## Pensées 1: The Calculus of n-Ball Volume

0x10af

## **Volume and Surface Area of** *n***-balls (review)**

An n-ball is a ball in n dimensions, for the cases of n=2 and n=3 being the points inside a circle and a sphere, respectively.

$$\mathbb{B}_n(r) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \le r \}$$

It is known that this expression evaluates to the volume of a unit n-ball. For example, for n=2 and n=3 the area in a circle and the volume of a sphere.

$$V_n = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}$$

### **Fractional Calculus**

These are the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral and derivative, it is not necessary you know them.

$$\begin{split} D^{-\alpha}f(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left(t - \tau\right)^{\alpha - 1} f(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ D^{\alpha} &= \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} D^{-(n - a)} f(t) \end{split}$$

## **Polynomial and Constant Case**

This is the  $\nu$ th fractional integral of a polynomial,  $t^{\lambda}$ , and a constant,  $\mu$ .

$$\begin{split} D^{-\nu}t^{\lambda} &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu+1)}t^{\lambda+\nu} \\ & \mathrm{d}x = 0 \\ D^{-\nu}\mu &= \mu \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu+1)}t^{\lambda+\nu} = \mu \frac{t^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \end{split}$$

# The Relationship Between Fractional Integration of Constants and n-Ball Volume

You can see that the volume of an n-ball is a special case of this formula, here is the equivalence. The dimension of n-ball volume may be increased to  $n + \rho$  by integration  $\frac{\rho}{2}$  times.

$$V_n = D^{-\frac{n}{2}} 1|_{t=\pi}$$

In addition, the sum of the volumes of all even-dimensional n-balls is  $e^{\pi}$ .

$$\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \frac{t^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} = \frac{t^{\nu}}{\nu!}$$
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\nu}}{\nu!} = e^t = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} D^{-\nu} 1$$

Here is the ratio between n-ball volumes (obviously substitute  $\pi$  for t). From the limits you can see the volume goes to zero, but has no roots (duh).

$$\begin{split} \frac{D^{-\nu-\rho}1}{D^{-\nu}1} &= \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+\rho+1)} t^{\rho} \\ \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{D^{-\nu-\rho}1}{D^{-\nu}1} &= \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+\rho+1)} t^{\rho} = 0 \\ \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \frac{D^{-\nu-\rho}1}{D^{-\nu}1} &= \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{t^{\rho}\Gamma(\nu+1) \left(\log(t) - \psi^0(\rho+\nu+1)\right)}{\Gamma(\rho+\nu+1)} = 0 \end{split}$$

### **Better Volume Constants**

Just ignore the first part of the  $\lambda$  manifesto where it talks about  $\lambda$  being a good volume constant, it is not. An alternative for shapes which do not have every orthant like a regular n-ball ( $\beta$  is number of orthants) is  $\kappa$ , the area of one quadrant, otherwise  $\pi$  is the best.

$$\kappa = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$V_n = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}$$

$$V_n = \frac{\beta \kappa^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}$$

$$n \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow V_n = \frac{2^{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \pi^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}{n!!} = \frac{2^{\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2}\%1} \pi^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}{n!!}$$

#### The Rational Answer to $\pi$ vs $\tau$

In a partially subjective way, the surface area is subordinate to the volume constant, in that it is  $nV_n$ . Thus calculating the volume constant from the surface area constant is backwards.  $\tau$  is the 2-dimensional element of the family of surface area constants, while  $\pi$  is the volume constant. I would argue then that it is nicer and makes more sense to have  $\pi$  be the symbol in use, if only one can be chosen. It is possible, although inconvenient, to use both. The problems introduced by using  $\tau$  in notation are much greater than  $\pi$ , which at most introduces a  $2\pi$  where you could have  $\tau$ . The largest and most common problem of using  $\tau$  is  $\sqrt{\pi}$ , which becomes  $\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sqrt{2}}$ , much uglier and more annoying.

### Various Elements of The Case for $\pi$

Besides, obviously, being the volume constant (pretty important),  $\pi$  has the advantage when talking about linear dependence, in some cases in complex analysis, and others.

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$$

The principal branch is  $(-\pi, \pi]$ , and

$$eta, \omega \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \beta = \alpha \omega \Leftarrow e^{i \arg \beta} = e^{i (\arg \omega + \kappa \pi)}$$
$$e^{i \kappa \pi} = (-1)^{\kappa}$$

The primary point in favor of  $\pi$  is not that it is great or elegant in most situations, but that it is adequate.  $\tau$  has many advantages and equations which it eliminates a factor of 2 from, but it also makes others much uglier, like  $\sqrt{\pi}$ , or the formula for "parallel" complex numbers, while  $\pi$  is a good middle ground between possible "circle constants".