1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES		
2	x		
3	UNITED STATES, :		
4	Petitioner : No. 12-1038		
5	v. :		
6	JOHN DENNIS APEL :		
7	x		
8	Washington, D.C.		
9	Wednesday, December 4, 2013		
10			
11	The above-entitled matter came on for oral		
12	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States		
13	at 10:03 a.m.		
14	APPEARANCES:		
15	BENJAMIN J. HORWICH, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor		
16	General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; on		
17	behalf of Petitioner.		
18	ERWIN CHEMERINSKY, ESQ., Irvine, California; on		
19	behalf of Respondent.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	BENJAMIN J. HORWICH, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	ERWIN CHEMERINSKY, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent	26
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	BENJAMIN J. HORWICH, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	54
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS		
2	(10:03 a.m.)		
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument		
4	this morning in Case 12-1038, United States v. Apel.		
5	Mr. Horwich.		
6	ORAL ARGUMENT OF BENJAMIN J. HORWICH		
7	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER		
8	MR. HORWICH: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,		
9	and may it please the Court:		
10	Section 1382 makes it a misdemeanor for a		
11	person to reenter a military base after having been		
12	ordered not to do so by the commanding officer. Now,		
13	the Ninth Circuit here added another requirement for		
14	conviction, which is that the defendant must be found in		
15	a place that, as a matter of real property law, is		
16	within the exclusive possession of the United States.		
17	That requirement isn't anywhere in the text		
18	of the statute, no court has ever given an explanation		
19	of where it comes from, and Respondent no longer defends		
20	that requirement.		
21	JUSTICE GINSBURG: But it is it is in the		
22	Air Force manual and in a JAG opinion, was the exclusive		
23	possession criterion.		
24	MR. HORWICH: Well, I think I would say a		
25	couple things about those sources, Justice Ginsburg.		

- 1 First of all, the -- they reflect, essentially,
- 2 conservative legal advice that recognizes that some
- 3 courts had made a reference to such a requirement. So
- 4 the responsible thing to do if you're in a JAG rendering
- 5 an opinion on what the right way to structure a lease is
- 6 or whether a particular place is, in fact, covered by
- 7 1382 is to confirm that, yes, this would meet the
- 8 criteria the courts have established.
- 9 But that doesn't -- certainly doesn't make
- 10 it binding on this Court and other courts have held to
- 11 the contrary.
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Has -- since this case
- 13 has been pending quite a while, has the manual changed,
- 14 has -- to -- to delete the exclusive possession?
- 15 MR. HORWICH: If Your Honor is referring to
- 16 the -- the U.S. Attorneys' manual, no. It hasn't been
- 17 altered. Although I -- I would say that the very first
- 18 section of the U.S. Attorneys' manual makes clear that
- 19 it -- it doesn't -- it's not intended to create rights,
- 20 it's not intended to bind the Department of Justice or
- 21 otherwise modify the meanings of criminal statutes.
- 22 It's simply a reference guide.
- 23 And it is a reference guide that certainly
- 24 would be accurate in some circuits, we think incorrect,
- and that's what, of course, this Court is now in a

- 1 position to resolve the disagreement between the courts
- 2 on that -- on that subject.
- 3 So what Respondent does argue -- because, of
- 4 course, Respondent isn't even defending that requirement
- 5 here, is that -- and what the case then comes down to, I
- 6 guess, is that Vandenberg Air Force Base is not a
- 7 military installation. Now, we think it is. And we
- 8 think all of it is.
- 9 And the reason for that is that the statute
- 10 refers comprehensively to a long list of places,
- 11 reservation, fort, post, arsenal, yard, station,
- 12 installation, that covers the range of places that are
- 13 subject to military command. And Vandenberg is, of
- 14 course, a place that's subject to military command. And
- 15 in particular --
- 16 JUSTICE KAGAN: How do we tell that,
- 17 Mr. Horwich? What's the test for determining when a
- 18 place is subject to military command?
- MR. HORWICH: Military command is a question
- 20 of lawful authority. What might be thought of, in a
- 21 civilian context, as the extent of the commanding
- 22 officer's jurisdiction. And so what -- the way things
- 23 are set up is that the civilian leadership in the
- 24 Department of Defense defines bases, and then the
- 25 military commanders who are appointed by the president,

- 1 then, through appointing subordinate commands, will have
- 2 units assigned to particular bases, and the commanding
- 3 officer of the unit will be the commanding officer of
- 4 the base to which the unit is assigned.
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, some military
- 6 installations are located in very rural areas. Now,
- 7 suppose you have an installation in such a place and
- 8 there are buildings and -- and facilities that you can
- 9 see in one part of this territory, but there's a lot of
- 10 open -- there are a lot of open fields around it.
- 11 Would -- and suppose there's -- it's not posted. Would
- 12 that still be a military installation if somebody goes
- 13 on that?
- 14 MR. HORWICH: It -- it would, although let
- 15 me say a few things about that.
- 16 Your description, actually, is pretty apt as
- 17 to Vandenberg itself. It's in a rural area. There are
- 18 large areas of what you, I think, accurately described
- 19 as open fields, that are there precisely to serve as
- 20 a -- kind of a buffer zone around these enormous rocket
- 21 launches. And some parts of it are particularly posted;
- 22 other parts aren't.
- 23 We do think that there would -- that a
- 24 defendant needs to be put on notice in some -- in some
- 25 respect. We refer to it, I think, inartfully in our

- 1 brief as actually that the defendant would have
- 2 knowledge. I think it's more the defendant needs to be
- 3 on notice.
- 4 And like ascertaining the extent of any
- 5 geographic place, the place to look, for starters, is a
- 6 map. If you look at a-- if you go -- nowadays, you go on the
- 7 Internet, pull up any map, it will show you an area
- 8 marked off as Vandenberg Air Force Base.
- 9 JUSTICE KAGAN: But a map, presumably,
- 10 reflects who owns the property, and you, yourself, say
- 11 that that's not what's significant. And I took your
- 12 point in your brief to suggest some kind of more
- 13 functional test, is this property being used for
- 14 military purposes? Am I -- am I wrong in ascribing that
- 15 to you?
- 16 MR. HORWICH: Yes, yes. We don't -- we're
- 17 not suggesting a functional test. What we're suggesting
- 18 is that the coverage of 1382 should be coextensive with
- 19 the commander's authority, which is, essentially, as I
- 20 sort of suggested, in the nature of a jurisdictional
- 21 test. Where does the commander's regulatory and barment
- 22 authority extend to as a matter of military law?
- 23 It should be backed up by the misdemeanor
- 24 sanction in 1382.
- 25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But I'm not sure that I

- 1 can -- that I follow that completely. When I look at
- 2 the list of items mentioned in the statute --
- 3 reservation, post, fort, arsenal, yard, station, or
- 4 installation -- I don't think of command separate from
- 5 operational control or being run by and used by the
- 6 military. Each of those terms has an operational
- 7 quality to it that mere ownership, which I equate with
- 8 command, doesn't.
- 9 It makes no sense, in answer to Justice
- 10 Alito's question, to say you need notice, unless that
- 11 facility has a military flavor to it that someone could
- 12 know about. And you talk about this particular camp,
- 13 but you've got a whole green line around it to tell
- 14 people which sections you are actually considering the
- 15 camp, and he was found outside of that green area.
- You've got a public school, a public
- 17 highway. I'm not quite sure how you can keep a person
- 18 off of lands that the military is not using in its
- 19 operations.
- 20 MR. HORWICH: Well, I guess, just to take
- 21 the last point there, I would disagree with the premise
- 22 that the military is not using these lands in their
- 23 operation. I mean, to take -- to take one example,
- 24 there will be -- there's a launch tomorrow at
- 25 Vandenberg, and the other highway that's kind of

- 1 indistinguishable from Highway 1, for these purposes, is
- 2 going to be closed down because it's in the area that's
- 3 dangerous because it's in the potential impact zone --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, you close down --
- 5 you close down roads for parades. You close down areas
- 6 for other public needs.
- 7 MR. HORWICH: Certainly. But I would be
- 8 clear that the reason that is being closed down is
- 9 because the commanding officer at Vandenberg has
- 10 determined that that property, which is owned by the
- 11 United States, is placed under his -- placed under his
- 12 authority as a commanding officer, is -- is -- is
- 13 assigned to the Department of the Air Force for
- 14 administration, he has determined that his military
- 15 needs require closing that -- that part on that day.
- 16 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And that's confirmed in
- 17 the terms of the easement, if you look at the easement
- 18 between the U.S. and the County of Santa Barbara.
- 19 MR. HORWICH: Precisely so, Justice Kennedy.
- 20 JUSTICE KENNEDY: The -- it makes it very
- 21 clear that the military commander has authority to
- 22 exercise control over the easement property.
- 23 MR. HORWICH: The -- precisely so, Justice
- 24 Kennedy. And I think that gets to the bigger picture
- 25 point here, which is that it would be a very odd result

- 1 to say that a -- that a military commander has to
- 2 maximally inconvenience the public by shutting a place
- 3 down at all times in order to preserve the misdemeanor
- 4 sanction that backs up his authority.
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If -- if your position is
- 6 right, then I -- I think there would be nothing left of
- 7 Flowers, because Flowers, the government owned that area
- 8 as well. Is there anything left -- I mean, that -- in
- 9 this case, the -- the property is used as a public
- 10 highway, and people go back and forth.
- In Flowers, it was a street. So are you
- 12 urging that Flowers is essentially overruled?
- MR. HORWICH: No, not at all,
- 14 Justice Ginsburg. In fact, I think Flowers is
- 15 supportive of our position here, on the statutory point,
- 16 precisely because the Court thought to resolve Flower on
- 17 the constitutional grounds.
- 18 And there was no question there that it was
- 19 covered by 1382, notwithstanding the fact that it is --
- 20 that it could be described as a public street, it was
- 21 also property that was under the command of the
- 22 commanding officer at Fort Sam Houston in that -- in
- 23 that case. And so the Court went to the constitutional
- 24 question.
- I'm happy to talk here, if the Court is

- 1 interested, about the -- the constitutional differences
- 2 between this case and Flower. But Flower does -- does
- 3 strongly imply that coverage of 1382, which the Court
- 4 would ordinarily address first before reaching the
- 5 constitutional question, wasn't in doubt there, nor was
- 6 it in doubt in any of the cases that this Court has
- 7 decided under 1382, each of which has involved a
- 8 military installation that is, to some greater or lesser
- 9 extent, in some places or other, open to the public.
- 10 So --
- 11 JUSTICE ALITO: Suppose that the Respondent
- 12 in this case didn't want to protest. He just wanted to
- 13 take a drive up to Santa Barbara or -- I don't know --
- 14 maybe it's -- I don't know -- if it's not illegal to
- 15 walk in Southern California, maybe he wanted to walk
- 16 along the Pacific Coast Highway.
- 17 Would he -- would he commit a misdemeanor by
- 18 doing that?
- 19 MR. HORWICH: So his -- the terms of his
- 20 barment order and the ordinary terms on which barment
- 21 orders are issued at Vandenberg is that there's an
- 22 exception carved out for him to traverse along the
- 23 easement, which, again, is in the nature of a concession
- 24 to public convenience, which it seems quite unfair to
- 25 sort of -- to say that, well, the military has wound up

- 1 worse off by trying to accommodate its -- trying to
- 2 accommodate itself, to the extent consistent with its
- 3 security needs, to public use and convenience.
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And where is this? Where
- 5 is the exception? Is it -- was it in the bar order?
- 6 MR. HORWICH: Yes, it's on page 64 of the
- 7 Joint Appendix. And it's in the middle of paragraph 2
- 8 on 64. It says, "Effective immediately, you are ordered
- 9 not to enter onto Vandenberg Air Force Base, except to
- 10 traverse, " meaning to travel "to or from Lompoc and
- 11 Santa Maria on Highway 1 and to and from Lompoc and
- 12 Amtrak Surf Station on Highway 246," which passes
- 13 through Vandenberg's property.
- 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: He couldn't go to the
- 15 public school, though? Or the stores or any other
- 16 facilities that the public's invited to?
- 17 MR. HORWICH: That's -- that's correct.
- 18 But, of course, there's a reason for that, which is that
- 19 he has been individually identified as posing a threat
- 20 to the order and security of the base. Now, we would
- 21 point out, he was barred --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It seems such an odd
- 23 thing for a Class B misdemeanor to be used to protect
- 24 the national security; that Congress has determined that
- 25 the threat is so great, that the only thing you need is

- 1 a Class B misdemeanor to protect the U.S.
- 2 MR. HORWICH: Well, it's certainly not the
- 3 only thing. In our -- in our opening brief, we have a
- 4 footnote that runs through kind of the hierarchy of
- 5 sanctions that might be --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: There are some pretty
- 7 severe ones.
- 8 MR. HORWICH: There are some severe ones.
- 9 So we think of this as being sort of a first line of
- 10 defense, if you will.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: Are there no sanctions for
- 12 trespassing upon Federal property that is not a military
- 13 base?
- 14 MR. HORWICH: Justice Scalia, absolutely,
- 15 there are. There's a statute like that for the National
- 16 Park Service. There's a statute like that for the
- 17 Bureau of Land Management. There's a statute like that
- 18 for the -- for the Forest Service.
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: Do you think, Mr. Horwich,
- 20 that there is any point at which a military installation
- 21 can lose its character as such? I mean, I'll give you
- 22 an example. Suppose -- you know, that in this -- in
- 23 this base area, the government -- the military decided
- 24 to allow four private condo buildings and six
- 25 restaurants and three movie theaters, and it really just

- 1 turned into a regular old town.
- 2 And -- and it entered into agreements
- 3 whereby all the policing was being done by local law
- 4 enforcement officers, rather than by the military. Is
- 5 there any point at which it just becomes too much not
- 6 like a military base, where you lose the ability to do
- 7 this, even though it's under the formal command of a
- 8 military officer?
- 9 MR. HORWICH: Well, I think, if it's still
- 10 under the formal command of the military officer and the
- 11 military officer is empowered to issue regulations and
- issue barments, which this Court has long recognized is
- 13 the necessary concomitant of the functioning of a
- 14 military installation, then, no, it continues --
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: I -- I assume it would be
- 16 contrary to -- to his orders to permit such
- 17 installations, without his ability to control them.
- 18 MR. HORWICH: Exactly --
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: Including by issuing
- 20 debarment orders. He has no authority to do that, does
- 21 he?
- MR. HORWICH: No, that's exactly right. So,
- 23 for example, to Justice Kagan's hypothetical -- and,
- 24 Justice Sotomayor, you referred to the -- the school
- 25 that -- that is on the base. There are couple --

- 1 actually, a couple of schools on the base.
- 2 One of them is leased to a school district,
- 3 but it is, nonetheless, still subject to the commander's
- 4 command authority. The commander does respond to
- 5 dangerous weapons or drugs being brought there. And
- 6 that's necessary. It's right --
- 7 JUSTICE KAGAN: So what happens, for
- 8 example, at -- at the school if there's an assault on
- 9 school premises? Who deals with that?
- 10 MR. HORWICH: In the -- in the school
- 11 premises, I -- I believe -- I don't want to be quite
- 12 certain about this, but I believe that the -- well,
- 13 certainly, the elementary school and I believe, also,
- 14 the middle school is within the area that is -- within
- 15 the exclusive legislative jurisdiction of the United
- 16 States. It's been ceded to the United States.
- 17 So the -- the proper response there would
- 18 need to be by Federal law enforcement. Crimes would be
- 19 prosecuted under the Assimilative Crimes Act.
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: Federal -- I'm sorry. Is
- 21 that military police or is that --
- MR. HORWICH: No.
- 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: Who -- who comes
- 24 in -- is it -- is it members of the military? Or is it
- 25 members of the county police force? Or is it --

- 1 MR. HORWICH: No. If -- if we're talking
- 2 about an area that's under the exclusive Federal
- 3 jurisdiction, the military -- the military police or --
- 4 or security forces, as they're now -- as they're now
- 5 called, are not empowered to make arrests in that formal
- 6 sense. They can -- they can detain so that law
- 7 enforcement officers who are empowered to make arrests
- 8 can then respond.
- 9 So, for example, if you have the more common
- 10 things, a traffic stop, maybe, on one of the roads off
- 11 the highway or something like that, they will need to
- 12 detain and wait for an appropriate -- an appropriate law
- 13 enforcement official to respond.
- So, again, there is somewhat of a -- of a
- 15 different situation obtains on the highway, because
- 16 there -- there is authority for the -- the county
- 17 police, for the county sheriff, for example, to respond. So
- 18 there's -- there's a different status there. But
- 19 that's --
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I quess I'm just
- 21 wondering with respect to each of these places, I mean,
- 22 the highway, the school, the Amtrak station, who's
- 23 actually in control when something goes wrong in these
- 24 places?
- MR. HORWICH: Well, let me bracket off the

- 1 Amtrak station because we don't think the Amtrak station
- 2 is under military command, and I'll -- I can explain
- 3 why.
- In the other places, it's often the case
- 5 that the first response will be by the security forces
- 6 because they are in the area, and they will be
- 7 patrolling.
- 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: The security forces
- 9 meaning --
- 10 MR. HORWICH: The military.
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- the military.
- MR. HORWICH: The military-- Now, they will detain --
- 13 JUSTICE KAGAN: So do military personnel
- 14 actively patrol this entire area?
- 15 MR. HORWICH: Yes. Yes. The -- the Amtrak
- 16 station I should say, though, is -- is different
- 17 because, there, the station and the tracks that actually
- 18 run -- you know, to and from the station, are actually
- 19 on a narrow strip of land that is owned in fee by a
- 20 railroad.
- 21 It is -- it is not owned by the United
- 22 States. It's not under Air Force Department
- 23 administration. It's not part of the military
- 24 commander's command authority there. So that -- that
- 25 part's not covered.

- 1 So in the situation of -- you know, somebody
- 2 asks, well, can somebody go to the train station and
- 3 take a train, if they've been barred, well, yes, they're
- 4 allowed to travel on the highway that goes there, and
- 5 they're allowed to wait at the train station and go.
- 6 And that arrangement, obviously, has been
- 7 determined by the appropriate officials to be sufficient
- 8 to protect the -- the military's interests. If it -- if
- 9 it weren't, I imagine we would see a different
- 10 arrangement, but the accommodations have been made.
- I think the larger point here, though, is
- 12 that, if the commanding officer has the authority to
- 13 issue these regulations and has the authority to issue
- 14 these barments, it seems -- across the whole area, which
- 15 I think is not really subject to dispute here, the command -- as
- 16 Justice Kennedy points out, the easement says he can
- 17 regulate the use of the highway.
- 18 If you have that authority, why put the
- 19 sanction there.
- 20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: It could have said -- it
- 21 could have said that Apel can't use the highway. That
- 22 would -- that dispensation was a matter of grace?
- MR. HORWICH: Yes.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is that what you're
- 25 saying?

- 1 MR. HORWICH: Yes, it would be, in -- in --
- 2 in the same way that the commanding officer can
- 3 determine that any particular use of the highway is
- 4 inconsistent with the military's needs. That's why the
- 5 reservation is in there, precisely to -- to reserve, as
- 6 Justice Scalia suggests, the appropriate authority to
- 7 the commander to do what he needs to do to run the
- 8 installation.
- 9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I suppose, if the
- 10 commander thought it was necessary, he could stop trucks
- 11 with flammable materials from coming through at the time
- when a launch is being prepared and so forth?
- 13 MR. HORWICH: Precisely so. And -- and if
- 14 he -- and the odd result here, under Respondent's
- 15 reading, because, of course, Respondent's reading turns
- 16 on the coverage of what "military installation" means,
- 17 and that covers the first paragraph of Section 1382 as
- 18 well, which deals with the -- with enforcing
- 19 regulations, the oddity of his reading is that, if a
- 20 truck then did drive on the highway bringing the
- 21 flammable materials, the truck would be in violation of
- 22 the regulation.
- 23 But it's sort of the sound of one hand
- 24 clapping because it wouldn't actually be a misdemeanor
- 25 because the statute wouldn't cover that part of the

- 1 commander's command area. That incongruity is very
- 2 strange.
- We wouldn't say -- say, in the national
- 4 parks context, if the Park Service has a rule that says
- 5 you can't feed the grizzly bears, it means you can't
- 6 feed the grizzly bears anywhere in the park. It doesn't
- 7 mean, yeah, you're not supposed to, but it won't be a
- 8 misdemeanor if you feed them on the road, but you -- you
- 9 can't feed them off the road, and it is a misdemeanor.
- 10 That's -- that's essentially the regime that
- 11 Respondent suggests this statute --
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: Mr. Horwich, can I ask you
- 13 this, which is -- this question is stimulated by what
- 14 Justice Kagan asked.
- 15 Is it -- my understanding is that, if you
- 16 look at many military reservations, you may need a very
- 17 detailed map to figure out -- and a crime is committed
- 18 someplace on there or other Federal lands -- you may
- 19 need a very detailed map to determine whether criminal
- 20 jurisdiction over that particular offense is exclusively
- 21 Federal or Federal and concurrent.
- Now -- and I would assume that that could be
- 23 true of a military base that is completely sealed off to
- 24 the public.
- 25 MR. HORWICH: That's -- I could --

- 1 JUSTICE ALITO: Now, do you understand that
- 2 the -- the Ninth Circuit's holding to be based on access
- 3 or to be based on jurisdiction over crimes that are
- 4 committed there?
- 5 MR. HORWICH: No, I understand it to be
- 6 based on neither of those things. I understand it to be
- 7 based on a real property analysis, which says, at this
- 8 point, where Respondent was found, there is an easement,
- 9 so there is a real property interest that is held by
- 10 someone other than the United States; therefore, the
- 11 United States does not exclusively possess it.
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: But that's not Respondent's
- 13 theory here.
- 14 MR. HORWICH: No, it's not Respondent's
- 15 theory here. But if I might just say one word about the
- 16 absurdities -- the kind of absurdities that the Ninth
- 17 Circuit's position seems like it might lead to is that
- 18 it doesn't seem like it's limited to easements. I mean,
- 19 Respondent, of course, as we know, wasn't using the
- 20 easement for its purpose, so it's just sort of
- 21 coincidence he's also on the easement.
- 22 And so, on the Ninth Circuit's approach,
- 23 maybe there's a utility easement, or maybe there's a
- 24 subsurface mineral rights that has with them an entry
- 25 and egress rights or something, and I guess that also

- 1 would defeat on the Ninth Circuit's approach the
- 2 application of 1382, which seems very strange.
- 3 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, there may be --
- 4 suppose property is leased to -- I don't know whether
- 5 this actually ever occurs -- but suppose it's leased to
- 6 the United States and used for a military reservation.
- 7 Would there be exclusively Federal criminal
- 8 jurisdiction there, or would there not be concurrent
- 9 jurisdiction?
- MR. HORWICH: Well, the way -- that's -- that's
- 11 a matter that is decided under the -- the Enclaves
- 12 Clause of the Constitution, it says that the State has
- 13 to cede to the United States --
- 14 JUSTICE ALITO: Right.
- 15 MR. HORWICH: -- that jurisdiction. So the
- 16 State has ceded to the United States that jurisdiction
- 17 over -- over the base. The United States, I believe
- 18 it's correct, the United States retroceded that
- 19 jurisdiction back to the State as to the highways, so
- 20 that highway patrol officers could -- could go along
- 21 those -- could go along the highways.
- 22 But that's really a separate issue from
- 23 what's presented here because that would control the
- 24 application of the Assimilative Crimes Act, for example,
- 25 but this statute -- this statute is not -- this statute

- 1 is a freestanding Federal statute.
- 2 And I would say, in the example that you
- 3 give, I think this -- it's worth talking for a moment
- 4 about the situation, where the United States leases
- 5 property and places it under military jurisdiction and
- 6 gives it to a military command.
- 7 There certainly are such places, and that's
- 8 why an ownership test isn't quite right. I think an
- 9 ownership test, Justice Kagan, is sort of right about 95
- 10 percent of the time because it's usually true that the
- 11 United States is going to want to own the places that it
- 12 puts under military command, but it's not perfect in the
- 13 leasing context.
- It's also -- it's also problematic in the
- 15 situation that comes up in some of the courts of appeals
- 16 cases regarding Coast Guard or naval security zones,
- 17 which are designated waters adjacent to a base that are
- 18 appurtenant to the commander's authority over the base,
- 19 and those aren't kind of owned in -- in exactly the same
- 20 way.
- 21 But they're, nonetheless, under military
- 22 command, and the courts of appeals had no difficulty
- 23 seeing that they're covered.
- 24 JUSTICE KAGAN: But I -- I had thought that,
- 25 in your brief, you thought that the ownership test was

- 1 both underinclusive and overinclusive.
- 2 MR. HORWICH: Yes, I think that's correct,
- 3 too, because there are certainly property that the
- 4 United States owns -- plenty of property -- most
- 5 property that the United States owns isn't under
- 6 military command.
- 7 And so it's not just Federal ownership,
- 8 it's -- although I would -- I would point out that, with
- 9 respect to these other statutes that deal with other
- 10 agencies of the government that -- that administer
- 11 lands, it is generally true -- I can't say that it's
- 12 exclusively -- it's true across the board -- but it's
- 13 generally true that there's a statute, something like
- 14 this one, that corresponds to the regulatory authority
- 15 of a land management agency.
- So -- and, again, we wouldn't punch holes in
- 17 the misdemeanor sanctions that back up those other land
- 18 management agencies' authority, so I don't see why we
- 19 would punch holes in this statute, either.
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: Could I just ask you what we
- 21 should make of this -- what we should make of this green
- 22 line?
- MR. HORWICH: Yes.
- 24 JUSTICE ALITO: What is the significance of
- 25 the green line?

- 1 MR. HORWICH: The -- speaking outside the
- 2 record, it seems like it was probably drawn to guide
- 3 road crews in the parts of the road they should be
- 4 resurfacing because one of the terms of the easements is
- 5 that California is responsible for maintaining the road.
- 6 And so -- you know, when the California
- 7 Department of Transportation road crew comes out to
- 8 repave it or something, they need to know how -- how
- 9 wide, how far are we supposed to go, so there is --
- 10 there's this green line.
- 11 There are some other green lines scattered
- on other places of the base in various shades of being
- 13 worn down. But they're -- I think they can be taken to
- 14 demarcate the extent of the easement. So -- so on the
- 15 Ninth Circuit's approach, the green line is significant
- 16 for that reason because it tells you which side is
- 17 which.
- 18 But I would point out that this particular
- 19 place does just lie within a road that is miles inside
- 20 the actual perimeter -- outer perimeter of the base.
- 21 It's a couple of miles, as the crow flies. It's several
- 22 miles as you travel on the road. So it's -- it's not as
- 23 if it sort of lies out -- outside of the edge.
- 24 If I could reserve?
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

- 2 ORAL ARGUMENT OF ERWIN CHEMERINSKY
- 3 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT
- 4 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Good morning, Mr. Chief
- 5 Justice, and may it please the Court:
- 6 This is a case about the right to peacefully
- 7 protest on a fully open public road, in a designated
- 8 protest zone. For decades, every lower Federal court,
- 9 and, for that matter, the United States itself,
- 10 interpreted 18 United States Code Section 1382 to apply
- 11 only if there's exclusive Federal possession. Any other
- 12 interpretation would raise grave First Amendment issues.
- 13 As Justice Ginsburg pointed out, this case
- 14 is indistinguishable from this Court's prior holding in
- 15 Flower v. United States. Flower involved a street that
- 16 was on a military base. It involved an individual, John
- 17 Flower, who was subjected to a bar order --
- 18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But that -- that was a
- 19 First Amendment case and the -- and the problem there
- 20 was that he was barred for a peaceful protest the first
- 21 time around, and that's not -- that's not this case.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Flower -- Flower was with
- 23 the street.
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: It was not argued in that
- 25 case that the statute didn't apply, and that's what

- 1 you're arguing here.
- 2 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Your Honor, there are two
- 3 arguments here. One is that 1832 -- 1382 does not apply
- 4 because military installation requires exclusive
- 5 possession. The second argument --
- 6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: On your Flowers point, I
- 7 think Mr. Horwich said that -- that there was no doubt
- 8 that 1382 applied. It was only a constitutional
- 9 question --
- 10 MR. CHEMERINSKY: That's correct.
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- in Flowers.
- 12 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Yes, Justice Ginsburg.
- 13 But there's no dispute in Flower that 1382 would apply
- 14 because it was a street within the military base.
- But to go to Justice Kennedy's question,
- 16 this Court in Albertini was very clear that what Flower
- 17 means is that, when there is a fully open public route,
- 18 there is a right to use it for speech activities.
- 19 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, that -- that's a
- 20 First Amendment case. But let's concentrate first on --
- 21 on the argument you make under this statute. It seems
- 22 to me that the statute should be construed according to
- 23 normal rules of easements, and the owner of the
- 24 subservient easement, the easement holder, cannot
- 25 overburden that easement.

- 1 It cannot -- I've got an easement on the
- 2 back of my property for the utility company. They can't
- 3 hold a picnic there. They can't do that.
- 4 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That's overburdening the
- 6 easement, and this is standard stuff. And it's right in
- 7 the easement agreement with the City of Santa Barbara,
- 8 that the police -- that the military commander can make
- 9 reasonable regulations.
- 10 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Your Honor --
- 11 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Now, you may -- you have a
- 12 First Amendment argument. I understand that. But let's
- just concentrate on the property ownership.
- 14 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Yes. In terms of the
- 15 easement, when an easement goes towards a public road,
- 16 that easement includes the right to use the public road
- 17 for speech activities. You're right, Your Honor, that
- 18 the rule in paragraph --
- 19 JUSTICE KENNEDY: You're back on the First
- 20 Amendment case. It -- it may or may not. If the -- if
- 21 the commander wants to close the base for a rocket
- 22 launch, he certainly -- he certainly can. That's set
- 23 forth in article -- or a condition of paragraph 4 of the
- 24 easement.
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: Paragraph 4 says that

- 1 there can be rules and regulations with regard to the
- 2 easement. Your Honor, those rules and regulations must
- 3 be consistent with the Constitution. For example, the
- 4 commander couldn't exclude African Americans from that
- 5 road. And that's why the --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: You keep sliding into the
- 7 First Amendment issue, which is not the issue on which
- 8 we granted certiorari. We're only interested in whether
- 9 the statute applies.
- 10 MR. CHEMERINSKY: But, Your Honor, in
- 11 interpreting the statute, it must be done so as to avoid
- 12 constitutional doubts. That's why the First Amendment
- 13 comes up. Also, of course, as this Court repeatedly has
- 14 held, Respondent can raise any issue that was raised
- 15 below to defend the judgment, which is also why the
- 16 First Amendment is here.
- 17 But Your Honor --
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: You can raise it, but we
- 19 don't have to listen to it.
- 20 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Of course, that's right.
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: That's -- that's what I'm
- 22 saying.
- JUSTICE BREYER: To go back to --
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: Of course, Your Honor, and
- 25 I will address the meaning then of "military

- 1 installation."
- 2 Yes, Justice Breyer?
- 3 JUSTICE BREYER: The difficulty that I'm
- 4 having is the Ninth Circuit said the reason that your
- 5 client won is because the -- the piece of grass between
- 6 the road and -- and the sign was not -- it was subject
- 7 to an easement and the government lacked the exclusive
- 8 right of possession.
- 9 All right. When I saw that, I thought,
- 10 well, there -- there are thousands of different kinds of
- 11 easement, as Justice Kennedy just said. They're there
- 12 for utilities. They're for -- they're there for people
- 13 might want to -- I mean, I can imagine a million, as
- 14 you can, too.
- 15 So not all of those would involve First
- 16 Amendment anything, and -- and, yet, the -- the Ninth
- 17 Circuit would seem to say that your client could go and
- 18 demonstrate, as long as the utility company had an
- 19 easement.
- 20 So how are we supposed to interpret the
- 21 statute to avoid the First Amendment problem without
- 22 getting into an interpretation that, to me, would seem
- 23 ridiculous.
- 24 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Your Honor, the question
- 25 is what does the phrase "military installation" mean?

- 1 One way of defining it would be all of the property
- 2 that's owned by the United States. But as Justice Kagan
- 3 pointed out, the United States rejects that
- 4 interpretation in Footnote 1 of its reply brief.
- 5 The alternative interpretation is exclusive
- 6 possession, and that's the one that all of the lower
- 7 courts --
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, why does the First
- 9 Amendment allow a person to go to the heart of the
- 10 military base, put on any demonstration they want, the
- 11 statute doesn't apply for the reason that, once every
- 12 four months, the PG&E has an easement to go out and read
- 13 the meter.
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: Your Honor, we would not
- 15 take that position. We would --
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, that's exactly -- I
- 17 know you wouldn't --
- 18 MR. CHEMERINSKY: That's right.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: -- and that's why I want to
- 20 know what your position is as to the interpretation of
- 21 the statute that avoids my absurd PG&E result, but,
- 22 nonetheless, does what you want, which is to protect the
- 23 First Amendment interests.
- 24 MR. CHEMERINSKY: And I think that's exactly
- 25 Justice Kennedy's question of the difference between an

- 1 easement for a public road and an easement for your
- 2 backyard or the easement for a utility, and that's why I
- 3 don't think that the First Amendment aspect of this case
- 4 can be separated from the statutory aspect.
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Why are you buying into
- 6 the real property being the definition here at all? I
- 7 mean, it seems to me that when I read the -- the other
- 8 definitions of the statute, I keep going back to that
- 9 it's a function analysis and not a real property
- 10 analysis because that would answer why this particular
- 11 electrical meter reading -- that area still being
- 12 operated by the military for a military function, that's
- 13 what forts do, reservations, yards, I don't know why we
- 14 would read installation any differently.
- But you're buying into this real property as
- 16 being the defining term.
- 17 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, Your Honor, I'm not.
- 18 I completely agree with what you just said. I think it
- 19 is a functional analysis. Here, the military has built
- 20 a fence perimeter around Vandenberg. They have drawn a
- 21 green line where they said that the control begins. On
- 22 the other side of the green line is Highway 1 that
- 23 anyone can drive down. There are no signs that indicate
- 24 that you're part of the military base, and where
- 25 there's a --

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: They don't say control
- 2 begins at the green line. To the contrary, they say
- 3 they have control over the whole installation. Do you
- 4 deny that, that the -- that the commanding officer has
- 5 the right to control the entire installation?
- 6 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Your Honor, there is a big
- 7 difference between the authority of the commanding
- 8 officer within the close confines of the base and
- 9 outside the base. For example, civilians cannot be
- 10 prosecuted for what they're doing on that public road.
- 11 They're under the memorandum of understanding that
- 12 California Highway Patrol --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: Because -- because the
- 14 commanding officer agreed to that, because that's the
- 15 term of the -- of the easement.
- 16 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Yes, Your Honor, but I
- 17 will go back to what Justice Sotomayor said. There is a
- 18 functional difference between the public road and the
- 19 designated protest zone.
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: There may well be, but is
- 21 there -- is there a difference in the authority of the
- 22 commanding officer? That's what's crucial.
- 23 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Well, under the memorandum
- 24 of understanding, the high -- the commanding officer has
- 25 ceded control over that public road to the Highway

- 1 Patrol -- the United States wants it both ways. They
- 2 want the benefits of having an easement there, in the
- 3 sense that the State is responsible for maintaining the
- 4 road, the State is liable for any harms on the road, the
- 5 State enforces crimes on the road, but they also want to
- 6 claim that they have all of the control over that public
- 7 road as they would within the base.
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: They're entitled to have it
- 9 both ways. It's their base. And if that's the deal --
- 10 you know, take it or leave it, State. We -- we'll give
- 11 you this easement, but the -- the terms are what we have
- 12 said. What's wrong with that?
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: But, Your Honor, they
- 14 shouldn't have it both ways. Once they've created a
- 15 public road, once they've created a designated protest
- 16 zone, it is different, functionally, than the rest of
- 17 the base.
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, Mr. Chemerinsky, does
- 19 that mean --
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So you raised a --
- 21 you -- you mentioned the green line, and Mr. Horwich
- 22 represented some facts about it outside the record,
- 23 quite properly alerted us that it was outside the
- 24 record, I just want to give you a chance to respond to
- 25 that.

- 1 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Sure. We know that the
- 2 United States treats its easement as -- its control as
- 3 beginning within the green line area. So, for example,
- 4 when Mr. Apel crossed the green line previously, that
- 5 was the place at which he was convicted for trespassing.
- In other cases that are cited in both of our
- 7 briefs, lines on the road were taken as defining the
- 8 area where military jurisdiction begins. So the Sixth
- 9 Circuit's McCoy case, it was a white line, and the
- 10 military said, once somebody crossed the white line,
- 11 that's where Section 1382 began.
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: But if -- if your colleague
- is correct, that the green line marked the edge of the
- 14 easement, it would be entirely proper not to -- not to
- 15 arrest him for violating the base until he left the
- 16 easement; that is, when he crossed the green line. It
- 17 has nothing to do with the -- the commanding officer
- 18 saying this is the only part of the installation that we
- 19 care about.
- 20 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, it's not about --
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: It marks the end of the
- 22 easement.
- 23 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Well, you're absolutely
- 24 right, Your Honor. The green line is taken by the
- 25 United States marking the end of the easement. And the

- 1 United States, therefore, can enforce 1382, once
- 2 Mr. Apel or anybody else crosses the green line.
- 3 But, when it is on the public side of the
- 4 green line, on that public road, in that protest area,
- 5 to go back to what Justice Sotomayor said,
- 6 functionally --
- 7 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, Mr. Chemerinsky, does
- 8 that mean that the -- the government could not have
- 9 issued this barment order in the first place?
- 10 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, Your Honor, we do not
- 11 challenge the barment order.
- 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, then I'm -- I'm
- 13 perplexed because if the government has sufficient
- 14 commanding authority to issue the barment order to say,
- 15 notwithstanding that Mr. Apel had not crossed the green
- 16 line, that -- you know, he just can't be here, and he's
- 17 excluded, then why don't they have sufficient authority
- 18 to prevent him from reentering?
- 19 MR. CHEMERINSKY: In both of the instances
- 20 that led to barment orders, he crossed that green line
- 21 that was on the military base side, and if he does that,
- then 1382 applies.
- 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: I see. So --
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But the -- but the
- 25 barment order that Mr. Horwich just read to us says that

- 1 he can use the road, but that's not -- because the road
- is outside the statute, that's because the government,
- 3 as a matter of grace, said right in the order, right in
- 4 the bar order, you can use the road.
- 5 So there's a sharp difference. The
- 6 government says the road is under military control, if
- 7 the commander so chooses, but we're going to let him use
- 8 the road. The government treats the -- the domain as
- 9 including the road, as including this protest area.
- 10 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Your Honor, there is a
- 11 difference between the road and the area within the
- 12 green line. And so what I was saying to Justice Kagan
- is the reason why the barment orders were permissible is
- 14 he crossed the green line.
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: But you're saying that if he
- 16 had not crossed the green line, he could not have been
- 17 excluded?
- 18 MR. CHEMERINSKY: That's correct.
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: And you're saying that the
- 20 military cannot exclude any person from any of this area
- 21 outside the green line?
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: That's correct. 1382 only
- 23 applies to that which is in the exclusive possession of
- 24 the United States, which is the area in the green line.
- 25 JUSTICE SCALIA: So we're back to the real

- 1 estate test. I -- I thought that you were not relying
- 2 on the -- on the exclusive ownership test. You are?
- 3 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Our brief very much doubts
- 4 the exclusive possession test.
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: Oh, okay.
- 6 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Though I do believe, as
- 7 Justice Sotomayor said, there's a functional reason for
- 8 this. It is the difference between the public road and
- 9 the area inside --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: So you're defending the
- 11 Ninth Circuit's?
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: We're very much
- 13 defending --
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, that's what I don't
- 15 understand. Let me press -- at the risk of repetition,
- 16 the reason I'm asking this question is the record is not
- 17 developed. I looked at the Google maps.
- 18 It looked to me like this area is sort of a
- 19 suburban house with a lawn in front of it, and you drive
- 20 along the street, and you suspect that the street may
- 21 belong to the city a little way up the lawn; but beyond
- that, it probably belongs to the homeowner.
- 23 And when you try to see where does the green
- 24 line cross that grassy area, you can't easily tell,
- 25 and -- and it may be just a foot or two. So it may have

- 1 been inconceivable that your client didn't cross the
- 2 green line or maybe he didn't. I don't know.
- 3 So, therefore, you're back to a more basic
- 4 test, and you say, we agree with the Ninth Circuit that,
- 5 if they do not have exclusive control, the military
- 6 can't enforce this statute.
- 7 But what do we do, which was my question,
- 8 about instances where the military does not have
- 9 exclusive control, but the reason it doesn't has nothing
- 10 to do with roads, nothing to do with green lines, it has
- 11 to do with thousands of other easements that have
- 12 nothing to do with this case; therefore, I'm pressing
- 13 you to get a definition of this statute that will serve
- 14 your ends without getting into all these other kinds of
- 15 easements.
- 16 MR. CHEMERINSKY: And I think the functional
- 17 approach that Justice Sotomayor suggested is exactly
- 18 that.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: And what is that, in your
- 20 understanding?
- 21 MR. CHEMERINSKY: I think, here, it is the
- 22 area that the United States government has determined by
- 23 where it's built a fence and drawn the green line, that
- 24 it has exclusive possession.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Really, Mr. Chemerinsky, I

- 1 didn't mean to interrupt your sentence. But, I mean, I
- 2 may own a parcel of property, and I may put up a fence
- 3 around my property, and I may not put the fence right at
- 4 the very edge of the property. I may leave a little
- 5 border between the edge of my property and the place
- 6 where the fence is.
- 7 Now, are you saying that I have ceded
- 8 exclusive control over this area between the fence and
- 9 the end of the property?
- 10 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No. And, in fact, that's
- 11 exactly the situation here, and it goes to answering
- 12 what Justice Breyer began a moment ago. Here, what you
- 13 have is a fully fenced perimeter. About 200 yards from
- 14 that fenced perimeter, where there is a gate, a green
- 15 line was drawn on the ground.
- On just the other side of that green line is
- 17 a designated protest zone in Highway 1. And that's, of
- 18 course, where these activities occurred. And what I was
- 19 saying to Justice Breyer is there are reasons why every
- 20 court of appeals that has considered this has adopted
- 21 the exclusive possession tests.
- It serves the interest of the public in
- 23 giving clear notice for when they're on a military
- 24 base --
- JUSTICE ALITO: What do you mean by,

- 1 "exclusive possession"? Does the fence have any
- 2 relevance? What is the relevance of the fence?
- 3 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Well, the fence is
- 4 tremendously relevant, in determining where the
- 5 government believes the military installation begins,
- 6 and also, it's very important in terms of national
- 7 security.
- 8 JUSTICE ALITO: But there are bases that
- 9 have no fences. So what, there? None of that is under
- 10 the exclusive possession of the government?
- 11 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, Your Honor. The
- 12 government gets to decide the area of exclusive
- 13 possession. So take the Greer case as an example. In
- 14 Greer, this case emphasized that Fort Dix, even though
- 15 it was open, still was in the exclusive possession. In
- 16 fact, the first paragraph of this Court's opinion in
- 17 Greer says, "exclusive possession."
- 18 Maybe the analogy that is closest to this
- 19 case, then, is this Court's decision in United States v.
- 20 Grace, where this Court drew a distinction between the
- 21 sidewalks that run outside this building and the
- 22 building itself.
- And the Court said, as to those sidewalks,
- 24 even though they abut the Supreme Court, it still is
- open for speech purposes. Sidewalks, public roads are

- 1 inherently open --
- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: I'm still completely
- 3 confused about the test that you are asking us to -- to
- 4 apply. Either -- either fences are relevant or they are
- 5 not relevant. If you can have exclusive governmental --
- 6 exclusive military possession of a base where there are
- 7 no fences, I don't really see what the significance is
- 8 of the fence here.
- 9 That's just -- that's just for starters.
- 10 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Obviously, the fence is
- 11 significant, in terms of answering the government's
- 12 concerns with regard to national security. I think the
- 13 fence is also important to go, to Justice Sotomayor's
- 14 point, with regard to the functional approach. My
- 15 answer to your question --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: Excuse me. What about the
- 17 portion of the base on the other side of the road
- 18 easement, where the government does have exclusive
- 19 possession?
- 20 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Well --
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: Is that okay? That's still
- 22 part of the base.
- 23 MR. CHEMERINSKY: But what's interesting, as
- 24 was pointed out --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Yes or no, under your

- 1 theory?
- 2 MR. CHEMERINSKY: The government does not
- 3 have not exclusive possession there. There is a public
- 4 school there, for example, that anyone can drive and go
- 5 to.
- 6 One of the consequences of the government's
- 7 interpretation of 1382 is that, if Mr. Apel had a child
- 8 attending that public school, he could not go pick up
- 9 his child at school, but you or I or anyone else could
- 10 drive --
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: That may be very bad, but
- is it bad because the government does not have exclusive
- 13 possession of every --
- 14 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Yes.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: You know, when you talk
- 16 about the road, they've given an easement, so you can
- 17 say, oh, yeah, they don't have exclusive possession.
- 18 But the other side of the road, that vast tract, you are
- 19 saying the government, what, does or does not have
- 20 exclusive possession?
- 21 MR. CHEMERINSKY: The government does not
- 22 have exclusive possession. The --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Then the word "possession"
- 24 means nothing. You are -- you are applying solely a
- 25 functional test. It only possesses that which it is

- 1 using for military purposes. That is not a possession
- 2 test. It's a purely functional test.
- 3 MR. CHEMERINSKY: But, Your Honor, Congress
- 4 didn't, in 1382, say, "all land owned by the military."
- 5 It used the phrase "military installation," and whenever
- 6 that phrase has been defined, it always refers to the
- 7 area that is reserved to be used for military purposes.
- 8 The United States can draw the green line
- 9 and build the fence wherever it chooses. Here, it
- 10 decided to do so in a particular place, leaving open a
- 11 fully open public road with a designated protest zone.
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry. I have the
- 13 same problem that Justice Breyer has. PXes belong to
- 14 the military, generally, the land, but they are run by
- 15 outside contractors, sometimes. Are you saying because
- 16 they've given up exclusive control of the PX, that they
- 17 fail your test?
- So does the utility company. I don't know
- 19 if it's possible that military bases generate their own
- 20 utilities, but I presume that, somewhere, they don't,
- 21 and they have an easement to drive up and read their
- 22 meters.
- There may be easements for repairs of
- 24 certain underground things that -- that supply the base.
- 25 That's not exclusive control, so I'm -- or possession.

- 1 So what -- what does your test mean?
- 2 MR. CHEMERINSKY: It is where the United
- 3 States chooses to exercise exclusive possession. The
- 4 United States, for example, in Greer, said, we are
- 5 claiming we have exclusive possession over the entire
- 6 area of Fort Dix, even though there was a public road.
- 7 If the government wants to say, even as to the PX within
- 8 Vandenberg, that's within our exclusive possession, they
- 9 can do so.
- But the government, by granting the easement, by
- 11 allowing the public road, by creating the designated
- 12 protest zone, has done something very different than
- 13 exclusive possession.
- 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So that -- that road for
- 15 the public utility for which there is an easement of
- 16 travel is not exclusive to the U.S.? So anybody can
- 17 travel into the base at any time they want to?
- 18 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, Your Honor, because,
- in order to come on to that utility easement, you would
- 20 need the express permission of the base commander. So
- 21 that utility easement would still be an exclusive
- 22 control, to go to Justice Breyer's question --
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You need the express
- 24 permission of the base commander to drive down the road.
- 25 It's just that he has given it to -- to the public at

- 1 large.
- 2 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Well, Your Honor, everyone
- 3 has the authority to drive down that road. Somebody
- 4 who --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Because it's been
- 6 ceded by the United States for the convenience of the
- 7 traveling public.
- 8 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Exactly. And then the
- 9 question is, once the United States has ceded the
- 10 easement, under 1382, can a person be prosecuted? And,
- 11 once the United States has ceded that easement, does it
- 12 violate the First Amendment, in light of this Court's
- 13 decision in Flower, to prosecute --
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I thought you just
- answered the question from Justice Sotomayor by saying,
- 16 no, no, the utility easement doesn't work because the
- 17 military commander has not granted permission to anybody
- 18 else to use that easement.
- 19 Here, we have a public road easement, and
- 20 you said that's different. And my question was: No,
- 21 it's not, because the military commander has given
- 22 permission to use that easement. Now, I want to know
- 23 what distinguishes the two cases.
- 24 MR. CHEMERINSKY: And this goes to
- 25 Justice Kennedy's question, earlier, if we are talking

- 1 about an easement. An easement that is created for a
- 2 public road inherently has free speech rights attached
- 3 to it.
- In fact, many lower court cases have always
- 5 said an easement for a public road includes the right to
- 6 use it for speech purposes. That is very different than
- 7 an easement that exists for purposes of the utility.
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: It seems to me a First
- 9 Amendment argument and not an argument that goes to the
- 10 scope of Section 1382.
- 11 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, Your Honor, because
- 12 you need to interpret the statute to avoid the
- 13 constitutional issues. If you interpret the statute to
- 14 allow excluding speech on this public road easement in
- 15 the designated protest zone, then interpreting the
- 16 statute that way would raise grave First Amendment
- 17 issues.
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: So you are saying we should
- 19 read the statute to say it only applies when it doesn't
- 20 violate the First Amendment. Of course, we'd read it
- 21 that way.
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: Of course, you should read
- 23 it that way.
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: But not because it has
- 25 anything to do with the scope of authority of the

- 1 government. It's what the government can do. I -- I
- 2 don't know how to read that -- that text, in such a way
- 3 that it will avoid all First Amendment problems. There
- 4 is no way to do that.
- 5 MR. CHEMERINSKY: I disagree, Your Honor. I
- 6 think that the reason that every lower court and the
- 7 United States government itself have read "military
- 8 installation" as exclusive possession is that,
- 9 otherwise, it would raise First Amendment problems.
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, you are arguing that
- 11 the military cannot grant an easement across a military
- 12 installation for the purpose of allowing the public to
- 13 drive from a point, let's say, to the south to a point
- 14 to the north, without also granting an easement that
- 15 would allow people to linger along the road and engage
- 16 in First Amendment activity. That -- is that your
- 17 argument?
- 18 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, it is not, Your Honor.
- 19 JUSTICE ALITO: Because I can understand why
- 20 the military might be willing to say, well, fine, we
- 21 understand that it would be very inconvenient to make
- 22 everybody drive around the installation. We'll allow
- 23 them to drive through, but we do not want people
- 24 lingering here because that does create security
- 25 concerns.

- 1 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, Your Honor, that is
- 2 not my position. If the government wanted to have a
- 3 closed base and say, we're going to allow this road to
- 4 go through, but there were signs to let everyone know
- 5 they were still on the closed base, there were guards
- 6 that were there, that is Greer. That is not this case,
- 7 and that's not Flower.
- 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: Your -- your argument is
- 9 sort of a use it or lose it argument, is that -- is that
- 10 correct? That the government has this commanding
- 11 authority, unless the government uses it to its full
- 12 extent every day of the week, it loses it?
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: Well, in a sense, yes,
- 14 Your Honor. The sense is that the government gets to
- 15 decide where to draw the green line. The government
- 16 gets to decide where to put the fence. And when they
- 17 decided to create a public road with a protest zone
- 18 outside of it, then to interpret 1382 applied, as I said
- 19 to Justice Scalia --
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: One of the arguments that
- 21 the government makes is, look, what the military wants
- 22 to do here is something very sensible. It keeps tight
- 23 what it needs to keep tight, but it allows to be more
- 24 open areas that can -- that it -- it doesn't have an
- 25 interest in securing entirely.

- 1 And that's for the convenience of military
- 2 personnel. It's for the convenience of other people who
- 3 live around the base. What's wrong with that?
- 4 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Your Honor, what's wrong
- 5 with that is there is no need to exclude peaceful
- 6 protesters from the public road in a protest zone, in
- 7 order to achieve the national security interest of the
- 8 government.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, that's usually
- 10 the sort of determination that's left to the military
- 11 commander. I can think of a lot of reasons why the
- 12 commander would not want a gathering of people on the
- 13 road, but would be willing to let people drive through
- 14 the road.
- 15 MR. CHEMERINSKY: That's exactly right, Your
- 16 Honor. The military commander gets to decide that. But
- 17 by creating a designated protest zone outside of that,
- 18 it's indicative that the military commander doesn't
- 19 perceive any national security threat from allowing a
- 20 gathering there.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, it indicates,
- 22 as the -- the barment order does, that he does see some
- 23 kind of threat by allowing somebody in there who's
- 24 vandalized the base in the past.
- 25 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Your Honor, but we -- this

- 1 Court has never said there's a permanent forfeiture of
- 2 First Amendment rights because somebody misbehaved at
- 3 one time.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is there -- can
- 5 there be a temporary forfeiture of First Amendment
- 6 rights?
- 7 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Of course, there can be.
- 8 People can be in prison and lose their First Amendment
- 9 rights. There can be restraining orders issued.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I'm talking
- 11 about what this case is about, which is the temporary
- 12 exclusion. Would your case be the same if Mr. Apel was
- 13 barred for one year?
- 14 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Well, he can be barred
- 15 from coming onto the base, as drawn by the green line,
- 16 for one year of --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, he can traverse
- 18 it. He can traverse. There -- there's no question of
- 19 that. Could he be barred from participating in protests
- 20 for a year because he vandalized the base?
- 21 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Yes, Your Honor, a
- 22 sentence could include that. There's no doubt that
- 23 there could be -- or if somebody was perceived --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Now, here, as I
- 25 understand it, he was barred permanently, subject to the

- 1 right of him to apply for removal of the barment.
- 2 MR. CHEMERINSKY: That's correct, Your
- 3 Honor. There is the ability to appeal a bar order to
- 4 the commander.
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: It's more than that. It
- 6 says I mean -- you know, if you wanted to take someone
- 7 to school. It said -- first, if you need medical
- 8 treatment, you can just go in, and then it said you --
- 9 you have to receive prior written approval from me, the
- 10 commander, or my designee, but if you get it -- so I
- 11 suppose if he had a child at the school, he would ask
- 12 and they'd give it to him.
- I mean, it didn't seem to me an absolute
- 14 bar. It seemed to me a bar for purposes of going to
- 15 that particular --
- 16 MR. CHEMERINSKY: He can ask the commander
- 17 of the base who issued the bar order for permission to
- 18 use it. Your Honors --
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Do we have to get into any
- 20 of that? Do we -- just like I don't know where he
- 21 really was physically. I don't know whether that grass
- 22 strip is within something, without something. These all
- 23 sound like First Amendment relevant matters.
- 24 MR. CHEMERINSKY: But they're also relevant
- 25 to the statute. They're relevant to interpret the

- 1 statute to avoid constitutional doubt. They're relevant
- 2 because --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: To avoid -- just to
- 4 interrupt -- to avoid grave constitutional doubts.
- 5 MR. CHEMERINSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
- And, Your Honor, also, since every other
- 7 court has interpreted the statute, as we're suggesting,
- 8 there's at least ambiguity, which, under the Rule of
- 9 Lenity, means it has to be construed in favor of a
- 10 criminal defendant.
- 11 Like so many cases to come before you, this
- 12 one is about where do you draw the line. Here, the
- 13 government has drawn the line, and it's a green line.
- 14 Now, on this side of the green line, there is a First
- 15 Amendment right to speak.
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. The Rule
- 17 of Lenity, you said in favor of a criminal defendant.
- MR. CHEMERINSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We're talking about
- 20 barment here. Is that a criminal sanction?
- 21 MR. CHEMERINSKY: 1382 is the criminal
- 22 statute that he was convicted of violating.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right, but this
- 24 doesn't -- you're not allowed to collaterally attack the
- 25 barment decision.

- 1 MR. CHEMERINSKY: No, Your Honor. What
- 2 we're saying is you have to interpret the words
- 3 "military installation." There are two different
- 4 interpretations or perhaps more has come out. What
- 5 we're saying is you have to choose the interpretation
- 6 that favors the criminal defendant.
- 7 So we are using the Rule of Lenity, relative
- 8 to interpreting the statute, and that's why we believe
- 9 that both, in terms of the statute and in terms of the
- 10 First Amendment, the Ninth Circuit should be affirmed.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 13 Mr. Horwich, five minutes remaining.
- 14 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF BENJAMIN J. HORWICH
- 15 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- 16 MR. HORWICH: Thank you. Just a couple of
- 17 points.
- 18 I -- I think the colloquy with my friend
- 19 sort of shows that taking this functional approach to
- 20 where the statute applies day-to-day, place-to-place, is
- 21 really just not going to prove workable. And we have
- 22 to, remember, this is a misdemeanor prosecution. This
- 23 is not something that should entail an extremely
- 24 extensive subtle inquiry that is going to vary from
- 25 place-to-place.

- 1 And So I think that that shows the wisdom of
- 2 what the Court said in the Benson case, which we quote
- 3 at page 15 and 16 of our reply brief, which explains.
- 4 It says that, "When a tract has been legally reserved
- 5 for military purposes, courts follow the action of the
- 6 political department of the government and will not
- 7 inquire what the actual use is to which any portion of the
- 8 reserve is temporarily put."
- 9 As for the Ninth Circuit's approach, which
- 10 is this sort of real estate based analysis, I think
- 11 there have been a number of hypotheticals offered that
- 12 show why that's going to produce some borderline absurd
- 13 or entirely absurd results.
- And so that's why we come back to, again,
- 15 respecting the decision to place -- to place the
- 16 installation under military command and that
- 17 Section 1382 provides the sanction to enforce the orders
- 18 entered pursuant to that lawful command.
- I might say one word about the green line
- 20 and its -- its relevance. My friend says that it is
- 21 sort of the threshold across which 1382 applies or
- 22 doesn't apply. The relevance in a 1382 prosecution of
- 23 the green line comes in a prosecution under the first
- 24 paragraph for violating a regulation.
- 25 At Joint Appendix 51, there's the

- 1 commander's order closing the base, and what it says is,
- 2 "Pursuant to my authority, Vandenberg Air Force Base is
- 3 a closed base. General rule covering the entire command
- 4 authority."
- 5 Paragraph 2, "The roadway easements through
- 6 Vandenberg have limited use as provided," et cetera, et
- 7 cetera. "Use and occupation is for these purposes only
- 8 and is subject to any rules and regulations the
- 9 installation commander may prescribe," and so forth.
- 10 So what the green line is, is it's defining
- 11 the boundary between the closed base -- the generally
- 12 closed base -- and the road, which is open. So that is
- 13 what ensures that someone who is driving on the road is
- 14 not committing an offense against the commander's
- 15 regulations, if they are just an ordinary member of the
- 16 public.
- 17 And, of course, if they cross over the line,
- 18 then they are violating the closed base regulation if
- 19 they don't have the commander's permission to cross that
- 20 line.
- 21 So the -- what is different, of course,
- 22 about Respondent is that he's been barred from the base,
- 23 so the rules that apply to the general public don't
- 24 apply to him. Of course, that's the very purpose of the
- 25 second paragraph of Section 1382, is to recognize that

- 1 commanders can make individualized determinations that
- 2 the rules that work, generally, for the public don't
- 3 work for particular people who show themselves to be
- 4 willing to vandalize government property or disobey the
- 5 instructions to remain within the areas that they may
- 6 lawfully be present in.
- 7 And, of course, that's the basis for why
- 8 Respondent was barred.
- 9 JUSTICE KAGAN: May I just ask a background
- 10 question, Mr. Horwich? What's the history of this First
- 11 Amendment area? What -- when did this speech area come
- 12 into being?
- 13 MR. HORWICH: There -- there was a
- 14 settlement of litigation with the commander in the late
- 15 1980s. It's in the -- it's reproduced -- part of it is
- 16 reproduced in the Joint Appendix -- which I guess shows
- 17 a couple things -- in which the commander agreed that there
- 18 would be a place on the base where --
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: Was the litigation
- 20 essentially like this one, basically saying that this
- 21 was not under military command?
- 22 MR. HORWICH: To be honest, I'm not familiar
- 23 with the particular legal contentions there. But I
- 24 think what the settlement does show is that if it's the
- 25 commander who is authorizing this, the commander remains

- 1 in charge of this.
- 2 And, of course, the current protest policy,
- 3 which is reproduced in the Joint Appendix, is quite
- 4 clear that the commander retains authority to -- and
- 5 control the time and place of the protests and, of
- 6 course, makes it clear that people who are barred can't
- 7 come back.
- 8 So on that point, with respect to the
- 9 constitutional avoidance argument, I think, as the Chief
- 10 Justice pointed out, it needs to be a serious
- 11 constitutional doubt. And I think what this Court has
- 12 said in Virginia against Hicks puts any of those doubts to
- 13 rest.
- 14 The Court said, in that -- in that case,
- 15 that the First Amendment permits, quote, "The punishment
- of a person who has, pursuant to lawful regulation, been
- 17 banned from a public park" --- so I think a fortiori a
- 18 military base -- "after vandalizing it and who ignores
- 19 that ban in order to take part in a political
- 20 demonstration."
- 21 The Court has -- so the Court I think has
- 22 already settled this.
- Thank you.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- The case is submitted.

1	(Whereu	pon,	at	11:03	a.m.,	the	case	in	the
2	above-entitle	ed ma	atter	was	submit	ted.	)		
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

A	56:2	appeals 23:15,22	49:20	backed 7:23
ability 14:6,17 52:3	albertini 27:16	40:20	arrangement 18:6	background 57:9
aboveentitled 1:11	alerted 34:23	appearances 1:14	18:10	backs 10:4
59:2	<b>alito</b> 6:5 11:11	appendix 12:7	arrest 35:15	backyard 32:2
absolute 52:13	20:12 21:1 22:3	55:25 57:16 58:3	arrests 16:5,7	<b>bad</b> 43:11,12
absolutely 13:14	22:14 24:20,24	application 22:2,24	arsenal 5:11 8:3	<b>ban</b> 58:19
35:23	39:25 40:25 41:8	<b>applied</b> 27:8 49:18	article 28:23	banned 58:17
absurd 31:21 55:12	42:2 48:10,19	<b>applies</b> 29:9 36:22	ascertaining 7:4	<b>bar</b> 12:5 26:17 37:4
55:13	alitos 8:10	37:23 47:19 54:20	ascribing 7:14	52:3,14,14,17
absurdities 21:16	<b>allow</b> 13:24 31:9	55:21	asked 20:14	<b>barbara</b> 9:18 11:13
21:16	47:14 48:15,22	apply 26:10,25	asking 38:16 42:3	28:7
abut 41:24	49:3	27:3,13 31:11	asks 18:2	barment 7:21
access 21:2	allowed 18:4,5	42:4 52:1 55:22	aspect 32:3,4	11:20,20 36:9,11
accommodate 12:1	53:24	56:23,24	assault 15:8	36:14,20,25 37:13
12:2	allowing 45:11	applying 43:24	assigned 6:2,4 9:13	50:22 52:1 53:20
accommodations	48:12 50:19,23	appointed 5:25	assimilative 15:19	53:25
18:10	allows 49:23	appointing 6:1	22:24	barments 14:12
accurate 4:24	altered 4:17	approach 21:22	assistant 1:15	18:14
accurately 6:18	alternative 31:5	22:1 25:15 39:17	assume 14:15 20:22	<b>barred</b> 12:21 18:3
achieve 50:7	ambiguity 53:8	42:14 54:19 55:9	attached 47:2	26:20 51:13,14,19
act 15:19 22:24	amendment 26:12	appropriate 16:12	attack 53:24	51:25 56:22 57:8
action 55:5	26:19 27:20 28:12	16:12 18:7 19:6	attending 43:8	58:6
actively 17:14	28:20 29:7,12,16	approval 52:9	attorneys 4:16,18	base 3:11 5:6 6:4
activities 27:18	30:16,21 31:9,23	appurtenant 23:18	<b>authority</b> 5:20 7:19	7:8 12:9,20 13:13
28:17 40:18	32:3 46:12 47:9	apt 6:16	7:22 9:12,21 10:4	13:23 14:6,25
activity 48:16	47:16,20 48:3,9	area 6:17 7:7 8:15	14:20 15:4 16:16	15:1 20:23 22:17
actual 25:20 55:7	48:16 51:2,5,8	9:2 10:7 13:23	17:24 18:12,13,18	23:17,18 25:12,20
added 3:13	52:23 53:15 54:10	15:14 16:2 17:6	19:6 23:18 24:14	26:16 27:14 28:21
address 11:4 29:25	57:11 58:15	17:14 18:14 20:1	24:18 33:7,21	31:10 32:24 33:8
adjacent 23:17	americans 29:4	32:11 35:3,8 36:4	36:14,17 46:3	33:9 34:7,9,17
administer 24:10	amtrak 12:12	37:9,11,20,24	47:25 49:11 56:2	35:15 36:21 40:24
administration	16:22 17:1,1,15	38:9,18,24 39:22	56:4 58:4	42:6,17,22 44:24
9:14 17:23	analogy 41:18	40:8 41:12 44:7	authorizing 57:25	45:17,20,24 49:3
adopted 40:20	analysis 21:7 32:9	45:6 57:11,11	avoid 29:11 30:21	49:5 50:3,24
advice 4:2	32:10,19 55:10	areas 6:6,18 9:5	47:12 48:3 53:1,3	51:15,20 52:17
affirmed 54:10	answer 8:9 32:10	49:24 57:5	53:4	56:1,2,3,11,12,18
african 29:4	42:15	arent 6:22 23:19	avoidance 58:9	56:22 57:18 58:18
agencies 24:10,18	answered 46:15	argue 5:3	avoids 31:21	based 21:2,3,6,7
agency 24:15	answering 40:11	argued 26:24		55:10
ago 40:12	42:11	arguing 27:1 48:10	B	bases 5:24 6:2 41:8
agree 32:18 39:4	anybody 36:2	argument 1:12 2:2	<b>b</b> 12:23 13:1	44:19
agreed 33:14 57:17	45:16 46:17	2:5,8 3:3,6 26:2	back 10:10 22:19	<b>basic</b> 39:3
agreement 28:7	apel 1:6 3:4 18:21	27:5,21 28:12	24:17 28:2,19	basically 57:20
agreements 14:2	35:4 36:2,15 43:7	47:9,9 48:17 49:8	29:23 32:8 33:17	basis 57:7
air 3:22 5:6 7:8	51:12	49:9 54:14 58:9	36:5 37:25 39:3	bears 20:5,6
9:13 12:9 17:22	appeal 52:3	arguments 27:3	55:14 58:7	began 35:11 40:12
7,10 14,7 17,44				

beginning 35:3	california 1:18	36:7,10,19 37:10	<b>closing</b> 9:15 56:1	company 28:2
begins 32:21 33:2	11:15 25:5,6	37:18,22 38:3,6	coast 11:16 23:16	30:18 44:18
35:8 41:5	33:12	38:12 39:16,21,25	<b>code</b> 26:10	completely 8:1
behalf 1:17,19 2:4	called 16:5	40:10 41:3,11	coextensive 7:18	20:23 32:18 42:2
2:7,10 3:7 26:3	camp 8:12,15	42:10,20,23 43:2	coincidence 21:21	comprehensively
54:15	cant 18:21 20:5,5,9	43:14,21 44:3	collaterally 53:24	5:10
believe 15:11,12,13	24:11 28:2,3	45:2,18 46:2,8,24	colleague 35:12	concentrate 27:20
22:17 38:6 54:8	36:16 38:24 39:6	47:11,22 48:5,18	colloquy 54:18	28:13
believes 41:5	58:6	49:1,13 50:4,15	come 45:19 53:11	concerns 42:12
<b>belong</b> 38:21 44:13	care 35:19	50:25 51:7,14,21	54:4 55:14 57:11	48:25
belongs 38:22	carved 11:22	52:2,16,24 53:5	58:7	concession 11:23
benefits 34:2	case 3:4 4:12 5:5	53:18,21 54:1	comes 3:19 5:5	concomitant 14:13
benjamin 1:15 2:3	10:9,23 11:2,12	<b>chief</b> 3:3,8 25:25	15:23 23:15 25:7	concurrent 20:21
2:9 3:6 54:14	17:4 26:6,13,19	26:4 34:20 45:23	29:13 55:23	22:8
benson 55:2	26:21,25 27:20	46:5,14 50:9,21	<b>coming</b> 19:11 51:15	condition 28:23
<b>beyond</b> 38:21	28:20 32:3 35:9	51:4,10,17,24	<b>command</b> 5:13,14	<b>condo</b> 13:24
<b>big</b> 33:6	39:12 41:13,14,19	53:3,16,19,23	5:18,19 8:4,8	confines 33:8
bigger 9:24	49:6 51:11,12	54:12 58:9,24	10:21 14:7,10	confirm 4:7
<b>bind</b> 4:20	55:2 58:14,25	<b>child</b> 43:7,9 52:11	15:4 17:2,24	confirmed 9:16
binding 4:10	59:1	choose 54:5	18:15 20:1 23:6	confused 42:3
<b>board</b> 24:12	cases 11:6 23:16	<b>chooses</b> 37:7 44:9	23:12,22 24:6	congress 12:24
border 40:5	35:6 46:23 47:4	45:3	55:16,18 56:3	44:3
borderline 55:12	53:11	<b>circuit</b> 3:13 30:4,17	57:21	consequences 43:6
boundary 56:11	cede 22:13	39:4 54:10	commander 9:21	conservative 4:2
bracket 16:25	ceded 15:16 22:16	<b>circuits</b> 4:24 21:2	10:1 15:4 19:7,10	considered 40:20
breyer 29:23 30:2,3	33:25 40:7 46:6,9	21:17,22 22:1	28:8,21 29:4 37:7	considering 8:14
31:8,16,19 38:14	46:11	25:15 35:9 38:11	45:20,24 46:17,21	consistent 12:2
39:19 40:12,19	certain 15:12 44:24	55:9	50:11,12,16,18	29:3
44:13 52:5,19	certainly 4:9,23 9:7	cited 35:6	52:4,10,16 56:9	constitution 22:12
breyers 45:22	13:2 15:13 23:7	city 28:7 38:21	57:14,17,25,25	29:3
<b>brief</b> 7:1,12 13:3	24:3 28:22,22	civilian 5:21,23	58:4	constitutional
23:25 31:4 38:3	certiorari 29:8	civilians 33:9	commanders 5:25	10:17,23 11:1,5
55:3	cetera 56:6,7	claim 34:6	7:19,21 15:3	27:8 29:12 47:13
briefs 35:7	challenge 36:11	claiming 45:5	17:24 20:1 23:18	53:1,4 58:9,11
bringing 19:20	chance 34:24	clapping 19:24	56:1,14,19 57:1	construed 27:22
brought 15:5	changed 4:13	class 12:23 13:1	<b>commanding</b> 3:12	53:9
<b>buffer</b> 6:20	character 13:21	clause 22:12	5:21 6:2,3 9:9,12	contentions 57:23
<b>build</b> 44:9	charge 58:1	clear 4:18 9:8,21	10:22 18:12 19:2	context 5:21 20:4
<b>building</b> 41:21,22	chemerinsky 1:18	27:16 40:23 58:4	33:4,7,14,22,24	23:13
buildings 6:8 13:24	2:6 26:1,2,4 27:2	58:6	35:17 36:14 49:10	continues 14:14
built 32:19 39:23	27:10,12 28:4,10	client 30:5,17 39:1	commands 6:1	contractors 44:15
bureau 13:17	28:14,25 29:10,20	close 9:4,5,5 28:21 33:8	commit 11:17	contrary 4:11
<b>buying</b> 32:5,15	29:24 30:24 31:14		<b>committed</b> 20:17	14:16 33:2
	31:18,24 32:17	closed 9:2,8 49:3,5	21:4	control 8:5 9:22 14:17 16:23 22:23
c 1:8,16 2:1 3:1	33:6,16,23 34:13	56:3,11,12,18 closest 41:18	committing 56:14 common 16:9	
21.0,10 2.1 3.1	34:18 35:1,20,23	CIOSEST 41.18	COMMON 10.9	32:21 33:1,3,5,25
			i e	i e

34:6 35:2 37:6	<b>covers</b> 5:12 19:17	53:17 54:6	39:1,2 44:4 52:13	drew 41:20
			,	drive 11:13 19:20
39:5,9 40:8 44:16	create 4:19 48:24	defending 5:4	difference 31:25	
44:25 45:22 58:5	49:17	38:10,13	33:7,18,21 37:5	32:23 38:19 43:4
convenience 11:24	created 34:14,15	defends 3:19	37:11 38:8	43:10 44:21 45:24
12:3 46:6 50:1,2	47:1	defense 5:24 13:10	differences 11:1	46:3 48:13,22,23
convicted 35:5	creating 45:11	defined 44:6	<b>different</b> 16:15,18	50:13
53:22	50:17	defines 5:24	17:16 18:9 30:10	driving 56:13
conviction 3:14	crew 25:7	defining 31:1 32:16	34:16 45:12 46:20	drugs 15:5
correct 12:17 22:18	crews 25:3	35:7 56:10	47:6 54:3 56:21	
24:2 27:10 35:13	crime 20:17	definition 32:6	differently 32:14	
37:18,22 49:10	crimes 15:18,19	39:13	difficulty 23:22	e 2:1 3:1,1 31:12,21
52:2	21:3 22:24 34:5	definitions 32:8	30:3	earlier 46:25
corresponds 24:14	criminal 4:21 20:19	delete 4:14	<b>disagree</b> 8:21 48:5	easement 9:17,17
<b>couldnt</b> 12:14 29:4	22:7 53:10,17,20	demarcate 25:14	disagreement 5:1	9:22 11:23 18:16
counsel 25:25	53:21 54:6	demonstrate 30:18	disobey 57:4	21:8,20,21,23
54:12 58:24	criteria 4:8	demonstration	dispensation 18:22	25:14 27:24,24,25
<b>county</b> 9:18 15:25	criterion 3:23	31:10 58:20	<b>dispute</b> 18:15 27:13	28:1,6,7,15,15,16
16:16,17	cross 38:24 39:1	dennis 1:6	distinction 41:20	28:24 29:2 30:7
<b>couple</b> 3:25 14:25	56:17,19	deny 33:4	distinguishes 46:23	30:11,19 31:12
15:1 25:21 54:16	<b>crossed</b> 35:4,10,16	department 1:16	district 15:2	32:1,1,2 33:15
57:17	36:15,20 37:14,16	4:20 5:24 9:13	dix 41:14 45:6	34:2,11 35:2,14
course 4:25 5:4,14	crosses 36:2	17:22 25:7 55:6	doesnt 4:9,9,19 8:8	35:16,22,25 42:18
12:18 19:15 21:19	crow 25:21	described 6:18	20:6 21:18 31:11	43:16 44:21 45:10
29:13,20,24 40:18	crucial 33:22	10:20	39:9 46:16 47:19	45:15,19,21 46:10
47:20,22 51:7	current 58:2	description 6:16	49:24 50:18 53:24	46:11,16,18,19,22
56:17,21,24 57:7		designated 23:17	55:22	47:1,1,5,7,14
58:2,6	D	26:7 33:19 34:15	doing 11:18 33:10	48:11,14
court 1:1,12 3:9,18	<b>d</b> 1:8,16 3:1	40:17 44:11 45:11	domain 37:8	easements 21:18
4:10,25 10:16,23	dangerous 9:3 15:5	47:15 50:17	dont 7:16 8:4 11:13	25:4 27:23 39:11
10:25 11:3,6	day 9:15 49:12	designee 52:10	11:14 15:11 17:1	39:15 44:23 56:5
14:12 26:5,8	daytoday 54:20	<b>detailed</b> 20:17,19	22:4 24:18 29:19	easily 38:24
27:16 29:13 40:20	deal 24:9 34:9	detain 16:6,12	32:3,13 33:1	edge 25:23 35:13
41:20,23,24 47:4	deals 15:9 19:18	17:12	36:17 38:14 39:2	40:4,5
48:6 51:1 53:7	debarment 14:20	determination	42:7 43:17 44:18	effective 12:8
55:2 58:11,14,21	decades 26:8	50:10	44:20 48:2 52:20	egress 21:25
58:21	december 1:9	determinations	52:21 56:19,23	either 24:19 42:4,4
courts 4:3,8,10 5:1	decide 41:12 49:15	57:1	57:2	electrical 32:11
23:15,22 26:14	49:16 50:16	determine 19:3	doubt 11:5,6 27:7	elementary 15:13
31:7 41:16,19	<b>decided</b> 11:7 13:23	20:19	51:22 53:1 58:11	emphasized 41:14
46:12 55:5	22:11 44:10 49:17	determined 9:10,14	doubts 29:12 38:3	empowered 14:11
cover 19:25	decision 41:19	12:24 18:7 39:22	53:4 58:12	16:5,7
coverage 7:18 11:3	46:13 53:25 55:15	determining 5:17	draw 44:8 49:15	enclaves 22:11
19:16	defeat 22:1	41:4	53:12	ends 39:14
covered 4:6 10:19	defend 29:15	developed 38:17	drawn 25:2 32:20	<b>enforce</b> 36:1 39:6
17:25 23:23	defendant 3:14	didn 40:1	39:23 40:15 51:15	55:17
covering 56:3	6:24 7:1,2 53:10	didnt 11:12 26:25	53:13	enforcement 14:4
covering 50.5	,,_	ululit 11.12 20.23	JJ.1J	
	l	l	l	ı

15:18 16:7,13	41:1,10,12,15,17	fine 48:20	friend 54:18 55:20	32:8 37:7 49:3
enforces 34:5	42:5,6,18 43:3,12	first 4:1,17 11:4	front 38:19	52:14 54:21,24
enforcing 19:18	43:17,20,22 44:16	13:9 17:5 19:17	<b>full</b> 49:11	55:12
engage 48:15	44:25 45:3,5,8,13	26:12,19,20 27:20	fully 26:7 27:17	good 26:4
enormous 6:20	45:16,21 48:8	27:20 28:12,19	40:13 44:11	<b>google</b> 38:17
ensures 56:13	exclusively 20:20	29:7,12,16 30:15	<b>function</b> 32:9,12	government 10:7
<b>entail</b> 54:23	21:11 22:7 24:12	30:21 31:8,23	functional 7:13,17	13:23 24:10 30:7
enter 12:9	excuse 42:16	32:3 36:9 41:16	32:19 33:18 38:7	36:8,13 37:2,6,8
<b>entered</b> 14:2 55:18	exercise 9:22 45:3	46:12 47:8,16,20	39:16 42:14 43:25	39:22 41:5,10,12
<b>entire</b> 17:14 33:5	exists 47:7	48:3,9,16 51:2,5,8	44:2 54:19	42:18 43:2,12,19
45:5 56:3	explain 17:2	52:7,23 53:14	functionally 34:16	43:21 45:7,10
entirely 35:14	explains 55:3	54:10 55:23 57:10	36:6	48:1,1,7 49:2,10
49:25 55:13	explanation 3:18	58:15	functioning 14:13	49:11,14,15,21
entitled 34:8	<b>express</b> 45:20,23	<b>five</b> 54:13		50:8 53:13 55:6
entry 21:24	extend 7:22	flammable 19:11	G	57:4
equate 8:7	extensive 54:24	19:21	<b>g</b> 3:1	governmental 42:5
erwin 1:18 2:6 26:2	<b>extent</b> 5:21 7:4	flavor 8:11	gate 40:14	governments 42:11
esq 1:15,18 2:3,6,9	11:9 12:2 25:14	flies 25:21	gathering 50:12,20	43:6
essentially 4:1 7:19	49:12	flower 10:16 11:2,2	general 1:16 56:3	grace 18:22 37:3
10:12 20:10 57:20	extremely 54:23	26:15,15,17,22,22	56:23	41:20
established 4:8	<b>F</b>	27:13,16 46:13	generally 24:11,13	<b>grant</b> 48:11
estate 38:1 55:10		49:7	44:14 56:11 57:2	<b>granted</b> 29:8 46:17
et 56:6,6	facilities 6:8 12:16	flowers 10:7,7,11	generate 44:19	granting 45:10
everybody 48:22	facility 8:11	10:12,14 27:6,11	geographic 7:5	48:14
exactly 14:18,22	fact 4:6 10:14,19	<b>follow</b> 8:1 55:5	getting 30:22 39:14	grass 30:5 52:21
23:19 31:16,24	40:10 41:16 47:4	foot 38:25	ginsburg 3:21,25	grassy 38:24
39:17 40:11 46:8	facts 34:22 fail 44:17	<b>footnote</b> 13:4 31:4	4:12 10:5,14 12:4 18:20,24 26:13,22	grave 26:12 47:16
50:15	familiar 57:22	force 3:22 5:6 7:8	27:6,11,12 36:24	53:4
example 8:23 13:22	far 25:9	9:13 12:9 15:25	give 13:21 23:3	great 12:25
14:23 15:8 16:9	favor 53:9,17	17:22 56:2	34:10,24 52:12	greater 11:8
16:17 22:24 23:2	favors 54:6	forces 16:4 17:5,8	given 3:18 43:16	green 8:13,15
29:3 33:9 35:3	federal 13:12 15:18	forest 13:18	44:16 45:25 46:21	24:21,25 25:10,11
41:13 43:4 45:4	15:20 16:2 20:18	forfeiture 51:1,5	gives 23:6	25:15 32:21,22
<b>exception</b> 11:22 12:5	20:21,21 22:7	<b>formal</b> 14:7,10 16:5	giving 40:23	33:2 34:21 35:3,4 35:13,16,24 36:2
exclude 29:4 37:20	23:1 24:7 26:8,11	fort 5:11 8:3 10:22	<b>go</b> 7:6,6 10:10	36:4,15,20 37:12
50:5	fee 17:19	41:14 45:6	12:14 18:2,5	37:14,16,21,24
excluded 36:17	feed 20:5,6,8,9	forth 10:10 19:12	22:20,21 25:9	38:23 39:2,10,23
37:17	fence 32:20 39:23	28:23 56:9	27:15 29:23 30:17	40:14,16 44:8
excluding 47:14	40:2,3,6,8 41:1,2	fortiori 58:17	31:9,12 33:17	49:15 51:15 53:13
exclusion 51:12	41:3 42:8,10,13	forts 32:13	36:5 42:13 43:4,8	53:14 55:19,23
exclusion 31.12 exclusive 3:16,22	44:9 49:16	found 3:14 8:15	45:22 49:4 52:8	56:10
4:14 15:15 16:2	fenced 40:13,14	21:8	goes 6:12 16:23	greer 41:13,14,17
26:11 27:4 30:7	fences 41:9 42:4,7	four 13:24 31:12	18:4 28:15 40:11	45:4 49:6
31:5 37:23 38:2,4	fields 6:10,19	free 47:2	46:24 47:9	grizzly 20:5,6
39:5,9,24 40:8,21	<b>figure</b> 20:17	freestanding 23:1	going 9:2 23:11	ground 40:15
57.5,7,21 10.0,21				5.04.14.10.12
L	1	ı	ı	1

grounds 10:17	50:25 51:21 52:3	inconceivable 39:1	interpretations	15:7,20,23 16:20
<b>guard</b> 23:16	53:5,6,18 54:1	incongruity 20:1	54:4	17:8,11,13 18:16
guards 49:5	honors 52:18	inconsistent 19:4	interpreted 26:10	18:20,24 19:6,9
guess 5:6 8:20	horwich 1:15 2:3,9	inconvenience 10:2	53:7	20:12,14 21:1,12
16:20 21:25 57:16	3:5,6,8,24 4:15	inconvenient 48:21	interpreting 29:11	22:3,14 23:9,24
guide 4:22,23 25:2	5:17,19 6:14 7:16	incorrect 4:24	47:15 54:8	24:20,24 25:25
	8:20 9:7,19,23	indicate 32:23	<b>interrupt</b> 40:1 53:4	26:5,13,18,22,24
H	10:13 11:19 12:6	indicates 50:21	invited 12:16	27:6,11,12,15,19
hand 19:23	12:17 13:2,8,14	indicative 50:18	involve 30:15	28:5,11,19 29:6
happens 15:7	13:19 14:9,18,22	indistinguishable	<b>involved</b> 11:7 26:15	29:18,21,23 30:2
happy 10:25	15:10,22 16:1,25	9:1 26:14	26:16	30:3,11 31:2,8,16
harms 34:4	17:10,12,15 18:23	individual 26:16	irvine 1:18	31:19,25 32:5
hasnt 4:16	19:1,13 20:12,25	individualized 57:1	isnt 3:17 5:4 23:8	33:1,13,17,20
hear 3:3	21:5,14 22:10,15	individually 12:19	24:5	34:8,18,20 35:12
heart 31:9	24:2,23 25:1 27:7	inherently 42:1	issue 14:11,12	35:21 36:5,7,12
held 4:10 21:9	34:21 36:25 54:13	47:2	18:13,13 22:22	36:23,24 37:12,15
29:14	54:14,16 57:10,13	inquire 55:7	29:7,7,14 36:14	37:19,25 38:5,7
hes 21:21 36:16	57:22	inquiry 54:24	issued 11:21 36:9	38:10,14 39:17,19
56:22	house 38:19	inside 25:19 38:9	51:9 52:17	39:25 40:12,19,25
hicks 58:12	houston 10:22	installation 5:7,12	issues 26:12 47:13	41:8 42:2,13,16
hierarchy 13:4	hypothetical 14:23	6:7,12 8:4 11:8	47:17	42:21,25 43:11,15
high 33:24	hypotheticals	13:20 14:14 19:8	issuing 14:19	43:23 44:12,13
<b>highway</b> 8:17,25	55:11	19:16 27:4 30:1	items 8:2	45:14,22,23 46:5
9:1 10:10 11:16		30:25 32:14 33:3	ive 28:1	46:14,15,25 47:8
12:11,12 16:11,15	I	33:5 35:18 41:5		47:18,24 48:10,19
16:22 18:4,17,21	identified 12:19	44:5 48:8,12,22	J	49:8,19,20 50:9
19:3,20 22:20	ignores 58:18	54:3 55:16 56:9	<b>j</b> 1:15 2:3,9 3:6	50:21 51:4,10,17
32:22 33:12,25	ill 13:21 17:2	installations 6:6	54:14	51:24 52:5,19
40:17	illegal 11:14	14:17	jag 3:22 4:4	53:3,16,19,23
highways 22:19,21	im 7:25 8:17 10:25	instances 36:19	<b>john</b> 1:6 26:16	54:12 57:9,19
history 57:10	15:20 16:20 29:21	39:8	joint 12:7 55:25	58:10,24
hold 28:3	30:3 32:17 36:12	instructions 57:5	57:16 58:3	
holder 27:24	36:12 38:16 39:12	intended 4:19,20	judgment 29:15	K
<b>holding</b> 21:2 26:14	42:2 44:12,25	interest 21:9 40:22	jurisdiction 5:22	<b>kagan</b> 5:16 7:9
holes 24:16,19	51:10 53:16 57:22	49:25 50:7	15:15 16:3 20:20	13:19 15:7,20,23
homeowner 38:22	<b>imagine</b> 18:9 30:13	interested 11:1	21:3 22:8,9,15,16	16:20 17:8,11,13
honest 57:22	immediately 12:8	29:8	22:19 23:5 35:8	20:14 23:9,24
honor 4:15 27:2	impact 9:3	interesting 42:23	jurisdictional 7:20	31:2 34:18 36:7
28:4,10,17 29:2	<b>imply</b> 11:3	<b>interests</b> 18:8 31:23	justice 1:16 3:3,8	36:12,23 37:12,15
29:10,17,24 30:24	important 41:6	internet 7:7	3:21,25 4:12,20	37:19 49:8,20
31:14 32:17 33:6	42:13	interpret 30:20	5:16 6:5 7:9,25	57:9,19
33:16 34:13 35:24	inartfully 6:25	47:12,13 49:18	8:9 9:4,16,19,20	kagans 14:23
36:10 37:10 41:11	include 51:22	52:25 54:2	9:23 10:5,14	keep 8:17 29:6 32:8
44:3 45:18 46:2	includes 28:16 47:5	interpretation	11:11 12:4,14,22	49:23
47:11 48:5,18	including 14:19	26:12 30:22 31:4	13:6,11,14,19	keeps 49:22
49:1,14 50:4,16	37:9,9	31:5,20 43:7 54:5	14:15,19,23,24	kennedy 9:16,19,20

9:24 18:16 19:9 **left** 10:6,8 35:15 lower 26:8 31:6 meters 44:22 national 12:24 middle 12:7 15:14 13:15 20:3 41:6 26:18 27:19 28:5 50:10 47:4 48:6 legal 4:2 57:23 miles 25:19,21,22 42:12 50:7.19 28:11,19 30:11 M nature 7:20 11:23 kennedys 27:15 legally 55:4 military 3:11 5:7 m 1:13 3:2 59:1 31:25 46:25 legislative 15:15 5:13,14,18,19,25 naval 23:16 maintaining 25:5 kind 6:20 7:12 8:25 lenity 53:9,17 54:7 6:5,12 7:14,22 8:6 necessary 14:13 34:3 13:4 21:16 23:19 15:6 19:10 lesser 11:8 8:11,18,22 9:14 management 13:17 **liable** 34:4 9:21 10:1 11:8,25 need 8:10 12:25 50:23 24:15,18 kinds 30:10 39:14 lie 25:19 13:12,20,23 14:4 15:18 16:11 20:16 manual 3:22 4:13 know 8:12 11:13,14 lies 25:23 14:6,8,10,11,14 20:19 25:8 45:20 4:16,18 13:22 17:18 18:1 **light** 46:12 15:21,24 16:3,3 45:23 47:12 50:5 map 7:6,7,9 20:17 21:19 22:4 25:6,8 limited 21:18 56:6 17:2,10,11,12,13 52:7 20:19 17:23 19:16 20:16 31:17,20 32:13 line 8:13 13:9 24:22 needs 6:24 7:2 9:6 maps 38:17 34:10 35:1 36:16 24:25 25:10,15 20:23 22:6 23:5,6 9:15 12:3 19:4,7 maria 12:11 39:2 43:15 44:18 32:21,22 33:2 23:12,21 24:6 49:23 58:10 marked 7:8 35:13 46:22 48:2 49:4 34:21 35:3,4,9,10 26:16 27:4,14 neither 21:6 marking 35:25 52:6,20,21 35:13,16,24 36:2 28:8 29:25 30:25 **never** 51:1 marks 35:21 knowledge 7:2 36:4,16,20 37:12 31:10 32:12,12,19 **ninth** 3:13 21:2,16 materials 19:11,21 37:14.16.21.24 32:24 35:8.10 21:22 22:1 25:15 L matter 1:11 3:15 38:24 39:2,23 36:21 37:6,20 30:4,16 38:11 lacked 30:7 7:22 18:22 22:11 40:15,16 44:8 39:5,8 40:23 41:5 39:4 54:10 55:9 land 13:17 17:19 26:9 37:3 59:2 49:15 51:15 53:12 42:6 44:1,4,5,7,14 normal 27:23 24:15,17 44:4,14 matters 52:23 53:13,13,14 55:19 44:19 46:17.21 **north** 48:14 lands 8:18,22 20:18 maximally 10:2 55:23 56:10,17,20 48:7,11,11,20 **notice** 6:24 7:3 8:10 24:11 **mccov** 35:9 lines 25:11 35:7 49:21 50:1,10,16 40:23 large 6:18 46:1 mean 8:23 10:8 39:10 50:18 54:3 55:5 notwithstanding **larger** 18:11 13:21 16:21 20:7 10:19 36:15 linger 48:15 55:16 57:21 58:18 late 57:14 21:18 30:13.25 lingering 48:24 militarys 18:8 19:4 nowadays 7:6 launch 8:24 19:12 32:7 34:19 36:8 list 5:10 8:2 **million** 30:13 **number** 55:11 40:1,1,25 45:1 28.22 mineral 21:24 listen 29:19 0 launches 6:21 52:6,13 **litigation** 57:14,19 **minutes** 54:13 o 2:1 3:1 law 3:15 7:22 14:3 meaning 12:10 little 38:21 40:4 misbehaved 51:2 15:18 16:6,12 17:9 29:25 **obtains** 16:15 live 50:3 misdemeanor 3:10 lawful 5:20 55:18 meanings 4:21 obviously 18:6 **local** 14:3 7:23 10:3 11:17 means 19:16 20:5 42:10 58:16 12:23 13:1 19:24 located 6:6 lawfully 57:6 27:17 43:24 53:9 occupation 56:7 lompoc 12:10,11 20:8,9 24:17 lawn 38:19,21 medical 52:7 occurred 40:18 long 5:10 14:12 54:22 **lead** 21:17 **meet** 4:7 occurs 22:5 30:18 **modify** 4:21 odd 9:25 12:22 leadership 5:23 member 56:15 longer 3:19 moment 23:3 40:12 lease 4:5 members 15:24,25 19:14 look 7:5,6 8:1 9:17 months 31:12 leased 15:2 22:4,5 **oddity** 19:19 memorandum 20:16 49:21 morning 3:4 26:4 offense 20:20 56:14 leases 23:4 33:11.23 **movie** 13:25 looked 38:17.18 leasing 23:13 mentioned 8:2 offered 55:11 lose 13:21 14:6 N leave 34:10 40:4 34:21 officer 3:12 6:3.3 49:9 51:8 **leaving** 44:10 mere 8:7 **n** 2:1,1 3:1 9:9,12 10:22 14:8 loses 49:12 led 36:20 meter 31:13 32:11 narrow 17:19 14:10,11 18:12 lot 6:9,10 50:11

19:2 33:4,8,14,22	overburden 27:25	<b>people</b> 8:14 10:10	please 3:9 26:5	president 5:25
33:24 35:17	overburdening	30:12 48:15,23	plenty 24:4	press 38:15
officers 5:22 14:4	28:5	50:2,12,13 51:8	point 7:12 8:21	1 <del>-</del>
16:7 22:20	overinclusive 24:1	57:3 58:6	9:25 10:15 12:21	pressing 39:12
				presumably 7:9
official 16:13	overruled 10:12	perceive 50:19	13:20 14:5 18:11	presume 44:20
officials 18:7	owned 9:10 10:7	perceived 51:23	21:8 24:8 25:18	pretty 6:16 13:6
oh 38:5,14 43:17	17:19,21 23:19	percent 23:10	27:6 42:14 48:13	prevent 36:18
okay 38:5 42:21	31:2 44:4	perfect 23:12	48:13 58:8	previously 35:4
<b>old</b> 14:1	owner 27:23	<b>perimeter</b> 25:20,20	<b>pointed</b> 26:13 31:3	<b>prior</b> 26:14 52:9
once 31:11 34:14	ownership 8:7 23:8	32:20 40:13,14	42:24 58:10	prison 51:8
34:15 35:10 36:1	23:9,25 24:7	permanent 51:1	<b>points</b> 18:16 54:17	private 13:24
46:9,11	28:13 38:2	permanently 51:25	<b>police</b> 15:21,25	probably 25:2
ones 13:7,8	owns 7:10 24:4,5	permissible 37:13	16:3,17 28:8	38:22
open 6:10,10,19		permission 45:20	policing 14:3	problem 26:19
11:9 26:7 27:17	<u> </u>	45:24 46:17,22	policy 58:2	30:21 44:13
41:15,25 42:1	<b>p</b> 3:1	52:17 56:19	<b>political</b> 55:6 58:19	problematic 23:14
44:10,11 49:24	pacific 11:16	permit 14:16	portion 42:17 55:7	problems 48:3,9
56:12	page 2:2 12:6 55:3	permits 58:15	posing 12:19	produce 55:12
opening 13:3	parades 9:5	perplexed 36:13	<b>position</b> 5:1 10:5	<b>proper</b> 15:17 35:14
operated 32:12	paragraph 12:7	person 3:11 8:17	10:15 21:17 31:15	properly 34:23
operation 8:23	19:17 28:18,23,25	31:9 37:20 46:10	31:20 49:2	<b>property</b> 3:15 7:10
operational 8:5,6	41:16 55:24 56:5	58:16	possess 21:11	7:13 9:10,22 10:9
operations 8:19	56:25	personnel 17:13	possesses 43:25	10:21 12:13 13:12
opinion 3:22 4:5	parcel 40:2	50:2	possession 3:16,23	21:7,9 22:4 23:5
41:16	park 13:16 20:4,6	petitioner 1:4,17	4:14 26:11 27:5	24:3,4,5 28:2,13
oral 1:11 2:2,5 3:6	58:17	2:4,10 3:7 54:15	30:8 31:6 37:23	31:1 32:6,9,15
26:2	parks 20:4	pg 31:12,21	38:4 39:24 40:21	40:2,3,4,5,9 57:4
order 10:3 11:20	part 6:9 9:15 17:23	phrase 30:25 44:5	41:1,10,13,15,17	prosecute 46:13
12:5,20 26:17	19:25 32:24 35:18	44:6	42:6,19 43:3,13	prosecuted 15:19
36:9,11,14,25	42:22 57:15 58:19	physically 52:21	43:17,20,22,23	33:10 46:10
37:3,4 45:19 50:7	participating 51:19	pick 43:8	44:1,25 45:3,5,8	prosecution 54:22
50:22 52:3,17	particular 4:6 5:15	picnic 28:3	45:13 48:8	55:22,23
56:1 58:19	6:2 8:12 19:3	picture 9:24	possible 44:19	protect 12:23 13:1
ordered 3:12 12:8	20:20 25:18 32:10	piece 30:5	post 5:11 8:3	18:8 31:22
orders 11:21 14:16	44:10 52:15 57:3	1 <del>-</del>	post 5.11 8.3 posted 6:11,21	
	57:23	place 3:15 4:6 5:14	_ ·	protest 11:12 26:7
14:20 36:20 37:13	particularly 6:21	5:18 6:7 7:5,5	potential 9:3	26:8,20 33:19
51:9 55:17	particularly 0.21 parts 6:21,22 17:25	10:2 25:19 35:5	precisely 6:19 9:19	34:15 36:4 37:9
ordinarily 11:4	25:3	36:9 40:5 44:10	9:23 10:16 19:5	40:17 44:11 45:12
ordinary 11:20	passes 12:12	55:15,15 57:18	19:13	47:15 49:17 50:6
56:15	-	58:5	premise 8:21	50:17 58:2
outer 25:20	patrol 17:14 22:20 33:12 34:1	placed 9:11,11	premises 15:9,11	protesters 50:6
outside 8:15 25:1		places 5:10,12 11:9	prepared 19:12	protests 51:19 58:5
25:23 33:9 34:22	patrolling 17:7	16:21,24 17:4	prescribe 56:9	prove 54:21
34:23 37:2,21	peaceful 26:20 50:5	23:5,7,11 25:12	present 57:6	provided 56:6
41:21 44:15 49:18	peacefully 26:6	placetoplace 54:20	presented 22:23	provides 55:17
50:17	pending 4:13	54:25	preserve 10:3	<b>public</b> 8:16,16 9:6

	ĺ			İ
10:2,9,20 11:9,24	R	regular 14:1	respond 15:4 16:8	48:15 49:3,17
12:3,15 20:24	r 3:1	regulate 18:17	16:13,17 34:24	50:6,13,14 56:12
26:7 27:17 28:15	railroad 17:20	regulation 19:22	respondent 1:19	56:13
28:16 32:1 33:10	raise 26:12 29:14	55:24 56:18 58:16	2:7 3:19 5:3,4	roads 9:5 16:10
33:18,25 34:6,15	29:18 47:16 48:9	regulations 14:11	11:11 20:11 21:8	39:10 41:25
36:3,4 38:8 40:22	raised 29:14 34:20	18:13 19:19 28:9	21:19 26:3 29:14	roadway 56:5
41:25 43:3,8	range 5:12	29:1,2 56:8,15	56:22 57:8	roberts 3:3 25:25
44:11 45:6,11,15	reaching 11:4	regulatory 7:21	respondents 19:14	34:20 45:23 46:5
45:25 46:7,19	read 31:12 32:7,14	24:14	19:15 21:12,14	46:14 50:9,21
47:2,5,14 48:12	36:25 44:21 47:19	rejects 31:3	response 15:17	51:4,10,17,24
49:17 50:6 56:16	47:20,22 48:2,7	relative 54:7	17:5	53:3,16,19,23
56:23 57:2 58:17	reading 19:15,15	relevance 41:2,2	responsible 4:4	54:12 58:24
publics 12:16	19:19 32:11	55:20,22	25:5 34:3	rocket 6:20 28:21
pull 7:7	real 3:15 21:7,9	relevant 41:4 42:4	rest 34:16 58:13	route 27:17
<b>punch</b> 24:16,19	32:6,9,15 37:25	42:5 52:23,24,25	restaurants 13:25	rule 20:4 28:18
punishment 58:15	55:10	53:1	restraining 51:9	53:8,16 54:7 56:3
purely 44:2	really 13:25 18:15	relying 38:1	result 9:25 19:14	rules 27:23 29:1,2
purpose 21:20	22:22 39:25 42:7	remain 57:5	31:21	56:8,23 57:2
48:12 56:24	52:21 54:21	remaining 54:13	results 55:13	run 8:5 17:18 19:7
<b>purposes</b> 7:14 9:1	reason 5:9 9:8	remains 57:25	resurfacing 25:4	41:21 44:14
41:25 44:1,7 47:6	12:18 25:16 30:4	remember 54:22	retains 58:4	runs 13:4
47:7 52:14 55:5	31:11 37:13 38:7	removal 52:1	retroceded 22:18	rural 6:6,17
56:7	38:16 39:9 48:6	rendering 4:4	ridiculous 30:23	
pursuant 55:18	reasonable 28:9	repairs 44:23	right 4:5 10:6	S
56:2 58:16	reasons 40:19	repave 25:8	14:22 15:6 22:14	<b>s</b> 2:1 3:1 4:16,18
<b>put</b> 6:24 18:18	50:11	repeatedly 29:13	23:8,9 26:6 27:18	9:18 13:1 45:16
31:10 40:2,3	rebuttal 2:8 54:14	repetition 38:15	28:6,16,17 29:20	sam 10:22
49:16 55:8	receive 52:9	reply 31:4 55:3	30:8,9 31:18 33:5	<b>sanction</b> 7:24 10:4
puts 23:12 58:12	recognize 56:25	represented 34:22	35:24 37:3,3 40:3	18:19 53:20 55:17
px 44:16 45:7	recognized 14:12	reproduced 57:15	47:5 50:15 52:1	sanctions 13:5,11
pxes 44:13	recognizes 4:2	57:16 58:3	53:15,23	24:17
	record 25:2 34:22	require 9:15	rights 4:19 21:24	santa 9:18 11:13
Q	34:24 38:16	requirement 3:13	21:25 47:2 51:2,6	12:11 28:7
quality 8:7	reenter 3:11	3:17,20 4:3 5:4	51:9	saw 30:9
<b>question</b> 5:19 8:10	reentering 36:18	requires 27:4	risk 38:15	saying 18:25 29:22
10:18,24 11:5	refer 6:25	reservation 5:11	road 20:8,9 25:3,3	35:18 37:12,15,19
20:13 27:9,15	reference 4:3,22,23	8:3 19:5 22:6	25:5,7,19,22 26:7	40:7,19 43:19
30:24 31:25 38:16	referred 14:24	reservations 20:16	28:15,16 29:5	44:15 46:15 47:18
39:7 42:15 45:22	referring 4:15	32:13	30:6 32:1 33:10	54:2,5 57:20
46:9,15,20,25	refers 5:10 44:6	reserve 19:5 25:24	33:18,25 34:4,4,5	says 12:8 18:16
51:18 57:10	reflect 4:1	55:8	34:7,15 35:7 36:4	20:4 21:7 22:12
quite 4:13 8:17	reflects 7:10	reserved 44:7 55:4	37:1,1,4,6,8,9,11	28:25 36:25 37:6
11:24 15:11 23:8	regard 29:1 42:12	resolve 5:1 10:16	38:8 42:17 43:16	41:17 52:6 55:4
34:23 58:3	42:14	respect 6:25 16:21	43:18 44:11 45:6	55:20 56:1
<b>quote</b> 55:2 58:15	regarding 23:16	24:9 58:8	45:11,14,24 46:3	scalia 13:11,14
	regime 20:10	respecting 55:15	46:19 47:2,5,14	14:15,19 19:6

21:12 26:24 29:6	sheriff 16:17	sources 3:25	street 10:11,20	35:24
29:18,21 33:1,13	shouldnt 34:14	south 48:13	26:15,23 27:14	talk 8:12 10:25
33:20 34:8 35:12	show 7:7 55:12	south 40.13	38:20,20	43:15
35:20 34:6 33:12	57:3,24	speak 53:15	strip 17:19 52:22	talking 16:1 23:3
38:10 42:16,21,25	shows 54:19 55:1	speaking 25:1	strongly 11:3	46:25 51:10 53:19
43:11,15,23 47:8	57:16	speech 27:18 28:17	structure 4:5	tell 5:16 8:13 38:24
47:18,24 49:19	shutting 10:2	41:25 47:2,6,14	stuff 28:6	tells 25:16
scattered 25:11	side 25:16 32:22	57:11	subject 5:2,13,14	temporarily 55:8
school 8:16 12:15	36:3,21 40:16	standard 28:6	5:18 15:3 18:15	temporary 51:5,11
14:24 15:2,8,9,10	42:17 43:18 53:14	starters 7:5 42:9	30:6 51:25 56:8	term 32:16 33:15
15:13,14 16:22	sidewalks 41:21,23	state 22:12,16,19	subjected 26:17	terms 8:6 9:17
43:4,8,9 52:7,11	41:25	34:3,4,5,10	submitted 58:25	11:19,20 25:4
schools 15:1	sign 30:6	states 1:1,3,12 3:4	59:2	28:14 34:11 41:6
scope 47:10,25	significance 24:24	3:16 9:11 15:16	subordinate 6:1	42:11 54:9,9
sealed 20:23	42:7	15:16 17:22 21:10	subservient 27:24	territory 6:9
second 27:5 56:25	significant 7:11	21:11 22:6,13,16	subsurface 21:24	test 5:17 7:13,17,21
section 3:10 4:18	25:15 42:11	22:17,18 23:4,11	<b>subtle</b> 54:24	23:8,9,25 38:1,2,4
19:17 26:10 35:11	signs 32:23 49:4	24:4,5 26:9,10,15	suburban 38:19	39:4 42:3 43:25
47:10 55:17 56:25	simply 4:22	31:2,3 34:1 35:2	sufficient 18:7	44:2,2,17 45:1
sections 8:14	situation 16:15	35:25 36:1 37:24	36:13,17	tests 40:21
securing 49:25	18:1 23:4,15	39:22 41:19 44:8	suggest 7:12	text 3:17 48:2
security 12:3,20,24	40:11	45:3,4 46:6,9,11	suggested 7:20	thank 3:8 25:25
16:4 17:5,8 23:16	six 13:24	48:7	39:17	54:11,12,16 58:23
41:7 42:12 48:24	sixth 35:8	<b>station</b> 5:11 8:3	suggesting 7:17,17	58:24
50:7,19	sliding 29:6	12:12 16:22 17:1	53:7	thats 4:25 5:14
see 6:9 18:9 24:18	solely 43:24	17:1,16,17,18	suggests 19:6 20:11	7:11 8:25 9:2,16
36:23 38:23 42:7	solicitor 1:15	18:2,5	<b>supply</b> 44:24	12:17,17 14:22
50:22	somebody 6:12	status 16:18	supportive 10:15	15:6 16:2,19 19:4
seeing 23:23	18:1,2 35:10 46:3	statute 3:18 5:9 8:2	<b>suppose</b> 6:7,11	20:10,10,25 21:12
sense 8:9 16:6 34:3	50:23 51:2,23	13:15,16,17 19:25	11:11 13:22 19:9	22:10,10,22 23:7
49:13,14	someplace 20:18	20:11 22:25,25,25	22:4,5 52:11	24:2 26:21,21,25
sensible 49:22	somewhat 16:14	23:1 24:13,19	<b>supposed</b> 20:7 25:9	27:10,19 28:5,22
sentence 40:1 51:22	sorry 15:20 44:12	26:25 27:21,22	30:20	29:5,12,20,21,21
separate 8:4 22:22	53:16	29:9,11 30:21	supreme 1:1,12	31:2,6,16,18,19
separated 32:4	sort 7:20 11:25	31:11,21 32:8	41:24	31:24 32:2,12
serious 58:10	13:9 19:23 21:20 23:9 25:23 38:18	37:2 39:6,13	sure 7:25 8:17 35:1	33:14,22 34:9
serve 6:19 39:13		47:12,13,16,19	surf 12:12	35:11 37:1,2,18
serves 40:22	49:9 50:10 54:19	52:25 53:1,7,22	suspect 38:20	37:22 38:14 40:10
service 13:16,18 20:4	55:10,21	54:8,9,20 statutes 4:21 24:9	T	40:17 42:9,9,21 44:25 45:8 46:20
set 5:23 28:22	sotomayor 7:25 9:4 12:14,22 13:6	statutes 4.21 24.9 statutory 10:15	t 2:1,1 40:1	
set 3.23 28.22 settled 58:22	14:24 32:5 33:17	32:4	take 8:20,23,23	49:7 50:1,9,10,15 52:2 54:8 55:12
settlement 57:14,24	36:5 38:7 39:17	stimulated 20:13	11:13 18:3 31:15	55:14 56:24 57:7
settlement 37.14,24 severe 13:7,8	44:12 45:14 46:15	stinulated 20.13 stop 16:10 19:10	34:10 41:13 52:6	theaters 13:25
severe 15.7,8 shades 25:12	sotomayors 42:13	stop 10.10 19.10 stores 12:15	58:19	theory 21:13,15
sharp 37:5	sound 19:23 52:23	stores 12.13 strange 20:2 22:2	taken 25:13 35:7	43:1
snar p 57.5	Sound 17.23 32.23	Strange 20.2 22.2		_TJ.1
L	I	I	I	1

theres 6:9,11 8:24	tomorrow 8:24	15:16 17:21 21:10		<b>wouldnt</b> 19:24,25
11:21 12:18 13:15	town 14:1	21:11 22:6,13,16	<u>W</u>	20:3 24:16 31:17
13:16,17 15:8	tracks 17:17	22:17,18 23:4,11	wait 16:12 18:5	wound 11:25
16:18,18 21:23,23	tract 43:18 55:4	24:4,5 26:9,10,15	walk 11:15,15	written 52:9
24:13 25:10 26:11	traffic 16:10	31:2,3 34:1 35:2	want 11:12 15:11	wrong 7:14 16:23
27:13 32:25 37:5		35:25 36:1 37:24	23:11 30:13 31:10	S
	train 18:2,3,5	39:22 41:19 44:8	31:19,22 34:2,5	34:12 50:3,4
38:7 51:1,18,22 53:8 55:25	transportation 25:7		34:24 45:17 46:22	X
theyre 16:4,4 18:3	travel 12:10 18:4	45:2,4 46:6,9,11 48:7	48:23 50:12	x 1:2,7
18:5 23:21,23	25:22 45:16,17	units 6:2	wanted 11:12,15	A 1.2,7
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		49:2 52:6	Y
25:13 30:11,12,12	traveling 46:7 traverse 11:22	urging 10:12 use 12:3 18:17,21	wants 28:21 34:1	yard 5:11 8:3
33:10,11 34:8		19:3 27:18 28:16	45:7 49:21	yards 32:13 40:13
40:23 52:24,25 53:1	12:10 51:17,18		washington 1:8,16	yeah 20:7 43:17
	treatment 52:8	37:1,4,7 46:18,22 47:6 49:9 52:18	wasnt 11:5 21:19	year 51:13,16,20
<b>theyve</b> 18:3 34:14 34:15 43:16 44:16	treats 35:2 37:8	55:7 56:6,7	waters 23:17	youre 4:4 18:24
	tremendously 41:4	,	way 4:5 5:22 19:2	20:7 27:1 28:17
thing 4:4 12:23,25 13:3	trespassing 13:12 35:5	uses 49:11 usually 23:10 50:9	22:10 23:20 31:1	28:19 32:15 35:23
	truck 19:20,21	utilities 30:12	38:21 47:16,21,23	37:15,19 38:10
things 3:25 5:22 6:15 16:10 21:6	truck 19.20,21	44:20	48:2,4	39:3 53:24
44:24 57:17	true 20:23 23:10		ways 34:1,9,14	youve 8:13,16
think 3:24 4:24 5:7	24:11,12,13	utility 21:23 28:2 30:18 32:2 44:18	weapons 15:5	
5:8 6:18,23,25 7:2	try 38:23	45:15,19,21 46:16	wed 47:20	Z
8:4 9:24 10:6,14	trying 12:1,1	47:7	wednesday 1:9	<b>zone</b> 6:20 9:3 26:8
13:9,19 14:9 17:1	turned 14:1	47.7	week 49:12	33:19 34:16 40:17
18:11,15 23:3,8	turns 19:15	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	went 10:23	44:11 45:12 47:15
24:2 25:13 27:7	two 27:2 38:25	v 1:5 3:4 26:15	whats 5:17 7:11	49:17 50:6,17
31:24 32:3,18	46:23 54:3	41:19	22:23 33:22 34:12	<b>zones</b> 23:16
39:16,21 42:12	T0.23 54.3	vandalize 57:4	42:23 50:3,4	
48:6 50:11 54:18	U	vandalized 50:24	57:10	0 0 1 12 2 2 50 1
55:1,10 57:24	<b>u</b> 4:16,18 9:18 13:1	51:20	white 35:9,10	<b>03</b> 1:13 3:2 59:1
58:9,11,17,21	45:16	vandalizing 58:18	whos 16:22 50:23	1
thought 5:20 10:16	underground	vandenberg 5:6,13	wide 25:9	19:1 12:11 31:4
19:10 23:24,25	44:24	6:17 7:8 8:25 9:9	willing 48:20 50:13 57:4	32:22 40:17
30:9 38:1 46:14	underinclusive	11:21 12:9 32:20	wisdom 55:1	<b>10</b> 1:13 3:2
thousands 30:10	24:1	45:8 56:2,6	won 30:5	<b>11</b> 59:1
39:11	understand 21:1,5	vandenbergs 12:13	wondering 16:21	<b>121038</b> 1:4 3:4
threat 12:19,25	21:6 28:12 38:15	various 25:12	wondering 10.21 wont 20:7	<b>1382</b> 3:10 4:7 7:18
50:19,23	48:19,21 51:25	vary 54:24	word 21:15 43:23	7:24 10:19 11:3,7
three 13:25	understanding	vast 43:18	55:19	19:17 22:2 26:10
threshold 55:21	20:15 33:11,24	violate 46:12 47:20	words 54:2	27:3,8,13 35:11
tight 49:22,23	39:20	violating 35:15	work 46:16 57:2,3	36:1,22 37:22
time 19:11 23:10	unfair 11:24	53:22 55:24 56:18	workable 54:21	43:7 44:4 46:10
26:21 45:17 51:3	unit 6:3,4	violation 19:21	workable 34.21 worn 25:13	47:10 49:18 53:21
58:5	<b>united</b> 1:1,3,12 3:4	virginia 58:12	worse 12:1	55:17,21,22 56:25
times 10:3	3:16 9:11 15:15		worth 23:3	<b>15</b> 55:3
			WOI CII 43.3	
	-	-	-	

,		Page 70
16 55:3 18 26:10 1832 27:3 1980s 57:15		
2 2 12:7 56:5 200 40:13 2013 1:9 246 12:12 26 2:7		
3 3 2:4 4 4 1:9 28:23,25		
5 51 55:25 54 2:10 6		
64 12:6,8 7 8		
<b>9</b> <b>95</b> 23:9		