1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	
2	x	
3	LARRY WHITFIELD, :	
4	Petitioner : No. 13-9026	
5	v. :	
6	UNITED STATES. :	
7	x	
8	Washington, D.C.	
9	Tuesday, December 2, 2014	
10		
11	The above-entitled matter came on for oral	
12	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States	
13	at 11:06 a.m.	
14	APPEARANCES:	
15	JOSHUA B. CARPENTER, ESQ., Asheville, N.C.; on behalf or	
16	Petitioner.	
17	BRIAN H. FLETCHER, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor	
18	General, Department of Justice; Washington, D.C.; on	
19	behalf of Respondent.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	JOSHUA B. CARPENTER, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	BRIAN H. FLETCHER, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent	27
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	JOSHUA B. CARPENTER, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	53
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (11:06 a.m.) 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will now hear argument next this morning in Case No. 13-9026, 4 Whitfield v. United States. 5 6 Mr. Carpenter. 7 ORAL ARGUMENT OF JOSHUA B. CARPENTER ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER 8 9 MR. CARPENTER: Thank you Mr. Chief Justice, 10 and may it please the Court: 11 The basic bank robbery offense under 12 Section 2113(a) requires the robber to use force, 13 intimidation or violence against another person. 14 Section (e)'s forced accompaniment provision is designed 15 for much more extreme conduct that Congress viewed as 16 roughly on par with murder. And yet the government 17 would have that provision and its ten-year mandatory 18 minimum apply any time that a robber forces someone to 19 take a single step with him in the course of a robbery. 20 That single step rule isn't justified by the text of the statute, and it isn't necessary to ensure 21 22 just punishment for bank robbers. In this case --23 JUSTICE SCALIA: Why isn't it justified by 24 the text? 25 MR. CARPENTER: Your Honor, it's not

- 1 justified by the text, first --
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: I can -- I can accompany
- 3 my -- my wife to her table when we go to a dinner party,
- 4 and we're -- we're seated at different tables. Isn't --
- 5 isn't it proper to say I accompany her to the table?
- 6 MR. CARPENTER: Your Honor, our view is not
- 7 that it is technically improper, but that it is -- it
- 8 would not be an ordinary and natural usage of the word
- 9 "accompany," for example, to say --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: There is, I just gave you an
- 11 ordinary and natural use. I accompanied my wife to her
- 12 table.
- 13 MR. CARPENTER: Our view is that it is not
- 14 an ordinary usage to say were you accompanied, for
- 15 example, from this side of the lectern to this side,
- 16 which is the amount of movement the government believes
- 17 is -- is covered. Or, for example, to say, will you
- 18 accompany me, Justice Scalia, from your chair down to
- 19 yours.
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: You -- you think there's a
- 21 spatial component to -- I mean, what -- I don't
- 22 understand why you say it's -- it's not normal usage,
- 23 unless you say there is a spatial requirement that
- 24 you -- to accompany somebody, you have to walk a longer
- 25 distance, and I -- the example I just gave you suggests

- 1 otherwise.
- 2 MR. CARPENTER: Your Honor, our view of
- 3 the -- in the ordinary usage it is used in the sense of
- 4 going to a destination, to the theater, to the ballpark.
- 5 But even if there is some question --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: To my wife's table.
- 7 MR. CARPENTER: Well, even if there is some
- 8 question about whether the usage of just a movement of a
- 9 few feet is an ordinary usage, where there are multiple,
- 10 possible meanings of a term, we look to the statutory
- 11 context and the statutory structure --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: I'm asking you whether
- 13 there are multiple possible -- listen, I'm very big on
- 14 the rule of lenity, but the condition for it is that
- 15 there be ambiguity. And accompany means accompany. I
- 16 don't see any spatial component to it.
- 17 MR. CARPENTER: Well, Your Honor --
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: And the rule here is, you
- 19 know, garbage in, garbage out. It may be a very foolish
- 20 statute. But -- but we apply what -- what Congress
- 21 thought was not foolish.
- 22 MR. CARPENTER: Well, Justice Scalia, first,
- 23 whether there's ambiguity is determined not by looking
- 24 at the word "accompany" in isolation. But as the Court
- 25 said last year in the Utility Air context -- or in the

- 1 Utility Air case, the words of the statute are
- 2 interpreted in their context and with a view towards
- 3 their place in the statutory scheme. Here the place
- 4 that the word "accompany" serves in the statutory scheme
- 5 is to set off a set of extreme conduct that Congress
- 6 viewed as roughly on par with murder. Its association
- 7 in Section (e) with the killing offense tells us under
- 8 the Noscitur principle that Congress viewed a forced
- 9 accompaniment as akin to murder.
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: 20 steps -- 20 steps is
- 11 horrible enough for Congress to have believed it's
- 12 enough of an accompaniment? What? Half a mile? What?
- 13 MR. CARPENTER: Well, we don't
- 14 believe there's going to be a bright-line rule based on
- 15 the number of steps or the number of feet.
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't insist on a
- 17 bright-line rule. I insist on some rule. What --
- 18 what is your -- what is your rule? Does it have to be
- 19 outside the building? Does -- does the bank robber have
- 20 to take the person as a hostage outside the building?
- MR. CARPENTER: We think the best way to
- 22 effectuate the structure of the scheme is to have a
- 23 finding of substantiality. And we would instruct a jury
- 24 or a fact-finder in our Rule 29 motion, first and
- 25 foremost, to say that a substantial movement is

- 1 something more than a de minimus or a trivial movement.
- 2 If the district court or this Court wants to go further
- 3 than that, we believe that the court -- the jury --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's a -- that's a
- 5 very different rule than in your brief. I could buy a
- 6 de minimis rule, but I don't know where you get the word
- 7 "substantial."
- 8 MR. CARPENTER: Your Honor, we believe that
- 9 "substantial" is -- is implied in the structure. Again,
- 10 looking at first the Noscitur --
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Let's say we disagree.
- 12 Where would you find "de minimis"?
- 13 MR. CARPENTER: If you disagree with that,
- 14 then I think you would look -- you could just apply a de
- 15 minimis principle and say that movement of just a few
- 16 feet within a person's own home is --
- 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How much is a few feet?
- 18 MR. CARPENTER: Well, in this case, it's
- 19 about 4 to 9 feet, which is approximately from where
- 20 you're sitting, Justice Sotomayor, to where you're
- 21 sitting, Justice Scalia. That is approximately --
- 22 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I thought the record was
- 23 different. I thought the record was 14 to 20?
- MR. CARPENTER: It's not. The government in
- 25 its brief asserts that it is 20 feet from the front door

- 1 of the house --
- 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry. 8 -- no. I
- 3 was right. Go ahead.
- 4 MR. CARPENTER: If you look at the -- the
- 5 government asserts that it was 20 feet from the front
- 6 door all the way back to the room. But, first, the
- 7 record also suggests that she was -- met Mr. --
- 8 Mr. Whitfield while she was coming out of the hallway.
- 9 So the movement wasn't the 20 feet from the front door.
- 10 It was from the threshold of the hallway into the
- 11 computer room.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is it contextual?
- 13 In other words, is 20 feet in her home different from
- 14 20 feet in the bank?
- 15 MR. CARPENTER: Yes. Well, I think 20 feet
- 16 all within a single building is almost never going to
- 17 qualify because that cannot be so significantly
- 18 different from the conduct that is covered by an
- 19 ordinary (a) offense that Congress would have viewed it
- 20 as extreme enough to justify a ten-year mandatory
- 21 minimum penalty.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But it seems -- the
- 23 reason I ask is that it -- it strikes me that it makes a
- 24 difference if it's in part of the robbery itself. I
- 25 mean, it seems to me to tell the teller to go to the

- 1 vault or something. That's what happens in a bank
- 2 robbery. If on the other hand, the -- the defendant
- 3 takes the teller from the bank to the car and then, you
- 4 know, they -- they block the car, that strikes me as
- 5 something different even though the distance might be
- 6 the same.
- 7 MR. CARPENTER: Well, you're right and we
- 8 agree with you on the -- that it is a contextual
- 9 inquiry. So taking the -- the person out of the bank
- 10 and into the car is very likely going to qualify.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: Except that the statute
- 12 says "both in the course of or in fleeing from." So it
- 13 obviously envisions an accompaniment in the course of
- 14 the bank robbery, doesn't it?
- 15 MR. CARPENTER: I -- I believe --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: The answer is yes, right?
- MR. CARPENTER: Well, that language in our
- 18 view would be satisfied by the hypothetical -- or by
- 19 the -- not a hypothetical -- by an actual case that we
- 20 see in Wilson, for example, which is cited in the
- 21 government's brief where the bank robber goes to a bank
- 22 employee's home, forces them to come with him from their
- 23 home to the bank to facilitate the robbery. That's
- 24 absolutely an accompaniment in the commission of the
- 25 offense. I don't think anything in the statute suggests

- 1 that there must be some category of accompaniment within
- 2 the walls of the bank only.
- 3 JUSTICE ALITO: If the test is -- if the
- 4 test is that there must be something that's substantial,
- 5 how would you instruct the jury?
- 6 MR. CARPENTER: We would instruct the jury
- 7 first to say it must be more than de minimus or trivial
- 8 movement. You could go further than that and ask the
- 9 jury to consider factors such as the amount of distance
- 10 that was covered, whether the person was moved into or
- 11 out of a bank or another building and whether they were
- 12 ultimately transported to a different place or location
- 13 than where they were found.
- 14 JUSTICE KAGAN: But your first -- when you
- just said it's not de minimis, is that your primary
- 16 argument? You just want a non-de minimis rule?
- 17 MR. CARPENTER: We believe that resolves
- 18 this case. Yes. We think that -- as we use
- 19 "substantial" in the briefs, it is the flip side of de
- 20 minimis. So we think that de minimis is what happened
- 21 here. Movement with -- inside a person's own home only
- 22 for a few feet is de minimis. We think the way to
- 23 effectuate that --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: The woman died from a heart
- 25 attack, didn't she? That wasn't de minimis.

- 1 MR. CARPENTER: No.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: And -- and part of what
- 3 frightened her may have been the -- the fact that your
- 4 client forced her to go from one room to another.
- 5 MR. CARPENTER: Well, Justice Scalia, there
- 6 is no doubt this is a tragic and sad case, but
- 7 Section 2113(e) provides a mechanism for the government
- 8 to hold Mr. Whitfield directly responsible --
- 9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But why wouldn't it be --
- 10 MR. CARPENTER: -- for the fact that she
- 11 passed away.
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Why wouldn't it be
- 13 substantial when you go from one room to another? Why
- 14 doesn't that satisfy the definition of substantial?
- 15 MR. CARPENTER: The reason for that, I
- 16 think, is if you look at the structure --
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I mean, we're -- we're
- 18 looking for -- for guidelines here. So if you go from
- one room to another, that's arguably substantial.
- 20 MR. CARPENTER: I think the problem with
- 21 that interpretation, Justice Kennedy, is that it sweeps
- in so many (a) offenses because the movement of just a few
- 23 feet inside the bank, as Justice -- as Mr. Chief Justice
- 24 referred to, happens frequently. Congress, in setting
- 25 up Section (e) as an aggravated offense that requires a

- 1 ten-year mandatory minimum, and that at the time it was
- 2 passed, required --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR:
  I don't think that a few
- 4 feet from a cash register to a vault -- I've actually
- 5 never seen the vault. It's usually locked away behind
- 6 the main area, so that's going to be another room, and
- 7 it's going to be not necessarily a short distance.
- 8 MR. CARPENTER: Well, the -- the typical
- 9 bank robbery happens in branch offices which are
- 10 probably half the size of this courtroom, so I don't
- 11 believe it's going to be --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I can see -- I think the
- 13 statistics that we were shown is that the vast majority
- 14 of bank robberies are at the counter, that people are
- 15 not moved at all.
- 16 MR. CARPENTER: Well, we don't know the
- 17 latter aspect of that in that no one -- including the
- 18 government under the current iteration of the U.S.
- 19 Attorneys' Manual before this case -- has been looking
- 20 at the question of whether a person was moved a single
- 21 step -- again, from this side of the lectern to that,
- 22 from this side of the counter to that -- in the course
- 23 of the bank robbery. But we know if the -- if this
- 24 Court --
- 25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: This case -- this case

- 1 didn't involve a single step. But you say whatever it
- 2 was, nine feet, it's de minimis. If you are right about
- 3 that, then this charge never should have gone to the
- 4 jury because is -- is the jury supposed to say what is
- 5 de minimis or is the judge supposed to say that?
- 6 MR. CARPENTER: Well, the judge will always
- 7 address that in the first instance under a Rule 29
- 8 motion. But, Justice Ginsburg, you are absolutely
- 9 correct. Our view is that this should not have went to
- 10 the jury on the forced accompaniment count.
- 11 But I would return to Justice Scalia's
- 12 question --
- 13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Now, you -- you say there
- 14 is -- there is no proper instruction to a jury that
- would allow this case to go to the jury?
- 16 MR. CARPENTER: That is correct. We do
- 17 not --
- 18 JUSTICE BREYER: That isn't de minimis.
- 19 That's -- I mean, don't you accompany a person as well
- 20 when you stay with them in the room, and did he?
- MR. CARPENTER: Well, the -- the government
- 22 even agrees with us here that this isn't a confinement
- 23 statute, and that goes back to the --
- 24 JUSTICE BREYER: Wait. So -- so a person --
- 25 does it make no difference that they -- they take the

- 1 teller or they take the woman, you walk ten feet down to
- 2 a room, he says, sit there, and he stays with her to
- 3 make certain that she won't pop her head up so someone
- 4 can see? I think that's probably what happened here.
- 5 All right. If that's what happened here, the
- 6 accompaniment was not just walking, it was also staying
- 7 and walking back perhaps.
- 8 MR. CARPENTER: The problem, Justice Breyer,
- 9 is that that converts this into a confinement provision,
- 10 and Congress pointedly did not write a confinement
- 11 provision. We know first that accompany, by its
- 12 dictionary definition that the government agrees with,
- means to go with, not to stay with.
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: That's a very peculiar
- 15 statute. You kidnap a victim as a hostage. You walk
- 16 them two feet into the car. You quickly disquise the
- 17 car and have them lie on the floor, and -- and that
- 18 isn't covered by the statute. Or you have outside, I
- 19 don't know, there is a closet, you go, you walk them in,
- 20 and push them in the closet, that is not covered. It is
- 21 covered if you -- if you go 30 feet down the corridor
- 22 with somebody else. I can't -- I don't understand,
- 23 then, how this statute --
- MR. CARPENTER: I will agree with you that
- 25 Congress could have written a much more precise statute

- 1 here, but we think that it -- given the penalty
- 2 structure and the increased ten-year mandatory minimum
- 3 that would apply here in so many bank robbery cases --
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, I'm not so sure
- 5 what -- if you were going to draft the statute to reach
- 6 some of this conduct where people are forced to
- 7 accompany the robber, how would you have done it?
- 8 MR. CARPENTER: I think if Congress wanted
- 9 to adopt the government's interpretation of this
- 10 statute, it should have said forces someone to accompany
- 11 him for any distance whatsoever. Because that modifies
- 12 the word accompany which, in our view, in its ordinary
- usage implies something more than the movement of a single
- 14 step.
- 15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: In an ordinary use it
- 16 doesn't. Justice Scalia gave one example. Suppose I
- 17 were to say, the nurse accompanied a patient as she
- 18 walked around the Intensive Care Unit. That's an
- ordinary use of the -- of "accompanied."
- MR. CARPENTER: Well, again, we don't
- 21 disagree that it's a permissible usage. We -- for the
- 22 reasons set out in the brief -- don't think that it is
- 23 ordinary usage, and we certainly don't think it is
- ordinary in the way that Congress used it.
- 25 Again, if you look at the usages of the word

- 1 "accompany" in the code in 1934, Congress never used it
- 2 to cover this type of short distance movement. It's
- 3 also consistent with this Court's usages in the 1930s
- 4 which they -- virtually all of them in the 1930s
- 5 referred to long distance movements. And we think that
- 6 is consistent with the structure of the statute --
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: Because that was evident
- 8 from the rest of the statute, right?
- 9 MR. CARPENTER: And I think it's --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: I mean, all the statutes
- 11 you cite, they make it clear that -- that you are
- talking about accompanying over a long distance,
- 13 kidnapping or whatever else. But here there's no such
- indication, and presumably where -- where you have an
- indication in some statutes, you do not have it here, it
- 16 presumably does not apply here.
- 17 MR. CARPENTER: Well, Justice Scalia, I
- disagree with you that we don't have the other
- indications in this statute, and I would start with the
- 20 noscitur principle under Section (e). Congress put
- forced accompaniment in Section (e) because it viewed it
- as akin in terms of the defendant's culpability to
- 23 murder. It made it more serious than Section (d). We
- 24 know from Section (d) that Congress viewed -- endangering
- a person's life with a gun or knife as only a minor

- aggravating factor that didn't warrant any mandatory
- 2 minimum at all.
- 3 JUSTICE SCALIA: It -- it didn't -- it
- 4 didn't make it comparable to murder because the
- 5 provision itself says that the -- the accompaniment
- 6 shall be -- a person accompanied shall be in prison not
- 7 less than 10 years or if death results, shall be
- 8 punished by death or life in prison. So it's -- you are
- 9 talking 10 years.
- 10 MR. CARPENTER: Well, the death results
- language was added in 1994 as a conforming amendment to
- bring the statute in line with this Court's
- jurisprudence. If you look at our brief at pages 25 and
- 14 26, we have the original text of the statute. Under the
- original version passed in 1994 -- or 1934, forced
- 16 accompaniment and killing carried the exact same
- 17 statutory penalty. Both required a mandatory minimum of
- 18 10 years. Both required or made it ineligible for the
- 19 death penalty.
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: Which -- which statute was
- 21 your client prosecuted under, the old one or the current
- 22 one?
- MR. CARPENTER: Well, certainly under the
- 24 current one.
- 25 JUSTICE SCALIA: Yeah, well --

- 1 MR. CARPENTER: But the -- the context is
- 2 still the same in -- in the relationship with the
- 3 killing offense.
- 4 And I would go back to your earlier
- 5 question, Justice Scalia. This statute, through the
- 6 killing offense, gives the government the tools it needs
- 7 to hold Mr. Whitfield directly responsible for the fact
- 8 that Mrs. Parnell died. Mr. Whitfield was indicted for
- 9 a killing offense. Over our objection, the District
- 10 Court interpreted that provision as a felony murder
- 11 provision that did not require any intent -- intent
- above and beyond the intent necessary for the bank
- 13 robbery itself. And based on the evidence, the jury
- 14 rejected that count and held him not responsible for her
- 15 death.
- It makes little sense to stretch the meaning
- of a forced accompaniment so broadly that it allows the
- 18 government to hold him indirectly responsible for her
- death when the jury, who we rely on to make these kinds
- 20 of determinations in our system --
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: I'm sorry, I would like
- 22 to stop you for a minute. Because I thought that the --
- 23 the killing was one thing. But it -- it wasn't --
- 24 there -- didn't the judge say you didn't ask for -- what
- 25 was it --

- 1 MR. CARPENTER: Death results.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- death results, right.
- 3 MR. CARPENTER: Justice Ginsburg, you are
- 4 correct that the Fourth Circuit threw out the death
- 5 results finding because it had not been charged in the
- 6 initial indictment.
- 7 But you're also correct that killing is a
- 8 separate offense. As interpreted by the Fourth Circuit
- 9 at least, fourth -- a forced --
- 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So this -- this jury -- I
- 11 mean the issue of causing the death, that wasn't charged
- and the defendant was not acquitted of that?
- MR. CARPENTER: But that is a separate
- 14 offense. As interpreted by the Fourth Circuit, there
- are three offenses under Section (e), killing, forced
- 16 accompaniment, and the third is forced accompaniment
- 17 resulting in death. The third is the one that wasn't
- 18 charged and was thrown out. Even under it, we still
- 19 have the antecedent question of whether there was a
- 20 forced accompaniment in the first place.
- 21 But he was properly indicted on the killing
- 22 offense. It was interpreted by the District Court as a
- 23 felony murder provision. And based on the evidence, the
- jury held that Mr. Whitfield was not responsible for
- 25 killing Mrs. Parnell.

- 1 JUSTICE ALITO: If the test is more than de
- 2 minimis, what does the judge tell the jury if the jury
- 3 says we don't understand what de minimis means?
- 4 MR. CARPENTER: I think de minimis is often,
- 5 in other jury instructions, defined as trivial or
- 6 insignificant, and we would --
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: What does that mean?
- 8 They -- what does that mean in relationship to the
- 9 distance that must be involved?
- 10 MR. CARPENTER: Well, in our view, this is
- 11 the easy case in that it's only a few feet and it's
- 12 entirely within a person's own home. The -- we think
- the way to effectuate that is through a substantiality
- 14 finding which juries make all the time in other -- under
- 15 other criminal statutes.
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: You want to know what, I
- mean, that's exactly the same question. Look,
- 18 substantially -- you tell the jury substantial, they
- 19 have no idea in this context. I mean, I wouldn't. I
- 20 would have thought yeah, moving a person -- I don't see
- 21 what it has to do with her home or somebody else's home.
- 22 I don't see what that has to do with it. And a few feet
- 23 sounds, yeah, it sounds substantial to me. I know
- 24 nothing about it, you see.
- 25 So is there anything else you want to say in

- 1 response to what Justice Alito said? Because that --
- 2 think of my problem. It's not your client that is the
- 3 problem, but it's not the main problem. The main
- 4 problem is to write a standard so people can understand
- 5 it. And what do you want the words of that standard to
- 6 say?
- 7 MR. CARPENTER: Justice Breyer, I would go
- 8 back to the jury instruction that I set out earlier. We
- 9 believe the jury could be charged in determining whether
- 10 the movement is substantial or whether the movement is
- 11 more than de minimis. You could consider the amount of
- distance that was traveled, whether the person was taken
- into or out of the home or another building and whether
- they were ultimately transported to a different place or
- 15 different location --
- 16 JUSTICE ALITO: The problem is we don't --
- the jury would not know and the court would not know
- 18 what you are looking at, what ultimately you're
- 19 concerned about. You have a number of factors. But I
- 20 assume the point of this statute is to impose a severe
- 21 penalty in cases in which Congress thought there would
- 22 be great emotional distress for the person who is forced
- 23 to accompany that person.
- Now, that could depend on lots of things,
- 25 not just the distance traveled. So to -- applying a

- 1 purely spatial test to that just doesn't make any sense.
- 2 You can't say is it substantial in relation to the thing
- 3 that this statute is getting at. That is what I have a
- 4 problem with.
- 5 MR. CARPENTER: Well I think in part you are
- 6 over reading what Congress was trying to do here by
- 7 suggesting that if the person was put in particular fear
- 8 or danger or trauma that that would justify any charge.
- 9 That's That's not what he was looking at. Because we
- 10 know --
- 11 JUSTICE ALITO: What is the reason for this?
- 12 Why a heavier penalty for a case where there is
- 13 accompaniment it it's not that there is greater --
- 14 across the whole category, greater likelihood of trauma
- to the person who is involved?
- 16 MR. CARPENTER: We know that Congress viewed
- 17 the forced accompaniment provision as similar to
- 18 kidnapping, which under the Federal law at the time
- 19 required transportation entirely out of State and under
- 20 common law required transportation out of the country.
- 21 Under even the common definition of kidnapping at page
- 22 30 of our brief required more than a confinement or a
- 23 false imprisonment.
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: How do I know How do I
- 25 know Congress considered it equivalent to kidnapping?

- 1 How do I know that?
- 2 MR. CARPENTER: Well, for those of you who
- 3 do consider the legislative history, there are --
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: No, I don't know it at all.
- 5 MR. CARPENTER: So you may not know this but
- 6 -- I think, Justice Scalia, you do know it from the
- 7 context, and particularly from the association that
- 8 Congress made in Section (e) between forced
- 9 accompaniment and killing. It made both of those crimes
- 10 eligible for the death penalty at the discretion of the
- jury. And if you look at the broader code at that time,
- there were only a handful of offenses that carried the
- potential for the death penalty. Among them were murder
- 14 and kidnapping.
- So I think that is one very good reason why
- we know that Congress would have been thinking of
- 17 accompaniment as something akin to kidnapping, which it
- 18 had in other provisions of the code --
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: To do that you had to cross
- 20 State lines. The kidnapping statute, you had to cross
- 21 State lines, and you don't even argue you have to cross
- 22 State lines.
- 23 MR. CARPENTER: No, absolutely not, Justice
- Breyer. We're not suggesting that Congress intended to
- incorporate the elements of Federal kidnapping here.

1 But what we are saying -- to go back to the question 2 about what we're trying to distinguish here, we believe 3 that forced accompaniment and the instruction that we've 4 suggested for the jury allows the jury to distinguish 5 cases of confinement on the one hand -- where the 6 movement is incidental to a confinement, you are keeping 7 a person in their home, you are keeping the person in 8 the bank -- it distinguishes those from cases that we 9 would in a nontechnical sense think of as a kidnapping 10 or an abduction where you are taking someone from the 11 bank with you on the getaway, which was the John 12 Dillinger scenario we discussed in the briefs, or the 13 opposite scenario that I talked about from the Wilson case 14 a few minutes ago, where you take the bank employee from 15 their home to the bank. That kind of situation is, we 16 believe, the heart of what Congress was trying to get 17 to. JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, you might be right that 18 19 it's the heart of what Congress was trying to get at, but 20 this happens all the time when Congress writes statutes, It thinks about a particular case, it has an 21 right? 22 idea in its mind of the sort of conduct that they mean, 23 which is this John Dillinger example, but then when it gets down to drafting the words it writes words that are 24 25 broader than that, that include things that are not in

- 1 the front of their minds, like this one.
- 2 MR. CARPENTER: Well, I think when you put
- 3 the words they use in the context in which they use
- 4 them, it limits it to that kind of scenario. And even
- 5 the government has never thought that these kinds of --
- a few steps of movement inside the bank qualify as a
- 7 forced accompaniment. I would point to the cases cited
- 8 in our reply brief at pages 12 and 13, all of which were
- 9 charged under (a) or (d) and all of which clearly
- 10 involve movement that would easily satisfy the
- 11 government's single-step rule here. Yet in each of
- those cases, based on the guidelines, based on the 3553A
- 13 factors, the district courts imposed sentences well
- 14 below the 10-year mandatory minimum that would apply
- 15 under a Section (e) charge.
- 16 We know that if the Court here blesses the
- 17 single-step rule that the government is now advocating,
- 18 which has never been present in the United States
- 19 Attorneys' Manual before, the default principle under
- 20 the Justice Department's charging guidelines will be to
- 21 include a Section (e) count in every bank robbery where
- the prosecutor can allege and prove a single step of
- 23 movement that occurred in the course of the robbery.
- 24 That surely cannot be what Congress intended in the
- 25 structure of this statute where it designated Section

- 1 (e) as an offense that was so much more serious and so
- 2 much more extreme --
- 3 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But here it wasn't a
- 4 single step. And it seems from what happened that this
- 5 case presents the very danger that (e) wants to quard
- 6 against. That is, the woman was terrified and she had a
- 7 heart attack.
- 8 MR. CARPENTER: Well, Justice Ginsburg, I
- 9 disagree that -- and the government actually agrees with
- 10 us at page 40 of their brief -- with the notion that
- dangerousness by itself can convert something into an
- 12 accompaniment that's not. We believe first that in
- 13 Section (a) Congress required as an element of a basic
- 14 offense force, intimidation, or violence. What that
- tells us is that in every bank robbery, there is going
- to be danger presented to everyone present. And under
- 17 Section (d), Congress used danger as the jumping off
- 18 point for an aggravated offense under Section (d). It
- 19 escalated the statutory maximum in cases where the
- 20 robber used a gun or a knife to put someone's life in
- 21 danger. So I think the dangerousness by itself doesn't
- get us to an (e) offense.
- 23 And also -- so one more thing before I
- 24 reserve my time, which is that reversing this conviction
- and rejecting the government's single-step rule is in no

- 1 way going to allow Mr. Whitfield to walk away from this
- 2 unpunished. Even under our view, his sentence would be
- 3 12 to 13 years, which is approximately four times the
- 4 amount that a bank robber receives -- that a first-time
- offender like Mr. Whitfield receives for a bank robbery
- 6 offense. That factors in his post-robbery conduct
- 7 during his flight and gives him adequate punishment for
- 8 that. So the (e) charge is unnecessary to ensure that
- 9 he receives the punishment he needs.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 11 Mr. Carpenter.
- Mr. Fletcher.
- ORAL ARGUMENT OF BRIAN H. FLETCHER
- ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT
- 15 MR. FLETCHER: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,
- 16 and may it please the Court:
- 17 Section 2113(e) prescribes greater
- 18 punishment for a bank robber who forces another
- 19 person to accompany him in committing the robbery or in
- 20 attempting to avoid arrest.
- When Petitioner invaded the Parnell home and
- 22 forced Mrs. Parnell to go with him to a different room
- where they could not be seen by the police, he violated
- that statute because the forced movement fell squarely
- 25 within the ordinary meaning of the word "accompany." In

- 1 everyday speech it is both proper and common to say that
- 2 one person accompanied someone else from one room
- of the house to another, and Congress chose to use that
- 4 broad non-technical term in framing Section 2113(e). It
- 5 didn't include any qualifying language requiring that
- 6 the forced accompaniment traverse a particular number of
- 7 feet, cross the threshold of a building or otherwise
- 8 cover a substantial distance.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes, but all the
- definitions begin by saying accompanying is go with
- 11 somebody as an associate or companion. Yes, it is
- certainly a permissible use and a common use in context
- to say I accompanied someone -you know across the hall.
- 14 But when you are in the context that this present one is
- of bank robbery, the point of associate or companion, I
- think, takes on greater weight. Obviously, an unwilling
- one.
- 18 MR. FLETCHER: I think you are right,
- 19 Mr. Chief Justice. I think the connotation of
- "accompany" focuses very much not only on movement but
- 21 also on movement together. But as you say, it doesn't
- have to be a friendly association or a voluntary
- 23 association.
- I think this Court's opinion in Oregon v.
- 25 Elstad, which involved essentially the reverse of the

- 1 movement in this case -- the police encountered a
- 2 suspect in the bedroom in his house and directed him to
- 3 get dressed and accompany them, this Court wrote, to the
- 4 living room to answer questions. I assume they hadn't
- 5 met before. I assume that the association wasn't a sort
- of long-standing one or a voluntary one. But it's still
- 7 natural in those circumstances to describe two people
- 8 moving from one room to another with the term
- 9 "accompany."
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: All right, you take
- 11 two bank robberies. One, the robber comes in, waves a
- 12 gun, pistol whips five people, grabs the money and
- 13 leaves. Okay? In another the bank robber comes in,
- just gets the bag of money, says, you walk over here 2
- 15 feet with me, so he can get the bag of money and leaves.
- 16 The first one you could get probation. I mean, you're
- 17 not going to, but there's no minimum sentence. And
- 18 the second one you get at least 10 years. That doesn't
- 19 make any sense.
- 20 MR. FLETCHER: Well, I think it does make
- 21 sense because I think Congress understood, and I think
- in practice we would see that the first bank robber in
- 23 your first hypothetical is not going to get probation.
- He is going to get a very, very substantial sentence.
- 25 And we know that Congress contemplated --

1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But they didn't care		
2	exactly what the minimum was, right, even before the		
3	sentencing guidelines, which would obviously have a		
4	higher sentence, they didn't care if it was one year		
5	because of extenuating circumstances, whatever. But,		
6	they said, forcing someone to accompany you is so much		
7	more serious that you get at least 10 years.		
8	MR. FLETCHER: And I think that they had		
9	good reason to do that, but I also just want to		
10	highlight that the 10-year mandatory minimum that they		
11	set for the forced accompaniment offense is right in the		
12	middle of the range for a completely unaggravated,		
13	unarmed bank robbery in violation of Section 2113.		
14	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Range where?		
15	MR. FLETCHER: Of the statutory range of		
16	zero probation to 20 years. The statutory maximum i		
17	20 years and Congress set the limit for a nonaggravated		
18	bank robbery. For an armed bank robbery under 2113(d),		
19	the statutory maximum is 25 years.		
20	And so when Congress established a mandatory		
21	minimum penalty for Section 2113(e) at 10 years,		
22	absolutely it did that because it regarded 2113(e)		
23	violations as culpable conduct that merited enhanced		
24	punishment a deterrence. But it also made clear that i		
25	understood that there were going to be unaggravated bank		

1 robberies and armed bank robberies that warranted 2 greater punishment than forced accompaniments and it 3 left room for sentencing judges and now, with the 4 guidelines, for sentences to be framed in a way that 5 took account of the type of aggravating circumstances 6 like pistol whipping the victim and threats and things 7 of the nature that you described that don't trigger a statutory enhancement but that obviously sentencing 8 9 judges would consider. Do you think there's --10 JUSTICE KAGAN: 11 JUSTICE BREYER: The problem -- the problem 12 is there is a set of cases where you can think it's 1.3 serious and a set of cases where you just think it 14 The bank robbery -- there are more in the bank are the ones that concern me that it isn't. 15 The bank robbers are in the bank, there are a couple of other 16 17 customers walk in and one of them says will you please 18 walk over here with me for a second. Okay? 19 two feet to get him out of the way. That doesn't sound 2.0 as if that is a lot worse than pistol whipping somebody. 21 And you can multiply those cases. 22 And what is worrying me about it is, since 23 it's a mandatory minimum, the prosecutors have a choice 24 about whether to -- to indict or not on that ground, and 25 a person who is coming in and who is represented and --

- 1 what is his choice? You know, you either plead quilty
- or I put this in, too, because you moved one of the
- 3 customers 10 feet.
- Now, that's -- that's the -- it's the --
- 5 that's the nature of the mandatory minimum which is
- 6 disturbing and calls, I think, for some kind of lenity
- 7 when there is openness of interpretation. But on the
- 8 other hand it's very hard for me to distinguish how to
- 9 separate the cases that seem comparatively trivial
- 10 taking place within the bank from the ones where you
- 11 really are injecting fear, special fear, into the mind
- of the person who is told to accompany you, and that
- 13 could take place even if it's like one foot, because
- 14 he's been singled out.
- 15 Okay. Is there any way to do it? Is there
- 16 any way that you could reassure me that in these lesser
- 17 cases this will not be used as an instrument towards a
- 18 quilty plea or an instrument where these minor things
- 19 that he's been talking about nonstop, your brother over
- 20 here, that they'll certainly turn out to be charged
- 21 routinely?
- 22 MR. FLETCHER: Yes, absolutely. I think --
- 23 I would like to say a number of things. I think the
- 24 first one goes to the charging question that you asked
- and that my friend referred to. He pointed to the

- 1 cases, the four cases that he cites at pages 12 and 13
- of his reply brief as examples that would fall under our
- definition of forced accompaniment but that weren't
- 4 charged as such in this case. But I think -- or in
- 5 those particular cases.
- I think what is important to highlight about
- 7 that is that three of the four cases arose in circuits
- 8 that have already adopted our rule. One was in the
- 9 Seventh Circuit and one was in the Eleventh Circuit and
- 10 one was in the Ninth Circuit, and the Seventh and
- 11 Eleventh Circuits have specifically said that movement
- 12 within a bank, our rule here, you don't have to move
- anyone a particular distance, you don't have to move
- them out of the building. And so the fact that they
- 15 weren't charged in that case reflects an exercise of the
- 16 government's charging discretion, not the fact that the
- 17 rule that we're asking you to adopt today had been
- 18 blessed by those circuits.
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: But that's what you are
- 20 going to lead it to, Mr. Fletcher, it's just going to be
- 21 prosecutorial good judgment that's going to separate the
- 22 case that's, like, could you come with me a couple of
- 23 feet.
- MR. FLETCHER: Well, I think that is part of
- 25 it and I do think it's important to highlight that

- 1 the -- the charging guidance that my friend points to
- 2 does permit the exercise of prosecutorial discretion to
- 3 determine individual cases.
- 4 JUSTICE KAGAN: Right. I guess I'm asking
- 5 you is there anything else. Would you -- you think that
- 6 there should not be a de minimis exception at all.
- 7 MR. FLETCHER: Well, I quess I don't
- 8 understand my friend to be really asking for a de
- 9 minimis exception, but let me --
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: I'm asking about a de
- 11 minimis exception.
- MR. FLETCHER: Well, the reason why I don't
- think de minimis, although we could talk about very
- 14 short movements and my friend likes to -- and we can
- talk about, I don't think that de minimis movements
- 16 should be excluded. I don't think it's reasonable to --
- 17 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Even the one step?
- 18 MR. FLETCHER: Yes, Your Honor, even the one
- 19 step. And the -- the reason why I don't think it's
- 20 reasonable to exclude those is that this statute only
- 21 applies to forced movements, and it's that element of
- 22 force that I think my friend likes to ignore and focus
- 23 just on the step or two steps. But every time a robber
- violates the statute, he does it because he's forced
- 25 someone --

1 JUSTICE SCALIA: You know, I thought that 2 was the case, which is why I didn't understand Justice 3 Breyer's question where he said the amiable bank robber 4 says, would you please step - what do you say? 5 Would you 6 please step over here? 7 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah, I'm walking into a bank robbery where they have about --8 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: Step over there or I'll 10 blow your head off is what he says. 11 MR. FLETCHER: Yes, and I imagine a 12 request --1.3 JUSTICE BREYER: My -- my example was meant 14 to encompass a polite, but armed, bank robber. 15 (Laughter.) 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But the point is the 17 idea of someone whose bank is being robbed not being 18 forcibly compelled to accompany someone is fanciful, and 19 all you have to do, again -- I guess it's repeating the 20 question -- is you got two feet and the prosecutor is 21 armed with another 10 years automatically in his pocket, 22 and then you use that to extort a plea bargain of, you 23 know, six years, somebody who might otherwise wanted to 24 go to trial. 25 MR. FLETCHER: Well, we know that Congress

- drafted the statute as a mandatory minimum provision.
- 2 We know that it used broad language that encompasses
- 3 even very, very short movements. And I think the
- 4 discussion that --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, that begs the
- 6 question, I think.
- 7 MR. FLETCHER: But, well, I was going to try
- 8 to get to the answer, which is that the reason why
- 9 Congress did that and I think the discussion today
- 10 highlights is because, number one, it's very, very hard
- 11 to separate out the cases that drive at the heartland of
- 12 what Congress was concerned about, the human shield and
- 13 the hostages situations, with any sort of rule based on
- 14 distance.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, your U.S.
- 16 attorney's manual does just that. It says you prosecute
- 17 these when anyone forcibly abducts another during the
- 18 commission of any offense. That seems to me to be
- 19 trying to take out those walked two feet. That's not a
- 20 forcible abduction.
- 21 MR. FLETCHER: I -- I'm not sure that is
- 22 correct, Mr. Chief Justice. The U.S. attorney's manual
- does refer to the Section 2113(e) offense in a
- one-sentence description as covering forcible abduction.
- 25 But I don't think -- it's perfectly sensible and courts

- 1 have used the word abduction to describe moving someone
- 2 from one place in a bank to another one.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So -- so you think
- 4 when the manual says forcibly abducts, it means the
- 5 two-foot situation.
- 6 MR. FLETCHER: Potentially, yes. The
- 7 guidelines -- the sentencing guidelines use the word
- 8 abduction, for instance, Mr. Chief Justice --
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: What about that -- why don't
- 10 we say that? Say that what this statute covers is
- 11 forcible abduction, and there we are. That's not
- 12 perfect. But it's it at least my polite -- armed bank
- 13 robber who asks the person to move over hasn't abducted
- 14 him and in many of these de minimis cases there won't be
- 15 an abduction. Why not just pick up those words and say
- indeed, the government uses that when it explains it.
- MR. FLETCHER: Well, Congress used very,
- 18 very different language. Congress didn't incorporate
- 19 the common law of kidnapping. It didn't refer to an
- 20 abduction. It used the word "accompanying" which has an
- 21 everyday meaning that encompasses short movements.
- 22 But also, I think -- I understand the
- 23 impetus that some of your questions suggest that
- abduction carries up or connotes long movements, but
- 25 that's not the way that it's used in the U.S. attorney's

- 1 manual and it's not the way that it's used in the
- 2 provision of the guidelines which provides an
- 3 enhancement for a bank robbery or for any robbery that
- 4 involves the abduction of a victim.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So the manual is
- 6 read -- forcibly abducts, they read as for somebody to
- 7 move two feet. That's an abduction?
- 8 MR. FLETCHER: Yes. They don't view it as
- 9 limiting the charges under Section 2113(e) to require
- 10 movement of any particular distance.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And so the manual
- 12 which -- the other provision in the manual which is that
- 13 you should charge as large an offense as possible means
- 14 that in the case where somebody is forced to move two
- 15 feet, you charge the -- that as a forcible abduction.
- 16 MR. FLETCHER: No, that is not correct and
- in part that is because the manual doesn't say you
- 18 always charge the most serious offense. It says you
- 19 charge the most serious offense that's consistent with
- 20 the nature of the conduct at issue and that that
- 21 permits, and in fact requires, an individualized
- assessment of the defendant's conduct.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So is two feet
- consistent with the conduct at issue?
- 25 MR. FLETCHER: Well, I think -- I think

- there is a reason and I -- I do think there's a reason
- 2 why Congress covered even very short movements, and
- 3 that's in part because every time a robber forces
- 4 someone to go with him he exposes that person to a great
- 5 degree of trauma, to physical danger, and I think also
- 6 Congress reasonably decided not to try to write in a
- 7 limitation to use a term that includes movements as
- 8 short as a few feet because it's so difficult to draw a
- 9 line. It's difficult to draw an administrable line at
- 10 all. And any of the lines that have been offered to you
- 11 by my friend, by the amicus briefs, by the lower courts
- that have tried to cabin this statute by departing from
- its text, all of them would exclude cases that would
- 14 give rise to exactly the sorts of dangers that Congress
- 15 was concerned about, which are robbers using victims as
- 16 human shields, or robbers holding the gun to the head of
- 17 the victim and dragging them around the bank during the
- 18 offense. I think all of those are at the heartland of
- 19 what Congress was concerned about.
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: When Congress first drafted
- 21 this accompanying language, this was a capital offense,
- 22 yes?
- 23 MR. FLETCHER: Potentially, yes.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: So, I mean, would you say
- 25 the same thing if it were still a capital offense?

```
1 MR. FLETCHER: We would. We think the --
```

- 2 the offense had the same meaning then and now. And I
- 3 think part of the reason why we'd say that is, first and
- 4 foremost, that the statutory language we think
- 5 "accompanying" has a plain meaning that includes those
- 6 sorts of movements.
- 7 But also I think it -- it's true, my friend
- 8 likes to point out that it was potentially death
- 9 eligible, but the sentencing range in the 1934 statute
- 10 was a minimum of 10 years to a death sentence if the
- 11 verdict of the jury shall so recommend.
- 12 The murderer statute at -- in 1934 in
- 13 contrast said that if you murder someone it's a
- 14 mandatory death penalty or life imprisonment. I
- 15 think --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: Of course that was
- 17 unconstitutional, right? The -- imposing the death
- 18 penalty for this.
- 19 MR. FLETCHER: That's correct. The Court
- 20 later held --
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: For even -- even
- 22 kidnapping, you know, taking the person away when you're
- 23 fleeing. It was unconstitutional to apply the death
- 24 penalty, so we really don't -- don't have to take that
- into account, I suppose.

```
MR. FLETCHER:
 1
                                  That's correct. In the
 2
      current statute --
 3
           JUSTICE KAGAN:
                                   I quess I was just
 4
      suggesting that maybe we should take that into account
 5
      in thinking about what Congress could have meant for
 6
      this statute to mean, but it seems -- it -- it seems
 7
      very unlikely that Congress meant to give the death
      penalty to somebody who forced another person to move
 8
 9
      two feet.
           MR. FLETCHER:
10
                                  And I think Congress probably
11
      understood that a jury would be very unlikely, in fact,
12
      almost certain not to recommend the death penalty in a
1.3
      case like that.
```

- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, no, no. But we're talking about what the proper instructions to a jury,
- 16 not jury nullification. Don't -- don't play -- don't
- 17 play that card.
- 18 MR. FLETCHER: But, Justice Kennedy, I'm not
- 19 suggesting that they nullify on the guilt offense, but
- the penalty provision in the 1934 statute said it's
- 21 punishable by death if the verdict of the jury shall so
- 22 recommend --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Are the --
- 24 MR. FLETCHER: -- which permitted a jury to
- 25 decide the severity of the offense.

```
1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's wrong with de
```

- 2 minimis? Meaning why don't we leave it to the jury to
- decide when a movement is inconsequential, it's
- 4 trivial? We're worried about telling them what that --
- 5 what that is, but we use the word de minimis all the
- 6 time, and all we tell juries is you don't have to find
- 7 this if the movement was really trivial and
- 8 inconsequential.
- 9 MR. FLETCHER: Well, I -- I --
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And they can then use
- 11 their judgment instead of the prosecutor about when
- something is causing the kind of fear that Congress
- 13 worried about.
- 14 MR. FLETCHER: Well, I think that's a long
- ways from the statutory text, first and foremost. I
- 16 also don't think that it's consistent --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, we -- we've
- 18 basically said, albeit only a civil area, that we always
- 19 assume that trivial and inconsequential matters will not
- 20 be covered by a law.
- 21 MR. FLETCHER: You're right. De minimis --
- 22 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I don't know why that
- can't be true in the criminal area either.
- MR. FLETCHER: De minimis is a general
- 25 principle of law. I, like you, have not found a case

- 1 where this Court has applied it in the criminal context.
- I think in part that's because it's ultimately about
- 3 deciding what Congress intended to prescribe.
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, if we were going to
- 5 apply it I guess what we would apply is was there
- 6 significant fear or trauma induced? I mean, that's --
- 7 that's what the statute's about. Threatening people,
- 8 causing them such excitement that they may have a heart
- 9 attack, as happened here. I don't know that the
- 10 distance is what is de minimis. It's how much you put
- 11 the person in fear, I suppose.
- 12 MR. FLETCHER: I do think that that's right
- and I think that points up that crafting any sort of a
- de minimis or substantiality requirement that tried to
- 15 get at the concerns that Congress had in passing the
- 16 statute would be extremely hard to do. And I think the
- jury that asks, as Justice Alito suggested, what do you
- 18 mean by de minimis? How are we supposed to determine
- 19 whether or not a movement is de minimis? It would be
- 20 very, very difficult to give that jury a reasonable --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, but you could say de
- 22 minimis did because of the language that you point to,
- 23 accompaniment. That's what I criticized you earlier as
- just being too vague. But where movements are small,
- 25 this is -- where movements are small -- in considering

- 1 de minimis you can consider that where movements are
- 2 small, the absence or presence of the kind of fear that
- is connoted by the word abduction, which -- that's a
- 4 little tough. But I see your point there.
- 5 But the alternative is just this sort of
- 6 vast discretion in bank robbery cases where -- where
- 7 there has been nothing more like an inch or it really
- 8 had nothing to do with the abduction concern and the
- 9 distances were miniscule.
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: It's a very bad statute.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: Would you admit that?
- 12 MR. FLETCHER: I would disagree with that.
- 13 I would say though that even if you agree with that,
- that's not a reason to read it other than according to
- its terms.
- And I think, Justice Breyer, my friend likes
- 17 to suggest that if you go our way on this you really are
- going to open up this discretion for prosecutors to
- 19 charge the 2113(e) offense in a vast number of cases.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, that's true.
- 21 MR. FLETCHER: Respectfully, though, I don't
- think he's been able to substantiate that with any kind
- 23 of evidence. As we pointed out --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, 95 or -- over
- 25 90 percent of all the criminal charges in the country

```
1 are pleaded guilty. Isn't that the right number,
```

- 2 something like that?
- 3 MR. FLETCHER: I --
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: And certainly you do read
- 5 lots of criticisms of people pleading guilty because
- 6 they are afraid that in the absence of the guilty plea
- 7 the sentence will go way up. I don't know how true that
- 8 is, I haven't seen the studies, but I'm certainly
- 9 familiar with literature that says that.
- 10 MR. FLETCHER: I understand the concern but
- it arises only to the extent that forced accompaniments
- of short distances are common in bank robberies.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no. That is
- 14 exactly where the prosecutor needs another ace in his
- 15 hand. In other words, if he's waving a gun, if he's
- 16 assaulting the people, if he's shooting them, fine, he's
- 17 got enough leverage. But when he hasn't done any of
- that and all he's done is asked the teller or whoever to
- 19 accompany him for -- of forced, I'm sorry, the teller to
- 20 accompany him for a few feet, that's where the
- 21 prosecutor says I, you know, it's a good thing I've got
- these 10 years or otherwise he might go to trial.
- 23 MR. FLETCHER: I don't think that's correct,
- 24 Mr. Chief Justice. I think in a number -- our rule has
- 25 been the law in a number of circuits, at least four of

- 1 them, in the Eleventh Circuit since the Bauer decision
- in 1992, and yet you don't see and Petitioner certainly
- 3 hasn't pointed to you a pattern of prosecutorial abuse
- 4 in those circuits. Instead what you see is the case,
- 5 the Section 2113(e) offense being charged in unusual
- 6 bank --
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: How would you -- how
- 8 would you see the evidence of prosecutorial abuse? When
- 9 you have these cases, he says I'm going to charge you
- 10 with a 10-year minimum, and the guy says, my gosh, I
- 11 can't risk that, I'm going to plead quilty to 6 years or
- 7 years. I don't see how that pattern could show up in
- any kind of statistics.
- MR. FLETCHER: Well, for one thing, I mean,
- 15 both sides here are looking at the fact patterns in
- 16 reported cases. There could be --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It would not be a
- 18 reported case because he would have pled guilty.
- 19 MR. FLETCHER: But even quilty pleas can
- 20 give rise to reported cases that describe the facts of
- 21 the offense if there's an appeal relating to sentencing,
- 22 as there often is. And we just don't see the government
- 23 bringing this charge in part because most bank robberies
- do not involve forced movement. The classic bank
- 25 robbery --

1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: When they plead
2	guilty don't they have to I don't remember if this is
3	just limited to the State systems or not don't they
4	have to waive their rights to appeal?
5	MR. FLETCHER: In some cases guilty pleas do
6	include waivers of appeal rights. So I'm I
7	understand the point that many guilty pleas wouldn't
8	show up in reported cases, but I think we also provide
9	statistics about the number of guilty pleas that
10	would still be sentenced according to the sentencing
11	guidelines and we provide statistics that say that
12	and and would show up in the FBI's bank crime
13	statistics.
14	We provide statistics suggesting that
15	most the overwhelming majority of bank robberies are
16	limited to the teller area. They don't involve moving
17	someone to the vault. The classic bank robbery we
18	cite to the secondary literature as well involves
19	someone walking up to a teller and presenting a demand
20	note or making an oral demand. And I think once a
21	robber goes beyond that and begins forcibly
22	orchestrating the movement of people around the bank,
23	that's stuff that conduct that's typically
24	accomplished with a weapon or with physical direct
25	application of physical force to compel people to move

- 1 around the bank. And I think Congress correctly made a
- judgment that that's significantly aggravated conduct
- 3 that separates those offenses from the mine-run bank
- 4 robberies.
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But the problem is that
- 6 there is some fortuity in this. Some bank robbers will
- 7 tell people, tellers, move to that corner and lay down
- 8 on the floor and they'll just point the gun. There is
- 9 no accompaniment. Some of them may say move, and move
- 10 with them. There is --
- 11 MR. FLETCHER: There's -- there's no doubt
- 12 that there's some fortuity. That's going to happen any
- 13 time Congress frames a broad rule. But I do think that
- being forced to move around the bank with the robber is
- materially worse and materially more dangerous to the
- 16 victims than being ordered to move to the other side of
- the bank by the robber.
- 18 If the robber comes into the bank and pulls
- 19 a gun, everyone in the gun is going to be -- everyone in
- 20 the bank is going to be frightened. And if he directs
- 21 everyone to go over to one side of the room, that's
- 22 going to be frightening, too. But if he picks out a
- 23 teller and says, You're coming with me and we're going
- 24 to the vault, that teller is going to be the most afraid
- in the bank, the most traumatized. That person's going

- 1 to be in the greatest danger, at the greatest risk of
- 2 further violence from the robber, and that person is
- 3 going to be in the worst possible place if the police
- 4 respond, if an armed guard responds, or if some sort of
- 5 confrontation breaks out.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I just don't
- 7 see that as a common sense proposition. If you're a
- 8 teller, when are you more scared, when the guy has a gun
- 9 pointed at you and says get the money or when the guy
- does not have a gun so far as you can see and says you
- 11 better come with me over to the vault?
- 12 MR. FLETCHER: So I think potentially in --
- in the case with a gun, but a couple of points on that.
- One is the vast majority, as far as I can tell, 2113(e),
- forced accompaniment offenses, almost always involve
- 16 weapons. I think the parties cite, you know, 6 or 8
- 17 lower court cases that have involved the -- the question
- 18 at issue here. How far do you have to move in order to
- 19 be a forced accompaniment. In all of them except this
- one, the person had either a gun or knife. And I think
- 21 that makes sense because if you're going to be trying to
- 22 direct the people -- the movement of people within the
- 23 bank, you're going to do that by means of a gun or a
- 24 knife.
- 25 The second thing that I'd say is that I do

think that the teller who is forced to move with the 1 robber, even if we hypothesize the unusual case of a 2 robber who doesn't have a weapon, that teller is still 3 sort of at risk of further physical force unarmed by the 4 5 robber himself; that person is still in danger in the event that an armed confrontation breaks out with 6 7 someone else. That person is still in a very, very bad 8 position. 9 I think Congress reasonably decided that it 10 wanted to deter bank robbers from involving innocent people in their offense. And I think it sensibly made 11 the decision to deter that sort of conduct at the very 12 13 first step by writing the statute in a way that 14 prohibits forced accompaniment, a term that as our briefs 15 demonstrate, readily encompasses the type of movement at issue here from one room to another. And I think also 16 17 readily encompasses even movements as short as a few 18 steps. 19 The example that my friend gave earlier was 20 moving from one side of the lectern to another, and he 21 suggested that movements of that order aren't -- aren't 22 sensibly described as accompaniments. I think to the 23 contrary, if Mr. Dreeben had joined me when I came to 24 the lectern to present my argument a few minutes ago, we 25 would very easily say that he accompanied me to the

- 1 lectern to present the argument.
- 2 This Court used the word "accompany" to
- describe a movement of just a few steps in Washington v.
- 4 Chrisman where the issue was a police officer
- 5 accompanying a college student from the public corridor
- of the student's dorm into the student's dorm room. And
- 7 I think by choosing to use that term, Congress made the
- 8 judgment that forced movements with a robber present
- 9 enhanced dangers, present greater trauma to the victims,
- 10 and that it was appropriate to deter and punish that
- 11 conduct with a broad rule, because in every instance
- where it arises, it presents some dangers. But also
- 13 because to try to draft any line that excludes some of
- 14 the types of hypotheticals that the Court has proposed
- today would be very difficult to do, would produce an
- 16 arbitrary and hard to administer standard and would
- inevitably rule out some of the cases that Congress
- 18 meant to include when it drafted the statute.
- 19 If the Court has --
- 20 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Suppose we had substantial
- 21 evidence that prosecutors were using the threat of this
- 22 extra charge in order to obtain quilty pleas, very
- 23 substantial evidence, would that be a basis for us to
- rule that the statute is either inoperable altogether or
- 25 that it should be interpreted in a way consistent with

- 1 what the Petitioner argues?
- 2 MR. FLETCHER: I don't think so. I don't
- 3 think this Court has ever suggested that the charging
- 4 decisions with respect to a particular statute should
- 5 inform the way the statute is interpreted.
- 6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So you think that concern
- 7 is simply irrelevant to the interpretative task that we
- 8 face.
- 9 MR. FLETCHER: I think the Court has said
- 10 that the interpretative task in interpreting a statute
- is to give the words of the statute their ordinary
- meaning in the context in which they're enacted. I
- don't think that is considered -- a charge of questions
- is -- of charging discretion would play into that
- 15 inquiry.
- I understand why such a hypothetical pattern
- of prosecutorial abuse would give the Court pause and
- would be of concern, and I think that's why it's
- 19 significant that to the extent that those concerns do
- 20 arise, we don't have evidence of that here in the
- 21 circuits that have adopted our rule or something very
- 22 much like it. And I don't think there's any indication
- 23 that prosecutors are departing from the instruction to
- 24 consider the circumstances of individual cases in
- 25 bringing charges.

1 If the Court has no further questions, we'd 2 ask that the judgment below be affirmed. 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel. 4 Mr. Carpenter, you have four minutes 5 remaining. 6 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF JOSHUA B. CARPENTER 7 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER Thank you. I would offer 8 MR. CARPENTER: 9 the Court first a twist on the old adage from 10 Justice Holmes, which is to say that sad facts sometimes 11 make very bad law. The facts of this case are 12 undoubtedly sad, but the broad rule that the government 1.3 seeks to apply here would cover cases where the facts 14 aren't as sad and aren't -- aren't tragic at all. 15 I would go to your point, Justice Scalia, about whether we should somehow interpret the statute to 16 cover cases where there's particularly heightened 17 18 On that issue, there was trauma here, but it 19 had nothing to do with the movement from one room to 20 another and it had everything to do with the fact that 21 he invaded her home. So it can't -- this case can't 22 turn -- the application of this provision can't turn on 23 the happenstance of whether she was sitting in her room 24 when he walked in or whether she happened to be standing 25 at the hallway and he asked her to move into the room.

1 Also, the government repeatedly points out 2 all the bad conduct that can happen even where there is 3 movement of only a few feet, and it's inside the bank, 4 but it can be accompanied with physical assault, et 5 I would point the Court to the government's own 6 brief at page 23 where my friend cites a series of cases 7 that he described as the most egregious examples of forced movements over a short distance. 8 9 In each of those -- in three of those cases they were decided under the guidelines, Davis, Lewis and 10 11 In each one, based on the guidelines and based on Reid. the 3553(a) factors, the district courts imposed a 12 1.3 sentence well below the statutory maximum that was 14 available for an (a) offense. What that tells us is 15 that the enhanced statutory maximums under (e) aren't necessary, even in these egregious cases where the 16 17 movement is accompanied by physical assault and where it's of a short distance in the bank. 18 19 On the flip side, though, the government's 2.0 broad, single-step rule is going to be tremendously 21 harmful in the mine run of bank robberies where you have 22 only a few feet of movement and which present the 23 problems that many of you have touched upon about the 24 exercise of prosecutorial discretion. 25 It's important to point out that in his

- 1 presentation today, my friend mentioned that well, the
- 2 guidelines -- the guidelines charging manual doesn't
- 3 actually provide a limit here because abduction means
- 4 even movement of a single step. So we know that the
- 5 government isn't disavowing the single-step rule. It
- 6 continues to advance it before this Court.
- 7 And the government has also pointed to
- 8 problems with line drawing. There's no doubt that it is
- 9 not easy to draw a line in a case like this. But that
- 10 doesn't justify the Court or the government in throwing
- 11 up its hands and saying there's no line at all. I would
- say that the rule of lenity should have special force in
- 13 the context of mandatory minimum provisions like this
- one, both because of the notice that a criminal
- defendant should receive that his conduct is so severe,
- 16 that he's going to face the mandatory minimum penalty as
- severe as this one, and because of the harm to the
- 18 system that flows from a broadly applicable mandatory
- 19 minimum that gives the prosecution the ability to
- threaten these charges in otherwise ordinary bank
- 21 robbery cases.
- 22 If there are no further questions, we'd ask
- 23 the Court to reverse the (e) conviction and send this
- 24 case back for resentencing.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Counsel.

1	The case is submitted.	
2	(Whereupon, at 12:00 p.m., the case in the	
3	above-entitled matter was submitted.)	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	accomplished	amount 4:16 10:9	assaulting 45:16	46:23,24 47:12,15
A 127.12	47:24	21:11 27:4	asserts 7:25 8:5	47:17,22 48:1,3,6
abducted 37:13	account 31:5 40:25	answer 9:16 29:4	assessment 38:22	48:14,17,18,20,25
<b>abduction</b> 24:10	41:4	36:8	assistant 1:17	49:23 50:10 54:3
36:20,24 37:1,8	ace 45:14	antecedent 19:19	associate 28:11,15	54:18,21 55:20
37:11,15,20,24	acquitted 19:12	appeal 46:21 47:4,6	association 6:6	bargain 35:22
38:4,7,15 44:3,8	actual 9:19	appearances 1:14	23:7 28:22,23	based 6:14 18:13
55:3	adage 53:9	applicable 55:18	29:5	19:23 25:12,12
abducts 36:17 37:4	added 17:11	application 47:25	assume 21:20 29:4	36:13 54:11,11
38:6	address 13:7	53:22	29:5 42:19	basic 3:11 26:13
ability 55:19	address 13.7 adequate 27:7		attack 10:25 26:7	basically 42:18
able 44:22	adequate 27.7 administer 51:16	applied 43:1 applies 34:21	43:9	basis 51:23
aboveentitled 1:11				
56:3	administrable 39:9	apply 3:18 5:20	attempting 27:20	<b>bauer</b> 46:1
<b>absence</b> 44:2 45:6	admit 44:11	7:14 15:3 16:16	attorneys 12:19	bedroom 29:2
absolutely 9:24	adopt 15:9 33:17	25:14 40:23 43:5	25:19 36:16,22	begins 47:21
13:8 23:23 30:22	adopted 33:8 52:21	43:5 53:13	37:25	begs 36:5
32:22	advance 55:6	applying 21:25	automatically	behalf 1:15,19 2:4
<b>abuse</b> 46:3,8 52:17	advocating 25:17	appropriate 51:10	35:21	2:7,10 3:8 27:14
accompanied 4:11	affirmed 53:2	approximately	available 54:14	53:7
4:14 15:17,19	afraid 45:6 48:24	7:19,21 27:3	avoid 27:20	believe 6:14 7:3,8
17:6 28:2,13	aggravated 11:25	arbitrary 51:16	B	9:15 10:17 12:11
50:25 54:4,17	26:18 48:2	area 12:6 42:18,23		21:9 24:2,16
accompaniment	aggravating 17:1	47:16	<b>b</b> 1:15 2:3,9 3:7 53:6	26:12
3:14 6:9,12 9:13	31:5	arent 50:21,21		believed 6:11
9:24 10:1 13:10	ago 24:14 50:24	53:14,14,14 54:15	back 8:6 13:23 14:7	believes 4:16
14:6 16:21 17:5	agree 9:8 14:24	arguably 11:19	18:4 21:8 24:1	best 6:21
17:16 18:17 19:16	44:13	argue 23:21	55:24 had 44:10 50:7	better 49:11
19:16,20 22:13,17	agrees 13:22 14:12	argues 52:1	<b>bad</b> 44:10 50:7	<b>beyond</b> 18:12 47:21
23:9,17 24:3 25:7	26:9	<b>argument</b> 1:12 2:2	53:11 54:2	<b>big</b> 5:13
26:12 28:6 30:11	ahead 8:3	2:5,8 3:4,7 10:16	bag 29:14,15	blessed 33:18
33:3 43:23 48:9	air 5:25 6:1	27:13 50:24 51:1	ballpark 5:4	blesses 25:16
49:15,19 50:14	<b>akin</b> 6:9 16:22	53:6	bank 3:11,22 6:19	block 9:4
accompaniments	23:17	arises 45:11 51:12	8:14 9:1,3,9,14,21	<b>blow</b> 35:10
31:2 45:11 50:22	albeit 42:18	<b>armed</b> 30:18 31:1	9:21,23 10:2,11	branch 12:9
accompany 4:2,5,9	<b>alito</b> 10:3 20:1,7	35:14,21 37:12	11:23 12:9,14,23	<b>breaks</b> 49:5 50:6
4:18,24 5:15,15	21:1,16 22:11	49:4 50:6	15:3 18:12 24:8	breyer 13:18,24
5:24 6:4 13:19	43:17	arose 33:7	24:11,14,15 25:6	14:8,14 20:16
14:11 15:7,10,12	allege 25:22	arrest 27:20	25:21 26:15 27:4	21:7 23:19,24
16:1 21:23 27:19	<b>allow</b> 13:15 27:1	asheville 1:15	27:5,18 28:15	31:11 35:7,13
27:25 28:20 29:3	allows 18:17 24:4	asked 32:24 45:18	29:11,13,22 30:13	37:9 43:21 44:16
29:9 30:6 32:12	alternative 44:5	53:25	30:18,18,25 31:1	44:20,24 45:4
35:18 45:19,20	altogether 51:24	<b>asking</b> 5:12 33:17	31:14,14,15,16	breyers 35:3
51:2	ambiguity 5:15,23	34:4,8,10	32:10 33:12 35:3	<b>brian</b> 1:17 2:6
accompanying	amendment 17:11	asks 37:13 43:17	35:8,14,17 37:2	27:13
16:12 28:10 37:20	amiable 35:3	aspect 12:17	37:12 38:3 39:17	<b>brief</b> 7:5,25 9:21
39:21 40:5 51:5	<b>amicus</b> 39:11	assault 54:4,17	44:6 45:12 46:6	15:22 17:13 22:22

	ı		1	<u> </u>
25:8 26:10 33:2	23:5,23 25:2 26:8	32:24 33:16 34:1	companion 28:11	connotes 37:24
54:6	27:11 53:4,6,8	52:3,14 55:2	28:15	<b>consider</b> 10:9 21:11
<b>briefs</b> 10:19 24:12	carried 17:16 23:12	<b>chief</b> 3:3,9 8:12,22	comparable 17:4	23:3 31:9 44:1
39:11 50:14	carries 37:24	11:23 27:10,15	comparatively 32:9	52:24
brightline 6:14,17	case 3:4,22 6:1 7:18	28:9,19 29:10	<b>compel</b> 47:25	considered 22:25
<b>bring</b> 17:12	9:19 10:18 11:6	30:1,14 35:16	compelled 35:18	52:13
bringing 46:23	12:19,25,25 13:15	36:5,15,22 37:3,8	completely 30:12	considering 43:25
52:25	20:11 22:12 24:13	38:5,11,23 45:13	component 4:21	consistent 16:3,6
<b>broad</b> 28:4 36:2	24:21 26:5 29:1	45:24 46:7,17	5:16	38:19,24 42:16
48:13 51:11 53:12	33:4,15,22 35:2	47:1 49:6 53:3	computer 8:11	51:25
54:20	38:14 41:13 42:25	55:25	<b>concern</b> 31:15 44:8	contemplated
broader 23:11	46:4,18 49:13	<b>choice</b> 31:23 32:1	45:10 52:6,18	29:25
24:25	50:2 53:11,21	choosing 51:7	concerned 21:19	context 5:11,25 6:2
broadly 18:17	55:9,24 56:1,2	chose 28:3	36:12 39:15,19	18:1 20:19 23:7
55:18	cases 15:3 21:21	chrisman 51:4	concerns 43:15	25:3 28:12,14
brother 32:19	24:5,8 25:7,12	<b>circuit</b> 19:4,8,14	52:19	43:1 52:12 55:13
<b>building</b> 6:19,20	26:19 31:12,13,21	33:9,9,10 46:1	condition 5:14	contextual 8:12 9:8
8:16 10:11 21:13	32:9,17 33:1,1,5,7	<b>circuits</b> 33:7,11,18	<b>conduct</b> 3:15 6:5	continues 55:6
28:7 33:14	34:3 36:11 37:14	45:25 46:4 52:21	8:18 15:6 24:22	contrary 50:23
<b>buy</b> 7:5	39:13 44:6,19	circumstances 29:7	27:6 30:23 38:20	contrast 40:13
	46:9,16,20 47:5,8	30:5 31:5 52:24	38:22,24 47:23	convert 26:11
<u>C</u>	49:17 51:17 52:24	cite 16:11 47:18	48:2 50:12 51:11	converts 14:9
c 1:8,15,18 2:1 3:1	53:13,17 54:6,9	49:16	54:2 55:15	conviction 26:24
cabin 39:12	54:16 55:21	cited 9:20 25:7	confinement 13:22	55:23
calls 32:6	cash 12:4	cites 33:1 54:6	14:9,10 22:22	corner 48:7
cant 14:22 22:2	category 10:1	civil 42:18	24:5,6	<b>correct</b> 13:9,16
42:23 46:11 53:21	22:14	classic 46:24 47:17	conforming 17:11	19:4,7 36:22
53:21,22	causing 19:11	clear 16:11 30:24	confrontation 49:5	38:16 40:19 41:1
capital 39:21,25	42:12 43:8	clearly 25:9	50:6	45:23
car 9:3,4,10 14:16 14:17	certain 14:3 41:12	client 11:4 17:21	congress 3:15 5:20	correctly 48:1
card 41:17	certainly 15:23	21:2	6:5,8,11 8:19	corridor 14:21 51:5
	17:23 28:12 32:20		11:24 14:10,25	counsel 53:3 55:25
care 15:18 30:1,4 carpenter 1:15 2:3	45:4,8 46:2	code 16:1 23:11,18	15:8,24 16:1,20	count 13:10 18:14
_	cetera 54:5	college 51:5	16:24 21:21 22:6	25:21
1 2.0 2.6 7 0 25 1.6	1 • 4 10	0.00.00.00	00 16 05 00 0 16	1 10 11 00
2:9 3:6,7,9,25 4:6	chair 4:18	come 9:22 33:22	22:16,25 23:8,16	counter 12:14,22
4:13 5:2,7,17,22	<b>charge</b> 13:3 22:8	49:11	23:24 24:16,19,20	country 22:20
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18	<b>charge</b> 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13	49:11 <b>comes</b> 29:11,13	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17	country 22:20 44:25
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19	49:11 <b>comes</b> 29:11,13 48:18	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15 9:17 10:6,17 11:1	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19 46:9,23 51:22	49:11 <b>comes</b> 29:11,13 48:18 <b>coming</b> 8:8 31:25	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25 30:17,20 35:25	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22 49:13
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15 9:17 10:6,17 11:1 11:5,10,15,20	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19 46:9,23 51:22 52:13	49:11 <b>comes</b> 29:11,13 48:18 <b>coming</b> 8:8 31:25 48:23	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25 30:17,20 35:25 36:9,12 37:17,18	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22 49:13 course 3:19 9:12,13
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15 9:17 10:6,17 11:1 11:5,10,15,20 12:8,16 13:6,16	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19 46:9,23 51:22 52:13 charged 19:5,11,18	49:11 comes 29:11,13 48:18 coming 8:8 31:25 48:23 commission 9:24	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25 30:17,20 35:25 36:9,12 37:17,18 39:2,6,14,19,20	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22 49:13 course 3:19 9:12,13 12:22 25:23 40:16
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15 9:17 10:6,17 11:1 11:5,10,15,20 12:8,16 13:6,16 13:21 14:8,24	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19 46:9,23 51:22 52:13 charged 19:5,11,18 21:9 25:9 32:20	49:11 comes 29:11,13 48:18 coming 8:8 31:25 48:23 commission 9:24 36:18	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25 30:17,20 35:25 36:9,12 37:17,18 39:2,6,14,19,20 41:5,7,10 42:12	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22 49:13 course 3:19 9:12,13 12:22 25:23 40:16 court 1:1,12 3:10
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15 9:17 10:6,17 11:1 11:5,10,15,20 12:8,16 13:6,16 13:21 14:8,24 15:8,20 16:9,17	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19 46:9,23 51:22 52:13 charged 19:5,11,18 21:9 25:9 32:20 33:4,15 46:5	49:11 comes 29:11,13 48:18 coming 8:8 31:25 48:23 commission 9:24 36:18 committing 27:19	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25 30:17,20 35:25 36:9,12 37:17,18 39:2,6,14,19,20 41:5,7,10 42:12 43:3,15 48:1,13	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22 49:13 course 3:19 9:12,13 12:22 25:23 40:16 court 1:1,12 3:10 5:24 7:2,2,3 12:24
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15 9:17 10:6,17 11:1 11:5,10,15,20 12:8,16 13:6,16 13:21 14:8,24 15:8,20 16:9,17 17:10,23 18:1	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19 46:9,23 51:22 52:13 charged 19:5,11,18 21:9 25:9 32:20 33:4,15 46:5 charges 38:9 44:25	49:11 comes 29:11,13 48:18 coming 8:8 31:25 48:23 commission 9:24 36:18 committing 27:19 common 22:20,21	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25 30:17,20 35:25 36:9,12 37:17,18 39:2,6,14,19,20 41:5,7,10 42:12 43:3,15 48:1,13 50:9 51:7,17	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22 49:13 course 3:19 9:12,13 12:22 25:23 40:16 court 1:1,12 3:10 5:24 7:2,2,3 12:24 18:10 19:22 21:17
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15 9:17 10:6,17 11:1 11:5,10,15,20 12:8,16 13:6,16 13:21 14:8,24 15:8,20 16:9,17 17:10,23 18:1 19:1,3,13 20:4,10	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19 46:9,23 51:22 52:13 charged 19:5,11,18 21:9 25:9 32:20 33:4,15 46:5 charges 38:9 44:25 52:25 55:20	49:11 comes 29:11,13 48:18 coming 8:8 31:25 48:23 commission 9:24 36:18 committing 27:19 common 22:20,21 28:1,12 37:19	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25 30:17,20 35:25 36:9,12 37:17,18 39:2,6,14,19,20 41:5,7,10 42:12 43:3,15 48:1,13 50:9 51:7,17 connotation 28:19	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22 49:13 course 3:19 9:12,13 12:22 25:23 40:16 court 1:1,12 3:10 5:24 7:2,2,3 12:24 18:10 19:22 21:17 25:16 27:16 29:3
4:13 5:2,7,17,22 6:13,21 7:8,13,18 7:24 8:4,15 9:7,15 9:17 10:6,17 11:1 11:5,10,15,20 12:8,16 13:6,16 13:21 14:8,24 15:8,20 16:9,17 17:10,23 18:1	charge 13:3 22:8 25:15 27:8 38:13 38:15,18,19 44:19 46:9,23 51:22 52:13 charged 19:5,11,18 21:9 25:9 32:20 33:4,15 46:5 charges 38:9 44:25	49:11 comes 29:11,13 48:18 coming 8:8 31:25 48:23 commission 9:24 36:18 committing 27:19 common 22:20,21	23:24 24:16,19,20 25:24 26:13,17 28:3 29:21,25 30:17,20 35:25 36:9,12 37:17,18 39:2,6,14,19,20 41:5,7,10 42:12 43:3,15 48:1,13 50:9 51:7,17	country 22:20 44:25 couple 31:16 33:22 49:13 course 3:19 9:12,13 12:22 25:23 40:16 court 1:1,12 3:10 5:24 7:2,2,3 12:24 18:10 19:22 21:17

				<del>-</del>
51:2,14,19 52:3,9	13:2,5,18 20:1,3,4	18:20	distinguishes 24:8	27:8,17 28:4
52:17 53:1,9 54:5	21:11 34:6,8,10	determine 34:3	distress 21:22	30:21,22 36:23
55:6,10,23	34:13,15 37:14	43:18	<b>district</b> 7:2 18:9	38:9 44:19 46:5
courtroom 12:10	42:1,5,21,24	determined 5:23	19:22 25:13 54:12	49:14 54:15 55:23
courts 16:3 17:12	43:10,14,18,19,21	determining 21:9	disturbing 32:6	earlier 18:4 21:8
25:13 28:24 36:25	44:1	deterrence 30:24	doesnt 9:14 11:14	43:23 50:19
39:11 54:12	death 17:7,8,10,19	dictionary 14:12	15:16 22:1 26:21	easily 25:10 50:25
cover 16:2 28:8	18:15,19 19:1,2,4	<b>didnt</b> 10:25 13:1	28:21 29:18 31:19	easy 20:11 55:9
53:13,17	19:11,17 23:10,13	17:1,3,4 18:24,24	38:17 50:3 55:2	effectuate 6:22
covered 4:17 8:18	40:8,10,14,17,23	28:5 30:1,4 35:2	55:10	10:23 20:13
10:10 14:18,20,21	41:7,12,21	37:18,19	dont 4:21 5:16 6:13	egregious 54:7,16
39:2 42:20	december 1:9	died 10:24 18:8	6:16 7:6 9:25	either 32:1 42:23
covering 36:24	decide 41:25 42:3	difference 8:24	12:3,10,16 13:19	49:20 51:24
covers 37:10	<b>decided</b> 39:6 50:9	13:25	14:19,22 15:20,22	element 26:13
crafting 43:13	54:10	different 4:4 7:5,23	15:23 16:18 20:3	34:21
crime 47:12	deciding 43:3	8:13,18 9:5 10:12	20:20,22 21:16	elements 23:25
crimes 23:9	decision 46:1 50:12	21:14,15 27:22	23:4,21 31:7	<b>eleventh</b> 33:9,11
criminal 20:15	decisions 52:4	37:18	33:12,13 34:7,12	46:1
42:23 43:1 44:25	default 25:19	difficult 39:8,9	34:15,16,19 36:25	eligible 23:10 40:9
55:14	defendant 9:2	43:20 51:15	37:9 38:8 40:24	elses 20:21
criticisms 45:5	19:12 55:15	dillinger 24:12,23	40:24 41:16,16,16	elstad 28:25
criticized 43:23	defendants 16:22	dinner 4:3	42:2,6,16,22 43:9	emotional 21:22
cross 23:19,20,21	38:22	direct 47:24 49:22	44:21 45:7,23	employee 24:14
28:7	defined 20:5	directed 29:2	46:2,12,22 47:2,2	employees 9:22
culpability 16:22	definition 11:14	directly 11:8 18:7	47:3,16 49:6 52:2	enacted 52:12
culpable 30:23	14:12 22:21 33:3	directs 48:20	52:2,13,20,22	encompass 35:14
current 12:18	definitions 28:10	<b>disagree</b> 7:11,13	door 7:25 8:6,9	encompasses 36:2
17:21,24 41:2	degree 39:5	15:21 16:18 26:9	dorm 51:6,6	37:21 50:15,17
customers 31:17	demand 47:19,20	44:12	doubt 11:6 48:11	encountered 29:1
32:3	demonstrate 50:15	disavowing 55:5	55:8	endangering 16:24
	departing 39:12	discretion 23:10	<b>draft</b> 15:5 51:13	enhanced 30:23
D	52:23	33:16 34:2 44:6	drafted 36:1 39:20	51:9 54:15
<b>d</b> 1:8,18 3:1 16:23	department 1:18	44:18 52:14 54:24	51:18	enhancement 31:8
16:24 25:9 26:17	departments 25:20	discussed 24:12	drafting 24:24	38:3
26:18 30:18	depend 21:24	discussion 36:4,9	dragging 39:17	ensure 3:21 27:8
danger 22:8 26:5	<b>describe</b> 29:7 37:1	disguise 14:16	draw 39:8,9 55:9	entirely 20:12
26:16,17,21 39:5	46:20 51:3	<b>distance</b> 4:25 9:5	drawing 55:8	22:19
49:1 50:5	described 31:7	10:9 12:7 15:11	dreeben 50:23	envisions 9:13
dangerous 48:15	50:22 54:7	16:2,5,12 20:9	dressed 29:3	equivalent 22:25
dangerousness	description 36:24	21:12,25 28:8	<b>drive</b> 36:11	escalated 26:19
26:11,21	designated 25:25	33:13 36:14 38:10		<b>esq</b> 1:15,17 2:3,6,9
dangers 39:14 51:9	designed 3:14	43:10 54:8,18	<u>E</u>	essentially 28:25
51:12	destination 5:4	distances 44:9	e 2:1 3:1,1,14 6:7	established 30:20
davis 54:10	<b>deter</b> 50:10,12	45:12	11:7,25 16:20,21	et 54:4
de 7:1,6,12,14 10:7	51:10	distinguish 24:2,4	19:15 23:8 25:15	event 50:6
10:15,19,20,22,25	determinations	32:8	25:21 26:1,5,22	everyday 28:1
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

37:21	far 49:10,14,18	52:9	54:6 55:1	<b>good</b> 23:15 30:9
evidence 18:13	fbis 47:12	flight 27:7	friendly 28:22	33:21 45:21
19:23 44:23 46:8	fear 22:7 32:11,11	<b>flip</b> 10:19 54:19	frightened 11:3	gosh 46:10
51:21,23 52:20	42:12 43:6,11	floor 14:17 48:8	48:20	government 3:16
evident 16:7	44:2	flows 55:18	frightening 48:22	4:16 7:24 8:5
exact 17:16	federal 22:18 23:25	focus 34:22	front 7:25 8:5,9	11:7 12:18 13:21
exactly 20:17 30:2	<b>feet</b> 5:9 6:15 7:16	focuses 28:20	25:1	14:12 18:6,18
39:14 45:14	7:17,19,25 8:5,9	foolish 5:19,21	further 7:2 10:8	25:5,17 26:9
<b>example</b> 4:9,15,17	8:13,14,15 10:22	<b>foot</b> 32:13	49:2 50:4 53:1	37:16 46:22 53:12
4:25 9:20 15:16	11:23 12:4 13:2	force 3:12 26:14	55:22	54:1 55:5,7,10
24:23 35:13 50:19	14:1,16,21 20:11	34:22 47:25 50:4		governments 9:21
<b>examples</b> 33:2 54:7	20:22 28:7 29:15	55:12	G	15:9 25:11 26:25
<b>exception</b> 34:6,9,11	31:19 32:3 33:23	<b>forced</b> 3:14 6:8	<b>g</b> 3:1	33:16 54:5,19
excitement 43:8	35:20 36:19 38:7	11:4 13:10 15:6	<b>garbage</b> 5:19,19	<b>grabs</b> 29:12
exclude 34:20	38:15,23 39:8	16:21 17:15 18:17	general 1:18 42:24	great 21:22 39:4
39:13	41:9 45:20 54:3	19:9,15,16,20	getaway 24:11	greater 22:13,14
excluded 34:16	54:22	21:22 22:17 23:8	getting 22:3	27:17 28:16 31:2
excludes 51:13	fell 27:24	24:3 25:7 27:22	ginsburg 12:25	51:9
exercise 33:15 34:2	<b>felony</b> 18:10 19:23	27:24 28:6 30:11	13:8 15:15 18:21	greatest 49:1,1
54:24	<b>find</b> 7:12 42:6	31:2 33:3 34:21	19:2,3,10 26:3,8	ground 31:24
explains 37:16	<b>finding</b> 6:23 19:5	34:24 38:14 41:8	34:17	<b>guard</b> 26:5 49:4
exposes 39:4	20:14	45:11,19 46:24	give 39:14 41:7	guess 34:4,7 35:19
extent 45:11 52:19	<b>fine</b> 45:16	48:14 49:15,19	43:20 46:20 52:11	41:3 43:5
extenuating 30:5	first 4:1 5:22 6:24	50:1,14 51:8 54:8	52:17	guidance 34:1
extort 35:22	7:10 8:6 10:7,14	forces 3:18 9:22	given 15:1	guidelines 11:18
extra 51:22	13:7 14:11 19:20	15:10 27:18 39:3	<b>gives</b> 18:6 27:7 55:19	25:12,20 30:3
extreme 3:15 6:5	26:12 29:16,22,23	forcible 36:20,24	<b>go</b> 4:3 7:2 8:3,25	31:4 37:7,7 38:2
8:20 26:2	32:24 39:20 40:3	37:11 38:15	10:8 11:4,13,18	47:11 54:10,11
extremely 43:16	42:15 50:13 53:9	forcibly 35:18	13:15 14:13,19,21	55:2,2
	firsttime 27:4	36:17 37:4 38:6	18:4 21:7 24:1	guilt 41:19
face 52:8 55:16	five 29:12	47:21	27:22 28:10 35:24	guilty 32:1,18 45:1
facilitate 9:23	fleeing 9:12 40:23	<b>forcing</b> 30:6 <b>foremost</b> 6:25 40:4	39:4 44:17 45:7	45:5,6 46:11,18
fact 11:3,10 18:7	<b>fletcher</b> 1:17 2:6 27:12,13,15 28:18	42:15	45:22 48:21 53:15	46:19 47:2,5,7,9 51:22
33:14,16 38:21	29:20 30:8,15	fortuity 48:6,12	goes 9:21 13:23	gun 16:25 26:20
41:11 46:15 53:20	32:22 33:20,24	<b>found</b> 10:13 42:25	32:24 47:21	29:12 39:16 45:15
factfinder 6:24	34:7,12,18 35:11	four 27:3 33:1,7	going 5:4 6:14 8:16	48:8,19,19 49:8
factor 17:1	35:25 36:7,21	45:25 53:4	9:10 12:6,7,11	49:10,13,20,23
factors 10:9 21:19	37:6,17 38:8,16	<b>fourth</b> 19:4,8,9,14	15:5 26:15 27:1	guy 46:10 49:8,9
25:13 27:6 54:12	38:25 39:23 40:1	framed 31:4	29:17,23,24 30:25	guy 40.10 47.0,7
facts 46:20 53:10	40:19 41:1,10,18	frames 48:13	33:20,20,21 36:7	H
53:11,13	41:24 42:9,14,21	framing 28:4	43:4 44:18 46:9	<b>h</b> 1:17 2:6 27:13
fall 33:2	42:24 43:12 44:12	frequently 11:24	46:11 48:12,19,20	<b>hadnt</b> 29:4
false 22:23	44:21 45:3,10,23	friend 32:25 34:1,8	48:22,23,24,25	half 6:12 12:10
familiar 45:9	46:14,19 47:5	34:14,22 39:11	49:3,21,23 54:20	hall 28:13
fanciful 35:18	48:11 49:12 52:2	40:7 44:16 50:19	55:16	<b>hallway</b> 8:8,10
	•	•	•	•

	Ì	Ì	1	Ì
53:25	53:21	incorporate 23:25	18:10 19:8,14,22	40:11 41:11,15,16
hand 9:2 24:5 32:8	honor 3:25 4:6 5:2	37:18	51:25 52:5	41:21,24 42:2
45:15	5:17 7:8 34:18	increased 15:2	interpreting 52:10	43:17,20
handful 23:12	horrible 6:11	indication 16:14,15	intimidation 3:13	justice 1:18 3:3,9
hands 55:11	<b>hostage</b> 6:20 14:15	52:22	26:14	3:23 4:2,10,18,20
<b>happen</b> 48:12 54:2	hostages 36:13	indications 16:19	invaded 27:21	5:6,12,18,22 6:10
happened 10:20	house 8:1 28:3 29:2	<b>indict</b> 31:24	53:21	6:16 7:4,11,17,20
14:4,5 26:4 43:9	human 36:12 39:16	<b>indicted</b> 18:8 19:21	involve 13:1 25:10	7:21,22 8:2,12,22
53:24	hypothesize 50:2	indictment 19:6	46:24 47:16 49:15	9:11,16 10:3,14
happens 9:1 11:24	hypothetical 9:18	indirectly 18:18	<b>involved</b> 20:9 22:15	10:24 11:2,5,9,12
12:9 24:20	9:19 29:23 52:16	individual 34:3	28:25 49:17	11:17,21,23,23
happenstance	hypotheticals	52:24	<b>involves</b> 38:4 47:18	12:3,12,25 13:8
53:23	51:14	individualized	involving 50:10	13:11,13,18,24
hard 32:8 36:10		38:21	irrelevant 52:7	14:8,14 15:4,15
43:16 51:16	I	induced 43:6	isnt 3:20,21,23 4:4	15:16 16:7,10,17
harm 55:17	id 49:25	ineligible 17:18	4:5 13:18,22	17:3,20,25 18:5
harmful 54:21	idea 20:19 24:22	inevitably 51:17	14:18 31:14,15	18:21 19:2,3,10
hasnt 37:13 45:17	35:17	inform 52:5	45:1 55:5	20:1,7,16 21:1,7
46:3	<b>ignore</b> 34:22	initial 19:6	isolation 5:24	21:16 22:11,24
havent 45:8	ill 35:9	injecting 32:11	issue 19:11 38:20	23:4,6,19,23
head 14:3 35:10	<b>im</b> 5:12,13 8:2 15:4	innocent 50:10	38:24 49:18 50:16	24:18 25:20 26:3
39:16	18:21 34:4,10	inoperable 51:24	51:4 53:18	26:8 27:10,15
hear 3:3	35:7 36:21 41:18	inquiry 9:9 52:15	iteration 12:18	28:9,19 29:10
heart 10:24 24:16	45:8,19 46:9,11	inside 10:21 11:23	ive 12:4 45:21	30:1,14 31:10,11
24:19 26:7 43:8	47:6	25:6 54:3		33:19 34:4,10,17
heartland 36:11	imagine 35:11	insignificant 20:6	J	35:1,2,7,9,13,16
39:18	impetus 37:23	insist 6:16,17	<b>john</b> 24:11,23	36:5,15,22 37:3,8
heavier 22:12	implied 7:9	<b>instance</b> 13:7 37:8	joined 50:23	37:9 38:5,11,23
heightened 53:17	implies 15:13	51:11	joshua 1:15 2:3,9	39:20,24 40:16,21
held 18:14 19:24	<b>important</b> 33:6,25	<b>instruct</b> 6:23 10:5,6	3:7 53:6	41:3,14,18,23
40:20	54:25	instruction 13:14	judge 13:5,6 18:24	42:1,10,17,22
hes 32:14,19 34:24	impose 21:20	21:8 24:3 52:23	20:2	43:4,17,21 44:10
44:22 45:15,15,16	<b>imposed</b> 25:13	instructions 20:5	judges 31:3,9	44:11,16,20,24
45:16,18 55:16	54:12	41:15	judgment 33:21	45:4,13,24 46:7
higher 30:4	imposing 40:17	instrument 32:17	42:11 48:2 51:8	46:17 47:1 48:5
highlight 30:10	imprisonment	32:18	53:2	49:6 51:20 52:6
33:6,25	22:23 40:14	intended 23:24	jumping 26:17	53:3,10,15 55:25
highlights 36:10	improper 4:7	25:24 43:3	juries 20:14 42:6	justified 3:20,23
history 23:3	inch 44:7	intensive 15:18	jurisprudence	4:1
<b>hold</b> 11:8 18:7,18	incidental 24:6	intent 18:11,11,12	17:13	justify 8:20 22:8
holding 39:16	include 24:25 25:21	interpret 53:16	jury 6:23 7:3 10:5,6	55:10
holmes 53:10	28:5 47:6 51:18	interpretation	10:9 13:4,4,10,14	K
home 7:16 8:13	includes 39:7 40:5	11:21 15:9 32:7	13:15 18:13,19	
9:22,23 10:21	including 12:17	interpretative 52:7	19:10,24 20:2,2,5	<b>kagan</b> 10:14 24:18
20:12,21,21 21:13	inconsequential	52:10	20:18 21:8,9,17	31:10 33:19 34:4
24:7,15 27:21	42:3,8,19	interpreted 6:2	23:11 24:4,4	34:10 39:20,24
	1	1		1

lectern 4:15   12:21	41:3	looves 20.12 15	D.#	minerun 48:3	movements 16.5
Remedy   11.9, 12, 17   50.20, 24 51:1   left 31:3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11:21   13:13   15:4	1 0			, ,	
41:14,18,23 51:20   legislative 23:3   lenity 5:14 32:6   skidnap 14:15   stidnap ping 16:13   22:18,21,25 23:14   lewis 54:10   lie 4:17   23:17,20,25 24:9   37:19 40:22   lie 14:17   26:20 40:14   lie 14:17   26:20 40:14   likelihood 22:14   likes 34:14,22 40:8   32:6 42:12 44:2   disting 16:15   skidns 18:19 25:5   kinds 18:19 25:5   limited 47:3,16   limiting 38:9   limited 47:3,16   limiting 38:9   limited 23:30:10 22:24,225 23:1,45   22:24,25 23:1,45   22:11,71,72 2:10,10   22:24,25 23:14,5   23:16 23:20   40:24 2:24 43:9   40:24 42:24 43:9   47:18   43:19 26:20   40:10 46:10 55:13   35:18,911   10oked 12:5   11:17 13:19 16:10   37:13 10 locked 12:5   long 16:5,12 37:24   40:23 42:23 43:9   40:24 22:22 43:9   40:24 22:24 43:9   42:14   43:22   21:15   locked 12:5   long 16:5,12 37:24   42:24   43:22   43:20 37:19   42:20,25 45:25   33:11   20:17 23:11   looking 5:23 7:10   42:20,25 45:25   11:18 12:19 21:18   aughter 35:15   law 22:18,20 37:19   42:20,25 45:25   13:31 1   20:17 23:11   looking 5:23 7:10   42:20,25 45:25   13:31 120   lots 21:24 45:5   long 6:23 23:14 23:14   22:94 6:15   long 4:48:7   lots 10 and 22:18   looking 5:23 7:10   42:20,25 45:25   13:31 120   lots 21:24 45:5   long 6:51:14   22:94 6:15   long 4:48:7   lots 10 and 22:23   lots 21:24   lots 21:24   lots 21:24   lots 22:14   looking 5:23 7:10   lit 81:21 22:18   looking 5:23 7:10   lit 81:22 22:18   lit 6:22 32:11   looking 5:23 7:10   lit 6:22 32:14   looking 5:23 7:10   lit 6:22 32:14   looking 5:23 7:10   lit 6:23 32:14   looking 5:23 7:10   lit 6		,			
Solution			· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	′
Making   14:15   S5:12   Siddnap   14:15   Siser   32:16   S	· · ·	<u> </u>		, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			C		
22:18,21,25 23:14 23:17,20,25 24:9 killing 6:7 17:16 18:3,6,9,23 19:7 19:15,21,25 23:9 kind 24:15 25:4 32:6 42:12 44:2 44:22 46:13 kinds 18:19 25:5 knife 16:25 26:20 49:20,24 know 5:19 7:6 9:4 12:16,23 14:11,19 16:24 20:16,23 12:11,717 22:10,16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:61 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:11 28:3 36:2 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 20:17 23:11 20:17 23:11 20:17 23:11 20:17 23:11 20:3 37:4 38:13 20:17 23:11 20:17 25:14 30:10.20 31:23 32:5 36:1 40:14 30:10.20 31:23 32:5 36:1 40:14 30:10.20 31:23 32:5 36:1 40:14 30:10.20 31:23 32:5 36:1 40:14 30:10.20 31:23 32:5 36:1 40:14 30:10.20 31:23 32:5 36:1 40:14 30:10.20 31:23 32:5 36:1,2 33:23 32:5 36:1,3 32:23 32:5 36:1,3 33:23 32:5 36:1,3 33:23 32:5 36:1,3 33:17 55:2 38:17 55:2 38:17 55:2 38:17 55:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:13 19:10 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 10:10 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:17 56:2 38:17 56:3 38:	_		· ·	, ,	
23:17,20,25 24:9   37:19 40:22   37:19 40:22   37:19 40:22   37:19 40:22   37:19 40:22   37:19 40:22   37:19 40:22   37:43 81.5,11,12   38:17 55:2   32:6 42:12 44:2   44:16   41:6 52 56:20   49:20,24   12:16,23 14:11,19   16:24 17:3,16   1mited 47:3,16   1mited 38:9   16:24 20:16,23 14:11,19   16:24 20:16,23 14:11,19   16:24 20:16,23 14:11,19   16:24 20:16,23 12:13 35:8,9,11   1mited 22:24,25 23:1,4,5   39:10   22:24,25 23:1,4,5   32:13 35:3,23 36:2   40:24 42:24 43:2   40:22 42:22 43:9   44:56 43:4   10magra 42:4   21:15 25:11   10magra 42:4   10magra 42:4   10magra 42:1   20:3 37:4 38:13   42:19   13:19 16:10   10:12   20:17 23:11   10oking 5:23 7:10   11:18 12:19 21:18   1auy 48:7   1auy	11 0			, ,	
Signature   Sign		S	*	,	
			_		
18:3,6,9,23 19:7 19:15,21,25 23:9 18ikelihood 22:14 18ikes 34:14,22 40:8 32:6 42:12 44:2 44:22 46:13 18imit 30:17 55:3 18imit 61:625 26:20 49:20,24 12:16,23 14:11,19 16:24 20:16,23 21:17,17 22:10,16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:6,16 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:6,16 25:16 18imit 30:17 55:3 18imer 17:12 39:9,9 16:24 20:16,23 23:13 40:13 18ine 23:20,21,22 37:18 39:21 40:4 18ine 17:12 39:9,9 18ine 23:20,21,22 39:10 18ine 33:10 18ine 44:3 18ine 17:12 39:9,9 18ine 34:12 8:25 11:17 13:19 16:10 18ine 33:20,21,22 39:10 18ine 51:3 18ine 34:14,22 40:8 38:17 55:2 38:17 55:2 38:17 55:2 38inimimum 57:1 10:7 38inimimus 7:1 0:7 38:10:10 38:17 52:2 37:18 39:24 44:8 45:14 45:14 45:14					,
19:15,21,25 23:9   kind 24:15 25:4   likes 34:14,22 40:8   44:16   limit 30:17 55:3   limitation 39:7   limited 47:3,16   limits 25:4   line 17:12 39:9,9   f16:24 20:16,23   22:24,25 23:1,4,5   23:6,16 25:16   lines 23:20,21,22   35:1,25 36:2   40:22 42:22 43:9   45:7,21 49:16   Language 9:17   Language 9:17   Language 9:17   17:11 28:5 36:2   37:18 39:21 40:4   43:22   large 38:13   law 22:18, 20 37:19   42:20,25 45:25   law 22:18   law 48:7   lead 33:20   lots 21:24 45:5   lots	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Sind 24:15 25:4   32:6 42:12 44:2   44:16   38:17 55:2	2 2 2				
32:6 42:12 44:2   44:16	· · ·				muraerer 40:12
Adv. 22 46:13   limit 30:17 55:3   limited 47:3,16   materially 48:15,15   matter 1:11 56:3   matter 42:19   maximum 26:19   maximum 37:1   10:7   miniscule 44:9   minitus 21:7   matter 42:19   minitus 18:22   maximum 26:19   maximum 26:19   minitus 18:22   maximum 26:19   minitus 22:18   motifies 15:11   motifies 15:1					N
kinds 18:19 25:5 knife 16:25 26:20 49:20,24 know 5:19 7:6 9:4 12:16,23 14:11,19 16:24 20:16,23 21:17,17 22:10,16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:6,16 25:16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:6,16 25:16 35:1,23,25 36:2 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4  Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 1arge 38:13 large 38:13 large 17:12 39:19 1aw 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 1ooking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 1ooking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 1ooking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 1ooking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 1ay 48:7 1ead 33:20  Imintet 11:1 56:3 matters 42:19 maximum 26:19 30:16,19 54:13 maximums 54:15 mean 4:21 8:25 mean 4:21 8:25 mean 4:21 8:25 11:17 13:19 16:10 19:11 20:7,8,17 20:19 24:18,22 29:16 39:24 41:6 43:6,18 46:14 meaning 18:16 27:25 37:21 40:2 40:5 42:2 52:12 meanings 5:10 means 5:15 14:13 20:13 37:4 38:13 49:23 55:3 meant 4:21 9:7 nature 31:7 32:5 38:20 nature 31:7 32:5 38:20 naters 32:18 minute 18:22 mororing 3:4 motifies 15:11 money 29:12,14,15 49:9  45:14 necessarily 12:7 nece				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Imited 47:3,16   Imit			•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
49:20,24 know 5:19 7:6 9:4					· ·
maximum 26:19   maximum 26:10   maximum 26:19   maximum 26:19   maximum 26:19   maximum 26:10   maximum 26:1		,			
12:16,23 14:11,19   16:24 20:16,23   21:17,17 22:10,16   22:24,25 23:1,4,5   23:6,16 25:16   28:13 29:25 32:1   35:15,23 25:36:2   47:18   1ite 18:16 44:4   1ving 29:4   10cation 10:12   21:15   17:11 28:5 36:2   37:18 39:21 40:4   43:22   1argu 38:13   1arry 1:3   1arry 1:3   1arry 1:3   1aw 22:18,20 37:19   42:20,25 45:25   53:11   1wa 22:18,20 37:19   42:20,25 45:25   53:11   1wa 22:18,20 37:19   42:20,25 45:25   53:11   1wa 22:18,20 37:10   1ay 48:7   lead 33:20   lots 21:24 45:5   lots 31:20   lots 21:24 45:5   lots 31:20   lots 21:24 45:17   lots 21:10 45:17   lots 21:24 45:17   lots 21:10 45:17   lots 21:24 45:17   lots 21:10   lots 21:24 45:5		<u> </u>	<b>maximum</b> 26:19		
16:24 20:16,23 21:17,17 22:10,16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:6,16 25:16 28:13 29:25 30:1 35:1,23,25 36:2 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4  Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 large 38:13 large 48:7 language 9:17 17:18 18:12 54:16 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 law 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 look 21:24 45:5 lo			30:16,19 54:13		· ·
21:17,17 22:10,16 22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:6,16 25:16 28:13 29:25 32:1 35:1,23,25 36:2 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4  Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 Language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 10ok 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 10oking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:9 46:15 10t 31:20 Language 9:17 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 Language 9:17 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 Locked 12:5 Language 9:17 11:18 12:19 21:18 20:19 24:18,22 29:16 39:24 41:6 43:6,18 46:14 meaning 18:16 27:25 37:21 40:2 40:5 42:25 52:12 meanings 5:10 means 5:15 14:13 20:3 37:4 38:13 49:23 35:3 49:20 32:2 48:9,14,16 49:18 50:1 53:20 10ok 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 10oking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:9 46:15 11:17 13:19 16:10 19:11 20:7,8,17 20:19 24:18,22 29:16 39:24 41:6 43:6,18 46:14 meaning 18:16 27:25 37:21 40:2 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:5 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:25 52:12 40:5 42:4 52:4 42:14 43:22 42:14 43:22 42:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· ·
22:24,25 23:1,4,5 23:6,16 25:16 28:13 29:25 32:1 35:1,23,25 36:2 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4  L language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 47:18 21:15 locked 12:5 long 16:5,12 37:24 42:14 longer 4:24 43:22 large 38:13 large 38:13 large 38:13 large 38:13 large 13:1 large 38:13 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 look 5:10 3:20 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 look 5:10 3:20 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 look 5:10 3:20 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 look 5:10 3:20 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 look 5:10 3:20 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 look 5:10 3:20 look 5:1			mean 4:21 8:25		
23:6,16 25:16, 28:13 29:25 32:1 35:1,23,25 36:2 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4  Listen 5:13 little 18:16 44:4 living 29:4 location 10:12 21:15 locked 12:5 locked 12:5 long 16:5,12 37:24 42:14 43:22 large 38:13 larry 1:3 larry 1:3 laughter 35:15 law 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 looking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 lead 33:20 loss 21:24 45:5 listen 5:13 littenature 45:9 47:18 little 18:16 44:4 living 29:4 location 10:12 21:15 locked 12:5 locked 12:5 long 16:5,12 37:24 domain 18:16 27:25 37:21 40:2 40:5 42:2 52:12 meaning 5:10 means 5:15 14:13 20:3 37:4 38:13 49:23 55:3 meant 35:13 41:5,7 51:18 mechanism 11:7 mentioned 55:1 merited 30:23 met 8:7 29:5 middle 30:12 mile 6:12 mind 24:22 32:11 lots 21:24 45:5 lot 31:20 lots 21:24 45:5 lot 31:20 lots 21:24 45:5 lot 41:8 little 18:16 64:4 motion 6:24 13:8 move 33:12,13 37:13 38:7,14 41:8 47:25 48:7,9 48:9,14,16 49:18 50:1 53:25 moveed 10:10 12:15 locwed 10:10 12:15 locwed 10:10 12:15 locked 10:16 socitur 6:8 7:10 little 18:16 62:5 little 18:16 44:4 living 29:4 location 10:12 21:15 locked 12:5 locked 12:5 long 16:5,12 37:24 longer 4:24 longer 4:24 longer 4:24 loss 20:14 8:22 29:16 39:24 41:6 43:6,18 46:14 meaning 18:16 27:25 37:21 40:2 40:5 42:2 52:12 meanings 5:10 means 5:15 14:13 20:3 37:4 38:13 49:23 55:3 meant 35:13 41:5,7 51:18 mechanism 11:7 mentioned 55:1 merited 30:23 locked 12:5 locked 12:5 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 little 18:16 44:4 living 29:4 locked 12:5 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 little 18:16 44:4 living 29:4 locked 12:5 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 longer 4:24 longer 4:24 longer 4:24 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 little 18:16 6:12 mine 13:2 minth 33:10 nonaggravated 30:17 nonde 10:16 28:4 10:18 47:25 48:7,9 48:9,14,16 49:18 50:1 53:25 movement 4:16 5:8 6:25 7:1,15 8:9 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:8,21 11:22 10:10 24:6 25:6 25:10,23 27:24 10:24 12:10 10:20 32:11 10:20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
28:13 29:25 32:1 35:1,23,25 36:2 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4  L living 29:4 location 10:12 21:15 locked 12:5 long 16:5,12 37:24 42:14 longer 4:24 longstanding 29:6 low 5:10 7:14 8:4 longstanding 29:6 low 5:10 7:14 8:4 lose 5:3 11 looking 5:23 7:10 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 loked 33:20 large 33:20 large 43:2 large 33:20 large 43:2 large 33:20 lose 21:24 45:5 lose 32:24 41:6 lose 21:24 41:6 los			19:11 20:7,8,17		
35:1,23,25 36:2 40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4  L location 10:12 21:15 locked 12:5 long 16:5,12 37:24 43:22 large 38:13 large 13:1 large 38:13 large 38:13 large 38:13 large 13:1 large 38:13 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 living 29:6 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 living 29:6 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 look 6:15 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 living 29:6 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 look 6:25 7:1,15 8:9 look 6:2				S	
40:22 42:22 43:9 45:7,21 49:16 55:4    Language 9:17			29:16 39:24 41:6		
10.21   10.2	2 2	.,,	*	,	
10cation 10:12			S	,	
L				,	
L   locked 12:5   long 16:5,12 37:24   42:14   43:22   large 38:13   larry 1:3   laughter 35:15   law 22:18,20 37:19   42:20,25 45:25   53:11   locking 5:23 7:10   lay 48:7   lead 33:20   leave 42:23   large 32:14   locked 12:5   locked 10:10 12:15   locked 10:10 12	55:4		40:5 42:2 52:12	, , ,	
language 9:17 17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 large 38:13 larry 1:3 laughter 35:15 law 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 leave 42:2 long 16:5,12 37:24 42:14 long 16:5,12 37:24 42:14 longer 4:24 longstanding 29:6 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:3 37:4 38:13 49:23 55:3 meant 35:13 41:5,7 51:18 mechanism 11:7 mentioned 55:1 merited 30:23 met 8:7 29:5 middle 30:12 mile 6:12 mind 24:22 32:11 minds 25:1 mi	T.		meanings 5:10		
17:11 28:5 36:2 37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 large 38:13 larry 1:3 laughter 35:15 law 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 looking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 53:11 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 look 21:24 45:5 look 3:20 look 3:20 look 21:24 45:5 look 3:20 look 3:20 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 looking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:9 46:15 lot 31:20 lots 21:24 45:5 lot 31:20 lot					<u> </u>
37:18 39:21 40:4 43:22 large 38:13 larry 1:3 laughter 35:15 law 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 looking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:9 46:15 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 leave 42:2 look 5:10 7:14 8:4 11:16 15:25 17:13 20:17 23:11 looking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:9 46:15 lots 21:24 45:5 lots 21:25 33:3 lots 27:10 lots 21:25 7:1,15 8:9 lots 21:10 24:6 25:6 lots 21:10 2	0 0		20:3 37:4 38:13		
A3:22   longstanding 29:6   look 5:10 7:14 8:4   11:16 15:25 17:13   laughter 35:15   law 22:18,20 37:19   42:20,25 45:25   53:11   looking 5:23 7:10   lay 48:7   lead 33:20   lots 21:24 45:5   lots 21:24 45:					
large 38:13   look 5:10 7:14 8:4   11:16 15:25 17:13   20:17 23:11   looking 5:23 7:10   42:20,25 45:25   53:11   lay 48:7   lead 33:20   lots 21:24 45:5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
larry 1:3 laughter 35:15 law 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 looking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:9 46:15 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 loss 21:24 45:5 lots 21:24		0 0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
laughter 35:15 law 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 looking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:9 46:15 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 loss 21:24 45:5 lots					
law 22:18,20 37:19 42:20,25 45:25 53:11 looking 5:23 7:10 11:18 12:19 21:18 22:9 46:15 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 loss 21:24 45:5 lots 21:24 45:5 lot					
42:20,25 45:25 53:11 lay 48:7 lead 33:20 lots 21:24 45:5 lots 21:25 45:25 lots 21:25 45:25 lots 21:25 45:25 lots 21:26 45:25 lots 21:26 45:25 lots 21:27 45:25 lots 21:28 45:25 lots 21:29 46:15 lots	<u> </u>			,	
53:11  22:9 46:15  lay 48:7  lead 33:20  lots 21:24 45:5  lots 21:25 46:15  mind 24:22 32:11  minds 25:1  solid 12:35  42:7 43:19 46:24  47:22 49:22 50:15  51:3 53:19 54:3  21:19 28:6 32:23  36:10 44:19 45:1		<u> </u>			
lay 48:7   lot 31:20   mind 24:22 32:11   47:22 49:22 50:15   number 6:15,15   21:19 28:6 32:23   losy 42:2   lot 32:24   lot					
lead 33:20   lots 21:24 45:5   minds 25:1   51:3 53:19 54:3   21:19 28:6 32:23					•
logy 42:2 36:10 44:10 45:1	-				
16av6+22 $16avae40:11/10:17/1 + 6451 1.50:17/77.55:0 1.50:10.44:19.45:1$					
10 Wet 39.11 49.17   mine 54.21   34.17,22 33.4   30.10 1.115	1CAVC 42.2	lower 39:11 49:17	mine 54:21	54:17,22 55:4	JU.1U 44.17 4J.1
				<u> </u>	

				<del>-</del>
45:24,25 47:9	5:3,9 8:19 15:12	48:7 49:22,22	pocket 35:21	21:2,3,3,4,16 22:4
nurse 15:17	15:15,19,23,24	50:11	point 21:20 25:7	31:11,11 48:5
	27:25 52:11 55:20	percent 44:25	26:18 28:15 35:16	problems 54:23
0	oregon 28:24	perfect 37:12	40:8 43:22 44:4	55:8
o 2:1 3:1	original 17:14,15	perfectly 36:25	47:7 48:8 53:15	produce 51:15
objection 18:9	outside 6:19,20	permissible 15:21	54:5,25	prohibits 50:14
<b>obtain</b> 51:22	14:18	28:12	pointed 32:25	proper 4:5 13:14
obviously 9:13	overwhelming	permit 34:2	44:23 46:3 49:9	28:1 41:15
28:16 30:3 31:8	47:15	permits 38:21	55:7	properly 19:21
occurred 25:23		permitted 41:24	pointedly 14:10	proposed 51:14
offender 27:5	P	person 3:13 6:20	points 34:1 43:13	proposition 49:7
<b>offense</b> 3:11 6:7	<b>p</b> 3:1 56:2	9:9 10:10 12:20	49:13 54:1	prosecute 36:16
8:19 9:25 11:25	page 2:2 22:21	13:19,24 17:6	police 27:23 29:1	prosecuted 17:21
18:3,6,9 19:8,14	26:10 54:6	20:20 21:12,22,23	49:3 51:4	prosecution 55:19
19:22 26:1,14,18	pages 17:13 25:8	22:7,15 24:7,7	<b>polite</b> 35:14 37:12	prosecutor 25:22
26:22 27:6 30:11	33:1	27:19 28:2 31:25	<b>pop</b> 14:3	35:20 42:11 45:14
36:18,23 38:13,18	par 3:16 6:6	32:12 37:13 39:4	position 50:8	45:21
38:19 39:18,21,25	parnell 18:8 19:25	40:22 41:8 43:11	<b>possible</b> 5:10,13	prosecutorial
40:2 41:19,25	27:21,22	49:2,20 50:5,7	38:13 49:3	33:21 34:2 46:3,8
44:19 46:5,21	part 8:24 11:2 22:5	persons 7:16 10:21	postrobbery 27:6	52:17 54:24
50:11 54:14	33:24 38:17 39:3	16:25 20:12 48:25	potential 23:13	prosecutors 31:23
offenses 11:22	40:3 43:2 46:23	petitioner 1:4,16	potentially 37:6	44:18 51:21 52:23
19:15 23:12 48:3	particular 22:7	2:4,10 3:8 27:21	39:23 40:8 49:12	prove 25:22
49:15	24:21 28:6 33:5	46:2 52:1 53:7	practice 29:22	<b>provide</b> 47:8,11,14
offer 53:8	33:13 38:10 52:4	physical 39:5 47:24	precise 14:25	55:3
offered 39:10	particularly 23:7	47:25 50:4 54:4	prescribe 43:3	<b>provides</b> 11:7 38:2
officer 51:4	53:17	54:17	prescribes 27:17	provision 3:14,17
offices 12:9	parties 49:16	pick 37:15	presence 44:2	14:9,11 17:5
okay 29:13 31:18	party 4:3	picks 48:22	present 25:18	18:10,11 19:23
32:15	passed 11:11 12:2	<b>pistol</b> 29:12 31:6,20	26:16 28:14 50:24	22:17 36:1 38:2
old 17:21 53:9	17:15	<b>place</b> 6:3,3 10:12	51:1,8,9 54:22	38:12 41:20 53:22
once 47:20	passing 43:15	19:20 21:14 32:10	presentation 55:1	provisions 23:18
ones 31:15 32:10	patient 15:17	32:13 37:2 49:3	presented 26:16	55:13
onesentence 36:24	pattern 46:3,12	<b>plain</b> 40:5	presenting 47:19	public 51:5
open 44:18	52:16	<b>play</b> 41:16,17 52:14	presents 26:5 51:12	<b>pulls</b> 48:18
openness 32:7	patterns 46:15	plea 32:18 35:22	presumably 16:14	punish 51:10
opinion 28:24	pause 52:17	45:6	16:16	punishable 41:21
opposite 24:13	peculiar 14:14	<b>plead</b> 32:1 46:11	primary 10:15	punished 17:8
oral 1:11 2:2,5 3:7	penalty 8:21 15:1	47:1	principle 6:8 7:15	punishment 3:22
27:13 47:20	17:17,19 21:21	pleaded 45:1	16:20 25:19 42:25	27:7,9,18 30:24
orchestrating	22:12 23:10,13	pleading 45:5	<b>prison</b> 17:6,8	31:2
47:22	30:21 40:14,18,24	pleas 46:19 47:5,7	probably 12:10	purely 22:1
order 49:18 50:21	41:8,12,20 55:16	47:9 51:22	14:4 41:10	<b>push</b> 14:20
51:22	people 12:14 15:6	please 3:10 27:16	<b>probation</b> 29:16,23	<b>put</b> 16:20 22:7 25:2
ordered 48:16	21:4 29:7,12 43:7	31:17 35:4,6	30:16	26:20 32:2 43:10
ordinary 4:8,11,14	45:5,16 47:22,25	<b>pled</b> 46:18	<b>problem</b> 11:20 14:8	

	l	l	l	1
Q	regarded 30:22	reversing 26:24	28:2 29:4,8 31:3	25:4
<b>qualify</b> 8:17 9:10	register 12:4	right 8:3 9:7,16	48:21 50:16 51:6	scheme 6:3,4,22
25:6	reid 54:11	13:2 14:5 16:8	53:19,23,25	seated 4:4
qualifying 28:5	rejected 18:14	19:2 24:18,21	roughly 3:16 6:6	second 29:18 31:18
question 5:5,8	rejecting 26:25	28:18 29:10 30:2	routinely 32:21	49:25
12:20 13:12 18:5	relating 46:21	30:11 34:4 40:17	rule 3:20 5:14,18	secondary 47:18
19:19 20:17 24:1	relation 22:2	42:21 43:12 45:1	6:14,17,17,18,24	section 3:12,14 6:7
32:24 35:3,20	relationship 18:2	rights 47:4,6	7:5,6 10:16 13:7	11:7,25 16:20,21
36:6 49:17	20:8	rise 39:14 46:20	25:11,17 26:25	16:23,24 19:15
questions 29:4	rely 18:19	risk 46:11 49:1	33:8,12,17 36:13	23:8 25:15,21,25
37:23 52:13 53:1	remaining 53:5	50:4	45:24 48:13 51:11	26:13,17,18 27:17
55:22	remember 47:2	robbed 35:17	51:17,24 52:21	28:4 30:13,21
quickly 14:16	repeatedly 54:1	<b>robber</b> 3:12,18	53:12 54:20 55:5	36:23 38:9 46:5
	repeating 35:19	6:19 9:21 15:7	55:12	see 5:16 9:20 12:12
R	reply 25:8 33:2	26:20 27:4,18	run 54:21	14:4 20:20,22,24
<b>r</b> 3:1	reported 46:16,18	29:11,13,22 34:23		29:22 44:4 46:2,4
range 30:12,14,15	46:20 47:8	35:3,14 37:13	<u>S</u>	46:8,12,22 49:7
40:9	represented 31:25	39:3 47:21 48:14	s 2:1 3:1,14 12:18	49:10
reach 15:5	request 35:12	48:17,18 49:2	14:14 22:9,9	seeks 53:13
read 38:6,6 44:14	require 18:11 38:9	50:2,3,5 51:8	26:12 36:15,22	seen 12:5 27:23
45:4	required 12:2	robberies 12:14	37:25 42:3	45:8
readily 50:15,17	17:17,18 22:19,20	29:11 31:1,1	sad 11:6 53:10,12	send 55:23
reading 22:6	22:22 26:13	45:12 46:23 47:15	53:14	sense 5:3 18:16
really 32:11 34:8	requirement 4:23	48:4 54:21	satisfied 9:18	22:1 24:9 29:19
40:24 42:7 44:7	43:14	<b>robbers</b> 3:22 31:16	satisfy 11:14 25:10	29:21 49:7,21
44:17	requires 3:12 11:25	39:15,16 48:6	saying 24:1 28:10	sensible 36:25
reason 8:23 11:15	38:21	50:10	55:11	sensibly 50:11,22
22:11 23:15 30:9	requiring 28:5	robbery 3:11,19	says 9:12 14:2 17:5	sentence 27:2 29:17
34:12,19 36:8	resentencing 55:24	8:24 9:2,14,23	20:3 29:14 31:17	29:24 30:4 40:10
39:1,1 40:3 44:14	reserve 26:24	12:9,23 15:3	35:4,10 36:16	45:7 54:13
reasonable 34:16	resolves 10:17	18:13 25:21,23	37:4 38:18 45:9	sentenced 47:10
34:20 43:20	respect 52:4	26:15 27:5,19	45:21 46:9,10	sentences 25:13
reasonably 39:6	respectfully 44:21	28:15 30:13,18,18	48:23 49:9,10	31:4
50:9	respond 49:4	31:14 35:8 38:3,3	scalia 3:23 4:2,10	sentencing 30:3
reasons 15:22	respondent 1:19	44:6 46:25 47:17	4:18,20 5:6,12,18	31:3,8 37:7 40:9
reassure 32:16	2:7 27:14	55:21	5:22 6:10,16 7:21	46:21 47:10
<b>rebuttal</b> 2:8 53:6	responds 49:4	roberts 3:3 8:12,22	9:11,16 10:24	separate 19:8,13
receive 55:15	response 21:1	27:10 28:9 29:10	11:2,5 15:16 16:7	32:9 33:21 36:11
receives 27:4,5,9	responsible 11:8	30:1,14 35:16	16:10,17 17:3,20	separates 48:3
recommend 40:11	18:7,14,18 19:24	36:5,15 37:3 38:5	17:25 18:5 22:24	series 54:6
41:12,22	rest 16:8	38:11,23 45:13	23:4,6 35:1,9	serious 16:23 26:1
record 7:22,23 8:7	resulting 19:17	46:7,17 47:1 49:6	40:16,21 43:4	30:7 31:13 38:18
refer 36:23 37:19	results 17:7,10 19:1	53:3 55:25	44:10,11 53:15	38:19
referred 11:24 16:5	19:2,5	room 8:6,11 11:4	scalias 13:11	serves 6:4
32:25	return 13:11	11:13,19 12:6	scared 49:8	set 6:5,5 15:22 21:8
reflects 33:15	reverse 28:25 55:23	13:20 14:2 27:22	scenario 24:12,13	30:11,17 31:12,13
				I

				_
setting 11:24	45:19	40:4 42:15 54:13	supreme 1:1,12	53:3,8 55:25
seventh 33:9,10	sort 24:22 29:5	54:15	sure 15:4 36:21	thats 7:4,4 9:1,23
severe 21:20 55:15	36:13 43:13 44:5	stay 13:20 14:13	surely 25:24	10:4 11:19 12:6
55:17	49:4 50:4,12	staying 14:6	suspect 29:2	13:19 14:4,5
severity 41:25	sorts 39:14 40:6	stays 14:2	sweeps 11:21	15:18 20:17 32:4
shield 36:12	sotomayor 7:4,11	step 3:19,20 12:21	system 18:20 55:18	32:4,5 33:19,21
shields 39:16	7:17,20,22 8:2	13:1 15:14 25:22	systems 47:3	33:22 36:19 37:11
shooting 45:16	12:3,12 42:1,10	26:4 34:17,19,23		37:25 38:7,19
<b>short</b> 12:7 16:2	42:17,22 48:5	35:4,6,9 50:13	T	39:3 40:19 41:1
34:14 36:3 37:21	<b>sound</b> 31:19	55:4	<b>t</b> 2:1,1	42:14 43:2,6,7,12
39:2,8 45:12	sounds 20:23,23	steps 6:10,10,15	table 4:3,5,12 5:6	43:23 44:3,14,20
50:17 54:8,18	spatial 4:21,23 5:16	25:6 34:23 50:18	tables 4:4	45:20,23 47:23,23
show 46:12 47:8,12	22:1	51:3	take 3:19 6:20	48:2,12,21 52:18
shown 12:13	special 32:11 55:12	stop 18:22	13:25 14:1 24:14	theater 5:4
side 4:15,15 10:19	specifically 33:11	stretch 18:16	29:10 32:13 36:19	theres 4:20 5:23
12:21,22 48:16,21	speech 28:1	strikes 8:23 9:4	40:24 41:4	6:14 16:13 29:17
50:20 54:19	squarely 27:24	<b>structure</b> 5:11 6:22	taken 21:12	31:10 39:1 46:21
sides 46:15	standard 21:4,5	7:9 11:16 15:2	takes 9:3 28:16	48:11,11,12 52:22
significant 43:6	51:16	16:6 25:25	talk 34:13,15	53:17 55:8,11
52:19	standing 53:24	student 51:5	talked 24:13	theyll 32:20 48:8
significantly 8:17	start 16:19	students 51:6,6	talking 16:12 17:9	theyre 52:12
48:2	state 22:19 23:20	studies 45:8	32:19 41:15	thing 18:23 22:2
similar 22:17	23:21,22 47:3	stuff 47:23	task 52:7,10	26:23 39:25 45:21
simply 52:7	states 1:1,6,12 3:5	submitted 56:1,3	technically 4:7	46:14 49:25
<b>single</b> 3:19,20 8:16	25:18	substantial 6:25	tell 8:25 20:2,18	things 21:24 24:25
12:20 13:1 15:13	statistics 12:13	7:7,9 10:4,19	42:6 48:7 49:14	31:6 32:18,23
25:22 26:4 55:4	46:13 47:9,11,13	11:13,14,19 20:18	teller 8:25 9:3 14:1	think 4:20 6:21
singled 32:14	47:14	20:23 21:10 22:2	45:18,19 47:16,19	7:14 8:15 9:25
singlestep 25:11,17	<b>statute</b> 3:21 5:20	28:8 29:24 51:20	48:23,24 49:8	10:18,20,22 11:16
26:25 54:20 55:5	6:1 9:11,25 13:23	51:23	50:1,3	11:20 12:3,12
sit 14:2	14:15,18,23,25	substantiality 6:23	tellers 48:7	14:4 15:1,8,22,23
sitting 7:20,21	15:5,10 16:6,8,19	20:13 43:14	telling 42:4	16:5,9 20:4,12
53:23	17:12,14,20 18:5	substantially 20:18	tells 6:7 26:15	21:2 22:5 23:6,15
situation 24:15	21:20 22:3 23:20	substantiate 44:22	54:14	24:9 25:2 26:21
37:5	25:25 27:24 34:20	suggest 37:23 44:17	ten 14:1	28:16,18,19,24
situations 36:13	34:24 36:1 37:10	suggested 24:4	tenyear 3:17 8:20	29:20,21,21 30:8
six 35:23	39:12 40:9,12	43:17 50:21 52:3	12:1 15:2	31:10,12,13 32:6
size 12:10	41:2,6,20 43:16	suggesting 22:7	term 5:10 28:4 29:8	32:22,23 33:4,6
small 43:24,25 44:2	44:10 50:13 51:18	23:24 41:4,19	39:7 50:14 51:7	33:24,25 34:5,13
solicitor 1:17	51:24 52:4,5,10	47:14	terms 16:22 44:15	34:15,16,19,22
somebody 4:24	52:11 53:16	suggests 4:25 8:7	terrified 26:6	36:3,6,9,25 37:3
14:22 20:21 28:11	statutes 16:10,15	9:25	test 10:3,4 20:1 22:1	37:22 38:25,25
31:20 35:23 38:6	20:15 24:20 43:7	suppose 15:16		39:1,5,18 40:1,3,4
38:14 41:8	statutory 5:10,11	40:25 43:11 51:20	text 3:21,24 4:1 17:14 39:13 42:15	40:7,15 41:10
someones 26:20	6:3,4 17:17 26:19	<b>supposed</b> 13:4,5	thank 3:9 27:10,15	42:14,16 43:2,12
sorry 8:2 18:21	30:15,16,19 31:8	43:18	thank 3.9 47.10,13	43:13,16 44:16,22
	<u> </u>			l

45:23,24 47:8,20
48:1,13 49:12,16
49:20 50:1,9,11
50:16,22 51:7
52:2,3,6,9,13,18
52:22
thinking 23:16
41:5
thinks 24:21
<b>third</b> 19:16,17
thought 5:21 7:22
7:23 18:22 20:20
21:21 25:5 35:1
threat 51:21
threaten 55:20
threatening 43:7
threats 31:6
three 19:15 33:7
54:9
threshold 8:10 28:7
threw 19:4
throwing 55:10
thrown 19:18
time 3:18 12:1
20:14 22:18 23:11
24:20 26:24 34:23
39:3 42:6 48:13
times 27:3
today 33:17 36:9
51:15 55:1
told 32:12
tools 18:6
touched 54:23
tough 44:4
0
tragic 11:6 53:14
transportation
22:19,20
transported 10:12
21:14
trauma 22:8,14
39:5 43:6 51:9
53:18,18
traumatized 48:25
traveled 21:12,25
traverse 28:6
tremendously

54:20 trial 35:24 45:22
<b>tried</b> 39:12 43:14
trigger 31:7
<b>trivial</b> 7:1 10:7
20:5 32:9 42:4,7
42:19
true 40:7 42:23
44:20 45:7
<b>try</b> 36:7 39:6 51:13
trying 22:6 24:2,16
24:19 36:19 49:21
tuesday 1:9
turn 32:20 53:22
53:22
<b>twist</b> 53:9
<b>two</b> 14:16 29:7,11
31:19 34:23 35:20
36:19 38:7,14,23
41:9
twofoot 37:5
type 16:2 31:5
50:15
types 51:14
typical 12:8
typically 47:23
U
<b>u</b> 12:18 36:15,22
37:25

U
<b>u</b> 12:18 36:15,22
37:25
ultimately 10:12
21:14,18 43:2
unaggravated
30:12,25
unarmed 30:13
50:4
unconstitutional
40:17,23
understand 4:22
14:22 20:3 21:4
34:8 35:2 37:22
45:10 47:7 52:16
understood 29:21
30:25 41:11
undoubtedly 53:12
unit 15:18

<b>united</b> 1:1,6,12 3:5 25:18
unnecessary 27:8
unpunished 27:2
<b>unusual</b> 46:5 50:2
unwilling 28:16
usage 4:8,14,22 5:3
5:8,9 15:13,21,23
usages 15:25 16:3
use 3:12 4:11 10:18
15:15,19 25:3,3
28:3,12,12 35:22
37:7 39:7 42:5,10
51:7
uses 37:16
usually 12:5
utility 5:25 6:1

V
v 1:5 3:5 28:24 51:3
<b>vague</b> 43:24
vast 12:13 44:6,19
49:14
vault 9:1 12:4,5
47:17 48:24 49:11
verdict 40:11 41:21
version 17:15
<b>victim</b> 14:15 31:6
38:4 39:17
victims 39:15 48:16
51:9
view 4:6,13 5:2 6:2
9:18 13:9 15:12
20:10 27:2 38:8
viewed 3:15 6:6,8
8:19 16:21,24
22:16
violated 27:23
violates 34:24
violation 30:13
violations 30:23
violence 3:13 26:14
49:2
virtually 16:4
voluntary 28:22
29:6

## W wait 13:24 waive 47:4 waivers 47:6 walk 4:24 14:1.15 14:19 27:1 29:14 31:17,18,18 walked 15:18 36:19 53:24 **walking** 14:6,7 35:7 47:19 walls 10:2 want 10:16 20:16 20:25 21:5 30:9 wanted 15:8 35:23 50:10 wants 7:2 26:5 warrant 17:1 warranted 31:1 washington 1:8,18 51:3 wasnt 8:9 10:25 18:23 19:11,17 26:3 29:5 waves 29:11 **waving** 45:15 way 6:21 8:6 10:22 15:24 20:13 27:1 31:4,19 32:15,16 37:25 38:1 44:17 45:7 50:13 51:25 52:5 ways 42:15 weapon 47:24 50:3 weapons 49:16 wed 40:3 53:1 55:22 **weight** 28:16 went 13:9 weve 24:3 42:17 **whats** 42:1 whatsoever 15:11 **whipping** 31:6,20

whips 29:12

**whitfield** 1:3 3:5 8:8 11:8 18:7,8

19:24 27:1,5 wife 4:3.11 wifes 5:6 wilson 9:20 24:13 woman 10:24 14:1 26:6 wont 14:3 37:14 word 4:8 5:24 6:4 7:6 15:12,25 27:25 37:1,7,20 42:5 44:3 51:2 words 6:1 8:13 21:5 24:24,24 25:3 37:15 45:15 52:11 worried 42:4,13 worrying 31:22 worse 31:20 48:15 worst 49:3 **wouldnt** 11:9,12 20:19 47:7 write 14:10 21:4 39:6 writes 24:20,24 **writing** 50:13 **written** 14:25 wrong 42:1 wrote 29:3 X **x** 1:2,7 Y yeah 17:25 20:20 20:23 35:7 year 5:25 30:4 years 17:7,9,18 27:3 29:18 30:7 30:16,17,19,21 35:21,23 40:10 45:22 46:11,12 youre 7:20,20 9:7 19:7 21:18 29:16

40:22 42:21 48:23

 $\mathbf{Z}$ 

49:7,21,23

			Page 67
<b>zero</b> 30:16	4		
	<b>4</b> 7:19		
0	<b>40</b> 26:10		
00 56:2			
<b>06</b> 1:13 3:2	5		
1	<b>53</b> 2:10		
<b>10</b> 17:7,9,18 29:18	6		
30:7,21 32:3	<b>6</b> 46:11 49:16		
35:21 40:10 45:22	<b>0</b> 40.11 49.10		
10year 25:14 30:10	7		
46:10	746:12		
11 1:13 3:2			
<b>12</b> 25:8 27:3 33:1	8		
56:2	<b>8</b> 8:2 49:16		
<b>13</b> 25:8 27:3 33:1			
<b>139026</b> 1:4 3:4	9		
147:23	<b>9</b> 7:19		
1930s 16:3,4	90 44:25		
<b>1934</b> 16:1 17:15	<b>95</b> 44:24		
40:9,12 41:20			
<b>1992</b> 46:2			
<b>1994</b> 17:11,15			
2			
<b>2</b> 1:9 29:14			
<b>20</b> 6:10,10 7:23,25			
8:5,9,13,14,15			
30:16,17			
<b>2014</b> 1:9			
<b>2113</b> 3:12 11:7			
27:17 28:4 30:13			
30:18,21,22 36:23			
38:9 44:19 46:5			
49:14			
<b>23</b> 54:6			
<b>25</b> 17:13 30:19			
<b>26</b> 17:14			
<b>27</b> 2:7			
<b>29</b> 6:24 13:7			
3			
<b>3</b> 2:4			
<b>30</b> 14:21 22:22			
<b>3553</b> 54:12			
<b>3553a</b> 25:12			