1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TH	E UNITED STATES
2		x
3	ROBERT LOUIS MARRAMA,	:
4	Petitioner	:
5	V.	: No. 05-996
6	CITIZENS BANK OF	:
7	MASSACHUSETTS, ET AL.	:
8		x
9	Washin	gton, D.C.
LO	Monday	, November 6, 2006
L1		
L2	The above-entit	led matter came on for oral
L3	argument before the Supreme C	ourt of the United States
L 4	at 10:04 a.m.	
L5	APPEARANCES:	
L 6	DAVID G. BAKER, ESQ., Boston,	Mass; on behalf of
L7	the Petitioner.	
L8	G. ERIC BRUNSTAD, JR., ESQ.,	Hartford, Conn; on
L 9	behalf of the Respondents.	
20	LISA S. BLATT, ESQ., Assistan	t to the Solicitor
21	General, Department of Jus	tice, Washington, D.C.;
22	on behalf the United State	s, as amicus curiae,
23	supporting Respondents.	
24		
25		

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	DAVID G. BAKER, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	G. ERIC BRUNSTAD, JR., ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondents	26
8	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	LISA S. BLATT, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the United States, as amicus	
11	curiae, supporting Respondents	45
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
13	DAVID G. BAKER, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of Petitioner	53
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:04 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument
4	first today in Marrama versus Citizens Bank of
5	Massachusetts. Mr. Baker.
6	ORAL ARGUMENT OF DAVID G. BAKER
7	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
8	MR. BAKER: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
9	please the Court:
10	Section 706(a) of the Bankruptcy Code
11	provides that a debtor may convert a case under chapter
12	7 to a case under any other chapter of the Bankruptcy Code
13	at any time if the case has not been converted previously
14	from another chapter, and that any waiver of the right
15	to convert a case under the subsection is unenforceable.
16	Other subsections of section 706 give rules for the
17	Court to decide about conversion in the case where some
18	party other than the debtor requests conversion of the
19	case and also provides that the conversion must lead to
20	a chapter to which that debtor is qualified to be a
21	debtor.
22	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Baker, as I
23	understand it subsequent to the grant of certiorari in
24	this case, your client filed for relief under Chapter 13
25	and that relief was denied. You're now seeking under

1		20++++02	000150	_	20211022102	+ ~	Chanton	1 2	~ ~ ~]	
Τ.	your	petition	seeks	a	conversion	LO	Chapter	$T \supset$	ana	\perp

- 2 guess I wonder what relief is still open to you.
- 3 MR. BAKER: In the present case or the new
- 4 case?
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What relief is open
- 6 to you in this case? In other words, you're trying to
- 7 get a conversion to Chapter 13. Subsequently, you've
- 8 tried to apply for relief under Chapter 13 and that's
- 9 been denied. Why isn't the case moot in the sense that
- 10 that relief is not available to you now?
- 11 MR. BAKER: The circumstances of the new
- 12 case are entirely different. In fact, in that -- in the
- 13 present -- the new case, the court decided that he was not
- 14 eligible because his debt limit exceeded the statutory
- 15 limitations that exist section 109(e). There is a
- 16 three-year time span between the two, and we believe
- 17 that the existing case is not moot because he still has
- 18 remedies that he can obtain in Chapter 13.
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But if he isn't
- 20 eligible -- the new case determined that he was not
- 21 eligible because his debts were too high. He didn't
- 22 incur additional debts between the time of the
- 23 proceeding one and proceeding two?
- MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor, he did.
- 25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Or he didn't reduce the

- 1 debt. If we have a finding from the bankruptcy court
- 2 that he is ineligible, that is number one condition
- 3 to convert into Chapter 13. If you don't meet that
- 4 condition, that's the end of the matter.
- 5 MR. BAKER: I wouldn't say it would be the
- 6 end of the matter in the present case because the
- 7 eligibility was never questioned below, was never a
- 8 factor below.
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But now there has been a
- 10 finding, and it's an essential finding, that there be
- 11 eligibility. And wouldn't the bankruptcy court's
- 12 findings in the later case have preclusive effect?
- MR. BAKER: In the prior case? I would say
- 14 no, Your Honor. First, because as I mentioned, the
- 15 issue of eligibility within the monetary limits was
- 16 never raised below. And in fact, if you look at his
- 17 schedules in the supplemental joint appendix, he is
- 18 clearly within the statutory limits based -- just
- 19 looking at his schedules.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But that's a question you
- 21 can argue on appeal in -- from the recent decisions, but
- 22 for the moment you have a bankruptcy court making that
- 23 determination, which I think would be preclusive on
- 24 another bankruptcy court.
- MR. BAKER: The -- well, the -- the

- 1 bankruptcy court made a decision in the current case,
- 2 the new case, but they haven't dismissed it. Dismissal
- 3 is in fact on appeal to the District Court for the
- 4 District of Massachusetts. The reason is, in our view
- 5 is that the bankruptcy court in the new case incorrectly
- 6 looked back to the claims that had been filed in the prior
- 7 case.
- Now, it is a difficult issue in some
- 9 respects because there is case law at least in
- 10 Massachusetts or the First Circuit that says a debtor
- 11 does not have standing to object to claims in a Chapter
- 12 7 case. So the fact that a number of claims were
- 13 filed and argued doesn't relate to, in a prior case,
- 14 does not have a preclusive effect in the new case.
- Now we did, in fact, object to quite a
- 16 number of claims and the eligibility, and I think that
- 17 ultimately once the claim objections are resolved, we
- 18 will be within the statutory limits.
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If the decision is
- 20 affirmed on appeal to the First Circuit, do you think
- 21 you could still argue that there's qualification for
- 22 Chapter 13, after the court of appeals has affirmed a
- 23 determination that there isn't?
- 24 MR. BAKER: I'm -- I don't quite follow the
- 25 question. Would you mind restating it?

- 1 JUSTICE GINSBURG: We have now a judgment that
- 2 this debtor is ineligible for Chapter 13. If that
- 3 judgment is affirmed on appeal to the court of appeals,
- 4 can you nonetheless argue that somehow there is no
- 5 preclusive effect?
- 6 MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor, because there's
- 7 a three-year difference between the two and
- 8 substantially different facts. The -- we have to go
- 9 back, I think, to the case that's at bar, because it is
- 10 those claims, the claims that were filed in the current
- 11 case that are the issue. As I say, we are in the process
- 12 of doing objections to those claims and I think that
- 13 ultimately we will come within them.
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: They're not at issue as far
- 15 as this mootness question goes. I mean, you're seeking
- 16 to have the right to file under 13.
- 17 And if, in fact, there's no eligibility to
- 18 file under 13, you're asking for the impossible. The
- 19 case is simply -- you know -- it's just air. So I
- 20 guess, perhaps you rely on the fact that the case is
- 21 still on appeal. Should we not take as a given that
- 22 there is a judgment that you don't qualify for 13, and
- 23 yet you're coming before us asking us to say that you
- 24 can apply under Chapter 13. It doesn't make any sense.
- MR. BAKER: Well, I would respectfully say --

- 1 suggest that it does, Your Honor, because once -- we don't
- 2 really get to the eligibility question until the court
- 3 below considers it in the context of the case that's at bar.
- 4 We have to, as I say, we are in the process of objecting to
- 5 claims and resolving them. Now the --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, didn't you make that
- 7 argument to the bankruptcy court that found that you
- 8 were not eligible?
- 9 MR. BAKER: No, Your Honor, because as I
- 10 mentioned before, the case law in the First Circuit up
- 11 to this point has held that a Chapter 7 debtor does not
- 12 have standing to object to claims. Now in the new case,
- in fact, the bankruptcy court --
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: That's what I'm asking. A
- 15 Chapter 7 debtor doesn't, but a Chapter 13 debtor
- 16 presumably does. So didn't you make the same argument
- 17 to the bankruptcy court.
- 18 MR. BAKER: In the old case, yes, once we
- 19 converted the chapter.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: And they rejected it?
- 21 MR. BAKER: No. I beg your pardon, Your
- 22 Honor. No, we did not address eligibility in the
- 23 present case.
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't care about the
- 25 present case. I care about the Chapter 13 case in which

- 1 you have been found not to qualify for Chapter 13
- 2 treatment. Didn't you make before that court the same
- 3 argument you're making now that some of the debts
- 4 shouldn't be counted.
- 5 MR. BAKER: I did.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: They rejected it, right?
- 7 MR. BAKER: Pardon?
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: And they rejected it?
- 9 MR. BAKER: The bankruptcy court did reject
- 10 it, yes. But they rejected it because, as I say, up to
- 11 that point the case law had held that we did not have
- 12 standing to object to the claims, so we were bound by
- 13 what was there.
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: But you said it was only a
- 15 Chapter 7 debtor who couldn't object.
- MR. BAKER: Right.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: But you are applying under
- 18 Chapter 13.
- 19 MR. BAKER: Right. What I'm trying to say
- 20 is in the previous Chapter 7 case we lacked standing to
- 21 object to those claims and that in the new Chapter 13
- 22 case the court took the Chapter 7 case claims and said,
- you're bound by these in the new chapter 13 case.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Didn't you object to that
- 25 and say you should look at these claims afresh?

- 1 MR. BAKER: Yes.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: And what did the court say?
- 3 Did it say it had to or that it did so and still found
- 4 them over the limit.
- 5 MR. BAKER: It -- the bankruptcy court in
- 6 the new case said -- pardon? The bankruptcy court in
- 7 the new case said that there are circumstances under
- 8 which a debtor would have standing. This was in effect
- 9 a new rule of law for that, for this district. So
- 10 subsequent to that decision we did, in fact, object to
- 11 quite a number of claims and substantially reduced the
- 12 total of those claims, and I think that once --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: What did the bankruptcy
- 14 court say?
- 15 MR. BAKER: The bankruptcy court sustained
- 16 our objections to those claims and in fact reduced the
- 17 total substantially.
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't understand that.
- 19 But did it reduce it to a level that you qualified for
- 20 Chapter 13 treatment?
- 21 MR. BAKER: We are not finished with the
- 22 claims objection process. I believe that once we are --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Of course you are. They've
- 24 rendered a decision. How could you not be finished with
- 25 the claims objection process if the bankruptcy court has

- 1 rendered a final decision.
- MR. BAKER: Because the bankruptcy court
- 3 rendered a final decision which is on appeal in the new
- 4 case. We are objecting to the Chapter 7 claims in the
- 5 old case. I apologize if this is confusing.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: It is terribly confusing.
- 7 It seems to me that the Chapter 13 bankruptcy court had
- 8 the responsibility for determining whether you qualified
- 9 under the, you know, under the amount of debt.
- 10 MR. BAKER: And it did so by reference to
- 11 the claims that had been filed in the previous Chapter 7
- 12 case. We can't object to claims in a Chapter 13 case
- 13 that haven't been filed. So procedurally, we had to go
- 14 back to the Chapter 7 case and do the claims objections
- 15 within the context of the old Chapter 7 case.
- 16 Now, in the Chapter 13 case we used the --
- 17 the bankruptcy court used the total of those claims that
- 18 had been filed in the Chapter 7 case to determine
- 19 eligibility in the 13.
- In doing so, the bankruptcy court basically
- 21 announced a new rule of law that the claims that had
- 22 been filed would be essentially I suppose, res judicata
- 23 in the subsequent case, but that in some cases a
- 24 Chapter 7 debtor --
- 25 JUSTICE SCALIA: And you objected to that, I

- 1 gather?
- 2 MR. BAKER: Sorry?
- JUSTICE SCALIA: You objected to that, to
- 4 that ruling?
- 5 MR. BAKER: Not necessarily, because it does
- 6 give you a vehicle to go back to the old Chapter 7 case
- 7 and do the procedural claims objections in that case,
- 8 which is what we did, and we substantially reduced the
- 9 total of the claims.
- 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What is the status of the
- 11 Chapter 7 case? I was under the impression it had been
- 12 dismissed and a determination of no discharge had
- 13 been made.
- MR. BAKER: A determination of no discharge
- 15 had been entered. However, it was an asset case, so it
- 16 remains open, it remains open at this point, until the
- 17 Chapter 7 trustee makes a distribution to creditors or
- 18 files his final report with the court. It has not been
- 19 dismissed.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But there's a
- 21 determination that you're not entitled to a discharge?
- 22 That has been made.
- MR. BAKER: That's correct.
- JUSTICE BREYER: If we just could go to the
- 25 merits for a second here. You're saying this word,

- 1 where it says, the word is you "may convert," and that
- 2 means you can convert no matter what?
- 3 MR. BAKER: The plain language of the
- 4 statute says that, yes, Your Honor.
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: No matter what? Okay,
- 6 suppose they repeal Chapter 13 before you convert. Then
- 7 can you convert?
- 8 MR. BAKER: I'm sorry. Would you repeat
- 9 that?
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm just producing examples
- 11 where it's clear you can't convert. Now, suppose
- 12 Congress -- there is no Chapter 13. Could you convert
- 13 then?
- MR. BAKER: Not if there's no Chapter 13.
- 15 JUSTICE BREYER: No, okay. Suppose he dies.
- 16 Could you convert then?
- 17 MR. BAKER: If the debtor dies?
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes. No inheritance, no
- 19 nothing.
- MR. BAKER: Well, there is a rule, I believe
- 21 it's --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Even though there's no such
- 23 person existing any more. He's gone and his whole
- 24 family is gone, and there's no inheritors, nothing.
- 25 Then can he convert? No.

1	MR.	BAKER:	Right.	Ι	think	the	rule	2009
_	T TT / •		1114116			$c_{11}c$	$\pm a \pm c$	200

- 2 says, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy --
- JUSTICE BREYER: I'm trying to produce
- 4 ridiculous examples. Maybe -- all right, so you say
- 5 even if he's dead and there's no family he still could
- 6 convert. That's an extreme test of my hypothetical, but
- 7 okay. What about if, in fact, he goes insane? No.
- MR. BAKER: Well, again, Your Honor, the
- 9 rule says --
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: What about if, in fact, the
- 11 conversion is part of a scheme to defraud millions of
- 12 people in a foreign country because it will be viewed as
- 13 a signal that they should mail their life savings into a
- 14 particular account in Switzerland? Can he convert then?
- 15 MR. BAKER: The statute is plain, Your
- 16 Honor.
- JUSTICE BREYER: You say yes on that?
- MR. BAKER: I would say yes.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Even though it's going to
- 20 bilk people out of millions of dollars?
- 21 MR. BAKER: I think that the statute is
- 22 plain and says that the debtor may convert.
- JUSTICE BREYER: No matter what? Even if
- he's dead, even if he's insane?
- MR. BAKER: Even if he's insane.

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: Well alright, then I can't
- 2 get anywhere with my hypotheticals.
- 3 (Laughter.)
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: I would have thought the
- 5 answer was no, but there we are.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Baker, couldn't the
- 7 -- let's say the conversion goes through. The first
- 8 thing that the bankruptcy court does in the Chapter 7
- 9 converted to Chapter 13 is say: I'm going to dismiss
- 10 this suit, the -- the bad faith taint stays with the
- 11 case, it doesn't get -- you can't erase it; and so back
- 12 you go to the Chapter 7. Why couldn't the bankruptcy
- 13 court now sitting as a Chapter 13 court say: We're not
- 14 going to let a debtor who has conducted himself in bad
- 15 faith proceed in this court?
- 16 MR. BAKER: The bankruptcy court could
- 17 certainly do that. The procedural safeguards of due
- 18 process require, obviously, notice and hearing of the
- 19 court's reasons for wanting to say that.
- JUSTICE SOUTER: Yes, but your claim doesn't
- 21 rest on a due process denial of hearing does it? That's
- 22 not, that's not the question you brought to us. So it
- 23 seems to me that Justice Ginsburg's question is not
- 24 answered by saying, well, he'd get a hearing in that
- 25 case. The fact remains that in that case the, as I

- 1 understand it, the judge in Chapter 13 could immediately
- 2 deconvert to Chapter 7, couldn't he?
- 3 MR. BAKER: Well, I wouldn't say he could
- 4 immediately reconvert. Again, there is the due process
- 5 requirement that the debtor have an opportunity to be
- 6 heard on the issue.
- 7 JUSTICE SOUTER: But due process is not the
- 8 issue here. The fact is the bankruptcy court could
- 9 deconvert or reconvert to Chapter 7, in effect,
- 10 following the election that the debtor makes. That's
- 11 so, isn't it?
- MR. BAKER: Yes.
- JUSTICE SOUTER: Well then, why would we
- 14 have a system as ridiculous as to preclude the court
- 15 from looking at fraud or bad faith at the moment of
- 16 election, go through the paperwork and the folderol of
- 17 converting to 13, and immediately turn around,
- 18 admittedly having the power, to deconvert? That would
- 19 be a rather foolish system.
- MR. BAKER: Well, perhaps, but it is the
- 21 system that Congress has given us. Congress has said
- 22 the debtor may convert at any time so long as it has not
- 23 been converted previously.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: When cases are
- 25 reconverted to Chapter 7, is that typically done before

- 1 or after the filing of the Chapter 13 plan?
- 2 MR. BAKER: Most of the time, a plan is -- I
- 3 don't do it this way, but most practitioners will file a
- 4 plan at the same time as they file the notice, the
- 5 motion to convert.
- JUSTICE SOUTER: But they don't have to.
- 7 MR. BAKER: They don't have to.
- 8 JUSTICE SOUTER: And the deconversion could
- 9 be done prior to the filing of the plan?
- 10 MR. BAKER: I suppose arguably it could. In
- 11 my view, the statutory provisions of section 1307 have to
- 12 be applied to the question of conversion. So I think --
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Creditors -- under
- 14 Chapter 13 one of the prerequisites to approval of the
- 15 plan is that the creditors get at least as much as they
- 16 would have gotten under Chapter 7, right?
- 17 MR. BAKER: That's correct.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So presumably the
- 19 creditors might want to see what the Chapter 13 plan
- 20 looks like themselves.
- 21 MR. BAKER: Exactly. Exactly. Exactly.
- 22 And I think it's the Tenth Circuit Bankruptcy
- 23 Appellate Panel noted that sometimes a problem debtor
- 24 files a plan and gets it confirmed, pays creditors,
- and everybody winds up better off.

1	JUSTICE KENNEDY: Could the district
2	court pardon me. Could the bankruptcy court make
3	that same determination in deciding whether or not to
4	allow the Chapter 7 proceeding to be converted? He
5	could make this inquiry in a Chapter 7 proceeding? He
6	says: I don't think you should be able to convert
7	because there's a fraud, but I'll look at how the
8	creditors come out. Could he do that? And then you
9	don't have the specter that Justice Souter referred to
10	of this transfer back and then the transfer back, which
11	is a waste of time.
12	MR. BAKER: Well, again, the statute says
13	that the debtor may convert except in certain
14	circumstances. I think that the requirement of a motion
15	to convert a case gives the court the procedural
16	mechanism for looking at the case, seeing, making sure
17	that the debtor
18	JUSTICE BREYER: But there is a difference,
19	and this is assume with me, which apparently you
20	don't agree, that everywhere in law there are implied
21	exceptions for unusual circumstances. I have never
22	found an instance where you couldn't think of some
23	exception that they didn't see. You could not bring a
24	thing if you were insane or dead or if a death would

25 ensue or a murder. Assume that, all right.

	1	Then	the	question	would	be,	well,	what	abo
--	---	------	-----	----------	-------	-----	-------	------	-----

- 2 this instance? And I think the strongest instance --
- 3 the strongest argument for saying there's is no
- 4 exception here is the argument that the trustee
- 5 discovers that this individual is behaving dishonestly,
- 6 that he's hidden assets. Maybe he has a safe deposit
- 7 box and he has a key and the key -- the key will allow
- 8 him to get diamonds out of the safe deposit box and hide
- 9 them. Under 7, the trustee has the key. As soon as you
- 10 convert it to 13 the key is given back to the debtor,
- 11 who has been shown dishonest.
- Now, assuming you're going to have some
- 13 exceptions, why isn't that a very, very powerful one?
- 14 MR. BAKER: Because ultimately the Chapter
- 15 13 trustee has the same powers of the Chapter 7 trustee
- 16 with the exception, as Your Honor is pointing out, of
- 17 possession of the property in the bankruptcy estate.
- 18 But that's how Congress wrote the statute. We should
- 19 not ignore Congress's command about the process of
- 20 converting and look for exceptions before we proceed to
- 21 go back to the appellate court --
- 22 JUSTICE ALITO: If I could come back to a
- 23 prior question. Unless there is some different
- 24 procedure required when -- between the two situations of
- 25 denial of conversion from 7 to 13 and allowing

- 1 conversion but with immediate reconversion back to 7,
- 2 unless there's some difference between that's required
- 3 by the code in those two situations, maybe it is because
- 4 you have to -- in the reconversion situation, you have
- 5 to wait until the plan is filed. Maybe it's because the
- 6 bad faith doesn't carry over.
- 7 But unless there's some difference, I don't see
- 8 what this case is about.
- 9 MR. BAKER: Well, ultimately the case is
- 10 about the language of the statute and whether the court
- 11 should apply it as written. And I think --
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: You can't provide any reason
- 13 why there's a difference between those two?
- MR. BAKER: I'm sorry?
- 15 JUSTICE ALITO: You deny -- do you dispute
- 16 the fact that the bankruptcy court could simultaneously
- 17 convert on the motion of the debtor from 7 to 13 and
- 18 during the 20-day period that's required by the rule,
- 19 the rules, reconvert? Do you dispute that, for bad
- 20 faith?
- 21 MR. BAKER: I dispute that the court could
- 22 do it sua sponte and without notice and an opportunity
- 23 for a hearing.
- JUSTICE ALITO: If it gives notice and
- 25 an opportunity for a hearing during the 20 day period --

- 1 you have to give 20 days notice before the conversion
- 2 takes place; is that correct, from 7 to 13?
- MR. BAKER: I believe that's correct. When
- 4 you file a motion, a 20 day notice is required, yes.
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: If it has the hearing during
- 6 that period, you don't dispute that the court could do
- 7 that, or do you?
- 8 MR. BAKER: Well, again we come to the
- 9 question of when the plan gets filed. The plan isn't
- 10 filed until after it's converted, according to the
- 11 rules.
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But here there was a
- 13 hearing on the motion to convert, right? There was a
- 14 hearing?
- MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And as I understand it,
- 17 there was no objection to the character of that hearing?
- 18 There was no request for an evidentiary hearing? So
- 19 there was a hearing. Now, does that get wiped out too,
- 20 just the way, the determination that you couldn't
- 21 convert?
- MR. BAKER: The procedure I would, I would
- 23 expect to see is that if the court saw an issue of fact
- 24 with respect to whether the case had been converted or
- 25 whether the debtor was eligible for it to be a debtor in

- 1 the chapter to which he seeks conversion, then an
- 2 evidentiary hearing would be required.
- 3 If -- the fact that there was no evidentiary
- 4 hearing in the particular case here, I think, I think we
- 5 have to go back to recognize the fact that most issues
- 6 in bankruptcy court are decided summarily on motion
- 7 practice.
- 8 And it is my feeling that, the
- 9 jurisprudence of rule 56 has to apply. If a court
- 10 sees that there are disputed issues of fact, the court
- 11 must schedule an evidentiary hearing. It cannot, it
- 12 cannot simply grant summary judgment without furtherance
- 13 issue of fact. So this is why I say that,
- 14 that on these two -- the two particular points -- and
- obviously, the question of whether it has been
- 16 previously converted is very easy to determine. But as
- 17 previously discussed, the issue of eligibility, whether
- 18 the claims and the debt is within the statutory
- 19 limitation, is an issue of fact that ultimately might
- 20 require an evidentiary hearing.
- JUSTICE SOUTER: But your case as I
- 22 understand it, your case does not turn on the question
- 23 whether there was or was not, should or should not have
- 24 been a hearing in this case, an evidentiary hearing in
- 25 this case; is that correct?

- 1 MR. BAKER: That's correct. That's correct,
- 2 because in our view the schedules -- excuse me -- in our
- 3 view the schedules in this case clearly indicate that it
- 4 -- that he was within the statutory limitations, at
- 5 least as far as the schedules go.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: Mr. -- Mr. Baker, I have -- I
- 7 have a question on a matter that really upsets me
- 8 and causes me to wonder how, you know, how much we can
- 9 rely upon your description here. You claimed in the
- 10 petition that the reason your client filed under Chapter
- 11 7 rather than 13 was that he was unemployed at the time.
- 12 And that he decided to go to 13 after he became
- 13 employed.
- 14 Yet, on -- as shown in the supplemental
- 15 appendix, when he filed under Chapter 7, under penalty
- 16 of perjury, he said that he was employed, and at
- 17 the meeting of the creditors, he confirmed under oath
- 18 that he was employed.
- 19 What was it? Was he employed or not
- 20 employed?
- 21 MR. BAKER: On the petition date itself, he
- 22 was not. And if you look at schedule I, which is at
- 23 page 30 of the supplemental joint appendix, at the
- 24 bottom line, bottom of the -- and it indicates that he
- 25 was in the process of having a second tenant in his

- 1 rental property and that he was beginning a job at about
- 2 the time the petition --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: It says employment,
- 4 occupation, name of employer: Capital Carpet and
- 5 Flooring. How long employed, five months. Address of
- 6 employment: Woburn, Massachusetts. It also says the
- 7 same thing on page 18 of the supplemental appendix. And
- 8 also with the meeting of creditors. If you look at the
- 9 joint appendix at 64a, he says the same thing that he
- 10 was employed. Was he employed or not employed?
- MR. BAKER: Right.
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Does he go around swearing
- 13 he was when he wasn't?
- MR. BAKER: No, he was -- he was not employed
- 15 at the time. If you look at his page 18, as you
- 16 point out, it says at the bottom the income given is
- 17 estimated based on a new job which is about to start.
- 18 He had -- he had been the principal of a company called RLM
- 19 Flooring, which had been closed by Citizens Bank. So he
- 20 was, in fact, unemployed because Citizens Bank had taken
- 21 all of the assets of the corporation and shut it down.
- 22 And this put him of course behind on his mortgage so he
- 23 was very concerned about finding employment so he could
- 24 in fact, keep -- get his mortgage current and then
- 25 retain his home.

1	JUSTICE	SCALIA:	Look on	page	64a	of ·	the

- 2 appendix, the meeting of creditors. Trustee says okay,
- 3 and you now work for another entity, Capital Carpet and
- 4 Flooring, sir? And Mark Marrama says yes.
- 5 MR. BAKER: Right. And between the -- between
- 6 the time of petition at the meeting of creditors which
- 7 was approximately six -- six weeks later, he became
- 8 employed.
- 9 As I say, he had a mortgage. He had children
- 10 to whom he has to pay child support. At the time he had
- 11 a wife he owed a lot of money to. He was concerned about
- 12 having employment so he could in fact meet those
- 13 obligations.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: May I ask, I may have
- 15 missed some of the colloquy here -- is it correct that
- 16 he would not be eligible to file a-- to have a,
- institute a Chapter 13 proceeding if he had unsecured
- 18 debts of over a certain amount?
- MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: And what if at the time he
- 21 makes the motion to convert, which you say he has an
- 22 absolute right to make, what if the record then
- 23 disclosed that he had debts exceeding that amount? What
- 24 should the bankruptcy judge do in that case?
- 25 MR. BAKER: The bankruptcy judge should

1	examine	+ h o	alaima	+ h - +	harro	hoon	f:1~d	÷ +	225	40
_	examilie	LIIE	CIAIMS	tiiat	nave	Deen	TTTEQ,	$\perp \perp$	ally,	ao

- 2 the arithmetic, offer the debtor an opportunity --
- 3 JUSTICE STEVENS: He concludes they're
- 4 over the amount? So it is clear under the record. What
- 5 should he do then?
- 6 MR. BAKER: He should deny conversion.
- 7 JUSTICE STEVENS: He should what?
- 8 MR. BAKER: He should deny conversion. If in
- 9 fact --
- 10 JUSTICE STEVENS: So he does not have an
- 11 absolute right in all cases to convert, then.
- MR. BAKER: It's absolute except in the
- 13 two circumstances stated in the statute.
- 14 One of which, is as Your Honor is pointing out, the
- 15 eligibility, the other is that if it has been previously
- 16 converted he does not have that right.
- If the Court has no further questions, I'll
- 18 reserve my remaining time.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 20 Mr. Baker.
- MR. BAKER: Thank you.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Brunstad.
- ORAL ARGUMENT OF G. ERIC BRUNSTAD,
- ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it

- 1 please the Court:
- 2 The bankruptcy court need not sit idly by
- 3 and grant a motion which is part of an abusive scheme.
- 4 The power of the court is there to deny such a motion.
- 5 It is there by statute under section 105; it is there
- 6 because the courts have always had power.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Under -- you think
- 8 105 is an affirmative grant of power?
- 9 MR. BRUNSTAD: I think the second sentence
- 10 of 105(a) supports the traditional powers that courts
- 11 have had to grant relief, to prevent or to deny relief
- 12 to prevent abuse or to remedy bad faith conduct. The
- 13 fact that the debtor has the authorization under section 706
- 14 to convert a case cannot be construed to prevent the
- 15 court from sua sponte taking action to prevent abuse --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: 105(a) is much more
- 17 limited than that. It is only if you take the second
- 18 clause of that out of context and quote it, as has been
- 19 done, that it looks like an affirmative grant. It says:
- 20 "No provision of this title providing for the raising of
- 21 an issue by a party in interest shall be construed to
- 22 preclude the court from taking sua sponte other action."
- 23 That's a much more limited, narrow provision telling you
- 24 not to imply a negative pregnant from a requirement
- 25 that a particular party raise an issue. I -- as a

- 1 source of sweeping powers to, to basically act as a
- 2 roving commission in equity, I think that's a
- 3 mis-citation.
- 4 MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, Chief Justice Roberts,
- 5 I think that in order to understand 106(a), the second
- 6 sentence, completely, I think it is important to
- 7 understand it was added to 105 in 1986 in response to a
- 8 number of decisions that were holding that the courts
- 9 did not have the -- the bankruptcy courts did not have
- 10 the authority to sua sponte take action to prevent abuse,
- 11 to monitor their own calendars, to make sure that
- 12 inappropriate things weren't happening, and that Senator
- 13 Hatch when he introduced this legislation which was
- 14 ultimately enacted, the goal was to overturn cases like
- 15 the Second Circuit's decision in Grissom to provide
- 16 expressly and perhaps not as clearly as perhaps they
- 17 intended, to give the courts this power. But I --
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: Was that Second Circuit's
- 19 decision a decision that said the court didn't have the
- 20 power because it had not been moved to take that action
- 21 by the party who had the responsibility for raising the
- 22 issue? Was that the basis for the Second Circuit's
- 23 decision?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: In part, yes. The court also
- 25 --

Τ	JUSTICE SCALIA: Then then you haven't
2	contradicted what the Chief Justice suggested.
3	MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, I think I think
4	105(a), the second sentence is worded the way that it
5	is. It doesn't say exactly that the courts may take any
6	action of sua sponte. It says shall not be construed;
7	the fact that a party has the right to make an action shall
8	not be construed to deny the court the right sua sponte to
9	take an action. But I think that the implication of the
10	statute is clear. There is this background principle which
11	applies not only in bankruptcy cases but in trial court
12	cases in the district courts everywhere, that this Court
13	recognized in Chambers, that it has specific application
14	in this Court's jurisprudence in bankruptcy in Pepper
15	versus Litton and other cases, that the bankruptcy
16	courts may take action to prevent abuse. And in fact,
17	they must do so. Because by granting a motion, by
18	sitting back and allowing the court to grant relief that
19	furthers an abusive scheme in essence makes the court
20	complicit in the fraud or misdealing. We can't have that.
21	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What do you do about
22	the different structures, wording between 706(a) and
23	706(b)? I mean, this provision says debtor may. The
24	other provisions say that a debtor may ask a court to
25	order, and it suggests a difference in who has the

- 1 primary responsibility, whether it is a motion of the
- 2 Court or whether it is an independent action.
- 3 MR. BRUNSTAD: Mr. Chief Justice, I think
- 4 that the drafting conventions between the two subsections
- 5 is key. 706(a) says the debtor may convert. Whereas
- 6 other sections of the code, like 1307(b), other
- 7 provisions, provide that upon request of the debtor,
- 8 the court shall take some particular action. Here the
- 9 use of the "May" -- I think the word "may" properly
- 10 signals discretion in the court.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So you think under
- 12 those other provisions the court doesn't have this
- inherent power or the implicit power from 105(a) that
- 14 you're arguing for here?
- 15 MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, if you look at section
- 16 1307(b), upon request of the debtor the court shall
- 17 dismiss the case, that is an absolute right. And for a
- 18 clear reason. Nobody can force a debtor to continue in
- 19 Chapter 13 against the debtor's will because Chapter 13
- 20 requires the debtor to work to pay off creditors. That
- 21 would violate the 13th Amendment. So there would be no
- 22 circumstance where someone could block a debtor from
- 23 getting out from Chapter 13, for constitutional reasons.
- 24 That's a special case. That is why Congress drafted
- 25 1307(b) the way that it did.

Contrast that with section 706 whe	re the
------------------------------------	--------

- 2 court said the debtor may convert. Now, there are,
- 3 there are requirements that the debtor has to comply in
- 4 order to convert, statutory, but there is also, a debtor
- 5 cannot have an absolute right to convert if it would be
- 6 part of an abusive scheme. I think the direct analogy is
- 7 this Court's decision in Pepper versus Litton. There a
- 8 fraudulent party wanted the Court to allow a claim as
- 9 part of a fraudulent scheme, and this Court unanimously
- 10 said no we're not going to allow that, even though there
- 11 was a subsequent remedy further on in the process.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: If you, if given
- 13 that the court has express statutory authority to
- 14 reconvert from 13 back to 7, why should we take the leap
- 15 of conferring inherent equitable authority to do
- 16 something, when the statute addresses it in a much more
- 17 specific way?
- 18 MR. BRUNSTAD: Two primary reasons, Your
- 19 Honor. The first reason is that it would be just
- 20 pointless wheel spinning.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, maybe, maybe
- 22 not. I mean, they convert. He comes up with a plan
- 23 under 13 that looks better to creditors. I mean, just
- 24 because there's fraud that offends the court and prompts
- 25 it to take action prior to conversion doesn't mean that

- 1 that's going to be the same situation after conversion.
- 2 MR. BRUNSTAD: But the debtor could argue in
- 3 the context of the motion to convert, well, I would like
- 4 to propose a Chapter 13 plan, perhaps the misconduct
- 5 wasn't that severe, and the court can take that into
- 6 consideration allowing the debtor to proceed. But where
- 7 as here you have a clear case of abuse, the court should
- 8 be entitled to nip it in the bud at that particular
- 9 point in time. Allowing the court to senselessly say,
- 10 "Oh, go ahead and convert -- "
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Even if it might
- 12 injure the creditors.
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, the court can take that
- 14 into account. The court is not -- is not shackled under
- 15 section 706 to deny conversion, it can consider various
- 16 factors. If all the creditors were to come up and say:
- 17 "We know the debtor has been abusing the bankruptcy
- 18 system, but we think you should allow the conversion to
- 19 a Chapter 13 case because perhaps that will work for the
- 20 particular circumstances." But whereas here there was
- 21 no such thing, the creditors were saying don't allow
- 22 conversion, this is part of an abusive scheme. The
- 23 trustee was saying don't allow conversion, this is part
- 24 of an abusive scheme, the bankruptcy court -- if the
- 25 court had signed the order, then that affects the

- 1 integrity of the court.
- 2 Is the court itself now not participating by
- 3 allowing it to happen, this sort of fraudulent scheme?
- 4 The court should be able to nip it in the bud. Debtors
- 5 who are --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What limitations
- 7 would you recognize on this inherent authority to take
- 8 action? Where do they come from?
- 9 MR. BRUNSTAD: Well I think that --
- 10 Mr. Chief Justice, I think that they're the same sorts
- 11 of limitations that require the district court, when it
- is considering invocation of its inherent powers,
- 13 whether to exercise them or not, we have always sort of
- 14 recognized a special situation for bad faith conduct and
- 15 clearly abusive schemes. Where those occur as in this
- 16 case, the bankruptcy court looks at the circumstances,
- 17 holds a hearing as was held this case, considers the
- 18 views of the parties who are involved, and then decides.
- 19 Now it is a relatively high bar. You know,
- 20 bad faith -- it is a continuum. Where you have the
- 21 honest but unfortunate debtor, abides by all the rules,
- 22 clearly no bad faith implication would apply. At
- 23 the other end of the spectrum where you have a debtor
- 24 who conceals assets, doesn't disclose, it's found out in
- 25 bankruptcy and then as soon as the trustee finds out and

- 1 is hot on the debtor's trail, then seeks to convert to
- 2 get out from bankruptcy, well, there you have a
- 3 clear-cut case of abuse that can't be tolerated.
- 4 Now I think that --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: Mr. Brunstad, are you going
- 6 to address the mootness point? Do you think the case is
- 7 moot?
- 8 MR. BRUNSTAD: Yes, Justice Scalia. I think
- 9 candidly, the case is not moot. There is a good reason
- 10 why we do not allow in our system two separate
- 11 bankruptcy cases to be pending at the same time. Once a
- 12 first bankruptcy case has started, the court
- 13 jurisdiction attaches its exclusive jurisdiction, and I
- 14 think the second bankruptcy case that was filed while
- 15 the first bankruptcy case was still pending was filed
- 16 without jurisdiction and there was actually no
- 17 jurisdiction, because of the prior existing case.
- 18 Additionally, I think we have to recognize
- 19 that there were different debt levels at different
- 20 times. I don't know exactly what they were, but for the
- 21 first case there was debt level A. About three years
- 22 later, there was debt level B, which may well have been
- 23 higher. On remand if the debtor were to succeed, which
- I hope the Court does not allow the debtor to proceed,
- 25 or succeed here, but on remand if it were determined

- 1 that with the first case the debt levels were properly
- 2 below the limits under 109(e), then the debtor would be
- 3 eligible to convert as far as that criteria is
- 4 concerned. We do not know absolutely that that would
- 5 not be able to be satisfied in the existing case.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Did you make the
- 7 jurisdictional objection before the second bankruptcy
- 8 court?
- 9 MR. BRUNSTAD: We did not participate in the
- 10 second case and make that objection, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: How so?
- 12 MR. BRUNSTAD: I think that it was
- 13 primarily, it was, the debtor was litigating and we did
- 14 not make the jurisdictional argument.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: I find that extraordinary.
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So it wouldn't be
- 17 before the First Circuit.
- 18 MR. BRUNSTAD: That particular issue, I
- 19 think the appeal of the second case is pending before
- 20 the district court. I think that the bankruptcy judge
- 21 disposed of the case pretty summarily and decided that
- 22 while this particular debtor, just looking at the
- 23 schedules, does not have the eligibility requirements
- 24 for the second case, and therefore dismissed it.
- 25 That does not necessarily preclude a finding

- 1 upon the facts in the first case which is still pending,
- 2 that it could be converted. I think candidly, I need to
- 3 say that.
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: It's hardly a summary
- 5 disposition. The opinion goes on for pages and pages.
- 6 MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, this particular
- 7 bankruptcy judge obviously had a lot of experience with
- 8 this particular debtor, having presided over the first
- 9 case as well, Justice Ginsburg. So I think the
- 10 bankruptcy court was very fully apprised of the facts
- 11 and circumstances surrounding the case, with the record
- 12 and having written several opinions already in the first
- 13 bankruptcy case which was still pending.
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: This case, your case?
- 15 MR. BRUNSTAD: The current case today, yes,
- 16 Justice Scalia.
- 17 JUSTICE STEVENS: May I ask this question.
- 18 If the remedy of not allowing them to convert to Chapter
- 19 13 is denied, are there other remedies that the
- 20 bankruptcy court can impose against the debtor who
- 21 engages in misconduct of this kind?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Yes, Justice Stevens, but
- 23 they're not tailored to this particular problem or
- 24 abuse. They are remedies, for example, the denial of
- 25 the discharge for concealing assets under section 727.

- 1 But that won't --
- 2 JUSTICE STEVENS: Are there any criminal
- 3 sanctions?
- 4 MR. BRUNSTAD: There might be criminal
- 5 sanctions for willful -- basically, in essence, it's
- 6 sort of an idea of theft, you know, by not disclosing
- 7 assets, but it's a relatively high bar for criminality.
- 8 But that won't protect the creditors in the Chapter 7
- 9 case.
- 10 JUSTICE STEVENS: Then how are the creditors
- 11 hurt by this series of events?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, the creditors are hurt
- 13 because in the chapter 7 case, once the bankruptcy case
- 14 is filed, the trustee takes possession of all the
- 15 debtor's property, which becomes property of the estate.
- 16 The trustee's role is to liquidate the property and
- 17 distribute the proceeds to creditors. When the case is
- 18 converted to Chapter 13, under 1306, the property
- 19 revests in the debtor, including any concealed property.
- 20 At that point, under section 348, upon conversion, the
- 21 Chapter 7 trustee is disenfranchised. His services
- 22 terminate. What debtors in bankruptcy who are
- 23 perpetuating this kind of concealment scheme want you to
- 24 do is say oh no, you can go ahead and convert, and then
- 25 maybe we'll deal with it later, because maybe later on

- 1 in the proceedings something will happen. The Chapter
- 2 13 trustee might not be apprised of it.
- 3 The case might go to a different bankruptcy
- 4 judge. In some jurisdictions, the Chapter 13 docket is
- 5 heard by an entirely separate bankruptcy judge. They
- 6 would like to get the benefit of delay in conversion,
- 7 because perhaps they can get away with it in the
- 8 subsequent Chapter 13 case. Or alternatively in the
- 9 Chapter 13 case, if the debtor doesn't file a plan, then
- 10 the Chapter 13 trustee, who may have hundreds and
- 11 hundreds of Chapter 13 cases he or she is responsible
- 12 for, on a check list might simply check off no plan
- 13 filed, case dismissed, in which case the creditors don't
- 14 get the benefit of the liquidation, they don't get the
- 15 benefit of the assets being recovered, they don't get
- 16 equality of distribution under the Chapter 7 scheme, and
- 17 the debtor's fraud is in essence gotten away with. So
- 18 that is why, when a motion to convert comes up and the
- 19 bankruptcy court sees --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Wouldn't a Chapter 7 case
- 21 be refiled immediately?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Not necessarily, Your Honor.
- 23 Not necessarily. The debtor could move to another
- 24 jurisdiction.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: But the creditors aren't

- 1 going to let him just run away with the assets, are
- 2 they?
- 3 MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, Justice Stevens, in
- 4 many many Chapter 7 cases, in many many bankruptcy
- 5 cases, you have creditors, most of the creditors may
- 6 hold claims of \$500, \$1,000, \$3,000. This case is
- 7 unique because there happened to be a creditor, Citizens
- 8 Bank, who was owed hundreds of thousands of dollars who
- 9 had an interest in pursuing the case. In many other
- 10 cases -- that's why -- one of the reasons why we have a
- 11 Chapter 7 trustee, to represent the interest of myriad small
- 12 claimants who collectively have no individual incentive
- 13 to really incur all the costs to monitor the system.
- By converting the case from 7 to 13,
- 15 disenfranchising that representative of all the
- 16 creditors, the debtor who wants to play the game of
- 17 concealing the assets, and catch me if you can, can in
- 18 essence get away with it. This bankruptcy judge
- 19 understood this. This bankruptcy judge denied the
- 20 conversion so we would keep the case in Chapter 7, the
- 21 Chapter 7 trustee could do his job, collect the assets
- 22 --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Could the Chapter 7
- 24 trustee be appointed the trustee in the Chapter 13, the
- 25 same trustee who has now been -- he's terminated because

- 1 the Chapter 7 has been converted. Could the court in
- 2 the Chapter 13 format appoint the same trustee?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: No, Justice Ginsburg. There
- 4 is a standing Chapter 13 trustee in Chapter 13 cases
- 5 that handles all the Chapter 13 cases, unless for some
- 6 reason that Chapter 13 trustee must recuse him or
- 7 herself. Under section 348, once the case is converted
- 8 from 7 to 13, the Chapter 7 trustee services are
- 9 terminated.
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: What does the trustee do?
- 11 He's not really a trustee under 13, is he?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: The Chapter 13 trustee --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: I mean, the property
- 14 doesn't vest in him, you've told it. It remains in the
- 15 ownership of the debtor?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Yes, Justice Scalia. The
- 17 Chapter 13 trustee is probably characterized mostly an
- 18 administrative person, who supervises to see that the
- 19 Chapter 13 procedures are complied with, has the debtor
- 20 filed the Chapter 13 plan. If not --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: He's called a trustee,
- 22 though?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Correct, Justice Scalia.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well that's really not his
- 25 capacity.

- 1 MR. BRUNSTAD: In practical reality, that's
- 2 correct, Justice Scalia. What the Chapter 13 trustee
- 3 does is, if a plan is not filed, moves to dismiss the case.
- 4 If a plan is filed, may look at the plan. If the
- 5 plan is confirmed, acts as the disbursing agent. The
- 6 debtor typically makes payments under the plan to the
- 7 Chapter 13 trustee. The Chapter 13 trustee then makes
- 8 distributions to creditors. And on Chapter 13 day in
- 9 many jurisdictions, one day a week or every other week,
- 10 the Chapter 13 trustee will come to court with hundreds
- 11 and hundreds and hundreds of files.
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: It's called Chapter 13 day?
- MR. BRUNSTAD: In many places it is, Your
- 14 Honor, and they have a Chapter 13 bankruptcy judge.
- 15 Often it's assigned to the most junior bankruptcy judge
- 16 sitting in the particular jurisdiction. With hundreds
- 17 and hundreds of cases, the Chapter 13 trustee has
- 18 neither the incentive nor the resources to do the things
- 19 that a Chapter 7 trustee does every single day. And not
- 20 only that, the Chapter 13 trustee does not have the
- 21 power to go after collecting all of the property and
- 22 liquidating it. It's denied that power under the
- 23 statutory scheme.
- So it makes no sense. It's pointless to say
- 25 we must -- the bankruptcy judge must idly sit by, grant

- 1 a motion that's part of this abusive scheme, allow the
- 2 case to be converted to Chapter 13, hold another
- 3 hearing, have a second set of papers perhaps, only to
- 4 send the case back to Chapter 7.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The sense it makes
- 6 is that that's what the statute provides, and rather
- 7 than relying on this alleged inherent power that
- 8 apparently is not boundless, and that the bounds of
- 9 which will have to be articulated in case after case
- 10 after case, the statute provides a very clear mechanism
- 11 to address the issue of fraud which allows him to
- 12 reconvert it back to Chapter 7 promptly.
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, I think, Mr. Chief
- 14 Justice, in the Link case, the Court rejected that
- 15 argument in construing section 41(b), where the Court
- 16 said, quote, "neither the permissive language of the
- 17 rule, which merely authorizes a motion by the defendant,
- 18 nor its policy requires us to conclude that it was the
- 19 purpose of the rule to be abrogate the power of courts
- 20 acting on their own initiative to clear their calendars
- 21 of cases that have remained dormant because of the
- 22 inaction or dilatoriness of the parties seeking relief."
- 23 Likewise in Chambers, I think the same principle
- 24 applied. The Court said, we don't need to wait and deal
- 25 with these subsequently occurring procedures to remedy

- 1 the problem. We should do it now.
- 2 And that is the -- that is the clear import
- 3 of this Court's unanimous decision in Pepper versus
- 4 Litton. There was a remedy of equitable subordination
- 5 for the fraudulent claim that could have been invoked
- 6 far later in the proceeding. And this Court unanimously
- 7 said no, you don't have to wait for that proceeding
- 8 later. Where it's clear that there has been fraud, the
- 9 creditor's scheme has been fraudulent, a fraudulent
- 10 claim, the court can act at the time of allowance of the
- 11 claim and simply deny the claim. The reason for it, I
- 12 think, is the reason articulated in Chambers. The
- 13 integrity of the court itself is implicated if it has to
- 14 sit back idly by and watch the abusive process unfold.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I still
- 16 haven't gotten an answer, I think, on what the prejudice
- 17 is. Who is prejudiced by the procedure set forth in
- 18 the statute? The conversion takes place. The judge
- 19 then says, because of this fraud, I'm going to reconvert
- 20 it to Chapter 7. Who suffers under that? You say sit
- 21 idly by, but I don't see the long passage of time.
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Well, the creditors suffer,
- 23 Mr. Chief Justice. And they suffer because there are
- 24 additional administrative costs that are incurred that
- 25 compete with their distributions. We're already talking

- 1 about dividing up an inadequate pie to satisfy all
- 2 claims in full. Having a second set of procedures
- 3 prejudices the creditors. It prejudices the court.
- 4 Bankruptcy judges can have thousands and thousands of
- 5 cases on their dockets. To have to have a second set
- of procedures, a second hearing, it burdens the court
- 7 unnecessarily. And again, it also implicates, again,
- 8 and I think this is fundamental, the integrity of the
- 9 process.
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Is it true or not what I
- 11 said, because I don't know the area, that if in fact you
- 12 had a dishonest debtor, the present -- the proceeding is
- 13 dismissed on 7. He gets the papers back. The papers
- 14 permit him access to a hidden source of resources, and
- 15 he steals them basically. Is that possible or is that
- 16 fanciful?
- 17 MR. BRUNSTAD: Justice Breyer, that is
- 18 certainly possible, and I think that is why Congress has
- 19 said you don't have an absolute right as a debtor under
- 20 section 707 to dismiss your Chapter 7 case.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- MR. BRUNSTAD: Thank you.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear from
- 24 Ms. Blatt first.
- 25 Mr. BAKER: I beg your pardon.

1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Ms. Blatt.
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF LISA S. BLATT
3	ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE
4	SUPPORTING RESPONDENTS
5	MS. BLATT: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,
6	and may it please the Court:
7	A bankruptcy court has the inherent
8	authority to sanction a debtor who has acted in bad
9	faith by denying his request to convert a Chapter 7 case
10	to Chapter 13. Courts have the inherent authority to
11	take appropriate action to prevent an abuse of process.
12	Nothing in the Bankruptcy Code or section 706 purports
13	to impair or limit the bankruptcy court's power to
14	police the integrity of its own proceedings.
15	JUSTICE ALITO: Why isn't the power to
16	reconvert sufficient?
17	MS. BLATT: The power to reconvert under
18	section 1307(c) is in this case, where the court is
19	already confronted with an adjudicated bad faith
20	litigator, it's indirect, it's inefficient, and it's
21	inadequate to protect the bankruptcy process. The
22	potential for abuse is very significant if the case
23	languishes in Chapter 13 for any period of time because
24	the bad faith debtor gets control over the very asset he
25	fraudulently sought to conceal.

- 1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, what are the
- 2 odds that that's going to happen if you have a judge
- 3 who's exercised enough by the fraud to exercise inherent
- 4 authority to deny relief? He's not going to let it
- 5 languish under Chapter 13.
- 6 MS. BLATT: Well, he may or may not.
- 7 Bankruptcy courts have thousands of cases, and if there
- 8 is an absolute automatic right to convert, a court with
- 9 thousands of cases may put off that Chapter 13
- 10 reconversion to another day. Moreover, there may be
- 11 individual creditors without a sufficient stake to raise
- 12 the issue, and the Chapter 7 trustee who typically will
- 13 uncover the fraud cannot oppose conversion if there's a
- 14 right to convert in bad faith, and the Chapter 13
- 15 trustee or the United States --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, why wouldn't
- 17 that trustee recommend to the bankruptcy judge that he
- 18 reconvert it to Chapter 7?
- MS. BLATT: Well, the Chapter 7 trustee is,
- 20 he's terminated on conversion. It doesn't raise Chapter
- 21 13 issues. The much more likely scenario is the Chapter
- 22 7 trustee will tell the United States trustee or the
- 23 Chapter 13 trustee, but they may or may not learn about
- 24 it until after the case converts. In jurisdictions
- 25 where there is --

1	JUSTICE	KENNEDY:	Whv	can't	thev	iust	have	ar

- 2 order to the bankrupt -- to disclose the asset in the
- 3 Chapter 13 proceeding?
- 4 MS. BLATT: An order to disclose the asset?
- 5 JUSTICE KENNEDY: In the Chapter 13
- 6 proceeding.
- 7 MS. BLATT: Well, we're talking about a case
- 8 the court may or may not know about the fraud, and
- 9 the trustee may or may not tell someone in time. If
- 10 there's --
- 11 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, in this case they
- 12 knew about it, didn't they?
- MS. BLATT: Yes, and there was a basis to
- 14 oppose conversion. In jurisdictions where there's an
- 15 absolute right, and bad faith is not a grounds for the
- 16 conversion --
- 17 JUSTICE STEVENS: Whenever he denies the
- 18 motion, he must know about it. He must have a reason to
- 19 deny.
- MS. BLATT: We're by hypothesis talking
- 21 about an absolute right to convert, and what I'm trying
- 22 to say, in jurisdictions where there is an absolute
- 23 right, the practice of bankruptcy courts is not to
- 24 simultaneously convert. It does happen on occasion, but
- 25 the more likely scenario is that a significant period of

- 1 time passes. But the other point is that if there's a
- 2 simultaneous conversion it's a completely pointless and
- 3 burdensome process, and here's why: A conversion and
- 4 simultaneous conversion causes the termination and
- 5 reappointment of the Chapter 7 trustee, the appointment
- 6 and the immediate termination of the Chapter 13 trustee,
- 7 and to the extent there's already pending Chapter 7
- 8 proceedings for dismissal or denial of discharge, the
- 9 conversion would appear to us to moot those proceedings
- 10 and require their reinstatement. And this is a completely
- 11 unnecessary waste of everyone's time and energy.
- 12 JUSTICE STEVENS: May I just clear up one
- 13 detail that's confusing to me. The -- are there two
- 14 judges? Does the same judge rule on both the motion to
- 15 convert and the motion to reconvert?
- MS. BLATT: Yes, in the majority of
- 17 jurisdictions. There are one or two jurisdictions where
- 18 there are different judges, but the vast majority it's
- 19 before the same judge. But a -- if a -- if there's a --
- 20 if there's a right to convert in bad faith, all you have
- 21 is a notice of conversion and, assuming the eligibility
- 22 is met and it hasn't previously converted, a court may
- 23 say, well --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I wouldn't call it a
- 25 right to convert in bad faith. If it's a right, it's a

- 1 right to convert despite the allegation of bad faith.
- 2 It's not a right to convert in bad faith. No one is
- 3 arguing for that.
- 4 MS. BLATT: Well, I think that our point is
- 5 that the absence of bad faith is implicit in the statute
- 6 because there is this background rule. When a litigant
- 7 comes to a court that's already abused the court's
- 8 process or seeks relief of bad faith, it is a core
- 9 element of a court's inherent authority to simply deny
- 10 relief. You can toss out an entire complaint when a
- 11 litigant seeks it in bad faith. If there was an
- 12 apparent benefit to this, go to 13 first or deny it, the
- 13 United States trustee wouldn't be here. We see no
- 14 benefit to the debtor to require the court to convert
- 15 and then reconvert. All it is is an unnecessary waste
- 16 of everyone's time, and this is a core element of an
- 17 inherit authority.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What about the idea
- 19 that the debtor can come in and say under 13, look,
- 20 whether the facts bear this out in this case or not,
- 21 I've got a job now. I can pay off my debtors -- my
- 22 creditors according to this plan, and, as the statute
- 23 requires, the creditors get more under 13 than under
- 24 under 7. That's a benefit to everybody.
- MS. BLATT: Here's why. I don't think

- 1 there's any dispute under --
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And he says, I'm
- 3 sorry about that bad faith business.
- 4 MS. BLATT: Sure. Right. And there's
- 5 nothing to stop a debtor who truly converts and has
- 6 found religion and wants to come clean for arguing: Let
- 7 me convert, it's in the interest of everybody if I do
- 8 convert. This is a discretionary right to deny relief.
- 9 The court is free to allow conversion.
- 10 But under the plain terms of section
- 11 1370(c), the court has the power to dismiss or reconvert
- 12 a case to Chapter 7 without waiting for a plan to be
- 13 filed. There's no requirement that the court has to sit
- 14 there for 15 days and see if there's a plan. A Chapter
- 15 7 -- excuse me --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: If the statute
- 17 didn't provide that a Chapter 13 plan could be
- 18 reconverted to a Chapter 7, would the court have the
- 19 inherent equitable authority to do that?
- MS. BLATT: To reconvert to Chapter 7?
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sure.
- 22 MS. BLATT: I don't know if that would be an
- 23 appropriate remedy. It might be because you can have an
- 24 involuntary Chapter 7 case. But on this point about a
- 25 court sitting in Chapter 13, if on day one a Chapter 13

- 1 debtor files a plan in bad faith, the debtor can say:
- 2 Please wait, I've got a plan, I'm working on it, give me
- 3 a couple extra weeks, and the court can say: No, I have
- 4 the authority to throw it out. And what's particularly
- 5 odd about this proposal is that in 2005 a court is
- 6 categorically prohibited from allowing a Chapter 13
- 7 debtor to proceed under Chapter 13 if the petition is
- 8 filed in bad faith. The court can't confirm a plan.
- 9 So Congress had no interest in protecting
- 10 bad faith debtors after 2005 and they didn't before
- 11 2005. There's no policy preference in the code for bad
- 12 faith debtors or allowing a debtor either proceeding in
- 13 Chapter 13 or moving from Chapter 7 to Chapter 13, and we
- 14 think this is a modest exercise of a court's inherent
- 15 authority simply to deny relief when the court is already
- 16 confronted with a clear case of abuse while the case is
- in Chapter 7 or the debtor has otherwise abused the
- 18 bankruptcy process.
- The last thing I'd like to say is --
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, what about the
- 21 difference in language under 706(a) and the other
- 22 provisions? 706(a) says the debtor may. The other
- 23 provisions call for action by the court, which suggests
- 24 at least that the authority to convert is greater under
- 25 706(a).

1	MS. BLATT: I think section 706(a) is fairly
2	read as granting a statutory right to convert absent the
3	two statutory exceptions or the court's proper exercise
4	of inherent authority. But the 706(b) and (c) just
5	explain that the court may do something or the court may
6	not, or the court shall do something. So we think our
7	position section 706(a) isn't even addressed to the
8	court at all. It just gives the debtor the right to
9	convert, and it doesn't purport to limit or speak to the
10	situation when the debtor seeks that relief in bad faith
11	or has otherwise abused the bankruptcy process. And I'd
12	just like to end by saying that a debtor's bad faith
13	concealment of assets or misrepresentation of financial
14	affairs is really the most serious abuse you can have in
15	a chapter 7 case. It threatens the very structural
16	foundation of the code and its integrity.
17	JUSTICE SCALIA: Does the government have
18	any position on the mootness question here?
19	MS. BLATT: Well, our position is that it's
20	not moot because it's on appeal. If that decision is
21	affirmed, it would in a sense practically be moot
22	because there would be an alternative grounds and the
23	debtor wouldn't be eligible under Chapter 13 in any
24	event. But we didn't see that as necessarily an Article
25	III mootness problem.

1	CHIEF	JUSTICE	ROBERTS:	Т	auess	T ' 7/	heen
-	\sim 11 \pm 11 \pm		110001110.	_	qucbb	1 V C	$\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}_{11}$

- 2 assuming -- but the eligibility under Chapter 13 even
- 3 under the present case is a present day question, right?
- 4 In other words, we don't go back and see if he was
- 5 eligible for Chapter 13 when the conversion was denied?
- 6 The question would be whether he's eligible now?
- 7 MS. BLATT: We have not compared the two,
- 8 the two chapters -- well, there was never a Chapter 13
- 9 petition. We have not compared the Chapter 7 petition
- 10 with the -- after this case, this Court granted
- 11 certiorari, then the Chapter 13. But it is on appeal
- 12 to the district court, so it's not presently moot.
- 13 We would ask for those reasons that the
- 14 First Circuit's decision be affirmed.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 16 Ms. Blatt.
- Now, Mr. Baker.
- 18 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF DAVID G. BAKER
- 19 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- MR. BAKER: Thank you, Your Honor and
- 21 Mr. Chief Justice.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. You have
- 23 two minutes remaining.
- MR. BAKER: Thank you, Your Honor.
- The first thing I would like to say is that,

- 1 having been counsel to a Chapter 13 trustee many years
- 2 ago, I can assure the Court the Chapter 13 trustees
- 3 exercise all of the powers and authority that a Chapter
- 4 7 trustee does, with the exception, as was said, of
- 5 possession of property of the estate. The property of
- 6 the estate remains vested, however, in the Chapter 13
- 7 trustee throughout the length of the case. It does not
- 8 revest in the debtor until the case is either dismissed,
- 9 a discharge is issued, and the case is closed. So the
- 10 concerns about leaving a debtor to do anything it wants
- 11 to with property of the bankruptcy estate simply is not
- 12 a reality, and I think it does a disservice to the many
- 13 fine Chapter 13 trustees that there are around the
- 14 country.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: I'm not sure I understood
- 16 what you just said. You said until the plan is filed
- 17 and approved the property remains in the possession of
- 18 the Chapter 13 trustee.
- 19 MR. BAKER: No, it does not remain in her
- 20 possession. The Chapter 13 trustee technically never
- 21 has possession. The title remains vested in the Chapter
- 22 13 trustee.
- JUSTICE BREYER: So is it possible if it's
- in 13 that then the debtor, let's say a dishonest
- 25 debtor, could get back pieces of paper which would admit

1	that debtor to the possession of certain property which
2	he could then take and hide in a way that that couldn't
3	happen in 7? Is that possible or not possible?
4	MR. BAKER: It's certainly possible. But
5	then again, there are statutory and rule-based remedies
6	for that sort of activity. And our position is that those
7	rules and those statutes are what should control in the
8	case.
9	Thank you very much, Your Honor.
LO	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
L1	Mr. Baker.
L2	The case is submitted.
L3	(Whereupon, at 11:04 a.m., the case in the
L 4	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Ī	Ī		Ī
A	24:5 34:6	30:21	approximately	a.m 1:14 3:2
abides 33:21	42:11	amicus 1:22	25:7	55:13
able 18:6 33:4	addressed 52:7	2:10 45:3	area 44:11	
35:5	addresses 31:16	amount 11:9	arguably 17:10	B
above-entitled	adjudicated	25:18,23 26:4	argue 5:21 6:21	B 34:22
1:12 55:14	45:19	analogy 31:6	7:4 32:2	back 6:6 7:9
abrogate 42:19	administrative	announced	argued 6:13	11:14 12:6
absence 49:5	40:18 43:24	11:21	arguing 30:14	15:11 18:10,10
absent 52:2	admit 54:25	answer 15:5	49:3 50:6	19:10,21,22
absolute 25:22	admittedly	43:16	argument 1:13	20:1 22:5
26:11,12 30:17	16:18	answered 15:24	2:2,5,8,12 3:3	29:18 31:14
31:5 44:19	affairs 52:14	apologize 11:5	3:6 8:7,16 9:3	42:4,12 43:14
46:8 47:15,21	affirmative 27:8	apparent 49:12	19:3,4 26:23	44:13 53:4
47:22	27:19	apparently	35:14 42:15	54:25
absolutely 35:4	affirmed 6:20	18:19 42:8	45:2 53:18	background
abuse 27:12,15	6:22 7:3 52:21	appeal 5:21 6:3	arithmetic 26:2	29:10 49:6
28:10 29:16	53:14	6:20 7:3,21	Article 52:24	bad 15:10,14
32:7 34:3	afresh 9:25	11:3 35:19	articulated 42:9	16:15 20:6,19
36:24 45:11,22	agent 41:5	52:20 53:11	43:12	27:12 33:14,20
51:16 52:14	ago 54:2	appeals 6:22 7:3	asking 7:18,23	33:22 45:8,19
abused 49:7	agree 18:20	appear 48:9	8:14	45:24 46:14
51:17 52:11	ahead 32:10	APPEARAN	asset 12:15	47:15 48:20,25
abusing 32:17	37:24	1:15	45:24 47:2,4	49:1,2,5,8,11
abusive 27:3	air 7:19	appellate 17:23	assets 19:6	50:3 51:1,8,10
29:19 31:6	AL 1:7	19:21	24:21 33:24	51:11 52:10,12
32:22,24 33:15	ALITO 19:22	appendix 5:17	36:25 37:7	Baker 1:16 2:3
42:1 43:14	20:12,15,24	23:15,23 24:7	38:15 39:1,17	2:13 3:5,6,8,22
access 44:14	21:5 45:15	24:9 25:2	39:21 52:13	4:3,11,24 5:5
account 14:14	allegation 49:1	application	assigned 41:15	5:13,25 6:24
32:14	alleged 42:7	29:13	Assistant 1:20	7:6,25 8:9,18
act 28:1 43:10	allow 18:4 19:7	applied 17:12	assume 18:19,25	8:21 9:5,7,9,16
acted 45:8	31:8,10 32:18	42:24	assuming 19:12	9:19 10:1,5,15
acting 42:20	32:21,23 34:10	applies 29:11	48:21 53:2	10:21 11:2,10
action 27:15,22	34:24 42:1	apply 4:8 7:24	assure 54:2	12:2,5,14,23
28:10,20 29:6	50:9	20:11 22:9	attaches 34:13	13:3,8,14,17
29:7,9,16 30:2	allowance 43:10	33:22	authority 28:10	13:20 14:1,8
30:8 31:25	allowing 19:25	applying 9:17	31:13,15 33:7	14:15,18,21,25
33:8 45:11	29:18 32:6,9	appoint 40:2	45:8,10 46:4	15:6,16 16:3
51:23	33:3 36:18	appointed 39:24	49:9,17 50:19	16:12,20 17:2
activity 55:6	51:6,12	appointment	51:4,15,24	17:7,10,17,21
acts 41:5	allows 42:11	48:5	52:4 54:3	18:12 19:14
added 28:7	alright 15:1	apprised 36:10	authorization	20:9,14,21
additional 4:22	alternative	38:2	27:13	21:3,8,15,22
43:24	52:22	appropriate	authorizes	23:1,6,21
Additionally	alternatively	45:11 50:23	42:17	24:11,14 25:5
34:18	38:8	approval 17:14	automatic 46:8	25:19,25 26:6
address 8:22	Amendment	approved 54:17	available 4:10	26:8,12,20,21

44:25 53:17,18	45:3 53:19	43:22 44:17,22	34:21 35:1,5	11:16,18,24
53:20,24 54:19	behaving 19:5	bud 32:8 33:4	35:10,19,21,24	12:6,11,17
55:4,11	believe 4:16	burdens 44:6	36:1,9,11,13	13:6,12,14
Bank 1:6 3:4	10:22 13:20	burdensome	36:14,14,15	15:8,9,12,13
24:19,20 39:8	21:3	48:3	37:9,13,13,17	16:1,2,9,25
bankrupt 47:2	benefit 38:6,14	business 50:3	38:3,8,9,13,13	17:1,14,16,19
bankruptcy	38:15 49:12,14		38:20 39:6,9	18:4,5 19:14
3:10,12 5:1,11	49:24	C	39:14,20 40:7	19:15 22:1
5:22,24 6:1,5	better 17:25	c 2:1 3:1 52:4	41:3 42:2,4,9,9	23:10,15 25:17
8:7,13,17 9:9	31:23	calendars 28:11	42:10,14 44:20	30:19,19,23
10:5,6,13,15	bilk 14:20	42:20	45:9,18,22	32:4,19 36:18
10:25 11:2,7	Blatt 1:20 2:9	call 48:24 51:23	46:24 47:7,11	37:8,13,18,21
11:17,20 14:2	44:24 45:1,2,5	called 24:18	49:20 50:12,24	38:1,4,8,9,10
15:8,12,16	45:17 46:6,19	40:21 41:12	51:16,16 52:15	38:11,16,20
16:8 17:22	47:4,7,13,20	candidly 34:9	53:3,10 54:7,8	39:4,11,20,21
18:2 19:17	48:16 49:4,25	36:2	54:9 55:8,12	39:23,24 40:1
20:16 22:6	50:4,20,22	capacity 40:25	55:13	40:2,4,4,5,6,8
25:24,25 27:2	52:1,19 53:7	Capital 24:4	cases 11:23	40:12,17,19,20
28:9 29:11,14	53:16	25:3	16:24 26:11	41:2,7,7,8,10
29:15 32:17,24	block 30:22	care 8:24,25	28:14 29:11,12	41:12,14,17,19
33:16,25 34:2	Boston 1:16	Carpet 24:4	29:15 34:11	41:20 42:2,4
34:11,12,14,15	bottom 23:24,24	25:3	38:11 39:4,5	42:12 43:20
35:7,20 36:7	24:16	carry 20:6	39:10 40:4,5	44:20 45:9,10
36:10,13,20	bound 9:12,23	case 3:11,12,13	41:17 42:21	45:23 46:5,9
37:13,22 38:3	boundless 42:8	3:15,17,19,24	44:5 46:7,9	46:12,14,18,19
38:5,19 39:4	bounds 42:8	4:3,4,6,9,12,13	catch 39:17	46:20,21,23
39:18,19 41:14	box 19:7,8	4:17,20 5:6,12	categorically	47:3,5 48:5,6,7
41:15,25 44:4	Breyer 12:24	5:13 6:1,2,5,7	51:6	50:12,14,17,18
45:7,12,13,21	13:5,10,15,18	6:9,12,13,14	causes 23:8 48:4	50:20,24,25,25
46:7,17 47:23	13:22 14:3,10	7:9,11,19,20	certain 18:13	51:6,7,13,13
51:18 52:11	14:17,19,23	8:3,10,12,18	25:18 55:1	51:13,17 52:15
54:11	15:1,4 18:18	8:23,25,25	certainly 15:17	52:23 53:2,5,8
bar 7:9 8:3	44:10,17 54:23	9:11,20,22,22	44:18 55:4	53:9,11 54:1,2
33:19 37:7	bring 18:23	9:23 10:6,7	certiorari 3:23	54:3,6,13,18
based 5:18	brought 15:22	11:4,5,12,12	53:11	54:20,21
24:17	Brunstad 1:18	11:14,15,16,18	Chambers	chapters 53:8
basically 11:20	2:6 26:22,23	11:23 12:6,7	29:13 42:23	character 21:17
28:1 37:5	26:25 27:9	12:11,15 15:11	43:12	characterized
44:15	28:4,24 29:3	15:25,25 18:15	chapter 3:11,12	40:17
basis 28:22	30:3,15 31:18	18:16 20:8,9 21:24 22:4,21	3:14,20,24 4:1	check 38:12,12
47:13	32:2,13 33:9		4:7,8,18 5:3	Chief 3:3,8,22
bear 49:20	34:5,8 35:9,12	22:22,24,25 23:3 25:24	6:11,22 7:2,24	4:5 16:24
beg 8:21 44:25	35:18 36:6,15	27:14 30:17,24	8:11,15,15,19	17:13,18 26:19
beginning 24:1	36:22 37:4,12	32:7,19 33:16	8:25 9:1,15,18	26:22,25 27:7
behalf 1:16,19	38:22 39:3	33:17 34:3,6,9	9:20,21,22,23	27:16 28:4
1:22 2:4,7,10	40:3,12,16,23	34:12,14,15,17	10:20 11:4,7	29:2,21 30:3
2:14 3:7 26:24	41:1,13 42:13	J¬.12,1¬,13,1/	11:11,12,14,15	30:11 31:12,21
			<u> </u>	

32:11 33:6,10	clear-cut 34:3	conduct 27:12	14:11 15:7	22:25 23:1,1
42:5,13 43:15	client 3:24 23:10	33:14	17:12 19:25	25:15 40:23
43:23 44:21,23	closed 24:19	conducted 15:14	20:1 21:1 22:1	41:2
45:1,5 46:1,16	54:9	conferring	26:6,8 31:25	costs 39:13
48:24 49:18	code 3:10,12	31:15	32:1,15,18,22	43:24
50:2,16,21	20:3 30:6	confirm 51:8	32:23 37:20	counsel 44:21
51:20 53:1,15	45:12 51:11	confirmed 17:24	38:6 39:20	54:1
53:21,22 55:10	52:16	23:17 41:5	43:18 46:13,20	counted 9:4
child 25:10	collect 39:21	confronted	47:14,16 48:2	country 14:12
children 25:9	collecting 41:21	45:19 51:16	48:3,4,9,21	54:14
Circuit 6:10,20	collectively	confusing 11:5,6	50:9 53:5	couple 51:3
8:10 17:22	39:12	48:13	convert 3:11,15	course 10:23
35:17	colloquy 25:15	Congress 13:12	5:3 13:1,2,6,7	24:22
Circuit's 28:15	come 7:13 18:8	16:21,21 19:18	13:11,12,16,25	court 1:1,13 3:9
28:18,22 53:14	19:22 21:8	30:24 44:18	14:6,14,22	3:17 4:13 5:1
circumstance	32:16 33:8	51:9	16:22 17:5	5:22,24 6:1,3,5
30:22	41:10 49:19	Congress's	18:6,13,15	6:22 7:3 8:2,7
circumstances	50:6	19:19	19:10 20:17	8:13,17 9:2,9
4:11 10:7	comes 31:22	Conn 1:18	21:13,21 25:21	9:22 10:2,5,6
18:14,21 26:13	38:18 49:7	consider 32:15	26:11 27:14	10:14,15,25
32:20 33:16		consider 32.13		
36:11	coming 7:23 command 19:19	32:6	30:5 31:2,4,5	11:2,7,17,20 12:18 15:8,13
Citizens 1:6 3:4	commission		31:22 32:3,10	
	28:2	considering 33:12	34:1 35:3	15:13,15,16
24:19,20 39:7			36:18 37:24	16:8,14 18:2,2 18:15 19:21
claim 6:17 15:20	company 24:18	considers 8:3 33:17	38:18 45:9	
31:8 43:5,10	compared 53:7 53:9	constitutional	46:8,14 47:21	20:10,16,21
43:11,11 claimants 39:12		30:23	47:24 48:15,20 48:25 49:1,2	21:6,23 22:6,9
claimants 39.12 claimed 23:9	compete 43:25 complaint 49:10	construed 27:14	49:14 50:7,8	22:10 26:17 27:1,2,4,15,22
claims 6:6,11,12	_	27:21 29:6,8	51:24 52:2,9	28:19,24 29:8
6:16 7:10,10	completely 28:6 48:2,10	construing	converted 3:13	29:11,12,18,19
	/	42:15	8:19 15:9	
7:12 8:5,12	complicit 29:20			29:24 30:2,8 30:10,12,16
9:12,21,22,25	complied 40:19	context 8:3 11:15 27:18	16:23 18:4	, ,
10:11,12,16,22	comply 31:3		21:10,24 22:16	31:2,8,9,13,24
10:25 11:4,11	conceal 45:25	32:3	26:16 36:2	32:5,7,9,13,14
11:12,14,17,21	concealed 37:19	continue 30:18	37:18 40:1,7 42:2 48:22	32:24,25 33:1
12:7,9 22:18	concealing	continuum		33:2,4,11,16
26:1 39:6 44:2	36:25 39:17	33:20	converting	34:12,24 35:8
clause 27:18	concealment	contradicted	16:17 19:20	35:20 36:10,20
clean 50:6	37:23 52:13	29:2 Contrast 21:1	39:14	38:19 40:1
clear 13:11 26:4	conceals 33:24	Contrast 31:1	converts 46:24	41:10 42:14,15
29:10 30:18	concerned 24:23	control 45:24	50:5	42:24 43:6,10
32:7 42:10,20	25:11 35:4	55:7	core 49:8,16	43:13 44:3,6
43:2,8 48:12	concerns 54:10	conventions	corporation 24:21	45:6,7,18 46:8
51:16	conclude 42:18 concludes 26:3	30:4 conversion 3:17		47:8 48:22
clearly 5:18 23:3 28:16 33:15,22	condition 5:2,4	3:18,19 4:1,7	correct 12:23 17:17 21:2,3	49:7,14 50:9 50:11,13,18,25
20.10 33.13,22	Condition 3.2,4	J.10,17 4.1,/	11.11 41.4,3	30.11,13,10,43
	l	l	l	l

	1	1	1	
51:3,5,8,15,23	death 18:24	10:10,24 11:1	18:18 20:2,7	distribute 37:17
52:5,5,6,8	debt 4:14 5:1	11:3 28:15,19	20:13 29:25	distribution
53:10,12 54:2	11:9 22:18	28:19,23 31:7	51:21	12:17 38:16
courts 27:6,10	34:19,21,22	43:3 52:20	different 4:12	distributions
28:8,9,17 29:5	35:1	53:14	7:8 19:23	41:8 43:25
29:12,16 42:19	debtor 3:11,18	decisions 5:21	29:22 34:19,19	district 6:3,4
45:10 46:7	3:20,21 6:10	28:8	38:3 48:18	10:9 18:1
47:23	7:2 8:11,15,15	deconversion	difficult 6:8	29:12 33:11
court's 5:11	9:15 10:8	17:8	dilatoriness	35:20 53:12
15:19 29:14	11:24 13:17	deconvert 16:2	42:22	dividing 44:1
31:7 43:3	14:22 15:14	16:9,18	direct 31:6	docket 38:4
45:13 49:7,9	16:5,10,22	defendant 42:17	disbursing 41:5	dockets 44:5
51:14 52:3	17:23 18:13,17	defraud 14:11	discharge 12:12	doing 7:12 11:20
creditor 39:7	19:10 20:17	delay 38:6	12:14,21 36:25	dollars 14:20
creditors 12:17	21:25,25 26:2	denial 15:21	48:8 54:9	39:8
17:13,15,19,24	27:13 29:23,24	19:25 36:24	disclose 33:24	dormant 42:21
18:8 23:17	30:5,7,16,18	48:8	47:2,4	drafted 30:24
24:8 25:2,6	30:20,22 31:2	denied 3:25 4:9	disclosed 25:23	drafting 30:4
30:20 31:23	31:3,4 32:2,6	36:19 39:19	disclosing 37:6	due 15:17,21
32:12,16,21	32:17 33:21,23	41:22 53:5	discovers 19:5	16:4,7
37:8,10,12,17	34:23,24 35:2	denies 47:17	discretion 30:10	D.C 1:9,21
38:13,25 39:5	35:13,22 36:8	deny 20:15 26:6	discretionary	
39:5,16 41:8	36:20 37:19	26:8 27:4,11	50:8	E
43:22 44:3	38:9,23 39:16	29:8 32:15	discussed 22:17	E 2:1 3:1,1
46:11 49:22,23	40:15,19 41:6	43:11 46:4	disenfranchised	easy 22:16
creditor's 43:9	44:12,19 45:8	47:19 49:9,12	37:21	effect 5:12 6:14
criminal 37:2,4	45:24 49:14,19	50:8 51:15	disenfranchisi	7:5 10:8 16:9
criminality 37:7	50:5 51:1,1,7	denying 45:9	39:15	either 51:12
criteria 35:3	51:12,17,22	Department	dishonest 19:11	54:8
curiae 1:22 2:11	52:8,10,23	1:21	44:12 54:24	election 16:10
45:3	54:8,10,24,25	deposit 19:6,8	dishonestly 19:5	16:16
current 6:1 7:10	55:1	description 23:9	dismiss 15:9	element 49:9,16
24:24 36:15	debtors 33:4	despite 49:1	30:17 41:3	eligibility 5:7,11
	37:22 49:21	detail 48:13	44:20 50:11	5:15 6:16 7:17
<u> </u>	51:10,12	determination	dismissal 6:2	8:2,22 11:19
D 3:1	debtor's 30:19	5:23 6:23	48:8	22:17 26:15
date 23:21	34:1 37:15	12:12,14,21	dismissed 6:2	35:23 48:21
DAVID 1:16 2:3	38:17 52:12	18:3 21:20	12:12,19 35:24	53:2
2:13 3:6 53:18	debts 4:21,22	determine 11:18	38:13 44:13	eligible 4:14,20
day 20:25 21:4	9:3 25:18,23	22:16	54:8	4:21 8:8 21:25
41:8,9,12,19	decide 3:17	determined 4:20	disposed 35:21	25:16 35:3
46:10 50:25	decided 4:13	34:25	disposition 36:5	52:23 53:5,6
53:3	22:6 23:12	determining	dispute 20:15,19	employed 23:13
days 21:1 50:14	35:21	11:8	20:21 21:6	23:16,18,19,20
dead 14:5,24	decides 33:18	diamonds 19:8	50:1	24:5,10,10,10
18:24	deciding 18:3	dies 13:15,17	disputed 22:10	24:14 25:8
deal 37:25 42:24	decision 6:1,19	difference 7:7	disservice 54:12	employer 24:4

	•	1	•	1
employment	example 36:24	49:20	15:7 31:19	gather 12:1
24:3,6,23	examples 13:10	fairly 52:1	34:12,15,21	General 1:21
25:12	14:4	faith 15:10,15	35:1,17 36:1,8	getting 30:23
enacted 28:14	exceeded 4:14	16:15 20:6,20	36:12 44:24	Ginsburg 4:19
energy 48:11	exceeding 25:23	27:12 33:14,20	49:12 53:14,25	4:25 5:9,20
engages 36:21	exception 18:23	33:22 45:9,19	five 24:5	6:19 7:1 12:10
ensue 18:25	19:4,16 54:4	45:24 46:14	Flooring 24:5,19	12:20 15:6
entered 12:15	exceptions	47:15 48:20,25	25:4	21:12,16 35:16
entire 49:10	18:21 19:13,20	49:1,2,5,8,11	folderol 16:16	36:4,9 39:23
entirely 4:12	52:3	50:3 51:1,8,10	follow 6:24	40:3
38:5	exclusive 34:13	51:12 52:10,12	following 16:10	Ginsburg's
entitled 12:21	excuse 23:2	family 13:24	foolish 16:19	15:23
32:8	50:15	14:5	force 30:18	give 3:16 12:6
entity 25:3	exercise 33:13	fanciful 44:16	foreign 14:12	21:1 28:17
equality 38:16	46:3 51:14	far 7:14 23:5	format 40:2	51:2
equitable 31:15	52:3 54:3	35:3 43:6	forth 43:17	given 7:21 16:21
43:4 50:19	exercised 46:3	Federal 14:2	found 8:7 9:1	19:10 24:16
equity 28:2	exist 4:15	feeling 22:8	10:3 18:22	31:12
erase 15:11	existing 4:17	file 7:16,18 17:3	33:24 50:6	gives 18:15
ERIC 1:18 2:6	13:23 34:17	17:4 21:4	foundation	20:24 52:8
26:23	35:5	25:16 38:9	52:16	go 7:8 11:13
ESQ 1:16,18,20	expect 21:23	filed 3:24 6:6,13	fraud 16:15 18:7	12:6,24 15:12
2:3,6,9,13	experience 36:7	7:10 11:11,13	29:20 31:24	16:16 19:21
essence 29:19	explain 52:5	11:18,22 20:5	38:17 42:11	22:5 23:5,12
37:5 38:17	express 31:13	21:9,10 23:10	43:8,19 46:3	24:12 32:10
39:18	expressly 28:16	23:15 26:1	46:13 47:8	37:24 38:3
essential 5:10	extent 48:7	34:14,15 37:14	fraudulent 31:8	41:21 49:12
essentially 11:22	extra 51:3	38:13 40:20	31:9 33:3 43:5	53:4
estate 19:17	extraordinary	41:3,4 50:13	43:9,9	goal 28:14
37:15 54:5,6	35:15	51:8 54:16	fraudulently	goes 7:15 14:7
54:11	extreme 14:6	files 12:18 17:24	45:25	15:7 36:5
estimated 24:17		41:11 51:1	free 50:9	going 14:19 15:9
ET 1:7	$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{c}}$	filing 17:1,9	full 44:2	15:14 19:12
event 52:24	fact 4:12 5:16	final 11:1,3	fully 36:10	31:10 32:1
events 37:11	6:3,12,15 7:17	12:18	fundamental	34:5 39:1
everybody	7:20 8:13	financial 52:13	44:8	43:19 46:2,4
17:25 49:24	10:10,16 14:7	find 35:15	further 26:17	good 34:9
50:7	14:10 15:25	finding 5:1,10	31:11	gotten 17:16
everyone's	16:8 20:16	5:10 24:23	furtherance	38:17 43:16
48:11 49:16	21:23 22:3,5	35:25	22:12	government
evidentiary	22:10,13,19	findings 5:12	furthers 29:19	52:17
21:18 22:2,3	24:20,24 25:12	finds 33:25	G	grant 3:23 22:12
22:11,20,24	26:9 27:13	fine 54:13		27:3,8,11,19
exactly 17:21,21	29:7,16 44:11	finished 10:21	G 1:16,18 2:3,6	29:18 41:25
17:21 29:5	factor 5:8 factors 32:16	10:24	2:13 3:1,6	granted 53:10
34:20		first 3:4 5:14	26:23 53:18	granting 29:17
examine 26:1	facts 7:8 36:1,10	6:10,20 8:10	game 39:16	52:2

	1	1	1	1
greater 51:24	hope 34:24	41:18	involved 33:18	10:2,13,18,23
Grissom 28:15	hot 34:1	including 37:19	issue 5:15 6:8	11:6,25 12:3
grounds 47:15	hundreds 38:10	income 24:16	7:11,14 16:6,8	12:10,20,24
52:22	38:11 39:8	incorrectly 6:5	21:23 22:13,17	13:5,10,15,18
guess 4:2 7:20	41:10,11,11,16	incur 4:22 39:13	22:19 27:21,25	13:22 14:3,10
53:1	41:17	incurred 43:24	28:22 35:18	14:17,19,23
	hurt 37:11,12	independent	42:11 46:12	15:1,4,6,20,23
H	hypothesis	30:2	issued 54:9	16:7,13,24
handles 40:5	47:20	indicate 23:3	issues 22:5,10	17:6,8,13,18
happen 33:3	hypothetical	indicates 23:24	46:21	18:1,9,18
38:1 46:2	14:6	indirect 45:20		19:22 20:12,15
47:24 55:3	hypotheticals	individual 19:5	J	20:24 21:5,12
happened 39:7	15:2	39:12 46:11	job 24:1,17	21:16 22:21
happening	13.2	inefficient 45:20	39:21 49:21	23:6 24:3,12
28:12	I	ineligible 5:2 7:2	joint 5:17 23:23	25:1,14,20
Hartford 1:18	idea 37:6 49:18	inherent 30:13	24:9	26:3,7,10,19
Hatch 28:13	idly 27:2 41:25	31:15 33:7,12	JR 1:18 2:6	26:22,25 27:7
hear 3:3 44:23	43:14,21	42:7 45:7,10	judge 16:1 25:24	27:16 28:4,18
heard 16:6 38:5	ignore 19:19	46:3 49:9	25:25 35:20	29:1,2,21 30:3
hearing 15:18	III 52:25	50:19 51:14	36:7 38:4,5	30:11 31:12,21
15:21,24 20:23	immediate 20:1	52:4	39:18,19 41:14	32:11 33:6,10
20:25 21:5,13	48:6	inherit 49:17	41:15,25 43:18	34:5,8 35:6,11
21:14,17,18,19	immediately	inheritance	46:2,17 48:14	35:15,16 36:4
22:2,4,11,20	16:1,4,17	13:18	48:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22:24,24 33:17	38:21	inheritors 13:24	judges 44:4	36:9,14,16,17 36:22 37:2,10
42:3 44:6	impair 45:13	initiative 42:20	48:14,18	38:20,25 39:3
held 8:11 9:11	implicated	injure 32:12	judgment 7:1,3	39:23 40:3,10
33:17	43:13	inquiry 18:5	7:22 22:12	40:13,16,21,23
hidden 19:6	implicates 44:7	inquiry 18.3 insane 14:7,24	judicata 11:22	40:24 41:2,12
44:14	implication 29:9	14:25 18:24	junior 41:15	42:5,14 43:15
hide 19:8 55:2	33:22	instance 18:22	jurisdiction	43:23 44:10,17
high 4:21 33:19	implicit 30:13		34:13,13,16,17	,
37:7	49:5	19:2,2 institute 25:17	38:24 41:16	44:21,23 45:1
higher 34:23	implied 18:20	integrity 33:1	jurisdictional	45:5,15 46:1 46:16 47:1,5
hold 39:6 42:2	implied 18.26 imply 27:24	43:13 44:8	35:7,14	47:11,17 48:12
holding 28:8	import 43:2	45:14 52:16	jurisdictions	48:24 49:18
holds 33:17	important 28:6	intended 28:17	38:4 41:9	
home 24:25	important 20.0 impose 36:20		46:24 47:14,22	50:2,16,21 51:20 52:17
honest 33:21	impose 50.20	interest 27:21	48:17,17	
Honor 4:24 5:14	impression	39:9,11 50:7	jurisprudence	53:1,15,21,22
7:6 8:1,9,22	12:11	51:9	22:9 29:14	54:15,23 55:10
13:4 14:8,16	inaction 42:22	introduced	Justice 1:21 3:3	K
19:16 21:15	inadequate 44:1	28:13	3:8,22 4:5,19	keep 24:24
25:19 26:14	45:21	invocation	4:25 5:9,20	39:20
31:19 35:10		33:12	6:19 7:1,14 8:6	KENNEDY
38:22 41:14	inappropriate 28:12	invoked 43:5	8:14,20,24 9:6	18:1 47:1,5,11
53:20,24 55:9	incentive 39:12	involuntary	9:8,14,17,24	
33.40,44 33.9	incentive 39.12	50:24	7.0,14,1/,24	key 19:7,7,7,9

	 I	<u> </u>	l	l
19:10 30:5	41:22	40:13	42:1,17 47:18	objecting 8:4
kind 36:21	liquidation	means 13:2	48:14,15	11:4
37:23	38:14	mechanism	move 38:23	objection 10:22
knew 47:12	LISA 1:20 2:9	18:16 42:10	moved 28:20	10:25 21:17
know 7:19 11:9	45:2	meet 5:3 25:12	moves 41:3	35:7,10
23:8 32:17	list 38:12	meeting 23:17	moving 51:13	objections 6:17
33:19 34:20	litigant 49:6,11	24:8 25:2,6	murder 18:25	7:12 10:16
35:4 37:6	litigating 35:13	mentioned 5:14	myriad 39:11	11:14 12:7
44:11 47:8,18	litigator 45:20	8:10		obligations
50:22	Litton 29:15	merely 42:17	N	25:13
	31:7 43:4	merits 12:25	N 2:1,1 3:1	obtain 4:18
L	long 16:22 24:5	met 48:22	name 24:4	obviously 15:18
lacked 9:20	43:21	millions 14:11	narrow 27:23	22:15 36:7
language 13:3	look 5:16 9:25	14:20	necessarily 12:5	occasion 47:24
20:10 42:16	18:7 19:20	mind 6:25	35:25 38:22,23	occupation 24:4
51:21	23:22 24:8,15	minutes 53:23	52:24	occur 33:15
languish 46:5	25:1 30:15	misconduct 32:4	need 27:2 36:2	occurring 42:25
languishes	41:4 49:19	36:21	42:24	odd 51:5
45:23	looked 6:6	misdealing	negative 27:24	odds 46:2
Laughter 15:3	looking 5:19	29:20	neither 41:18	offends 31:24
law 6:9 8:10	16:15 18:16	misrepresenta	42:16	offer 26:2
9:11 10:9	35:22	52:13	never 5:7,7,16	oh 32:10 37:24
11:21 18:20	looks 17:20	missed 25:15	18:21 53:8	okay 13:5,15
lead 3:19	27:19 31:23	mis-citation	54:20	14:7 25:2
leap 31:14	33:16	28:3	new 4:3,11,13	old 8:18 11:5,15
learn 46:23	lot 25:11 36:7	modest 51:14	4:20 6:2,5,14	12:6
leaving 54:10	LOUIS 1:3	moment 5:22	8:12 9:21,23	once 6:17 8:1,18
legislation 28:13		16:15	10:6,7,9 11:3	10:12,22 34:11
length 54:7	M	Monday 1:10	11:21 24:17	37:13 40:7
let's 15:7 54:24	mail 14:13	monetary 5:15	nip 32:8 33:4	open 4:2,5 12:16
level 10:19	majority 48:16	money 25:11	noted 17:23	12:16
34:21,22	48:18	monitor 28:11	notice 15:18	opinion 36:5
levels 34:19 35:1	making 5:22 9:3	39:13	17:4 20:22,24	opinions 36:12
life 14:13	18:16	months 24:5	21:1,4 48:21	opportunity
Likewise 42:23	Mark 25:4	moot 4:9,17	November 1:10	16:5 20:22,25
limit 4:14 10:4	Marrama 1:3	34:7,9 48:9	number 5:2 6:12	26:2
45:13 52:9	3:4 25:4	52:20,21 53:12	6:16 10:11	oppose 46:13
limitation 22:19	Mass 1:16	mootness 7:15	28:8	47:14
limitations 4:15	Massachusetts	34:6 52:18,25		oral 1:12 2:2,5,8
23:4 33:6,11	1:7 3:5 6:4,10	mortgage 24:22	0	3:6 26:23 45:2
limited 27:17,23	24:6	24:24 25:9	O 2:1 3:1	order 28:5 29:25
limits 5:15,18	matter 1:12 5:4	motion 17:5	oath 23:17	31:4 32:25
6:18 35:2	5:6 13:2,5	18:14 20:17	object 6:11,15	47:2,4
line 23:24	14:23 23:7	21:4,13 22:6	8:12 9:12,15	overturn 28:14
Link 42:14	55:14	25:21 27:3,4	9:21,24 10:10	owed 25:11 39:8
liquidate 37:16	mean 7:15 29:23	29:17 30:1	11:12	ownership
liquidating	31:22,23,25	32:3 38:18	objected 11:25	40:15
		52.5 50.10	12:3	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

	i	Ī	Ī	
P	47:25	position 52:7,18	pretty 35:21	15:21 16:4,7
P 3:1	perjury 23:16	52:19 55:6	prevent 27:11	19:19 23:25
page 2:2 23:23	permissive	possession 19:17	27:12,14,15	31:11 43:14
24:7,15 25:1	42:16	37:14 54:5,17	28:10 29:16	44:9 45:11,21
pages 36:5,5	permit 44:14	54:20,21 55:1	45:11	48:3 49:8
Panel 17:23	perpetuating	possible 44:15	previous 9:20	51:18 52:11
paper 54:25	37:23	44:18 54:23	11:11	produce 14:3
papers 42:3	person 13:23	55:3,3,4	previously 3:13	producing 13:10
44:13,13	40:18	potential 45:22	16:23 22:16,17	prohibited 51:6
paperwork	petition 4:1	power 16:18	26:15 48:22	promptly 42:12
16:16	23:10,21 24:2	27:4,6,8 28:17	primarily 35:13	prompts 31:24
pardon 8:21 9:7	25:6 51:7 53:9	28:20 30:13,13	primary 30:1	proper 52:3
10:6 18:2	53:9	41:21,22 42:7	31:18	properly 30:9
44:25	Petitioner 1:4	42:19 45:13,15	principal 24:18	35:1
part 14:11 27:3	1:17 2:4,14 3:7	45:17 50:11	principle 29:10	property 19:17
28:24 31:6,9	53:19	powerful 19:13	42:23	24:1 37:15,15
32:22,23 42:1	pie 44:1	powers 19:15	prior 5:13 6:6	37:16,18,19
participate 35:9	pieces 54:25	27:10 28:1	6:13 17:9	40:13 41:21
participating	place 21:2 43:18	33:12 54:3	19:23 31:25	54:5,5,11,17
33:2	places 41:13	practical 41:1	34:17	55:1
particular 14:14	plain 13:3 14:15	practically	probably 40:17	proposal 51:5
22:4,14 27:25	14:22 50:10	52:21	problem 17:23	propose 32:4
30:8 32:8,20	plan 17:1,2,4,9	practice 22:7	36:23 43:1	protect 37:8
35:18,22 36:6	17:15,19,24	47:23	52:25	45:21
36:8,23 41:16	20:5 21:9,9	practitioners	procedural 12:7	protecting 51:9
particularly	31:22 32:4	17:3	15:17 18:15	provide 20:12
51:4	38:9,12 40:20	preclude 16:14	procedurally	28:15 30:7
parties 33:18	41:3,4,4,5,6	27:22 35:25	11:13	50:17
42:22	49:22 50:12,14	preclusive 5:12	procedure 19:24	provides 3:11,19
party 3:18 27:21	50:17 51:1,2,8	5:23 6:14 7:5	21:22 43:17	42:6,10
27:25 28:21	54:16	preference	procedures	providing 27:20
29:7 31:8	play 39:16	51:11	40:19 42:25	provision 27:20
passage 43:21	please 3:9 27:1	pregnant 27:24	44:2,6	27:23 29:23
passes 48:1	45:6 51:2	prejudice 43:16	proceed 15:15	provisions 17:11
pay 25:10 30:20	point 8:11 9:11	prejudiced	19:20 32:6	29:24 30:7,12
1	12:16 24:16	43:17	34:24 51:7	51:22,23
ı 49:21	12.10 24.10		37.27 31.7	
49:21 payments 41:6	32:9 34:6	prejudices 44:3	proceeding 4:23	purport 52:9
payments 41:6				purport 52:9 purports 45:12
payments 41:6 pays 17:24	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24	prejudices 44:3	proceeding 4:23	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16	prejudices 44:3 44:3	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19 pursuing 39:9
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15 pending 34:11	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16 26:14	prejudices 44:3 44:3 prerequisites	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5 25:17 43:6,7	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15 pending 34:11 34:15 35:19	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16 26:14 pointless 31:20	prejudices 44:3 44:3 prerequisites 17:14	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5 25:17 43:6,7 44:12 47:3,6 51:12 proceedings	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19 pursuing 39:9 put 24:22 46:9
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15 pending 34:11 34:15 35:19 36:1,13 48:7	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16 26:14	prejudices 44:3 44:3 prerequisites 17:14 present 4:3,13	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5 25:17 43:6,7 44:12 47:3,6 51:12	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19 pursuing 39:9 put 24:22 46:9
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15 pending 34:11 34:15 35:19 36:1,13 48:7 people 14:12,20	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16 26:14 pointless 31:20 41:24 48:2 points 22:14	prejudices 44:3 44:3 prerequisites 17:14 present 4:3,13 5:6 8:23,25	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5 25:17 43:6,7 44:12 47:3,6 51:12 proceedings	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19 pursuing 39:9 put 24:22 46:9 Q qualification
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15 pending 34:11 34:15 35:19 36:1,13 48:7 people 14:12,20 Pepper 29:14	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16 26:14 pointless 31:20 41:24 48:2 points 22:14 police 45:14	prejudices 44:3 44:3 prerequisites 17:14 present 4:3,13 5:6 8:23,25 44:12 53:3,3	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5 25:17 43:6,7 44:12 47:3,6 51:12 proceedings 38:1 45:14	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19 pursuing 39:9 put 24:22 46:9 Q qualification 6:21
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15 pending 34:11 34:15 35:19 36:1,13 48:7 people 14:12,20 Pepper 29:14 31:7 43:3	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16 26:14 pointless 31:20 41:24 48:2 points 22:14	prejudices 44:3 44:3 prerequisites 17:14 present 4:3,13 5:6 8:23,25 44:12 53:3,3 presently 53:12	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5 25:17 43:6,7 44:12 47:3,6 51:12 proceedings 38:1 45:14 48:8,9	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19 pursuing 39:9 put 24:22 46:9 Q qualification 6:21 qualified 3:20
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15 pending 34:11 34:15 35:19 36:1,13 48:7 people 14:12,20 Pepper 29:14 31:7 43:3 period 20:18,25	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16 26:14 pointless 31:20 41:24 48:2 points 22:14 police 45:14	prejudices 44:3 44:3 prerequisites 17:14 present 4:3,13 5:6 8:23,25 44:12 53:3,3 presently 53:12 presided 36:8	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5 25:17 43:6,7 44:12 47:3,6 51:12 proceedings 38:1 45:14 48:8,9 proceeds 37:17	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19 pursuing 39:9 put 24:22 46:9 Q qualification 6:21
payments 41:6 pays 17:24 penalty 23:15 pending 34:11 34:15 35:19 36:1,13 48:7 people 14:12,20 Pepper 29:14 31:7 43:3	32:9 34:6 37:20 48:1 49:4 50:24 pointing 19:16 26:14 pointless 31:20 41:24 48:2 points 22:14 police 45:14 policy 42:18	prejudices 44:3 44:3 prerequisites 17:14 present 4:3,13 5:6 8:23,25 44:12 53:3,3 presently 53:12 presided 36:8 presumably	proceeding 4:23 4:23 18:4,5 25:17 43:6,7 44:12 47:3,6 51:12 proceedings 38:1 45:14 48:8,9 proceeds 37:17 process 7:11 8:4	purport 52:9 purports 45:12 purpose 42:19 pursuing 39:9 put 24:22 46:9 Q qualification 6:21 qualified 3:20

	1	1	1	•
qualify 7:22 9:1	20:1,4 46:10	remedies 4:18	30:1	20:19 21:11
question 5:20	reconvert 16:4,9	36:19,24 55:5	responsible	33:21 55:7
6:25 7:15 8:2	20:19 31:14	remedy 27:12	38:11	rule-based 55:5
15:22,23 17:12	42:12 43:19	31:11 36:18	rest 15:21	ruling 12:4
19:1,23 21:9	45:16,17 46:18	42:25 43:4	restating 6:25	run 39:1
22:15,22 23:7	48:15 49:15	50:23	retain 24:25	
36:17 52:18	50:11,20	rendered 10:24	revest 54:8	S
53:3,6	reconverted	11:1,3	revests 37:19	S 1:20 2:1,9 3:1
questioned 5:7	16:25 50:18	rental 24:1	ridiculous 14:4	45:2
questions 26:17	record 25:22	repeal 13:6	16:14	safe 19:6,8
quite 6:15,24	26:4 36:11	repeat 13:8	right 3:14 7:16	safeguards
10:11	recovered 38:15	report 12:18	9:6,16,19 14:1	15:17
quote 27:18	recuse 40:6	represent 39:11	14:4 17:16	sanction 45:8
42:16	reduce 4:25	representative	18:25 21:13	sanctions 37:3,5
	10:19	39:15	24:11 25:5,22	satisfied 35:5
R	reduced 10:11	request 21:18	26:11,16 29:7	satisfy 44:1
R 3:1	10:16 12:8	30:7,16 45:9	29:8 30:17	savings 14:13
raise 27:25	reference 11:10	requests 3:18	31:5 44:19	saw 21:23
46:11,20	referred 18:9	require 15:18	46:8,14 47:15	saying 12:25
raised 5:16	refiled 38:21	22:20 33:11	47:21,23 48:20	15:24 19:3
raising 27:20	reinstatement	48:10 49:14	48:25,25 49:1	32:21,23 52:12
28:21	48:10	required 19:24	49:2 50:4,8	says 6:10 13:1,4
read 52:2	reject 9:9	20:2,18 21:4	52:2,8 53:3	14:2,9,22 18:6
reality 41:1	rejected 8:20	22:2	RLM 24:18	18:12 24:3,6,9
54:12	9:6,8,10 42:14	requirement	ROBERT 1:3	24:16 25:2,4
really 8:2 23:7	relate 6:13	16:5 18:14	Roberts 3:3,22	27:19 29:6,23
39:13 40:11,24	relatively 33:19	27:24 50:13	4:5 16:24	30:5 43:19
52:14	37:7	requirements	17:13,18 26:19	50:2 51:22
reappointment	relief 3:24,25	31:3 35:23	26:22 27:7,16	Scalia 7:14 8:6
48:5	4:2,5,8,10	requires 30:20	28:4 29:21	8:14,20,24 9:6
reason 6:4 20:12	27:11,11 29:18	42:18 49:23	30:11 31:12,21	9:8,14,17,24
23:10 30:18	42:22 46:4	res 11:22	32:11 33:6	10:2,13,18,23
31:19 34:9	49:8,10 50:8	reserve 26:18	42:5 43:15	11:6,25 12:3
40:6 43:11,12	51:15 52:10	resolved 6:17	44:21,23 45:1	23:6 24:3,12
47:18	religion 50:6	resolving 8:5	46:1,16 48:24	25:1 28:18
reasons 15:19	rely 7:20 23:9	resources 41:18	49:18 50:2,16	29:1 34:5,8
30:23 31:18	relying 42:7	44:14	50:21 51:20	35:6,11,15
39:10 53:13	remain 54:19	respect 21:24	53:1,15,22	36:14,16 40:10
REBUTTAL	remained 42:21	respectfully	55:10	40:13,16,21,23
2:12 53:18	remaining 26:18	7:25	role 37:16	40:24 41:2,12
recognize 22:5	53:23	respects 6:9	roving 28:2	52:17 54:15
33:7 34:18	remains 12:16	Respondents	rule 10:9 11:21	scenario 46:21
recognized	12:16 15:25	1:19,23 2:7,11	13:20 14:1,9	47:25
29:13 33:14	40:14 54:6,17	26:24 45:4	20:18 22:9	schedule 22:11
recommend	54:21	response 28:7	42:17,19 48:14	23:22
46:17	remand 34:23	responsibility	49:6	schedules 5:17
reconversion	34:25	11:8 28:21	rules 3:16 14:2	5:19 23:2,3,5
		•	•	•

35:23 scheme 14:11 services 37:21 44:14 38:25 39:3 suppose 11:22 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 13:6,11,15 <		ī	1	1	<u> </u>
scheme 14:11 services 37:21 Souter 15:20 47:17 48:12 13:6,11,15 17:10 27:3 29:19 40:8 16:7,13 17:6,8 stop 50:5 17:10 Supreme 1:1,13 31:6,9 32:22 sever 32:5 span 4:16 span 4:16 structures 15:15 surrounding 37:23 38:16 sever 32:5 speak 52:9 speak 52:9 <t< th=""><th>35:23</th><th>serious 52:14</th><th>44:14</th><th>38:25 39:3</th><th>suppose 11:22</th></t<>	35:23	serious 52:14	44:14	38:25 39:3	suppose 11:22
27:3 29:19	scheme 14:11		Souter 15:20		
31:6,9 32:22 32:24 33:3 44:2,3 42:1 43:9 schemes 33:15 second 12:25 shackled 32:14 shown 19:11 signal 14:13 section 31:0,16 41:5 17:11 27:5,13 30:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:13 31:1 32:13 31:1 32:13 30:14 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:1	27:3 29:19	40:8	16:7,13 17:6,8	stop 50:5	
37:23 38:16 41:23 42:1 43:9 5 shackled 32:14 5 second 12:25 23:25 27:9,17 28:5,15,18,22 29:4 34:14 35:7,10,19,24 42:3 44:2,5,6 5 section 3:10,16 4:15 17:11 22:12 38:12 31:1 30:15 31:13 22:15 36:25 37:20 40:7 42:15 31:13 22:15 36:25 37:20 40:7 42:15 30:16 52:17 5 secinf 30:04 43:24 42:05 42:24 42:25 20:16 47:24 5 see 17:19 18:23 20:14 53:4 49:13 50:14 5 seeks 4: 1 22:1 34:1 49:8,11 5 2:10 5 2:10 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:1 5 2:10 5 2:10 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 5 2:24 52:10 20:3 20:3 20:3 20:3 20:3 20:3 20:3 20:3	31:6,9 32:22	set 42:3 43:17		_	Supreme 1:1,13
41:23 42:1 43:9 shackled 32:14 shown 19:11 33:14 specific 29:13 submitted 55:12 submitted 55:12 submitted 55:12 sweeping 28:1 Sweeping 2	32:24 33:3	44:2,5	span 4:16	structural 52:15	sure 18:16 28:11
41:23 42:1 43:9 shackled 32:14 shown 19:11 33:14 specific 29:13 submitted 55:12 submitted 55:12 sweeping 28:1 submitted 55:12 sweeping 28:1 Spectrum 33:23 submordination 43:4 43:4 43:24 42:25 significant 42:12 significant 42:12 significant 42:12 significant 42:12 significant 42:12 stade 46:11 subsequent 3:23 stated 36:13 stated 36:13 stated 26:13 subsection 3:16 subsequent 3:23 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 subsequently started 34:12 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequently stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 stated 26:13 subsequent 3:23 stated 26:13 subsequent 3:23 stated 26:13 stated	37:23 38:16	severe 32:5	speak 52:9	structures 29:22	50:4,21 54:15
43:9 schemes 33:15 second 12:25 23:25 27:9,17 28:5,15,18,22 29:4 34:14 42:3 44:2,5,6 section 3:10,16 41:5 17:11 22:18 53:12 53:12 53:15 54:11 36:25 37:20 40:7 42:15 44:20 45:12,18 50:10 52:1,7 sections 30:6 section 3:0.6 section 3:0.6 section 3:0.6 section 3:0.6 section 3:0.6 section 3:0.5 32:27 signal 4:19 50:10 52:1,7 sections 30:6 section 3:0.6 simultaneously 20:16 47:24 situation 20:4 sitting 15:13 status 12:10 statute 13:4 secking 3:25 situation 20:4 situations 19:24 secking 3:25 situation 20:4 situations 19:24 situations 19:24 situations 19:24 situations 19:24 situations 19:25 situation 20:25 situation 20:25 s	41:23 42:1	shackled 32:14	special 30:24	sua 20:22 27:15	surrounding
second 12:25 shut 24:21 signal 14:13 specter 18:9 submitted 55:12 swearing 24:12 23:25 27:9,17 28:5,15,18,22 signal 30:10 spectrum 33:23 subordination 43:4 sweeping 28:1 35:7,10,19,24 42:3 44:2,5,6 45:22 47:25 27:15,22 28:10 subsection 3:15 sweeping 28:1 42:3 44:2,5,6 simply 7:19 29:6,8 30:4 subsections 3:16 14:14 4:15 17:11 22:12 38:12 stake 46:11 subsections 3:16 30:4 system 16:14,19 36:25 37:20 48:14 49:9 standing 6:11 single 41:19 started 34:12 subsequent 3:23 40:7 42:15 48:2,4 started 34:12 stated 26:13 31:11 38:8 subsequent 3:23 40:10 52:1,7 single 41:19 Stated 26:13 31:11 38:8 subsequent 3:23 50:10 52:1,7 sir 27:2 41:25 46:15,22 49:13 stated 34:12 stated 34:12 status 11,17 28:10;20:25 32:13;3:14 secking 3:25 situation 20:4 26:13,27:5 suffected 45:16 46:11 suggests 29:25 32:13;3:14 s	43:9	shown 19:11	33:14	27:22 28:10	
23:25 27:9,17 28:5,15,18,22 29:4 34:14 35:7,10,19,24 42:3 44:2,5,6 section 3:10,16 4:15 17:11 29:6,3 30:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 31:1 32:15 36:25 37:20 40:7 42:15 44:20 45:12,18 50:10 52:1,7 sections 30:6 see 17:19 18:23 20:7 21:23 40:18 43:21 49:13 50:14 52:24 53:4 49:13 50:14 52:24 53:4 49:13 50:14 52:24 53:4 52:24 53:4 49:13 50:14 52:20 33:15 34:1 49:8,11 52:10 sees 82:10 38:19 Sees 84:1 22:1 34:1 49:8,11 52:10 sees 84:1 22:1 34:1 40:8,11 52:10 sees 84:1 22:1 34:1 40:1 38:1 52:10 sees 84:1 22:1 34:1 40:1 38:1 52:10 sees 84:1 22:1 34:1 40:1 38:1 52:1 50:1 50:1 38:1 50:1 50:1 43:4 42:2 40:1 43:4 41:2 44:5 50:1 50:1 38:1 50:1 50:1 43:4 41:2 44:5 50:1 50:1 38:1 50:1 50:1 43:4 41:2 44:5 50:1 50:1 38:1 50:1 50:1 43:4 41:2 44:5 50:1 50:1 38:1 50:1 50:1 43:4 48:1 43:4 34:3 43:4 34:3 43:4 34:1 43:4 33:4 10:10 11:23 31:11 38:8 31:10 38:12 50:4 31:11 38:8 31:10 39:13 31:11 38:8 31:10 39:13 31:11 38:8 31:10 11:2 31:1 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:1 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:1 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:1 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:1 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:8 31:1 38:1 31	schemes 33:15	23:14	specific 29:13	29:6,8	sustained 10:15
28:5,15,18,22 29:4 34:14 35:7,10,19,24 42:3 44:2,5,6 section 3:10,16 4:15 17:11 27:5,13 30:15 31:1 32:15 36:25 37:20 40:7 42:15 44:20 45:12,18 50:10 52:1,7 sections 30:6 see 17:19 18:23 20:7 21:23 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:20 48:2,4 41:24 41:25 40:18 43:21 50:10 48:2,9 48:2,4 41:24 41:25 40:18 43:20 48:2,9 40:18 40:4 48:2,0 50:13 40:18 40:4 40:19 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 50:10 52:1,7 5	second 12:25	shut 24:21	31:17	submitted 55:12	swearing 24:12
29:4 34:14	23:25 27:9,17	signal 14:13	specter 18:9	55:14	sweeping 28:1
35:7,10,19,24 42:3 44:2,5,6 45:22 47:25 27:15,22 28:10 30:4 30:4 34:10 39:13	28:5,15,18,22	signals 30:10	spectrum 33:23	subordination	Switzerland
42:3 44:2,5,6 section 3:10,16 45:22 47:25 simply 7:19 27:15,22 28:10 30:4 subsections 3:16 30:4 16:21 32:18 34:10 39:13 4:15 17:11 27:5,13 30:15 31:1 32:15 36:25 37:20 40:7 42:15 44:20 45:12,18 50:10 52:1,7 sections 30:6 see 17:19 18:23 20:7 21:23 40:18 43:21 49:13 50:14 52:24 41:25 49:13 50:14 52:24 53:4 29:18 41:16 seeking 3:25 7:15 42:22 32:10 45:3 32:18 34:10 49:8 31:1 32:15 52:10 seeks 41: 22:1 52:10 seeks 41: 22:1 52:10 seeks 41: 22:1 52:10 seeks 41: 22:1 52:10 seese 22:10 38:19 Senator 28:12 senator 38:9 senator 28:12 se	29:4 34:14	signed 32:25	spinning 31:20	43:4	14:14
section 3:10,16 simply 7:19 29:6,8 30:4 30:4 34:10 39:13 4:15 17:11 22:12 38:12 stake 46:11 subsequent 3:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 10:10 11:23 <td></td> <td>O .</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td>		O .	_		
4:15 17:11 22:12 38:12 43:11 49:9 51:15 54:11 8:12 9:12,20 10:10 11:23 31:11 38:8 46:25 37:20 44:20 45:12,18 50:10 52:1,7 sections 30:6 single 41:19 20:16 47:24 single 41:19 sit 23:20:7 21:23 40:18 43:21 49:13 50:14 52:24 53:4 52:24 53:4 52:24 53:4 52:24 53:4 52:24 53:4 52:24 53:4 52:24 53:4 52:24 53:4 52:25 secking 3:25 situation 20:4 52:10 seeking 3:25 seeks 41: 22:1 34:1 49:8,11 52:10 seeks 41: 12:10 situations 19:24 20:3 situations 19:24 20:3 satutes 55:7 statutory 4:14 52:10 seeme 42:4 sense 4:9 7:24 41:24 42:5 sorry 12: 2 13:8 20:14 50:3 53:22 sentence 27:9 sought 45:25 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 52:10 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 52:10 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 27:14 45:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47:24 5:4 47	, ,				
T	,				34:10 39:13
31:1 32:15 31:15 54:11 simultaneous 40:7 42:15 44:20 45:12,18 50:10 52:1,7 secitors 30:6 see 17:19 18:23 20:7 21:23 40:18 43:21 49:13 50:14 52:24 53:4 29:18 41:16 50:25 situation 20:4 32:10 43:14 49:8,11 52:10 seeks 4:1 22:1 34:1 49:8,11 52:10 sees 22:10 38:19 Senator 28:12 send 42:4 sense 4:9 7:24 41:25 sense 4:9 7:24 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 sorry 12:2 13:8 52:21 send 42:4 52:10 sense 4:9 7:24 52:10 sense 4:9 7:24 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 sense 4:9 7:24 52:10 sense 4:9 7:24 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 sense 4:14 52:20 sense 4:9 7:24 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 sense 4:15 52:21 soon 19:9 33:25 sorry 12:2 13:8 52:21 send 42:4 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 sorry 12:2 13:8 52:21 send 42:4 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 sorry 12:2 13:8 52:20 52:14 50:20 52:14 50:3 53:20 sense 4:9 7:24 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 52:20 50:16 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 52:20 50:16 52:10 soon 19:9 33:25 52:20 50:10 52:10	4:15 17:11	22:12 38:12	stake 46:11	_	
36:25 37:20 40:7 42:15 44:20 45:12,18 50:10 52:1,7 sections 30:6 see 17:19 18:23 20:7 21:23 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:21 40:18 43:20 40:18 43:20 40:18 40:18 40:19 40:19 4:7 42:25 40:18 41:23 52:24 53:3 34:25 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:15,22 49:13 46:11 43:18 42:10 40:11 46:11 43:18 42:10 40:11 43:18 42:10 40:11 43:18 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		O		
40:7 42:15 48:2,4 start 24:17 4:7 42:25 taint 15:10 take 7:21 27:17 50:10 52:1,7 scetions 30:6 single 41:19 started 34:12 stated 26:13 7:8 10:11,17 28:10,20 29:5 29:9,16 30:8 see 17:19 18:23 sir 25:4 sit 27:2 41:25 46:15,22 49:13 succeed 34:23 31:14,25 32:5 32:13 33:7 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 46:15,22 49:13 suffer 43:22,23 32:13 33:7 45:11 55:2 46:11,521 18:12 suffer 43:22,23 32:13 33:7 45:11 55:2 46:11 52:1 46:11 50:25 31:14,25 32:5 32:13 33:7 45:11 55:2 46:11 46:11 46:11 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43:18 43			,		,
44:20 45:12,18 simultaneously started 34:12 substantially 7:8 10:11,17 take 7:21 27:17 sections 30:6 single 41:19 stated 26:13 States 1:1,13,22 substantially 7:8 10:11,17 28:10,20 29:5 29:9,16 30:8 30:18 31:14,25 32:5 29:9,16 30:8 31:14,25 32:5 29:9,16 30:8 31:14,25 32:5 29:9,16 30:8 31:14,25 32:5 29:9,16 30:8 31:14,25 32:5 29:9,16 30:8 31:14,25 32:5 32:13 33:7 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:11 55:2 45:18 51:0 45:18 51:0 45:18 51:0 45:18 51:0 45:18 51:0 45:18 51:0 45:18 51:0 45:19 51:0 45:19 51:0 45:13					
50:10 52:1,7 20:16 47:24 stated 26:13 7:8 10:11,17 28:10,20 29:5 sections 30:6 single 41:19 single 41:19 stated 26:13 7:8 10:11,17 28:10,20 29:5 see 17:19 18:23 sir 25:4 sit 27:2 41:25 46:15,22 49:13 34:25 32:13 33:7 40:18 43:21 43:14,20 50:13 status 12:10 status 12:10 suffer 43:22,23 45:11 55:2 49:13 50:14 50:25 status 12:10 status 12:10 suffer 43:22,23 45:11 55:2 seeking 3:25 situation 20:4 26:13 27:5 sufficient 45:16 46:11 43:18 seeks 4:1 22:1 32:1 33:14 29:10 31:16 suggest 8:1 suggest 8:1 suggest 8:1 suggest 8:1 43:18 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 49:5,22 50:16 51:23 suit 15:10 summarily 22:6 51:23 54:20 technically 52:10 52:10 51:8 6:18 35:21 summarily 22:6 35:21 summarily 22:6 35:21 Tenth 17:22 termin 46:20 52:21 55:5 suppervises 40:18 50:25 4					
sections 30:6 single 41:19 States 1:1,13,22 12:8 29:9,16 30:8 see 17:19 18:23 sir 25:4 2:10 45:3 46:15,22 49:13 34:25 31:14,25 32:5 40:18 43:21 43:14,20 50:13 status 12:10 succeed 34:23 32:13 33:7 49:13 50:14 sitting 15:13 status 12:10 suffer 43:22,23 45:11 55:2 49:13 50:14 50:25 situation 20:4 14:15,21 18:12 suffers 43:20 taken 24:20 takes 21:2 37:14 seeking 3:25 situation 20:4 26:13 27:5 suggest 8:1 suggest 8:1 43:18 7:15 42:22 32:1 33:14 29:10 31:16 suggest 29:2 47:7,20 seeks 4:1 22:1 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggests 29:25 51:23 47:7,20 sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutes 75:7 statutes 75:7 statutes 75:10 summarily 22:6 51:23 51:23 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 55:21 55:21 55:12 55:12 55:12 <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>v</td> <td></td>	,			v	
see 17:19 18:23 sir 25:4 2:10 45:3 succeed 34:23 31:14,25 32:5 40:18 43:21 43:14,20 50:13 status 12:10 suffer 43:22,23 45:11 55:2 49:13 50:14 sitting 15:13 29:18 41:16 statute 13:4 suffers 43:22,23 45:11 55:2 49:13 50:14 52:24 53:4 29:18 41:16 14:15,21 18:12 suffers 43:20 taken 24:20 52:24 53:4 29:18 41:16 19:18 20:10 46:11 46:11 43:18 5eeking 3:25 32:1 33:14 29:10 31:16 46:11 43:18 43:18 5eeks 4:1 22:1 32:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggested 29:2 47:7,20 47:7,20 42:6,10 43:18 49:5,22 50:16 51:23 54:20 54:20 47:7,20 42:40:10 42:6,10 43:18 49:5,22 50:16 51:23 54:20 47:7,20 42:40:10 42:6,10 43:18 49:5,22 50:16 51:23 53:23 54:20 47:7,20 42:41:20 42:41:21 42:6,10 43:18 42:6,10 43:18 42:6,10 43:18 42:20 42:41:20 42:41:21 42:41:21 42:41:21 42	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20:7 21:23 sit 27:2 41:25 46:15,22 49:13 34:25 32:13 33:7 40:18 43:21 43:14,20 50:13 status 12:10 suffer 43:22,23 45:11 55:2 49:13 50:14 sitting 15:13 29:18 41:16 14:15,21 18:12 sufficient 45:16 taken 24:20 seeking 3:25 50:25 19:18 20:10 sufficient 45:16 46:11 43:18 seeks 4:1 22:1 32:1 33:14 29:10 31:16 42:6,10 43:18 suggested 29:2 47:7,20 sees 4:1 22:1 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggests 29:25 42:0 sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutes 55:7 statutory 4:14 summarily 22:6 46:22 47:9 sense 4:9 7:24 Solicitor 1:20 55:18 6:18 35:21 56:4 56:4 senselessly 32:9 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 55:5 56:4 56:20 56:10 36:4 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20 56:20			, ,		,
40:18 43:21 43:14,20 50:13 status 12:10 suffer 43:22,23 45:11 55:2 49:13 50:14 siting 15:13 statute 13:4 suffers 43:20 taken 24:20 52:24 53:4 29:18 41:16 14:15,21 18:12 sufficient 45:16 takes 21:2 37:14 seeking 3:25 situation 20:4 26:13 27:5 suggest 8:1 43:18 7:15 42:22 32:1 33:14 29:10 31:16 suggested 29:2 47:7,20 seeks 4:1 22:1 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggests 29:25 47:7,20 34:1 49:8,11 situations 19:24 49:5,22 50:16 51:23 suit 15:10 sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutory 4:14 summarily 22:6 35:21 send 42:4 Solicitor 1:20 51:18 6:18 35:21 summary 22:12 41:24 42:5 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supervises 40:18 39:25 40:9 52:21 20:14 50:3 55:5 stays 15:10 supplemental 39:25 40:9 52:21 sort 33:3,13 37:6 55:6 Stevens 25:14 supporting 1:23 48:4,6					,
49:13 50:14 sitting 15:13 statute 13:4 suffers 43:20 taken 24:20			,		
52:24 53:4 29:18 41:16 31:15:21 sufficient 45:16 takes 21:2 37:14 seeing 18:16 50:25 situation 20:4 26:13 27:5 suggest 8:1 43:18 7:15 42:22 32:1 33:14 29:10 31:16 suggested 29:2 47:7,20 seeks 4:1 22:1 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggests 29:25 47:7,20 sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutes 55:7 statutory 4:14 summarily 22:6 54:20 senator 28:12 small 39:11 5:18 6:18 35:21 summarily 22:6 35:21 sense 4:9 7:24 soon 19:9 33:25 23:4 31:4,13 36:4 suppervises 40:18 supplemental 52:21 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supplemental 5:17 23:14,23 46:20 senselessly 32:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 support 25:10 48:4,6 separate 34:10 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 supporting 1:23 termination 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 211 45:4					
seeing 18:16 50:25 19:18 20:10 46:11 43:18 seeking 3:25 situation 20:4 26:13 27:5 suggest 8:1 suggest 8:1 43:18 7:15 42:22 32:1 33:14 29:10 31:16 suggested 29:2 47:7,20 seeks 4:1 22:1 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggested 29:2 47:7,20 sees 22:10 38:19 situations 19:24 49:5,22 50:16 51:23 suit 15:10 sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statuters 55:7 suit 15:10 summarily 22:6 sense 4:9 7:24 solicitor 1:20 5:18 6:18 35:21 summary 22:12 sense 4:9 7:24 soon 19:9 33:25 23:4 31:4,13 36:4 supervises 40:18 41:24 42:5 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supplemental 39:25 40:9 senselessly 32:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 24:7 support 25:10 48:4,6 separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 2:11 45:4 termination					
seeking 3:25 situation 20:4 26:13 27:5 suggest 8:1 suggest 8:1 talking 43:25 47:7,20 seeks 4:1 22:1 32:1 33:14 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggest 8:1 47:7,20 47:7,20 47:7,20 technically 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 54:20 55:21 55:21 55:21 55:21 55:21					
7:15 42:22 32:1 33:14 29:10 31:16 suggested 29:2 47:7,20 seeks 4:1 22:1 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggested 29:2 54:20 34:1 49:8,11 20:3 statutes 55:7 suit 15:10 54:20 sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutory 4:14 summarily 22:6 35:21 tell 46:22 47:9 sensed 29:2 small 39:11 5:18 6:18 35:21 tenant 23:25 sense 4:9 7:24 soon 19:9 33:25 23:4 31:4,13 36:4 terminate 37:22 41:24 42:5 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supplemental 5:17 23:14,23 terminated 52:21 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 support 25:10 46:20 termination 8enselessly 32:9 sort 33:3,10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 terms 50:10 28:6 29:4 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 terms 50:10 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6					
seeks 4:1 22:1 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 suggests 29:25 technically 34:1 49:8,11 52:10 42:6,10 43:18 51:23 54:20 52:10 52:10 six 25:7,7 statutes 55:7 suit 15:10 tell 46:22 47:9 52:10 sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutory 4:14 summarily 22:6 telling 27:23 52:10 son 19:9 33:25 51:8 6:18 35:21 summary 22:12 52:21 soon 19:9 33:25 20:14 50:3 41:23 52:2,3 supervises 40:18 supplemental 39:25 40:9 52:21 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 24:7 support 25:10 48:4,6 52:6 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 termination 48:4,6 termis 50:10 termis 50:10 48:4,6 termis 50:10 53:5 20:14 5:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4				00	C
34:1 49:8,11 situations 19:24 49:5,22 50:16 51:23 54:20 sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutes 55:7 suit 15:10 tell 46:22 47:9 Senator 28:12 small 39:11 5:18 6:18 35:21 tenant 23:25 Sense 4:9 7:24 soon 19:9 33:25 23:4 31:4,13 36:4 supervises 40:18 41:24 42:5 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supplemental 39:25 40:9 52:21 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 5:17 23:14,23 46:20 senselessly 32:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 24:7 support 25:10 48:4,6 separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 2:11 45:4 termis 50:10 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6				00	,
52:10 20:3 statutes 55:7 suit 15:10 tell 46:22 47:9 Sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutory 4:14 summarily 22:6 telling 27:23 Senator 28:12 small 39:11 5:18 6:18 35:21 summarily 22:6 telling 27:23 sense 4:9 7:24 soon 19:9 33:25 23:4 31:4,13 suppress 40:18 terminate 37:22 41:24 42:5 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supplemental 39:25 40:9 senselessly 32:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 support 25:10 termination 28:6 29:4 37:6 55:6 Stevens 25:14 supporting 1:23 terms 50:10 separate 34:10 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6					•
sees 22:10 38:19 six 25:7,7 statutory 4:14 summarily 22:6 telling 27:23 send 42:4 solicitor 1:20 son 19:9 33:25 son 19:9 33:25 sorry 12:2 13:8 17:11 22:18 summary 22:12 Tenth 17:22 terminate 37:22 41:24 42:5 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supplemental 39:25 40:9 52:21 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 5:17 23:14,23 46:20 sentence 27:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 support 25:10 48:4,6 separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 termination 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 termiby 11:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Senator 28:12 send 42:4 sense 4:9 7:24 41:24 42:5 52:21 sentence 27:9 sentence 27:9 28:6 29:4 separate 34:10 38:5 small 39:11 sinch 35:21 summary 22:12 36:4 supervises 40:18 supplemental 5:17 23:14,23 24:7 support 25:10 senselessly 32:9 sort 33:3,13 sentence 27:9 sort 33:3,13 separate 34:10 38:5 5:18 6:18 17:11 22:18 summary 22:12 36:4 supervises 40:18 supplemental 5:17 23:14,23 24:7 support 25:10 support 25:10 support 25:10 support 25:10 supporting 1:23 2:11 45:4 Senator 28:12 send 42:4 sense 42:4 sense 42:12 summary 22:12 36:4 supervises 40:18 supplemental 5:17 23:14,23 24:7 support 25:10 support 25:10 support 25:10 supporting 1:23 2:11 45:4					
send 42:4 Solicitor 1:20 17:11 22:18 summary 22:12 Tenth 17:22		,	=	•	\sim
sense 4:9 7:24 soon 19:9 33:25 23:4 31:4,13 36:4 terminate 37:22 41:24 42:5 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supervises 40:18 terminated 39:25 40:9 52:21 53:22 stays 15:10 5:17 23:14,23 46:20 sentence 27:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 24:7 termination 48:4,6 separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 terms 50:10 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6					
41:24 42:5 sorry 12:2 13:8 41:23 52:2,3 supervises 40:18 terminated 52:21 53:22 stays 15:10 5:17 23:14,23 46:20 sentence 27:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 24:7 terminated 28:6 29:4 37:6 55:6 Stevens 25:14 support 25:10 48:4,6 separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 terms 50:10 38:5 supporting 1:23 termibly 11:6				_	
52:21 20:14 50:3 55:5 supplemental 39:25 40:9 senselessly 32:9 53:22 stays 15:10 5:17 23:14,23 46:20 sentence 27:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 24:7 termination separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 terms 50:10 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6			· ·		
senselessly 32:9 53:22 stays 15:10 5:17 23:14,23 46:20 sentence 27:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 24:7 termination 28:6 29:4 37:6 55:6 Stevens 25:14 support 25:10 48:4,6 separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 terms 50:10 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6		· ·		_	
sentence 27:9 sort 33:3,13 steals 44:15 24:7 termination 28:6 29:4 37:6 55:6 Stevens 25:14 support 25:10 48:4,6 separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 termis 50:10 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6					
28:6 29:4	•		•		
separate 34:10 sorts 33:10 25:20 26:3,7 supporting 1:23 terms 50:10 38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6		,			
38:5 sought 45:25 26:10 36:17,22 2:11 45:4 terribly 11:6					,
20.10 20.17,22					
sures 57.11 source 20.1 57.2,10 50.20 supports 27.10			,		•
	SCI ICS 3 / . 1 1	SUULCE 40.1	37.2,10 30.20	supports 27.10	1.0
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	I		1
Thank 26:19,21	told 40:14	7:13 19:14	\mathbf{W}	48:24 49:13
44:21,22 45:5	tolerated 34:3	20:9 22:19	wait 20:5 42:24	52:23
53:15,20,24	toss 49:10	28:14	43:7 51:2	written 20:11
55:9,10	total 10:12,17	unanimous 43:3	waiting 50:12	36:12
theft 37:6	11:17 12:9	unanimously	waiver 3:14	wrote 19:18
thing 15:8 18:24	traditional	31:9 43:6	want 17:19	
24:7,9 32:21	27:10	uncover 46:13	37:23	X
51:19 53:25	trail 34:1	understand 3:23	wanted 31:8	x 1:2,8
things 28:12	transfer 18:10	10:18 16:1	wanting 15:19	
41:18	18:10	21:16 22:22	wants 39:16	<u>Y</u>
think 5:23 6:16	treatment 9:2	28:5,7	50:6 54:10	years 34:21 54:1
6:20 7:9,12	10:20	understood	Washington 1:9	S
10:12 14:1,21	trial 29:11	39:19 54:15	1:21	\$1,000 39:6
17:12,22 18:6	tried 4:8	unemployed	wasn't 24:13	\$3,000 39:6
18:14,22 19:2	true 44:10	23:11 24:20	32:5	\$5,000 39.0 \$500 39:6
20:11 22:4,4	truly 50:5	unenforceable	waste 18:11	\$300 37.0
27:7,9 28:2,5,6	trustee 12:17	3:15	48:11 49:15	0
29:3,3,9 30:3,9	19:4,9,15,15	unfold 43:14	watch 43:14	05-996 1:5
30:11 31:6	25:2 32:23	unfortunate	way 17:3 21:20	
32:18 33:9,10	33:25 37:14,21	33:21	29:4 30:25	1
34:4,6,8,14,18	38:2,10 39:11	unique 39:7	31:17 55:2	10:04 1:14 3:2
35:12,19,20	39:21,24,24,25	United 1:1,13,22	week 41:9,9	105 27:5,8 28:7
36:2,9 42:13	40:2,4,6,8,10	2:10 45:3	weeks 25:7 51:3	105(a) 27:10,16
42:23 43:12,16	40:11,12,17,21	46:15,22 49:13	weren't 28:12	29:4 30:13
44:8,18 49:4	41:2,7,7,10,17	unnecessarily	we'll 3:3 37:25	106(a) 28:5
49:25 51:14	41:19,20 46:12	44:7	44:23	109(e) 4:15 35:2
52:1,6 54:12	46:15,17,19,22	unnecessary	we're 15:13	11:04 55:13
thought 15:4	46:22,23 47:9	48:11 49:15	31:10 43:25	13 3:24 4:1,7,8
thousands 39:8	48:5,6 49:13	unsecured 25:17	47:7,20	4:18 5:3 6:22
44:4,4 46:7,9	54:1,4,7,18,20	unusual 18:21	wheel 31:20	7:2,16,18,22
threatens 52:15	54:22	upsets 23:7	wife 25:11	7:24 8:15,25
three 34:21	trustees 54:2,13	use 30:9	willful 37:5	9:1,18,21,23
three-year 4:16	trustee's 37:16	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	winds 17:25	10:20 11:7,12
7:7	trying 4:6 9:19	v 1:5	wiped 21:19	11:16,19 13:6
throw 51:4	14:3 47:21		Woburn 24:6	13:12,14 15:9
time 3:13 4:16	turn 16:17 22:22	various 32:15 vast 48:18	wonder 4:2 23:8	15:13 16:1,17
4:22 16:22	two 4:16,23 7:7	vast 48.18 vehicle 12:6	word 12:25 13:1	17:1,14,19
17:2,4 18:11	19:24 20:3,13	versus 3:4 29:15	30:9	19:10,15,25
23:11 24:2,15	22:14,14 26:13	31:7 43:3	worded 29:4	20:17 21:2
25:6,10,20	30:4 31:18	vest 40:14	wording 29:22	23:11,12 25:17
26:18 32:9	34:10 48:13,17	vested 54:6,21	words 4:6 53:4	30:19,19,23
34:11 43:10,21 45:23 47:9	52:3 53:7,8,23 typically 16:25	view 6:4 17:11	work 25:3 30:20	31:14,23 32:4
48:1,11 49:16	41:6 46:12	23:2,3	32:19	32:19 36:19
times 34:20	71.0 40.12	viewed 14:12	working 51:2	37:18 38:2,4,8
title 27:20 54:21	U	views 33:18	wouldn't 5:5,11	38:9,10,11
today 3:4 36:15	ultimately 6:17	violate 30:21	16:3 35:16	39:14,24 40:2
touay 3.7 30.13	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		38:20 46:16	40:4,4,5,6,8,11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I