1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES		
2		- x	
3	UNITED STATES,	:	
4	Petitioner	:	
5	v.	: No. 07-1059	
6	EURODIF S.A., ET AL.;	:	
7	and	:	
8	USEC INC., ET AL.,	:	
9	Petitioners	:	
10	v.	: No. 07-1078	
11	EURODIF S.A., ET AL.	:	
12		- x	
13	Washington, D.C.		
14	Tuesday, November 4, 2008		
15	The above-entitled matter came on for ora		
16	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States		
17	at 11:06 a.m.		
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	MALCOLM L. STEWART, ESQ., Deputy Solicitor General,		
20	Washington, D.C.; on behalf o	f the Petitioner in No.	
21	07-1059.		
22	H. BARTOW FARR, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf of		
23	the Petitioners in No. 07-107	8.	
24	CAITLIN J. HALLIGAN, ESQ., New York, N.Y.; on behalf of		
25	the Respondents.		

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	MALCOLM L. STEWART, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner in No. 07-1	059 3
5	H. BARTOW FARR, ESQ.	
6	On behalf of the Petitioners in No. 07-	1078 17
7	CAITLIN J. HALLIGAN, ESQ.	
8	On behalf of the Respondents	27
9	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
10	MALCOLM L. STEWART, ESQ.	
11	On behalf of the Petitioner in No. 07-1	059 52
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS		
2	(11:06 a.m.)		
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear		
4	argument next in Case 07-1059, United States v. Eurodif		
5	and 07-1058, USEC v. Eurodif.		
6	Mr. Stewart.		
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF MALCOLM L. STEWART		
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER		
9	IN NO. 07-1059		
LO	MR. STEWART: Mr. Chief Justice and may it		
L1	please the Court:		
L2	The question presented in this case is		
L3	whether the provision of enriched uranium under		
L4	separative work unit or SWU contracts is covered by the		
L5	Federal antidumping duty law. The resolution of that		
L6	question turns on whether the performance of SWU		
L7	contracts results in merchandise being sold in the		
L8	United States. The Department of Commerce, which is the		
L9	Federal agency entrusted by Congress with the		
20	administration of the antidumping duty law, concluded		
21	after an extensive investigation that SWU contracts do		
22	result in sales of enriched uranium. That determination		
23	was reasonable and should be sustained by this Court.		
24	Now, the fundamental bargain in a SWU		
25	contract is that the customer, the utility, provides a		

- 1 combination of feedstock or feed uranium plus cash, and
- 2 receives in return a specified quantity and assay of
- 3 enriched uranium, and by "assay" I mean the percentage
- 4 of weight of U-235 within the final product. Now, the
- 5 customer has discretion to choose among varying
- 6 combinations of feedstock and cash in order to complete
- 7 the transaction for a particular quantity and assay of
- 8 enriched uranium; but the enricher has its own
- 9 discretion. That is, having received the consideration
- 10 paid by the utility, the enricher is free to make its
- 11 own determination based on economic considerations as to
- 12 the relative proportions of feedstocks and SWUs that
- 13 should be used to make a given quantity of enriched
- 14 uranium.
- 15 So the overall -- the character of the
- 16 overall transaction is comfortably characterized as a
- 17 sale, because it involves the acquisition by the utility
- 18 of a product, merchandise, that it didn't own at the
- 19 outset of the transaction in exchange for consideration.
- JUSTICE BREYER: I can imagine you could
- 21 have a grain mill and they have lots and lots of grain
- 22 absolute identical one to the other. And the farmer
- 23 takes his grain up and they operate on it, and then he
- 24 drives away with the grain, milled. Now, it may make no
- 25 difference to anybody whether the identical molecules

- 1 are the same; and I think in such a case you'd say they
- 2 are processing it; they are not buying and selling it.
- MR. STEWART: Well, indeed, as we've
- 4 explained in our opening brief, at common law the
- 5 distinction between a bailment and a sale in that type
- 6 of circumstance depended upon whether the miller or the
- 7 equivalent processor was under an obligation to return
- 8 the very same thing in processed form. And if that
- 9 was --
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: You are saying if we have
- 11 that, in fact, if the -- if the exact situation, if some
- 12 farmers up in North Dakota send their grain just to be
- 13 milled -- it's their grain -- up in Canada, and they
- 14 come back, and it -- you know, it may not be the
- 15 identical molecule, but it's identically the same; they
- 16 have done this for 100 years -- now suddenly this -- the
- 17 Commerce Department is going to say, that's a sale, and
- 18 the antidumping statutes apply?
- 19 MR. STEWART: We are saying that Commerce
- 20 could treat it as a sale. And --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, would it or not?
- 22 MR. STEWART: I think Commerce in that
- 23 hypothetical -- again, if we were talking about grain
- 24 being provided by the customer to a miller overseas --
- 25 overseas, and then the finished product being brought

- 1 back into this country, I think the logic of the
- 2 Commerce determination here would suggest that it would
- 3 be a sale.
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: I agree with you, and I
- 5 just wonder, what I would like to know, is if any
- 6 businessman involved in any of these or related things
- 7 before this decision of the Commerce Department would
- 8 have thought that is how the Commerce Department
- 9 would have treated such a transaction?
- MR. STEWART: Well, I don't think that
- 11 Commerce had before this incident dealt with exactly
- 12 this type of situation.
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, but common law would
- 14 have treated it that way, you say?
- MR. STEWART: Common law would have treated
- 16 it --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: That's pretty good
- 18 authority.
- 19 MR. STEWART: It certainly suggests that
- 20 Commerce could permissibly treat it as a sale.
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: I don't know. I'm
- 22 asking -- the question is the same as the last case, to
- 23 me: Not whether they could have done it; sure they
- 24 could have done it. My question is based on my question
- 25 of whether they had a rule that any reasonable person

- 1 would have thought that is how they treated it --
- 2 MR. STEWART: I --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Because after all, you have
- 4 three precedents in related areas, not the direct area
- 5 but in related areas, that suggest that they wouldn't
- 6 have treated the millers that way.
- 7 MR. STEWART: I'm not sure exactly which
- 8 precedents you mean. If -- the one that is -- the
- 9 administrative determination that is cited most
- 10 frequently is SRAMS from Taiwan, in which the design
- 11 house provided, I believe it was a design mask to the
- 12 foundry, and the foundry manufactured the finished
- 13 equipment and in concluding that it was the design house
- 14 rather than the foundry that was properly treated as the
- 15 producer of the goods, the Commerce Department relied in
- 16 part on the fact that the design house had intellectual
- 17 property rights in the design mask.
- 18 JUSTICE BREYER: You know what, I'm thinking
- 19 of those things, they're something called "tollers." I
- 20 don't know exactly what tollers are. They seem to be
- 21 like people who give haircuts. They're sort of
- 22 servicers of some kind. They're talking about tollers
- 23 and -- and subcontractors. And that is a related area.
- 24 MR. STEWART: That's correct. And the SRAMs
- 25 cases is one of the toller or subcontractor cases. And

- 1 the Department of Commerce relied in part on the fact
- 2 that the design house had intellectual property rights
- 3 in the design mask. So it was not fungible property, it
- 4 was not an input that the foundry could have gotten from
- 5 another source.
- 6 The other thing we would say about the grain
- 7 hypothetical is that when you hear about the farmer
- 8 providing grain to the miller or the utilities providing
- 9 feedstock to the enrichers, it may conjure up images of
- 10 a tangible good that is in the physical possession of
- 11 the farmer or the utility that is then physically
- 12 transferred to the enricher. In the case of the miller
- 13 that is correct.
- But the way that a utility provides
- 15 feedstock to an enricher is not by taking physical
- 16 possession of the feedstock and then by transporting it.
- 17 Rather, the utility simply makes financial arrangements
- 18 with the supplier of feedstock, basically cuts a check
- 19 or transfers funds --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Mr. Stewart, can I ask a
- 21 question about the scope of discretion involved here?
- 22 Assume the facts were not exactly as they are here, it
- 23 is not a fungible product, but that each shipment was
- 24 separately identified and each shipment was processed as
- 25 a different batch in France and then sent back. That I

- 1 assume would not normally be treated as a sale of goods?
- 2 MR. STEWART: Well, I think that Commerce's
- 3 determination suggests, without squarely holding, that
- 4 it would treat that as a sale of goods because it would
- 5 involve substantial transformation of the original item.
- 6 JUSTICE STEVENS: That's really what I
- 7 wanted to ask you. Even if the facts were more extreme,
- 8 just as in the example I gave, do you think the Commerce
- 9 Department would have discretion to treat that as a sale
- 10 of goods?
- 11 MR. STEWART: We do. And I think it's
- 12 important, to carry on with the thought I was sketching
- 13 out previously about the way in which the raw materials
- 14 are provided to the enricher.
- 15 If you imagine my buying a suit from a
- 16 tailor, and the tailor says: The price is \$600; \$300 of
- 17 that accounts for the cost of the cloth; \$300 is the
- 18 labor that's involved in sewing it into a suit.
- 19 Clearly, that's a sale of merchandise, even though the
- 20 price has been broken up --
- 21 JUSTICE STEVENS: But then supposing they
- 22 send the suit back to a different person for
- 23 alterations, and they say, well, this is part of the
- 24 sale, so we want to treat it as a sale of goods?
- MR. STEWART: Well, the alterations would

- 1 just be a finishing process and that wouldn't involve
- 2 substantial --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: What is the difference
- 4 between that and what we've got here?
- 5 MR. STEWART: But the point I was going to
- 6 make about the suit, and it applies equally to the
- 7 uranium enrichment process, is if I say to my tailor,
- 8 rather than I pay you \$600 and you pay your cloth
- 9 supplier \$300, how about I just give you \$300 and I will
- 10 give \$300 to your cloth supplier, and it will amount to
- 11 the same thing in the end, because you won't have to pay
- 12 for the cloth? If the tailor accepts that arrangement,
- 13 the economic substance is exactly the same. And it
- 14 would seem strange to say that it's a sale if I just pay
- 15 \$600 to the tailor, but it's not a sale if I break down
- 16 the cost in the way that I've described.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Could you articulate
- 18 precisely what your test is, because you have been going
- 19 back and forth between whether the raw materials are
- 20 fungible and whether there is a fundamental
- 21 transformation in the product? So, how would you merge
- 22 those two in an articulable test so that business people
- 23 can know when they are going to be subject to this
- 24 regime and when they were not?
- 25 MR. STEWART: I think that the Commerce

- 1 determination -- that Commerce did not purport to either
- 2 promulgate a regulation or announce a test that
- 3 precisely defined the term "sale". I think the thrust
- 4 of the Commerce Department's determination was that
- 5 substantial transformation was enough, but that the case
- 6 was much easier by virtue of the fact that the
- 7 producer -- the enricher dealt with fungible goods and
- 8 also had substantial discretion to decide how much of
- 9 the feedstock would be used vis-a-vis --
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You would say your
- 11 ultimate touchstone is whether there is substantial
- 12 transformation, and whether it's a raw material or
- 13 discretion, those go into that determination?
- 14 MR. STEWART: That's correct, although it
- 15 would certainly be appropriate for this Court if it
- 16 didn't want to address the situation in which only
- 17 substantial transformation was present, to say that at
- 18 least when both circumstances were present there was a
- 19 sale.
- 20 And again, to distinguish this somewhat from
- 21 the miller hypothetical, the miller in making grain into
- 22 flour may have discretion as to which individual grains
- 23 to use for a particular batch of flour, but it won't
- 24 typically have discretion as to what weight of grain
- 25 will be used to make what weight of flour. And the

- 1 enricher has that discretion as well; that is, to
- 2 produce a particular quantity and assay of enriched
- 3 uranium, the enricher can either use more feedstock and
- 4 fewer SWU's --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: What's a SWU? You lost me
- 6 on the SWU. What's a SWU?
- 7 MR. STEWART: A SWU is a unit of work and
- 8 it's work in the sense of output; that is, it is the
- 9 work necessary to separate out a particular quantity of
- 10 enriched uranium --
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: If you put in more time
- 12 with the uranium, with the same amount of 235, if you
- 13 put in more time you can get out more?
- MR. STEWART: That's right. The amount
- 15 of -- the separation process or the enrichment process
- 16 involves a separation of the original feedstock into the
- 17 enriched uranium and what is referred to as the tails,
- 18 which is the residue or the depleted uranium.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sure you're
- 20 prepared for a wide variety of hypotheticals. What
- 21 about a diamond? You have a chunk of rock that contains
- 22 a diamond. You send it to Antwerp and they carve it
- 23 away into something that, I guess you could say it's
- 24 been substantially transformed. It's not just a rock.
- 25 It's now a glittering diamond. Is that covered by --

1 MR. STEWART: I'm not sure whether Commerce would treat that as substantial transformation. 2 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Neither am I, and 4 it's kind of -- it's sort of a bit of a concern. It's a 5 fluctuating test that is hard to determine how it's going to be applied in a wide variety of cases. 6 7 MR. STEWART: Now, in this case -- in this 8 particular case, Commerce noted that there was no dispute among the parties that the enrichment process 9 10 did result in substantial transformation. So that --11 that uncertainly is not present here. 12 But I agree that there is a gray area with 13 respect to substantial transformation that isn't present 14 when you are trying to answer the question: 15 customer getting back the same thing in modified form or 16 is he getting back a different thing? That is, although 17 the contracts between the utility and the enricher deem 18 the enriched uranium to have been produced with the 19 customer's own feedstock, everybody acknowledges that 20 that is not the fact in the real world. 21 And so, what the customer receives back is not like your diamond hypothetical, in which he receives 22 23 an improved version of the original product. It is as 24 though you had a diamond company that said, we need inventory all the time, and we're prepared to work out 25

- 1 an arrangement where if you send us a raw diamond plus
- 2 cash, we will send you back a different cut diamond.
- 3 That would be a sale, regardless of whether there was
- 4 substantial transformation, because you would have
- 5 payment of consideration for a product that you
- 6 indisputably didn't own at the outset.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Stewart, we're
- 8 reviewing a decision of the Federal Circuit. And the
- 9 Federal Circuit relied dominantly on the Florida Power
- 10 case in which the same issue was presented, albeit under
- 11 a different statute.
- 12 But I -- reading that Florida Power, where
- 13 the Federal Circuit adopted the Government's position,
- 14 the Government's position then was that this very same
- 15 transaction involved the rendition of services and not a
- 16 sale of goods.
- 17 Does the Government have a distinction
- 18 between those two cases, or are you now saying in
- 19 hindsight you realized that the position that you took
- 20 before the Federal Circuit in the early case was wrong?
- 21 MR. STEWART: I don't think we have
- 22 disavowed the position we took in the Florida Power &
- 23 Light case. Now, in all candor, I would have to say the
- 24 question of the Contract Disputes -- Disputes Act's
- 25 applicability to SWU contracts is of a lot less interest

- 1 to the Federal Government now than it was then, because
- 2 the reason that arose in a case involving the Federal
- 3 Government was that at that time USEC was a Government
- 4 instrumentality and we were representing USEC in its
- 5 governmental incarnation.
- Now that USEC has been privatized, the
- 7 Federal Circuit is unlikely to confront the question
- 8 whether the Contract Disputes Act applies to this sort
- 9 of, because these are not -- this is not a Federal
- 10 entity, in any event.
- 11 The reason that we think the two cases are
- 12 reconcilable is that the Contract Disputes Act, as its
- 13 name implies, is a statute that governs the resolution
- 14 of disputes between contracting parties. And in that
- 15 situation, it's much more appropriate to look to the
- 16 form of the parties' arrangement. And the court -- the
- 17 Federal Circuit in Florida Power and Light placed a lot
- 18 of emphasis on the fact that the contract was styled as
- 19 one for enrichment services. And that is an appropriate
- 20 consideration to take into account when you are
- 21 resolving disputes between the contracting parties, but
- 22 when the whole purpose of the antidumping statute is to
- 23 prevent contracting parties from entering into
- 24 arrangements that are mutually beneficial to themselves,
- 25 but that would and unfairly disadvantage domestic

- 1 competitors, it was appropriate for Commerce to look
- 2 behind the form of the contract and to look at physical
- 3 and economic reality.
- 4 JUSTICE STEVENS: But isn't it correct that
- 5 the universe of transactions that is the subject of this
- 6 Act is sales? Is that not right? Rather than service
- 7 contracts.
- 8 MR. STEWART: Well, it is true that the
- 9 antidumping statute requires that merchandise be sold in
- 10 the United States, and we don't contend that the statute
- 11 applies to price discrimination in services like
- 12 insurance or banking.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: But do you -- do you
- 14 contend the word "sold" is an ambiguous term, requires
- 15 construction by a particular agency?
- 16 MR. STEWART: It is ambiguous at the
- 17 margins; that is, the classic arrangement, the classic
- 18 sale is an exchange of --
- 19 JUSTICE STEVENS: Do you think Congress
- 20 intended the ambiguity to be resolved by an agency
- 21 rather than judges applying the rules of common law and
- 22 the rules of sales law generally?
- MR. STEWART: Yes, and I think this is a
- 24 statute that has been around for, I believe, close to
- 25 90 years now; and in order for it to remain efficacious

- 1 in this area, Commerce has to be able to adapt its
- 2 principles to new forms of transactions. Again, that
- 3 doesn't mean that Commerce's discretion is limitless,
- 4 but it has some discretion at the margins.
- If I may, I would like to reserve the
- 6 balance of my time.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr.
- 8 Stewart.
- 9 Mr. Farr.
- 10 ORAL ARGUMENT OF H. BARTOW FARR
- 11 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
- 12 IN NO. 07-1078
- 13 MR. FARR: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
- 14 please the Court:
- I would submit, as the questions this
- 16 morning have indicated, one of the difficulties in this
- 17 case, obviously, is this is the kind of transaction that
- 18 can be thought about reasonably enough in different
- 19 ways. It is possible to look at this very narrow set of
- 20 circumstances where a customer is providing raw
- 21 materials of the kind that the producer uses to make a
- 22 good, and to say in that circumstance we could look at
- 23 it as the customer providing two kinds of consideration
- 24 for the good it's receiving; or we could look at it, as
- 25 the Respondents do and as the Federal Circuit did, as

- 1 saying, the other way to look at it is this is
- 2 essentially the customer receiving a service on its own
- 3 goods.
- 4 Now it seems to me to begin with, the
- 5 question that is before the Court properly is whether
- 6 Commerce, which is undoubtedly the agency charged with
- 7 enforcing this statute, has adopted a reasonable view
- 8 when it's taken one of those two positions.
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: What -- what do we do about
- 10 the question that is bothering me? Maybe at some
- 11 point -- maybe it's not a relevant question -- but I
- 12 will assume for the sake of argument Commerce does have
- 13 the power to classify it either way.
- What is bothering me, and maybe that's not
- 15 in this case, is that as the -- as your brother lawyer
- 16 just said, this statute has been around for 90 years.
- 17 There has been trillions of dollars worth of foreign
- 18 commerce during that time. Yet I don't find cited here
- 19 any instance in which Commerce ever before said that
- 20 when you have title to a good, and you send it abroad,
- 21 for even a big change in it, and then it comes back,
- 22 that that is a sale.
- Now, I might -- I don't know all the
- 24 Commerce cases; maybe they did. But what I found here
- 25 is like a blank on that side, and on the other side the

- 1 tolling cases, Florida Power & Light, the pizza case, to
- 2 the point where I thought, if I was a lawyer advising a
- 3 client, and that client said if I keep title to the
- 4 good, am I home free, I would have to say, yes, you are.
- 5 MR. FARR: Okay.
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: Now that's the -- the
- 7 question I want responded to in the legal context of,
- 8 has Commerce made a significant change in its policy?
- 9 MR. FARR: Several things about that,
- 10 Justice Breyer. First of all, as we have indicated in
- 11 our brief, Commerce did have a policy prior to the
- 12 tolling regulation, where it did treat these kinds of
- 13 transactions as sales of goods.
- JUSTICE BREYER: And I read -- at what page
- 15 do I find all these cases?
- 16 MR. FARR: That is in our brief. I'm sorry,
- 17 I don't have --
- 18 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, roughly. I'll find
- 19 it. I will find it.
- MR. FARR: But it's in --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: But I will see a lot of
- 22 cases where --
- MR. FARR: Where we discuss Commerce's
- 24 tolling precedent. And then it went and it essentially
- 25 decided that what it had done in that precedent, it

- 1 wanted to reexamine that, because it thought in the end
- 2 what was happening in all of those cases is there was a
- 3 resale of the merchandise; and it thought it would be a
- 4 better practice to focus on that sale of the merchandise
- 5 for purposes of applying the statute.
- When it did that, however, it found it had
- 7 created a loophole in the case where there wasn't a
- 8 further sale. So it has gone back to that. So at least
- 9 in terms of the precedent, Commerce has been on
- 10 different sides of that, but they have been wrestling
- 11 with exactly the problem that I outlined at the
- 12 beginning, which is dealing with a guestion that
- 13 essentially has no ready answer to it and trying to pick
- 14 among the plausible answers that were available to it.
- 15 Secondly, just about the specific situation
- 16 here, I don't believe that there is any chance that the
- 17 utilities and the enricher in this case could claim
- 18 unfair surprise or unjust reliance; because they say in
- 19 their own briefs, they did not set their transactions up
- 20 in this way in order to try to comply with prior
- 21 decisions of Commerce.
- 22 They say -- they make a point in their brief
- 23 in saying we have perfectly innocent intentions here,
- 24 that they set their transactions up purely for
- 25 historical and commercial reasons.

- 1 So -- now, the other question that 2 Justice Breyer raises is about the cases, and 3 Justice Ginsburg I think mentioned earlier the Florida 4 Power & Light decision. And again, Florida Power & 5 Light is a case in which there is no agency that is 6 before the Court. 7 So at that point there is no question of 8 Chevron deference, no question of whether this is a reasonable position; all that the Court had to decide 9 was, given the possible choices, which did it think was 10 11 the better choice under those circumstances? particularly I think it's important in that case, is the 12 13 Federal Circuit said, this is a case that doesn't fall 14 readily into either of the two categories. So it went ahead and had to decide it on its own. 15 16 But it seems to me that when you say in a 17 case with an agency involved, and the agency has made 18 one determination, that a case could fall within either 19 of categories -- of categories --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Mr. Farr, are there
- 21 earlier cases that applied Chevron deference to the
- 22 Commerce's determination of what a sale is?
- MR. FARR: No, there are not, Your Honor,
- 24 that I am aware of. But --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: You agree that the Chevron

- 1 case is not a substantial evidence case?
- 2 MR. FARR: I do think so, although I must
- 3 say the line between the two is not enormously clear to
- 4 me, to be honest. But -- but the fact is if I were
- 5 trying to figure out where on the side of the line it
- 6 goes, it seems to me that this is a case in which you
- 7 are talking about the application of a legal standard to
- 8 a particular set of circumstances. I mean, in Chevron,
- 9 you have the situation, is a certain kind of facility a
- 10 stationary source or whatever? I mean that -- you could
- 11 say, well, that is a factual question in some way, but
- 12 it seems to me ultimately the better way to think about
- 13 it is Commerce's responsibility is to interpret the law
- in the light of cases that come up.
- 15 I mean, an agency does not -- is not
- 16 expected by Congress just to sit back and imagine all
- 17 the possible situations that could be a sale of
- 18 merchandise. I think what the agency is supposed to do
- 19 is, confronted with the circumstance in a particular
- 20 transaction, to apply its judgment on the statutory
- 21 language and say, yes, we do think this is a sale of
- 22 merchandise or no, we don't.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: If we are to defer
- 24 to the agency's interpretation, what -- how would you
- 25 phrase its interpretation, apart from "this is a sale"?

- 1 MR. FARR: Well, I think -- the narrowest
- 2 interpretation, and it seems to me the one that is most
- 3 readily dealt with is, to say, in a situation where a
- 4 customer provides raw material that is the type of, but
- 5 not the precise raw material, used by a manufacturer to
- 6 make the good that it then delivers to the customer, in
- 7 that situation, we are going to treat the manufacturer
- 8 as having made a sale of that good for the consideration
- 9 of the cash and the raw material.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So it doesn't matter
- 11 whether there is a substantial transformation or not?
- 12 MR. FARR: I think it does,
- 13 Mr. Chief Justice, in this sense, that we are talking
- 14 about the sale of the merchandise and that is a new
- 15 good. So the substantial transformation is essentially
- 16 what moves the ball from -- from being the -- the raw
- 17 material supplied by the customer to something
- 18 different. And the question would be in this case,
- 19 could Commerce reasonably think that the manufacturer
- 20 had sufficient control over the new good to be deemed
- 21 the owner of that?
- 22 And I think it's important to look, if you
- 23 look at the -- there are two different commodities that
- 24 are being talked about in the case of this transaction:
- 25 One is the feedstock, the raw material; the other is the

- 1 LEU. And the idea that the Respondents posit is, well,
- 2 this is just a service performed on our material. All
- 3 we are doing is getting our material back after a
- 4 service has been performed on it.
- 5 But as everybody agrees, as a matter of
- 6 fact, that is simply not so. They are not getting their
- 7 material back with a service performed on it. They are
- 8 getting a product that has been produced, manufactured,
- 9 from raw material that is fungible and in the general
- 10 inventory of the manufacturer. And in that case, it
- 11 seems to me, Commerce can say, we are going to disregard
- 12 the fiction of the parties' contracts that say this
- 13 really is made from our material, and say we are going
- 14 to look at the actual nature of the transaction. And in
- 15 the actual nature of the transaction, as I said, it is
- 16 not made from their material.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So that -- so that
- 18 is the critical factor, whether it's made from their
- 19 material, regardless of whether there is a
- 20 transformation? If the domestic entity provides wood,
- 21 wooden two-by-fours, and the foreign entity coats it in
- 22 a certain way, but they can use any two-by-fours, they
- 23 are indistinguishable, you would say in that case
- 24 there's still -- that's still subject to Commerce's
- 25 position?

- 1 MR. FARR: No, I don't think Commerce would
- 2 say that. I am to some extent speaking for them here.
- 3 But I think what Commerce would say is, when you have a
- 4 fungible raw material in substantial transformation
- 5 without --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So it's got to be
- 7 both?
- 8 MR. FARR: I think that Commerce would say,
- 9 if you have both, that clearly can be regarded as a sale
- 10 of merchandise, and we will regard it as a sale of
- 11 merchandise. On the other hand, if you only have
- 12 substantial transformation but not fungibility, then I
- 13 believe Commerce would say, even in that situation,
- 14 because it's ultimately the effect on the domestic
- 15 competitor is exactly the same, we would retain the
- 16 discretion to treat even that as a sale of merchandise.
- 17 JUSTICE STEVENS: Would you --
- 18 MR. FARR: I would emphasize that's not the
- 19 case.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Would you do that if you
- 21 thought it was clear as a matter of common law or under
- 22 the Uniform Sales Act, or something like that, that it
- 23 was not a sale?
- 24 MR. FARR: I think because of the different
- 25 circumstances, under the common law, for example, I

- 1 should make very clear -- but I should make first my
- 2 answer to you, Justice Stevens. Under the common law,
- 3 the particular transaction we were dealing with here,
- 4 where it is not made from the identical material, is
- 5 clearly a sale under the common law. But if you -- if
- 6 Commerce were going to say, in a situation where it is
- 7 made from the identical material, we want to depart from
- 8 the common law, I think they would have to justify that.
- 9 JUSTICE STEVENS: Under common law, you
- 10 don't need deference.
- 11 MR. FARR: Pardon me?
- 12 JUSTICE STEVENS: I'm sorry. If it were
- 13 clearly a sale under common law, you wouldn't need to
- 14 rely on deference.
- 15 MR. FARR: Well, in the end, I think, in the
- 16 commercial setting, there is a reasonable chance, not
- 17 necessarily certain because you do have the UCC and you
- 18 have the possibility, in those situations, the courts
- 19 might say, in a commercial setting, we are going to pay
- 20 more attention to the parties' efforts to structure
- 21 their contracts in a particular way, so that between
- them, we are going to treat the contract differently.
- 23 All our position is, is that Commerce, in applying the
- 24 antidumping law to protect a third party doesn't have to
- 25 observe the fictions in the parties' contract.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel. 2 MR. FARR: Thank you. 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Ms. Halligan. 4 ORAL ARGUMENT OF CAITLIN J. HALLIGAN 5 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS 6 MS. HALLIGAN: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it 7 please the Court: 8 I would like to start with Justice Breyer's question about the way in which the Department of 9 10 Commerce has treated transactions for the processing of 11 goods and the uncertainty that their position in this 12 case will cause, not just in this industry but across 13 industries. 14 As you suggested, Justice Breyer -- you 15 referred to -- the Department of Commerce has treated 16 processors who are called "tollers", in the language 17 that Commerce uses, as performing a service. And the 18 transaction for the sale of that service has not been 19 treated as a cognizable sale under the antidumping law. 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: And those have been cases 21 where the very same product is returned with value 22 added, right? 23 MS. HALLIGAN: Not necessarily. Sometimes 24 that is the case, Your Honor, but not always. 25 example, in the Taiwan Semiconductors case, which Mr.

- 1 Stewart referred to and which is cited in our brief,
- 2 what the U.S. company provided was simply a design to
- 3 for a chip. The Taiwanese company, which was the
- 4 processor in the case according to the Department of
- 5 Commerce, manufactured the chip, provided the silica,
- 6 used the design of the U.S. company, but no raw
- 7 materials at all. The Department of Commerce, in its
- 8 explanation as to why it treated the sale for purposes
- 9 of the antidumping law as the eventual sale by the U.S.
- 10 company and did not and could not treat the sale by the
- 11 processor as a cognizable sale, explained that the
- 12 statute itself requires Commerce to compare the U.S.
- 13 price, which is called the export price, the price at
- 14 which a good is sold or imported into the United States,
- 15 with the price at which the product is sold in the
- 16 company's home market. And because a processor does not
- 17 and cannot either provide all the essential components
- 18 of the product, because it's only providing the
- 19 processing service and not the raw materials, and
- 20 because it does not and cannot set the price at which
- 21 the product is sold in the United States, it is
- 22 therefore not the appropriate sale to look at that in
- 23 terms of the antidumping statute. That's --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What do you do about
- 25 the substance versus formality question? I mean, if you

- 1 do have fungible raw materials, why should it make a
- 2 difference whether the domestic company supplies those
- 3 to the foreign company or simply says -- gives them
- 4 money and says, buy them yourselves? And in the latter
- 5 case, you would say that's a sale, and in the former
- 6 case you would say it's not a sale?
- 7 MS. HALLIGAN: First of all, the Department
- 8 of Commerce has not until this case suggested that
- 9 fungibility of goods might make some sort of a
- 10 difference. In fact, in one of the cases that we cite
- 11 regarding flanges which were, according to the
- 12 Department of Commerce, fungible, they concluded that
- 13 the processing service there was not the cognizable
- 14 sale, the downstream sale of that product was.
- 15 But more importantly it doesn't change the
- 16 substance of the deal between the two parties. What the
- 17 utilities come to the table with is cash, and they
- 18 provide the feed. It is fungible as a matter of its
- 19 physical properties. It is a gas. And when the feed is
- 20 put into the gaseous diffusion chamber, which is the
- 21 large installation that the enricher uses to concentrate
- 22 the two different molecular isotopes, it takes a month
- 23 for the gas to work its way through this plant. The
- 24 enricher cannot feasibly segregate different lots of
- 25 feed, just like you can't with a grain elevator.

1 The analogy would be, with respect to a 2 grain elevator, you would have to run in one farmer's 3 bag of feed, run it all the way through the elevator and 4 through the mill, and wait until the product comes out 5 at the end, and then run another farmer's bag of feed 6 through. That's economically impractical and --7 JUSTICE SCALIA: No, old mills used to do 8 The farmer used to take his harvest to the mill, 9 and it would be ground right there while he was waiting. 10 MS. HALLIGAN: But -- but there is nothing 11 about the economic transaction that requires that it --12 JUSTICE SCALIA: That's absolutely true. 13 MS. HALLIGAN: -- that happen, and more 14 importantly, the enrichers don't end up at the end of 15 the day with any feed. They are also --16 JUSTICE SCALIA: Your brief constantly 17 assumes that there has been no sale, that throughout the 18 entire transaction, the utility owned what ultimately 19 becomes the rods, as though it was indeed the same 20 feedstock that produced the rod that was ultimately 21 delivered. And that is simply not true. There is a 22 change -- there is a change of ownership. The feedstock 23 that somebody else put into the mix is now transferred to the -- to the electric utility. 24 25 MS. HALLIGAN: It may be the same molecules;

- 1 it may not be. There is no way to know or to tell. And
- 2 there is --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: That's exactly right. You
- 4 don't know. So, you had cannot say that there has been
- 5 no transfer of ownership.
- 6 MS. HALLIGAN: Respectfully, Your Honor, I
- 7 disagree. If you look at the contracts, and the
- 8 substance squares with the contracts because, at the end
- 9 of this transaction, what the enricher walks away with
- 10 is cash and what the utilities walk away with are the
- 11 same number of uranium molecules that they came to that
- 12 plant with.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: No, we've got the same
- 14 molecules. I mean, when you are talking about whether
- 15 there has been a transfer of ownership or a transfer of
- 16 title, you are talking about molecules; you are not
- 17 talking about whether you ended up the same in, you
- 18 know, in monetary terms. You are talk about whether you
- 19 have the same thing that you delivered to the person
- 20 overseas. And you don't, or at least you can't say that
- 21 you do.
- MS. HALLIGAN: You can't say for sure
- 23 because the gas molecules can't be segregated that way.
- I think that you need to look at two things:
- 25 I think that you need to look at what each of the

- 1 parties to the transaction come to the table with and
- 2 what they walk away with. And with respect to the
- 3 utilities, they come to the table with uranium. It's a
- 4 very expensive commodity. The contracts are clear that
- 5 they hold title to it through the process until such
- 6 time as they take possession of the concentrated
- 7 uranium. It is clear from the contracts that there is
- 8 an intent to maintain a continuous stream of ownership.
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: That makes no sense, what
- 10 you just said. That contract makes no sense. They hold
- 11 title to it until they get the uranium rods, right?
- 12 MS. HALLIGAN: No, they hold title to it
- 13 until -- just to provide a --
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: Most of it is back
- 15 overseas. It's still mixed with the other fungible U
- 16 235.
- MS. HALLIGAN: Your Honor, the contracts
- 18 provide several things. First of all, they provide that
- 19 the utilities will deliver their feed within a certain
- 20 number of days prior to the date on which they will have
- 21 the concentrated uranium product provided to them. It's
- 22 60 to 90 days, depending on the contract.
- So, pursuant to the contract, they deliver
- 24 the uranium feed. The utilities run it through their
- 25 system. And at the end of that diffusion process, they

- 1 take the concentrated isotopes out and they provide that
- 2 to the utility. The enrichers don't receive any amount
- 3 of feed that they can then take on to the open market.
- 4 By distinction, if you look at a used car
- 5 sale, for example, which is an analogy the government
- 6 uses. If I want to trade my car in, I come to the
- 7 dealer and I bring with me two pieces of consideration.
- 8 I bring the used car, which is payment in time and I
- 9 bring cash. I walk away with my wallet a little bit
- 10 lighter and a new car, and the used car dealer has cash,
- 11 but also has an item that he can then go out onto the
- 12 market and sell.
- 13 That does not occur here.
- JUSTICE SOUTER: That's only -- that's only
- 15 true because apparently they use up all the feed that
- 16 they get. If, in fact, they found a way to manufacture
- 17 to enrich more efficiently so that some feed was left
- 18 over, I presume you would not be here arguing that they
- 19 could not sell that feed on the open market even after
- 20 they had delivered the enriched uranium to the buyer?
- 21 MS. HALLIGAN: The price terms of the
- 22 contract provide only for the utilities to pay for the
- 23 amount of energy that is expended.
- 24 I would distinguish this from another kind
- of contract per uranium feed, which we don't contest is

- 1 covered by the antidumping laws. There is a very small
- 2 percentage of concentrated uranium, of low enriched
- 3 uranium that is sold pursuant to what the parties call
- 4 an enriched uranium product contract, an EDP contract.
- 5 That sort of a contract is one in which the enricher
- 6 goes out into the market procures feed and performs a
- 7 processing service. The utility comes to the enricher,
- 8 pays them cash and walks away with the product.
- 9 By comparison, in these contracts, the
- 10 utilities are providing something that is very valuable.
- 11 The feed company --
- 12 JUSTICE SOUTER: Yeah, but why are they
- 13 providing something that is very valuable that, in fact,
- 14 could not be obtained by the enricher on the open market
- 15 if those were the contract terms? The enricher buys it
- 16 in the -- in the -- I forget the acronym in the case
- 17 that you just described -- and we don't know -- we have
- 18 no reason to believe the enricher couldn't go out on the
- 19 market and buy it if, in fact, the utility didn't supply
- 20 the feed.
- 21 MS. HALLIGAN: Those are very different
- 22 kinds of contracts, though, Your Honor.
- The contract in which the enricher simply
- 24 provides some amount of enriched uranium for a price and
- 25 procures the feed, which is a sliver of the total

- 1 contracts -- it's 5 percent even for USEC -- is one in
- 2 which the enricher is bearing the cost of the feed and
- 3 the risk of those price fluctuations.
- 4 The reasons that the contracts are
- 5 predominantly, almost exclusively structured as services
- 6 contracts by distinction is that the utilities choose to
- 7 manage the process of procuring fuel themselves.
- 8 JUSTICE SOUTER: Sure. They would rather
- 9 manage the risk of price fluctuation than, in effect,
- 10 pay the premium that the processor would have to charge
- 11 in order to hedge against price fluctuation --
- 12 MS. HALLIGAN: That's right.
- 13 JUSTICE SOUTER: That's why they are doing
- 14 that.
- 15 MS. HALLIGAN: And so, they go out into the
- 16 market and procure the feed pursuant to whatever
- 17 arrangements they have with their feed suppliers. By
- 18 comparison, all they are coming to the enricher for is
- 19 the service of concentrating that positional isotope
- 20 into the assay --
- 21 JUSTICE SOUTER: That is a way of looking at
- 22 it. But another way of looking at it is because they
- 23 choose to, in effect, take the risk of price fluctuation
- 24 as preferable to paying a higher ultimate price, they
- 25 are simply, for that reason, choosing to pay both in

- 1 cash and in a -- a valuable commodity, i.e., unenriched
- 2 uranium. You can look at it either way.
- MS. HALLIGAN: They are. But they are
- 4 choosing to pay two completely different entities in two
- 5 unrelated and two unlinked transactions. So, it
- 6 doesn't -- we are not disagreeing that there is a
- 7 transaction for feed that the uranium -- that the
- 8 utilities engage in to procure their feed. There has to
- 9 be. But that is not part of the distinct services
- 10 contracts for processing only, which is all that the
- 11 Department of Commerce is looking to shoehorn into this
- 12 statute here.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Ms. Halligan, try this
- 14 hypothetical, and it really gives you the benefit of the
- 15 doubt. Let's assume a department store buys raw wool
- 16 from some sheep herder, sends it overseas. And it's
- 17 worth \$1,000, this raw wool. It is processed, spun and
- 18 knitted into sweaters, and then shipped back to the
- 19 department store. The sweaters, all made from the same
- 20 wool, not even fungible, so that's why this is even
- 21 better than your example -- the sweaters when they come
- 22 back, are worth not \$1,000, but \$20,000.
- Do you think it would be unreasonable for
- 24 the Commerce Department to treat that as a sale of
- 25 sweaters by the European knitting wool or -- or home

- 1 knitters who did the sweaters?
- MS. HALLIGAN: It would be perfectly
- 3 appropriate for the Department of Commerce to do what it
- 4 has done in the past, which is to treat the sale of the
- 5 sweater by the U.S. companies for \$20,000 as the
- 6 cognizable sale.
- 7 The only thing that makes this case --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Say it again?
- 9 MS. HALLIGAN: In your hypothetical --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: I'm talking about the --
- 11 I'm talking about the sale from the European mills to
- 12 the American department store.
- 13 MS. HALLIGAN: That would be a processing
- 14 transaction.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: A processing transaction.
- 16 It has changed a value of \$1,000 into a value of -- what
- 17 did I say -- \$20,000? And that's just processing?
- 18 MS. HALLIGAN: Let me give you an example of
- 19 what the Department of Commerce has looked at. They --
- 20 they looked at the processing of pasta. And the --
- 21 there the manufacturer came to a company -- this is a
- 22 place called Certain Pasta from Italy -- it's referenced
- 23 in the brief -- the company came to the processor with
- 24 wheat and presumably whatever other products went into
- 25 the pasta, some milk or eggs. The pasta was processed

- 1 and made into pasta from the wheat and given back to the
- 2 U.S. company.
- 3 The Department of Commerce concluded that
- 4 the appropriate sales target was not the making of the
- 5 pasta, the processing of the pasta, but the downstream
- 6 sale of the pasta in the United States.
- 7 And that is what the statute requires.
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: They said there was no
- 9 sale.
- 10 MS. HALLIGAN: They said that --
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: When the pasta was
- 12 imported, there was no sale into the United States.
- MS. HALLIGAN: No, they said that --
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: But that's what we are
- 15 talking about here.
- 16 MS. HALLIGAN: We don't disagree, Your
- 17 Honor, that there is a product that comes into the
- 18 United States, it's low enriched uranium. But the
- 19 statute doesn't target the importation of products. And
- 20 in that way it is distinct from the companion statute,
- 21 the countervailing duty statute. What this statute, the
- 22 antidumping statute, covers is the sale of merchandise
- 23 into the United States.
- 24 That means that you have to have merchandise
- 25 as distinct from services, but by comparison to

- 1 countervailing duty statute covers both, which is part
- 2 of how we know that Congress means that distinction
- 3 tolls. And you have to --
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: Get back to your pasta
- 5 case. Was the holding of that case that there was no
- 6 sale into the United States?
- 7 MS. HALLIGAN: The question before Commerce
- 8 was which transaction is the appropriate transaction for
- 9 us to treat as -- as the one that establishes the price
- 10 all over the United States.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: That's a different issue.
- 12 We are not talking here about which transaction
- 13 establishes the price. We are talking simply about
- 14 whether there was a sale into the United States.
- 15 MS. HALLIGAN: It turns on the same issue,
- 16 Your Honor, and here's why. In all of these processing
- 17 cases that the Department of Commerce has looked at,
- 18 they have explained that the reason why the cognizable
- 19 sale is the sale of the finished product in the United
- 20 States, the pasta, the sweater, or whatever it may be,
- 21 is because the statute gets at price discrimination.
- 22 And it instructs the Department to look at the price at
- 23 which the product is sold in the United States.
- 24 The price for the weaving of the sweaters,
- 25 even if it does add the sort of value that you are

- 1 talking about, is not the price at which the product is
- 2 sold, nor does it reflect all of the components of the
- 3 transaction, because there is some components that the
- 4 wool request. So to determine whether there is price
- 5 discrimination, you have to look apples to apples at
- 6 which the product is sold in the United States.
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: Now, wait. Suppose these
- 8 knitting mills in my example, in fact, are selling their
- 9 sweaters for a much higher price in Europe. Instead of
- 10 \$20,000 for this batch of wool, in Europe they are
- 11 selling it for \$40,000. And they nonetheless sell the
- 12 wool back into the United States for only \$20,000.
- 13 You are telling me that that transaction
- 14 doesn't -- doesn't count for purposes of our antidumping
- 15 law?
- 16 MS. HALLIGAN: To the extent that it may --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: That you have to look at
- 18 the price at which the department store then sells to
- 19 individuals?
- 20 MS. HALLIGAN: That's right. Because that's
- 21 what the statute is intended to capture. The statute
- 22 was enacted --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: But the department store is
- 24 not dumping. It's -- it's the knitting mills that are
- 25 dumping.

- 1 MS. HALLIGAN: But the question, Your Honor,
- 2 is -- is the price at which the product is sold in the
- 3 United States. Section 1677(a) defines "export price"
- 4 and "constructed export price" as the price at which the
- 5 subject merchandise is first sold in the United States.
- 6 And that is what you have to look at.
- 7 It may certainly be true that you may be
- 8 able to sell a product at less than its fair value to
- 9 the extent that you are obtaining processing services at
- 10 a price lower than what you could obtain them in a
- 11 foreign country. But the -- the bottom line is you have
- 12 to look at what the statute explicitly instructs, at the
- 13 price at which the subject merchandise is sold in the
- 14 United States.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: Okay. And accepting that,
- 16 you -- you have to begin the whole thing, however, with
- 17 a sale, with a sale into the United States, right?
- 18 MS. HALLIGAN: A sale and I --
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: And I thought this is --
- 20 this is what you say fails in this case. That is the
- 21 link of the chain that doesn't exist. You say there is
- 22 no sale in the United States.
- MS. HALLIGAN: There is an importation of
- 24 LEU into the United States by the utilities. The
- 25 utilities consume that fuel in their reactors. They do

- 1 not sell it onward as the company would sell a sweater
- 2 or would sell a pasta or would sell Rya rugs. That is
- 3 something that makes this case somewhat different and --
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: That is pretty important.
- 5 Tell me -- this is a hard area, obviously. But the --
- 6 imagine -- think of all the toller cases that they've
- 7 had.
- 8 Now -- now, is this right: That in every
- 9 one of those cases there were two companies, A and B;
- 10 and in every one of those cases A sells a finished
- 11 product into the United States. And also A sends the
- 12 product to B to have some major thing done on it. In
- 13 every one of those cases the Commerce Department could
- 14 say: We can take A as a Respondent; i.e. we think A
- 15 might violate the law, because maybe it's an Italian
- 16 company, or we think B might violate the law. Is that
- 17 true of all those cases?
- MS. HALLIGAN: It is true.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Now, if it's
- 20 true of all those cases, then I think what the opposite
- 21 position is, what Mr. Farr said, I think -- I think, you
- 22 know, I will -- he will say: Look at page 40 and 41 of
- 23 their brief. When I look at those pages, I am going to
- 24 see a lot of cases. And I bet, when I look them up,
- 25 those Commerce cases are all going to use the word

- 1 "substantial transformation." And he will say: You
- 2 see, that's what they did here.
- 3 Then he's going to add -- I don't mean to
- 4 put words in his mouth if the words are wrong, but --
- 5 but he's going to add: Look at those tolling cases.
- 6 Those are all cases where Commerce had a choice of which
- 7 to consider the sale. It could have considered the sale
- 8 from the processor A to -- to B to A, the sale if it
- 9 wanted to; or it could have considered the sale into the
- 10 United States if it had wanted to, to be the sale for
- 11 purposes of calculating the price.
- 12 And so in choosing between those two, either
- of which it could have chosen, it chose the latter sale,
- 14 the final sale, because that's how they could calculate
- 15 the price.
- 16 But in your case there is no such person A,
- 17 because the utilities are not people who could be
- 18 respondents. I mean they are not in the same position.
- 19 There is no sale onwards that they could choose. So we
- 20 will go to the other guy, the party -- the person who
- 21 does the processing. Are you following what I am
- 22 saying?
- MS. HALLIGAN: Yes. And then it starts an
- 24 invidious --
- 25 JUSTICE BREYER: And what is the answer to

1	that	?

- MS. HALLIGAN: And here is why that's not
- 3 sufficient. It's the problem of the tail wagging the
- 4 dog, right? What the United States is saying is that
- 5 because in this single circumstance we do not have a
- 6 downstream sale of pasta, or a sweater, or whatever the
- 7 item might be, and we can't go after that transaction,
- 8 that, therefore, we are asking this Court to sign off on
- 9 essentially rewriting the statute.
- 10 The statute refers to a sale of merchandise.
- 11 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes. But, remember, they
- 12 are saying in the tolling cases, in my words: Hey, we
- 13 could have gone after the processor if we wanted --
- MS. HALLIGAN: But that's --
- 15 JUSTICE BREYER: -- if there had been a
- 16 substantial transformation, because the key to this
- 17 concept of "sale" is the word "substantial"
- 18 transformation.
- 19 MS. HALLIGAN: Two responses, Your Honor:
- 20 First of all, the statute doesn't allow
- 21 that, and I would recommend to the court the remand
- 22 response that Commerce provided in the Taiwan
- 23 semiconductor case.
- JUSTICE BREYER: In which --
- 25 MS. HALLIGAN: The Taiwan semiconductor case

- 1 which is cited in the brief. It explains why the
- 2 definition of "export price" and "constructed export
- 3 price" means you can't go after a processing
- 4 transaction.
- 5 Secondly, in order to find for the
- 6 government here and reverse the Federal circuit, you
- 7 would effectively have to agree with the government's
- 8 position that processing services where they are part of
- 9 the manufacturing operation somehow are within the terms
- 10 of the statute. And so that would mean that the
- 11 government can say in this case that because there's no
- downstream sale that it can capture, it will choose to
- 13 go after the processing transaction. But there is no
- 14 way to gauge down the road whether it will make the same
- 15 choice. And here --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: What does -- what does the
- 17 government do with respect to these other transactions
- 18 where, indeed, the utility does not provide the feed
- 19 uranium but just pays for, you know, getting -- getting
- 20 the rods?
- 21 MS. HALLIGAN: In those cases --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: There is no down -- you
- 23 know, there is no domestic sale there, either. Is it
- 24 conceivable that there, therefore, can be no dumping in
- 25 such a case?

- 1 MS. HALLIGAN: No. Those cases -- we -- we
- 2 do not disagree -- are a sale of merchandise because the
- 3 utility is paying for the entire commodity.
- What -- that is a substantial transformation
- 5 point, Justice Breyer. I would like to -- to return to
- 6 that.
- 7 There are many processing transactions which
- 8 involve some kind of substantial transformation where
- 9 you have a product like wheat and you turn it into
- 10 something else like pasta, or wool and you turn it into
- 11 --
- 12 JUSTICE STEVENS: Isn't it true that in all
- of those cases there is no transfer of ownership of the
- 14 basic product? And here -- and I am not sure you have
- 15 really addressed it --it is a fungible product, and you
- 16 are assuming you can't tell whether -- when it is in
- 17 process or whether it is one party's or the other's.
- 18 But if you could tell and you had some way
- 19 of identifying just which one here, but they wouldn't
- 20 care because they are all equally valuable, and if it
- 21 developed that it was actually a third party's product
- 22 that was being processed, then there would be a transfer
- of ownership, and there clearly would be a sale. Isn't
- 24 that true?
- 25 MS. HALLIGAN: I think not, Your Honor,

- 1 certainly not for the same reasons that you would have
- 2 that in -- in a grain elevator. If the enricher goes
- 3 out and purchases the feed and holds title to that feed
- 4 while it is enriching it, then, yes, there would be a
- 5 transfer of ownership.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: But you have the same
- 7 contract you've got here, but you are able to identify
- 8 that, in fact, there is a difference in the -- in the
- 9 commodity that -- that -- at least not a different raw
- 10 material that was sent to France and returned. It is
- 11 just a substitute. It seems to me that -- in that
- 12 context, within the meaning of your argument, that there
- 13 would have been the transfer of title to that -- that
- 14 commodity.
- 15 MS. HALLIGAN: First of all, you can't tell
- 16 -- and I don't think there is any -- any dispute as to
- 17 that fact. But, secondly, if you look at the common law
- 18 of --
- 19 JUSTICE STEVENS: Well, isn't it true, if
- 20 you can't tell, the odds are that there is some product
- 21 the title to which has been transferred?
- MS. HALLIGAN: No, I -- I think you
- 23 certainly can't tell what molecules come out the other
- 24 end. I think --
- 25 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Can't we assume -- let's

- 1 assume that there is.
- 2 MS. HALLIGAN: Yes.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Assume that there are --
- 4 are two sources for the uranium, A and B, and it goes to
- 5 the enricher. A gives it; B gives it. A ends up with
- 6 B's uranium. Justice Stevens is saying at some point
- 7 there is a transfer of title.
- 8 MS. HALLIGAN: And that's -- that's the
- 9 operative premise that I am disagreeing with, with all
- 10 due respect.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: No. We are asking you to
- 12 accept that hypothetical, so we can --
- MS. HALLIGAN: I mean the legal -- the legal
- 14 consequences is -- is what I am --
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Are you saying the utility
- 16 doesn't have title to what was formerly B's uranium?
- 17 MS. HALLIGAN: The utility holds title to a
- 18 discreet amount of feed uranium. It's in -- I --
- 19 JUSTICE KENNEDY: The enrichment is done.
- 20 It's back in the United States. The utility says: This
- 21 is my uranium. I have title. Do you dispute that?
- MS. HALLIGAN: No, not at all.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: How does he get the title
- 24 from -- to the uranium that was formerly B's uranium?
- MS. HALLIGAN: Because --

- 1 JUSTICE KENNEDY: At what point did that
- 2 title transfer?
- 3 MS. HALLIGAN: It -- maybe the useful
- 4 analogy is money -- is money in the bank, Your Honor.
- 5 If I take \$100 and I bring it to the bank, ten 10's, and
- 6 I take away five 20's, I may not have the same dollar
- 7 bills that I brought to the bank, but I don't think
- 8 anyone would argue that, somehow, the bank takes title
- 9 to my money. I retain ownership of that, and there is
- 10 nothing in the contract which suggests that there is any
- 11 change of ownership that is --
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You don't -- you
- don't retain ownership of that. And if you go to the
- 14 bank and say: Show me my money, they are not going to
- 15 say: Well, here's your money. They -- they have title
- 16 to it. They own it, and you have a claim against the
- 17 bank to what you gave them.
- 18 MS. HALLIGAN: But the bank could not hold
- 19 title to that as against me if I came and tried to take
- 20 the money out of my safe deposit box, nor could the
- 21 farmers at the -- at the grain elevator be told that
- 22 they -- they don't have title to the grain.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Is there any point in time
- 24 from the time that the -- the processors get ahold of
- 25 the uranium until the time it leaves their control that

- 1 if they went bankrupt, anyone other than the utility
- 2 could get ahold of the product?
- 3 MS. HALLIGAN: No.
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: No. Okay.
- 5 MS. HALLIGAN: And let me clarify this
- 6 because it's an important issue. These are very
- 7 expensive, offshore transactions that the utilities
- 8 engage in in order to purchase a feed. It is a very
- 9 valuable commodity. So what is critical to the --
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but if they go
- 11 bankrupt because -- I mean let's say they don't have all
- 12 the uranium. They have got five people who shipped them
- 13 uranium, and they have only got enough for four. Each
- of the five can't say: I'm entitled to get mine back.
- 15 MS. HALLIGAN: But -- but the system doesn't
- 16 operate that way, Your Honor. The contracts provide
- 17 that the utilities have to deliver feed. And it's a
- 18 very different sort of business that the utilities are
- 19 engaged in, to go out into the market and make bets
- 20 based on the price fluctuations for uranium and procure
- 21 that uranium.
- The enrichers are not in that business, and
- 23 so there would be no economic reason or any reason
- 24 provided for in the contracts for them to proceed that
- 25 way.

- 2 the purpose of the statute, because the government
- 3 relies very strongly on this notion that somehow the
- 4 processors' sales have to cognizable in order to further
- 5 the antidumping statute.
- This law is not a boundless license to
- 7 protect domestic industry from any competition. There
- 8 are other statutes that are are written much more
- 9 broadly in the trade laws; for example, the
- 10 countervailing duties law, the safeguards law which
- 11 allows the Department of Commerce to impose quotas or
- 12 tariffs on the import -- not the sale but the import of
- 13 any goods, statutes regarding the protection of
- 14 intellectOual property rights, all of which are cited in
- 15 the -- in the briefs.
- 16 So the notion that somehow to protect the
- 17 integrity of the statute you need to rewrite it to allow
- 18 them to address processing sales exclusively is really
- 19 not -- not well-founded.
- 20 Finally, with respect to the loophole
- 21 question that the government has relied on, I think it's
- 22 important to focus on what is specifically at issue
- 23 here. The government initially argued that it was
- 24 critical to address this case and these particular
- 25 transactions because of concerns about agreements with

Т	the Russians.
2	That has been addressed as to U.S. concedes
3	in its legislation that has been implemented by
4	Congress. The government has consistently in court and
5	in its decisions not just in the Contract Disputes
6	Act that you referenced Justice Ginsburg, but in other
7	cases regarding the UCC which relates to the sale of
8	goods, the government has said: These very kinds of
9	contracts for the processing of uranium are contracts
LO	for services. They are not covered by statutes that
L1	reach the sale of goods or the disposal of property.
L2	There is nothing that has changed with
L3	regard to the statute or with regard to these kinds of
L4	transactions; and, accordingly, we would ask you to
L5	affirm the Federal Circuit. If there are no other
L6	questions
L7	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Ms.
L8	Halligan. Mr. Stewart, you have three minutes
L9	remaining.
20	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF MALCOLM L. STEWART
21	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
22	IN NO. 07-1059
23	MR. STEWART: Thank you.
24	One of the things Ms. Halligan said, and I
25	think it's really a central theme of their brief is

- 1 that utilities come to the enricher for services and not
- 2 for goods. And to understand what it is that utilities
- 3 acquire, I think it may be helpful to ask: Under what
- 4 circumstances would the utility have a valid breach-of-
- 5 contract right?
- 6 And it is very clear that the enricher's
- 7 obligation under the contract is to deliver a specified
- 8 quantity and assay of enriched uranium at a specified
- 9 time and place. And if the enricher complies with that
- 10 obligation, it doesn't matter that the enricher decided
- 11 to -- what they call in the industry -- "overfeed"; that
- is, use more feed staff stock and fewer SWU's to produce
- 13 the final product.
- 14 And the utility in that circumstance
- 15 couldn't file a breach-of-contract claim by saying: I
- 16 paid for more SWU's than I actually received. Because
- 17 what the utility had contracted for was a given product.
- 18 The second thing -- and I think the
- 19 corollary to that is -- it's potentially misleading to
- 20 say that every contract is either one for merchandise or
- 21 one for services, especially when you are contracting to
- 22 acquire ownership of a commodity that doesn't exist at
- 23 the time the contract is formed. The only way you are
- 24 going to get to your ultimate objective is for somebody
- 25 to create it. And that can generally be described as a

1	service,	but	it	still	results	in	the	sale	of	goods.

- With respect to the used-car analogy, I
- 3 understood Ms. Halligan to acknowledge that -- that that
- 4 would be a sale even though the consideration paid by
- 5 the customer is partly in cash and partly in kind. And
- 6 if the customer and the car dealership characterize
- 7 their contract as one for car-refurbishment services and
- 8 said that the new car the customer receives shall be
- 9 deemed to be the used car that it traded in in
- 10 refurbished form, nobody would contend that that deeming
- 11 quality should be controlling.
- 12 Now here the -- the falseness of the
- 13 proposition that it's the same feedstock is less
- 14 apparent, but it's equally the case that the utility
- 15 doesn't receive back its original feedstock.
- 16 And finally the perspective of tolling
- 17 regulation in cases, Commerce has essentially said three
- 18 things:
- 19 First, we were dealing with a separate
- 20 question; that is, who is the producer, which sale is
- 21 relevant, and not whether the goods are covered at all.
- 22 Second, they said in those situations the
- 23 tollee was exercising much more control over the
- 24 ultimate process than is the case here where the
- 25 utilities don't manufacture anything. They simply pay

1	for one of the inputs.
2	And, finally, Commerce said that if at some
3	level of abstraction there is a tension or contradiction
4	between what we said previously and what we decide
5	today, then what we decide today controls. And Commerce
6	is entitled to make that determination.
7	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr.
8	Stewart. The case is submitted.
9	(Whereupon, at 12:07 p.m. the case was
10	submitted.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	agreements	21:21	B	big 18:21
able 17:1 41:8	51:25	applies 10:6		bills 49:7
	agrees 24:5	15:8 16:11	B 42:9,12,16	bit 13:4 33:9
47:7	ahead 21:15	apply 5:18 22:20	43:8 48:4,5	blank 18:25
above-entitled	ahold 49:24 50:2	apply 3.16 22.20 applying 16:21	back 5:14 6:1	bothering 18:10
1:15	AL 1:6,8,11	20:5 26:23	8:25 9:22	18:14
abroad 18:20	albeit 14:10	appropriate	10:19 13:15,16	bottom 41:11
absolute 4:22	allow 44:20	11:15 15:15,19	13:21 14:2	boundless 51:6
absolutely 30:12	51:17	16:1 28:22	18:21 20:8	box 49:20
abstraction 55:3	allows 51:11	37:3 38:4 39:8	22:16 24:3,7	breach-of 53:4
accept 48:12	alterations 9:23	area 7:4,23	32:14 36:18,22	breach-of-con
accepting 41:15	9:25	13:12 17:1	38:1 39:4	53:15
accepts 10:12	ambiguity 16:20	42:5	40:12 48:20	break 10:15
account 15:20	ambiguous	areas 7:4,5	50:14 54:15	Breyer 4:20
accounts 9:17	16:14,16	argue 49:8	bag 30:3,5	5:10,21 6:4,21
acknowledge	American 37:12	argued 51:23	bailment 5:5	7:3,18 18:9
54:3	amount 10:10	argueu 31:23 arguing 33:18	balance 17:6	19:6,10,14,18
acknowledges	12:12,14 33:2	arguing 55:18 argument 1:16	ball 23:16	19:0,10,14,18
13:19	33:23 34:24	2:2,9 3:4,7	bank 49:4,5,7,8	27:14 42:4,19
acquire 53:3,22	48:18	17:10 18:12	49:14,17,18	43:25 44:11,15
acquisition 4:17	analogy 30:1	27:4 47:12	banking 16:12	44:24 46:5
acronym 34:16	33:5 49:4 54:2	52:20	bankrupt 50:1	49:23 50:4
Act 15:8,12 16:6		arose 15:2	50:11	
25:22 52:6	announce 11:2		bargain 3:24	Breyer's 27:8 brief 5:4 19:11
actual 24:14,15	answer 13:14	arrangement 10:12 14:1	BARTOW 1:22	
Act's 14:24	20:13 26:2		2:5 17:10	19:16 20:22
adapt 17:1	43:25	15:16 16:17	based 4:11 6:24	28:1 30:16
add 39:25 43:3,5	answers 20:14	arrangements	50:20	37:23 42:23
added 27:22	antidumping	8:17 15:24	basic 46:14	45:1 52:25
address 11:16	3:15,20 5:18	35:17	basically 8:18	briefly 51:1
51:18,24	15:22 16:9 26:24 27:19	articulable	batch 8:25 11:23	briefs 20:19
addressed 46:15		10:22	40:10	51:15
52:2	28:9,23 34:1	articulate 10:17	bearing 35:2	bring 33:7,8,9
administration	38:22 40:14	asking 6:22 44:8	beginning 20:12	49:5
3:20	51:5	48:11	behalf 1:20,22	broadly 51:9
administrative	Antwerp 12:22	assay 4:2,3,7	1:24 2:4,6,8,11	broken 9:20
7:9	anybody 4:25	12:2 35:20	3:8 17:11 27:5	brother 18:15
adopted 14:13	apart 22:25	53:8	52:21	brought 5:25
18:7	apparent 54:14	assume 8:22 9:1	believe 7:11	49:7
advising 19:2	apparently	18:12 36:15	16:24 20:16	business 10:22
affirm 52:15	33:15	47:25 48:1,3	25:13 34:18	50:18,22
agency 3:19	APPEARAN	assumes 30:17	beneficial 15:24	businessman
16:15,20 18:6	1:18	assuming 46:16	benefit 36:14	6:6
21:5,17,17	apples 40:5,5	attention 26:20	bet 42:24	buy 29:4 34:19
22:15,18	applicability	authority 6:18	bets 50:19	buyer 33:20
agency's 22:24	14:25	available 20:14	better 20:4	buying 5:2 9:15
agree 6:4 13:12	application 22:7	aware 21:24	21:11 22:12	buys 34:15
21:25 45:7	applied 13:6	a.m 1:17 3:2	36:21	36:15
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	l	Ī	Ī	l
B's 48:6,16,24	20:2 21:2,21	28:24 49:12	27:19 28:11	53:22
	22:14 27:20	50:10 52:17	29:13 37:6	common 5:4
C	29:10 39:17	55:7	39:18 51:4	6:13,15 16:21
C 2:1 3:1	42:6,9,10,13	chip 28:3,5	combination 4:1	25:21,25 26:2
CAITLIN 1:24	42:17,20,24,25	choice 21:11	combinations	26:5,8,9,13
2:7 27:4	43:5,6 44:12	43:6 45:15	4:6	47:17
calculate 43:14	45:21 46:1,13	choices 21:10	come 5:14 22:14	companies 37:5
calculating	52:7 54:17	choose 4:5 35:6	29:17 32:1,3	42:9
43:11	cash 4:1,6 14:2	35:23 43:19	33:6 36:21	companion
call 34:3 53:11	23:9 29:17	45:12	47:23 53:1	38:20
called 7:19	31:10 33:9,10	choosing 35:25	comes 18:21	company 13:24
27:16 28:13	34:8 36:1 54:5	36:4 43:12	30:4 34:7	28:2,3,6,10
37:22	categories 21:14	chose 43:13	38:17	29:2,3 34:11
Canada 5:13	21:19,19	chosen 43:13	comfortably	37:21,23 38:2
candor 14:23	cause 27:12	chunk 12:21	4:16	42:1,16
capture 40:21	central 52:25	circuit 14:8,9,13	coming 35:18	company's
45:12	certain 22:9	14:20 15:7,17	commerce 3:18	28:16
car 33:4,6,8,10	24:22 26:17	17:25 21:13	5:17,19,22 6:2	compare 28:12
33:10 54:6,8,9	32:19 37:22	45:6 52:15	6:7,8,11,20	comparison
care 46:20	certainly 6:19	circumstance	7:15 8:1 9:8	34:9 35:18
carry 9:12	11:15 41:7	5:6 17:22	10:25 11:1,4	38:25
carve 12:22	47:1,23	22:19 44:5	13:1,8 16:1	competition
car-refurbish	chain 41:21	53:14	17:1 18:6,12	51:7
54:7	chamber 29:20	circumstances	18:18,19,24	competitor
case 3:4,12 5:1	chance 20:16	11:18 17:20	19:8,11 20:9	25:15
6:22 8:12 11:5	26:16	21:11 22:8	20:21 23:19	competitors
13:7,8 14:10	change 18:21	25:25 53:4	24:11 25:1,3,8	16:1
14:20,23 15:2	19:8 29:15	cite 29:10	25:13 26:6,23	complete 4:6
17:17 18:15	30:22,22 49:11	cited 7:9 18:18	27:10,15,17	completely 36:4
19:1 20:7,17	changed 37:16	28:1 45:1	28:5,7,12 29:8	complies 53:9
21:5,12,13,17	52:12	51:14	29:12 36:11,24	comply 20:20
21:18 22:1,1,6	character 4:15	claim 20:17	37:3,19 38:3	components
23:18,24 24:10	characterize	49:16 53:15	39:7,17 42:13	28:17 40:2,3
24:23 25:19	54:6	clarify 50:5	42:25 43:6	concedes 52:2
27:12,24,25	characterized	classic 16:17,17	44:22 51:11	conceivable
28:4 29:5,6,8	4:16	classify 18:13	54:17 55:2,5	45:24
34:16 37:7	charge 35:10	clear 22:3 25:21	Commerce's 9:2	concentrate
39:5,5 41:20	charged 18:6	26:1 32:4,7	17:3 19:23	29:21
42:3 43:16	check 8:18	53:6	21:22 22:13	concentrated
44:23,25 45:11	Chevron 21:8	clearly 9:19 25:9	24:24	32:6,21 33:1
45:25 51:24	21:21,25 22:8	26:5,13 46:23	commercial	34:2
54:14,24 55:8	Chief 3:3,10	client 19:3,3	20:25 26:16,19	concentrating
55:9	10:17 11:10	close 16:24	commodities	35:19
cases 7:25,25	12:19 13:3	cloth 9:17 10:8	23:23	concept 44:17
13:6 14:18	17:7,13 22:23	10:10,12	commodity 32:4	concern 13:4
15:11 18:24	23:10,13 24:17	coats 24:21	36:1 46:3 47:9	concerns 51:25
19:1,15,22	25:6 27:1,3,6	cognizable	47:14 50:9	concluded 3:20

29:12 38:3 contracting concluding 7:13 confronted 22:19 33:7,21 13:17 53:21 13:21 17:20,23 16:19 22:16 24:12 26:21 33:25 24:4 31:7,8 32:4,7 conjure 8:9 32:17 34:9,22 consequences 43:7 consideration 49:19 14:5 55:3 233 33:7 54:4 55:24 13:1 14:2 controlling considerations 4:11 considered 43:7 day 20:00 co			I	I	
confronted confronted contracts 3:14 confronted 22:19 53:21 confronted 13:21 17:20,23 3:18 5:17 6:7,8 17:16 diffusion 29:20 diffusion 29:20 22:19 congress 3:19 16:19 22:16 23:12 24:12 26:21 39:25 24:4 31:78, 32:4,7 14:25 16:7 54:5,6,8 customer's 13:19 37:3,12,19 24:12 26:11 36:15,19,24 disadvantage 13:22 consequences 23:1,4,6,36:10 49:19 14:5 5:3 control 23:20 consideration 49:19 14:5 5:3 control 23:20 day 30:15 deteler 33:7,10 considered 43:7 considered 43:7 considered 43:7 considered 43:7 considered 43:7 considered 43:7 control 23:20 day 30:15 dealer 33:7,10 center telephone 4:11 considered 43:7 control 23:20 consistently 52:4 control 23:20 consistently 52:10 control 27:1 control 27:1 control 27:1 control 16:15 count 40:14 constructed 41:4 45:2 construction 16:15 count 40:14 content 33:25 control 23:2 decided 19:25 53:3,10 control 33:2 13:12 31:12 sontinuous 32:8 control 23:2 15:16 17:14 contend 16:10 16:14 54:10 context 33:25 14:11 11:1 contend 16:10 16:14 54:10 context 33:25 14:12 25:34:1 52:16,9 and 14:24 15:8,12 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 52:14 decimal 33:2 33:25 34:4,4,5 33:15 33:4,4,5 52:16 52:5 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 43:15:23 34:1,4,5 52:16 53:25 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 43:15:23 34:1 52:15 53:2 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 54:7 contracted created 20:7 con	29:12 38:3	contracting	50:9 51:24	depart 26:7	26:22
confronted contracts 3:14 13:21 17:20,23 7:15 8:19:9 diffusion 29:20 22:19 3:17,21 13:17 18:2 23:4,6,17 27:9,15 28:4,7 32:25 16:19 22:16 24:12 26:21 31:7,8 32:4,7 54:5,6,8 29:7,12 36:11 36:15,19,24 disadvantage 39:2 52:4 31:7,8 32:4,7 13:19 37:3,12,19 38:3 39:17.22 disagree 31:7 consider 43:7 50:16,24 52:9 50:16,24 52:9 50:16,24 52:9 50:16,24 52:9 50:10 13:19 38:3 39:17.22 disagree 31:7 consider 43:7 control 23:20 33:3,37,544 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 43:01:5 48:9 disagreeing 36:6 48:9 48:9 disagreeing 36:6 48:9 48:9 disagreeing 36:6 48:9 depending disagreeing 36:6 48:9 disagreeing 36:6 48:9 disagreeing 36:6 48:9 disagreeing 36:6 depending 48:9 disagreeing 36:6 depending 48:9 disagreeing 36:6 depending 48:9 described 10:16 34:17:32:25 described 10:16 34:17:33:25 descri				_	
22:19				,	· · · -
Congress 3:19			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
16:19 22:16 39:2 52:4 31:7,8 32:4,7 20:114:2 38:3 39:17,22 20:15:25 20:16,24 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:0 17:23 23:8 33:7 54:4 20:nsiderations destinated destinatinate destinatinate destinatinate destinations destinated destinations destinated destinations destinated destinations destinated destinations destinated destinations destinated destinations destination des	· · ·		, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
39:2 52:4 31:7,8 32:4,7 32:17 34:9.22 cut 48:18 50:16,24 52:9 52:9 consideration 49:19 14:5 55:3 considerations 4:11 considered to sonsiderations 4:11 considered to sonsidered to considered to considered to considered to considered to sonsidered to considered to the considered to	O			,	
conjure 8:9 32:17 34:9,22 cut 14:2 38:3 39:17,22 disagree 31:7 38:16 46:2 disagree 31:7					
consequences 48:14 consider 43:7 consideration 4:9,19 14:5 15:20 17:23 23:8 33:7 54:4 considerations 4:11 considered 43:7 43:9 consistently 5:24 constantly 30:16 construction construction 41:4 45:2 construction 64:14 45:2 construction 16:15 construction 16:15 construction 16:15 contend 16:10 16:14 contend 16:10 16:14 45:10 contend 16:10 16:14 54:10 contend 15:0 16:14 54:10 contend 16:10 16:14 82:19 decisions 20:21 16termine 13:5 40termine 13:5 40		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,	
48:14 50:16,24 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:9 52:0 17:23 23:8 33:7 54:4 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:24 49:25 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:24 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:23 49:25 54:24 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:24 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 49:25 54:33 4	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			O
consider 43:7 consideration 52:9 contradiction D 3:1 Department's law depended 5:6 depending discreet 48:18 48:9 disavowed 4:9,19 14:5 15:20 17:23 23:8 33:7 54:4 considerations 4:11 considered 43:7 43:9 considered 43:7 43:9 consistently 52:4 consistently 52:4 constantly 30:16 construction 16:15 constructed 41:4 45:2 constructed 41:4 45:2 constructed construction 16:15 contains 12:21 contend 16:10 16:14 54:10 contend 16:10 16:14 54:10 context 33:25 context 19:7 47:12 continuous 32:8 contract 3:25 context 19:7 47:12 continuous 32:8 contract 3:25 context 19:7 47:12 continuous 32:8 contract 3:25 context 19:7 47:12 continuous 32:8 context 19:7 49:10 52:5 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 52:10 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 52:10 54:21 defined 11:3 3:15,33:17 49:10 52:5 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 54:7 contracted Department's 11:4 depended 5:6 depending 3:2:20 discretion 4:5:9 depended 3:6 depending 32:20 depleted 12:18 discretion 4:5.9 deposit 49:20 Deputy 1:19 dealer 33:7,10 decided 11:8 21:9 decided 11:8 21:9 decided 11:8 21:9 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 14:11 3:11:13 21:18,22 disputes 14:24 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decision 6:7 14:8 21:9 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 40:5 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 deference 21:8 defined 11:3 defined 11:3 defined 11:3 defined 41:3 defined 11:3 defined 41:3 defined 41:3 defined 4		' '	cuts 8:18	· ·	
Consideration		*		- '	0 0
4:9,19 14:5 15:20 17:23 23:8 33:7 54:4 considerations 4:11 considered 43:7 43:9 consistently constantly 30:16 55:5 constantly 30:16 constructed 41:4 45:2 construction 16:15 construction 16:15 consume 41:25 consume 41:25 contend 16:10 contend 16:10 contend 16:10 context 33:25 contend 16:10 context 33:25 context 19:7 47:12 contend 16:10 sili, 23 11:14 context 33:25 context 19:7 47:12 contend 16:10 context 33:25 context 19:7 47:12 contend 16:10 sili, 23 11:15 context 19:7 47:12 contend 16:10 sili, 23 11:15 context 19:7 47:12 context 33:25 context 19:7 47:12 context 33:25 15:16 17:14 47:12 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 16:14 26:3 54:19 21:13 55:45 26ecide 11:8 21:9 21:15 55:45 26etermination 16:11 39:21 24:11 6:2 40:5 40:edered 12:18 4epeosit 49:20 4ecide 12:18 4epeosit 49:20 4ecide 11:18 21:9 21:1 55:45 4etermination 16:11 39:21 40:5 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40 41:42 21:11 6:2 40:5 40:40				_	
15:20 17:23 23:8 33:7 54:4 49:25 54:23 day 30:15 days 32:20,22 depleted 12:18 deposit 49:20 Deputy 1:19 dealership 54:6 dealing 20:12 26:3 54:19 dealership 54:6 dealing 20:12 33:22 described 10:16 dealing 20:12 33:22 described 10:16 dealing 20:12 33:11,32 1:13,22 described 10:16 dealing 20:12 33:11,32 1:13,22 described 10:16 dealing 20:12 33:13,22 decided 11:8 21:9 33:22 4:11 6:2 decided 11:8 21:9 decision 6:7 55:6 decided 13:8 21:9 decision 6:7 55:6 decided 13:8 21:9 decision 6:7 55:6 decided 13:8 21:9 decidereminalion 13:5 decidereminalion 13:5 decidereminalion 13:5			= :	*	
23:8 33:7 54:4 considerations 4:11 considered 43:7 43:9 consistently 52:4 constantly 30:16 constructed 641:4 45:2 construction 16:15 consume 41:25 contains 12:21 contend 16:10 16:14 54:10 context 19:7 47:12 context 19:7 47:12 context 19:7 47:12 context 33:25 context 19:7 47:12 context 33:25 context 19:7 47:12 context 33:25 15:16 17:14 context 33:25 15:16 21:19 dealing 20:12 26:3 54:19 dealing 20:12 26:3 54:19 dealing 20:12 26:3 54:19 decided 11:17 7:13,16,17 8:2 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 discuter initial disposal 52:11 dispute 13:9 47:16 48:21 11:13 22:12 40:5 38:21 9:9 11:8 11:13,22,24 12:1 17:3,4 40:5 decided 11:8 21:9 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 11:13 21:18,22 dederermination 16:11 11:7 7:13,16,17 8:2 40:5 38:22 2:11 6:2 38:22 2:11 6:2 40:5 38:22 2:11 6:3 4:17 53:25 decided 11:8 21:9 40:5 43:9 40:17 53:25 decided 11:8 21:9 40:5 40:4etremination 16:11 39:21 40:5 43:9 47:16 48:21 11:13,22,124 40:5 40:context 19:25 40:coid 11:8 21:9 40:coid 11:8 21:	,			_	· ·
considerations d:11 controlling days 32:20,22 deal 29:16 depleted 12:18 deposit 49:20 8:21 9:9 11:8 43:9 corollary 53:19 consistently correct 7:24 8:13 11:14 dealership 54:6 dealing 20:12 26:3 54:19 described 10:16 34:17 53:25 design 7:10,11 design 7:10,11 1 12:1 17:3,4 design 7:10,11 design 7:10,11 1 12:1 17:3,4 design 7:10,11 desi					
4:11 considered 43:7 43:9			•		
considered 43:7 43:9 controls 55:5 corollary 53:19 dealer 33:7,10 dealership 54:6 dealing 20:12 26:3 54:19 Deputy 1:19 described 10:16 34:17 53:25 design 7:10,11 16:11 39:21 12:1 17:3,4 described 10:16 34:17 53:25 design 7:10,11 17:13,16,17 8:2 26:3 54:19 described 10:16 34:17 53:25 design 7:10,11 17:13,16,17 8:2 23:3 decided 19:25 5:10 design 7:10,11 17:13,16,17 8:2 23:3 decided 19:25 53:10 decided 19:25 53:10 decided 19:25 53:10 decision 6:7 16:14 54:10 counter 40:14 countery 6:1 41:11 countext 19:7 47:12 3:11,23 11:15 continuous 32:8 13:12 21:15:16 17:14 contract 3:25 14:24 15:8,12 26:22,25 32:10 32:22,23 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:23 33:23 32:2 33:25 34:4,4,5 32:22,23 33:22 33:25 34:4,4,5 32:25 34:14 49:10 52:5 53:57,20,23 54:7 contracted dealer 33:7,10 dealership 54:6 dealing 20:12 26:3 54:19 described 10:16 34:17 53:25 design 7:10,11 7:13,16,17 8:2 83:22 24:11 6:2 7:19 40:5 54:5 40:5 40:5 40:5 40:5 40:5 40:5				_	
43:9 corollary 53:19 correct 7:24 8:13 11:14 16:4 26:3 54:19 dealt 6:11 11:7 23:3 decided 19:25 construction 16:15 count 40:14 constants 12:21 contend 16:10 16:14 54:10 context 19:7 dealt 6:11 11:7 decided 19:25 context 19:7 decided 19:25 context 19:7 decided 19:25 context 19:7 decided 19:25 context 19:7 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decisions 20:21 decided 23:20 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decided 19:25 decisions 20:21 decided 19:25 decide		- '			' '
consistently correct 7:24 dealing 20:12 34:17 53:25 discrimination 52:4 8:13 11:14 16:4 26:3 54:19 design 7:10,11 7:13,16,17 8:2 40:5 constructed 41:4 45:2 cost 9:17 10:16 35:2 decide 11:8 21:9 8:3 28:2,6 decrmination construction 16:15 counted 40:14 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 disposal 52:11 consume 41:25 counted 16:10 38:21 39:1 53:10 3:22 4:11 6:2 47:16 48:21 context 19:7 deride 19:25 55:6 determination disposal 52:11 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 dispute 13:9 context 19:7 47:12 country 6:1 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 developed 46:21 developed 46:21 distinct 36:9 38:20.25 derem 13:17 deming 20:2 38:24 11 6:2 13:24 14:2 13:24 14:2 13:24 14:2 13:24 14:1 13:22 13:24 14:1 13:22 13:24 14:1 13:22 13:24 14:1 13:22 13:24 14:1 13:22 13:24 14:1 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>,</th>					,
52:4 constantly 30:16 constructed 8:13 11:14 16:4 cost 9:17 10:16 26:3 54:19 dealt 6:11 11:7 23:3 decide 11:8 21:9 24:11 dispute 13:9 47:16 48:21 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 15:14,21 52:5 decided 19:25 5:6 determine 13:5 40:4 decisions 20:21 15:14,21 52:5 decide 11:8 21:9 25:4 decided 19:25 5:6 determine 13:5 40:4 decisions 20:21 15:14,21 52:5 disregard 24:11 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 distinction 5:5 13:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 13:22 13:14 decide 11:8 21:9 21:15 55:6 determination 3:22 4:11 6:2 14:24 15:8,12 15:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 5:6 determine 13:5 40:4 decide 19:25 13:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 13:22 13:14 decide 19:25 13:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 13:14 decide 19:25 13:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 13:24 14:12,2 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 14:24 15:8,12 15:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 13:22,22 13:22 13:14,21 15:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 13:22,22 13:22 13:14,21 15:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 13:22,22 13:22,23 13:22 13:14,21 15:14,21 52:5 decide 19:25 13:22,22 13:22,23 13:22 13:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,21 15:14,2		· ·	_		
constantly 30:16 16:4 dealt 6:11 11:7 7:13,16,17 8:2 40:5 discuss 19:23	•		0		
constructed cost 9:17 10:16 23:3 8:3 28:2,6 discuss 19:23 construction 16:15 count 40:14 decide 11:8 21:9 21:15 55:4,5 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 disposal 52:11	- :				
41:4 45:2 35:2 decide 11:8 21:9 determination disposal 52:11 construction 16:15 count 40:14 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 disposal 52:11 disposal 52:11 consume 41:25 countervailing 38:21 39:1 53:10 11:13 21:18,22 dispute 13:9 47:16 48:21 contend 16:10 51:10 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 determine 13:5 determine 13:5 dispute 13:9 47:16 48:21 dispute 13:9 47:16 48:21 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 determine 13:5 determi	•			, ,	
construction counsel 27:1 21:15 55:4,5 3:22 4:11 6:2 dispute 13:9 consume 41:25 countervailing 38:21 39:1 53:10 11:13 21:18,22 dispute 13:9 contend 16:10 51:10 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 47:16 48:21 contend 16:10 51:10 14:8 21:4 decision 6:7 55:6 14:24 15:8,12 context 19:7 court 1:1,16 deem 13:17 deemed 23:20 deemed 23:20 38:20,25 47:12 3:11,23 11:15 48:5 21:6,9 deeming 54:10 defer 22:23 defer 22:23 distinction 5:5 contract 3:25 18:5 21:6,9 deference 21:8 47:8 11:20 33:24 15:18 16:2 52:4 deference 21:8 47:8 11:20 33:24 26:22,25 32:10 32:22,23 33:22 32:10 54:21 defined 11:3 47:8 doing 24:3 35:13 33:15 34:4,4,5 32:10 54:21 defined 12:3 32:10 23:18,23 delivered 30:21 32:24 21:14 32:13 22 47:8 40:12 20:13:16 40:12 20:13:16 40:12 20:13:18 40:12 20:13:16 40:12 20:13:16					
16:15 count 40:14 decided 19:25 7:9 9:3 11:1,4 47:16 48:21 47:16 48:21 contains 12:21 38:21 39:1 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 determine 13:5 15:14,21 52:5 developed 46:21 dispenden 13:5 47:12 40:4 decisions 20:21 decisions 20:21 55:6 40:4 decisions 20:21					_
consume 41:25 contains 12:21 contend 16:10 countervailing 38:21 39:1 53:10 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 55:6 11:13 21:18,22 determine 13:5 determine 13:5 disputes 14:24 15:8,12 15:14,21 52:5 disregard 24:11 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 distinction 5:5 context 19:7 context 19:7 47:12 continuous 32:8 contract 3:25 14:24 15:8,12 15:16 17:14 26:22,25 13:22 15:18 16:2 26:22,25 32:10 15:18 16:2 26:22,25 32:10 32:22,23 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:22 33:23 33:25 34:4,4,5 34:15,23 47:7 49:10 52:5 53:5,7,20,23 54:7 contracted countervailing decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 55:6 determine 13:5 40:4 12:24 15:8,12 15:14,21 52:5 disregard 24:11 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 distinction 5:5 12:22,25 13:22 difference 4:25 12:22,25 13:22 difference 4:25 10:3 29:2,10 47:8 defined 11:3 47:8 defined 11:3 47:8 defined 11:3 47:8 defined 11:3 49:10 52:5 52:10 54:21 definition 45:2 5					_
contains 12:21 38:21 39:1 decision 6:7 14:8 21:4 determine 13:5 14:24 15:8,12 15:14,21 52:5 determine 13:5 distregard 24:11 distregard 2				,	
contend 16:10 51:10 14:8 21:4 decisions 20:21 40:4 disregard 24:11 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 distinction 5:5		\sim		,	_
context 10:10 country 6:1 decisions 20:21 40:4 disregard 24:11 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 context 19:7 deem 13:17 deem 13:17 deemed 23:20 deemed 23:20 12:22,25 13:22 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 47:8 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 47:					,
contest 33:25 41:11 52:5 deem 13:17 deem 12:21 distinct 36:9 38:20,25 47:12 3:11,23 11:15 15:16 17:14 12:22,25 13:22 38:20,25 distinction 5:5 contract 3:25 18:5 21:6,9 deeming 54:10 defer 22:23 difference 4:25 35:6 39:2 14:24 15:8,12 27:7 44:8,21 52:4 deference 21:8 21:21 26:10,14 47:8 11:20 33:24 26:22,25 32:10 32:22,23 33:22 23:4 defined 11:3 defined 11:3 defines 41:3 defines 41:3 definition 45:2 deliver 32:19,23 25:24 29:22,24 dollars 18:17 49:10 52:5 covers 38:22 39:1 50:17 53:7 25:24 29:22,24 domestic 15:25 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 create 53:25 delivered 30:21 39:11 42:3 29:2 45:23 54:7 created 20:7 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7					•
context 19:7 court 1:1,16 deem 13:17 diamond 12:21 38:20,25 47:12 3:11,23 11:15 54:9 12:22,25 13:22 13:24 14:1,2 14:17 33:4 contract 3:25 18:5 21:6,9 deeming 54:10 deference 4:25 13:24 14:1,2 35:6 39:2 14:24 15:8,12 52:4 deference 21:8 10:3 29:2,10 distinction 5:5 15:18 16:2 52:4 deference 21:8 11:20 33:24 26:22,25 32:10 courts 26:18 21:21 26:10,14 47:8 11:20 33:24 33:25 34:4,4,5 12:25 34:1 defined 11:3 9:22 13:16 doing 24:3 35:13 34:15,23 47:7 52:10 54:21 definition 45:2 20:10 23:18,23 dollar 49:6 49:10 52:5 covers 38:22 50:17 53:7 34:21 36:4 22:24 29:22,24 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 50:17 53:7 39:11 42:3 29:2 45:23 54:7 create 53:25 create 53:25 delivered 30:21 39:11 42:3 29:2 45:23 50:17 53:7 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7		· ·			U
47:12 3:11,23 11:15 deemed 23:20 12:22,25 13:22 distinction 5:5 contract 3:25 18:5 21:6,9 deeming 54:10 defer 22:23 difference 4:25 35:6 39:2 14:24 15:8,12 52:4 deference 21:8 10:3 29:2,10 distinguish 15:18 16:2 52:4 deference 21:8 21:21 26:10,14 defined 11:3 defined 11:3 defines 41:3 defines 41:3 definition 45:2 definition 45:2 definition 45:2 deliver 32:19,23 25:24 29:22,24 dollar 49:6 dollar 18:17 49:10 52:5 covers 38:22 39:1 50:17 53:7 34:21 36:4 24:20 25:14 54:9 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 35:6 39:2 distinction 5:5 14:17 33:4 35:6 39:2 distinguish 11:20 33:24 doing 24:3 35:13 doing 24:3 35:13 dollar 49:6 dollar 49:6 dollar 49:6 35:24 20:10 23:18,23 25:24 29:22,24 30:17 53:7 34:21 36:4 24:20 25:14 20:10 23:18,23 25:24 29:22,24 30:11 42:3 29:2 45:23 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7				_	
continuous 32:8 15:16 17:14 54:9 13:24 14:1,2 14:17 33:4 contract 3:25 18:5 21:6,9 27:7 44:8,21 deeming 54:10 defer 22:23 difference 4:25 35:6 39:2 15:18 16:2 27:7 44:8,21 52:4 deference 21:8 47:8 11:20 33:24 26:22,25 32:10 23:22,23 33:22 33:25 34:4,4,5 21:21 26:10,14 defined 11:3 defined 11:3 defines 41:3 defines 41:3 definition 45:2 deliver 32:19,23 25:24 29:22,24 dollar 49:6 dollar 49:6 49:10 52:5 39:1 50:17 53:7 34:21 36:4 29:2 45:23 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 50:17 53:7 39:11 42:3 29:2 45:23 54:9 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7		· ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
contract 3:25 18:5 21:6,9 deeming 54:10 difference 4:25 35:6 39:2 14:24 15:8,12 52:4 27:7 44:8,21 52:4 10:3 29:2,10 distinguish 15:18 16:2 26:22,25 32:10 26:22,25 32:10 27:21 26:10,14 difference 4:25 dog 44:4 32:22,23 33:22 23:24 21:21 26:10,14 defined 11:3 9:22 13:16 doing 24:3 35:13 34:15,23 47:7 52:10 54:21 35:6 39:2 dog 44:4 dog 44:4 40ifference 4:25 47:8 11:20 33:24 dog 44:4 40ifference 4:25		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	
14:24 15:8,12 27:7 44:8,21 defer 22:23 defer 22:23 distinguish 15:18 16:2 52:4 21:21 26:10,14 47:8 11:20 33:24 26:22,25 32:10 23:22,23 33:22 23:22,23 33:22 23:21 26:10,14 23:21 26:10,14 23:21 26:10,14 23:22 13:16 23:22 13:16 23:24 35:13 33:25 34:4,4,5 12:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:1 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13 32:25 34:23 35:13					
15:18 16:2 52:4 deference 21:8 47:8 11:20 33:24 26:22,25 32:10 courts 26:18 21:21 26:10,14 different 8:25 dog 44:4 32:22,23 33:22 defined 11:3 9:22 13:16 doing 24:3 35:13 33:25 34:4,4,5 12:25 34:1 defines 41:3 14:2,11 17:18 dollar 49:6 34:15,23 47:7 52:10 54:21 definition 45:2 20:10 23:18,23 dollars 18:17 49:10 52:5 covers 38:22 39:1 25:24 29:22,24 domestic 15:25 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 50:17 53:7 34:21 36:4 24:20 25:14 54:7 create 53:25 delivered 30:21 39:11 42:3 29:2 45:23 51:7 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7		,	O		
26:22,25 32:10 32:22,23 33:22 33:25 34:4,4,5 34:15,23 47:7 49:10 52:5 53:5,7,20,23 54:7 contracted 21:21 26:10,14 defined 11:3 defines 41:3 definition 45:2 deliver 32:19,23 50:17 53:7 delivered 30:21 39:11 42:3 31:19 33:20 21:21 26:10,14 defined 11:3 defines 41:3 definition 45:2 50:17 53:7 delivered 30:21 39:11 42:3 39:11 42:3 40ing 24:3 35:13 dollar 49:6 dollars 18:17 domestic 15:25 24:20 25:14 29:2 45:23 51:7		,		· ·	C
32:22,23 33:22 covered 3:14 defined 11:3 9:22 13:16 doing 24:3 35:13 33:25 34:4,4,5 12:25 34:1 52:10 54:21 defines 41:3 14:2,11 17:18 dollar 49:6 49:10 52:5 covers 38:22 39:1 covers 32:19,23 25:24 29:22,24 domestic 15:25 53:5,7,20,23 39:1 50:17 53:7 34:21 36:4 24:20 25:14 54:7 create 53:25 delivered 30:21 39:11 42:3 29:2 45:23 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7					
33:25 34:4,4,5 34:15,23 47:7 49:10 52:5 53:5,7,20,23 54:7 contracted 12:25 34:1 12:25 34:1 52:10 54:21 defines 41:3 definition 45:2 deliver 32:19,23 50:17 53:7 delivered 30:21 31:19 33:20 31:19 33:20 41:2,11 17:18 31:12,11 17:18 31:12,11 17:18 31:12,11 17:18 31:13 30:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,23 40:10 23:18,	· ·				_
34:15,23 47:7 49:10 52:5 53:5,7,20,23 54:7 contracted 52:10 54:21 definition 45:2 deliver 32:19,23 50:17 53:7 deliver 32:19,23 50:17 53:7 deliver 32:19,23 39:1 create 53:25 created 20:7 definition 45:2 deliver 32:19,23 34:21 36:4 39:11 42:3 39:12 45:23 47:9 50:18 51:7	,				
49:10 52:5 53:5,7,20,23 54:7 contracted deliver 32:19,23 50:17 53:7 delivered 30:21 39:11 42:3 39:14 42:3 39:14 42:3 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 deliver 32:19,23 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 50:17 52:10 25:10 25:10,25 40 deliver 32:19,23 34:21 36:4 29:2 45:23 51:7	, ,			,	
53:5,7,20,23 39:1 50:17 53:7 34:21 36:4 24:20 25:14 create 53:25 contracted created 20:7 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,	
54:7 create 53:25 delivered 30:21 39:11 42:3 29:2 45:23 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7			· ·		
contracted created 20:7 31:19 33:20 47:9 50:18 51:7					
17.5 50.10 51.7					
55:17 critical 24:18 delivers 25.0 differently dominantly 14:9					
	55:17	Criucai 24:18	uchvers 23.0	anterently	aominantiy 14:9
		<u> </u>	l	l	<u> </u>

	 	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
doubt 36:15	energy 33:23	essential 28:17	extensive 3:21	47:3,3 48:18
downstream	enforcing 18:7	essentially 18:2	extent 25:2	50:8,17 53:12
29:14 38:5	engage 36:8	19:24 20:13	40:16 41:9	feedstock 4:1,6
44:6 45:12	50:8	23:15 44:9	extreme 9:7	8:9,15,16,18
drives 4:24	engaged 50:19	54:17		11:9 12:3,16
due 48:10	enormously	establishes 39:9	F	13:19 23:25
dumping 40:24	22:3	39:13	facility 22:9	30:20,22 54:13
40:25 45:24	enrich 33:17	ET 1:6,8,11	fact 5:11 7:16	54:15
duties 51:10	enriched 3:13	Eurodif 1:6,11	8:1 11:6 13:20	feedstocks 4:12
duty 3:15,20	3:22 4:3,8,13	3:4,5	15:18 22:4	fewer 12:4 53:12
38:21 39:1	12:2,10,17	Europe 40:9,10	24:6 29:10	fiction 24:12
D.C 1:13,20,22	13:18 33:20	European 36:25	33:16 34:13,19	fictions 26:25
	34:2,4,24	37:11	40:8 47:8,17	figure 22:5
E	38:18 53:8	event 15:10	factor 24:18	file 53:15
E 2:1 3:1,1	enricher 4:8,10	eventual 28:9	facts 8:22 9:7	final 4:4 43:14
earlier 21:3,21	8:12,15 9:14	everybody	factual 22:11	53:13
early 14:20	11:7 12:1,3	13:19 24:5	fails 41:20	finally 51:20
easier 11:6	13:17 20:17	evidence 22:1	fair 41:8	54:16 55:2
economic 4:11	29:21,24 31:9	exact 5:11	fall 21:13,18	financial 8:17
10:13 16:3	34:5,7,14,15	exactly 6:11 7:7	falseness 54:12	find 18:18 19:15
30:11 50:23	34:18,23 35:2	7:20 8:22	farmer 4:22 8:7	19:18,19 45:5
economically	35:18 47:2	10:13 20:11	8:11 30:8	finished 5:25
30:6	48:5 53:1,9,10	25:15 31:3	farmers 5:12	7:12 39:19
EDP 34:4	enrichers 8:9	example 9:8	49:21	42:10
effect 25:14 35:9	30:14 33:2	25:25 27:25	farmer's 30:2,5	finishing 10:1
35:23	50:22	33:5 36:21	Farr 1:22 2:5	first 19:10 26:1
effectively 45:7	enricher's 53:6	37:18 40:8	17:9,10,13	29:7 32:18
efficacious	enriching 47:4	51:9	19:5,9,16,20	41:5 44:20
16:25	enrichment 10:7	exchange 4:19	19:23 21:20,23	47:15 54:19
efficiently 33:17	12:15 13:9	16:18	22:2 23:1,12	five 49:6 50:12
efforts 26:20	15:19 48:19	exclusively 35:5	25:1,8,18,24	50:14
eggs 37:25	entering 15:23	51:18	26:11,15 27:2	flanges 29:11
either 11:1 12:3	entire 30:18	exercising 54:23	42:21	Florida 14:9,12
18:13 21:14,18	46:3	exist 41:21	feasibly 29:24	14:22 15:17
28:17 36:2	entities 36:4	53:22	Federal 3:15,19	19:1 21:3,4
43:12 45:23	entitled 50:14	expected 22:16	14:8,9,13,20	flour 11:22,23
53:20	55:6	expended 33:23	15:1,2,7,9,17	11:25
electric 30:24	entity 15:10	expensive 32:4	17:25 21:13	fluctuating 13:5
elevator 29:25	24:20,21	50:7	45:6 52:15	fluctuation 35:9
30:2,3 47:2	entrusted 3:19	explained 5:4	feed 4:1 29:18	35:11,23
49:21	equally 10:6	28:11 39:18	29:19,25 30:3	fluctuations
emphasis 15:18	46:20 54:14	explains 45:1	30:5,15 32:19	35:3 50:20
emphasize	equipment 7:13	explanation	32:24 33:3,15	focus 20:4 51:22
25:18	equivalent 5:7	28:8	33:17,19,25	following 43:21
enacted 40:22	especially 53:21	explicitly 41:12	34:6,11,20,25	foreign 18:17
ended 31:17	ESQ 1:19,22,24	export 28:13	35:2,16,17	24:21 29:3
ends 48:5	2:3,5,7,10	41:3,4 45:2,2	36:7,8 45:18	41:11
	, - , . , - 🗸	,		
	-	-	•	•

Clisburg 14:7 Clisburg 14:	forget 34:16	Cinchurg 14.7	5:23 8:6,8	49:18	imported 20.14
15:16 16:2 give 7:21 10:9 10:10 37:18 49:21,22 formed 53:23 38:1 53:17 gives 29:3 36:14 formerly 48:16 48:24 20:6 33:16 43:20 44:7 20:6 33:16 47:2 48:4 goos 22:6 34:6 47:10 10:18,23 13:6 47:2 48:4 23:7 24:11.3 34:21 35:12,15 forequently 7:10 10:18,23 13:6 32:12,17 33:21 frequently 7:10 frequently 7:10 funds 8:19 fundibility 25:12 29:9 funds 8:19 fungible 83,23 10:20 11:7 24:9 25:4 29:1 29:12,18 32:15 36:20 46:15 funds 8:19 fungiblity 25:25 funds 8:19 fungible 83,32 10:20 11:7 24:9 25:4 29:1 29:12,18 32:15 51:12 gotten 8:4 50:20 11:7 22:9 51:13 51:23 52:4, generally 16:22 gauge 45:14 general 1:19 gaseous 29:20 gauge 45:14 general 1:19 gaseous 29:20 gauge 45:14 general 1:19 getting 13:15.16 24:3 0.8 45:19 g	0	Ginsburg 14:7	,		imported 28:14
54:10			,	_	
Formality 28:25 formed 53:23 formed 53:23 former 29:5 former 29:5 former 29:5 former 29:5 former 29:5 forms 17:2 forth 10:19 forth 10:19 found 18:24 48:3.13 43:15 48:24 found 18:24 45:3,13 49:13 found 18:24 45:3,13 49:13 found 18:24 45:3,13 49:13 found 18:24 45:3,13 49:13 found 18:24 47:2 48:4 four 50:13 fore 4:10 19:4 frequently 7:10 free 4:10 19:4 frequently 7:10 fuel 35:7 41:25 fundamental 3:24 10:20 funds 8:19 fungibility 29:12,18 32:15 36:20 46:15 further 20:8 51:4 29:9 51:13 33:23 31:23 gaseous 29:20 gauge 45:14 general 1:19 24:9 28:25 size 24:3 size 23:25 size 23:25 size 24:3 size 23:25 size 24:3 size 23:25 size 24:3 size 23:25 size 23		C			_
Signature Sign			,		_
former 29:5 formerly 48:16 gives 29:3 36:14 48:5,5 ground 30:9 gusts 12:23 27:24 31:6 Honor 21:23 27:24 31:6 15:5 incident 6:11 incident 6:12 incident 6:14 inciden	•	0	0		_
Second Part			<u> </u>		
48:24 glittering 12:25 go 11:13 33:11 6rorth 10:19 found 18:24 20:6 33:16 6romdry 7:12,12 20:6 33:16 6roms 50:13 47:2 48:4 6rore 50:13 47:2 48:4 6rore 4:10 19:4 26:6,19,22 6rea 4:10 19:4 27:7 24:11,13 6requently 7:10 free 4:10 19:4 43:23 43:24 6roud 88:19 fundamental and size 1 10:20 11:7 6rungibliky 2:12 29:9 fungible 8:3,23 10:20 11:7 6rungible 8:3,23 10:20 11:7 24:9 25:4 29:1 29:12,18 32:15 6rungible 8:3,23 31:23 6rung		0	0		
The forth 10:19	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		
forth 10:19 found 18:24 34:18 35:15 dasided 18:24 H 41:1 44:19 dasided 46:25 49:4 indisputably 14:6 indisputably 14:1 indisputably 14:1<	= :	0	guy 43:20		
The found 18:24 20:6 33:16 45:3,13 49:13 50:10,19 20:7 27:3,4,6,23 47:2 48:4 29:7 30:10,13 30:25 31:6,22 47:10 10:18,23 13:6 13:23 43:3 49:14 30:25 31:6,22 47:2 48:4 29:7 30:10,13 37:14 40:19 30:25 31:6,22 47:10 10:18,23 13:6 10:18,23 13:6 10:18,23 13:6 23:7 24:11,13 34:21 35:12,15 36:24 10:20 10:18 33:24 33:24 10:20 10:17 24:9 25:14 29:12,18 32:15 36:20 46:15 45:17 51:2,21 29:9 51:13 36:20 46:15 52:8,11 52:18,24 50:16 14:6 indistinguisha 24:23 14:16 13:2 36:3,13 37:2,9 36:3,13 37:2,9 36:3,13 37:2,9 37:13,18 38:10 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 17:22,24 18:20 18:22 18:32 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18:30 18		0			
20:6 33:16					
foundry 7:12,12 7:14 8:4 four 50:13 four 50:13 9goes 22:6 34:6 47:2 48:4 going 5:17 10:5 10:18,23 313:6 247:10 Halligan 1:24 2:7 27:3,4,6,23 29:7 30:10,13 30:25 31:6,22 10:18,23 13:6 32:12,17 33:21 32:23 6:14 st. phypothetical sindividuals 5:23 8:7 11:21 37:14 st. phypotheticals 37:9 48:12 hypotheticals 12:20 sindividuals 11:22 industries 27:13 industries 2					
7:14 8:4 four 50:13 France 8:25 47:10 free 4:10 19:4 free 4:10 19:4 frequently 7:10 fuel 35:7 41:25 fundamental 3:24 10:20 funds 8:19 fungibility 25:12 29:9 10:20 11: 24:9 generally 16:22 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6.8 45:19 goos 22:6 34:6 47:2 48:4 going 5:17 10:5 30:25 31:6,22 29:7 30:10,13 30:25 31:6,22 30:25 31:6,22 30:21,7 33:21 30:25 31:2,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 34:21 35:12,15 36:30 46:15 further 20:8 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:23 31:24 general 1:19 24:9 generally 16:22 generally 16:22 generally 16:22 generally 16:22 generally 16:22 generally 15:15 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 grain 4:21,21,23 grain 4		,			
four 50:13 47:2 48:4 going 5:17 10:5 29:7 30:10,13 going 5:17 10:5 hypothetical 3:22 36:14 going 5:17 10:5 individuals 40:19 industries 27:13 going 5:17 10:5 free 4:10 19:4 free 4:10 19:4 free 4:10 19:4 free detail of 24:10 good 6:17 8:10 funds 8:19 funds 10:20 11:7 good 6:17 8:10 good 6:17 8	•		0		
France 8:25 47:10 free 4:10 19:4 free 4:10 19:12 free 4:10 19:12 free 4:12 5:17 53:11 initially 51:23 input 8:4 input 9:12 instance 18:19 instructs 39:22 free in 4:12 instance 18:19 instructs 39:22 free in 4:12 intially 5:15 inter 10:20 free 10:20 free 10:20 free 2:10 free 2:10 fr		0	, , ,		
47:10 free 4:10 19:4 free 4:10 19:4 frequently 7:10 fuel 35:7 41:25 fuel 35:7 41:25 fundamental 3:24 10:20 funds 8:19 fungibility 25:12 29:9 19:4 23:6,8,15 10:20 11:7 24:9 25:4 29:1 29:12,18 32:15 36:20 46:15 further 20:8 51:4 G G 3:1 gaseous 29:20 gauge 45:14 generally 16:22 generall			,	~ ~	
free 4:10 19:4 23:7 24:11,13 34:21 35:12,15 37:9 48:12 industry 27:12 frequently 7:10 fuel 35:7 41:25 42:23,25 43:3 36:3,13 37:2,9 37:13,18 38:10 38:13,16 39:7 38:13,16 39:7 39:15 40:16,20 12:20 1mitially 51:23 industry 27:12 51:7 53:11 initially 51:23 initially 51:23 inputs 55:1 inputs 55:1 inputs 55:1 inputs 55:1 inputs 55:1 installation 29:21 installation 29:21 installation 29:21 instructs 39:22 ins		0 0	,		
frequently 7:10 fuel 35:7 41:25 fundamental 3:24 10:20 funds 8:19 26:6,19,22 de:23,25 43:3 das:3 das:3,13 37:2,9 dunds 8:19 36:3,13 37:2,9 dunds 8:10 as:13,16 39:7 dunds 8:19 fungibility 17:22,24 18:20 desting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 42:23,25 43:3 das:3 das:3,16 39:7 dunds 8:19 demeral 1:19 generally 16:22 funds 8:19 demeral 1:19 generally 16:22 funds 8:29:19,23 das:3 das:3 das:4			,		
fuel 35:7 41:25 42:23,25 43:3 37:13,18 38:10 38:13,16 39:7 38:13,16 39:7 39:15 40:16,20 Initially 51:23 imput 8:4		,			•
fundamental 43:5 49:14 38:13,16 39:7 39:15 40:16,20 I imnocent 20:23 innocent 20:23 installation 20:23 installation 20:21 installation 20:21 installation 20:21 installation 20:21 installation 20:21 installation 20:21 installation 20:22 installation 20:23		, ,	, ,	hypotheticals	
3:24 10:20	fuel 35:7 41:25	42:23,25 43:3	,	12:20	initially 51:23
stunds 8:19 good 6:17 8:10 41:1,18,23 idea 24:1 inputs 55:1 input s4:2 input s4:2	fundamental	43:5 49:14	,		innocent 20:23
fungibility	3:24 10:20	53:24	,		input 8:4
25:12 29:9 fungible 8:3,23 10:20 11:7 24:9 25:4 29:1 29:12,18 32:15 36:20 46:15 further 20:8 51:4 G G G 3:1 gaseous 29:19,23 31:23 gaseous 29:20 gauge 45:14 general 1:19 24:9 generally 16:22 53:25 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 19:4 23:6,8,15 23:20 28:14 45:21 46:1,25 47:15,22 48:2 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 49:10 46:19 15:4 instructs 39:22 41:12 instrumentality 15:4 insurance 16:12 integrity 51:17 intellectual 7:16 8:2 intellectOual 51:14 intended 16:20 40:21 intent 32:8 internet 32:8 internet 20:23 interest 14:25 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:14 interpret 22:14 interpret 22:14 interpret 22:14 interpret 22:	funds 8:19	good 6:17 8:10			inputs 55:1
fungible 8:3,23 23:20 28:14 45:21 46:1,25 identically 5:15 instance 18:19 10:20 11:7 24:9 25:4 29:1 23:20 28:14 47:15,22 48:2 48:8,13,17,22 48:8,13,17,22 41:12 41:12 41:12 instructs 39:22 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12 41:12<	fungibility	17:22,24 18:20			installation
10:20 11:7	25:12 29:9	19:4 23:6,8,15		· ·	29:21
24:9 25:4 29:1 29:12,18 32:15 36:20 46:15 further 20:8 51:4 G G G 3:1 gas 29:19,23 31:23 gaseous 29:20 gauge 45:14 general 1:19 24:9 24:3,6,8 45:19 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 9:10,24 11:7 48:8,13,17,22 48:25 49:3,18 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:3,5,15 50:14 51:4 6:19 6:10 6:10 6:10 6:10 6:10 6:10 6:10 6:10	fungible 8:3,23	23:20 28:14	45:21 46:1,25	identically 5:15	instance 18:19
24:9 25:4 29:1 9:10,24 11:7 48:8,13,17,22 identify 47:7 41:12 29:12,18 32:15 14:16 18:3 50:3,5,15 46:19 15:4 51:4 52:8,11 53:2 52:18,24 54:3 images 8:9 insurance 16:12 51:4 52:8,11 53:2 54:1,21 potten 8:4 9:15 22:16 42:6 8:2 63:1 government 14:17 15:1,3,3 harvest 30:8 52:3 implemented 8:2 31:23 33:5 45:6,11 hear 3:3 8:7 hedge 35:11 import 51:12,12 intended 16:20 gaseous 29:20 45:17 51:2,21 51:23 52:4,8 herder 36:16 21:12 23:22 40:21 generall 1:19 24:9 governments 15:5 Hey 44:12 42:4 50:6 interest 14:25 9enerally 16:22 53:25 governs 15:13 higher 35:24 51:22 interpret 22:13 14:13,14 45:7 governs 15:13 hindsight 14:19 29:15 30:14 22:24,25 23:2 14:25 importation 22:24,25 23:2 inventory 13:25		goods 7:15 9:1,4	47:15,22 48:2	identified 8:24	instructs 39:22
36:20 46:15 19:13 27:11 50:3,5,15 46:19 15:4 51:4 29:9 51:13 52:18,24 54:3 images 8:9 integrity 51:17 51:4 52:8,11 53:2 54:1,21 happen 30:13 9:15 22:16 8:2 G 3:1 government 14:17 15:1,3,3 harvest 30:8 42:6 8:2 jaseous 29:20 45:17 51:2,21 hear 3:3 8:7 hedge 35:11 import 51:12,12 intended 16:20 gauge 45:14 general 1:19 46:19 images 8:9 integrity 51:17 intellectual 7:16 8:2 harvest 30:8 harvest 30:8 hear 3:3 8:7 hedge 35:11 import 51:12,12 henced 16:20 general 1:19 24:9 government herder 36:16 21:12 23:22 herder 36:16 42:4 50:6 intentions 20:23 interest 14:25 interest 14:25 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpretation 22:24,25 23:2 inventory 13:25	24:9 25:4 29:1	0	48:8,13,17,22	identify 47:7	41:12
36:20 46:15 19:13 27:11 50:3,5,15 46:19 15:4 51:4 29:9 51:13 52:18,24 54:3 images 8:9 insurance 16:12 51:4 52:8,11 53:2 54:1,21 hand 25:11 9:15 22:16 15:4 G 3:1 gotten 8:4 happen 30:13 42:6 8:2 gas 29:19,23 31:23 33:5 45:6,11 harvest 30:8 52:3 51:14 gaseous 29:20 45:17 51:2,21 hedge 35:11 implies 15:13 intended 16:20 gauge 45:14 governmental 15:5 hedge 35:11 import 51:12,12 40:21 generall 1:19 24:9 government's herder 36:16 21:12 23:22 intentions 20:23 53:25 14:13,14 45:7 40:9 importantly interpret 22:13 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 hindsight 14:19 29:15 30:14 22:24,25 23:2 hintorical 20:25 hintorical 20:25 inventory 13:25	29:12,18 32:15	14:16 18:3	48:25 49:3,18	identifying	instrumentality
further 20:8 29:9 51:13 52:18,24 54:3 images 8:9 insurance 16:12 51:4 52:8,11 53:2 hand 25:11 p:15 22:16 integrity 51:17 G 3:1 gotten 8:4 happening 20:2 pare 30:13 p:15 22:16 p:15 22:16 pintegrity 51:17 31:23 government 14:17 15:1,3,3 pare 30:13 p:15 22:16 pintegrity 51:17 42:6 implemented pintellectoual pintellectoual pintellectoual 51:14 pare 3:3 8:7 pedge 35:11 pedge 35:11 pedge 35:11 pedge 35:11 pedge 35:12	,		50:3,5,15	46:19	
51:4 52:8,11 53:2 hand 25:11 imagine 4:20 integrity 51:17 G 3:1 gotten 8:4 happen 30:13 42:6 8:2 G 3:1 government 14:17 15:1,3,3 hard 13:5 42:5 implemented 8:2 gaseous 29:20 33:5 45:6,11 hear 3:3 8:7 implemented 51:14 gauge 45:14 45:17 51:2,21 hedge 35:11 import 51:12,12 40:21 general 1:19 24:9 governmental 15:5 herder 36:16 21:12 23:22 intentions 20:23 33:25 14:13,14 45:7 hindsight 14:19 51:22 interpret 22:13 40:9 hindsight 14:19 29:15 30:14 22:24,25 23:2 interpretation 22:24,25 23:2 interpretation 22:24,25 23:2			52:18,24 54:3	images 8:9	insurance 16:12
G 54:1,21 gotten 8:4 government happen 30:13 happening 20:2 hard 13:5 42:5 hard 13:5			hand 25:11	imagine 4:20	
G gotten 8:4 happening 20:2 42:6 8:2 G 3:1 government hard 13:5 42:5 implemented 52:3 51:14 gas 29:19,23 31:23 33:5 45:6,11 hear 3:3 8:7 hear 3:3 8:7 implemented 51:14 gaseous 29:20 45:17 51:2,21 hedge 35:11 hedge 35:11 import 51:12,12 40:21 general 1:19 governmental 15:5 Hey 44:12 42:4 50:6 interest 14:25 generally 16:22 government's 14:13,14 45:7 higher 35:24 51:22 interpret 22:13 getting 13:15,16 governs 15:13 hindsight 14:19 29:15 30:14 interpretation 24:3,6,8 45:19 grain 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 importation inventory 13:25		,	happen 30:13	9:15 22:16	0 0
G 3:1 gas 29:19,23 government 14:17 15:1,3,3 3 hard 13:5 42:5 harvest 30:8 harvest 30:8 hear 3:3 8:7 hear 3:3 8:7 hedge 35:11 helpful 53:3 herder 36:16 generall 1:19 24:9 generally 16:22 53:25 getting 13:15,16 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 hard 13:5 42:5 harvest 30:8 harvest 30:8 hear 3:3 8:7 hedge 35:11 helpful 53:3 herder 36:16 Hey 44:12 higher 35:24 40:9 higher 35:24 40:9 higher 35:24 government's parin 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 higher 35:25 grain 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 implemented 52:3 intended 16:20 40:21 intended 16:20 40:21 intent 32:8 intentions 20:23 interest 14:25 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpretation 22:24,25 23:2 inventory 13:25	G	,	happening 20:2	42:6	
gas 29:19,23 14:17 15:1,3,3 harvest 30:8 52:3 51:14 gaseous 29:20 45:17 51:2,21 hear 3:3 8:7	G 3:1	_	hard 13:5 42:5	implemented	
31:23 gaseous 29:20 gauge 45:14 general 1:19 24:9 generally 16:22 53:25 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 33:5 45:6,11 45:17 51:2,21 51:23 52:4,8 governmental 15:5 government's 14:13,14 45:7 governs 15:13 grain 4:21,21,23 33:5 45:6,11 45:17 51:2,21 hedge 35:11 helpful 53:3 herder 36:16 Hey 44:12 42:4 50:6 51:22 important 9:12 42:4 50:6 51:22 importantly interded 16:20 40:21 intent 32:8 intentions 20:23 interpret 22:13 interpre	gas 29:19,23	0	harvest 30:8	_	
gaseous 29:20 45:17 51:2,21 hedge 35:11 import 51:12,12 40:21 general 1:19 governmental 15:5 Hey 44:12 42:4 50:6 interest 14:25 generally 16:22 government's 14:13,14 45:7 higher 35:24 51:22 interpret 22:13 getting 13:15,16 governs 15:13 hindsight 14:19 29:15 30:14 interpretation 24:3,6,8 45:19 grain 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 import 51:12,12 40:21 interpret 22:13 interpret 22:13 interpretation 22:24,25 23:2 importation importation 22:24,25 23:2 interpretation 23:25	_	, ,	hear 3:3 8:7	implies 15:13	
gauge 45:14 51:23 52:4,8 helpful 53:3 important 9:12 intent 32:8 general 1:19 15:5 Hey 44:12 42:4 50:6 interest 14:25 generally 16:22 government's 14:13,14 45:7 40:9 important 9:12 intent 32:8 getting 13:15,16 government's 40:9 interpret 22:13 getting 13:15,16 governs 15:13 hindsight 14:19 29:15 30:14 22:24,25 23:2 14:13,14 45:7 historical 20:25 importantly 29:15 30:14 interpretation 24:3,6,8 45:19 grain 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 important 9:12 intent 32:8	gaseous 29:20	,		-	
general 1:19 governmental herder 36:16 21:12 23:22 intentions 20:23 generally 16:22 government's higher 35:24 51:22 interpret 22:13 53:25 14:13,14 45:7 40:9 importantly interpret 22:13 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 grain 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 importation 22:24,25 23:2 inventory 13:25	0		O	_	
24:9 generally 16:22 53:25 getting 13:15,16 24:3,6,8 45:19 15:5 government's 14:13,14 45:7 governs 15:13 grain 4:21,21,23 Hey 44:12 higher 35:24 40:9 hindsight 14:19 hindsight 14:19 phindsight 14:19 historical 20:25 higher 35:24 interpret 22:13 interpr			_	_	
generally 16:22 government's higher 35:24 51:22 interpret 22:13 53:25 14:13,14 45:7 40:9 importantly interpret 22:13 getting 13:15,16 governs 15:13 hindsight 14:19 29:15 30:14 22:24,25 23:2 24:3,6,8 45:19 grain 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 importation inventory 13:25		0			
53:25 14:13,14 45:7 40:9 importantly 29:15 30:14 24:3,6,8 45:19 grain 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 importation 22:24,25 23:2 inventory 13:25					
getting 13:15,16 governs 15:13 hindsight 14:19 29:15 30:14 importation 22:24,25 23:2 inventory 13:25	•		\mathbf{c}		-
24:3,6,8 45:19 grain 4:21,21,23 historical 20:25 importation inventory 13:25				_	_
gram 1.21,21,23		O	\cup		· ·
4.24 5.12,15	, ,	0		_	•
	13.17	4.24 3.12,13	11010 52.5,10,12	30.17 71.23	Z4.10
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

investigation	34:12 35:8,13	27:16	36:11	25:21 29:18
investigation	· ·			
3:21	35:21 36:13	large 29:21	loophole 20:7	53:10
invidious 43:24	37:8,10,15	law 3:15,20 5:4	51:20	mean 4:3 7:8
involve 9:5 10:1	38:8,11,14	6:13,15 16:21 16:22 22:13	lost 12:5	17:3 22:8,10
46:8	39:4,11 40:7		lot 14:25 15:17	22:15 28:25
involved 6:6	40:17,23 41:15	25:21,25 26:2	19:21 42:24	31:14 43:3,18
8:21 9:18	41:19 42:4,19	26:5,8,9,13,24	lots 4:21,21 29:24	45:10 48:13
14:15 21:17	43:25 44:11,15	27:19 28:9		50:11
involves 4:17	44:24 45:16,22	40:15 42:15,16	low 34:2 38:18	meaning 47:12
12:16	46:5,12 47:6	47:17 51:6,10	lower 41:10	means 38:24
involving 15:2	47:19,25 48:3	51:10		39:2 45:3
isotope 35:19	48:6,11,15,19	laws 34:1 51:9	maintain 32:8	mentioned 21:3
isotopes 29:22	48:23 49:1,12	lawyer 18:15	major 42:12	merchandise
33:1	49:23 50:4,10	19:2	making 11:21	3:17 4:18 9:19
issue 14:10	52:6,17 55:7	leaves 49:25	38:4	16:9 20:3,4
39:11,15 50:6 51:22	justify 26:8	left 33:17 legal 19:7 22:7	MALCOLM	22:18,22 23:14
	K	48:13,13	1:19 2:3,10 3:7	25:10,11,16
Italian 42:15	keep 19:3	,	52:20	38:22,24 41:5
Italy 37:22 item 9:5 33:11	KENNEDY	legislation 52:3 let's 36:15 47:25	manage 35:7,9	41:13 44:10 46:2 53:20
44:7	21:25 47:25	50:11	manufacture	merge 10:21
i.e 36:1 42:14	48:3,11,15,19	LEU 24:1 41:24	33:16 54:25	milk 37:25
1.e 30:1 42:14	48:23 49:1	level 55:3	manufactured	
J	key 44:16		7:12 24:8 28:5	mill 4:21 30:4,8
J 1:24 2:7 27:4	kind 7:22 13:4	license 51:6	manufacturer	milled 4:24 5:13
judges 16:21	17:17,21 22:9	light 14:23	23:5,7,19	miller 5:6,24 8:8
judgment 22:20	33:24 46:8	15:17 19:1	24:10 37:21	8:12 11:21,21
Justice 3:3,10	54:5	21:4,5 22:14	manufacturing	millers 7:6
4:20 5:10,21	kinds 17:23	lighter 33:10 limitless 17:3	45:9	mills 30:7 37:11
6:4,13,17,21	19:12 34:22	line 22:3,5 41:11	margins 16:17	40:8,24 mine 50:14
7:3,18 8:20 9:6	52:8,13	link 41:21	17:4	minutes 52:18
9:21 10:3,17	knitted 36:18	little 33:9	market 28:16	
11:10 12:5,11	knitters 37:1	logic 6:1	33:3,12,19	misleading 53:19
12:19 13:3	knitting 36:25	look 15:15 16:1	34:6,14,19	mix 30:23
14:7 16:4,13	40:8,24	16:2 17:19,22	35:16 50:19	mixed 32:15
16:19 17:7,13	know 5:14 6:5	17:24 18:1	mask 7:11,17	modified 13:15
18:9 19:6,10	6:21 7:18,20	23:22,23 24:14	8:3	molecular 29:22
19:14,18,21	10:23 18:23	28:22 31:7,24	material 11:12	molecula 29.22 molecule 5:15
21:2,3,20,25	31:1,4,18	31:25 33:4	23:4,5,9,17,25	molecules 4:25
22:23 23:10,13	34:17 39:2	36:2 39:22	24:2,3,7,9,13	30:25 31:11,14
24:17 25:6,17	42:22 45:19,23	40:5,17 41:6	24:16,19 25:4	31:16,23 47:23
25:20 26:2,9		41:12 42:22,23	26:4,7 47:10	,
26:12 27:1,3,6	L	42:24 43:5	materials 9:13	monetary 31:18 money 29:4 49:4
27:8,14,20	L 1:19 2:3,10	47:17	10:19 17:21	49:4,9,14,15
28:24 30:7,12	3:7 52:20	looked 37:19,20	28:7,19 29:1	49:4,9,14,13
30:16 31:3,13	labor 9:18	39:17	matter 1:15	month 29:22
32:9,14 33:14	language 22:21	looking 35:21,22	23:10 24:5	morning 17:16
32.7,1133.17		100King 33.41,44	25.10 21.5	morning 17.10
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

mouth 43:4	onwards 43:19	13:8 16:15	perspective	practice 20:4
moves 23:16	open 33:3,19	22:8,19 26:3	54:16	precedent 19:24
mutually 15:24	34:14	26:21 51:24	Petitioner 1:4	19:25 20:9
	opening 5:4	particularly	1:20 2:4,11 3:8	precedents 7:4,8
N	operate 4:23	21:12	52:21	precise 23:5
N 2:1,1 3:1	50:16	parties 13:9	Petitioners 1:9	precisely 10:18
name 15:13	operation 45:9	15:14,16,21,23	1:23 2:6 17:11	11:3
narrow 17:19	operative 48:9	24:12 26:20,25	phrase 22:25	predominantly
narrowest 23:1	opposite 42:20	29:16 32:1	physical 8:10,15	35:5
nature 24:14,15	oral 1:15 2:2 3:7	34:3	16:2 29:19	preferable
necessarily	17:10 27:4	partly 54:5,5	physically 8:11	35:24
26:17 27:23	order 4:6 16:25	party 26:24	pick 20:13	premise 48:9
necessary 12:9	20:20 35:11	43:20	pieces 33:7	premium 35:10
need 13:24	45:5 50:8 51:4	party's 46:17,21	pizza 19:1	prepared 12:20
26:10,13 31:24	original 9:5	pasta 37:20,22	place 37:22 53:9	13:25
31:25 51:17	12:16 13:23	37:25,25 38:1	placed 15:17	present 11:17,18
Neither 13:3	54:15	38:5,5,6,11	plant 29:23	13:11,13
new 1:24 17:2	other's 46:17	39:4,20 42:2	31:12	presented 3:12
23:14,20 33:10	outlined 20:11	44:6 46:10	plausible 20:14	14:10
54:8	output 12:8	pay 10:8,8,11,14	please 3:11	presumably
normally 9:1	outset 4:19 14:6	26:19 33:22	17:14 27:7	37:24
North 5:12	overall 4:15,16	35:10,25 36:4	plus 4:1 14:1	presume 33:18
noted 13:8	overfeed 53:11	54:25	point 10:5 18:11	presume 33:16 pretty 6:17 42:4
notion 51:3,16	overseas 5:24,25	paying 35:24	19:2 20:22	prevent 15:23
November 1:14	31:20 32:15	46:3	21:7 46:5 48:6	previously 9:13
number 31:11	36:16	payment 14:5	49:1,23	55:4
32:20	owned 30:18	33:8	policy 19:8,11	price 9:16,20
N.Y 1:24	owner 23:21	pays 34:8 45:19	posit 24:1	16:11 28:13,13
	owner 23.21	people 7:21	position 14:13	28:13,15,20
0	30:22 31:5,15	10:22 43:17	14:14,19,22	33:21 34:24
O 2:1 3:1	32:8 46:13,23	50:12	21:9 24:25	35:3,9,11,23
objective 53:24	47:5 49:9,11			
obligation 5:7	49:13 53:22	percent 35:1	26:23 27:11 42:21 43:18	35:24 39:9,13
53:7,10	49.13 33:22	percentage 4:3 34:2		39:21,22,24
observe 26:25	P		45:8	40:1,4,9,18
obtain 41:10	P 3:1	perfectly 20:23	positional 35:19	41:2,3,4,4,10
obtained 34:14	page 2:2 19:14	37:2	positions 18:8	41:13 43:11,15
obtaining 41:9	42:22	performance	possession 8:10	45:2,3 50:20
obviously 17:17	pages 42:23	3:16	8:16 32:6	principles 17:2
42:5	pages 42:23 paid 4:10 53:16	performed 24:2	possibility 26:18	prior 19:11
occur 33:13	54:4	24:4,7	possible 17:19	20:20 32:20
odds 47:20	54:4 Pardon 26:11	performing	21:10 22:17	privatized 15:6
offshore 50:7		27:17	potentially	problem 20:11
Okay 19:5 41:15	part 7:16 8:1	performs 34:6	53:19	44:3
50:4	9:23 36:9 39:1	permissibly	power 14:9,12	proceed 50:24
old 30:7	45:8	6:20	14:22 15:17	process 10:1,7
onward 42:1	particular 4:7 11:23 12:2,9	person 6:25 9:22	18:13 19:1	12:15,15 13:9
	1 1 4 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 ()	31:19 43:16,20	21:4,4	32:5,25 35:7

	I	1	<u> </u>	1
46:17 54:24	38:19	0	6:25 18:7 21:9	14:9 51:21
processed 5:8	promulgate	quality 54:11	26:16	relies 51:3
8:24 36:17	11:2	quantity 4:2,7	reasonably	rely 26:14
37:25 46:22	properly 7:14	4:13 12:2,9	17:18 23:19	remain 16:25
processing 5:2	18:5	53:8	reasons 20:25	remaining 52:19
27:10 28:19	properties 29:19	question 3:12,16	35:4 47:1	remand 44:21
29:13 34:7	property 7:17	6:22,24,24	REBUTTAL	remember
36:10 37:13,15	8:2,3 51:14	8:21 13:14	2:9 52:20	44:11
37:17,20 38:5	52:11	14:24 15:7	receive 33:2	rendition 14:15
39:16 41:9	proportions	18:5,10,11	54:15	representing
43:21 45:3,8	4:12	19:7 20:12	received 4:9	15:4
45:13 46:7	proposition	21:1,7,8 22:11	53:16	request 40:4
51:18 52:9	54:13	23:18 27:9	receives 4:2	requires 16:9,14
processor 5:7	protect 26:24	28:25 39:7	13:21,22 54:8	28:12 30:11
28:4,11,16	51:7,16	41:1 51:21	receiving 17:24	38:7
35:10 37:23	protection 51:13	54:20	18:2	resale 20:3
43:8 44:13	provide 28:17	questions 17:15	recommend	reserve 17:5
processors	29:18 32:13,18	52:16	44:21	residue 12:18
27:16 49:24	32:18 33:1,22	quotas 51:11	reconcilable	resolution 3:15
51:4	45:18 50:16	quotas s 1.11	15:12	15:13
procure 35:16	provided 5:24	R	reexamine 20:1	resolved 16:20
36:8 50:20	7:11 9:14 28:2	R 3:1	referenced	resolving 15:21
procures 34:6	28:5 32:21	raises 21:2	37:22 52:6	respect 13:13
34:25	44:22 50:24	raw 9:13 10:19	referred 12:17	30:1 32:2
procuring 35:7	provides 3:25	11:12 14:1	27:15 28:1	45:17 48:10
produce 12:2	8:14 23:4	17:20 23:4,5,9	refers 44:10	51:20 54:2
53:12	24:20 34:24	23:16,25 24:9	reflect 40:2	Respectfully
produced 13:18	providing 8:8,8	25:4 28:6,19	refurbished	31:6
24:8 30:20	17:20,23 28:18	29:1 36:15,17	54:10	responded 19:7
producer 7:15	34:10,13	47:9	regard 25:10	Respondent
11:7 17:21	provision 3:13	reach 52:11	52:13,13	42:14
54:20	purchase 50:8	reactors 41:25	regarded 25:9	respondents
product 4:4,18	purchases 47:3	read 19:14	regarding 29:11	1:25 2:8 17:25
5:25 8:23	purely 20:24	readily 21:14	51:13 52:7	24:1 27:5
10:21 13:23	purport 11:1	23:3	regardless 14:3	43:18
14:5 24:8	purpose 15:22	reading 14:12	24:19	response 44:22
27:21 28:15,18	51:2	ready 20:13	regime 10:24	responses 44:19
28:21 29:14	purposes 20:5	real 13:20	regulation 11:2	responsibility
30:4 32:21	28:8 40:14	reality 16:3	19:12 54:17	22:13
34:4,8 38:17	43:11	realized 14:19	related 6:6 7:4,5	result 3:22
39:19,23 40:1	pursuant 32:23	really 9:6 24:13	7:23	13:10
40:6 41:2,8	34:3 35:16	36:14 46:15	relates 52:7	results 3:17 54:1
42:11,12 46:9	put 12:11,13	51:18 52:25	relative 4:12	retain 25:15
46:14,15,21	29:20 30:23	reason 15:2,11	relevant 18:11	49:9,13
47:20 50:2	43:4	34:18 35:25	54:21	return 4:2 5:7
53:13,17	p.m 55:9	39:18 50:23,23	reliance 20:18	46:5
products 37:24		reasonable 3:23	relied 7:15 8:1	returned 27:21

47:10	11:19 14:3,16	secondly 20:15	setting 26:16,19	source 8:5 22:10
reverse 45:6	16:18 18:22	45:5 47:17	sewing 9:18	sources 48:4
reviewing 14:8	20:4,8 21:22	Section 41:3	sheep 36:16	SOUTER 33:14
rewrite 51:17	22:17,21,25	see 19:21 42:24	shipment 8:23	34:12 35:8,13
rewriting 44:9	23:8,14 25:9	43:2	8:24	35:21
right 12:14 16:6	25:10,16,23	segregate 29:24	shipped 36:18	speaking 25:2
27:22 30:9	26:5,13 27:18	segregated	50:12	specific 20:15
31:3 32:11	27:19 28:8,9	31:23	shoehorn 36:11	specifically
35:12 40:20	28:10,11,22	sell 33:12,19	Show 49:14	51:22
41:17 42:8,19	29:5,6,14,14	40:11 41:8	side 18:25,25	specified 4:2
44:4 53:5	30:17 33:5	42:1,1,2,2	22:5	53:7,8
rights 7:17 8:2	36:24 37:4,6	selling 5:2 40:8	sides 20:10	spun 36:17
51:14	37:11 38:6,9	40:11	sign 44:8	squarely 9:3
risk 35:3,9,23	38:12,22 39:6	sells 40:18 42:10	significant 19:8	squares 31:8
road 45:14	39:14,19,19	semiconductor	silica 28:5	SRAMs 7:10,24
ROBERTS 3:3	41:17,17,18,22	44:23,25	simply 8:17 24:6	staff 53:12
10:17 11:10	43:7,7,8,9,10	Semiconductors	28:2 29:3	standard 22:7
12:19 13:3	43:13,14,19	27:25	30:21 34:23	start 27:8
17:7 22:23	44:6,10,17	send 5:12 9:22	35:25 39:13	starts 43:23
23:10 24:17	45:12,23 46:2	12:22 14:1,2	54:25	States 1:1,3,16
25:6 27:1,3	46:23 51:12	18:20	single 44:5	3:4,18 16:10
28:24 49:12	52:7,11 54:1,4	sends 36:16	sit 22:16	28:14,21 38:6
50:10 52:17	54:20	42:11	situation 5:11	38:12,18,23
55:7	sales 3:22 16:6	sense 12:8 23:13	6:12 11:16	39:6,10,14,20
rock 12:21,24	16:22 19:13	32:9,10	15:15 20:15	39:23 40:6,12
rod 30:20	25:22 38:4	sent 8:25 47:10	22:9 23:3,7	41:3,5,14,17
rods 30:19 32:11	51:4,18	separate 12:9	25:13 26:6	41:22,24 42:11
45:20	saying 5:10,19	54:19	situations 22:17	43:10 44:4
roughly 19:18	14:18 18:1	separately 8:24	26:18 54:22	48:20
rugs 42:2	20:23 43:22	separation separation	sketching 9:12	stationary 22:10
rule 6:25	44:4,12 48:6	12:15,16	sliver 34:25	statute 14:11
rules 16:21,22	48:15 53:15	separative 3:14	small 34:1	15:13,22 16:9
run 30:2,3,5	says 9:16 29:3,4	service 16:6	sold 3:17 16:9	16:10,24 18:7
32:24	48:20	18:2 24:2,4,7	16:14 28:14,15	18:16 20:5
Russians 52:1	SCALIA 6:13	27:17,18 28:19	28:21 34:3	28:12,23 36:12
Rya 42:2	6:17 12:5,11	29:13 34:7	39:23 40:2,6	38:7,19,20,21
	27:20 30:7,12	35:19 54:1	41:2,5,13	38:21,22 39:1
S	30:16 31:3,13	servicers 7:22	Solicitor 1:19	39:21 40:21,21
S 2:1 3:1	32:9,14 36:13	services 14:15	somebody 30:23	41:12 44:9,10
safe 49:20	37:8,10,15	15:19 16:11	53:24	44:20 45:10
safeguards	38:8,11,14	35:5 36:9	somewhat 11:20	51:2,5,17
51:10	39:4,11 40:7	38:25 41:9	42:3	52:13
sake 18:12	40:17,23 41:15	45:8 52:10	sorry 19:16	statutes 5:18
sale 4:17 5:5,17	41:19 45:16,22	53:1,21 54:7	26:12	51:8,13 52:10
5:20 6:3,20 9:1	scope 8:21	set 17:19 20:19	sort 7:21 13:4	statutory 22:20
9:4,9,19,24,24	scope 8.21 second 53:18	20:24 22:8	15:8 29:9 34:5	Stevens 8:20 9:6
10:14,15 11:3	54:22	28:20	39:25 50:18	9:21 10:3 16:4
10.1.,10 11.0	J4.44	20.20	37.23 30.10	7.21 10.3 10.4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	1	1
16:13,19 21:20	12:24	table 29:17 32:1	41:16 42:12	tollee 54:23
25:17,20 26:2	substitute 47:11	32:3	53:18	toller 7:25 42:6
26:9,12 46:12	suddenly 5:16	tail 44:3	things 6:6 7:19	tollers 7:19,20
47:6,19 48:6	sufficient 23:20	tailor 9:16,16	19:9 31:24	7:22 27:16
Stewart 1:19 2:3	44:3	10:7,12,15	32:18 52:24	tolling 19:1,12
2:10 3:6,7,10	suggest 6:2 7:5	tails 12:17	54:18	19:24 43:5
5:3,19,22 6:10	suggested 27:14	Taiwan 7:10	think 5:1,22 6:1	44:12 54:16
6:15,19 7:2,7	29:8	27:25 44:22,25	6:10 9:2,8,11	tolls 39:3
7:24 8:20 9:2	suggests 6:19	Taiwanese 28:3	10:25 11:3	total 34:25
9:11,25 10:5	9:3 49:10	take 15:20 30:8	14:21 15:11	touch 51:1
10:25 11:14	suit 9:15,18,22	32:6 33:1,3	16:19,23 21:3	touchstone
12:7,14 13:1,7	10:6	35:23 42:14	21:10,12 22:2	11:11
14:7,21 16:8	supplied 23:17	49:5,6,19	22:12,18,21	trade 33:6 51:9
16:16,23 17:8	supplier 8:18	taken 18:8	23:1,12,19,22	traded 54:9
28:1 52:18,20	10:9,10	takes 4:23 29:22	25:1,3,8,24	transaction 4:7
52:23 55:8	suppliers 35:17	49:8	26:8,15 31:24	4:16,19 6:9
stock 53:12	supplies 29:2	talk 31:18	31:25 36:23	14:15 17:17
store 36:15,19	supply 34:19	talked 23:24	42:6,14,16,20	22:20 23:24
37:12 40:18,23	Suppose 40:7	talking 5:23	42:21,21 46:25	24:14,15 26:3
strange 10:14	supposed 22:18	7:22 22:7	47:16,22,24	27:18 30:11,18
stream 32:8	supposing 9:21	23:13 31:14,16	49:7 51:21	31:9 32:1 36:7
strongly 51:3	Supreme 1:1,16	31:17 37:10,11	52:25 53:3,18	37:14,15 39:8
structure 26:20	sure 6:23 7:7	38:15 39:12,13	thinking 7:18	39:8,12 40:3
structured 35:5	12:19 13:1	40:1	third 26:24	40:13 44:7
styled 15:18	31:22 35:8	tangible 8:10	46:21	45:4,13
subcontractor	46:14	target 38:4,19	thought 6:8 7:1	transactions
7:25	surprise 20:18	tariffs 51:12	9:12 17:18	16:5 17:2
subcontractors	sustained 3:23	tell 31:1 42:5	19:2 20:1,3	19:13 20:19,24
7:23	sweater 37:5	46:16,18 47:15	25:21 41:19	27:10 36:5
subject 10:23	39:20 42:1	47:20,23	three 7:4 52:18	45:17 46:7
16:5 24:24	44:6	telling 40:13	54:17	50:7 51:25
41:5,13	sweaters 36:18	ten 49:5	thrust 11:3	52:14
submit 17:15	36:19,21,25	tension 55:3	time 12:11,13	transfer 31:5,15
submitted 55:8	37:1 39:24	term 11:3 16:14	13:25 15:3	31:15 46:13,22
55:10	40:9	terms 20:9	17:6 18:18	47:5,13 48:7
substance 10:13	SWU 3:14,16,21	28:23 31:18	32:6 33:8	49:2
28:25 29:16	3:24 12:5,6,6,7	33:21 34:15	49:23,24,25	transferred 8:12
31:8	14:25	45:9	53:9,23	30:23 47:21
substantial 9:5	SWUs 4:12	test 10:18,22	title 18:20 19:3	transfers 8:19
10:2 11:5,8,11	SWU's 12:4	11:2 13:5	31:16 32:5,11	transformation
11:17 13:2,10	53:12,16	Thank 17:7 27:1	32:12 47:3,13	9:5 10:21 11:5
13:13 14:4	system 32:25	27:2 52:17,23	47:21 48:7,16	11:12,17 13:2
22:1 23:11,15	50:15	55:7	48:17,21,23	13:10,13 14:4
25:4,12 43:1	S.A 1:6,11	theme 52:25	49:2,8,15,19 49:22	23:11,15 24:20
44:16,17 46:4 46:8	T	thing 5:8 8:6		25:4,12 43:1
substantially	T2:1,1	10:11 13:15,16 31:19 37:7	today 55:5,5 told 49:21	44:16,18 46:4 46:8
Substantiany		31.17 31.1	101U 47.21	40.0
	ı	ı	ı	ı

transformed	ultimately 22:12	42:25 53:12	\mathbf{W}	word 16:14
12:24	25:14 30:18,20	USEC 1:8 3:5	wagging 44:3	42:25 44:17
transporting	uncertainly	15:3,4,6 35:1	wait 30:4 40:7	words 43:4,4
8:16	13:11	used-car 54:2	waiting 30:9	44:12
treat 5:20 6:20	uncertainty	useful 49:3	walk 31:10 32:2	work 3:14 12:7
9:4,9,24 13:2	27:11	uses 17:21 27:17	33:9	12:8,9 13:25
19:12 23:7	understand 53:2	29:21 33:6	walks 31:9 34:8	29:23
25:16 26:22	understood 54:3	utilities 8:8	wallet 33:9	world 13:20
28:10 36:24	undoubtedly	20:17 29:17	want 9:24 11:16	worth 18:17
37:4 39:9	18:6	31:10 32:3,19	19:7 26:7 33:6	36:17,22
treated 6:9,14	unenriched 36:1	32:24 33:22	wanted 9:7 20:1	wouldn't 7:5
6:15 7:1,6,14	unfair 20:18	34:10 35:6	43:9,10 44:13	10:1 26:13
9:1 27:10,15	unfairly 15:25	36:8 41:24,25	Washington	46:19
27:19 28:8	Uniform 25:22	43:17 50:7,17	1:13,20,22	wrestling 20:10
tried 49:19	unit 3:14 12:7	50:18 53:1,2	wasn't 20:7	written 51:8
trillions 18:17	United 1:1,3,16	54:25	wash t 20.7 way 6:14 7:6	wrong 14:20
true 16:8 30:12	3:4,18 16:10	utility 3:25 4:10	8:14 9:13	43:4
30:21 33:15	28:14,21 38:6	4:17 8:11,14	10:16 18:1,13	
41:7 42:17,18	38:12,18,23	8:17 13:17	20:20 22:11,12	X
42:20 46:12,24	39:6,10,14,19	30:18,24 33:2	24:22 26:21	x 1:2,12
47:19	39:23 40:6,12	34:7,19 45:18	27:9 29:23	
try 20:20 36:13	41:3,5,14,17	46:3 48:15,17	30:3 31:1,23	Y
trying 13:14	41:22,24 42:11	48:20 50:1	33:16 35:21,22	Yeah 34:12
20:13 22:5	43:10 44:4	53:4,14,17	36:2 38:20	years 5:16 16:25
Tuesday 1:14	48:20	54:14	45:14 46:18	18:16
turn 46:9,10	universe 16:5	U-235 4:4	50:16,25 53:23	York 1:24
turns 3:16 39:15	unjust 20:18	U.S 28:2,6,9,12	ways 17:19	\$
two 10:22 14:18	unlinked 36:5	37:5 38:2 52:2	weaving 39:24	
15:11 17:23	unreasonable		weight 4:4 11:24	\$1,000 36:17,22
18:8 21:14	36:23	V	11:25	37:16
22:3 23:23	unrelated 36:5	v 1:5,10 3:4,5	well-founded	\$100 49:5
29:16,22 31:24	uranium 3:13	valid 53:4	51:19	\$20,000 36:22
33:7 36:4,4,5	3:22 4:1,3,8,14	valuable 34:10	went 19:24	37:5,17 40:10
42:9 43:12	10:7 12:3,10	34:13 36:1	21:14 37:24	40:12
44:19 48:4	12:12,17,18	46:20 50:9	50:1	\$300 9:16,17
two-by-fours	13:18 31:11	value 27:21	we're 13:25 14:7	10:9,9,10
24:21,22	32:3,7,11,21	37:16,16 39:25	we've 5:3 10:4	\$40,000 40:11
type 5:5 6:12	32:24 33:20,25	41:8	31:13	\$600 9:16 10:8
23:4	34:2,3,4,24	variety 12:20	wheat 37:24	10:15
typically 11:24	36:2,7 38:18	13:6	38:1 46:9	0
	45:19 48:4,6	varying 4:5	wide 12:20 13:6	07-1058 3:5
U	48:16,18,21,24	version 13:23	wonder 6:5	07-1059 1:5,21
U 32:15	48:24 49:25	versus 28:25	wood 24:20	2:4,11 3:4,9
UCC 26:17 52:7	50:12,13,20,21	view 18:7	wooden 24:21	52:22
ultimate 11:11	52:9 53:8	violate 42:15,16	wool 36:15,17	07-1078 1:10,23
35:24 53:24	use 11:23 12:3	virtue 11:6	36:20,25 40:4	2:6 17:12
54:24	24:22 33:15	vis-a-vis 11:9	40:10,12 46:10	2.0 17.12
		Ī		

	1			
1				
10's 49:5				
100 5:16				
11:06 1:17 3:2				
12:07 55:9				
1677(a) 41:3				
17 2:6				
2				
20's 49:6				
2008 1:14				
235 12:12 32:16				
27 2:8				
27 2.0				
3				
3 2:4				
4				
4 1:14				
40 42:22				
41 42:22				
71 42.22				
5				
5 35:1				
52 2:11				
32 2.11				
6				
60 32:22				
00 32.22				
9				
90 16:25 18:16				
32:22				
32.22				
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	