1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES					
2	x					
3	BRUCE JAMES ABRAMSKI, JR., :					
4	Petitioner : No. 12-1493					
5	v. :					
6	UNITED STATES :					
7	x					
8	Washington, D.C.					
9	Wednesday, January 22, 2014					
10						
11	The above-entitled matter came on for oral					
12	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States					
13	at 11:06 a.m.					
14	APPEARANCES:					
15	RICHARD D. DIETZ, ESQ., Winston-Salem, North Carolina;					
16	on behalf of Petitioner.					
17	JOSEPH R. PALMORE, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor					
18	General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; or	1				
19	behalf of Respondent.					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	RICHARD D. DIETZ, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	JOSEPH R. PALMORE, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent	27
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	RICHARD D. DIETZ, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	55
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:06 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument
4	next in Case 12-1493, Abramski v. United States.
5	Mr. Dietz?
6	ORAL ARGUMENT OF RICHARD R. DIETZ
7	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
8	MR. DIETZ: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
9	please the Court:
10	In 2009, Bruce Abramski went to a gun store
11	at his home in Virginia and purchased a firearm. When
12	he did so, he filled out all the required Federal
13	paperwork providing his own name and identifying
14	information and passing a background check.
15	He then traveled to his uncle's home in
16	Pennsylvania and delivered the firearm to a licensed gun
17	dealer there. That gun dealer required Mr. Abramski's
18	uncle to fill out the exact same Federal paperwork and
19	pass his own background check before taking possession
20	of the firearm.
21	But despite doing precisely what Congress
22	established as the process to buy a firearm, intending
23	to sell or give it to a lawful gun owner in another
24	State, the government charged Mr. Abramski with falsely

stating that he was the actual buyer of the firearm when

25

- 1 he acquired it.
- 2 And that term, "actual" --
- 3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would happen if two
- 4 people walk into the gun store, one person hands the
- 5 money to the other and says, buy me that gun?
- 6 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor.
- 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Is that not actionable,
- 8 according to your theory?
- 9 MR. DIETZ: Your Honor, the circumstance
- 10 where there are two lawful gun owners, that is
- 11 permissible. And I think a good way to illustrate that
- 12 is to consider the government's concession that in that
- 13 hypothetical, if the two people walked into the gun
- 14 store and the person looked and said, I'd like that gun
- and points to the counter and then the person
- 16 standing --
- 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's -- what's
- 18 truthful about saying you're the buyer --
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: Please finish what you were
- 20 saying. I -- I didn't understand what your point was.
- 21 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor.
- In that circumstance, if the person standing
- 23 at the counter then says, I'd like to buy that firearm,
- 24 that the person indicated, I'm going to give it to that
- 25 person, then even the government concedes that in that

- 1 circumstance, everything about that sale is perfectly
- 2 lawful and the buyer can take the gun, hand it to that
- 3 person standing next to them, who would leave the gun
- 4 store with the gun dealer and the government having
- 5 absolutely no idea who that person is or where the gun
- 6 is going.
- 7 JUSTICE KAGAN: I'm sorry. So you're saying
- 8 that in that case, the gun dealer runs the background
- 9 check on the person who hands the gun dealer the credit
- 10 card as opposed to the person who will be the actual
- 11 recipient of the gun? Is that what you're saying the
- 12 statute requires?
- 13 MR. DIETZ: That's correct, Your Honor. I
- 14 think the government concedes that as well in gift
- 15 circumstance at least, and there's certainly nothing in
- 16 the Gun Control Act that suggests that Congress was
- 17 distinguishing between those two circumstances at all.
- 18 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, in the gift -- in the
- 19 gift situation. But Congress requires certain
- 20 information from the buyer, whatever that means; right?
- 21 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor.
- 22 JUSTICE ALITO: The -- the dealer has to
- 23 record the name of the buyer; right?
- MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor.
- 25 JUSTICE ALITO: And the address of the

- 1 buyer?
- 2 MR. DIETZ: Yes, sir.
- 3 JUSTICE ALITO: And do an instant check on
- 4 the buyer?
- 5 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor.
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: Now, why would -- why would this
- 7 Congress have wanted those things with respect to the
- 8 person who was just the straw purchaser and not the
- 9 person who -- the person who's actually going to acquire the
- 10 weapon?
- 11 MR. DIETZ: The reason, Your Honor, is that
- 12 this legislation, the way Congress designed it, is not
- 13 focused on sort of the end point. It's not concerned
- 14 about where a gun is actually going, who's ultimately
- 15 going to receive it.
- 16 What Congress was concerned about was the
- 17 starting point because, as part of the key political
- 18 compromise of the Gun Control Act, there were two
- 19 competing interests that needed to be accommodated.
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: Why wouldn't they be
- 21 concerned about the starting point? Let's say there's a
- 22 man, Mr. Straw, and he holds himself out as a gun buyer, he
- 23 puts -- you know, a website, he creates a website. He puts
- 24 something in the Yellow Pages under "Straw Man." And he
- 25 says: You want to acquire a gun and you don't want the

- 1 dealer to record your name and you don't want to have an
- 2 instant check on you, you come to me.
- 3 And so these people come to him, and
- 4 whenever they do, he goes to Joe's gun shop and -- with
- 5 the other person. He says, I want to buy a gun, but
- 6 this fellow with me is going to do all the talking. So
- 7 the guy who's with him talks with Joe about different
- 8 types of guns, costs and everything.
- 9 After that's done, the person who's done all
- 10 the talking says, now, my friend, Mr. Straw, is going to
- 11 buy this gun. He gives Mr. Straw the money, Mr. Straw
- 12 buys the gun, and the dealer writes down Mr. Straw's
- 13 name for the fifteenth time that month, his address,
- 14 does another instant check on it.
- 15 What sense does that make.
- 16 MR. DIETZ: Your Honor, I think, to your
- 17 first point about why, why starting points, the reason
- 18 is because all that Congress wanted is to provide law
- 19 enforcement with a way to trace the firearm.
- 20 If you have truthful, accurate information
- 21 about the first initial purchaser, the person who walks
- 22 out of a gun store with a gun in their hand, then law
- 23 enforcement has that starting point if they need to
- 24 trace the firearm.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: I suppose -- I suppose that

- 1 your answer to what sense it makes is that was the
- 2 compromise, that there was strong opposition to any gun
- 3 control law, and the condition was you get the buyer,
- 4 and you don't make the buyer promise not to give it to
- 5 somebody else.
- 6 He could immediately give it to somebody
- 7 who's unqualified to own the gun, couldn't he? Would
- 8 that be a violation?
- 9 MR. DIETZ: Your Honor, that would -- that
- 10 would violate other laws because Congress, in the
- 11 Firearm Owners Protection Act, clarified that -- or
- 12 amended the provision to provide that a private citizen
- 13 who transfers a firearm to someone they know or have
- 14 reasonable cause to believe is prohibited, that's a
- 15 crime.
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: What about somebody --
- 17 somebody who is qualified to own a firearm? Can I take
- 18 a firearm that I own and say -- you know, it's yours?
- 19 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor, and I think the
- 20 government has conceded that if it's someone in your own
- 21 State, there's --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Don't have to register it?
- 23 I don't have to go through a firearm dealer, right?
- 24 It's my gun, and I can give it to somebody else who's
- 25 qualified.

- 1 MR. DIETZ: That's correct, Your Honor. And
- 2 in cases like Mr. Abramski's case, where his uncle lived
- 3 in another State, Congress provided a path for those
- 4 people as well, and that is they have to deliver the
- 5 firearm to another dealer in that State before finishing
- 6 the transfer.
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: So you're never going to
- 8 know who the end user is once the gun is sold, whether
- 9 you take the -- you know, the straw buyer's name or
- 10 the -- or the other person's name or both. You don't
- 11 know where the gun is going to end up, do you?
- MR. DIETZ: Yes, sir. That's right, Your
- 13 Honor. And that's because that -- that was the key
- 14 compromise --
- 15 JUSTICE BREYER: Language matters in a
- 16 statute. I mean, I do believe that. And here, the
- 17 relevant language seems to me -- what is material to the
- 18 government says -- is the statute about selling or
- 19 delivering?
- Now, did this person -- was he the buyer,
- 21 your client? Was he somebody that they sold or
- 22 delivered it to? Well, he's a straw. A straw purchaser
- is someone who doesn't purchase.
- The person who purchases is the person who
- 25 uses the straw. Now, you can't say that about a giver,

- 1 a benefactor is -- the person who's the beneficiary of
- 2 the benefactor is not the purchaser. But the straw
- 3 purchaser is not the purchaser.
- 4 And it comes from "straw bail," where
- 5 someone else put up the bail, and it was called straw
- 6 because the people who made a career of that used to
- 7 wear straw in their shoes. Interesting.
- 8 (Laughter.)
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: But in terms of -- in terms
- 10 of this case, the straw --
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: He made that up.
- 12 (Laughter.)
- 13 JUSTICE BREYER: No, I didn't. I thought it
- 14 came from the Wizard of Oz, but it doesn't --
- 15 (Laughter.)
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: The fact is that is where
- 17 it comes from.
- I think, in every legal context, it means
- 19 someone who is not the real. So who is the real? In
- 20 this case, it is the person for whom the straw
- 21 purchased.
- 22 So we fit that within the language. We
- 23 can't fit the beneficiary of a gift within the language
- 24 and that's the reason for the distinction, and so why
- 25 not do it that way?

1	MR.	DIETZ:	With	respect,	Your	Honor.	Т
<b>上</b>	T.TT.			TCDPCCC,	IOUL	1101101	

- 2 think that the principle you described of a straw man or
- 3 straw purchases in the context -- historical context you
- 4 described it, I don't believe is a criminal context.
- 5 We've not been able to find any case in
- 6 which the criminal law has ever recognized that sort of
- 7 civil agency law principle, that when there is a
- 8 principal-agent relationship, this fiduciary duty,
- 9 that because the principal controls the agent or the
- 10 straw man, that everything that the agent does is really
- 11 an act of the principal.
- 12 And Congress -- there were historically
- 13 criminal common law principles of agency. And Congress
- 14 codified those principles in Title 18, United States
- 15 Code, Section 2. Those are things like aiding and
- 16 abetting, principals and accessories.
- 17 That's not the principle on which the
- 18 government relies today. The government --
- 19 JUSTICE ALITO: You still have not explained
- 20 what purpose is served by obtaining the name of the
- 21 straw purchaser and doing an instant check on the straw
- 22 purchaser. You said that it allows the tracing of the
- 23 weapon, but that's not going to be true in the case of a
- 24 straw purchaser because the person -- the straw
- 25 purchaser, isn't necessarily and probably in the vast

- 1 majority of cases isn't going to get the name or any
- 2 information about the actual recipient.
- 3 That's the whole purpose of having a straw
- 4 purchaser. So then what purpose is served by this?
- 5 It's just meaningless.
- 6 MR. DIETZ: With respect, Your Honor, I
- 7 disagree. And I think the reason is again that the
- 8 intent of Congress in the Gun Control Act was not to
- 9 trace or track where firearms were going. So in every
- 10 case, because private sales have no recordkeeping or
- 11 background check requirements, in every case where
- 12 there's a trace of a firearm the government has to go
- 13 all the way back to the beginning.
- 14 They go to the manufacturer with the serial
- 15 number and follow the gun through the stream of commerce
- 16 until they find that gun dealer where the gun was first sold,
- 17 and then they --
- 18 JUSTICE ALITO: So they find the gun dealer
- 19 and the gun dealer says, I sold it to Mr. Straw. And
- 20 then they go to Mr. Straw and Mr. Straw said: My client
- 21 took it. Okay, who's your client? I have no idea. He
- 22 came into my store. You know, He contacted me. I didn't ask his
- 23 name. He didn't give me his name. And that's the end
- 24 of it.
- 25 So no purpose is served by putting down the

- 1 name, the address, doing the check on the straw
- 2 purchaser.
- 3 MR. DIETZ: But, Your Honor, again Congress
- 4 understood that that's how the statute worked because,
- 5 for example --
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, what you're saying is
- 7 they did a meaningless thing. That was the compromise.
- 8 They would do something that's utterly meaningless.
- 9 MR. DIETZ: No, Your Honor. And the reason
- 10 is, consider for example a circumstance where, instead
- 11 of buying firearm with intent to resell it, five minutes
- 12 after the purchase, walking out of the gun store, a
- 13 stranger approaches you and says, that's a nice looking
- 14 gun, I'd like to buy it from you.
- 15 It's perfectly legal under the Gun Control
- 16 Act to sell the gun to that stranger, who then will
- 17 leave and again in the tracing process, the government's
- 18 trace will stop with that first purchaser. And Congress
- 19 understood that that's how the process would work and
- 20 that was part of the compromise. What Congress wanted
- 21 was accurate information about the initial person who
- 22 acquires the firearm so at least they can try to do that
- 23 trace.
- 24 And Congress understood that in many
- 25 circumstances --

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: And in some cases, they can
- 2 track it all the way. In this case, unlike the
- 3 hypothetical that Justice Alito gave you, if they went
- 4 to the straw, to the straw purchaser, he would say, oh,
- 5 I actually bought it from my uncle. And he'd give the
- 6 uncle's name, and then the uncle would say -- you know,
- 7 where else the firearm went from him.
- 8 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor. And, in fact,
- 9 in this case the government received all the
- 10 recordkeeping and background check information that they
- 11 could possibly have received. They got full Federal
- 12 paperwork from both Mr. Abramski and his uncle and ran a
- 13 background check on both.
- 14 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Your position is that this
- is not a material misstatement; is that correct?
- MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor.
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Why isn't it material in
- 18 light of the fact that it was a question that was on the
- 19 government form that was promulgated, that was directed
- 20 by regulations?
- 21 MR. DIETZ: The reason, Your Honor -- I
- 22 think this is a critical concession by the government.
- 23 It's on pages 35 and 36 of their brief. The government
- 24 acknowledges that the statements on the form are just an
- 25 interpretive rule and not even sort of ordinary

- 1 interpretive rule that this Court may encounter with
- 2 agency interpretations where they are looking at the
- 3 statute, and although they have not been delegated with
- 4 authority to rule make, they are interpreting the text
- 5 of the statute. Here, the government acknowledges that
- 6 the statement is an interpretation of the case law about
- 7 the straw purchaser doctrine.
- 8 And the problem with that is that there's a
- 9 split in the circuits about what that case precedent
- 10 should be, and the government's suggesting that this
- 11 Court should somehow defer to the statement on the form
- 12 that it has the power of law, that people should
- 13 acknowledge it.
- But, of course, when you have a case law
- 15 question like this where there's a split, this Court is
- 16 the authority that decides what the law should be. And
- 17 it's not required to defer at all to the statements on
- 18 the form.
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Dietz, can we go back to
- 20 the question that Justice Alito raised? The primary
- 21 object of this statute is to keep guns out of the hands of
- 22 felons, of people with mental illness and so forth.
- Now, it's absolutely true, as you have said,
- 24 that Congress didn't do everything it could have to
- 25 achieve that result, right? That there are many kinds

- of resales that are allowable, that there are gifts that
- 2 are allowable.
- 3 It's one thing to say that. It's another
- 4 thing to say that at the initial point of sale, which is
- 5 where the entire system is set up, right, with the gun
- 6 dealer doing the automatic checks, that at that initial
- 7 point of sale, which is the centerpiece of this statute,
- 8 that we will -- that we will essentially disregard
- 9 fronts, that we -- you know, that we don't care that the
- 10 person standing at the counter is a front.
- I mean, that goes far beyond the other kinds
- 12 of resale possibilities that you're talking about. And
- 13 I guess what I want to know is why you think a Congress
- 14 that was geared towards this object of keeping guns out
- of the hands of dangerous people and set up a mechanism
- 16 that had the gun seller be the kind of enforcer, at the
- 17 point of sale, would have thought, oh, it's fine if a
- 18 front, if a straw walks in the door.
- 19 MR. DIETZ: The reason, Your Honor, is
- 20 because Congress understood that private sales -- that
- 21 there was an importance to private sales between
- 22 citizens. And in a straw purchase like this or -- or
- 23 someone who's purchasing a gun intending to resell it to
- 24 someone else, that is precisely what's happening is that
- 25 second sale is a private transaction between two private

- 1 citizens and Congress did not want any regulation of
- 2 those types of sales. And that was part of the
- 3 political compromise in the law.
- 4 So yes, Your Honor, I acknowledge that you
- 5 could certainly describe this as -- as not a
- 6 comprehensive regime. There are holes in the
- 7 legislation. But remember, too, that Congress was not
- 8 operating in a vacuum.
- 9 It understood that, although there might not
- 10 be a national consensus about some of these issues and,
- 11 therefore, the regime that Congress chose may have some
- 12 holes in it. But the States could fill those holes if
- 13 there was a State-wide consensus on that issue. And
- 14 many States have done that.
- 15 Some States have -- Hawaii, for example --
- 16 what is really a complete gun registration system. And
- 17 others, like West Virginia, believe that there should be
- 18 virtually no regulation of these sorts of private sales
- 19 at all.
- 20 And so I think the system is working
- 21 precisely as Congress intended. And if there are
- 22 problems, Congress will come back and fix it. And we've
- 23 seen, for example, in the Firearm Owners Protection Act
- 24 and in the Brady Act that -- this is an area where
- 25 Congress is continuing to observe what's going on in the

- 1 nation and making changes to the law as necessary.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: In -- in the
- 3 situation that Justice Alito hypothesized of the person
- 4 who's doing this several times a month and has the ad in
- 5 the Yellow Pages, is that -- is that person subject to
- 6 regulation as a dealer?
- 7 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor. I wanted to
- 8 make that point. And that is that, of course, if you
- 9 engage in the business of purchasing firearms to sell to
- 10 others, then you will at some point be subject to the
- 11 licensing requirements that Congress created.
- 12 So, again, this is not a system that has
- 13 some obvious loophole where people can begin to engage
- 14 in their own sort of sale of guns to others. This is a
- 15 situation where Congress wanted to leave open the option
- 16 for private citizens, like Mr. Abramski, to purchase
- 17 guns for other lawful gun owners like family members,
- 18 neighbors and friends --
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But this -- this family
- 20 member, the uncle, residing in Pennsylvania, he could
- 21 not have purchased that gun in Virginia, isn't that
- 22 right, because he's a nonresident?
- 23 MR. DIETZ: With respect, Your Honor, I
- 24 disagree. It's true that he could not have walked into
- 25 the gun store and left the gun store in Virginia with --

- 1 with the gun. But he could have purchased it there.
- 2 Congress created a means in the statute for
- 3 the gun to then be shipped to a gun dealer in
- 4 Pennsylvania, and he would have to pick up the gun and
- 5 again fill out the Federal forms and undergo a
- 6 background check at that gun dealer in his home in
- 7 Pennsylvania.
- 8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But wouldn't he --
- 9 wouldn't he have to comply with the 552(c), which says
- 10 how somebody who doesn't show up in person can purchase
- 11 a gun? And none of those requirements were satisfied
- 12 here. So it seems to me that what you're asking is just
- 13 an end run around what Congress said. If you're out of
- 14 State and you want to buy it, this is how you have to do
- 15 it.
- 16 MR. DIETZ: With respect, Your Honor, I
- 17 don't think that's what Congress meant in Section
- 18 922(c), which is the provision that deals with absent
- 19 buyers. And the concern there was Congress wanted to
- leave open two paths for gun buyers.
- 21 One is to physically be present at the qun
- 22 store and fill out the papers yourself for the gun
- 23 dealer. As you're writing your name and age and your
- 24 height and eye color on the forms, there's a person
- 25 there looking at you.

1	And	there	MAS	also	an	ontion	that	Congress
	AIIU	CHELE	was	$a \perp s \cup$	an	OPCIOII	LIIaL	COLIGICSS

- 2 provided for people to purchase a gun, for example, by
- 3 telephone or mail or over the Internet without ever
- 4 actually being present, with no person being present in
- 5 the gun store.
- 6 And it was in that circumstance that
- 7 Congress said we think we need a little bit more
- 8 recordkeeping in those cases. So that's why they
- 9 required the affidavit, for example, and the -- the
- 10 waiting period to provide extra time for local law
- 11 enforcement and the background check.
- But that's not the situation here.
- 13 Mr. Abramski was physically present at the gun store and
- 14 so that provision of the statute is not even implicated
- 15 here.
- 16 Another point, Your Honors, is that the
- 17 plain text interpretation of the statute is one that the
- 18 agency, ATF, had adopted initially. In 1979, the Agency
- 19 sent a circular to gun dealers that took the -- the
- 20 precise position that -- that Petitioner is taking here,
- 21 which is that a purchase of a gun for another lawful gun
- 22 owner is permissible.
- 23 And in doing so, the -- the Agency said that
- 24 that was an interpretation of the text of the Gun
- 25 Control Act.

1 JUSTICE SCALIA:	What is the government's
-------------------	--------------------------

- I guess I should ask the government, but does the
- 3 government contend that there are two buyers now?
- 4 Both -- is the real buyer the person who sends in the
- 5 straw man so that it's only his information that you
- 6 have to give? Or are there two buyers?
- 7 MR. DIETZ: Your Honor, I don't know the
- 8 government's position, but our position is that there's
- 9 one buyer, and that's the person who's actually paying
- 10 for the gun, filling out the forms, undergoing a
- 11 background check, and leaving the gun -- leaving the gun
- 12 store with the gun in their hand.
- 13 And Congress didn't use terms like "true
- 14 buyer" or "true purchaser" or "actual buyer" because
- 15 they are not concerned about the ultimate recipients of
- 16 firearms or what happens to a gun after it leaves the
- 17 gun store.
- 18 The focus of the Gun Control Act is on that
- 19 initial purchase and making sure that that purchase --
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What -- what position
- 21 are you taking here? Are you arguing that it doesn't
- 22 matter whether it's a straw purchaser or not; a buyer is
- 23 a buyer is a buyer? It's the person who puts the money
- 24 down on the counter?
- Or are you arguing, as a -- as a backup or

- 1 as your main point -- I don't understand -- that if it's
- 2 a lawful buyer using a straw man, that that's not
- 3 actionable?
- 4 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor. We are
- 5 arguing, yes, that the person who pays for the gun, the
- 6 person that's there in the gun store, pays for the
- 7 firearm is the buyer. That is the -- or the -- in fact,
- 8 the term "buyer" isn't even used in the Gun Control Act.
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Even if their intent is
- 10 to sell it to a prohibited person?
- 11 MR. DIETZ: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 12 And -- and in those circumstances -- again, I think this
- is an important point -- is that Congress provided a
- 14 number of means to prosecute illegal straw purchasers.
- 15 And all of those provisions are still available to the
- 16 government, even if this Court was to disapprove the
- 17 straw purchaser doctrine in all its applications.
- 18 JUSTICE ALITO: If we disagree with you on
- 19 the first point about the straw purchaser, would you --
- 20 would you lose on the grounds that Mr. Abramski's uncle
- 21 could not have lawfully purchased the gun at that store
- 22 because he wasn't a resident of the State?
- 23 MR. DIETZ: No, Your Honor. Two points
- there: First, as I mentioned, it would have been
- 25 possible for Mr. Abramski's uncle to purchase the gun in

- 1 Virginia. He could have gone to that gun store and
- 2 said, this is the gun I want and paid the money. He
- 3 would have had to go back -- the gun would have had to
- 4 have been shipped to Pennsylvania, and he would have had
- 5 to take possession of it in Pennsylvania after filling
- 6 out additional paperwork and --
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: But he couldn't have taken
- 8 possession of it in -- in Pennsylvania.
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: In Virginia.
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: In Virginia.
- 11 MR. DIETZ: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 12 But, again, I don't think that that changes the
- 13 materiality analysis, because, again, the question is
- 14 not whether Mr. Abramski's uncle could have picked up or
- 15 bought the gun in Virginia.
- 16 The question is, if Mr. Abramski had told
- 17 the gun dealer the truth, that yes, I plan to buy this
- 18 gun, but I'm going to take it up to Pennsylvania and
- 19 give it to my uncle after I deliver it to a gun dealer
- 20 there, the way that Congress wrote the Gun Control Act,
- 21 the gun dealer still could have sold the gun to
- 22 Mr. Abramski. And that's why --
- 23 JUSTICE ALITO: But would it have been a
- 24 lawful sale if the gun had been delivered to him on the
- 25 spot?

- 1 MR. DIETZ: To Mr. Abramski's uncle?
- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: Yes. He could not --
- 3 Mr. Abramski is out of the picture and the uncle goes
- 4 in, buys the gun, puts down a Pennsylvania address. The
- 5 dealer gives him the gun and walks out of the store.
- 6 That -- would that be a lawful sale?
- 7 MR. DIETZ: No, Your Honor. No.
- 8 Mr. Abramski's uncle could not take -- could not have
- 9 bought the gun in another State and taken possession of
- 10 it. But, again, for the materiality question, the way
- 11 this Court has described that standard in Kungys is
- 12 whether -- if Mr. Abramski had provided the truthful
- information, if that would have been capable of
- 14 influencing the outcome in that case --
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: In describing what
- 16 happened here, you -- you -- you said that Abramski went
- in and then went to Pennsylvania and gave the gun to his
- 18 uncle. That's not quite correct. He -- he transferred
- 19 it to him for consideration. I had thought, and this
- 20 was -- goes back to Justice Scalia's question --
- 21 question about if there's one buyer here or two.
- I had thought that it might be possible that
- 23 you can -- to construct a case where Abramski tells his
- 24 uncle, I'm going to buy the gun, and then I'm going to
- 25 sell it to you, and I'm going to sell it to you in

- 1 Pennsylvania at a gun dealer's store so we can fill out
- 2 the necessary forms. Would the government then have
- 3 objected to what happened here?
- 4 MR. DIETZ: Your Honor --
- 5 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I mean, we can ask the
- 6 government --
- 7 MR. DIETZ: Yes.
- 8 JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- as Justice Scalia
- 9 indicates, but under -- as you understand their case.
- 10 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor. I think the
- 11 reason is that the government's position is that you
- 12 cannot buy a gun intending to sell it to another lawful
- 13 gun owner. That is the government's position.
- But just one point of clarification is, to
- 15 the extent it's relevant, Mr. Abramski did not receive
- 16 consideration for the purchase of the firearm. The
- 17 record indicates that his uncle sent him a check to
- 18 cover the cost of the gun. This was, in all respects,
- 19 someone doing a favor for a family member.
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: Could -- could you address
- 21 the other -- the other point here, which is the one I
- 22 have more trouble with, to tell you the truth, Count 2?
- 23 MR. DIETZ: Yes, Your Honor. That count --
- 24 the language that Congress chose was that one cannot "make a
- 25 false statement about information required by this

- 1 chapter to be kept," "this chapter" meaning chapter 44,
- 2 the Gun Control Act itself. And the Gun Control Act
- 3 contains -- it actually references a provision, and
- 4 that's 922(b)(5), where Congress says this is the
- 5 information that uses that term, "required to be kept."
- 6 And it lists three things, the name, age,
- 7 and place of residence of the person acquiring the gun
- 8 from the gun dealer. And then ATF has promulgated
- 9 regulations that have added an additional layer of
- 10 information that must be included on the forms.
- But the government's position -- of course,
- 12 this question 11, who is the actual buyer, that's not
- one of the things that's included either in the text of
- 14 the Gun Control Act or in ATF's regulations. And what
- 15 the government has said in this case is, well, that's
- 16 right, but we view the authorization of Congress to
- 17 create the form as sort of a blanket authorization to
- 18 put -- ask whatever we want in the form and make
- 19 anything that we ask in the form information required to
- 20 be kept.
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: Can you lie in -- in
- 22 answering questions that the government has no technical
- 23 right to answer? I mean, let's assume I agree with you
- 24 that, in fact, this information was -- the government
- 25 was not authorized to obtain this information, and

- 1 therefore, it was not required to be kept. But
- 2 nonetheless they asked it, and your client didn't just
- 3 say, I won't answer. He lied.
- 4 Now, can you -- can you lie, so long as the
- 5 question is improper?
- 6 MR. DIETZ: Your Honor, I think the key in
- 7 this case is that Congress included a materiality
- 8 element for almost every false statement that would
- 9 occur in this context. And they enacted a separate
- 10 statute that did not have that materiality requirement.
- 11 And the reason was Congress wanted to be
- 12 sure that that provision that did not have the
- 13 materiality requirement only applied to the false
- 14 statements that Congress thought were the very important
- ones, and therefore they delineated what those -- that
- 16 category of statements was. And the question on the
- 17 form is not one of them. And that, Your Honor, is the
- 18 reason why Mr. Abramski cannot be convicted under that
- 19 provision.
- 20 I'd like to reserve the remainder of my time
- 21 for rebuttal.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Palmore.
- 24 ORAL ARGUMENT OF JOSEPH R. PALMORE
- ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT

- 1 MR. PALMORE: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,
- 2 and may it please the Court:
- I think it would be helpful if I could, at
- 4 the outset, frame what I see as the issues here because,
- 5 as some of the questioning revealed, Petitioner is
- 6 making two separate arguments. His first argument is,
- 7 in a sense, that there is no such thing as a straw
- 8 purchaser doctrine. I take that actually as a falsity
- 9 argument.
- 10 He's saying when I answered that I was the
- 11 purchaser, that was a true statement because I'm the
- only purchaser who counted for purposes of the statute,
- 13 because I was the one standing there and I was the one
- 14 filling out the form.
- 15 His second argument is an alternative
- 16 argument, as I understand it, and he says, even assuming
- 17 I'm wrong about the first argument and that this
- 18 statement was false, that that falsehood was not
- 19 material because my uncle was legally eligible to
- 20 possess a firearm. So I'd like to take those two
- 21 arguments in -- in order.
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: As to the first, are there
- 23 two buyers in your view?
- 24 MR. PALMORE: I think, in a sense, you could
- 25 understand there to be two buyers. The buyer who counts

- 1 under the statute, though, is the actual buyer.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, what does -- what
- 3 does the person who's buying for somebody else -- he has
- 4 to provide both names or just the name of the real
- 5 buyer?
- 6 MR. PALMORE: Justice Scalia, if you -- if
- 7 you hypothesize the situation in which two roommates
- 8 wanted to buy a firearm together -- firearms are
- 9 expensive; they can't each afford their own, but they
- 10 want one for personal protection.
- 11 And if one went into the store and said, I'm
- 12 buying this firearm for myself and my roommate who's not
- 13 here, that transaction could not lawfully go forward,
- 14 because the two buyers of the firearm weren't in the
- 15 store and they weren't physically present and they
- 16 didn't go through all the statutory screening processes.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: And both of them had to
- 18 take possession, right?
- 19 MR. PALMORE: In that, right.
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: But that's not the case
- 21 here. The person who paid took possession, was
- 22 authorized to take possession .
- 23 MR. PALMORE: In the straw purchaser cases
- 24 the person takes possession in an ephemeral sense. They
- 25 are merely the conduit. The whole -- the purpose and

- 1 effect of the transaction is to deliver that firearm to
- 2 someone else.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Where in this -- I'm
- 4 sorry.
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: So there are two buyers and
- 6 both of them have to be on the form.
- 7 MR. PALMORE: If there are two buyers, the
- 8 two people, the roommates, are buying, they would each
- 9 need to --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: Where's the straw buyer? I can understand you
- 11 saying
- 12 the real buyer is the person who put up the money.
- MR. PALMORE: Well, of course the form tells
- 14 you who the real buyer is, and it's a substance over
- 15 form inquiry. And we think this is supported by not
- only the text, but also the structure and purpose of the
- 17 Gun Control Act.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Where in the Act
- 19 does -- is the basis for the requirement on the form?
- 20 The form says -- you know, if you're not the actual,
- 21 you're buying for somebody else. Where is that in the
- 22 statute?
- 23 MR. PALMORE: That is ATF's reasonable
- 24 interpretation of the statute and I was just going to
- 25 get to that.
- 26 JUSTICE SCALIA: Its current one. It used

- 1 to have a different one.
- 2 MR. PALMORE: That's the current one, and
- 3 it's been consistent for the last 20 years, Justice
- 4 Scalia.
- 5 So the statute, we think with the text and
- 6 structure and purpose support this view. The text is
- 7 most readily identifiable in Section 922(a)(6) itself,
- 8 which this Court in Huddleston --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: (A) (6)?
- MR. PALMORE: 922(a)(6), which is the count
- 11 1 of the conviction. It's the false statement provision
- 12 at issue here, and this is quoted on pages 1 through 2
- of the government's brief.
- 14 So the provision says: "It shall be
- unlawful for any person in connection with the
- 16 acquisition or attempted acquisition of a firearm," and
- 17 it goes on, and it talks -- and at the end it talks
- 18 about the "lawfulness of the sale or other disposition
- 19 of such firearm."
- 20 This case isn't the first one in which the
- 21 Court has had occasion to interpret those terms. In
- 22 Huddleston the Court looked at those terms, in
- 23 particular "acquisition" and "disposition," and it said
- 24 several things about those terms that are relevant here.
- It said those terms are meant to have a practical,

- 1 common sense meaning in terms of who will come into
- 2 possession and control of a firearm as the result of a
- 3 transaction with a federally regulated dealer, and they
- 4 don't turn on formal notions of legal title; and that
- 5 with respect to "disposition" in particular that
- 6 Congress meant to give broad effect to this term.
- 7 And so we think that the same kind of
- 8 practical common sense inquiry is applicable here. When
- 9 looking at the transaction the question is what is the
- 10 ultimate purpose and effect of this transaction. Is it
- 11 to have someone else acquire the firearm.
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: That's awfully broad. It
- isn't the language, his point is I think that the statute, it has to be
- 14 material to the lawfulness of the sale. Now, we look to
- 15 see what section is it material to, and you say in your
- 16 brief it's material to the section that says -- you have
- 17 two of them, but they come to the same thing -- selling
- or delivering any firearm to any person.
- 19 All right. Now, he says did they sell or
- 20 deliver this firearm to what you call the real
- 21 purchaser. No. They sold or delivered it to the straw,
- 22 and that's the end of the matter. And at least the
- 23 statute is open to this interpretation, and it's a
- $\,$  24  $\,$   $\,$  criminal statute. And besides, the ATF for a long time
- 25 interpreted it that way. So what we should do is

- 1 interpret it strictly.
- Now, that's I think the basis of their
- 3 argument if I understand it. And so you have to get
- 4 those words "sale" and "deliver" and explain how in a
- 5 criminal statute they apply to what you're calling the
- 6 real purchaser.
- 7 MR. PALMORE: Right, Justice Breyer. The
- 8 statute uses a number of different terms to connote what
- 9 we take to be a substance over form inquiry into the
- 10 actual possession and control of the firearm. So in
- 11 922(a)(6), as we were just talking about, it talks
- about acquisition, sale, or disposition.
- 13 JUSTICE BREYER: But you also quote
- 14 "material," the need for it to be material to the lawful
- 15 ness of the sale.
- 16 MR. PALMORE: Correct.
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: You seem to concede that in
- 18 the brief. It has to be material, and there are two
- 19 sections and both come to the same thing, which is what
- 20 I said.
- 21 MR. PALMORE: So this is where we get back
- 22 to the fact that there are two different issues in this
- 23 case. If I convince you that there was a false
- 24 statement here because Petitioner's uncle was the actual
- 25 purchaser --

1 JUSTICE BREYER: If he was -- if he then --2 if he is the actual purchaser, then he falls within the term of a person to whom the firearm was sold or 3 4 delivered. That's your argument. 5 MR. PALMORE: And his name wasn't put on the 6 form . 7 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, there's no question about that. 8 9 MR. PALMORE: Right so if I could just finish one other thought on this first issue of falsity. As 10 11 Justice Sotomayor and Justice Alito's hypotheticals 12 demonstrated, under Petitioner's view of the statute I 13 could approach someone in a parking lot outside of a 14 licensed dealer. I could say, would you like to make a quick 15 16 buck; please come in with me. I could point to the 17 firearm I want. I could hand him the money. I could look over his shoulder as his fills out Form 4473 in his 18 19 own name. I could watch the dealer run that person's 20 name and identity through the criminal background check, 21 and as we leave the shop together he could hand me that 22 firearm. 23 JUSTICE SCALIA: Why is that -- why is that 24 any more horrible than the notion that as soon as I buy 25 it I walk out of the store and I meet this guy in the

- 1 parking lot, he says: Hey, that's a nifty looking gun
- there. How much did you pay for it? He says: You
- 3 know, I paid 600 dollars. I'll give you 700. Oh, it's
- 4 yours. Right? I can hand it to him, can't I?
- 5 MR. PALMORE: You could, Justice Scalia.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: So the notion that the gun
- 7 would somehow get into the hands of somebody who -- you
- 8 know, who wasn't registered or who couldn't buy it
- 9 himself, I mean that's going to happen any way. What you
- 10 assert does not stop that problem.
- 11 MR. PALMORE: Justice Scalia, Congress was
- obviously balancing a number of interests when it
- 13 enacted these provisions. If you read the text of the
- 14 statute and the legislative history, it's clear that
- Congress thought the principal problem was effectively
- 16 unregulated sales of firearms from dealers. That's the
- 17 problem it wanted to focus on.
- 18 And you're right that it didn't want to go
- 19 further and intrude on private transactions among
- 20 unlicensed individuals. It drew a line, and it -- but
- 21 it drew a line at a point where it thought the actual
- 22 problem was. And that line was up to and including the
- 23 point of sale.
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Palmore, when the
- 25 Agency changed its view in 1994, there was no change in

- 1 the statutory text, was there?
- 2 MR. PALMORE: There was not, Justice
- 3 Ginsburg.
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And at that time, the
- 5 interpretation was that you committed the offense if you
- 6 sold -- if the person, the true buyer, was an
- 7 unlawful -- a person to whom firearms could not be sold.
- 8 But if you -- if the ultimate possessor was a lawful
- 9 possessor, then there was no liability.
- 10 So the -- the statute has to be open, at
- 11 least, to either interpretation, no change in the words.
- 12 The Agency read it one way, and then later changed its
- mind and read it the other way.
- 14 MR. PALMORE: That's right, Justice
- 15 Ginsburg. And I think that takes us to the second issue
- here, which is the materiality issue. And I think what
- 17 happened was that the Agency's earlier view of the
- 18 statute was essentially overtaken by the case law in
- 19 several respects. And the Agency therefore updated its
- 20 view and has consistently applied that view for the last
- 21 20 years.
- 22 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah, but still -- look,
- 23 what I thought was a very good argument on your side is
- 24 exactly what I said. The narrow interpretation would
- also apply to a straw man who buys a gun for Al Capone.

- 1 And so this -- this part of the statute would be
- virtually worthless. Their response to that is don't
- 3 worry about that.
- 4 There are plenty of other provisions that
- 5 will take care of that. Are there? And if it's so
- 6 obvious about the Al Capone, why did the ATF decide it
- 7 their way for 20 years? I mean, how -- how did they get
- 8 into that?
- 9 MR. PALMORE: Justice Breyer, I think
- 10 that -- that the other provisions that Petitioner is
- 11 referring to is 922(d), which makes it unlawful for
- 12 anyone, licensed or unlicensed, to transfer a firearm to
- someone in a prohibited category if the transferor knows
- or has reasonable cause to believe that the person is in
- 15 a prohibited category.
- And that is a completely inadequate
- 17 substitute for what -- the kind of regulation we're
- 18 talking here for -- for a variety of reasons. One is
- mens rea requirement that I just mentioned.
- 20 So in my -- my hypothetical involving the
- 21 parking lot, the person -- the straw purchaser doesn't
- even know my name, much less anything about my
- 23 background, whether I was dishonorably discharged from
- the military, whether I have mental health issues.
- Doesn't know anything about me. He has no reason to ask.

1	I	certainly	nave	no	motivation	to	tell	him.	And y	vet

- 2 that transaction can go forward, and there could be --
- 3 it would be very difficult to prosecute that straw
- 4 purchaser for an illegal transfer if it turned out that
- 5 I was a felon.
- 6 Second is the whole purpose of this
- 7 structure of this statute, especially after it was
- 8 amended in the early '90s, was to not -- was to put in
- 9 place and reinforce a dealer-based regulatory system in
- which the eligibility of firearm transferees is
- 11 determined based on a search through a database. We
- 12 don't take even the transfer -- transferees say so as to
- whether or not he's an eligible person. He has to fill
- 14 out the firm.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I think it's very --
- it's very problematic to talk about the overriding
- 17 purpose when you're dealing with a very sensitive
- 18 compromise. There's, as far as I can tell, nothing in
- 19 the language of the statute that talks about straw men
- or actual buyers or anything like that.
- 21 MR. PALMORE: You're right, Your Honor, just
- 22 as there's nothing in the mail or wire fraud statute
- 23 that talks about Ponzi schemes. That -- a Ponzi scheme
- is simply a way --
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but there

- wasn't -- there wasn't a strong lobby in Congress saying
- 2 we're the group that supports Ponzi schemes, so maybe it
- 3 makes more sense to have a broad construction of that
- 4 provision.
- 5 This language is fought over tooth and nail
- 6 by people on the -- you know, gun control side and the
- 7 gun ownership side. And to say -- you look at it and
- 8 say well, the purpose is this, even though there's no
- 9 words in the statute that have anything to do with straw
- 10 purchasers, I think, is very problematic.
- 11 MR. PALMORE: Your Honor, I think we do have
- 12 a textual argument, which I referred to before. But
- 13 there's also -- it's not just a purpose argument. It's
- 14 a contextual and structural argument. And it's the one
- that Justice Alito alluded to in his question --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, you agree that
- in Justice Alito's example, that person is regulated as
- 18 a gun dealer, right?
- 19 MR. PALMORE: At a certain point, a person
- 20 is --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The Yellow Pages.
- MR. PALMORE: Right.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: They're putting an
- 24 ad --
- 25 MR. PALMORE: If they're operating as a

- 1 seller of firearms, they would have to register. 2 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I meant my hypothetical. So he doesn't have -- he doesn't put it 3 4 in the Yellow Pages and he doesn't put it on the 5 Internet. He just hangs around in the parking lot at 6 Joe's to accommodate people who -- and Joe's is near the 7 border, and he wants to accommodate people who may take it -- who wish to employ him as a straw purchaser. 8 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: You wouldn't think of 10 prosecuting him, would you? 11 (Laughter.) 12 MR. PALMORE: I mean, at a certain point, if 13 the -- if the conduct is so pervasive and regular, if 14 he's acting as a dealer, then you might be able to get 15 him on that separate provision. But you're right. 16 Before that point, you wouldn't necessarily be able to,
- 18 And it's also important to talk -- we were talking,

and that would be a perfectly lawful -- lawful conduct.

19 Justice Breyer, about --

17

- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: Before you go on --
- 21 MR. PALMORE: Yeah.
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- I wanted to get back to
- 23 the Al Capone hypothetical. As I understand
- 24 Petitioner's case here, I don't think he's asserting
- 25 that if Al Capone, if -- if he intended to transfer it

- 1 to Al Capone, that his statement would not have been
- 2 material. His position is, since I intended to transfer
- 3 it to somebody who could lawfully possess it, the
- 4 statement was immaterial.
- 5 MR. PALMORE: And my point, Justice Scalia,
- is that in a straw-purchase context, you're not
- 7 necessarily going to know you're dealing with Al Capone
- 8 or someone of his record. These are often cases in
- 9 which people have an ephemeral relationship. They may
- not even know each other's names.
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, Mr. Palmore, is that
- 12 right? I thought that Mr. Dietz's argument went beyond
- 13 what Justice Scalia just said, that for Mr. Dietz,
- 14 regarding -- you can -- he might be prosecuted under
- another statute for selling something to somebody he
- 16 knows is Al Capone, but that he was -- you know, because
- 17 he was the guy at the counter and he was buying for
- 18 somebody else, as to this question of material
- 19 representation, it does not matter whether the ultimate
- transferee was Al Capone or somebody else.
- 21 MR. PALMORE: I think that's right, Justice
- 22 Kagan. And that's his first argument and what I take to
- 23 be his main submission is essentially that his statement
- 24 was true.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: I think he said that just

- 1 right in here.
- 2 MR. PALMORE: Right, he did. It was true,
- 3 so you don't even get to the materiality question. So
- 4 that's right. That subsequent transfer might separately
- 5 be a violation if he knows or has reasonable cause to
- 6 believe that the transferee is in a prohibited category.
- 7 I would just point out, though, that that
- 8 would not have been the case from 1968 to 1986 because
- 9 922(d), which prohibits transfers to ineligible
- 10 transferors -- I'm sorry -- transferees applied only to
- 11 licensed dealers until 1986. It didn't apply to -- to
- 12 private individuals.
- 13 So the kind of straw purchase on behalf of
- 14 Al Capone, as I understand it under Petitioner's theory,
- would have been perfectly legal from 1968 to 1986, even
- if it was knowing.
- Justice Ginsburg, we talked a little bit
- 18 before about how ATF's view kind of caught up with the
- 19 case law. And I think some of that case law is actually
- 20 quite helpful here for illuminating the materiality
- 21 question.
- 22 And it's the Crandall case from the First
- 23 Circuit that we talk about in the brief. If Petitioner
- is correct that the materiality of a false statement
- 25 turns on whether the ultimate -- in this context,

- of the firearm was eligible or not, it's unclear why
- 3 a -- someone seeking to buy a gun from a federally
- 4 regulated dealer couldn't go in, provide a false name
- 5 and provide a false identification and receive a gun.
- And then if he's prosecuted for that false
- 7 statement, he could defend, as the defendant in Crandall
- 8 tried to defend, saying, well, it didn't really matter
- 9 because I'm actually eligible. So even if you'd known
- 10 my true name and had my true nonforged ID, he would have
- 11 sold me the gun, so it's not material.
- 12 The courts of appeals and the lower courts
- have consistently rejected that argument. And what
- 14 those courts hold is that in all cases, the lawfulness
- of the sale of a firearm from a regulated dealer is
- 16 contingent on the recording, confirming, and after 1993,
- 17 screening of the identity of the purchaser.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Now, this -- this --
- 19 now you're talking about the second question.
- 20 MR. PALMORE: Correct.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And the provision
- 22 that makes the -- the information that's required, makes
- 23 it material -- correct me if I'm wrong -- is 922(b)(5),
- 24 right? That's what requires --
- 25 MR. PALMORE: That's one of the provisions.

1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay. Now, all that
2	says is you have to keep the information of the person
3	to whom you're transferring the firearm. So your
4	argument has to be, when somebody sees the person, they
5	realize that that means not somebody who's buying it for
6	somebody else, right?
7	MR. PALMORE: Right. And if you're with me
8	on the straw purchase idea, that this was a false
9	statement because the purpose and effect of the
10	transaction was to for the uncle to acquire the
11	firearm, then that was a false statement, then the
12	actual purchaser name is recorded
13	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes. Yes. I know.
14	If I assume if I assume you're right, then you're
15	right.
16	MR. PALMORE: If you assume I'm right on
17	issue 1, then I think that the materiality on issue 2
18	follows directly from from this provision that you
19	quote in 922(b)(5).
20	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Because then
21	"person," you know carries with it the concept of not
22	just the person to whom it's transferred, which is what
23	922(b)(5) says, but the fact that it's has to be the
24	actual person who ends up with the gun.
25	MR. PALMORE: Yes. And I think the term

- 1 needs to be looked at in context. It's not just
- 2 922(b)(5). There's also 922(t), which is the Brady
- 3 provisions, and there it talks about a transfer, so we
- 4 talked before about how there are different terms that
- 5 are used.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, 922 --
- 7 MR. PALMORE: -- (t), which says that you
- 8 can't --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Where -- where is
- 10 that?
- 11 MR. PALMORE: This is on page 13.
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Is that on the appendix to
- 13 your brief?
- MR. PALMORE: No, I apologize, Justice
- 15 Scalia. There's not an appendix, but this is quoted, in
- relevant part, on page 13 of our brief.
- 17 So 922(t) says that the -- no transfer of a
- 18 firearm can take place unless the identity of the -- of
- 19 transferee is confirmed with a photo identification and
- 20 unless that identity is screened through a database to
- 21 ensure that that person is eligible.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: If you're right on Question
- 23 1, 922(t) just makes this a slam dunk on Question 2.
- 24 And there's a question about whether you are right on
- Question 1. But if you are, (t) says, look, the dealer

- is supposed to check the transferee, right? And if we
- 2 assume that when Congress says "the transferee," it's
- 3 the real transferee, not the fake transferee, the dealer
- 4 is supposed to check the real transferee.
- 5 So what does the dealer think is material? I
- 6 mean, the question is, what does a reasonable dealer
- 7 think is material? I better know who you are so I can
- 8 check you. That's material.
- 9 MR. PALMORE: I think that's right, Justice
- 10 Kagan.
- I think that anyone looking at 922(b)(5),
- 12 Chief Justice Roberts --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: I can't look at all these
- 14 things because they are not in your brief. I really --
- 15 I really resent, especially in statutory cases, not
- 16 having the statute in front of us. I shouldn't have to
- 17 flip through your -- your brief to see what page you
- 18 cite a little snippet from one section on.
- 19 MR. PALMORE: You're right. You're right,
- 20 Justice Scalia. I apologize for not including a fuller
- 21 statutory appendix in this brief.
- 22 But the point is of 922(b)(5) when it asks
- 23 for the name of the buyer, one would ask, well, what --
- 24 what reason -- why is this name relevant? Why is this
- 25 question being asked? And this goes to Justice Alito's

1	- 1	_ 1	⊥ 1 <sub>-</sub> -	The second section is a second	1			1
1	observation	apout	tne	structure	ana	purpose	ΟI	tne

- 2 statute.
- 3 This name is clearly being asked because
- 4 Congress cared very much about preventing anonymous
- 5 sales of firearms. It cared very much about having a
- 6 record of who that first buyer was.
- 7 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, it's not just the
- 8 structure -- it's not just the overriding purpose.
- 9 922(t) says that the dealer is supposed to check the
- 10 transferee to find out whether the transferee has a
- 11 criminal record, to find out whether the transferee has
- 12 mental illness.
- So who does he check? Who is the
- 14 transferee? Well, I need to know who the transferee is
- to make that check. So, obviously, it's material to
- 16 know who the transferee is.
- 17 MR. PALMORE: I -- I agree, because those
- 18 requirements would be pointless if they could be
- 19 satisfied.
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: But it's going to be the
- 21 same problem, which is the straw because the transferee,
- in context, probably refers to the person to whom the
- dealer transferred the weapon; namely, the buyer.
- And does it apply, for example, if he knows
- 25 that the buyer is going to give the -- the weapon to

- 1 another person? And you will say no. Then he's not the
- 2 transferee, the other person. You admit that. You say
- 3 it. And so why is the person to whom -- the one who
- 4 puts up the cash is going to later transfer the weapon
- 5 is the same question as to whether he is really the
- 6 purchaser. I just don't see a difference.
- 7 And, therefore, I thought -- but you can
- 8 explain to me why there's a difference. I'd like to
- 9 know that. And I guess I'd like to bring you back to
- 10 the -- to that issue and -- and I'd just like to know
- 11 how the ATF reached the contrary conclusion if it would
- 12 so undermine the statute.
- MR. PALMORE: Well, Justice Breyer, a couple
- of points about this. One, as we talked about before,
- 15 we think Huddleston suggests that these terms -- and
- 16 Huddleston was interpret -- was interpreting acquisition
- 17 and disposition, and it gave -- it was stressed that
- 18 these had a practical, common sense meaning about who
- was going to obtain possession or control of the firearm
- 20 as a result of the transaction, as a direct result of
- 21 the transaction.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Then what about the donee?
- 23 MR. PALMORE: The ATF has never interpreted
- 24 this provision to -- to prohibit gifts. And a gift
- recipient is in no sense a party to the gift giver's

1 p	urchase	of	the	gift.	That's	just	not	the	way	we	think
-----	---------	----	-----	-------	--------	------	-----	-----	-----	----	-------

- of gifts. The purchaser may change his mind. The
- 3 purchaser is not acting at the direction and control of
- 4 the gift recipient. And in ATF's experience, there's
- 5 not a problem with gift recipients.
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You don't think
- 7 that crime bosses --
- 8 MR. PALMORE: I'm sorry.
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You don't think crime
- 10 bosses look at their underlings, pay them a lot of money
- 11 for whatever they're doing and just say, go get me a gun
- 12 and give it to me?
- 13 MR. PALMORE: Well, I think that that -- I
- would suggest that that wouldn't be a gift under that
- 15 scenario, Justice Sotomayor.
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Why?
- 17 MR. PALMORE: That person is working for the
- 18 crime boss and as part of his duties to go obtain a gun,
- then he's buying that gun on behalf of the crime boss.
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you answer Justice
- 21 Kennedy's question? Is -- is this all contingent on the
- intent at the moment? I mean, you had a lovely wrapped
- 23 case here because you had the money transferred before
- the purchaser, so there's no question that the intent
- 25 was to purchase for the uncle. But what about the

- 1 situation where there's a conversation beforehand that
- 2 says, I'm going to buy the gun and I'll sell it to you
- 3 afterwards?
- 4 MR. PALMORE: I think the question -- there
- 5 could be a factual question in some of these cases. The
- 6 question would be: Was that purchase made on behalf of
- 7 someone else? There's no factual issue here. This was
- 8 a guilty plea, so we have to assume that this
- 9 transaction was made on behalf of the uncle.
- 10 As a factual matter, Petitioner disputes
- 11 whether that matters legally or not and --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm not sure what you
- 13 mean. I gave you a hypothetical. What -- what -- why
- 14 is it a factual --
- 15 MR. PALMORE: Right. And in your
- 16 hypothetical, I think that would be a straw purchase.
- 17 That purchase was made -- even if the money was going to
- 18 come later, that purchase was made on behalf of the
- absent party and it can't proceed for a variety of
- 20 reasons that --
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: This is a criminal statute.
- 22 And -- and you're saying that when -- when I buy it and
- 23 I told somebody I'll sell it to you later, that I am
- 24 acting as an agent? Wow. It's a criminal statute.
- 25 MR. PALMORE: Justice Scalia, and Huddleston

- is helpful in this regard, too. There was a notice rule
- of lenity argument made there because the person in that
- 3 case wasn't actually buying the firearm. He had pawned
- 4 it to the pawn shop and he was redeeming it. And he
- 5 came in and said, I'm not buying it, I'm just getting my
- 6 own property back. I'm not buying it or acquiring it.
- 7 And the court relied, in rejecting that
- 8 argument, relied in part on Form 4473, because it
- 9 provided notice that he had to check these boxes and had
- 10 to truthfully answer the question. I think it's rare
- 11 that you get a case with this degree of notice.
- 12 When the -- when the defendant is actually
- 13 committing the offense and making the false statement,
- 14 he's told in bold letters right in front of him not to
- 15 do what he's about to do and it -- and it includes a
- 16 hypothetical --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, he's not told
- 18 that in the statute. He's told that in a form that was
- 19 quite different from the form that was used before.
- 20 MR. PALMORE: That's right, Your -- Chief
- 21 Justice Roberts. It's a form that's been used
- 22 consistently for -- for 20 years. And for the reasons
- 23 that we've said, we think that the -- the current view
- 24 of the ATF and the express instructions on the form are
- 25 actually the most consistent with the statute, because

- 1 the statute requires identification of a firearm
- 2 purchaser to be recorded, confirmed, and screened in
- 3 every case.
- 4 That's not contingent on the person turning
- 5 out to be eligible or not. Congress wanted to prevent
- 6 anonymous sales of firearms, and it had a purpose,
- 7 obviously, to keep --
- 8 JUSTICE KENNEDY: As to whether or not there
- 9 was a sale, suppose the facts in this case, it was a
- 10 Glock, I think --
- 11 MR. PALMORE: Yes.
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- was -- was delivered,
- and suddenly the -- Abramski finds that it's a valuable
- 14 collector's item. There were only two or three of these
- made, had a special trigger or something, and it's now
- immensely valuable.
- 17 Could the uncle insist that it be sold to
- 18 him for the \$700, or for the -- for the agreed price?
- 19 The \$400 thing?
- 20 MR. PALMORE: I think it's not clear,
- 21 Justice Kennedy. There might be -- there might be a
- 22 contract between --
- 23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, if it isn't -- if it
- isn't, then there wasn't -- then there -- there was a
- 25 subsequent sale.

1	MR. PALMORE: Right. But, of course, here
2	there's no subsequent sale because the check was written
3	beforehand.
4	JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, I'm asking about
5	that.
6	MR. PALMORE: Right. I think if if the
7	Petitioner changed his mind and decided not to actually
8	transfer it, I think, as a technical matter, that
9	wouldn't affect the legality because what mattered was,
10	was he making a purchase on behalf of another and
11	entering a false statement at the time he made it.
12	A fact question could arise in a in a
13	situation like that, which a defendant could argue, I
14	wasn't actually making the purchase on behalf of someone
15	else, and that argument is supported by subsequent
16	events.
17	But I think we were I was about to say
18	that the one critical purpose of the statute, obviously,
19	was to keep firearms out of the hands of ineligible
20	persons, but another critical purpose was to offer the
21	tracing of firearms and to prevent the anonymous
22	stockpiling of firearms.
23	And with respect to that purpose, I think
24	the facts of some of the cases underlying the circuit
25	split on the second issue of materiality are quite

1 salient. Those are all cases in which eligible partie	1	salient.	Those	are	all	cases	in	which	eligible	partie
---	---	----------	-------	-----	-----	-------	----	-------	----------	--------

- 2 wanted to anonymously obtain large quantities of
- 3 firearms for illicit reasons. They were eligible, but
- 4 they had -- they wanted to not have their name
- 5 associated with the transaction.
- 6 So Polk, which is the Fifth Circuit case,
- 7 which is actually on Petitioner's side, that person
- 8 wanted to anonymously acquire firearms to create a
- 9 stockpile to attack an IRS building, to kill police
- officers, and to assassinate a judge. But he was
- 11 eligible.
- 12 In Frazier and Morales, which are the
- 13 Eleventh and Sixth Circuit cases addressing this
- 14 materiality issue, there were straw purchases on behalf
- of eligible buyers, but they were, again, wanting to
- 16 anonymously stockpile weapons and not have their names
- 17 associated with them because they were smuggling them
- 18 out of the country.
- 19 And the Petitioner's view of the statute in
- 20 which a straw purchaser can satisfy the requirement that
- 21 the first transaction, at the point of sale be recorded
- 22 would completely satisfy the statutory requirements,
- 23 would greatly impair the ability of ATF to trace
- 24 firearms and to have an accurate record of who that
- 25 first purchaser of the firearm was.

1	If there are no further questions, we'd ask
2	that the judgment of the court of appeals be affirmed.
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
4	Mr. Dietz, you have four minutes.
5	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF RICHARD D. DIETZ
6	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
7	MR. DIETZ: Justice Ginsburg, you asked
8	counsel whether this was a case where there were two
9	interpretations of the statute. Counsel conceded that
10	there were. I think that's an important point because,
11	of course, we're dealing with a criminal statute. If
12	there are two interpretations under very well-settled
13	precedent from this Court, the court applies the
14	interpretation that's favorable to the criminal
15	defendant and that's part of the doctrine of criminal
16	law.
17	Justice Kennedy, you you mentioned the
18	the agency situation that existed here between
19	Mr. Abramski and his uncle. And here, I think it's
20	important to note that there wasn't even any
21	consideration. This was truly a purchase that was just
22	a favor for a family member.
23	And the government, in order to advance this
24	straw purchaser theory that historically came from civil
25	common law, there needs to be a relationship between

_	mi. Abramski and mis uncle that is a fiduciary
2	relationship where Mr. Abramski is required to act in
3	his uncle's best interests at all times.
4	And that, for example, if he left the gun
5	store and someone said, hey, did you just pick up a
6	Glock, I'll buy it for you for \$500, that Mr. Abramski
7	could not even enter into that sale without providing
8	his uncle with with any money he made from that sale.
9	And there's there's a complex set of
10	civil agency law principles that apply here that just
11	don't translate to the straw purchaser doctrine as we've
12	described it. And I think that's a fundamental flaw in
13	the government's theory is that the courts just have
14	never thought through all of the various situations that
15	apply in these fiduciary relationships and how you would
16	apply them to situations where you're just buying a gun
17	for a family member or something of that nature.
18	I also wanted to turn to the gift exception.
19	There's also another exception the government
20	acknowledges and that's for raffles and contests at
21	charities or you know, political fundraisers. And so
22	in that circumstance, the person who's buying the gun
23	knows that they're giving it to a complete stranger and
24	someone who by random chance wins the right to own the
25	gun.

1	But in those cases, the government says
2	there's no need, at the time that you buy the gun, to
3	take any steps to ensure there's recordkeeping or a
4	background check on that person. And I think that
5	undermines this idea that everyone receiving a gun in
6	the chain from the gun dealer Congress wants to have a
7	background check. Congress is concerned about providing
8	enough information to allow for tracing and nothing
9	more.
10	And as a final point, Your Honors, I think,
11	perhaps, the most important point in this case is if the
12	Court rejects the straw purchaser doctrine as it as
13	it applies in this case to a lawful gun owner buying for
14	another, there are really no harmful consequences.
15	The government retains a very robust toolbox
16	of criminal statutes to prosecute illegal straw
17	purchasers, those who buy guns to get them into the
18	hands of prohibited persons, and the government will
19	receive accurate, truthful information about that first
20	sale so they can trace firearms when they're used in the
21	commission of a crime.
22	So the only thing the straw purchaser
23	doctrine in this case really accomplishes is to prohibit
24	law-abiding citizens from buying guns for other
25	law-abiding citizens, and that's something that Congress

1	expressly	/ chose	not	to	do.	And	we	would	ask	this	Court

- 2 to remain faithful to the statutory scheme actually
- 3 enacted by Congress.
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if we agreed with you
- on the -- on the second argument, would the government
- 6 have to prove that the person to whom the straw
- 7 purchaser transferred the gun was ineligible or would it
- 8 be a defense if it was shown that the person was
- 9 eligible?
- 10 MR. DIETZ: I think the government would
- 11 have the burden there, Your Honor. And I think the
- 12 government has suggested -- they provide an example of a
- 13 circumstance where the -- the person who bought the gun
- 14 was buying it for someone named, I believe, Almonio, who
- 15 they had no idea who that was. But, yes, in that
- 16 circumstance, the government would need to prove that
- 17 that person was prohibited.
- 18 But I think in circumstances like that where
- 19 you're buying guns for someone you don't even know who
- that person is, the government may well have evidence in
- 21 that case that you either knew or had reasonable cause
- 22 to believe that that person was prohibited from
- possessing a gun.
- 24 JUSTICE BREYER: But the other purpose that
- 25 they said this provision has is to get gun dealers to

1	run checks. And you can't run a check if the name isn'
2	there.
3	MR. DIETZ: That's right, Your Honor. And,
4	of course, you can't run a check on someone who wins the
5	gun in a raffle or
6	JUSTICE BREYER: Yes. But the other
7	problem we're back to the language. The there
8	they say your client you know, falls within the
9	language and you say he doesn't. Is it all right.
L O	Forget it.
L1	MR. DIETZ: Thank you.
12	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
L3	The case is submitted.
L 4	(Whereupon, at 12:04 p.m., the case in the
L5	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
16	
L7	
18	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			I	
A	acting 40:14 49:3	allowable 16:1,2	<b>asking</b> 19:12 53:4	<b>behalf</b> 1:16,19 2:4
abetting 11:16	50:24	allows 11:22	asks 46:22	2:7,10 3:7 27:25
ability 54:23	actionable 4:7 22:3	alluded 39:15	assassinate 54:10	42:13 49:19 50:6
able 11:5 40:14,16	actual 3:25 4:2	almonio 58:14	assert 35:10	50:9,18 53:10,14
aboveentitled 1:11	5:10 12:2 21:14	alternative 28:15	asserting 40:24	54:14 55:6
59:15	26:12 29:1 30:20	<b>amended</b> 8:12 38:8	assistant 1:17	<b>believe</b> 8:14 9:16
abramski 1:3 3:4	33:10,24 34:2	analysis 23:13	associated 54:5,17	11:4 17:17 37:14
3:10,24 14:12	35:21 38:20 43:1	anonymous 47:4	assume 26:23 44:14	42:6 58:14,22
18:16 20:13 23:16	44:12,24	52:6 53:21	44:14,16 46:2	benefactor 10:1,2
23:22 24:3,12,16	ad 18:4 39:24	anonymously 54:2	50:8	beneficiary 10:1,23
24:23 25:15 27:18	added 26:9	54:8,16	assuming 28:16	best 56:3
52:13 55:19 56:1	additional 23:6	answer 8:1 26:23	atf 20:18 26:8	better 46:7
56:2,6	26:9	27:3 49:20 51:10	32:24 37:6 48:11	<b>beyond</b> 16:11 41:12
abramskis 3:17 9:2	address 5:25 7:13	answered 28:10	48:23 51:24 54:23	<b>bit</b> 20:7 42:17
22:20,25 23:14	13:1 24:4 25:20	answering 26:22	atfs 26:14 30:23	blanket 26:17
24:1,8	addressing 54:13	apologize 45:14	42:18 49:4	<b>bold</b> 51:14
absent 19:18 50:19	admit 48:2	46:20	attack 54:9	border 40:7
absolutely 5:5	adopted 20:18	appeals 43:12 55:2	attempted 31:16	<b>boss</b> 49:18,19
15:23	advance 55:23	appearances 1:14	authority 15:4,16	<b>bosses</b> 49:7,10
accessories 11:16	affect 53:9	appendix 45:12,15	authorization	<b>bought</b> 14:5 23:15
accommodate 40:6	affidavit 20:9	46:21	26:16,17	24:9 58:13
40:7	affirmed 55:2	applicable 32:8	authorized 26:25	<b>boxes</b> 51:9
accommodated	afford 29:9	applications 22:17	29:22	<b>brady</b> 17:24 45:2
6:19	age 19:23 26:6	applied 27:13	automatic 16:6	<b>breyer</b> 9:15 10:9,13
accomplishes 57:23	agency 11:7,13	36:20 42:10	available 22:15	10:16 32:12 33:7
accurate 7:20	15:2 20:18,18,23	<b>applies</b> 55:13 57:13	awfully 32:12	33:13,17 34:1,7
13:21 54:24 57:19	35:25 36:12,19	<b>apply</b> 33:5 36:25		36:22 37:9 40:19
achieve 15:25	55:18 56:10	42:11 47:24 56:10	<u>B</u>	47:20 48:13,22
acknowledge 15:13	agencys 36:17	56:15,16	<b>b</b> 26:4 43:23 44:19	58:24 59:6
17:4	agent 11:9,10 50:24	approach 34:13	44:23 45:2 46:11	<b>brief</b> 14:23 31:13
acknowledges	agree 26:23 39:16	approaches 13:13	46:22	32:16 33:18 42:23
14:24 15:5 56:20	47:17	area 17:24	back 12:13 15:19	45:13,16 46:14,17
acquire 6:9,25	<b>agreed</b> 52:18 58:4	<b>argue</b> 53:13	17:22 23:3 24:20	46:21
32:11 44:10 54:8	aiding 11:15	<b>arguing</b> 21:21,25	33:21 40:22 48:9	<b>bring</b> 48:9
acquired 4:1	al 36:25 37:6 40:23	22:5	51:6 59:7	<b>broad</b> 32:6,12 39:3
acquires 13:22	40:25 41:1,7,16	<b>argument</b> 1:12 2:2	background 3:14	<b>bruce</b> 1:3 3:10
acquiring 26:7 51:6	41:20 42:14	2:5,8 3:3,6 27:24	3:19 5:8 12:11	<b>buck</b> 34:16
acquisition 31:16	<b>alito</b> 5:18,22,25 6:3	28:6,9,15,16,17	14:10,13 19:6	<b>building</b> 54:9
31:16,23 33:12	6:6,20 11:19	33:3 34:4 36:23	20:11 21:11 34:20	burden 58:11
48:16	12:18 13:6 14:3	39:12,13,14 41:12	37:23 57:4,7	business 18:9
act 5:16 6:18 8:11	15:20 18:3 22:18	41:22 43:13 44:4	backup 21:25	buy 3:22 4:5,23 7:5
11:11 12:8 13:16	23:7,10,23 24:2	51:2,8 53:15 55:5	bail 10:4,5	7:11 13:14 19:14
17:23,24 20:25	39:15 40:2 58:4	58:5	balancing 35:12	23:17 24:24 25:12
21:18 22:8 23:20	alitos 34:11 39:17	arguments 28:6,21	based 38:11	29:8 34:24 35:8
26:2,2,14 30:17	46:25	asked 27:2 46:25	basis 30:19 33:2	43:3 50:2,22 56:6
30:18 56:2	allow 57:8	47:3 55:7	beginning 12:13	57:2,17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

buyer 3:25 4:18 5:2	24:23 25:9 26:15	38:25 39:16,21,23	56:23	57:14
5:20,23 6:1,4,22	27:7 29:20 31:20	43:18,21 44:1,13	completely 37:16	consider 4:12 13:10
8:3,4 9:20 21:4,9	33:23 36:18 40:24	44:20 45:6,9	54:22	consideration
21:14,14,22,23,23	42:8,19,19,22	46:12 51:17,20	complex 56:9	24:19 25:16 55:21
22:2,7,8 24:21	49:23 51:3,11	55:3 59:12	comply 19:9	consistent 31:3
26:12 28:25 29:1	52:3,9 54:6 55:8	chose 17:11 25:24	comprehensive	51:25
29:5 30:10,12,14	57:11,13,23 58:21	58:1	17:6	consistently 36:20
36:6 46:23 47:6	59:13,14	circuit 42:23 53:24	compromise 6:18	43:13 51:22
47:23,25	cases 9:2 12:1 14:1	54:6,13	8:2 9:14 13:7,20	construct 24:23
buyers 9:9 19:19	20:8 29:23 41:8	circuits 15:9	17:3 38:18	construction 39:3
19:20 21:3,6	43:14 46:15 50:5	circular 20:19	concede 33:17	contacted 12:22
28:23,25 29:14	53:24 54:1,13	circumstance 4:9	<b>conceded</b> 8:20 55:9	contains 26:3
30:5,7 38:20	57:1	4:22 5:1,15 13:10	<b>concedes</b> 4:25 5:14	contend 21:3
54:15	cash 48:4	20:6 56:22 58:13	concept 44:21	contests 56:20
<b>buying</b> 13:11 29:3	category 27:16	58:16	concern 19:19	context 10:18 11:3
29:12 30:8,21	37:13,15 42:6	circumstances 5:17	concerned 6:13,16	11:3,4 27:9 41:6
41:17 44:5 49:19	caught 42:18	13:25 22:12 58:18	6:21 21:15 57:7	42:25 45:1 47:22
51:3,5,6 56:16,22	cause 8:14 37:14	<b>cite</b> 46:18	concession 4:12	contextual 39:14
57:13,24 58:14,19	42:5 58:21	citizen 8:12	14:22	contingent 43:16
buys 7:12 24:4	centerpiece 16:7	<b>citizens</b> 16:22 17:1	conclusion 48:11	49:21 52:4
36:25	<b>certain</b> 5:19 39:19	18:16 57:24,25	condition 8:3	continuing 17:25
	40:12	civil 11:7 55:24	<b>conduct</b> 40:13,17	contract 52:22
<u>C</u>	<b>certainly</b> 5:15 17:5	56:10	conduit 29:25	contrary 48:11
c 1:8,18 2:1 3:1	38:1	clarification 25:14	confirmed 45:19	<b>control</b> 5:16 6:18
19:9,18	<b>chain</b> 57:6	clarified 8:11	52:2	8:3 12:8 13:15
19:9,18 <b>call</b> 32:20	<b>chain</b> 57:6 <b>chance</b> 56:24	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20	52:2 <b>confirming</b> 43:16	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 comes 10:4,17	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 comes 10:4,17 commerce 12:15	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5 cared 47:4,5	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11 21:11 25:17 34:20	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 comes 10:4,17 commerce 12:15 commission 57:21	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11 27:14 32:6 35:11	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23 cost 25:18
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5 cared 47:4,5 career 10:6	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11 21:11 25:17 34:20 46:1,4,8 47:9,13	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 comes 10:4,17 commerce 12:15 commission 57:21 committed 36:5	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11 27:14 32:6 35:11 35:15 39:1 46:2	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23 cost 25:18 costs 7:8
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5 cared 47:4,5 career 10:6 carolina 1:15	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11 21:11 25:17 34:20 46:1,4,8 47:9,13 47:15 51:9 53:2	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 commerce 12:15 commission 57:21 committed 36:5 committing 51:13	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11 27:14 32:6 35:11 35:15 39:1 46:2 47:4 52:5 57:6,7	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23 cost 25:18 costs 7:8 couldnt 8:7 23:7
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5 cared 47:4,5 career 10:6 carolina 1:15 carries 44:21	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11 21:11 25:17 34:20 46:1,4,8 47:9,13 47:15 51:9 53:2 57:4,7 59:1,4	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 commerce 12:15 commission 57:21 committed 36:5 committing 51:13 common 11:13	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11 27:14 32:6 35:11 35:15 39:1 46:2 47:4 52:5 57:6,7 57:25 58:3	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23 cost 25:18 costs 7:8 couldnt 8:7 23:7 35:8 43:4
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5 cared 47:4,5 career 10:6 carolina 1:15 carries 44:21 case 3:4 5:8 9:2	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11 21:11 25:17 34:20 46:1,4,8 47:9,13 47:15 51:9 53:2 57:4,7 59:1,4 checks 16:6 59:1	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 comes 10:4,17 commerce 12:15 commission 57:21 committed 36:5 committing 51:13 common 11:13 32:1,8 48:18	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11 27:14 32:6 35:11 35:15 39:1 46:2 47:4 52:5 57:6,7 57:25 58:3 connection 31:15	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23 cost 25:18 costs 7:8 couldnt 8:7 23:7 35:8 43:4 counsel 27:22 55:3
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5 cared 47:4,5 career 10:6 carolina 1:15 carries 44:21 case 3:4 5:8 9:2 10:10,20 11:5,23	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11 21:11 25:17 34:20 46:1,4,8 47:9,13 47:15 51:9 53:2 57:4,7 59:1,4 checks 16:6 59:1 chief 3:3,8 18:2	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 commerce 12:15 commission 57:21 committed 36:5 committing 51:13 common 11:13 32:1,8 48:18 55:25	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11 27:14 32:6 35:11 35:15 39:1 46:2 47:4 52:5 57:6,7 57:25 58:3 connection 31:15 connote 33:8	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23 cost 25:18 costs 7:8 couldnt 8:7 23:7 35:8 43:4 counsel 27:22 55:3 55:8,9 59:12
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5 cared 47:4,5 career 10:6 carolina 1:15 carries 44:21 case 3:4 5:8 9:2 10:10,20 11:5,23 12:10,11 14:2,9	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11 21:11 25:17 34:20 46:1,4,8 47:9,13 47:15 51:9 53:2 57:4,7 59:1,4 checks 16:6 59:1 chief 3:3,8 18:2 27:22 28:1 30:3	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 comes 10:4,17 commerce 12:15 commission 57:21 committed 36:5 committing 51:13 common 11:13 32:1,8 48:18 55:25 competing 6:19	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11 27:14 32:6 35:11 35:15 39:1 46:2 47:4 52:5 57:6,7 57:25 58:3 connection 31:15 connote 33:8 consensus 17:10,13	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23 cost 25:18 costs 7:8 couldnt 8:7 23:7 35:8 43:4 counsel 27:22 55:3 55:8,9 59:12 count 25:22,23
19:9,18 call 32:20 called 10:5 calling 33:5 cant 9:25 10:23 29:9 35:4 45:8 46:13 50:19 59:1 59:4 capable 24:13 capone 36:25 37:6 40:23,25 41:1,7 41:16,20 42:14 card 5:10 care 16:9 37:5 cared 47:4,5 career 10:6 carolina 1:15 carries 44:21 case 3:4 5:8 9:2 10:10,20 11:5,23	chain 57:6 chance 56:24 change 35:25 36:11 49:2 changed 35:25 36:12 53:7 changes 18:1 23:12 chapter 26:1,1,1 charged 3:24 charities 56:21 check 3:14,19 5:9 6:3 7:2,14 11:21 12:11 13:1 14:10 14:13 19:6 20:11 21:11 25:17 34:20 46:1,4,8 47:9,13 47:15 51:9 53:2 57:4,7 59:1,4 checks 16:6 59:1 chief 3:3,8 18:2	clarified 8:11 clear 35:14 52:20 clearly 47:3 client 9:21 12:20,21 27:2 59:8 code 11:15 codified 11:14 collectors 52:14 color 19:24 come 7:2,3 17:22 32:1,17 33:19 34:16 50:18 commerce 12:15 commission 57:21 committed 36:5 committing 51:13 common 11:13 32:1,8 48:18 55:25	52:2 confirming 43:16 congress 3:21 5:16 5:19 6:7,12,16 7:18 8:10 9:3 11:12,13 12:8 13:3,18,20,24 15:24 16:13,20 17:1,7,11,21,22 17:25 18:11,15 19:2,13,17,19 20:1,7 21:13 22:13 23:20 25:24 26:4,16 27:7,11 27:14 32:6 35:11 35:15 39:1 46:2 47:4 52:5 57:6,7 57:25 58:3 connection 31:15 connote 33:8	8:3 12:8 13:15 20:25 21:18 22:8 23:20 26:2,2,14 30:17 32:2 33:10 39:6 48:19 49:3 controls 11:9 conversation 50:1 convicted 27:18 conviction 31:11 convince 33:23 correct 5:13 9:1 14:15 22:11 23:11 24:18 33:16 42:24 43:20,23 cost 25:18 costs 7:8 couldnt 8:7 23:7 35:8 43:4 counsel 27:22 55:3 55:8,9 59:12

			l	l
counted 28:12	23:19,21 24:5	5:13,21,24 6:2,5	8:22,23 9:10 11:4	36:18 41:23
<b>counter</b> 4:15,23	26:8 32:3 34:14	6:11 7:16 8:9,19	16:9 19:17 21:7	established 3:22
16:10 21:24 41:17	34:19 39:18 40:14	9:1,12 11:1 12:6	22:1 23:12 32:4	events 53:16
country 54:18	43:4,15 45:25	13:3,9 14:8,16,21	37:2 38:12 40:24	evidence 58:20
counts 28:25	46:3,5,6 47:9,23	15:19 16:19 18:7	42:3 48:6 49:6,9	exact 3:18
<b>couple</b> 48:13	57:6	18:23 19:16 21:7	56:11 58:19	exactly 36:24
course 15:14 18:8	dealerbased 38:9	22:4,11,23 23:11	door 16:18	<b>example</b> 13:5,10
26:11 30:13 53:1	<b>dealers</b> 20:19 25:1	24:1,7 25:4,7,10	drew 35:20,21	17:15,23 20:2,9
55:11 59:4	35:16 42:11 58:25	25:23 27:6 41:13	dunk 45:23	39:17 47:24 56:4
<b>court</b> 1:1,12 3:9	<b>dealing</b> 38:17 41:7	55:4,5,7 58:10	<b>duties</b> 49:18	58:12
15:1,11,15 22:16	55:11	59:3,11	<b>duty</b> 11:8	<b>exception</b> 56:18,19
24:11 28:2 31:8	<b>deals</b> 19:18	<b>dietzs</b> 41:12		existed 55:18
31:21,22 51:7	decide 37:6	difference 48:6,8	<u>E</u>	expensive 29:9
55:2,13,13 57:12	decided 53:7	different 7:7 31:1	e 2:1 3:1,1	experience 49:4
58:1	decides 15:16	33:8,22 45:4	earlier 36:17	<b>explain</b> 33:4 48:8
courts 43:12,12,14	<b>defend</b> 43:7,8	51:19	early 38:8	explained 11:19
56:13	defendant 43:7	difficult 38:3	<b>effect</b> 30:1 32:6,10	express 51:24
<b>cover</b> 25:18	51:12 53:13 55:15	<b>direct</b> 48:20	44:9	expressly 58:1
<b>crandall</b> 42:22 43:7	defense 58:8	directed 14:19	effectively 35:15	extent 25:15
create 26:17 54:8	<b>defer</b> 15:11,17	direction 49:3	either 26:13 36:11	extra 20:10
<b>created</b> 18:11 19:2	degree 51:11	directly 44:18	58:21	<b>eye</b> 19:24
creates 6:23	delegated 15:3	<b>disagree</b> 12:7 18:24	element 27:8	
credit 5:9	delineated 27:15	22:18	eleventh 54:13	F
crime 8:15 49:7,9	<b>deliver</b> 9:4 23:19	disapprove 22:16	eligibility 38:10	fact 10:16 14:8,18
49:18,19 57:21	30:1 32:20 33:4	discharged 37:23	eligible 28:19 38:13	22:7 26:24 33:22
<b>criminal</b> 11:4,6,13	<b>delivered</b> 3:16 9:22	dishonorably 37:23	43:2,9 45:21 52:5	44:23 53:12
32:24 33:5 34:20	23:24 32:21 34:4	disposition 31:18	54:1,3,11,15 58:9	facts 52:9 53:24
47:11 50:21,24	52:12	31:23 32:5 33:12	employ 40:8	factual 50:5,7,10
55:11,14,15 57:16	delivering 9:19	48:17	enacted 27:9 35:13	50:14
<b>critical</b> 14:22 53:18	32:18	disputes 50:10	58:3	faithful 58:2
53:20	demonstrated	disregard 16:8	encounter 15:1	fake 46:3
<b>current</b> 30:26 31:2	34:12	distinction 10:24	ends 44:24	falls 34:2 59:8
51:23	department 1:18	distinguishing 5:17	enforcement 7:19	false 25:25 27:8,13
	describe 17:5	<b>doctrine</b> 15:7 22:17	7:23 20:11	28:18 31:11 33:23
<u>D</u>	described 11:2,4	28:8 55:15 56:11	enforcer 16:16	42:24 43:4,5,6
<b>d</b> 1:8,15,18 2:3,9	24:11 56:12	57:12,23	engage 18:9,13	44:8,11 51:13
3:1 37:11 42:9	describing 24:15	doesnt 9:23 10:14	ensure 45:21 57:3	53:11
55:5	designed 6:12	19:10 21:21 37:21	enter 56:7	falsehood 28:18
dangerous 16:15	despite 3:21	37:25 40:3,3,4	entering 53:11	falsely 3:24
database 38:11	determined 38:11	59:9	entire 16:5	falsity 28:8 34:10
45:20	didnt 4:20 10:13	doing 3:21 11:21	ephemeral 29:24	family 18:17,19
dealer 3:17,17 5:4	12:22,23 15:24	13:1 16:6 18:4	41:9	25:19 55:22 56:17
5:8,9,22 7:1,12	21:13 27:2 29:16	20:23 25:19 49:11	especially 38:7	far 16:11 38:18
8:23 9:5 12:16,18	35:18 42:11 43:8	dollars 35:3	46:15	favor 25:19 55:22
12:19 16:6 18:6	dietz 1:15 2:3,9 3:5	donee 48:22	esq 1:15,17 2:3,6,9	favorable 55:14
19:3,6,23 23:17	3:6,8 4:6,9,21	<b>dont</b> 6:25 7:1 8:4	essentially 16:8	<b>federal</b> 3:12,18
			l	l

	l	l .a., . z		l. ,
14:11 19:5	47:6 54:21,25	49:1,4,5,14 56:18	grounds 22:20	<b>happen</b> 4:3 35:9
<b>federally</b> 32:3 43:3	57:19	gifts 16:1 48:24	group 39:2	happened 24:16
fellow 7:6	fit 10:22,23	49:2	guess 16:13 21:2	25:3 36:17
<b>felon</b> 38:5	five 13:11	ginsburg 18:19	48:9	happening 16:24
<b>felons</b> 15:22	fix 17:22	19:8 23:9 35:24	guilty 50:8	happens 21:16
<b>fiduciary</b> 11:8 56:1	flaw 56:12	36:3,4,15 42:17	gun 3:10,16,17,23	harmful 57:14
56:15	flip 46:17	55:7	4:4,5,10,13,14 5:2	hawaii 17:15
fifteenth 7:13	focus 21:18 35:17	give 3:23 4:24 8:4,6	5:3,4,5,8,9,11,16	health 37:24
fifth 54:6	focused 6:13	8:24 12:23 14:5	6:14,18,22,25 7:4	hear 3:3
<b>fill</b> 3:18 17:12 19:5	follow 12:15	21:6 23:19 32:6	7:5,11,12,22,22	hed 14:5
19:22 25:1 38:13	<b>follows</b> 44:18	35:3 47:25 49:12	8:2,7,24 9:8,11	height 19:24
filled 3:12	<b>forget</b> 59:10	giver 9:25	12:8,15,16,16,18	helpful 28:3 42:20
filling 21:10 23:5	form 14:19,24	givers 48:25	12:19 13:12,14,15	51:1
28:14	15:11,18 26:17,18	gives 7:11 24:5	13:16 16:5,16,23	hes 9:22 18:22
fills 34:18	26:19 27:17 28:14	giving 56:23	17:16 18:17,21,25	28:10 38:13 40:14
final 57:10	30:6,13,15,19,20	glock 52:10 56:6	18:25 19:1,3,3,4,6	40:24 43:6 48:1
<b>find</b> 11:5 12:16,18	33:9 34:6,18 51:8	go 8:23 12:12,14,20	19:11,20,21,22	49:19 51:14,15,17
47:10,11	51:18,19,21,24	15:19 23:3 29:13	20:2,5,13,19,21	51:18
finds 52:13	formal 32:4	29:16 35:18 38:2	20:21,24 21:10,11	hey 35:1 56:5
fine 16:17	forms 19:5,24	40:20 43:4 49:11	21:11,12,16,17,18	historical 11:3
finish 4:19 34:9	21:10 25:2 26:10	49:18	22:5,6,8,21,25	historically 11:12
finishing 9:5	forth 15:22	goes 7:4 16:11 24:3	23:1,2,3,15,17,18	55:24
firearm 3:11,16,20	forward 29:13 38:2	24:20 31:17 46:25	23:19,20,21,21,24	history 35:14
3:22,25 4:23 7:19	<b>fought</b> 39:5 <b>four</b> 55:4	going 4:24 5:6 6:9	24:4,5,9,17,24	hold 43:14 holds 6:22
7:24 8:11,13,17	frame 28:4	6:14,15 7:6,10 9:7	25:1,12,13,18	
8:18,23 9:5 12:12 13:11,22 14:7	fraud 38:22	9:11 11:23 12:1,9 17:25 23:18 24:24	26:2,2,7,8,14 30:17 35:1,6	holes 17:6,12,12
17:23 22:7 25:16	frazier 54:12	24:24,25 30:24	36:25 39:6,7,18	home 3:11,15 19:6 honor 4:6,9,21 5:13
28:20 29:8,12,14	friend 7:10	35:9 41:7 47:20	43:3,5,11 44:24	5:21,24 6:5,11
30:1 31:16,19	friends 18:18	47:25 48:4,19	49:11,18,19 50:2	7:16 8:9,19 9:1,13
32:2,11,18,20	front 16:10,18	50:2,17	56:4,16,22,25	11:1 12:6 13:3,9
33:10 34:3,17,22	46:16 51:14	good 4:11 36:23	57:2,5,6,13 58:7	14:8,16,21 16:19
37:12 38:10 43:2	fronts 16:9	government 3:24	58:13,23,25 59:5	17:4 18:7,23
43:15 44:3,11	full 14:11	4:25 5:4,14 8:20	guns 7:8 15:21	19:16 21:7 22:4
45:18 48:19 51:3	fuller 46:20	9:18 11:18,18	16:14 18:14,17	22:11,23 23:11
52:1 54:25	fundamental 56:12	12:12 14:9,19,22	57:17,24 58:19	24:7 25:4,10,23
firearms 12:9 18:9	fundraisers 56:21	14:23 15:5 21:2,3	guy 7:7 34:25 41:17	27:6,17 38:21
21:16 29:8 35:16	further 35:19 55:1	22:16 25:2,6	<b>Suy</b> 7.7 5 1.25 11.17	39:11 58:11 59:3
36:7 40:1 47:5		26:15,22,24 55:23	H	honors 20:16 57:10
52:6 53:19,21,22	G	56:19 57:1,15,18	hand 5:2 7:22	horrible 34:24
54:3,8,24 57:20	<b>g</b> 3:1	58:5,10,12,16,20	21:12 34:17,21	huddleston 31:8,22
firm 38:14	geared 16:14	governments 4:12	35:4	48:15,16 50:25
first 7:17,21 12:16	general 1:18	13:17 15:10 21:1	hands 4:4 5:9 15:21	hypothesize 29:7
13:18 22:19,24	getting 51:5	21:8 25:11,13	16:15 35:7 53:19	hypothesized 18:3
28:6,17,22 31:20	<b>gift</b> 5:14,18,19	26:11 31:13 56:13	57:18	hypothetical 4:13
34:10 41:22 42:22	10:23 48:24,25	greatly 54:23	hangs 40:5	14:3 37:20 40:3
,		9 ,		
	-	-	-	-

40:23 50:13,16	46:20	interpretive 14:25	36:4,14,22 37:9	known 43:9
51:16	indicated 4:24	15:1	38:15,25 39:15,16	knows 37:13 41:16
hypotheticals	indicates 25:9,17	intrude 35:19	39:17,21,23 40:2	42:5 47:24 56:23
34:11	individuals 35:20	involving 37:20	40:9,19,20,22	kungys 24:11
	42:12	irs 54:9	41:5,11,13,21,25	
I	ineligible 42:9	isnt 11:25 12:1	42:17 43:18,21	L
id 4:14,23 13:14	53:19 58:7	14:17 18:21 22:8	44:1,13,20 45:6,9	language 9:15,17
27:20 28:20 43:10	influencing 24:14	31:20 32:13 52:23	45:12,14,22 46:9	10:22,23 25:24
48:8,9,10	information 3:14	52:24 59:1	46:12,13,20,25	32:13 38:19 39:5
idea 5:5 12:21 44:8	5:20 7:20 12:2	issue 17:13 31:12	47:7,20 48:13,22	59:7,9
57:5 58:15	13:21 14:10 21:5	34:10 36:15,16	49:6,9,15,16,20	large 54:2
identifiable 31:7	24:13 25:25 26:5	44:17,17 48:10	49:20 50:12,21,25	laughter 10:8,12,15
identification 43:5	26:10,19,24,25	50:7 53:25 54:14	51:17,21 52:8,12	40:11
45:19 52:1	43:22 44:2 57:8	issues 17:10 28:4	52:21,23 53:4	law 7:18,22 8:3
identifying 3:13	57:19	33:22 37:24	55:3,7,17 58:4,24	11:6,7,13 15:6,12
identity 34:20	initial 7:21 13:21	item 52:14	59:6,12	15:14,16 17:3
43:17 45:18,20	16:4,6 21:19			18:1 20:10 36:18
ill 35:3 50:2,23 56:6	initially 20:18	J	K	42:19,19 55:16,25
illegal 22:14 38:4	inquiry 30:15 32:8	james 1:3	kagan 5:7 15:19	56:10
57:16	33:9	january 1:9	41:11,22,25 45:22	lawabiding 57:24
illicit 54:3	insist 52:17	<b>joe</b> 7:7	46:10 47:7	57:25
illness 15:22 47:12	instant 6:3 7:2,14	joes 7:4 40:6,6	keep 15:21 44:2	lawful 3:23 4:10
illuminating 42:20	11:21	<b>joseph</b> 1:17 2:6	52:7 53:19	5:2 18:17 20:21
illustrate 4:11	instructions 51:24	27:24	keeping 16:14	22:2 23:24 24:6
im 4:24 5:7 23:18	intended 17:21	<b>jr</b> 1:3	kennedy 14:14,17	25:12 33:14 36:8
24:24,24,25 28:11	40:25 41:2	<b>judge</b> 54:10	24:15 25:5,8 52:8	40:17,17 57:13
28:17 29:11 30:3	intending 3:22	judgment 55:2	52:12,21,23 53:4	lawfully 22:21
42:10 43:9,23	16:23 25:12	justice 1:18 3:3,8	55:17	29:13 41:3
44:16 49:8 50:2	<b>intent</b> 12:8 13:11	4:3,7,17,19 5:7,18	kennedys 49:21	lawfulness 31:18
50:12 51:5,5,6	22:9 49:22,24	5:22,25 6:3,6,20	kept 26:1,5,20 27:1	32:14 43:14
53:4	interesting 10:7	7:25 8:16,22 9:7	key 6:17 9:13 27:6	laws 8:10
immaterial 41:4	interests 6:19 35:12	9:15 10:9,11,13	kill 54:9	layer 26:9
immediately 8:6	56:3	10:16 11:19 12:18	kind 16:16 32:7	leave 5:3 13:17
immensely 52:16	<b>internet</b> 20:3 40:5	13:6 14:1,3,14,17	37:17 42:13,18	18:15 19:20 34:21
impair 54:23	interpret 31:21	15:19,20 18:2,3	kinds 15:25 16:11	leaves 21:16
implicated 20:14	33:1 48:16	18:19 19:8 21:1	knew 58:21	leaving 21:11,11
importance 16:21	interpretation 15:6	21:20 22:9,18	know 6:23 8:13,18	left 18:25 56:4
important 22:13	20:17,24 30:24	23:7,9,10,23 24:2	9:8,9,11 12:22	legal 10:18 13:15
27:14 40:18 55:10	32:23 36:5,11,24	24:15,20 25:5,8,8	14:6 16:9,13 21:7	32:4 42:15
55:20 57:11	55:14	25:20 26:21 27:22	30:20 35:3,8	legality 53:9
improper 27:5	interpretations	28:1,22 29:2,6,17	37:22,25 39:6	legally 28:19 50:11
inadequate 37:16	15:2 55:9,12	29:20 30:3,5,10	41:7,10,16 44:13	legislation 6:12
included 26:10,13	interpreted 32:25	30:18,26 31:3,9	44:21 46:7 47:14	17:7
27:7	48:23	32:12 33:7,13,17	47:16 48:9,10	legislative 35:14
includes 51:15	interpreting 15:4	34:1,7,11,11,23	56:21 58:19 59:8	lenity 51:2
including 35:22	48:16	35:5,6,11,24 36:2	knowing 42:16	letters 51:14

				rage 05
liability 36:9	33:18 41:2,18	month 7:13 18:4	0	P
licensed 3:16 34:14	43:11,23 46:5,7,8	morales 54:12	$\frac{0}{0.2:1.3:1}$	<b>p</b> 3:1 59:14
37:12 42:11	47:15	motivation 38:1		_
licensing 18:11	materiality 23:13		object 15:21 16:14	page 2:2 45:11,16
lie 26:21 27:4	24:10 27:7,10,13	N	objected 25:3	46:17
lied 27:3	36:16 42:3,20,24	<b>n</b> 2:1,1 3:1	observation 47:1	pages 6:24 14:23
light 14:18	44:17 53:25 54:14	nail 39:5	observe 17:25	18:5 31:12 39:21
line 35:20,21,22	matter 1:11 21:22	name 3:13 5:23 7:1	obtain 26:25 48:19	40:4
lists 26:6		7:13 9:9,10 11:20	49:18 54:2	paid 23:2 29:21
	32:22 41:19 43:8	12:1,23,23 13:1	obtaining 11:20	35:3
little 20:7 42:17	50:10 53:8 59:15	14:6 19:23 26:6	<b>obvious</b> 18:13 37:6	<b>palmore</b> 1:17 2:6
46:18	mattered 53:9	29:4 34:5,19,20	obviously 35:12	27:23,24 28:1,24
lived 9:2	matters 9:15 50:11	37:22 43:4,10	47:15 52:7 53:18	29:6,19,23 30:7
<b>lobby</b> 39:1	mean 9:16 16:11	44:12 46:23,24	occasion 31:21	30:13,23 31:2,10
local 20:10	25:5 26:23 35:9	47:3 54:4 59:1	occur 27:9	33:7,16,21 34:5,9
long 27:4 32:24	37:7 40:12 46:6		offense 36:5 51:13	35:5,11,24 36:2
look 32:14 34:18	49:22 50:13	named 58:14	offer 53:20	36:14 37:9 38:21
36:22 39:7 45:25	meaning 26:1 32:1	names 29:4 41:10	officers 54:10	39:11,19,22,25
46:13 49:10	48:18	54:16	<b>oh</b> 14:4 16:17 34:7	40:12,21 41:5,11
looked 4:14 31:22	meaningless 12:5	narrow 36:24	35:3	41:21 42:2 43:20
45:1	13:7,8	nation 18:1	okay 12:21 44:1	43:25 44:7,16,25
<b>looking</b> 13:13 15:2	means 5:20 10:18	national 17:10	once 9:8	45:7,11,14 46:9
19:25 32:9 35:1	19:2 22:14 44:5	nature 56:17	ones 27:15	46:19 47:17 48:13
46:11	meant 19:17 31:25	near 40:6	open 18:15 19:20	48:23 49:8,13,17
loophole 18:13	32:6 40:2	necessarily 11:25	32:23 36:10	50:4,15,25 51:20
lose 22:20	mechanism 16:15	40:16 41:7	operating 17:8	52:11,20 53:1,6
<b>lot</b> 34:13 35:1	meet 34:25	necessary 18:1 25:2	39:25	papers 19:22
37:21 40:5 49:10	member 18:20	need 7:23 20:7 30:9	opposed 5:10	paperwork 3:13,18
lovely 49:22	25:19 55:22 56:17	33:14 47:14 57:2	opposition 8:2	14:12 23:6
lower 43:12	members 18:17	58:16	option 18:15 20:1	parking 34:13 35:1
	men 38:19	needed 6:19	oral 1:11 2:2,5 3:6	37:21 40:5
M	mens 37:19	needs 45:1 55:25	27:24	part 6:17 13:20
<b>m</b> 1:13 3:2 59:14	mental 15:22 37:24	neighbors 18:18	order 28:21 55:23	17:2 37:1 45:16
mail 20:3 38:22	47:12	ness 33:15		
main 22:1 41:23	mentioned 22:24	never 9:7 48:23	ordinary 14:25	49:18 51:8 55:15
majority 12:1	37:19 55:17	56:14	outcome 24:14	particular 31:23
making 18:1 21:19	merely 29:25	nice 13:13	outset 28:4	32:5
28:6 51:13 53:10	military 37:24	<b>nifty</b> 35:1	outside 34:13	parties 54:1
53:14	mind 36:13 49:2	nonforged 43:10	overriding 38:16	party 48:25 50:19
man 6:22,24 11:2	53:7	nonresident 18:22	47:8	pass 3:19
11:10 21:5 22:2	minutes 13:11 55:4	north 1:15	overtaken 36:18	passing 3:14
36:25	misstatement 14:15	note 55:20	owner 3:23 20:22	path 9:3
manufacturer		notice 51:1,9,11	25:13 57:13	paths 19:20
12:14	moment 49:22	notice 31:1,9,11 notion 34:24 35:6	owners 4:10 8:11	pawn 51:4
material 9:17 14:15	money 4:5 7:11	notion 34.24 33.0 notions 32:4	17:23 18:17	pawned 51:3
14:17 28:19 32:14	21:23 23:2 30:12	number 12:15	ownership 39:7	pay 35:2 49:10
32:15,16 33:14,14	34:17 49:10,23	22:14 33:8 35:12	oz 10:14	paying 21:9
32.13,10 33.14,14	50:17 56:8	22.14 33.0 33.12		pays 22:5,6
				1

pennsylvania 3:16	20:13 29:15	practical 31:25	26:8	48:6 49:2,3,24
18:20 19:4,7 23:4	pick 19:4 56:5	32:8 48:18		52:2 54:20,25
/	1		property 51:6	55:24 56:11 57:12
23:5,8,18 24:4,17 25:1	picked 23:14	<b>precedent</b> 15:9 55:13	prosecute 22:14	
	picture 24:3		38:3 57:16	57:22 58:7
people 4:4,13 7:3	place 26:7 38:9	precise 20:20	prosecuted 41:14	purchasers 22:14
9:4 10:6 15:12,22	45:18	precisely 3:21	43:6	39:10 57:17
16:15 18:13 20:2	plain 20:17	16:24 17:21	prosecuting 40:10	purchases 9:24
30:8 39:6 40:6,7	plan 23:17	present 19:21 20:4	protection 8:11	11:3 54:14
41:9	plea 50:8	20:4,13 29:15	17:23 29:10	purchasing 16:23
perfectly 5:1 13:15	please 3:9 4:19	prevent 52:5 53:21	prove 58:6,16	18:9
40:17 42:15	28:2 34:16	preventing 47:4	provide 7:18 8:12	purpose 11:20 12:3
period 20:10	plenty 37:4	price 52:18	20:10 29:4 43:4,5	12:4,25 29:25
permissible 4:11	point 4:20 6:13,17	primary 15:20	58:12	30:16 31:6 32:10
20:22	6:21 7:17,23 16:4	principal 11:9,11	provided 9:3 20:2	38:6,17 39:8,13
person 4:4,14,15,22	16:7,17 18:8,10	35:15	22:13 24:12 51:9	44:9 47:1,8 52:6
4:24,25 5:3,5,9,10	20:16 22:1,13,19	principalagent	providing 3:13	53:18,20,23 58:24
6:8,9,9 7:5,9,21	25:14,21 32:13	11:8	56:7 57:7	purposes 28:12
9:20,24,24 10:1	34:16 35:21,23	principals 11:16	provision 8:12	put 10:5 26:18
10:20 11:24 13:21	39:19 40:12,16	<b>principle</b> 11:2,7,17	19:18 20:14 26:3	30:12 34:5 38:8
16:10 18:3,5	41:5 42:7 46:22	principles 11:13,14	27:12,19 31:11,14	40:3,4
19:10,24 20:4	54:21 55:10 57:10	56:10	39:4 40:15 43:21	puts 6:23,23 21:23
21:4,9,23 22:5,6	57:11	<b>private</b> 8:12 12:10	44:18 48:24 58:25	24:4 48:4
22:10 26:7 29:3	pointless 47:18	16:20,21,25,25	provisions 22:15	<b>putting</b> 12:25 39:23
29:21,24 30:12	<b>points</b> 4:15 7:17	17:18 18:16 35:19	35:13 37:4,10	0
31:15 32:18 34:3	22:23 48:14	42:12	43:25 45:3	
36:6,7 37:14,21	police 54:9	probably 11:25	purchase 9:23	qualified 8:17,25
38:13 39:17,19	<b>political</b> 6:17 17:3	47:22	13:12 16:22 18:16	quantities 54:2
44:2,4,21,22,24	56:21	<b>problem</b> 15:8 35:10	19:10 20:2,21	question 14:18
45:21 47:22 48:1	polk 54:6	35:15,17,22 47:21	21:19,19 22:25	15:15,20 23:13,16
48:2,3 49:17 51:2	ponzi 38:23,23 39:2	49:5 59:7	25:16 42:13 44:8	24:10,20,21 26:12
52:4 54:7 56:22	position 14:14	problematic 38:16	49:1,25 50:6,16	27:5,16 32:9 34:7
57:4 58:6,8,13,17	20:20 21:8,8,20	39:10	50:17,18 53:10,14	39:15 41:18 42:3
58:20,22	25:11,13 26:11	problems 17:22	55:21	42:21 43:19 45:22
personal 29:10	41:2	proceed 50:19	purchased 3:11	45:23,24,25 46:6
persons 9:10 34:19	possess 28:20 41:3	<b>process</b> 3:22 13:17	10:21 18:21 19:1	46:25 48:5 49:21
53:20 57:18	possessing 58:23	13:19	22:21	49:24 50:4,5,6
pervasive 40:13	possession 3:19	processes 29:16	<b>purchaser</b> 6:8 7:21	51:10 53:12
petitioner 1:4,16	23:5,8 24:9 29:18	prohibit 48:24	9:22 10:2,3,3	questioning 28:5
2:4,10 3:7 20:20	29:21,22,24 32:2	57:23	11:21,22,24,25	questions 26:22
28:5 37:10 42:23	33:10 48:19	prohibited 8:14	12:4 13:2,18 14:4	55:1
50:10 53:7 55:6	possessor 36:8,9	22:10 37:13,15	15:7 21:14,22	quick 34:15
petitioners 33:24	possibilities 16:12	42:6 57:18 58:17	22:17,19 28:8,11	quite 24:18 42:20
34:12 40:24 42:14	possible 22:25	58:22	28:12 29:23 32:21	51:19 53:25
54:7,19	24:22	prohibits 42:9	33:6,25 34:2	quote 33:13 44:19
<b>photo</b> 45:19	possibly 14:11	promise 8:4	37:21 38:4 40:8	<b>quoted</b> 31:12 45:15
physically 19:21	power 15:12	promulgated 14:19	43:1,17 44:12	
			<u> </u>	

		1 54.20	1 42 21 44 1 12 22	1 20 6 17 20 20 5
R	52:2 54:21	54:20	43:21 44:1,13,20	29:6,17,20 30:5
<b>r</b> 1:17 2:6 3:1,6	recording 43:16	requirements	45:6,9 46:12	30:10,26 31:4
27:24	recordkeeping	12:11 18:11 19:11	51:17,21 55:3	34:23 35:5,6,11
raffle 59:5	12:10 14:10 20:8	47:18 54:22	59:12	40:9,20,22 41:5
raffles 56:20	57:3	requires 5:12,19	<b>robust</b> 57:15	41:13 45:12,15
raised 15:20	redeeming 51:4	43:24 52:1	roommate 29:12	46:13,20 50:21,25
ran 14:12	references 26:3	resale 16:12	roommates 29:7	scalias 24:20
random 56:24	referred 39:12	resales 16:1	30:8	scenario 49:15
rare 51:10	referring 37:11	resell 13:11 16:23	rule 14:25 15:1,4	scheme 38:23 58:2
rea 37:19	refers 47:22	resent 46:15	51:1	schemes 38:23 39:2
reached 48:11	regard 51:1	reserve 27:20	run 19:13 34:19	screened 45:20
read 35:13 36:12	regarding 41:14	residence 26:7	59:1,1,4	52:2
36:13	regime 17:6,11	resident 22:22	runs 5:8	screening 29:16
readily 31:7	register 8:22 40:1	residing 18:20		43:17
real 10:19,19 21:4	registered 35:8	respect 6:7 11:1	<u>S</u>	<b>search</b> 38:11
29:4 30:12,14	registration 17:16	12:6 18:23 19:16	s 2:1 3:1 30:10	second 16:25 28:15
32:20 33:6 46:3,4	regular 40:13	32:5 53:23	sale 5:1 16:4,7,17	36:15 38:6 43:19
realize 44:5	regulated 32:3	respects 25:18	16:25 18:14 23:24	53:25 58:5
really 11:10 17:16	39:17 43:4,15	36:19	24:6 31:18 32:14	section 11:15 19:17
43:8 46:14,15	regulation 17:1,18	respondent 1:19	33:4,12,15 35:23	31:7 32:15,16
48:5 57:14,23	18:6 37:17	2:7 27:25	43:15 52:9,25	46:18
reason 6:11 7:17	regulations 14:20	response 37:2	53:2 54:21 56:7,8	sections 33:19
10:24 12:7 13:9	26:9,14	result 15:25 32:2	57:20	see 28:4 32:15
14:21 16:19 25:11	regulatory 38:9	48:20,20	sales 12:10 16:20	46:17 48:6
27:11,18 37:25	reinforce 38:9	retains 57:15	16:21 17:2,18	seeking 43:3
46:24	rejected 43:13	revealed 28:5	35:16 47:5 52:6	seen 17:23
reasonable 8:14	rejecting 51:7	richard 1:15 2:3,9	salient 54:1	sees 44:4
30:23 37:14 42:5	rejects 57:12	3:6 55:5	satisfied 19:11	sell 3:23 13:16 18:9
46:6 58:21	relationship 11:8	right 5:20,23 8:23	47:19	22:10 24:25,25
reasons 37:18	41:9 55:25 56:2	9:12 15:25 16:5	satisfy 54:20,22	25:12 32:19 50:2
50:20 51:22 54:3	relationships 56:15	18:22 26:16,23	saying 4:18,20 5:7	50:23
rebuttal 2:8 27:21	relevant 9:17 25:15	29:18,19 32:19	5:11 13:6 28:10	seller 16:16 40:1
55:5	31:24 45:16 46:24	33:7 34:9 35:4,18	30:11 39:1 43:8	selling 9:18 32:17
receive 6:15 25:15	relied 51:7,8	36:14 38:21 39:18	50:22	41:15
43:5 57:19	relies 11:18	39:22 40:15 41:12	says 4:5,23 6:25 7:5	sends 21:4
received 14:9,11	remain 58:2	41:21 42:1,2,4	7:10 9:18 12:19	sense 7:15 8:1 28:7
receiving 57:5	remainder 27:20	43:24 44:6,7,14	13:13 19:9 26:4	28:24 29:24 32:1
recipient 5:11 12:2	remember 17:7	44:15,16 45:22,24	28:16 30:20 31:14	32:8 39:3 48:18
48:25 49:4	representation	46:1,9,19,19	32:16,19 35:1,2	48:25
recipients 21:15	41:19	50:15 51:14,20	44:2,23 45:7,17	sensitive 38:17
49:5	required 3:12,17	53:1,6 56:24 59:3	45:25 46:2 47:9 50:2 57:1	sent 20:19 25:17
recognized 11:6	15:17 20:9 25:25	59:9	scalia 4:19 7:25	separate 27:9 28:6
record 5:23 7:1	26:5,19 27:1	roberts 3:3 18:2	8:16,22 9:7 10:11	40:15
25:17 41:8 47:6	43:22 56:2	27:22 30:3,18	14:1 21:1 25:8,20	separately 42:4
47:11 54:24	requirement 27:10	31:9 38:15,25	26:21 28:22 29:2	serial 12:14
recorded 44:12	27:13 30:19 37:19	39:16,21,23 43:18	20.21 20.22 29.2	served 11:20 12:4
				<u> </u>

12:25	standing 4:16,22	21:12,17 22:6,21	supported 30:15	26:13 30:16 31:5
set 16:5,15 56:9	5:3 16:10 28:13	23:1 24:5 25:1	53:15	31:6 35:13 36:1
shipped 19:3 23:4	starting 6:17,21	29:11,15 34:25	supports 39:2	textual 39:12
shipped 19.3 23.4 shoes 10:7	7:17,23	56:5	supports 39.2 suppose 7:25,25	thank 27:22 28:1
shop 7:4 34:21 51:4	state 3:24 8:21 9:3	stranger 13:13,16	52:9	55:3 59:11,12
shop 7.4 34.21 31.4 shoulder 34:18	9:5 19:14 22:22	56:23	supposed 46:1,4	thats 5:13 7:9 8:14
shouldnt 46:16	24:9	straw 6:8,22,24	47:9	9:1,12,13 10:24
show 19:10	statement 15:6,11	7:10,11,11 9:9,22	supreme 1:1,12	11:17,23 12:3,23
show 19.10 shown 58:8	25:25 27:8 28:11	9:22,25 10:2,4,5,7	sure 21:19 27:12	13:4,8,13,19
side 36:23 39:6,7	28:18 31:11 33:24	10:10,20 11:2,3	50:12	19:17 20:8,12
54:7	41:1,4,23 42:24	11:10,21,21,24,24	system 16:5 17:16	21:9 22:2,6,11
simply 38:24	43:7 44:9,11	12:3,19,20,20	17:20 18:12 38:9	23:11,22 24:18
sin 6:2 9:12	51:13 53:11	13:1 14:4,4 15:7	17.20 10.12 30.7	26:4,12,13,15
situation 5:19 18:3	statements 14:24	16:18,22 21:5,22	T	29:20 31:2 32:12
18:15 20:12 29:7	15:17 27:14,16	22:2,14,17,19	t 2:1,1 45:2,7,17,23	32:22 33:2 34:4
50:1 53:13 55:18	states 1:1,6,12 3:4	28:7 29:23 30:10	45:25 47:9	35:1,9,16 36:14
situations 56:14,16	11:14 17:12,14,15	32:21 36:25 37:21	take 5:2 8:17 9:9	41:21,22 42:4
sixth 54:13	statewide 17:13	38:3,19 39:9 40:8	23:5,18 24:8 28:8	43:22,24,25 46:8
slam 45:23	stating 3:25	42:13 44:8 47:21	28:20 29:18,22	46:9 49:1 51:20
smuggling 54:17	statute 5:12 9:16	50:16 54:14,20	33:9 37:5 38:12	51:21 52:4 55:10
snippet 46:18	9:18 13:4 15:3,5	55:24 56:11 57:12	40:7 41:22 45:18	55:14,15 56:12,20
sold 9:8,21 12:16	15:21 16:7 19:2	57:16,22 58:6	57:3	57:25 59:3
12:19 23:21 32:21	20:14,17 27:10	strawpurchase	taken 23:7 24:9	theory 4:8 42:14
34:3 36:6,7 43:11	28:12 29:1 30:22	41:6	takes 29:24 36:15	55:24 56:13
52:17	30:24 31:5 32:13	straws 7:12	talk 38:16 40:18	theres 5:15 6:21
solicitor 1:17	32:23,24 33:5,8	stream 12:15	42:23	8:21 12:12 15:8
somebody 8:5,6,16	34:12 35:14 36:10	stressed 48:17	talked 42:17 45:4	15:15 19:24 21:8
8:17,24 9:21	36:18 37:1 38:7	strictly 33:1	48:14	24:21 34:7 38:18
19:10 29:3 30:21	38:19,22 39:9	strong 8:2 39:1	<b>talking</b> 7:6,10	38:22 39:8,13
35:7 41:3,15,18	41:15 46:16 47:2	structural 39:14	16:12 33:11 37:18	45:2,15,24 48:8
41:20 44:4,5,6	48:12 50:21,24	structure 30:16	40:18 43:19	49:4,24 50:1,7
50:23	51:18,25 52:1	31:6 38:7 47:1,8	talks 7:7 31:17,17	53:2 56:9,9,19
soon 34:24	53:18 54:19 55:9	<b>subject</b> 18:5,10	33:11 38:19,23	57:2,3
sorry 5:7 30:4	55:11	submission 41:23	45:3	theyre 39:23,25
42:10 49:8	statutes 57:16	<b>submitted</b> 59:13,15	technical 26:22	49:11 56:23 57:20
sort 6:13 11:6	statutory 29:16	subsequent 42:4	53:8	thing 13:7 16:3,4
14:25 18:14 26:17	36:1 46:15,21	52:25 53:2,15	telephone 20:3	28:7 32:17 33:19
sorts 17:18	54:22 58:2	substance 30:14	tell 25:22 38:1,18	52:19 57:22
<b>sotomayor</b> 4:3,7,17	<b>steps</b> 57:3	33:9	tells 24:23 30:13	things 6:7 11:15
21:20 22:9 34:11	stockpile 54:9,16	substitute 37:17	term 4:2 22:8 26:5	26:6,13 31:24
49:6,9,15,16,20	stockpiling 53:22	suddenly 52:13	32:6 34:3 44:25	46:14
50:12	<b>stop</b> 13:18 35:10	suggest 49:14	terms 10:9,9 21:13	think 4:11 5:14
special 52:15	store 3:10 4:4,14	suggested 58:12	31:21,22,24,25	7:16 8:19 10:18
<b>split</b> 15:9,15 53:25	5:4 7:22 12:22	suggesting 15:10	32:1 33:8 45:4	11:2 12:7 14:22
<b>spot</b> 23:25	13:12 18:25,25	<b>suggests</b> 5:16 48:15	48:15	16:13 17:20 19:17
standard 24:11	19:22 20:5,13	support 31:6	text 15:4 20:17,24	20:7 22:12 23:12
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		 	l	<u> </u>
25:10 27:6 28:3	transferee 41:20	42:25 43:1	view 26:16 28:23	wednesday 1:9
28:24 30:15 31:5	42:6 43:1 45:19	ultimately 6:14	31:6 34:12 35:25	wellsettled 55:12
32:7,13 33:2	46:1,2,3,3,4 47:10	uncle 3:18 9:2 14:5	36:17,20,20 42:18	went 3:10 14:3,7
36:15,16 37:9	47:10,11,14,14,16	14:6,12 18:20	51:23 54:19	24:16,17 29:11
38:15 39:10,11	47:21 48:2	22:20,25 23:14,19	violate 8:10	41:12
40:9,24 41:21,25	transferees 38:10	24:1,3,8,18,24	violation 8:8 42:5	west 17:17
42:19 44:17,25	38:12 42:10	25:17 28:19 33:24	virginia 3:11 17:17	weve 11:5 17:22
46:5,7,9,11 48:15	transferor 37:13	44:10 49:25 50:9	18:21,25 23:1,9	51:23 56:11
49:1,6,9,13 50:4	transferors 42:10	52:17 55:19 56:1	23:10,15	whats 4:17,17
50:16 51:10,23	transferred 24:18	56:8	virtually 17:18	16:24 17:25
52:10,20 53:6,8	44:22 47:23 49:23	unclear 43:2	37:2	<b>whos</b> 6:9,14 7:7,9
53:17,23 55:10,19	58:7	uncles 3:15 14:6		8:7,24 10:1 12:21
56:12 57:4,10	transferring 44:3	56:3	W	16:23 18:4 21:9
58:10,11,18	transfers 8:13 42:9	undergo 19:5	waiting 20:10	29:3,12 44:5
thought 10:13	translate 56:11	undergoing 21:10	walk 4:4 34:25	56:22
16:17 24:19,22	traveled 3:15	underlings 49:10	<b>walked</b> 4:13 18:24	wins 56:24 59:4
27:14 34:10 35:15	tried 43:8	underlying 53:24	walking 13:12	winstonsalem 1:15
35:21 36:23 41:12	trigger 52:15	undermine 48:12	walks 7:21 16:18	wire 38:22
48:7 56:14	trouble 25:22	undermines 57:5	24:5	wish 40:8
three 26:6 52:14	true 11:23 15:23	understand 4:20	want 6:25,25 7:1,5	wizard 10:14
time 7:13 20:10	18:24 21:13,14	22:1 25:9 28:16	16:13 17:1 19:14	wont 27:3
27:20 32:24 36:4	28:11 36:6 41:24	28:25 30:10 33:3	23:2 26:18 29:10	words 33:4 36:11
53:11 57:2	42:2 43:10,10	40:23 42:14	34:17 35:18	39:9
times 18:4 56:3	truly 55:21	understood 13:4,19	<b>wanted</b> 6:7 7:18	work 13:19
title 11:14 32:4	truth 23:17 25:22	13:24 16:20 17:9	13:20 18:7,15	worked 13:4
today 11:18	truthful 4:18 7:20	united 1:1,6,12 3:4	19:19 27:11 29:8	working 17:20
told 23:16 50:23	24:12 57:19	11:14	35:17 40:22 52:5	49:17
51:14,17,18	truthfully 51:10	unlawful 31:15	54:2,4,8 56:18	worry 37:3
toolbox 57:15	try 13:22	36:7 37:11	wanting 54:15	worthless 37:2
tooth 39:5	turn 32:4 56:18	unlicensed 35:20	wants 40:7 57:6	wouldnt 6:20 19:8
trace 7:19,24 12:9	turned 38:4	37:12	washington 1:8,18	19:9 40:9,16
12:12 13:18,23	turning 52:4	unqualified 8:7	wasnt 22:22 34:5	49:14 53:9
54:23 57:20	turns 42:25	unregulated 35:16	35:8 39:1,1 51:3	wow 50:24
tracing 11:22 13:17	two 4:3,10,13 5:17	updated 36:19	52:24 53:14 55:20	wrapped 49:22
53:21 57:8	6:18 16:25 19:20	use 21:13	watch 34:19	writes 7:12
track 12:9 14:2	21:3,6 22:23	user 9:8	way 4:11 6:12 7:19	writing 19:23
transaction 16:25	24:21 28:6,20,23	uses 9:25 26:5 33:8	10:25 12:13 14:2	written 53:2
29:13 30:1 32:3,9	28:25 29:7,14	utterly 13:8	23:20 24:10 32:25	wrong 28:17 43:23
32:10 38:2 44:10	30:5,7,8 32:17		35:9 36:12,13	wrote 23:20
48:20,21 50:9	33:18,22 52:14	V	37:7 38:24 49:1	
54:5,21	55:8,12	v 1:5 3:4	weapon 6:10 11:23	X
transactions 35:19	types 7:8 17:2	vacuum 17:8	47:23,25 48:4	<b>x</b> 1:2,7
transfer 9:6 37:12		<b>valuable</b> 52:13,16	weapons 54:16	
38:4,12 40:25	U	variety 37:18 50:19	<b>wear</b> 10:7	Y
41:2 42:4 45:3,17	ultimate 21:15	various 56:14	website 6:23,23	yeah 36:22 40:21
48:4 53:8	32:10 36:8 41:19	vast 11:25	wed 55:1	years 31:3 36:21
	·	·	·	ı