 25 12:8 26 26:6 37:2,22 36:5:9 32:71,3 46:1 33:71,3 46:1 33:72,4 28:18 30:24 32:71,3 46:1 33:72,4 28:18 30:24 32:71,3 46:1 32:71,3 46:1 33:72,2 2 36:6 37:2,22 36:6 37:2,22 36:6 37:2,22 36:6 37:2,22 36:6 37:2,22 36:6 37:2,22 36:6 37:2,22 36:6 37:2,22 36:71,3 46:1 36:10:10:10:10:11 36:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:				
way 3:15 9:5 10:9 young 34:8 young 34:8 young 34:8 you're 7:20 9:22 39:22 47:23 39:92 47:23 48:21 49:33 5:2 48:11 49:11 49:23 42:1 49:11 49:23 42:1 49:23 4				
35:13 37:12 38:3 51:10 53:2 weak 40:18 Wednesday 1:9 went 11:8 Wetterling 45:23 46:1 48:4 we've 11:6 We'll 3:3 we're 38:13 50:13 we've 34:11 135:22 What's 28:13 wondered 3:21 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 5:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 worry 22:14 37:5 worly 22:14 37:5 world 16:12 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:7 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x x x1:2.7 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 41:11 46:13 you've 40:4 50:6 2250(a) 26:21 2250(a) 26:21 2250(b) 28:25 48:11 24 19 25 12:8 26 26:6 37:2,22 32:7,13 46:1 32:7,13 46:1 32:7,13 46:1 33:24 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 33:24 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 33:24 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 33:24 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 33:24 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 33:24 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 33:22 44 51:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 32:47:23 30:9 2450(b) 28:25 48:11 24 1:9 25 12:8 66:26:37:2,22 56:65:9 56:52:1 55:25 5a:52:1 55:25 5a:52:1 55:25:4 66:35:22 5a:52:1 5a:5				
38:3 51:10 53:2 weak 40:18 Wednesday 1:9 went 11:8 Wetterling 45:23 46:1 48:4 ve've 11:6 We'l3:3 we've 38:13 50:13 we've 38:13 50:13 we've 38:13 50:13 we've 38:13 50:13 we'ne 38:13 50:13 seep wondered 3:21 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 42:1 42:1 11:07 56:7 124(B) 46:20 948:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11	•	• 0		
weak 40:18 41:11 46:13 2250(a)(2)(A) Wednesday 1:9 41:11 46:13 2250(b) 28:25 Wetterling 45:23 Z 2250(b) 28:25 We'll 3:3 2250(b) 28:25 48:11 We'll 3:3 30:9 2250(b) 28:25 We'll 3:3 41:11 46:13 30:9 we're 38:13 50:13 08-1301 1:4 3:4 50:13 135:22 08-1301 1:4 3:4 we're 38:13 135:22 32:4 28:18 30:24 world 3:11, 12, 14 33:15:22 32:4 28:18 30:24 world 3:11, 12, 14 33:17, 19 40:23 45:16 worred 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 45:16 world 6:12:1 21:21 21:21 22:21 23:23 33:16 32:4 28:18 30:24 35:22 wouldn't9:13,14 11:07 56:7 55:21 55:21 50:11:10 wrote 3:17 10:6 1691(4)5:17 16911 5:8 7 7 48:12 2:1 16:14 22:21 16:14 39:640:25 32:22 7 x 1:2.7 Y 2 27:13 30:3,8,11 8 5:22 9 wrote 3:17 10:6 10:22 1 16:14 10:23 30:3				
Wednesday 1:9 went 11:8 you've 40:4 50:6 30:9 30:9 2250(b) 28:25 Wetterling 45:23 46:1 48:4 we've 11:6 Zachary's 47:14 24:19 24:19 25 12:8 We'l 13:3 we've 34:11 What's 28:13 soulling 34:5 wondered 3:21 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 words 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 10:09 1:13 3:2 100,000 37:4,6 42:3 44:21 42:1 42:1 42:1 42:1 42:1 42:1 42:				
Wetterling 45:23 46:1 48:4 Z We've'l1:6 0 We'l3:3 08-1301 1:4 3:4 We're 38:13 08-1301 1:4 3:4 50:13 1 we've 34:11 1 What's 28:13 1 willing 34:5 1 wondered 3:21 1 5:23 3 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 40:23 worry 22:14 37:5 10:09 1:13 3:2 worry 22:14 37:5 39:6 40:21 42:1 44:21 45:16 11:07 56:7 12:4(B) 46:6 12:2 19:21 22 22:23 33:16 54:25 55:4 48:12 49:3 55:2 691(4)5:17 16911 5:8 691(4)5:17 16913 (c) 32:6 18 26:21 1996 46:25 8 50:24 8 75:21 31:19 705,000 42:3 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 1004 3:15 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				
Wetterling 45:23 Zachary's 47:14 48:11 24 1:9 We'll 3:3 08-1301 1:4 3:4 25 12:8 26 2:6 37:2,22 we've 38:13 1 135:22 13 3:11 25 12:8 we've 34:11 1 135:22 13 3:11 3 2:4 28:18 30:24 willing 34:5 words 3:11,12,14 1 30:10 32:4 28:18 30:24 willing 34:5 words 3:11,12,14 40:23 35:9,12 3:16 53:12 40:23 10:09 1:13 3:2 4 45:16 worry 22:14 37:5 40:23 10:09 1:13 3:2 4 45:16 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 worry 22:14 37:5 44:21 45:16 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 50:11:10 50:11:10 worry 22:14 37:5 44:21 55 50:11:10 51:29 51:29 12:2 19:21 12:25 34:20 50:12 50:11:10 51:29 48:12 49:3 55:2 46911(3)(C) 5:12 66 45:22 7 written 18:5 50:24 6913 39:15 50:8 8 8 85:22 X 2 2 22:7:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 9 9 9	•	you've 40:4 50:6		
Zachary's 47:14			` ′	
we've 11:6 We'll 3:3 we've 38:13 50:13 we've 34:11 What's 28:13 willing 34:5 wondered 3:21 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrotes 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x x 1:2,7 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 34:9 20 35:3 08-1301 1:4 3:4 1 135:22 13 3 32:4 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 33 5:9,12 3-6 5:9 4 4 451:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 55 a5:21 55 a5:21 55 12:9 54:25 55:4 16911 5:8 16911(3)(C) 5:12 16913 3:9:15 50:8 50:24 16913(c) 32:6 18 26:6 67:2,22 4 4 451:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 55 a5:21 55 a5:21 55 12:9 6 6 a5:22 6 a6 522 7 75:21 31:19 705,000 42:3 8 5:22 9 922 49:11	_			
We'l3:3 we're 38:13 50:13 What's 28:13 willing 34:5 words 3:11,12,14 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 40:10 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 worly 22:14 37:5 11:07 56:7 12:21 9:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 wrong 9:10 10:9 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 34:4 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 3a 5:9,12 3c-6 5:9 451:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:1 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:6 451:6 451:6 452:8,11,17 50:8 451:6 451:1 451:6 451:1 45				
08-1301 1:4 3:4		0		
50:13 we've 34:11 What's 28:13 willing 34:5 words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11		08-1301 1:4 3:4	26 2:6 37:2,22	
we've 34:11 What's 28:13 willing 34:5 wondered 3:21 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 32:4 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 3a:59,12 3-6 5:9 4 451:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 36:9,12 3-6 5:9 451:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 56:21 50 11:10 51 2:9 52:11 52:11 52:11 52:11 52:11 52:11 52:13 53:24 28:18 30:24 32:7,13 46:1 3a:59,12 5-6 5:9 451:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 53:21 55 35:21 50 11:10 51 2:9 66 6a 5:22 7 75:21 31:19 705,000 42:3 8 85:22 9 922 49:11			3	
What's 28:13 willing 34:5 wondered 3:21 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 10:09 1:13 3:2 11:07 56:7 124(B) 46:6 12:21 9:21 11:07 56:7 124(B) 46:6 129 45:25 46:5 15 12:5 34:20 5a 5:21 5b 11:10 5b 12:9 5a 5:21 5b 11:10 6a 5:22 7 75:21 31:19 705,000 42:3 8 85:22 99 922 49:11		1		
Ta 30:10 Ta 30:10 Ta 30:10 Ta 30:17, 19 T		1 35:22		
wondered 3:21 5:23 words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 wory 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11		1a 30:10	· ·	
10 15 17 19	O	1-year 46:3	,	
words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x 1:2,7 year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 44.51:6 39:6 40:21 42:1 44:21 11:07 56:7 124(B) 46:6 129 45:25 46:5 15 12:5 34:20 54:25 55:4 16911(3)(C) 5:12 16913 39:15 50:8 50:24 16913(c) 32:6 18 26:21 1996 46:25 year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 34:9,20 35:3 34:9,20 35:3 44.51:6 42 5:8,11,17 50:8 5 5a 5:21 50 11:10 51 2:9 57 75:21 31:19 705,000 42:3 8 8 85:22 9 9 922 49:11		10 31:17,19	3-6 5:9	
words 3:11,12,14 3:16 53:12 3:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 44:21 worry 22:14 37:5 11:07 56:7 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 48:12 49:3 55:2 1691(4) 5:17 1691(4) 5:17 16913 39:15 50:8 55:22 16913 39:15 50:8 wrong 9:10 10:9 50:24 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 2 2 27:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 9 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24		· ·	4	
3:16 53:12 worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x1:2,7 Year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 100,000 37:4,6 39:6 40:21 42:1 44:21 11:07 56:7 124(B) 46:6 129 45:25 46:5 15 12:5 34:20 54:25 55:4 16911(3)(C) 5:12 16913 39:15 50:8 50:24 16913(c) 32:6 18 26:21 1996 46:25 2 2 27:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24	words 3:11,12,14	10:09 1:13 3:2		
worried 16:22 18:18 22:19 46:10 39:6 40:21 42:1 worry 22:14 37:5 44:21 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 48:12 49:3 55:2 1691(4) 5:17 48:12 49:3 55:2 16911 5:8 written 18:5 16911(3)(C) 5:12 55:22 7 wrong 9:10 10:9 16913(c) 32:6 48:21 16:14 16913(c) 32:6 12:21 16:14 18 26:21 52:11 1996 46:25 2 27:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 9 2 27:1 30:3,8,11 9 50:16 56:2 9 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24	3:16 53:12			
18:18 22:19 46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x1:2,7 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 44:21 11:07 56:7 124(B) 46:6 129 45:25 46:5 15 12:5 34:20 54:25 55:4 1691(4) 5:17 16911 5:8 16911(3)(C) 5:12 16913 39:15 50:8 50:24 16913(c) 32:6 18 26:21 1996 46:25 2 227:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24	worried 16:22		42 3.0,11,17 30.0	
46:10 worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11	18:18 22:19		5	
worry 22:14 37:5 wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 129 45:25 46:5 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 1691(4) 5:17 written 18:5 16911(3)(C) 5:12 55:22 16913 39:15 50:8 wrong 9:10 10:9 16913 (c) 32:6 wrote 3:17 10:6 18 26:21 12:21 16:14 1996 46:25 50:12 9 2 227:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 9 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24	46:10			
wouldn't 9:13,14 12:2 19:21 129 45:25 46:5 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 16911(3)(C) 5:12 55:22 16913 39:15 50:8 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 1996 46:25 X 2 227:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 40:23 46:2 204 31:15 34:20 48:24 48:24	worry 22:14 37:5			
12:2 19:21 22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x 1:2,7 Year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2	wouldn't 9:13,14	` ′		
22:23 33:16 38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11	12:2 19:21			
38:15,16 40:9 48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11	22:23 33:16		6	
48:12 49:3 55:2 written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x 1:2,7 Year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 16911 5:8 16911 (3)(C) 5:12 16913 39:15 50:8 50:24 16913(c) 32:6 18 26:21 1996 46:25 2 2 27:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24	38:15,16 40:9		6a 5:22	
written 18:5 55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11	*			
55:22 wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x 1:2,7 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 16913 39:15 50:8 50:24 16913(c) 32:6 18 26:21 1996 46:25 2 2 27:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24 7 5:21 31:19 705,000 42:3 8 8 5:22 9 9 922 49:11	written 18:5		7	
wrong 9:10 10:9 wrote 3:17 10:6 16913 (c) 32:6 12:21 16:14 18 26:21 52:11 2 X 2 x 1:2,7 2 year 28:2 32:14 50:16 56:2 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 40:23 46:2 705,000 42:3 8 8 5:22 9 922 49:11			7 5:21 31:19	
wrote 3:17 10:6 12:21 16:14 52:11 X x 1:2,7 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 16913(c) 32:6 18 26:21 1996 46:25 2 227:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24			705,000 42:3	
12:21 16:14 52:11 X	U			
52:11 1996 46:25 9 x 1:2,7 2 2 27:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 48:24 48:24 85:22 9 922 49:11		` ′		
X 2 x 1:2,7 2 Y 2 year 28:2 32:14 50:16 56:2 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 40:23 46:2 1990 40:23 9 2 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 2 3 4 2 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 5 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>8 5:22</th> <th></th>			8 5:22	
X x 1:2,7 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 2 27:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24		1996 46:25		
x 1:2,7 Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 227:1 30:3,8,11 50:16 56:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24	X	2		
Y year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 50:16 56:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24	x 1:2,7		922 49:11	
year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 20 12:3,7 34:9 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24				
year 28:2 32:14 years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 35:3 54:24 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24				
years 12:3,5,7,8 34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 2004 31:15 34:20 48:24	year 28:2 32:14			
34:9,20 35:3 40:23 46:2 48:24	years 12:3,5,7,8			
40.73.467	34:9,20 35:3			
2005 40.24	40:23 46:2			
		4005 46:24		