1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	x
3	BILLY JOE REYNOLDS, :
4	Petitioner : No. 10-6549
5	v. :
6	UNITED STATES. :
7	x
8	Washington, D.C.
9	Monday, October 3, 2011
10	
11	The above-entitled matter came on for oral
12	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States
13	at 11:06 a.m.
14	APPEARANCES:
15	CANDACE CAIN, ESQ., Assistant Federal Public Defender,
16	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; for Petitioner.
17	MELISSA ARBUS SHERRY, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor
18	General, Department of Justice, Washington,
19	D.C.; for Respondent.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	CANDACE CAIN, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	MELISSA ARBUS SHERRY, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent	24
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	CANDACE CAIN, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	54
11		
12		
13		
14	•	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:06 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument
4	next in Case 10-6549, Reynolds v. United States.
5	Ms. Cain.
6	ORAL ARGUMENT OF CANDACE CAIN
7	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
8	MS. CAIN: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
9	please the Court:
L O	Recognizing that certain offenders convicted
L1	before enactment or an implementation of SORNA would be
L2	unable to comply with SORNA's initial registration
L3	requirement, Congress included section 16913(d)
L 4	delegating to the Attorney General the authority to
L5	determine whether and how to apply SORNA's registration
L 6	requirements to those offenders.
L7	Mr. Reynolds is one of those offenders
L8	because he was convicted, sentenced, and released from
L9	prison a year before SORNA was enacted. But for a valid
20	exercise of the Attorney General's authority under
21	subsection (d), Mr. Reynolds had no obligation to
22	register under SORNA, could not initially register under
23	SORNA, and, therefore, was not subject to SORNA's
24	criminal penalties. Action by the Attorney General was
25	needed to bring offenders like Mr. Reynolds into the new

- 1 system.
- 2 And because both implementing SORNA and
- 3 determining whether and how SORNA would be applied to
- 4 pre-enactment offenders would require time and
- 5 consideration, Congress left the Wetterling Act
- 6 registration law in place for 3 years to ensure that all
- 7 offenders would be covered under the old law. And
- 8 until --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Was the -- is the
- 10 Wetterling Act retroactive?
- 11 MS. CAIN: The Wetterling Act, Your Honor,
- 12 was remaining in place for 3 years and had a sort of a
- 13 sunset provision under --
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, I know.
- 15 That's going forward. But was the requirement to
- 16 register under the Wetterling Act -- did that apply as
- 17 of the enactment date or did that reach back?
- 18 MS. CAIN: Your Honor, actually the
- 19 Wetterling Act was not effective for a year into the
- 20 future.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So, you think it
- 22 only applied to that year?
- MS. CAIN: No, I'm sorry. The Wetterling
- 24 Act was enacted in -- in 1996.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So, if the offense

- 1 were committed in 1994, did that person have to register
- 2 under the Wetterling Act?
- 3 MS. CAIN: They had to register, but there
- 4 were no criminal penalties. At that point, it was a
- 5 1994 law called Wetterling, and then 2 years later under
- 6 the Lychner Act, criminal penalties were added.
- 7 Our reading better accords with the text and
- 8 congressional intent --
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you clarify that
- 10 answer? The -- are you admitting that there were no
- 11 criminal enforcement options for the Attorney General
- 12 under the Wetterling Act for acts committed prior to
- 13 1996? Is that what you're saying?
- MS. CAIN: Your Honor, the Wetterling Act,
- 15 as it was enacted in 1994, was a registration
- 16 requirement without criminal penalties. In 1996, the
- 17 Lychner Act was enacted amending Wetterling and added
- 18 the criminal penalty, the Federal criminal penalty of
- 19 1-year punishment for failure to register.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And that included all
- 21 individuals who had -- who had been convicted of -- of
- 22 sex abuse acts before 1996?
- MS. CAIN: I don't know.
- Our reading better accords with the text of
- 25 SORNA and congressional intent, but the Government

- 1 reading is simply not reasonable. If SORNA were to
- 2 apply to all pre-enactment and pre-implementation
- 3 offenders on day one, and the Attorney General could
- 4 then modify in the future, which would in fact -- in
- 5 effect repeal SORNA as to some offenders, then you could
- 6 have a situation where someone was convicted of an
- 7 offense and then have to be covered under SORNA, and
- 8 then later the AG could decide that that group was
- 9 not required to register.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, maybe -- maybe the
- 11 Attorney General doesn't have that power. But your
- 12 position is that whether this behavior, not registering,
- is criminal or not is left up to the Attorney General,
- 14 is left up to the executive. Do we have other examples
- where Congress says, well, we don't know whether this
- should be a criminal offense; so, we're going to leave
- it to the Attorney General?
- 18 It's quite different to say the Attorney
- 19 General will implement it in the technical details, but
- 20 to say that whether it's a criminal offense or not is up
- 21 to the Attorney General -- is there any other instance
- 22 where that's so?
- MS. CAIN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any,
- 24 but we don't -- this is not what the Attorney General is
- 25 doing. This is -- SORNA is a civil registration

- 1 requirement, and the Attorney General is deciding
- 2 whether someone has to register. In order for a
- 3 criminal indictment to be brought, a person would have
- 4 to travel and then fail to register. So, it's really
- 5 not actually deciding whether someone would be guilty of
- 6 a crime or convicted of a crime or exposed to a crime.
- 7 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I -- maybe I just don't
- 8 grasp the core of the case then. I thought this was a
- 9 criminal conviction and that you were arguing that it's
- 10 a criminal conviction because the conduct that's
- 11 prohibited by the statute was conduct that covered this
- 12 class of people by order of the Attorney General under
- 13 the interim regs. I mean, is that wrong?
- MS. CAIN: Your Honor, actually what we are
- 15 seeking is the ability to contest the Attorney General's
- 16 rule. We're saying --
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I'm asking, isn't this is
- 18 criminal conviction that resulted from the fact that
- 19 your client was within the class of persons covered by
- 20 the statute? The Government says they're covered
- 21 anyway. You say they're covered only because the
- 22 Attorney General acted, but then you say it's a criminal
- 23 -- it's a civil provision? I -- I --
- MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, it is --
- 25 failure to register and then travel -- I mean, travel

- 1 and then fail to register after you are obligated under
- 2 SORNA to register is a crime, yes.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, I -- you know, my
- 4 problem is that's very strange. I find it very strange
- 5 to leave it up to the Attorney General whether something
- 6 will be a crime or not. It will be a crime if the
- 7 Attorney General says so, and it won't be a crime if he
- 8 doesn't. I mean, especially leave it up to the Attorney
- 9 General, for Pete's sake; he's the prosecutor. You
- 10 know, it will be a crime if the prosecutor thinks it is,
- 11 and it won't be a crime if the prosecutor thinks it
- 12 isn't. I don't -- I don't know of any parallel, and --
- 13 and I -- I think it's sailing close to the edge of
- 14 unconstitutionality; whereas, what the other side claims
- is simply it's a crime to begin with, but the Attorney
- 16 General can make it not a crime. That -- that's sort of
- 17 like prosecutorial discretion. In -- in his -- in his
- 18 judgment, if it shouldn't be a crime, you know -- I have
- 19 trouble with that, too. But it's a lot --
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: But it's a lot closer to
- 22 prosecutorial discretion than -- than what you're asking
- 23 us to accept, that something is a crime only if the
- 24 Attorney General says it's a crime. That seems to me
- 25 very strange.

- 1 MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, that's really
- 2 what the text says, and our reading --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But are you -- now -- now
- 4 we do -- the Attorney General has spoken. The first
- 5 time, you say it was ineffective because there was no
- 6 notice and comment. But from -- what is it -- August of
- 7 1908, we have a rule, a final rule, that did go through
- 8 notice and comment. So, are we talking about, is this
- 9 case simply about the period from February 1907 to
- 10 August 1908, and that's -- that's all that's involved in
- 11 this case, only those people? Or are you contesting
- 12 that after August 1908, you still have some kind of
- 13 claim?
- MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, our -- our case
- does not involve the time period after August of 2008.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: So, it's -- so, this
- 17 whole case is about what happens between February '07
- 18 and August '08, and that's the limit of it.
- MS. CAIN: Right.
- 20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Because there was no rule
- 21 at all before February '07, and there was a rule August
- 22 '08. So, it's just that period this case is about.
- 23 MS. CAIN: Yes. Our client traveled in '07.
- 24 And --
- 25 JUSTICE ALITO: It's the period from the

- 1 enactment of SORNA until the adoption of the SMART
- 2 guidelines, right? That's what we're talking about?
- 3 MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, if the SMART
- 4 guidelines are deemed valid, yes. But that was -- in
- 5 2008. Our client traveled in 2007. And so, the
- 6 Attorney General's interim rule is the rule that would
- 7 subject him to criminal liability.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Excuse me. I'm -- let
- 9 me go back to that question, counselor -- to that
- 10 answer. Let's assume we accepted the Solicitor
- 11 General's understanding of the rule, that it was illegal
- 12 to travel -- that you had to be -- had to register from
- 13 the start of SORNA. What challenge do you have left
- 14 either to the interim rule in 2007 or to the final rules
- in 2008? What -- what challenge could you conceivably
- 16 make?
- 17 MS. CAIN: Your Honor, if the -- if the
- 18 statute applies from day one, we would still contest the
- 19 interim rule for -- the Attorney General took action but
- 20 did not exclude our client. The Attorney General did
- 21 what he was authorized to do in subsection (d).
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would be the basis
- 23 of that challenge?
- MS. CAIN: Pardon me.
- 25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would have

- 1 obligated him to take your client out of SORNA?
- 2 MS. CAIN: The exercise of his discretion to
- 3 not take him out --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you -- could you
- 5 tell me why?
- MS. CAIN: Because --
- 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would be an abuse
- 8 of his discretion if he didn't take your client out?
- 9 MS. CAIN: He had exercised his discretion
- 10 under subsection (d) and decided not to exclude our
- 11 client from the --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That -- we're in a
- 13 circular argument.
- MS. CAIN: -- statute.
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would have
- 16 commanded him to take your client out?
- 17 MS. CAIN: It would be his discretion.
- 18 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You -- you would have to
- 19 bring some sort of suit that said he abused his
- 20 discretion. On what basis would he have -- what would
- 21 be your claim of abuse other than I really want my
- 22 client out?
- MS. CAIN: Well, that he would have
- 24 standing. That's what we're trying to -- we're trying
- 25 to get standing to contest the interim rule.

- 1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But what impact would
- 2 the interim rules have had on you?
- 3 MS. CAIN: If the statute applies from day
- 4 one without the interim rule, we still would -- that is
- 5 what the standing issue is about. We're saying that the
- 6 interim rule is the only rule that gave -- gave the
- 7 government the ability to include Mr. Reynolds in the
- 8 prosecution.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You have --
- MS. CAIN: This is --
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You have a notice --
- 12 notice and comment claim, right?
- 13 MS. CAIN: Yes. Yes, Your Honor, we do.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But what -- but what
- 15 you're challenging is interim rule, because there was no
- 16 notice and comment. So, you would have had no
- 17 challenge, not from the date of SORNA's enactment, but
- 18 from the date of the rule that you're challenging -- and
- 19 that rule was February '07. Your challenge is to the
- 20 invalidity of the interim rule, right?
- MS. CAIN: That's right.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Okay. So -- but before
- there was an interim rule, you would have no such
- 24 challenge.
- 25 MS. CAIN: No, but the -- SORNA would not

- 1 apply to Mr. Reynolds before then.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: You might have some other
- 3 case, but this case is about a challenge to a rule as
- 4 invalid. Is that -- that's as I understand it. So,
- 5 there had to be the rule for you to make the challenge.
- 6 MS. CAIN: I'm sorry. I missed the last
- 7 part.
- 8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You are challenging the
- 9 Attorney General's first rule as invalid, the
- 10 February '07 rule.
- 11 MS. CAIN: That's right.
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You say it's invalid
- 13 because there was no notice and comment. You have no
- 14 challenge -- your challenge doesn't reach before that,
- 15 because there was no rule before that so that you can --
- 16 the earliest point is when the rule was adopted, you're
- 17 saying, the rule was invalid. So, that's why I said the
- 18 brackets are from when there was an allegedly invalid
- 19 rule, which was in February '07, until when there's a
- 20 valid rule, which is in August of '08.
- 21 MS. CAIN: That's right, Your Honor. I
- 22 mean, that --
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, that's not --
- 24 no. Your argument, as I understand it, is there was no
- 25 notice and comment when he issued the interim rule.

- 1 MS. CAIN: Right.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: If there had been
- 3 notice and comment, you would have jumped in with
- 4 comments that would have convinced the Attorney General
- 5 not to apply the rule to your client.
- 6 MS. CAIN: That's right.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay.
- 8 MS. CAIN: That's right.
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: And your argument is
- 10 further that without the rule, SORNA doesn't exist,
- 11 right?
- 12 MS. CAIN: For our client. He is --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: Right.
- MS. CAIN: He is unable to comply with the
- 15 initial registration provision under (b) because he was
- 16 released from prison a year before SORNA was enacted.
- 17 So, he could not meet either one of the descriptions of
- 18 initial registration.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: That doesn't mean -- that
- 20 doesn't mean SORNA doesn't apply; it means 2250 doesn't
- 21 apply.
- MS. CAIN: That's right.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Is that right?
- MS. CAIN: Well --
- 25 JUSTICE BREYER: I mean, it might be a

- 1 metaphysical, but it may be that Congress intended the
- 2 statute to apply to people like your client, but the
- 3 question is when the initial registration has to take
- 4 place. And I took you as saying until the Attorney
- 5 General acts, we don't know. So, 2250 doesn't --
- 6 doesn't criminalize a failure until he can know when
- 7 he's supposed to register.
- 8 MS. CAIN: Register under SORNA. That's
- 9 right.
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: That's right. Okay.
- 11 MS. CAIN: The problem is here that the
- 12 prosecution -- the Attorney General's office is
- 13 substituting a State registration for the initial
- 14 registration under SORNA, and that's just not what the
- 15 text says.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Ms. Cain, why do you think
- 17 Congress would have written the statute in this way? I
- 18 mean, in your brief, you say it was all very complicated
- 19 and Congress was worried about certain problems, the way
- 20 different registrations overlapped on each other. But
- 21 exactly what was so complicated? Why couldn't Congress
- 22 just have applied the statute to people in
- 23 Mr. Reynolds's situation itself?
- MS. CAIN: Well, even the Government agrees,
- in their brief, that there are complications.

- 1 JUSTICE KAGAN: I was going to ask the
- 2 Government the same question. What are the
- 3 complications --
- 4 MS. CAIN: Well --
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- that Congress was so
- 6 worried about?
- 7 MS. CAIN: Some sex offenders, you know,
- 8 from the various States -- there were State laws that
- 9 were varied amongst each other. There was the Federal
- 10 Wetterling Act that had its own different periods of
- 11 registration and different requirements.
- 12 And I think that one of the permutations --
- 13 some of them are that some sex offenders never had to
- 14 register in some States; some had been convicted before
- 15 and had served out their time and no longer had to
- 16 register; and some were released from prison, you know,
- 17 before the enactment or implementation of -- of SORNA.
- 18 And an example of a permutation that
- 19 was going to take some thought and some consideration is
- 20 the one that sort of is an example in a different
- 21 context, in the Federal Register and in the Government's
- 22 brief, which is that certain people who had served their
- 23 time and were completely out of the system, if they got
- 24 re-arrested for a misdemeanor, the Attorney General
- 25 decided that those individuals did not have to register

- 1 for a State to be deemed substantially implemented with
- 2 respect to SORNA. And so, that's an example of a type
- 3 of decision, a complication that the Attorney General
- 4 was particularly well-suited to deciding and making that
- 5 determination.
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Arrested for a
- 7 misdemeanor to do what?
- 8 MS. CAIN: Any arrest for a misdemeanor that
- 9 would bring a previous offender back in the system -- if
- 10 that person was just convicted of a misdemeanor, they
- 11 would not -- the State would not have to re-register
- 12 them in order to be deemed substantially compliant with
- 13 SORNA and get the Byrne grant money.
- 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I thought Justice
- 15 Kagan's question was, what would have stopped Congress
- 16 from just saying you have to register on the day of
- 17 passage? There was nothing to stop Congress from doing
- 18 that, correct?
- MS. CAIN: They could have done that, but
- 20 they were concerned about how you get the older
- 21 conviction, the older pre-enactment people into the new
- 22 system.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's your reason for
- 24 why they didn't do that. They didn't make it automatic,
- 25 correct? That's your argument?

- 1 MS. CAIN: Right. They wanted to have a new
- 2 registration, a new system that would start from a
- 3 certain point that would bring in new requirements. And
- 4 the problem is how to get the people with the older
- 5 convictions and the older registrations into the system.
- 6 And that would be done with initial registration. But
- 7 Mr. Reynolds --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, why is --
- 9 MS CAIN: -- can't register.
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: Why is it easier for the
- 11 Attorney General to do that by regulation than for
- 12 Congress simply to do it by the statute itself? What
- 13 did they expect to happen in the regulatory process that
- 14 would solve these problems for them?
- MS. CAIN: Well, I think that it's more
- 16 flexible to have a regulation and takes perhaps less
- 17 time than legislation to think of all the different
- 18 permutations. They don't know every State's laws and
- 19 every State's capabilities. And so, it was more
- 20 flexible, and -- and they could respond more quickly to
- 21 changes.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, take this case.
- 23 What would compliance entail other than simply telling
- 24 the Missouri authority -- I mean, he had to register,
- 25 was registered in Missouri -- telling the Missouri

- 1 authority that he was moving to another State? That's
- 2 all he had to do, right, to comply?
- 3 MS. CAIN: Comply with Missouri's law? The
- 4 State law?
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: To comply with the -- the
- 6 SORNA requirement, that he'd have to tell the Missouri
- 7 authority that he was moving to another State. And then
- 8 Missouri would have an obligation to tell that other
- 9 State he's there.
- MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, that -- your
- 11 question assumes that State registration would suffice
- 12 for SORNA. And, respectfully, the -- SORNA was not
- 13 enacted until --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But I'm talking about
- 15 SORNA has been enacted, and now he's moving after SORNA
- 16 is enacted, right?
- 17 MS. CAIN: Right. Well, that's --
- 18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Okay. So -- so, SORNA is
- 19 on the books. He's registered in Missouri. He's
- 20 leaving the State. To comply with SORNA, what does he
- 21 have to do other than tell the original State, I'm
- 22 moving to another State?
- 23 MS. CAIN: Well, he would have to comply
- 24 with the requirements of initial registration under
- 25 SORNA. Those contain more requirements than under the

- 1 Missouri --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But he can't -- he can't
- 3 comply with the initial registration because he
- 4 committed this crime even before SORNA was enacted.
- 5 MS. CAIN: Under --
- 6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But now, what would he
- 7 have to do to be in compliance?
- 8 MS. CAIN: With Missouri law, with State
- 9 law, would be, to comply with Missouri law, tell
- 10 Missouri he is leaving and then go to Pennsylvania and
- 11 comply with Pennsylvania law, perhaps. And that's also
- 12 not a SORNA registration; that is a registration under
- 13 State law.
- 14 We know from Carr that SORNA is -- doesn't
- 15 create an obligation until the statute's effective date.
- 16 And the statute's effective date is after a valid
- 17 Attorney General regulation for purposes of people like
- 18 Mr. Reynolds.
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: Could you tell me, Ms.
- 20 Cain -- you may have said this, and I may just have
- 21 missed it. But under the new regulations, a man who's
- 22 in the position of your client and who cannot initially
- 23 register under (b) -- (b) just doesn't fit his
- 24 circumstances -- does he now have to initially register
- 25 again, or does his initial registration stick and he

- 1 just has to update it when he moves?
- MS. CAIN: The initial registration under
- 3 SORNA could be updated. The State registration that he
- 4 may have already done in the past is not a SORNA
- 5 registration. He would have to register initially
- 6 again, and that is a new registration. And that would
- 7 be what Congress intended, because their goal was to not
- 8 have a patchwork of regulations and rules. So, it would
- 9 be a new registration, but an update of a SORNA
- 10 registration is certainly possible, yes.
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Under the current
- 12 regulation, under the 19 -- I mean, the '08 regulations,
- 13 would it be enough to comply -- for somebody in his
- 14 situation, to comply simply by telling his parole
- 15 officer, I'm moving to the other State? Under the
- 16 regulation that says how this is implemented?
- MS. CAIN: Actually, Your Honor, no. We
- 18 actually don't know the answer to that question, because
- 19 the Attorney General has not issued regulations
- 20 instructing offenders what to do. They have simply
- 21 issued guidelines telling the States what they can do to
- 22 substantially implement SORNA. So, we don't really know
- 23 the answer to that question.
- 24 The point is that the requirement to
- 25 initially register under SORNA was not effective until

- 1 the Attorney General -- could not be effective until the
- 2 Attorney General said so. And that's what the statute
- 3 says under (d). And that if you look at how the
- 4 Government is reading the statue, you apply it from day
- one, but yet they have the ability to modify SORNA,
- 6 which in effect means to repeal SORNA's effect as to
- 7 some people in the future. That also would cause a lot
- 8 of complications, especially in the context I mentioned
- 9 where someone with a misdemeanor, you know, may be part
- 10 of the group that doesn't have to register in the
- 11 future, but they had to at some point, and --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, let's -- is
- 13 there anything -- if I understand the Solicitor
- 14 General's position, all your client had to do after
- 15 SORNA was passed was, after a reasonable amount of time
- or upon his travel, to tell Missouri, which was his
- 17 State of conviction, that he was moving. Correct?
- MS. CAIN: If you -- they say that he was
- 19 not part of the people that could register within a
- 20 normal -- I mean, a reasonable amount of time because of
- 21 the State registration.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Right.
- 23 MS. CAIN: But assuming that that wasn't the
- 24 case, assuming he was, you know, just --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, I'm not assuming

- 1 that.
- MS. CAIN: Okay.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Would he have been in
- 4 compliance with SORNA under the final rules today, the
- 5 interim rules when they were passed, or on the date that
- 6 he left if he had when he traveled, or a reasonable time
- 7 thereafter, told his State of conviction that he had
- 8 moved? Would that have been enough?
- 9 MS. CAIN: No, Your Honor.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What does he have to do
- in addition to that, under the interim or final rules?
- MS. CAIN: We don't know. Because, again,
- 13 the Attorney General has not issued regulations or
- 14 quidelines telling offenders what to do. They have only
- 15 issued guidelines telling jurisdictions how they can
- 16 substantially implement SORNA. So, it's not as
- 17 though -- he cannot register under SORNA until the
- 18 Attorney General specifies that he --
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: That was the answer that
- 20 you gave to my question, which was the same thing: Why
- 21 isn't it sufficient now for him simply to tell his
- 22 parole officer he's moving?
- 23 MS. CAIN: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. That was --
- 24 it would not be sufficient. I mean, it's -- he has to
- 25 initially register to register under SORNA. And he

- 1 can't do that until the Attorney General issued a valid
- 2 rule, which -- we are contesting that the 2007 rule is
- 3 not valid. We're saying that our client has standing to
- 4 make that challenge. We were denied the ability to do
- 5 that below.
- 6 And I would like to reserve my time if
- 7 there's no further questions.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 9 Ms. Sherry.
- 10 ORAL ARGUMENT OF MELISSA ARBUS SHERRY
- 11 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT
- 12 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Mr. Chief Justice, and
- 13 may it please the Court:
- If I could start by answering your question,
- 15 Your Honor, about the Wetterling Act, it was not
- 16 retroactive. It didn't apply to pre-enactment conduct.
- 17 It defined a sex offender, unlike SORNA, as somebody who
- 18 is convicted of a sex offense, and in quidelines issued
- 19 after Wetterling and after several subsequent amendments
- 20 to the Wetterling Act, the Attorney General interpreted
- 21 it as only requiring States to register offenders that
- 22 are convicted not only post-enactment but
- 23 post-implementation by the States. And one such cite
- 24 is 61 --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Post- what?

- 1 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Post-implementation by
- 2 the States. And so, 61 Federal Register cite 15,112 is
- 3 just one example of that type of regulation. And so,
- 4 when Congress enacted SORNA, it switched from "is
- 5 convicted" to "was convicted" in order to include
- 6 pre-enactment offenders.
- Justice Kagan, to get to your question about
- 8 why is it all so complicated, our answer is that it
- 9 really is not. There's no reason why it couldn't have
- 10 applied on day one to all pre-enactment and
- 11 pre-implementation offenders. And to start off, when
- 12 you look about all pre-enactment and pre-implementation,
- 13 this is an incredibly large class. This is all existing
- 14 sex offenders on day one and all existing sex offenders
- 15 from many months and years going forward while the
- 16 States proceed towards implementation.
- JUSTICE BREYER: So, why --
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: But then, as I indicated,
- 19 why would Congress have given you the authority to
- 20 exempt people? It seems to me that the -- the burden is
- on you in the exact same way it's on Ms. Cain.
- 22 MS. SHERRY: In our view, what subsection
- 23 (d) was, essentially, was a safety valve. It wasn't
- 24 something that Congress thought the Attorney General was
- 25 going to need to use, but it was something that was

- 1 there for the Attorney General, should problems arise in
- 2 the course of implementation.
- JUSTICE ALITO: What would happen in -- in
- 4 this situation: Someone is convicted of a sex offense
- 5 before SORNA is enacted; then shortly after the statute
- 6 is enacted, the person moves to a new State, does not
- 7 register; then after that, the Attorney General,
- 8 exercising the authority that you say he has under --
- 9 exercising -- excuse me, exercising the authority under
- 10 subsection (d), determines that SORNA shouldn't apply to
- 11 people who were convicted of offenses before its
- 12 enactment? Would that person have committed a criminal
- 13 offense?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I think at the -- at the
- 15 time he acted, yes. I suppose the Attorney General
- 16 could decide whether or not he was going to apply his
- 17 regulation prospectively or retrospectively. But I
- 18 think the important point is the same result is reached
- 19 under Petitioner's view.
- 20 On Petitioner's view, the Attorney General
- 21 has full and complete control of the light switch.
- 22 Congress didn't do anything and simply left it for the
- 23 Attorney General to turn the lights on. We don't think
- 24 that's right for a number of different reasons, one of
- 25 which is the way that Congress delegated authority to

- 1 Attorney General in subsection (d). If --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if Congress wasn't
- 3 sure whether it wanted -- whether it was appropriate to
- 4 apply SORNA retroactively, then I just -- and,
- 5 therefore, was willing to leave that to the Attorney
- 6 General, then I don't understand why it would have made
- 7 the Act applicable immediately upon enactment --
- 8 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Our --
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: -- pending a determination
- 10 by the Attorney General.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Our understanding is that
- 12 Congress did know that it wanted to include as a general
- 13 matter all pre-enactment -- and again, not just
- 14 pre-enactment but pre-implementation offenders as --
- 15 offenders as well. And I think we know that because
- 16 when you look to the provisions that actually speak to
- 17 what a sex offender was required to do under the Act --
- 18 and there are six such provisions -- they all start the
- 19 same way. They say that the sex offender shall do
- 20 something. And it defines the sex offender as somebody
- 21 who was convicted.
- When you look at all six of those provisions
- 23 on their face, they apply to all sex offenders so
- 24 defined without any qualification. And Petitioner's
- 25 view is that despite that clear language, despite the

- 1 lack of any qualification within those provisions, by
- 2 virtue of subsection (d) what Congress is really saying
- 3 is that nobody has to register until the Attorney
- 4 General says otherwise.
- JUSTICE BREYER: So --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So, how do they know
- 7 where to register? Do you agree with your adversary
- 8 that -- that they have to register under SORNA?
- 9 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: No, I -- they don't have
- 10 to register under SORNA --
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So, how were they
- 12 supposed to know when or how they would register until
- 13 the Attorney General acted?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Sure. If I could break
- 15 it up into a few classes. Again, we are talking about
- 16 pretty much -- actually, we are talking about everybody
- on day one. And so, for a number of pre-enactment and
- 18 pre-implementation offenders, they're still going to be
- in prison on the day that SORNA was enacted.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm not talking about
- 21 those people.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Okay. So --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Not the people who can
- 24 comply with (b).
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Okay.

- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm talking about the --
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: So, people -- and then
- 3 the second group I was going to talk about are offenders
- 4 like Reynolds, who have already registered before SORNA
- 5 was enacted. They're already initially registered.
- 6 It's the very same State registry system that
- 7 pre-existed SORNA. There is no creation of any SORNA
- 8 registry, and the statute itself doesn't talk about a
- 9 SORNA-compliant registry. To the contrary, it defines a
- 10 sex offender registry in 16911, subsection (9). And
- 11 it's on page 10a of our brief. It defines a sex
- 12 offender registry as a registry of sex offenders
- 13 maintained by a jurisdiction.
- So, these are the same registries that have
- 15 been in existence in all 50 States for the last decade.
- 16 So, offenders like Reynolds don't have to do anything
- under (b); (b) simply doesn't apply to them. They do,
- 18 however, as pointed out, have to comply with the other
- 19 provisions. They do have to do what (c) requires, which
- 20 is when Reynolds moved from Missouri to Pennsylvania, he
- 21 had to tell somebody. That is what (c) requires; it's
- 22 what he was required to do even before SORNA was
- 23 enacted. And what Congress did with respect to the
- 24 subset of sex offenders that haven't already registered
- 25 before SORNA but that need to get on the registry rolls

- 1 afterwards because, for example, their sex offense
- 2 wasn't covered before SORNA --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So, your -- your
- 4 argument is that "requirements" in the heading for 42
- 5 U.S.C. 16913, Registration requirements for sex
- offenders, means something different than "requirements"
- 7 in subsection (d), which the Attorney General can issue
- 8 rules about, because you're saying, although there is
- 9 the requirement that they register and comply with (c)
- 10 and all those other things, when it says that the
- 11 Attorney General can issue regulations specifying the
- 12 applicability of the requirements of this subchapter,
- 13 that only meant the administration, you know,
- 14 provisions, not the general requirement that you
- 15 register and keep current and all that.
- 16 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: No, I don't think that
- 17 that is what we are saying. What we view (d) as,
- 18 essentially, is a safety valve. It does give the
- 19 Attorney General that authority with respect to the
- 20 requirements, but going forward. Congress has set the
- 21 baseline; Congress has set the default rule.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's a safety valve
- 23 to release what?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: To release sex offenders
- 25 if needed to -- to perhaps suspend certain registration

- 1 requirements. And let me give a couple of examples.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, you are
- 3 talking about sort of in the weeds, the little details,
- 4 not the underlying requirement of registration, right?
- 5 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: No, I think it -- I think
- 6 arguably it could be both. Again, I don't think this is
- 7 something that Congress thought the Attorney General was
- 8 necessarily going to have to exercise. And, in fact,
- 9 the Attorney General has not done so.
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: But does that mean, Ms.
- 11 Sherry, that -- that the Attorney General could, if he
- 12 wanted to, for whatever reason, could exempt all
- 13 pre-enactment offenders from SORNA?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I think as a theoretical
- 15 matter, on its face, the delegation of authority in (d)
- 16 is -- is quite broad and plenary. But I think as --
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: And would allow that. So,
- 18 when you say it gave the Attorney General the ability to
- 19 confirm or modify the requirement in section (a), you
- 20 mean he could, if he wanted to, exempt all pre-enactment
- 21 offenders.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: And, again, I say in
- 23 theory because I think, like all delegations of
- 24 authority, the Attorney General is certainly limited to
- 25 acting in furtherance of the purpose of Congress, and

- 1 here we know what was its purpose.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, we had a case
- 3 involving the meaning of "modify," and it doesn't --
- 4 doesn't mean "repeal." So, he presumably couldn't
- 5 suspend the whole thing.
- 6 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I -- I do know what case
- 7 you are talking about, and I have read it, and that's
- 8 certainly true.
- 9 JUSTICE KAGAN: But to confirm --
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You want to share it
- 11 with the rest of us?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I'm not saying I
- 13 definitely remember the name. I think it was MCI, but
- 14 -- but I do know the case you are talking about. I
- mean, here the word is "specify" as opposed to "modify."
- 16 And I guess there could be an argument --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: It authorized the FCC to
- 18 modify the requirement to post rates, and the FCC simply
- 19 eliminated the requirement to post rates, and we said
- that that was no good.
- 21 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: And -- and I -- I suppose
- 22 a similar argument could be made with respect to
- 23 "specify." I don't think it necessarily has to be --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Leaving the language aside,
- 25 I'd like to go back to what Justice Sotomayor was

- 1 asking. We are talking, it seems to me, about section
- 2 2250. He was convicted of violating, criminally, that
- 3 section. So, I have no problem about the statute
- 4 applying to all these people; it's a question of how it
- 5 applies.
- 6 All right. Imagine with me that we have an
- 7 individual who was convicted a year ago and sentenced to
- 8 a 5-year term. Does the statute apply to him?
- 9 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: He was convicted a year
- 10 ago --
- 11 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, correct.
- 12 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: -- of a sex offense?
- 13 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, correct.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: In our view, the statute
- 15 does apply.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Of course, it does. Of
- 17 course, it does.
- Now, he hasn't registered yet. He's in jail
- 19 for 4 more years. So, has he violated 2250 so far?
- 20 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: He has not.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Not? Thank you.
- So, a person who has recently -- recently --
- 23 committed the crime, is in prison, is under an
- 24 obligation to register, is yet not in violation because
- 25 the time for initially registration -- registering has

- 1 not yet expired. Now let's go back to a person who is
- 2 far less certain how it applies. He committed the crime
- 3 10 or 15 years ago. He has long since been released
- 4 from prison.
- 5 There are, as you point out, several
- 6 categories. One is a person who has to -- who should,
- 7 under Michigan State law, register, but he didn't.
- 8 Another is a person who did and moved. You know, there
- 9 are several categories.
- Now, is he in violation of 2250? Your point
- 11 is he is immediately, even though it was much less clear
- 12 that it applied to him, much less clear. And much less
- 13 clear -- in fact, it doesn't say when he is supposed to
- 14 register, but still 2250 applies to him.
- I just wonder how that could be,
- 16 particularly when we have three sentences, indeed, which
- 17 seem to me to tell the Attorney General, certainly,
- 18 please deal with that kind of a case.
- 19 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: If I could start with
- 20 2250 and then go back to subsection (d), that is not our
- 21 position. 2250 is the criminal provision. What we're
- 22 actually looking at here are the registration --
- 23 JUSTICE BREYER: I thought he was convicted
- 24 of a crime.
- 25 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: -- requirements.

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: I thought he was convicted
- of a crime under 2250. That's why I asked the question.
- 3 And his lawyer said, in response to my question, that
- 4 one of the things she wants to argue is that he cannot
- 5 be convicted under 2250 until he is under a legal
- 6 obligation to register, and that initial registration is
- 7 not a legal obligation until the Attorney General makes
- 8 his rules. I thought that was the argument.
- 9 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Let me make an important
- 10 distinction. If we're actually talking about Reynolds
- 11 here, you are right, but Reynolds was not convicted and
- 12 was not prosecuted for failing to comply with the
- initial registration requirements in subsection (b). He
- 14 was convicted and prosecuted for failing to comply with
- 15 the timing requirements in subsection (c), which are
- 16 quite clear as applied to offenders like Reynolds, who
- 17 have already registered or already in the system.
- 18 What he did was he traveled from Missouri --
- JUSTICE BREYER: So, subsection (c) says he
- 20 has to, not later than 3 days --
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: Where is this? Do you want
- 22 to tell us where it is?
- 23 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I'm sorry. This is on
- 24 12(a) of the Government's brief.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: It's very helpful to know

- 1 what you're talking about.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Absolutely.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: But you're suggesting, Ms.
- 4 Sherry, that (b) and (c) have nothing to do with each
- 5 other. And, in fact, one can read (a), (b), and (c) as
- 6 all integrally linked and referring only to
- 7 post-enactment offenders. So, (a) is the umbrella
- 8 provision. It says "a sex offender shall register, and
- 9 keep the registration current"; (b) says how you shall
- 10 register initially; and (c) says how you shall keep that
- 11 registration current.
- So, all three of these refer only to
- 13 post-enactment offenders. And then (d) comes along and
- 14 says, by the way, the Attorney General can apply all of
- 15 this to pre-enactment offenders as well and can specify
- 16 how to do that.
- 17 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Again, I don't think
- 18 that's right. And if it helps, I'd like to walk through
- 19 the different provisions. The one thing I would say on
- 20 the outset, however, is when you say that -- when you
- 21 read those sections, you can read them as only applying
- 22 to post-enactment offenders -- I don't think that's
- 23 right, especially because of subsection (b), because on
- 24 the day that SORNA was enacted, every single person in
- 25 prison at that time was by definition a pre-enactment

- 1 offender. And so, on its face when you read subsection
- 2 (b), it quite easily applies to quite a number of
- 3 pre-enactment offenders.
- 4 And the other point I would make along those
- 5 lines is the fact that subsection (d) just doesn't talk
- 6 about pre-enactment; it talks about pre-implementation
- 7 offenders. So, offenders that were convicted after
- 8 SORNA's enactment but before a State had implemented,
- 9 again, quite easily fit not only within subsection (b)
- 10 but within all the other subsections as well.
- 11 And with respect to the interrelationship
- 12 between them, I think subsection (a) really identifies
- 13 the jurisdictions in which an offender needs to
- 14 register. And so, the first sentence sets out three
- 15 jurisdictions and where an offender both needs to
- 16 register and to keep the information current.
- 17 Subsection (b) really serves a limited
- 18 purpose. It's an intake process. It's getting an
- 19 offender into the system. For offenders like Reynolds
- 20 who are already in the very same system, there's nothing
- 21 to be done under (b); (b) simply doesn't apply to them.
- 22 (B) is applied to people who are not already in that
- 23 system, and for those that can comply with the timing,
- it gets them in before they're released to the
- 25 community.

- 1 But the inability to comply with subsection
- 2 (b) for the small set of offenders that cannot comply
- 3 with the timing requirements, it doesn't immunize them
- 4 from complying with all of the other registration
- 5 requirements.
- JUSTICE BREYER: In other words, you're
- 7 reading (c) as saying, to go back to my example, the
- 8 person who was convicted last year and has 4 more years
- 9 to do his initial registration -- nonetheless, if he
- 10 changes his name, if he stops being a student while in
- 11 prison, he has to register tomorrow or the day after. I
- 12 would say if that's your reading of those two sections,
- 13 it's -- it's going to confuse everybody who is in
- 14 prison, as it did confuse me.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: That is not my reading of
- 16 the statute.
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Then I take it
- 18 your reading is he does not have to fulfill (c) until
- 19 after he has to have initially registered. And so,
- 20 we're back to the question of why you treat somebody who
- 21 committed the crime long ago with less clarity, with
- less time to initially register, with more confusion
- 23 from one jurisdiction than another, than you would treat
- 24 a person who was convicted last year, is still in jail,
- and has 4 more years to register. That's why I read (d)

- 1 as trying to sort that kind of thing out.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Two points on that:
- 3 Number one, for offenders like Reynolds that are already
- 4 registered, there's nothing more to be done as far as
- 5 registration goes. All they need to do is to keep the
- 6 information current and to keep it updated.
- 7 The other point I would make, since we're
- 8 talking about 2250, Congress provided other protections
- 9 for offenders that were unable to comply with the timing
- 10 requirements. Number one, it -- it provided an
- 11 impossibility affirmative defense in 2250(b). And the
- 12 other thing that Congress did is it required that any
- 13 failure to register, in order to be subject to criminal
- 14 sanctions, that it be a knowing failure to register. In
- other words, that the offender know he has a
- 16 registration requirement and know that he is not
- 17 complying with that requirement.
- So, the idea that there are some
- 19 hypothetical, or maybe even not so hypothetical, sex
- 20 offenders out there who can't comply with the precise
- 21 timing in (b) and will -- have no idea what they're
- 22 required to do, they're not going to be -- they're not
- 23 going to be criminally liable under 2250 because there
- 24 is an impossibility defense. And to the extent they
- 25 don't know that they have a registration requirement,

- 1 they're also not going to be criminally liable under
- 2 2250.
- 3 And so --
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Why -- why isn't
- 5 part of your answer to Justice Breyer's question that
- 6 the one person who doesn't have to register for 4 years
- 7 is in prison already; so, presumably, he doesn't present
- 8 the same type of threat that led to the enactment of
- 9 these registration laws in the first place?
- 10 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: That's absolutely right.
- 11 I mean, the release from -- the reason the release from
- 12 prison is the -- is the trigger is because the concern
- 13 and the reason we have registration is for periods of
- 14 time where these offenders are released into the
- 15 community.
- And that's why the timing requirement in (b)
- 17 is there. The notion is that, before offenders are
- 18 released into the community, we want to get them on the
- 19 registry rolls; we want to be able to track them from
- 20 the day that they're released.
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: I see. Your view is that
- 22 they have to register initially when?
- 23 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: If they have not?
- JUSTICE BREYER: No, no, I'm just saying
- 25 take my example. The person is in Michigan. Michigan

- does have a sex registration thing, but he never
- 2 actually did it. So, now this Federal Act comes in, and
- 3 now when is he supposed to register? When's his initial
- 4 registration?
- 5 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: He is to register within
- 6 a reasonable time.
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, reasonable time.
- 8 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: He can only be --
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: And what is a reasonable
- 10 time?
- 11 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Given the other timing in
- 12 the rest of the requirements, something probably along
- 13 the lines of, give or take, 3 business days. The
- 14 important point, however --
- JUSTICE BREYER: In 3 business days, he's
- 16 supposed to go out and do that, and if he doesn't do it,
- 17 he has committed a Federal crime which makes no mention
- 18 of it, no mention at all, and he's just supposed to
- 19 guess that that's 3 business days because he's a lawyer;
- is that why?
- 21 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: No, it's actually -- it's
- 22 not unique with respect to the statute. It's quite
- 23 common for status offenses, and let me try to give one
- 24 example. One of the statutes that this Court looked at
- 25 fairly recently, 922(g)(9), makes it unlawful to possess

- 1 a firearm after having a conviction for a misdemeanor
- 2 crime of domestic violence. That statute was passed in
- 3 1996, and it applied to everybody who has been convicted
- 4 of a domestic violence offense.
- 5 And so, if an individual had a domestic
- 6 violence conviction in 1990, for example, and had a
- 7 firearm in his house, in his possession, for the last
- 8 20 years, when the statute was passed in 1996 he was in
- 9 violation of the statute. Of course, he couldn't be
- 10 criminally prosecuted unless he was given some
- 11 reasonable period of time to get rid of the firearm.
- 12 But there's nothing unique with respect to that.
- And, again, the criminal provision here,
- 14 2250, provides additional protections. It has an
- 15 affirmative defense for impossibility, and it requires
- 16 that there be knowledge. So, for an offender that knows
- 17 he is required to register, he's given a reasonable
- 18 amount of time to come into compliance with that
- 19 registration requirement.
- 20 Reynolds, in particular, is a good example
- 21 here of what Congress was trying to get at. Reynolds
- 22 knew he was required to tell somebody when he moved from
- 23 Missouri to Pennsylvania. He knew that because he
- 24 signed registration forms in Missouri telling him as
- 25 much. And those are in the joint appendix at pages

- 1 16 --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But those were under
- 3 Missouri law, not under the Federal statute.
- 4 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: They were -- they were
- 5 under Missouri law, but the important point for SORNA
- 6 purposes is that he knew he had a registration
- 7 requirement. He doesn't have to know what law it arises
- 8 under. And, again, the sex offender registries that
- 9 pre-existed SORNA are the exact same sex offender
- 10 registries that SORNA is using.
- 11 SORNA was enacted in 2006. It wasn't
- 12 starting over; it wasn't starting from scratch. It
- 13 wanted to build on the previous regime. It wanted to
- 14 fix it and make it better and fill in gaps and fill in
- 15 loopholes and stitch all of the State systems --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And providing
- 17 criminal penalties that weren't always there.
- 18 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Well, the criminal
- 19 penalties --
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That's a big change.
- 21 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: The criminal penalties --
- 22 the Federal felony criminal penalties were not there
- 23 before. Wetterling did have a misdemeanor penalty, and
- 24 a number of States did have penalties. But, again, the
- 25 criminal penalty is distinct from the registration

- 1 requirement, which is what we actually are looking at
- 2 and what we're interpreting, the registration
- 3 requirement, violation of which can result in criminal
- 4 penalties in certain circumstances. But, again,
- 5 Congress provided additional protections for those
- 6 circumstances. The registration requirements themselves
- 7 not only apply to sex offenders and tell sex offenders
- 8 what they're required to do; it also tells States and
- 9 other jurisdictions what they're required to do if they
- 10 want to --
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Your theory --
- 12 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: -- actually implement.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Your theory of what
- 14 the Attorney General did here, as you put in your -- I
- 15 forget what, the regulations or the -- was confirm the
- 16 applicability of SORNA, right?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Our --
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That's the word you
- 19 used, I think, on page 12 of your brief.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: We did. One of the
- 21 things he did was confirm. In the interim rule, the
- 22 Attorney General, in the preamble section, read the
- 23 statute exactly as --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right.
- 25 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: -- as we read the

- 1 statute.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What is the other
- 3 example -- do you have any other example where an
- 4 Attorney General confirms the applicability of a
- 5 criminal law?
- 6 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I don't know if I would
- 7 say "confirm." There certainly are other examples where
- 8 the Attorney General has had authority and exercised
- 9 authority to define certain aspects of criminal law.
- 10 Touby is one example of such a case. And I think --
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, that's
- 12 different. I mean, if you're talking about defining
- 13 which drugs are qualified, you know, under provisions
- 14 that criminalize possession, things like that. That's
- 15 is clarification going forward. I'm talking about
- 16 straightforward confirming, which is what you say
- 17 happened here.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Oh, well --
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You know, the law
- 20 says this, and I -- I think it means -- I think it means
- 21 what -- what you say it means.
- 22 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I mean, I think there are
- 23 a number of examples where, for example, agencies do
- 24 little more than restate what the statute says. I think
- 25 that this Court doesn't give deference in those

- 1 circumstances, but it's certainly within the scope of
- 2 the general authority of an agency or the Attorney
- 3 General in this case to reiterate the statute's
- 4 requirement.
- 5 The Attorney General went -- went a step
- 6 further in the interim rule in that what the Attorney
- 7 General said in the preamble is: I read the statute as
- 8 written. I think it applies facially to all sex
- 9 offenders regardless of the date of conviction, but I
- 10 understand the defendants are making an argument to the
- 11 contrary. And in an abundance of caution, to foreclose
- 12 that argument to the extent I need to do something under
- 13 subsection (d), I'm doing it now, and I'm saying that,
- 14 yes, it applies to all pre-enactment and
- 15 pre-implementation offenders. And I think --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So, I get back to my
- 17 question, which -- what's your best example of an
- 18 Attorney General doing something like that?
- 19 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Confirming? I don't know
- 20 if I have one in a criminal context exactly, but I think
- 21 the point maybe that Your Honor is getting at -- you can
- 22 certainly correct me if I'm wrong -- might be a point
- 23 that you made earlier. It certainly is somewhat unusual
- 24 delegation of authority to the Attorney General. If
- 25 Congress had wanted the Attorney General to decide

- 1 whether or not the registration requirements at the very
- 2 core of this statute had any operative effect going
- 3 forward, presumably it would have told the Attorney
- 4 General that he needed to do something.
- 5 That's something that Congress did in many
- 6 other provisions of SORNA where Congress said the
- 7 Attorney General shall do something. In fact, more than
- 8 a dozen provisions -- Congress used that language to
- 9 direct the Attorney General to take a certain action.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, here it says
- 11 "shall." It says, "The Attorney General shall have the
- 12 authority to specify the applicability of the
- 13 requirements of this subsection."
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: But it says "shall have
- 15 the authority." And I think there's a significant
- 16 difference between "shall specify" and "shall have the
- 17 authority to specify." The latter is a passive
- 18 delegation of authority; it's a permissive delegation.
- 19 It suggests that Congress did not think that the
- 20 Attorney General had to do something for the statute to
- 21 apply as written. It suggests that the statute applied
- on day one to all pre-enactment and pre-implementation
- 23 offenders as all the other subsections that set forth
- 24 the registration requirements suggest, but if the
- 25 Attorney General in the future sees a need to specify

- 1 the applicability going forward, then he has the
- 2 authority to do that. Not that he --
- 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: But the question --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You're starting from a
- 5 proposition, counsel, it seems to me, that Congress
- 6 necessarily and under all circumstances thought that it
- 7 had to include pre-SORNA convictions. But I don't
- 8 know -- yes, it wanted a uniform system, but it had
- 9 State systems in place; it had an imperfect Wetterling
- 10 Act in place. It had lots of other mechanisms in place
- 11 to punish non-registrants.
- So, you're starting from the proposition
- that, by necessity, they wanted to include preconviction
- 14 felonies. But I guess for those of us who believe in
- 15 legislative history, and I know many of my colleagues
- 16 don't believe in it or pay attention to it, there were
- 17 two bills passed on SORNA, one a House bill that made it
- 18 very clear, explicitly clear, that it applied to
- 19 pre-SORNA conviction felons; and the Senate bill which
- 20 under the label Retroactivity had the terms that (d) now
- 21 has.
- Doesn't that suggest to us that Congress
- 23 itself was unsure of whether it wanted to include the
- 24 pre-SORNA convictions or not?
- 25 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I don't think so, and for

- 1 two reasons. First, to address the bills themselves, I
- 2 don't think the Senate bill, just like I don't think
- 3 subsection (d) means that Congress meant to apply the
- 4 registration requirements to all pre-enactment offenders
- 5 in the registration provisions and then take away that
- 6 application in the specify the applicability provision.
- 7 In the Senate bill that you're talking
- 8 about, it defined a sex offender as anybody who has been
- 9 convicted of a sex offense. And as this Court said in
- 10 Carr, that's the language that Congress quite often uses
- 11 when it intends to include pre-enactment conduct.
- So, I think the verb choice, both in the
- 13 Senate bill, in the House bill, and in the bill that was
- 14 actually enacted, indicates that it did intend to
- 15 include pre-enactment offenders.
- The other point I'd want to make is, again,
- 17 another point that was made in Carr, which is that the
- 18 registration requirements stand at the very center of
- 19 Congress's efforts to find and to register the 100,000
- 20 missing sex offenders that had fallen off the registry
- 21 rolls under the previous regime.
- So, I think it is quite clear with respect
- 23 to SORNA that Congress did want to include pre-enactment
- 24 offenders. It wanted to not only find those missing sex
- 25 offenders; it wanted to make sure that they got back on

- 1 the registry rolls. And as far as the hundreds of
- 2 thousand offenders that were already on the registry
- 3 rolls when SORNA was enacted, they wanted to make sure
- 4 that they stayed on the registry rolls, that they kept
- 5 the information current, they continued to update their
- 6 information going forward.
- 7 And, again, with respect to pre-enactment
- 8 offenders that were in prison at the time that SORNA was
- 9 enacted, it wanted to make sure to get them on the
- 10 registry rolls before they left prison, before they
- 11 entered the community.
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I guess my problem is
- 13 that you make an assumption, you continue to make an
- 14 assumption that if the Attorney General hadn't acted --
- 15 that the Attorney General was incapable of acting
- 16 quickly.
- 17 I mean, if the Attorney General had, within
- 18 a few months, done what he ultimately did a year later
- 19 or whatever time period after, had come out and said it
- 20 applies; this is what you do; briefly, you register
- 21 wherever you were convicted or -- et cetera, if you move
- 22 or change your name -- then Congress would have
- 23 accomplished the goal it wanted.
- 24 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: If the -- if Congress had
- 25 wanted the Attorney General to act and to act quickly,

- 1 presumably Congress would have told the Attorney General
- 2 that he had to do something. Again, that's something
- 3 Congress did in many other provisions of SORNA.
- 4 Congress wasn't shy about --
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, why did -- the
- 6 Attorney General did try to act very swiftly, and if the
- 7 Attorney General thought that SORNA applied from day
- 8 one, why did the Attorney General try to rush through
- 9 regulation that said nothing more than SORNA applies?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Because when the Attorney
- 11 General issued the interim rule, what he said was that
- 12 reading it on the face, I do think it applies to
- everybody, but I recognize the defendants are making an
- 14 alternative argument. And I think it's incredibly
- 15 important that it apply to everybody, and that it apply
- 16 to everyone quickly, because we're talking about
- 17 protecting our communities; we're talking about
- 18 protecting the public and protecting our children from
- 19 sex offenders. And having this uncertainty out there is
- 20 -- is not only not good for protecting the public, but
- 21 it's not good for sex offenders; it's not good for
- 22 jurisdictions that are trying to work towards
- 23 substantial implementation of SORNA.
- And so, I think you could look at it one of
- 25 two ways. If the idea is, well, Congress left it to the

- 1 Attorney General, but the Attorney General could have
- 2 acted very quickly, I think that suggests that there
- 3 probably wasn't that much for the Attorney General to do
- 4 in the first place, and there's little reason that
- 5 Congress would not have made that decision on its own.
- 6 To the extent you think there was a whole
- 7 bunch of things for the Attorney General to do, which,
- 8 again, we disagree with, presumably that's something
- 9 that would take some time. And during the interim,
- 10 period those 100,000 sex offenders would remain missing;
- 11 additional sex offenders would be added to that number;
- 12 and the community and the public would continue to be at
- 13 risk going forward.
- 14 If there --
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What if -- what if
- 16 we think the reason Congress left it to the Attorney
- 17 General is because they just didn't want to decide? Or
- 18 some people were saying this is fine but not
- 19 retroactive, and others were saying it should be
- 20 retroactive. Do you see any constitutional issues with
- 21 Congress delegating that authority to the Attorney
- 22 General, the authority to make a criminal statute
- 23 applicable on a retroactive basis?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: We don't see any
- 25 constitutional difficulty with it. Of course, we don't

- 1 think that's what Congress did. But we do -- we do
- 2 think that the notion that Congress would delegate such
- 3 a fundamental issue to the Attorney General in such
- 4 subtle and opaque terms that the Attorney General didn't
- 5 think he needed to do anything is quite significant when
- 6 you look to see what -- what Congress was intending.
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: Of course, it would
- 8 strengthen your case if you would at least acknowledge
- 9 that it would be constitutionally doubtful. You
- 10 wouldn't have to say it's bad, but if you said it's
- 11 doubtful --
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: That is --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- it would strengthen your
- 14 case, wouldn't it?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: That -- that might
- 16 strengthen our case here --
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: But it would also work
- 18 against your own interpretation, because your own
- 19 interpretation allows you to exempt anybody that you
- 20 want from the statute; isn't that right?
- 21 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: It does, but we do think
- there's a different starting point, and the difference
- 23 in starting point is a fundamental difference, as Your
- 24 Honor noted. Our argument looks like a lot like
- 25 prosecutorial discretion; whereas, the other starting

- 1 point is that Congress decided nothing and left it all
- 2 to the Attorney General.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 4 Ms. Cain, you have 3 minutes remaining.
- 5 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF CANDACE CAIN
- ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- 7 MS. CAIN: I'd like to address one point the
- 8 Government made, that there's no need to re-register --
- 9 someone in Mr. Reynolds's position -- once they've been
- 10 registered under State law. They acknowledge the
- 11 opposite themselves in footnote 12, where they say
- 12 that -- that a government or a State will have been
- deemed to substantially implement SORNA if it registers
- 14 pre-enactment and pre-implementation sex offenders who
- 15 remain in the system as registrants, as well as other
- 16 people. So, it's clear that the Government
- 17 believes that -- acknowledges that people who are
- 18 already registered must re-register under SORNA.
- 19 The most important thing is that this -- the
- 20 SORNA statute -- the obligation under SORNA begins with
- 21 initial registration and does not begin with a State
- 22 registration. And enactment -- Congress knew that
- 23 certain people would be unable to register under
- 24 subsection (b), and that is why they enacted subsection
- 25 (d).

## Official

1	We ask the Court to remand to the district
2	court and to allow Mr. Reynolds to pursue his claim.
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel
4	The case is submitted.
5	(Whereupon, at 12:05 p.m., the case in the
6	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1	1		
<b>A</b>	30:13	37:22 42:3	2:2,5,8 3:3,6	46:25 47:3,7,9
<b>ability</b> 7:15 12:7	admitting 5:10	47:21 48:18	11:13 13:24	47:11,20,25
22:5 24:4 31:18	adopted 13:16	51:7	14:9 17:25	50:14,15,17,25
<b>able</b> 40:19	adoption 10:1	applies 10:18	24:10 30:4	51:1,6,7,8,10
above-entitled	adversary 28:7	12:3 33:5 34:2	32:16,22 35:8	52:1,1,3,7,16
1:11 55:6	affirmative	34:14 37:2 46:8	46:10,12 51:14	52:21 53:3,4
absolutely 36:2	39:11 42:15	46:14 50:20	53:24 54:5	54:2
40:10	<b>AG</b> 6:8	51:9,12	arises 43:7	<b>August</b> 9:6,10,12
abundance 46:11	agencies 45:23	<b>apply</b> 3:15 4:16	arrest 17:8	9:15,18,21
abuse 5:22 11:7	agency 46:2	6:2 13:1 14:5	Arrested 17:6	13:20
11:21	<b>ago</b> 33:7,10 34:3	14:20,21 15:2	<b>aside</b> 32:24	authority 3:14,20
abused 11:19	38:21	22:4 24:16	asked 35:2	18:24 19:1,7
accept 8:23	agree 28:7	26:10,16 27:4	asking 7:17 8:22	25:19 26:8,9,25
accepted 10:10	agrees 15:24	27:23 29:17	33:1	30:19 31:15,24
accomplished	<b>ALITO</b> 9:25 26:3	33:8,15 36:14	aspects 45:9	45:8,9 46:2,24
50:23	27:2,9	37:21 44:7	Assistant 1:15	47:12,15,17,18
accords 5:7,24	allegedly 13:18	47:21 49:3	1:17	48:2 52:21,22
acknowledge	allow31:17 55:2	51:15,15	assume 10:10	authorized 10:21
53:8 54:10	<b>allows</b> 53:19	applying 33:4	assumes 19:11	32:17
acknowledges	alternative 51:14	36:21	assuming 22:23	automatic 17:24
54:17	amending 5:17	appropriate 27:3	22:24,25	aware 6:23
act 4:5,10,11,16	amendments	<b>ARBUS</b> 1:17 2:6	assumption	<b>a.m</b> 1:13 3:2
4:19,24 5:2,6	24:19	24:10,12 25:1	50:13,14	
5:12,14,17	<b>amount</b> 22:15,20	26:14 27:8,11	attention 48:16	<u> </u>
16:10 24:15,20	42:18	28:9,14,22,25	<b>Attorney</b> 3:14,20	<b>b</b> 14:15 20:23,23
27:7,17 41:2	answer5:10	29:2 30:16,24	3:24 5:11 6:3	28:24 29:17,17
48:10 50:25,25	10:10 21:18,23	31:5,14,22 32:6	6:11,13,17,18	35:13 36:4,5,9
51:6	23:19 25:8 40:5	32:12,21 33:9	6:21,24 7:1,12	36:23 37:2,9,17
acted 7:22 26:15	answering 24:14	33:12,14,20	7:15,22 8:5,7,8	37:21,21,22
28:13 50:14	anybody 49:8	34:19,25 35:9	8:15,24 9:4	38:2 39:21
52:2	53:19	35:23 36:2,17	10:6,19,20 13:9	40:16 54:24
acting 31:25	anyway 7:21	38:15 39:2	14:4 15:4,12	<b>back</b> 4:17 10:9
50:15	APPEARANC	40:10,23 41:5,8	16:24 17:3	17:9 32:25 34:1
action 3:24 10:19	1:14	41:11,21 43:4	18:11 20:17	34:20 38:7,20
47:9	appendix 42:25	43:18,21 44:12	21:19 22:1,2	46:16 49:25
acts 5:12,22 15:5	applicability	44:17,20,25	23:13,18 24:1	bad 53:10
added 5:6,17	30:12 44:16	45:6,18,22	24:20 25:24	baseline 30:21
52:11	45:4 47:12 48:1	46:19 47:14	26:1,7,15,20	basis 10:22
addition 23:11	49:6	48:25 50:24	26:23 27:1,5,10	11:20 52:23
additional 42:14	applicable 27:7	51:10 52:24	28:3,13 30:7,11	begins 54:20
44:5 52:11	52:23	53:12,15,21	30:19 31:7,9,11	behalf 2:4,7,10
address 49:1	application 49:6	arguably 31:6	31:18,24 34:17	3:7 24:11 54:6
54:7	applied4:3,22	argue 35:4	35:7 36:14	behavior 6:12
administration	15:22 25:10	arguing 7:9	44:14,22 45:4,8	<b>believe</b> 48:14,16
	34:12 35:16	argument 1:12	46:2,5,6,18,24	believes 54:17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	l

<b>best</b> 46:17	10:3,17,24 11:2	10:15,23 12:17	14:5,12 15:2	39:9,20
better 5:7,24	11:6,9,14,17	12:19,24 13:3,5	20:22 22:14	complying 38:4
43:14	11:23 12:3,10	13:14,14 24:4	24:3	39:17
<b>big</b> 43:20	12:13,21,25	challenging	<b>close</b> 8:13	conceivably
<b>bill</b> 48:17,19 49:2	13:6,11,21 14:1	12:15,18 13:8	closer 8:21	10:15
49:7,13,13,13	14:6,8,12,14	<b>change</b> 43:20	colleagues 48:15	concern 40:12
<b>bills</b> 48:17 49:1	14:22,24 15:8	50:22	come 42:18	concerned 17:20
<b>BILLY</b> 1:3	15:11,16,24	changes 18:21	50:19	<b>conduct</b> 7:10,11
<b>books</b> 19:19	16:4,7 17:8,19	38:10	<b>comes</b> 36:13	24:16 49:11
brackets 13:18	18:1,9,15 19:3	Chief 3:3,8 4:9	41:2	<b>confirm</b> 31:19
<b>break</b> 28:14	19:10,17,23	4:14,21,25 12:9	commanded	32:9 44:15,21
<b>BREYER</b> 14:19	20:5,8,20 21:2	12:11 13:23	11:16	45:7
14:23,25 15:10	21:17 22:18,23	14:2,7 24:8,12	comment 9:6,8	confirming 45:16
25:17 28:5	23:2,9,12,23	30:3,22 31:2	12:12,16 13:13	46:19
32:24 33:11,13	25:21 54:4,5,7	32:10 40:4	13:25 14:3	confirms 45:4
33:16,21 34:23	called 5:5	43:16,20 44:11	comments 14:4	<b>confuse</b> 38:13,14
35:1,19 38:6,17	CANDACE 1:15	44:13,18,24	committed 5:1	confusion 38:22
40:21,24 41:7,9	2:3,9 3:6 54:5	45:2,11,19	5:12 20:4 26:12	Congress 3:13
41:15	capabilities	46:16 47:10	33:23 34:2	4:5 6:15 15:1
Breyer's 40:5	18:19	52:15 54:3 55:3	38:21 41:17	15:17,19,21
<b>brief</b> 15:18,25	<b>Carr</b> 20:14 49:10	children 51:18	<b>common</b> 41:23	16:5 17:15,17
16:22 29:11	49:17	<b>choice</b> 49:12	communities	18:12 21:7 25:4
35:24 44:19	<b>case</b> 3:4 7:8 9:9	circular 11:13	51:17	25:19,24 26:22
<b>briefly</b> 50:20	9:11,14,17,22	circumstances	community 37:25	26:25 27:2,12
<b>bring</b> 3:25 11:19	13:3,3 18:22	20:24 44:4,6	40:15,18 50:11	28:2 29:23
17:9 18:3	22:24 32:2,6,14	46:1 48:6	52:12	30:20,21 31:7
<b>broad</b> 31:16	34:18 45:10	cite 24:23 25:2	complete 26:21	31:25 39:8,12
brought 7:3	46:3 53:8,14,16	civil 6:25 7:23	completely 16:23	42:21 44:5
<b>build</b> 43:13	55:4,5	<b>claim</b> 9:13 11:21	compliance	46:25 47:5,6,8
<b>bunch</b> 52:7	categories 34:6	12:12 55:2	18:23 20:7 23:4	47:19 48:5,22
burden25:20	34:9	claims 8:14	42:18	49:3,10,23
business 41:13	cause 22:7	clarification	compliant 17:12	50:22,24 51:1,3
41:15,19	caution 46:11	45:15	complicated	51:4,25 52:5,16
<b>Byrne</b> 17:13	center 49:18	clarify 5:9	15:18,21 25:8	52:21 53:1,2,6
	certain 3:10	clarity 38:21	complication	54:1,22
<u>C</u>	15:19 16:22	class 7:12,19	17:3	congressional
<b>c</b> 2:1 3:1 29:19	18:3 30:25 34:2	25:13	complications	5:8,25
29:21 30:9	44:4 45:9 47:9	classes 28:15	15:25 16:3 22:8	Congress's
35:15,19 36:4,5	54:23	clear 27:25 34:11	comply 3:12	49:19
36:10 38:7,18	certainly 21:10	34:12,13 35:16	14:14 19:2,3,5	consideration
Cain 1:15 2:3,9	31:24 32:8	48:18,18 49:22	19:20,23 20:3,9	4:5 16:19
3:5,6,8 4:11,18	34:17 45:7 46:1	54:16	20:11 21:13,14	constitutional
4:23 5:3,14,23	46:22,23	<b>client</b> 7:19 9:23	28:24 29:18	52:20,25
6:23 7:14,24	cetera 50:21	10:5,20 11:1,8	30:9 35:12,14	constitutionally
9:1,14,19,23	challenge 10:13	11:11,16,22	37:23 38:1,2	53:9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				30
contain 19:25	covered 4:7 6:7	12:3 17:16 22:4	14:17	20:15,16 21:25
contest 7:15	7:11,19,20,21	25:10,14 28:17	<b>despite</b> 27:25,25	22:1
10:18 11:25	30:2	28:19 36:24	details 6:19 31:3	<b>efforts</b> 49:19
contesting 9:11	create 20:15	38:11 40:20	determination	either 10:14
24:2	creation 29:7	47:22 51:7	17:5 27:9	14:17
context 16:21	<b>crime</b> 7:6,6,6 8:2	days 35:20 41:13	determine 3:15	eliminated 32:19
22:8 46:20	8:6,6,7,10,11	41:15,19	determines	enacted 3:19
continue 50:13	8:15,16,18,23	deal 34:18	26:10	4:24 5:15,17
52:12	8:24 20:4 33:23	decade 29:15	determining 4:3	14:16 19:13,15
continued 50:5	34:2,24 35:2	<b>decide</b> 6:8 26:16	difference 47:16	19:16 20:4 25:4
contrary 29:9	38:21 41:17	46:25 52:17	53:22,23	26:5,6 28:19
46:11	42:2	decided 11:10	different 6:18	29:5,23 36:24
control 26:21	criminal 3:24 5:4	16:25 54:1	15:20 16:10,11	43:11 49:14
convicted 3:10	5:6,11,16,18	deciding 7:1,5	16:20 18:17	50:3,9 54:24
3:18 5:21 6:6	5:18 6:13,16,20	17:4	26:24 30:6	enactment 3:11
7:6 16:14 17:10	7:3,9,10,18,22	decision 17:3	36:19 45:12	4:17 10:1 12:17
24:18,22 25:5,5	10:7 26:12	52:5	53:22	16:17 26:12
26:4,11 27:21	34:21 39:13	deemed 10:4	difficulty 52:25	27:7 37:8 40:8
33:2,7,9 34:23	42:13 43:17,18	17:1,12 54:13	direct 47:9	54:22
35:1,5,11,14	43:21,22,25	default 30:21	disagree 52:8	enforcement
	43.21,22,23	defendants	disagree 32.8 discretion 8:17	5:11
37:7 38:8,24	· ·			
42:3 49:9 50:21	46:20 52:22	46:10 51:13	8:22 11:2,8,9	ensure 4:6
<b>conviction</b> 7:9,10	criminalize 15:6	<b>Defender</b> 1:15	11:17,20 53:25	entail 18:23
7:18 17:21	45:14	<b>defense</b> 39:11,24	<b>distinct</b> 43:25	entered 50:11
22:17 23:7 42:1	criminally 33:2	42:15	distinction 35:10	especially 8:8
42:6 46:9 48:19	39:23 40:1	deference 45:25	district 55:1	22:8 36:23
convictions 18:5	42:10	<b>define</b> 45:9	doing 6:25 17:17	<b>ESQ</b> 1:15,17 2:3
48:7,24	current 21:11	<b>defined</b> 24:17	46:13,18	2:6,9
convinced 14:4	30:15 36:9,11	27:24 49:8	domestic 42:2,4	essentially 25:23
core 7:8 47:2	37:16 39:6 50:5	defines 27:20	42:5	30:18
correct 17:18,25		29:9,11	<b>doubtful</b> 53:9,11	et 50:21
22:17 33:11,13	_	defining 45:12	dozen47:8	everybody 28:16
46:22	<b>d</b> 3:1,21 10:21	definitely 32:13	<b>drugs</b> 45:13	38:13 42:3
counsel 22:12	11:10 22:3	definition 36:25	<b>D.C</b> 1:8,19	51:13,15
24:8 48:5 54:3	25:23 26:10	delegate 53:2	E	exact 25:21 43:9
55:3	27:1 28:2 30:7	delegated 26:25		exactly 15:21
counselor 10:9	30:17 31:15	delegating 3:14	<b>E</b> 2:1 3:1,1	44:23 46:20
couple 31:1	34:20 36:13	52:21	earlier 46:23	example 16:18
course 26:2	37:5 38:25	delegation 31:15	earliest 13:16	16:20 17:2 25:3
33:16,17 42:9	46:13 48:20	46:24 47:18,18	easier 18:10	30:1 38:7 40:25
52:25 53:7	49:3 54:25	delegations	easily 37:2,9	41:24 42:6,20
<b>court</b> 1:1,12 3:9	date 4:17 12:17	31:23	<b>edge</b> 8:13	45:3,3,10,23
24:13 41:24	12:18 20:15,16	denied 24:4	effect 6:5 22:6,6	46:17
45:25 49:9 55:1	23:5 46:9	<b>Department</b> 1:18	47:2	examples 6:14
55:2	day 6:3 10:18	descriptions	effective 4:19	31:1 45:7,23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				<u> </u>
exclude 10:20	felonies 48:14	10:19,20 14:4	16:1,19 25:15	house 42:7 48:17
11:10	<b>felons</b> 48:19	15:5 16:24 17:3	25:25 26:16	49:13
excuse 10:8 26:9	<b>felony</b> 43:22	18:11 20:17	28:18 29:3	hundreds 50:1
executive 6:14	<b>fill</b> 43:14,14	21:19 22:1,2	30:20 31:8	hypothetical
<b>exempt</b> 25:20	<b>final</b> 9:7 10:14	23:13,18 24:1	38:13 39:22,23	39:19,19
31:12,20 53:19	23:4,11	24:20 25:24	40:1 45:15 47:2	
exercise 3:20	<b>find</b> 8:4 49:19,24	26:1,7,15,20	48:1 50:6 52:13	I
11:2 31:8	fine 52:18	26:23 27:1,6,10	<b>good</b> 32:20 42:20	idea 39:18,21
exercised 11:9	<b>firearm</b> 42:1,7	27:12 28:4,13	51:20,21,21	51:25
45:8	42:11	30:7,11,14,19	government 5:25	identifies 37:12
exercising 26:8,9	<b>first</b> 9:4 13:9	31:7,9,11,18	7:20 12:7 15:24	illegal 10:11
26:9	37:14 40:9 49:1	31:24 34:17	16:2 22:4 54:8	<b>Imagine</b> 33:6
exist 14:10	52:4	35:7 36:14	54:12,16	immediately
existence 29:15	<b>fit</b> 20:23 37:9	44:14,22 45:4,8	Government's	27:7 34:11
<b>existing</b> 25:13,14	<b>fix</b> 43:14	46:2,3,5,7,18	16:21 35:24	immunize 38:3
expect 18:13	flexible 18:16,20	46:24,25 47:4,7	<b>grant</b> 17:13	impact 12:1
expired 34:1	footnote 54:11	47:9,11,20,25	grasp7:8	imperfect 48:9
explicitly 48:18	foreclose 46:11	50:14,15,17,25	<b>group</b> 6:8 22:10	implement 6:19
exposed 7:6	<b>forget</b> 44:15	51:1,6,7,8,11	29:3	21:22 23:16
extent 39:24	forms 42:24	52:1,1,3,7,17	guess 32:16	44:12 54:13
46:12 52:6	<b>forth</b> 47:23	52:22 53:3,4	41:19 48:14	implementation
	forward 4:15	54:2	50:12	3:11 16:17
<b>F</b>	25:15 30:20	General's 3:20	guidelines 10:2,4	25:16 26:2
face 27:23 31:15	45:15 47:3 48:1	7:15 10:6,11	21:21 23:14,15	51:23
37:1 51:12	50:6 52:13	13:9 15:12	24:18	implemented
facially 46:8	<b>fulfill</b> 38:18	22:14	guilty 7:5	17:1 21:16 37:8
<b>fact</b> 6:4 7:18 31:8	<b>full</b> 26:21	getting 37:18		implementing
34:13 36:5 37:5	fundamental	46:21	H	4:2
47:7	53:3,23	GINSBURG	<b>happen</b> 18:13	important 26:18
<b>fail</b> 7:4 8:1	further 14:10	6:10 9:3,16,20	26:3	35:9 41:14 43:5
<b>failing</b> 35:12,14	24:7 46:6	12:14,22 13:2,8	happened45:17	51:15 54:19
<b>failure</b> 5:19 7:25	furtherance	13:12 18:22	happens 9:17	impossibility
15:6 39:13,14	31:25	19:5,14,18 20:2	heading 30:4	39:11,24 42:15
<b>fairly</b> 41:25	<b>future</b> 4:20 6:4	20:6 21:11	<b>hear</b> 3:3	inability 38:1
fallen49:20	22:7,11 47:25	23:19 43:2 51:5	helpful 35:25	incapable 50:15
<b>far</b> 33:19 34:2		<b>give</b> 30:18 31:1	<b>helps</b> 36:18	<b>include</b> 12:7 25:5
39:4 50:1	<u> </u>	41:13,23 45:25	history 48:15	27:12 48:7,13
<b>FCC</b> 32:17,18	<b>G</b> 3:1	given 25:19	<b>Honor</b> 4:11,18	48:23 49:11,15
<b>February</b> 9:9,17	<b>gaps</b> 43:14	41:11 42:10,17	5:14 6:23 7:14	49:23
9:21 12:19	general 1:18	<b>go</b> 9:7 10:9 20:10	7:24 9:1,14	included 3:13
13:10,19	3:14,24 5:11	32:25 34:1,20	10:3,17 12:13	5:20
Federal 1:15	6:3,11,13,17	38:7 41:16	13:21 19:10	incredibly 25:13
5:18 16:9,21	6:19,21,24 7:1	goal 21:7 50:23	21:17 23:9	51:14
25:2 41:2,17	7:12,22 8:5,7,9	goes 39:5	24:15 46:21	indicated 25:18
43:3,22	8:16,24 9:4	<b>going</b> 4:15 6:16	53:24	indicates 49:14

	12.17.10	25202056	22.1.5.1.2.1.2	<b></b>
indictment 7:3	13:17,18	27:2,9 28:5,6	32:1,6,14 34:8	<b>limit</b> 9:18
individual 33:7	invalidity 12:20	28:11,20,23	35:25 39:15,16	limited 31:24
42:5	involve 9:15	29:1 30:3,22	39:25 43:7 45:6	37:17
individuals 5:21	involved9:10	31:2,10,17 32:2	45:13,19 46:19	lines 37:5 41:13
16:25	involving 32:3	32:9,10,17,24	48:8,15	linked 36:6
ineffective 9:5	issue 12:5 30:7	32:25 33:11,13	knowing 39:14	little 31:3 45:24
information	30:11 53:3	33:16,21 34:23	knowledge 42:16	52:4
37:16 39:6 50:5	<b>issued</b> 13:25	35:1,19,21,25	knows 42:16	<b>long</b> 34:3 38:21
50:6	21:19,21 23:13	36:3 38:6,17	L	<b>longer</b> 16:15
<b>initial</b> 3:12 14:15	23:15 24:1,18	40:4,5,21,24	-	look 22:3 25:12
14:18 15:3,13	51:11	41:7,9,15 43:2	label 48:20	27:16,22 51:24
18:6 19:24 20:3	<b>issues</b> 52:20	43:16,20 44:11	lack 28:1	53:6
20:25 21:2 35:6		44:13,18,24	language 27:25	looked41:24
35:13 38:9 41:3	<u>J</u>	45:2,11,19	32:24 47:8	looking 34:22
54:21	jail 33:18 38:24	46:16 47:10	49:10	44:1
initially 3:22	<b>JOE</b> 1:3	48:3,4 50:12	large 25:13	looks 53:24
20:22,24 21:5	joint 42:25	51:5 52:15 53:7	Laughter 8:20	loopholes 43:15
21:25 23:25	judgment 8:18	53:13,17 54:3	law4:6,7 5:5 19:3	<b>lot</b> 8:19,21 22:7
29:5 33:25	jumped 14:3	55:3	19:4 20:8,9,9	53:24
36:10 38:19,22	jurisdiction		20:11,13 34:7	<b>lots</b> 48:10
40:22	29:13 38:23	K	43:3,5,7 45:5,9	Lychner 5:6,17
instance 6:21	jurisdictions	Kagan 15:16	45:19 54:10	
instructing 21:20	23:15 37:13,15	16:1,5 18:8,10	laws 16:8 18:18	<u> </u>
<b>intake</b> 37:18	44:9 51:22	20:19 25:7,18	40:9	maintained
integrally 36:6	<b>Justice</b> 1:18 3:3	31:10,17 32:9	lawyer35:3	29:13
<b>intend</b> 49:14	3:8 4:9,14,21	36:3 48:3 53:17	41:19	making 17:4
intended 15:1	4:25 5:9,20	Kagan's 17:15	leave 6:16 8:5,8	46:10 51:13
21:7	6:10 7:7,17 8:3	keep 30:15 36:9	27:5	man 20:21
intending 53:6	8:21 9:3,16,20	36:10 37:16	leaving 19:20	matter 1:11
<b>intends</b> 49:11	9:25 10:8,22,25	39:5,6	20:10 32:24	27:13 31:15
<b>intent</b> 5:8,25	11:4,7,12,15	KENNEDY 7:7	led 40:8	55:6
<b>interim</b> 7:13 10:6	11:18 12:1,9,11	7:17	<b>left</b> 4:5 6:13,14	MCI 32:13
10:14,19 11:25	12:14,22 13:2,8	kept 50:4	10:13 23:6	mean 7:13,25 8:8
12:2,4,6,15,20	13:12,23 14:2,7	<b>kind</b> 9:12 34:18	26:22 50:10	13:22 14:19,20
12:23 13:25	14:9,13,19,23	39:1	51:25 52:16	14:25 15:18
23:5,11 44:21	14:25 15:10,16	knew42:22,23	54:1	18:24 21:12
46:6 51:11 52:9	16:1,5 17:6,14	43:6 54:22	legal 35:5,7	22:20 23:24
interpretation	17:14,23 18:8	know4:14 5:23	legislation 18:17	31:10,20 32:4
53:18,19	18:10,22 19:5	6:15 8:3,10,12	legislative 48:15	32:15 40:11
interpreted	19:14,18 20:2,6	8:18 15:5,6	let's 10:10 22:12	45:12,22 50:17
24:20	20:19 21:11	16:7,16 18:18	34:1	meaning 32:3
interpreting 44:2	22:12,22,25	20:14 21:18,22	liability 10:7	means 14:20
interrelationship	23:3,10,19 24:8	22:9,24 23:12	<b>liable</b> 39:23 40:1	22:6 30:6 45:20
37:11	24:12,25 25:7	27:12,15 28:6	<b>light</b> 26:21	45:20,21 49:3
<b>invalid</b> 13:4,9,12	25:17,18 26:3	28:12 30:13	<b>lights</b> 26:23	meant 30:13 49:3
	i e	ì	Ì	Ì

mechanisms	name 32:13	3:16,17,25 4:4	17:12 25:5	52:18 54:16,17
48:10	38:10 50:22	4:7 6:3,5 16:7	39:13	54:23
meet 14:17	necessarily 31:8	16:13 21:20	original 19:21	<b>period</b> 9:9,15,22
MELISSA 1:17	32:23 48:6	23:14 24:21	outset 36:20	9:25 42:11
2:6 24:10	necessity 48:13	25:6,11,14,14	overlapped	50:19 52:10
<b>mention</b> 41:17	need 25:25 29:25	27:14,15,23	15:20	periods 16:10
41:18	39:5 46:12	28:18 29:3,12		40:13
mentioned 22:8	47:25 54:8	29:16,24 30:6	P	permissive 47:18
metaphysical	needed 3:25	30:24 31:13,21	<b>P</b> 3:1	permutation
15:1	30:25 47:4 53:5	35:16 36:7,13	page 2:2 29:11	16:18
Michigan 34:7	needs 37:13,15	36:15,22 37:3,7	44:19	permutations
40:25,25	never 16:13 41:1	37:7,19 38:2	pages 42:25	16:12 18:18
minutes 54:4	new3:25 17:21	39:3,9,20 40:14	parallel 8:12	person 5:1 7:3
misdemeanor	18:1,2,3 20:21	40:17 44:7,7	<b>Pardon</b> 10:24	17:10 26:6,12
16:24 17:7,8,10	21:6,9 26:6	46:9,15 47:23	parole 21:14	33:22 34:1,6,8
22:9 42:1 43:23	non-registrants	49:4,15,20,24	23:22	36:24 38:8,24
missed 13:6	48:11	49:25 50:2,8	part 13:7 22:9,19	40:6,25
20:21	normal 22:20	51:19,21 52:10	40:5	persons 7:19
missing 49:20,24	<b>noted</b> 53:24	52:11 54:14	particular 42:20	Pete's 8:9
52:10	<b>notice</b> 9:6,8	offense 4:25 6:7	particularly 17:4	<b>Petitioner</b> 1:4,16
Missouri 18:24	12:11,12,16	6:16,20 24:18	34:16	2:4,10 3:7 54:6
18:25,25 19:6,8	13:13,25 14:3	26:4,13 30:1	passage 17:17	Petitioner's
19:19 20:1,8,9	<b>notion</b> 40:17 53:2	33:12 42:4 49:9	passed 22:15	26:19,20 27:24
20:10 22:16	number26:24	offenses 26:11	23:5 42:2,8	Pittsburgh 1:16
29:20 35:18	28:17 37:2 39:3	41:23	48:17	<b>place</b> 4:6,12 15:4
42:23,24 43:3,5	39:10 43:24	office 15:12	passive 47:17	40:9 48:9,10,10
Missouri's 19:3	45:23 52:11	officer21:15	patchwork 21:8	52:4
<b>modify</b> 6:4 22:5		23:22	pay 48:16	<b>please</b> 3:9 24:13
31:19 32:3,15	0	<b>Oh</b> 23:23 41:7	penalties 3:24	34:18
32:18	O 2:1 3:1	45:18	5:4,6,16 43:17	plenary 31:16
Monday 1:9	obligated 8:1	Okay 12:22 14:7	43:19,21,22,24	<b>point</b> 5:4 13:16
<b>money</b> 17:13	11:1	15:10 19:18	44:4	18:3 21:24
months 25:15	<b>obligation</b> 3:21	23:2 28:22,25	penalty 5:18,18	22:11 26:18
50:18	19:8 20:15	<b>old</b> 4:7	43:23,25	34:5,10 37:4
move 50:21	33:24 35:6,7	<b>older</b> 17:20,21	pending 27:9	39:7 41:14 43:5
moved 23:8	54:20	18:4,5	Pennsylvania	46:21,22 49:16
29:20 34:8	October 1:9	once 54:9	1:16 20:10,11	49:17 53:22,23
42:22	offender 17:9	opaque 53:4	29:20 42:23	54:1,7
moves 21:1 26:6	24:17 27:17,19	operative 47:2	people 7:12 9:11	pointed 29:18
moving 19:1,7,15	27:20 29:10,12	opposed 32:15	15:2,22 16:22	points 39:2
19:22 21:15	36:8 37:1,13,15	opposite 54:11	17:21 18:4	position 6:12
22:17 23:22	37:19 39:15	options 5:11	20:17 22:7,19	20:22 22:14
N	42:16 43:8,9	oral 1:11 2:2,5	25:20 26:11	34:21 54:9
	49:8	3:6 24:10	28:21,23 29:2	possess 41:25
<b>N</b> 2:1,1 3:1	offenders 3:10	order7:2,12	33:4 37:22	possession 42:7
	l	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	<u> </u>	1	1	1
45:14	40:7,12 50:8,10	punishment 5:19	37:17	54:10,18
possible 21:10	probably 41:12	purpose 31:25	reason 17:23	registering 6:12
post 24:25 32:18	52:3	32:1 37:18	25:9 31:12	33:25
32:19	problem8:4	purposes 20:17	40:11,13 52:4	registers 54:13
post-enactment	15:11 18:4 33:3	43:6	52:16	registrants 54:15
24:22 36:7,13	50:12	pursue 55:2	reasonable 6:1	registration 3:12
36:22	problems 15:19	<b>put</b> 44:14	22:15,20 23:6	3:15 4:6 5:15
post-implemen	18:14 26:1	<b>p.m</b> 55:5	41:6,7,9 42:11	6:25 14:15,18
24:23 25:1	proceed25:16		42:17	15:3,13,14
power6:11	process 18:13	Q	reasons 26:24	16:11 18:2,6
preamble 44:22	37:18	qualification	49:1	19:11,24 20:3
46:7	prohibited 7:11	27:24 28:1	<b>REBUTTAL</b> 2:8	20:12,12,25
precise 39:20	proposition 48:5	qualified45:13	54:5	21:2,3,5,6,9,10
preconviction	48:12	question 10:9	recognize 51:13	22:21 30:5,25
48:13	prosecuted	15:3 16:2 17:15	Recognizing	31:4 33:25
present 40:7	35:12,14 42:10	19:11 21:18,23	3:10	34:22 35:6,13
presumably 32:4	prosecution 12:8	23:20 24:14	<b>refer</b> 36:12	36:9,11 38:4,9
40:7 47:3 51:1	15:12	25:7 33:4 35:2	referring 36:6	39:5,16,25 40:9
52:8	prosecutor 8:9	35:3 38:20 40:5	regardless 46:9	40:13 41:1,4
<b>pretty</b> 28:16	8:10,11	46:17 48:3	<b>regime</b> 43:13	42:19,24 43:6
previous 17:9	prosecutorial	questions 24:7	49:21	43:25 44:2,6
43:13 49:21	8:17,22 53:25	quickly 18:20	register 3:22,22	47:1,24 49:4,5
pre-enactment	prospectively	50:16,25 51:16	4:16.5:1,3,19	49:18 54:21,22
4:4 6:2 17:21	26:17	52:2	6:9 7:2,4,25 8:1	registrations
24:16 25:6,10	protecting 51:17	<b>quite</b> 6:18 31:16	8:2 10:12 15:7	15:20 18:5
25:12 27:13,14	51:18,18,20	35:16 37:2,2,9	15:8 16:14,16	registries 29:14
28:17 31:13,20	protections 39:8	41:22 49:10,22	16:21,25 17:16	43:8,10
36:15,25 37:3,6	42:14 44:5	53:5	18:9,24 20:23	<b>registry</b> 29:6,8,9
46:14 47:22	<b>provided</b> 39:8,10	R	20:24 21:5,25	29:10,12,12,25
49:4,11,15,23	44:5	-	22:10,19 23:17	40:19 49:20
50:7 54:14	provides 42:14	<b>R</b> 3:1	23:25,25 24:21	50:1,2,4,10
pre-existed 29:7	providing 43:16	rates 32:18,19	25:2 26:7 28:3	<b>regs</b> 7:13
43:9	provision 4:13	reach 4:17 13:14	28:7,8,10,12	regulation 18:11
pre-implement	7:23 14:15	reached 26:18	30:9,15 33:24	18:16 20:17
6:2 25:11,12	34:21 36:8	read 32:7 36:5	34:7,14 35:6	21:12,16 25:3
27:14 28:18	42:13 49:6	36:21,21 37:1	36:8,10 37:14	26:17 51:9
37:6 46:15	provisions 27:16	38:25 44:22,25	37:16 38:11,22	regulations
47:22 54:14	27:18,22 28:1	46:7	38:25 39:13,14	20:21 21:8,12
pre-SORNA	29:19 30:14	reading 5:7,24	40:6,22 41:3,5	21:19 23:13
48:7,19,24	36:19 45:13	6:1 9:2 22:4	42:17 49:19	30:11 44:15
<b>prior</b> 5:12	47:6,8 49:5	38:7,12,15,18	50:20 54:23	regulatory 18:13
<b>prison</b> 3:19 14:16	51:3	51:12	registered 18:25	reiterate 46:3
16:16 28:19	<b>public</b> 1:15 51:18	really 7:4 9:1	19:19 29:4,5,24	release 30:23,24
33:23 34:4	51:20 52:12	11:21 21:22	33:18 35:17	40:11,11
36:25 38:11,14	punish48:11	25:9 28:2 37:12	38:19 39:4	released 3:18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				0.3
14:16 16:16	Respondent 1:19	14:2,7 24:8	36:14 45:20,24	28:22,25 29:2
34:3 37:24	2:7 24:11	30:3,22 31:2	47:10,11,14	30:16,24 31:5
40:14,18,20	response 35:3	32:10 40:4	<b>SCALIA</b> 8:3,21	31:11,14,22
remain 52:10	rest 32:11 41:12	43:16,20 44:11	14:9,13 24:25	32:6,12,21 33:9
54:15	restate 45:24	44:13,18,24	32:2,17 35:21	33:12,14,20
remaining 4:12	result 26:18 44:3	45:2,11,19	35:25 53:7,13	34:19,25 35:9
54:4	resulted7:18	46:16 47:10	<b>scope</b> 46:1	35:23 36:2,4,17
remand 55:1	retroactive 4:10	52:15 54:3 55:3	scratch 43:12	38:15 39:2
remember 32:13	24:16 52:19,20	rolls 29:25 40:19	second 29:3	40:10,23 41:5,8
repeal 6:5 22:6	52:23	49:21 50:1,3,4	section 3:13	41:11,21 43:4
32:4	retroactively	50:10	31:19 33:1,3	43:18,21 44:12
require 4:4	27:4	<b>rule</b> 7:16 9:7,7	44:22	44:17,20,25
required 6:9	Retroactivity	9:20,21 10:6,6	sections 36:21	45:6,18,22
27:17 29:22	48:20	10:11,14,19	38:12	46:19 47:14
39:12,22 42:17	retrospectively	11:25 12:4,6,6	see 40:21 52:20	48:25 50:24
42:22 44:8,9	26:17	12:15,18,19,20	52:24 53:6	51:10 52:24
requirement	Reynolds 1:3 3:4	12:23 13:3,5,9	seeking 7:15	53:12,15,21
3:13 4:15 5:16	3:17,21,25 12:7	13:10,15,16,17	sees 47:25	shortly 26:5
7:1 19:6 21:24	13:1 18:7 20:18	13:19,20,25	<b>Senate</b> 48:19	shy 51:4
30:9,14 31:4,19	29:4,16,20	14:5,10 24:2,2	49:2,7,13	side 8:14
32:18,19 39:16	35:10,11,16	30:21 44:21	sentence 37:14	signed 42:24
39:17,25 40:16	37:19 39:3	46:6 51:11	sentenced 3:18	significant 47:15
42:19 43:7 44:1	42:20,21 55:2	rules 10:14 12:2	33:7	53:5
44:3 46:4	Reynolds's	21:8 23:4,5,11	sentences 34:16	similar 32:22
requirements	15:23 54:9	30:8 35:8	served 16:15,22	<b>simply</b> 6:1 8:15
3:16 16:11 18:3	re-arrested	rush51:8	serves 37:17	9:9 18:12,23
19:24,25 30:4,5	16:24		set 30:20,21 38:2	21:14,20 23:21
30:6,12,20 31:1	re-register 17:11	S	47:23	26:22 29:17
34:25 35:13,15	54:8,18	<b>S</b> 2:1 3:1	sets 37:14	32:18 37:21
38:3,5 39:10	<b>rid</b> 42:11	safety 25:23	sex 5:22 16:7,13	single 36:24
41:12 44:6 47:1	<b>right</b> 9:19 10:2	30:18,22	24:17,18 25:14	situation 6:6
47:13,24 49:4	12:12,20,21	sailing 8:13	25:14 26:4	15:23 21:14
49:18	13:11,21 14:1,6	sake 8:9	27:17,19,20,23	26:4
requires 29:19	14:8,11,13,22	sanctions 39:14	29:10,11,12,24	six 27:18,22
29:21 42:15	14:23 15:9,10	<b>saying</b> 5:13 7:16	30:1,5,24 33:12	<b>small</b> 38:2
requiring 24:21	18:1 19:2,16,17	12:5 13:17 15:4	36:8 39:19 41:1	<b>SMART</b> 10:1,3
reserve 24:6	22:22 26:24	17:16 24:3 28:2	43:8,9 44:7,7	Solicitor 1:17
respect 17:2	31:4 33:6 35:11	30:8,17 32:12	46:8 49:8,9,20	10:10 22:13
29:23 30:19	36:18,23 38:17	38:7 40:24	49:24 51:19,21	<b>solve</b> 18:14
32:22 37:11	40:10 44:16,24	46:13 52:18,19	52:10,11 54:14	somebody 21:13
41:22 42:12	53:20	says 6:15 7:20	<b>share</b> 32:10	24:17 27:20
49:22 50:7	risk 52:13	8:7,24 9:2	<b>Sherry</b> 1:17 2:6	29:21 38:20
respectfully	ROBERTS 3:3	15:15 21:16	24:9,10,12 25:1	42:22
19:12	4:9,14,21,25	22:3 28:4 30:10	25:22 26:14	somewhat 46:23
respond 18:20	12:9,11 13:23	35:19 36:8,9,10	27:8,11 28:9,14	<b>SORNA</b> 3:11,19
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				04
3:22,23 4:2,3	<b>specify</b> 32:15,23	stayed 50:4	suggesting 36:3	19:21 20:9,19
5:25 6:1,5,7,25	36:15 47:12,16	stayeu 50.4 step 46:5	suggesting 30.3 suggests 47:19	22:16 23:21
8:2 10:1,13	47:17,25 49:6	stick 20:25	47:21 52:2	29:21 34:17
11:1 12:25	specifying 30:11	stitch 43:15	suit 11:19	35:22 42:22
14:10,16,20	spoken 9:4	sten 43.13 stop 17:17	sunset 4:13	44:7
15:8,14 16:17	stand 49:18	stopped 17:15	suppose 26:15	telling 18:23,25
17:2,13 19:6,12	stand 49.18	stops 38:10	32:21	21:14,21 23:14
19:12,15,15,18	11:25 12:5 24:3	straightforward	supposed 15:7	23:15 42:24
19:20,25 20:4	start 10:13 18:2	45:16	28:12 34:13	tells 44:8
20:12,14 21:3,4	24:14 25:11	strange 8:4,4,25	41:3,16,18	term 33:8
21:9,22,25 22:5	27:18 34:19	strengthen 53:8	<b>Supreme</b> 1:1,12	terms 48:20 53:4
22:15 23:4,16	starting 43:12,12	53:13,16	sure 27:3 28:14	text 5:7,24 9:2
23:17,25 24:17	48:4,12 53:22	student 38:10	49:25 50:3,9	15:15
25:4 26:5,10	53:23,25	subchapter	suspend 30:25	<b>Thank</b> 24:8
27:4 28:8,10,19	<b>State</b> 15:13 16:8	30:12	32:5	33:21 54:3 55:3
29:4,7,7,22,25	17:1,11 19:1,4	subject 3:23 10:7	swiftly 51:6	theoretical 31:14
30:2 31:13	19:7,9,11,20	39:13	switch 26:21	theory 31:23
36:24 43:5,9,10	19:21,22 20:8	submitted 55:4,6	switched 25:4	44:11,13
43:11 44:16	20:13 21:3,15	subsection 3:21	system 4:1 16:23	thing 23:20 32:5
47:6 48:17	22:17,21 23:7	10:21 11:10	17:9,22 18:2,5	36:19 39:1,12
49:23 50:3,8	26:6 29:6 34:7	25:22 26:10	29:6 35:17	41:1 54:19
51:3,7,9,23	37:8 43:15 48:9	27:1 28:2 29:10	37:19,20,23	things 30:10 35:4
54:13,18,20,20	54:10,12,21	30:7 34:20	48:8.54:15	44:21 45:14
<b>SORNA's</b> 3:12	<b>States</b> 1:1,6,12	35:13,15,19	systems 43:15	52:7
3:15,23 12:17	3:4 16:8,14	36:23 37:1,5,9	48:9	think 4:21 8:13
22:6 37:8	21:21 24:21,23	37:12,17 38:1		15:16 16:12
SORNA-comp	25:2,16 29:15	46:13 47:13	T	18:15,17 26:14
29:9	43:24 44:8	49:3 54:24,24	T 2:1,1	26:18,23 27:15
<b>sorry</b> 4:23 13:6	<b>State's</b> 18:18,19	subsections	take 11:1,3,8,16	30:16 31:5,5,6
23:23 35:23	statue 22:4	37:10 47:23	15:3 16:19	31:14,16,23
sort 4:12 8:16	<b>status</b> 41:23	subsequent	18:22 38:17	32:13,23 36:17
11:19 16:20	statute 7:11,20	24:19	40:25 41:13	36:22 37:12
31:3 39:1	10:18 11:14	<b>subset</b> 29:24	47:9 49:5 52:9	44:19 45:10,20
Sotomayor 5:9	12:3 15:2,17,22	substantial 51:23	takes 18:16	45:20,22,24
5:20 10:8,22,25	18:12 22:2 26:5	substantially	talk 29:3,8 37:5	46:8,15,20
11:4,7,12,15	29:8 33:3,8,14	17:1,12 21:22	talking 9:8 10:2	47:15,19 48:25
11:18 12:1 17:6	38:16 41:22	23:16 54:13	19:14 28:15,16	49:2,2,12,22
17:14,23 22:12	42:2,8,9 43:3	substituting	28:20 29:1 31:3	51:12,14,24
22:22,25 23:3	44:23 45:1,24	15:13	32:7,14 33:1	52:2,6,16 53:1
23:10 28:6,11	46:7 47:2,20,21	subtle 53:4	35:10 36:1 39:8	53:2,5,21
28:20,23 29:1	52:22 53:20	suffice 19:11	45:12,15 49:7	thinks 8:10,11
32:25 48:4	54:20	sufficient 23:21	51:16,17	thought 7:8
50:12	statutes 41:24	23:24	talks 37:6	16:19 17:14
<b>speak</b> 27:16	statute's 20:15	suggest 47:24	technical 6:19	25:24 31:7
specifies 23:18	20:16 46:3	48:22	<b>tell</b> 11:5 19:6,8	34:23 35:1,8
	l	l	l	ļ

48:6 51:7	umbrella 36:7	violating 33:2	51:16,17	<b>15,112</b> 25:2
thousand 50:2	unable 3:12	violating 33.2	When's 41:3	<b>16</b> 43:1
threat 40:8	14:14 39:9	34:10 42:9 44:3	willing 27:5	<b>16911</b> 29:10
three 34:16	54:23	violence 42:2,4,6	wonder 34:15	<b>16913</b> 30:5
36:12 37:14	uncertainty	virtue 28:2	word 32:15 44:18	<b>16913</b> ( <b>d</b> ) 3:13
time 4:4 9:5,15	51:19	VII tue 20.2	words 38:6 39:15	<b>19</b> 21:12
16:15,23 18:17	unconstitution	$\mathbf{W}$	work 51:22 53:17	<b>1907</b> 9:9
22:15,20 23:6	8:14	walk 36:18	worried 15:19	<b>1908</b> 9:7,10,12
24:6 26:15	underlying 31:4	want 11:21 32:10	16:6	<b>1990</b> 42:6
33:25 36:25	understand 13:4	35:21 40:18,19	wouldn't 53:10	<b>1994</b> 5:1,5,15
38:22 40:14	13:24 22:13	44:10 49:16,23	53:14	<b>1996</b> 4:24 5:13
41:6,7,10 42:11	27:6 46:10	52:17 53:20	written 15:17	5:16,22 42:3,8
42:18 50:8,19	understanding	wanted 18:1 27:3	46:8 47:21	3.10,22 42.3,0
52:9	10:11 27:11	27:12 31:12,20	wrong 7:13 46:22	2
timing 35:15	uniform 48:8	43:13,13 46:25		<b>2</b> 5:5
37:23 38:3 39:9	unique 41:22	48:8,13,23	X	<b>20</b> 42:8
39:21 40:16	42:12	49:24,25 50:3,9	<b>x</b> 1:2,7	<b>2006</b> 43:11
41:11	United 1:1,6,12	50:23,25		<b>2007</b> 10:5,14
today 23:4	3:4	wants 35:4	Y	24:2
told 23:7 47:3	unlawful 41:25	Washington 1:8	<b>year</b> 3:19 4:19	<b>2008</b> 9:15 10:5
51:1	unsure 48:23	1:18	4:22 14:16 33:7	10:15
tomorrow38:11	unusual 46:23	wasn't 22:23	33:9 38:8,24	<b>2011</b> 1:9
<b>Touby</b> 45:10	<b>update</b> 21:1,9	25:23 27:2 30:2	50:18	<b>2250</b> 14:20 15:5
track 40:19	50:5	43:11,12 51:4	years 4:6,12 5:5	33:2,19 34:10
travel 7:4,25,25	updated 21:3	52:3	25:15 33:19	34:14,20,21
10:12 22:16	39:6	<b>way</b> 15:17,19	34:3 38:8,25	35:2,5 39:8,23
traveled9:23	use 25:25	25:21 26:25	40:6 42:8	40:2 42:14
10:5 23:6 35:18	uses 49:10	27:19 36:14	0	<b>2250(b)</b> 39:11
treat 38:20,23	U.S.C 30:5	ways 51:25		<b>24</b> 2:7
trigger 40:12		weeds 31:3	<b>07</b> 9:17,21,23	
trouble 8:19	<b>V</b>	well-suited 17:4	12:19 13:10,19	3
true 32:8	<b>v</b> 1:5 3:4	went 46:5,5	<b>08</b> 9:18,22 13:20	<b>3</b> 1:9 2:4 4:6,12
try 41:23 51:6,8	<b>valid</b> 3:19 10:4	weren't 43:17	21:12	35:20 41:13,15
trying 11:24,24	13:20 20:16	Wetterling 4:5	1	41:19 54:4
39:1 42:21	24:1,3	4:10,11,16,19	1-year 5:19	4
51:22	valve 25:23	4:23 5:2,5,12	<b>10</b> 34:3	<b>4</b> 33:19 38:8,25
turn 26:23	30:18,22	5:14,17 16:10	<b>10a</b> 29:11	40:6
two 38:12 39:2	varied 16:9	24:15,19,20	<b>10-6549</b> 1:4 3:4	<b>40.</b> 0 <b>42</b> 30:4
48:17 49:1	various 16:8	43:23 48:9	<b>100,000</b> 49:19	<b>7</b> 4 JU. <del>1</del>
51:25	<b>verb</b> 49:12	<b>We'll</b> 3:3	52:10	5
<b>type</b> 17:2 25:3	view25:22 26:19	<b>we're</b> 6:16 7:16	<b>11:06</b> 1:13 3:2	<b>5-year</b> 33:8
40:8	26:20 27:25	10:2 11:12,24	<b>12</b> 44:19 54:11	<b>50</b> 29:15
	30:17 33:14	11:24 12:5 24:3	12(a) 35:24	<b>54</b> 2:10
T T			14(a) 33.4 <del>+</del>	J T 2.10
U	40:21	34:21 35:10		
ultimately 50:18		34:21 35:10 38:20 39:7 44:2	<b>12:05</b> 55:5 <b>15</b> 34:3	6

<b>61</b> 24:24 25:2			
9			
<b>9</b> 29:10			
<b>922</b> ( <b>g</b> )( <b>9</b> ) 41:25			
		•	
		1	1